

FILE

BEFORE THE  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

12  
RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2011 AUG -2 PM 2:35  
PUCO

In the Matter of the Application of )  
Columbus Southern Power Company and )  
Ohio Power Company for Authority to ) Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO  
Establish a Standard Service Offer ) Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO  
Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, )  
In the form of an Electric Security Plan. )

In the Matter of the Application of )  
Columbus Southern Power Company and ) Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM  
Ohio Power Company for Approval of ) Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM  
Certain Accounting Authority. )

---

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY'S  
MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

---

Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company (collectively, "AEP Ohio" or "the Companies"), pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.), respectfully request that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) issue a protective order so that certain confidential information in the Direct Testimonies of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. ("FES") witnesses Michael M. Schnitzer and Jonathan A. Lesser; Exelon Generation Company, LCC ("Exelon") witness Joseph Dominguez; and The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC) witness Anthony J. Yankel is exempted from public disclosure as confidential, proprietary, competitively sensitive, and trade secret information. The reasons supporting this motion (and which also support the motions for protective order that FES, Exelon, and OCC filed on July 25, 2011) are provided in the attached memorandum in support.

COLUMBUS/SH1592929V.1

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business  
Technician Shirley Date Processed AUG 02 2011

Respectfully Submitted,

*per authorization*  
Steven T. Nourse / Eric B. Heller

Steven T. Nourse  
Matthew J. Satterwhite  
American Electric Power  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-2373  
Telephone: (614) 716-1608  
Facsimile: (614) 716-2950  
stnourse@aep.com  
mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway  
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur  
41 S. High Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Telephone: (614) 227-2100  
Facsimile: (614) 227-2270  
dconway@porterwright.com

Counsel for Columbus Southern Power  
Company and Ohio Power Company

## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

AEP Ohio requests that certain confidential information contained in and/or attached to the Direct Testimonies of FES witnesses Messrs. Schnitzer and Lesser, Exelon witness Mr. Dominguez, and OCC witness Mr. Yankel be protected from public disclosure. The information for which protection is sought includes:

### Michael M. Schnitzer (FES):

- AEP Ohio's forecast for fuel expenditures (and related analyses) (*see* Schnitzer Testimony at p. 16, lines 7-8; 64, line 14);
- The potential impacts on ESP price from adding in costs associated with certain proposed riders that FES asserts were omitted (*see id.* at p. 16, lines 9-10, 15; p. 17; p. 98);
- AEP Ohio's timeline for environmental compliance and resulting projected capacity and projected EICCR rates through 2020 (*see id.* at p. 68; p. 70, lines 6-15; p. 72, lines 2, 5, 6, 8, and 9; EXC RPD 3-012 Attachment 1, pp. 6 and 25; EXC RPD 3-014 Attachment 4, Selected Pages);
- Projected Facility Closure Cost Recovery (FCCR) Rider rates through the ESP period (*see id.* at p. 79, line 12);
- Projected Carbon Capture and Sequestration Rider rates through the ESP period and related analyses (*see id.* at p. 80, line 7; p. 81, line 9);
- AEP Ohio's projected reserve margins through 2028-2029 (*see id.* at pp. 86-87, n. 142; EXC RPD 3-012 Attachment 1, p. APP-12);
- FES's estimate of the impact of the Pool Termination or Modification Provision on rates in 2014 (*see id.* at p. 90, line 4 and n. 149; p. 91, line 3);
- AEP Ohio's projected earnings and margins from off-system sales in 2011 (*see id.* at p. 112; OCC RPD-24 Attachment 1);
- The witness's proposed corrections to Total Generation Service Price under the ESP (*see id.* at Exhibit MMS-2);

### Jonathan A. Lesser (FES):

- Turning Point Solar's projected capacity factor (*see* Lesser Testimony at p. 77, line 23) and projected output (*see id.* at p. 78, lines 4 and 5);

Joseph Dominguez (Exelon):

- The reasons for, terms of, and responses to Exelon's past offerings for energy and capacity to American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC) (Dominguez Testimony at pp. 6-7; 21; 23; 24, lines 11-14; 25, lines 11-16; 26, lines 1-9; and Confidential Exhibit JD-1);
- The price at which Exelon has been able to commit capacity into the PJM RPM auction (*see id.* at p. 21);
- Whether Exelon has been and would be willing to sell energy to AEPSC (*see id.* at p. 22; p. 24, lines 17-19; p. 32, lines 3-5);
- The "corrected" ESP rate calculated by FES witness Mr. Schnitzer and the competitive benchmark testified to by AEP Ohio witness Ms. Thomas (*see id.* at p. 26, lines 10-18; p. 32, line 6);
- Why Exelon chose not to submit bids for the ESP period (*see id.* at p. 26, lines 19-22); what those bids would have been, had they been submitted (*see id.* at p. 27, lines 1-5); and how those bids compared to Exelon's past offerings for energy and capacity to AEPSC (*see id.* at p. 27, lines 6-18);
- The ability of other companies to offer energy and capacity to AEPSC at prices lower than the proposed ESP rate (*see id.* at p. 27, lines 19-23; p. 28, lines 1-2);
- Whether the Commission had notice that AEP Ohio received bilateral wholesale offers for terms that could potentially apply to the reference period of 2012 through 2014, or other energy or capacity offers (*see id.* at p. 31, lines 6-9; p. 32, lines 12-13);
- AEP Ohio's reserve margin through 2028-2029, planned retirements in that period, and capacity position (*see id.* at pp. 33-34, n. 37);

