BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.))))	Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO Case No. 11-348-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.)	Case No. 11-349-EL-AAM Case No. 11-350-EL-AAM

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAY F. GODFREY ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

Technician

FT 3: 48 This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business

Filed July 1, 2011

INDEX TO SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAY F. GODFREY

1.	Introduction1
2.	Overview2
3.	Participation Agreement5
4.	Balance of Plant Construction8
5.	Financial Structure10
6.	Solar Modules: Made in Ohio14
7.	Facility Lease and GRR16
8.	AEP Ohio Need for Solar Generation18
9.	Conclusion19

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAY F. GODFREY ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

INTRODUCTION

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Jay F. Godfrey. My business address is 155 W. Nationwide Blvd.,
3		Columbus, Ohio 43215.
4	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME JAY F. GODFREY THAT FILED TESTIMONY ON
5		BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY (CSP) AND
6		OHIO POWER COMPANY (OP) (COLLECTIVELY AEP OHIO) IN CASE
7		NO. 11-346-EL-SSO AND CASE NO. 11-348-EL-SSO?
8	A.	Yes, I am.
9	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT
10		TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
11	А.	The purpose of my supplemental direct testimony in this proceeding is to provide
12		the information indicated in the application that would be provided to the record
13		concerning the details and agreements that make up the Turning Point Solar Project
14		for Commission review.
15 16	Q.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS IN THIS SUPPLEMENTAL FILING?
17	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits:

1		Exhibit JFG-6 (this exhibit is separately bound due to the volume) - Participation
2		Agreement between Turning Point Solar LLC and Ohio Power Company and
3		Columbus Southern Power Company (Public and COMPETITIVELY-SENSITIVE
4		CONFIDENTIAL versions), and
5		Exhibit JFG-7 – A general diagram of the project structure and the relationship of
6		the involved parties.
7	<u>ov</u>	ERVIEW
8	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE TURNING POINT SOLAR PROJECT
9		WHICH WAS BRIEFLY DISCUSSED IN YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY.
10	A.	The Turning Point Solar Project (the Project) is a planned solar energy generation
11		facility which will be built serially, in three phases over a period of approximately
12		three years, with a final nameplate capacity of 49.9 MW (Phase $1 - 20$ MW, Phase 2
13		- 15MW, Phase 3 - 14.9MW). The Project is expected to consist of approximately
14		250,000 high efficiency mono-crystalline photovoltaic solar panels which are to be
15		manufactured in Ohio at a new solar manufacturing facility. The Turning Point
16		Solar Project is the catalyst for the establishment of this factory.
17		The Project will also contain fixed solar racking equipment, a DC cabling
18		collection system, inverters to convert the DC electricity to AC electricity, step up
19		transformers and a Project substation (12.4kV to 69kV), a SCADA system and
20		other related equipment. The Project will be laid out in an east-west grid, facing
21		south and covering approximately 771 acres of land. The Project will also be
22		comprised of site improvements such as foundations, an O&M building, roads,
23		fences and drainage features for storm water management.

1 The Project will be located in Noble County, OH approximately 20 miles 2 southeast of Zanesville on reclaimed coal mine land. Subject to a PJM 3 interconnection study which is underway, it will interconnect to Ohio Power 4 Company's South Cumberland substation (69 kV) which is located approximately 5 one mile from the Project substation.

6 Q. WHO IS DEVELOPING THE PROJECT AND WHAT IS THE 7 DEVELOPER'S RELATIONSHIP TO AEP OHIO?

8 A. The Turning Point Solar Project is being developed by Turning Point Solar LLC 9 (the Developer), a joint venture between Agile Energy, Inc. and New Harvest 10 Ventures LLC. Agile Energy has a team of experienced power sector project 11 developers and is financially backed by Good Energies, Inc., one of the world's 12 leading private investors in renewable energy. New Harvest Ventures is a 13 renewable energy development company whose owners also co-founded Woodland 14 Venture Management, which manages regionally-focused venture capital funds in 15 the Appalachian and Great Lakes regions of the United States.

16 The Developer is not affiliated with AEP Ohio. Through the Participation 17 Agreement (Exhibit JFG-6), which is discussed in further detail herein, the 18 Developer and AEP Ohio have contractually agreed to cooperate in the 19 development of the Turning Point Solar Project.

