

FILE

To whom it May Concern, Docket to File

I am writing this letter in response to the conclusion found by the commission regarding the "trial" pertaining to an alleged seat belt violation. I find it disgusting that there is an organization sanctioned by the state of Ohio that exists in order to strong arm money from citizens and jokingly "protect the citizen". This "trial" was a mockery of any type of justice. The PUCO simply wants money and will "find" for the plaintiff any time money due is involved.

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2011 AUG-2 AM 8:33


There was absolutely no evidence that Trooper Hatcher saw me traveling without a seat belt. Just because he is able to type on a computer does not make his report detailed, accurate or true. His description was poor and incorrect. The shirt in question was dark green and the seat belt I was wearing was riding high. He did not see a belt in the one tiny section he looked at in a split second and made the assumption there was no seat belt. When unearned "free" revenue by pushing around the average citizen is involved, the officer will not admit a mistake and retract his statement. During this "trial", Officer Hatcher stated he did not know if the visor was down. The visor was down and I was sitting high in the air adjustable seat. The visor may not impede his vision at a single point but it did impede his vision of my seat belt. This entire money making scam is laughable. He did not even know what lane I was traveling in yet he clearly saw no seat belt? I find that hard to believe plus Officer Hatcher

(cont on back)

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Date Processed: AUG 02 2011

Was not able to prove any such accusation in court.
"If he writes it, it must be true?" What a joke.
Just because he writes it in a report does not make
his statement true. I found this whole process to
be disgusting. It took almost a year to settle
one seatbelt violation accusation and it was settled
poorly. Decision for the plaintiff based off of
no evidence. He did not even give me a ticket as
a state highway patrol officer because he knew
he was uncertain of what he saw. That's speculation
on my part but it seems to be the case since I
asked him why he would not give me a ticket. No
response. I am not saying I am guilty of this
accusation. I am paying this fine simply to
allow myself to continue earning a living and
allow the blood-sucking leeches of the PUCO to
stop demanding their unearned income. I have
more opinions to share however, similar to the "trial".
I see it as a waste of time and effort to
share my thoughts.

Thanks for the hassle & here's your money,



Chad Moyer - 614-738-2010

P. O. C. O.
HAZ. MAT COMPLIANCE
2011 JUL 32 PM 2:47