Anthony J. Yankel (OCC):

- AEP Ohio's projected load data for 2012, and comparisons to its actual load data for 2007 (Yankel Testimony at pp. 22-23 and n.15; pp. 24-25 and n. 16; p. 27; p. 28);
- AEP Ohio's projected sales for 2012 (*id.* at p. 29).

This information has been kept confidential and, as a result, retains substantial economic value to the Companies by being kept confidential. It would be costly and time-consuming for third parties to replicate the information on their own, without access to the information.

Allowing unfettered public access to the information would enable third parties to replicate the constrained option model at little or no cost. Accordingly, release of the information to the public would significantly reduce, if not eliminate, the value that the information has by being kept confidential and, thus, would cause harm to AEP Ohio.

Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code provides that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code.

The criteria for what should be kept confidential by the Commission is well established, and the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligation to protect trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read in pari materia with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, February 17, 1982).

Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(A)(7)). The definition of a "trade secret" is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act: "Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation,

program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

R.C. § 1333.61(D).

This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the information which is the subject of this motion. Courts of other jurisdictions have held that not only does a public utilities commission have the authority to protect the trade secrets of the companies subject to its jurisdiction, the trade secrets statute creates a duty to protect them. New York Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm. N.Y., 56 N.Y. 2d 213 (1982). Indeed, for the Commission to do otherwise would be to negate the protections the Ohio General Assembly has granted to all businesses, including public utilities, and now the new entrants who will be providing power, through the Uniform Trade Secrets Act. The Commission has previously carried out its obligations in this regard in numerous proceedings. See, e.g., Elyria Tel. Co., Case No. 89-965- TP-AEC (Finding and Order, September 21, 1989); OhioBell Tel. Co., Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); Columbia Gas of Ohio. Inc., Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, August 7, 1990).

In Pyromatics, Inc. v. Petruziello, 7 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983), the Court of Appeals, citing Koch Engineering Co. v. Faulconer, 210 U.S.P.Q. 854, 861 (Kansas 1980), delineated factors to be considered in recognizing a trade secret:

(1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business, (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees, (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information, (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors, (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

These factors were adopted by the Supreme Court of Ohio in State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept of Ins. (1997), 80 Ohio St3d 513,524-525.

Applying these factors to the information redacted from the pre-filed testimony of FES witnesses Messrs. Schnitzer and Lesser, Exelon witness Mr. Dominguez, and OCC witness Mr. Yankel demonstrates that protection from disclosure is appropriate. As noted above, the information includes a detailed description of the Companies' projected fuel expenditures, ESP rates, load data, reserve margins, and sales; the operating characteristics of their planned Turning Point Solar project; and the Companies' confidential dealings with independent power producers. That information is the product of original research and development, has been kept confidential, and, as a result, retains substantial economic value to the Companies by being kept confidential. It would be costly and time-consuming for third parties to replicate the information on their own, without access to the information. Allowing unfettered public access to the information would enable third parties to replicate the constrained option model at little or no cost. Accordingly, release of the information to the public would significantly reduce, if not eliminate, the value that the information has by being kept confidential and, thus, would cause harm to AEP Ohio.

For the reasons provided above, AEP Ohio requests that the Commission grant its motion, and FES's, Exelon's, and OCC's motions, for a protective order to maintain the confidentiality of the information redacted from the pre-filed testimony of FES witnesses Messrs. Schnitzer and Lesser, Exelon witness Mr. Dominguez, and OCC witness Mr. Yankel, by ordering that that testimony be kept under seal.

Respectfully Submitted,

Steven T. Nourse / per authorization  
Eric B. Heller

Steven T. Nourse  
Matthew J. Satterwhite  
American Electric Power  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-2373  
Telephone: (614) 716-1608  
Facsimile: (614) 716-2950  
stnourse@aep.com  
mjsatterwhite@aep.com



Daniel R. Conway  
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur  
41 S. High Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Telephone: (614) 227-2100  
Facsimile: (614) 227-2270  
dconway@porterwright.com

Counsel for Columbus Southern Power  
Company and Ohio Power Company



## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Columbus Southern Power Company's and Ohio Power Company's Motion for Protective Order has been served upon the below-named counsel and Attorney Examiners via electronic mail this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of August, 2011.