As provided for in the Participation Agreement (Exhibit JFG-6), a special purpose entity, Turning Point Solar Generation LLC (TPS Generation), will be established for the purposes of acquiring the development assets from the Developer, and then building the Turning Point Solar Project. TPS Generation

would then lease the completed solar Project to AEP Ohio. It is anticipated that
there will be a separate 25-year capital lease for each completed phase. As lessee,
AEP Ohio will receive all of the output from the Project, including energy, capacity
and Solar Renewable Energy Credits (s-RECs) and will be considered the owner of
the Project for tax and consolidated accounting purposes.

6 Q. WHO WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OPERATION AND 7 MAINTENANCE OF THE PROPOSED TURNING POINT SOLAR 8 GENERATION FACILITY?

9 A. As the lessee under the contemplated Facilities Lease, Columbus Southern Power
10 Company and Ohio Power Company (collectively AEP Ohio or the Company) will
11 be solely responsible for the operation and maintenance of the planned Turning
12 Point Solar generating facility.

Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE OHIO JOBS WHICH WILL BE CREATED AS A RESULT OF THE TURNING POINT SOLAR PROJECT.

15 Α. As described in the Participation Agreement (Exhibit JFG-6), one of the conditions 16 to reaching financial closing of the Turning Point Solar Project is the assurance that 17 both new permanent and temporary jobs have been established as a result of the 18 Project. The Participation Agreement provides that one or more contracts related to 19 the Project with suppliers of solar modules, solar racking systems or inverters be 20 sourced from manufacturing facilities located within Ohio, and have indicated that 21 they will create approximately 300 permanent jobs. The Participation Agreement 22 also provides that the creation of approximately 300 temporary construction jobs 23 related to the construction and installation of the three phases of the Project is a

1 condition to closing. In addition to these direct jobs established in association with 2 the Turning Point Solar Project, it is anticipated that there will be additional 3 secondary jobs established or economic activity associated with the construction or 4 expansion of new Ohio manufacturing facilities as well as increased supply for 5 these new manufacturing facilities from related Ohio industries.

6

PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT

7 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE IN MORE DETAIL THE PARTICIPATION 8 AGREEMENT.

9 A. The Participation Agreement is an agreement between the Developer and AEP Ohio
10 that is intended to: provide for the continued development of the Project; establish
11 and identify the anticipated transaction structure, costs and schedule; identify and
12 set forth the other project agreements; and, define the obligations and duties of the
13 Parties.

14 The Participation Agreement sets forth the conditions that must be 15 satisfied in order to reach financial close, or successful implementation of the 16 Project. These conditions, some of which are explained in greater detail by various 17 AEP Ohio witnesses, are set forth in the Participation Agreement and include: (i) 18 the creation of approximately 300 permanent jobs (related to Ohio manufacturing 19 and sourcing) and 300 temporary construction jobs; (ii) receipt of an acceptable cost 20 recovery order issued by the PUCO authorizing AEP Ohio to recover all of its costs 21 associated with the Turning Point Solar Project through the non-bypassable 22 Generation Resource Rider (GRR); (iii) issuance by the IRS of a favorable Private 23 Letter Ruling; (iv) issuance of all required permits and approvals, including a

1favorable National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) order; (v) receipt of all2required governmental approvals, including FERC; (vi) execution of an acceptable3Interconnection Agreement with PJM; (vii) commitments for the project debt4financing; (viii) commitment for equity investment that would be needed in addition5to AEP Ohio's \$20 million equity commitment¹; and (ix) execution of all the related6project agreements.

7 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE IN MORE DETAIL THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN 8 THE PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT AND THE OTHER 9 CONTEMPLATED PROJECT AGREEMENTS.

10 A. A project of this magnitude involves a number of agreements that are negotiated 11 over time. Attached to the Participation Agreement (Exhibit JFG-6) are the forms 12 of various additional agreements associated with the Project. These agreements are 13 in an advanced form and anticipated to be further negotiated and refined as may be 14 required by project lenders and additional equity investors. The form project 15 agreements include:

- The Development Asset Purchase Agreement, which is the agreement
 under which TPS Generation will purchase the development assets from
 the Developer.
- 192. The Equity Contribution and Subscription Agreement. This agreement by20and among TPS Generation, AEP Ohio and the eventual additional equity

¹ Case No. 10-1261-EL-UNC the Commission accepted Columbus Southern Power's commitment to invest \$20M of equity in the Turning Point Solar Project In anticipation of the merger of Columbus Southern Power and Ohio Power by the time these equity investments are made, the investment is referred to as an AEP Ohio investment.