 *per authorization*  
  
Steven T. Nourse

Samuel C. Randazzo  
Joseph E. Olier  
Frank P. Darr  
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC  
21 East State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
sam@mwncmh.com  
joliker@mwncmh.com  
fdarr@mwncmh.com

David F. Boehm  
Michael L. Kurtz  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
dboehm@BKLawfirm.com  
mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com

Dorothy K. Corbett  
Associate General Counsel  
139 East Fourth Street  
1303-Main  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
Dorothy.Corbett@duke-energy.com

Richard L. Sites  
General Counsel & Senior Director  
of Health Policy  
Ohio Hospital Association  
155 East Broad Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215-3620  
ricks@ohanet.org

Philip B. Sineneng  
Thompson Hine LLP  
41 South High Street  
Suite 1700  
Columbus, OH 43215  
Philip.Sineneng@ThompsonHine.com

Thomas J. O'Brien  
Bricker & Eckler LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, OH 43215-4291  
tobrien@bricker.com

Colleen L. Mooney  
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy  
231 West Lima Street  
Findlay, OH 45839-1793  
cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

Terry L. Etter  
Maureen R. Grady  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel  
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, OH 43215-3485  
etter@occ.state.oh.us  
idzkowski@occ.state.oh.us  
grady@occ.state.oh.us

Terrence O'Donnell  
Christopher Montgomery  
Bricker & Eckler LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, OH 43215-4291  
todonnell@bricker.com  
cmontgomery@bricker.com

Lisa G. McAlister  
Matthew W. Warnock  
Bricker & Eckler LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, OH 43215-4291  
lmcaster@bricker.com  
mwarnock@bricker.com

John W. Bentine  
Mark S. Yurick  
Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP  
65 East State Street, Suite 1000  
Columbus Ohio 43215  
jbentine@cwsllaw.com  
myurick@cwsllaw.com  
Mark A. Hayden  
FirstEnergy Service Company  
76 South Main Street  
Akron, OH 44308  
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

James F. Lang  
Laura C. McBride  
N. Trevor Alexandor  
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP  
1400 KeyBank Center  
800 Superior Ave.  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
jlang@calfee.com  
lmcbride@calfee.com  
talexander@calfee.com

Michael R. Smalz  
Joseph V. Maskovyak  
Ohio Poverty Law Center  
555 Buttles Avenue  
Columbus, OH 43215  
msmalz@ohiopoveritylaw.org  
jmaskovyak@ohiopoveritylaw.org

Jay E. Jadwin  
American Electric Power Service Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
jejadwin@aep.com

M. Howard Petricoff  
Stephen M. Howard  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP  
52 East Gay Street  
P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, OH 43216-1008  
mhpetricoff@vorys.com

William L. Massey  
Covington & Burling, LLP  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
wmassey@cov.com

Pamela A. Fox  
Law Director, the City of Hilliard, Ohio  
pfox@hilliardohio.gov

Christopher L. Miller  
Gregory H. Dunn  
Asim Z. Haque  
Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA  
250 West Street  
Columbus, OH 43215  
cmiller@szd.com  
gdunn@szd.com  
ahaque@szd.com

Kenneth P. Kreider  
Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL  
One East Fourth Street  
Suite 1400  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
kpkreider@kkmklaw.com

Michael J. Settineri  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP  
52 East Gay Street  
P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, OH 43216-1008  
mjsettineri@vorys.com


Henry W. Eckhart  
Shannon Fisk  
2100 Chambers Road, Suite 106  
Columbus, OH 43212  
henryeckhart@aol.com

Jesse A. Rodriguez  
Exelon Generation Company, LLC  
300 Exelon Way  
Kennett Square, PA 19348  
jesse.rodriguez@exeloncorp.com

Sandy I-ru Grace  
Exelon Business Services Company  
101 Constitution Avenue NW  
Suite 400 East  
Washington, DC 20001  
sandy.grace@exeloncorp.com

Barth E. Royer  
Bell & Royer Co., LPA  
33 South Grant Avenue  
Columbus, OH 43215-3927  
BarthRoyer@aol.com

Gary A. Jeffries  
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.  
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400  
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817  
Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com



Tara C. Santarelli  
Environmental Law & Policy Center  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, OH 43212  
tsantarelli@elpc.org

Trent A. Dougherty  
Nolan Moser  
Ohio Environmental Council  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, OH 43212  
camille@theoec.org  
nolan@theoec.org  
trent@theoec.org

Holly Rachel Smith  
Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC  
3803 Rectortown Road  
Marshall, VA 20115  
holly@raysmithlaw.com

Emma F. Hand  
Douglas G. Bonner  
Keith Nusbaum  
SNR Denton US LLP  
1301 K Street, Suite 600, East Tower  
Washington, DC 20005-3364  
emma.hand@snrdenton.com  
doug.bonner@snrdenton.com  
keith.nusbaum@snrdenton.com

David A. Kutik  
Jones Day  
North Point  
901 Lakeside Avenue  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
E-mail: dakutik@jonesday.com

Gregory J. Poulos  
EnerNOC, Inc.  
101 Federal Street, Suite 1100  
Boston, MA 02110  
E-mail: gpoulos@enernoc.com

Allison E. Haedt  
Jones Day  
P.O. Box 165017  
Columbus, OH 43216-5017  
E-mail: aehaedt@jonesday.com

COLUMBUS/1595375v.3