- investor(s) sets forth the parties equity contribution and investment
 obligations.
- 3. The First Amended and Restated Limited Liability Agreement. At
 financial close, this agreement will be implemented establishing the
 governance of TPS Generation.
- 4. Facilities Lease Agreement. AEP Ohio will, in three separate capital
 leases (one for each phase), lease the Project from TPS Generation for 25
 years. Under the capital leases, AEP Ohio will have all operations and
 maintenance responsibility, and receive all of the output (energy, capacity
 and Solar Renewable Energy Credits), and all of the federal tax benefits
 associated with the project as lessee under a capital lease.

12 Q. ARE THERE OTHER AGREEMENTS THAT ARE TYPICALLY 13 REACHED AS PART OF THE ONGOING PROCESS?

14 A. Yes. Other agreements will be needed as part of the transaction and will be15 developed prior to the transaction closing. For example:

- Construction Management Agreement. Pursuant to this agreement
 between TPS Generation and AEPSC to be entered into on or prior to
 Phase 1 Financial Close, AEPSC will provide TPS Generation with
 construction management services.
- Business Services Agreement. Pursuant to this agreement between TPS
 Generation and AEPSC, to be entered into on or prior to Phase 1 Financial
 Close, AEPSC will provide TPS Generation with general business services

1including corporate finance, accounting, regulatory and tax services and2corporate governance support.33. Other necessary agreements as required.

4 BALANCE OF PLANT CONSTRUCTION

5 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE MAJOR ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE

6 **BALANCE OF PLANT CONSTRUCTION.**

- 7 A. The major construction activities for the Turning Point Solar Project include:
- 8 1. Procurement and installation of the solar modules and racking equipment;
- 9 2. Installation of the collection system and DC-AC invertor stations;
- 3. Construction of the Project substation and the transmission line to the
 interconnection facilities; and
- 12 4. Construction of the O&M building and related facilities; and
- 13 5. Civil works, including roadwork, trenching and grading.

14 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE COST ESTIMATES FOR THE BALANCE

15

OF PLANT WERE DERIVED.

16 A. The Balance of Plant cost estimate was developed by engineering and design 17 consultant Black & Veatch using project assumptions supplied by the Developer. 18 Project assumptions included consideration of the current site location from a 19 geotechnical and solar irradiance perspective, technical information associated with 20 the proposed solar photovoltaic modules, and a general project schedule outlining 21 the targeted commercial operation dates for each of the Project's three phases. In 22 addition to these primary assumptions, Black & Veatch used its extensive experience to recommend other design input criteria required for developing a
 Balance of Plant cost estimate.

3 Q. ARE THERE PLANS TO COMPETITIVELY BID THE MAJOR 4 CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES?

5 The Developer and AEP Ohio plan to competitively bid the major A. Yes. 6 construction activities for the Turning Point Solar Project. Over the next 6 months, 7 the Developer plans to develop and conduct one or more Request for Proposals 8 (RFP) related to the major construction activities at the project. The Developer will 9 do so in consultation with AEP Ohio. After financial close, TPS Generation will 10 engage AEPSC to manage the construction of the project under the terms of a 11 Construction Management Agreement. Ohio businesses will have an open 12 opportunity to participate in the competitive bidding process.

13 Q. WHAT PROTECTION IS THERE AGAINST UNANTICIPATED COSTS?

14 The transaction is structured to minimize AEP Ohio's exposure to unanticipated A. 15 costs. Prior to financial close, material supply and balance of plant cost estimates 16 will have been more clearly identified. One of the conditions to financial close is 17 the issuance of a final order by the Commission authorizing AEP Ohio to recover 18 all costs associated with the Project through the nonbypassable surcharge. Should, 19 at financial close, AEP Ohio's identified costs for the Turning Point Solar Project 20 turn out to be beyond the level of recovery granted by the Commission, then the 21 Company is not required to close the transaction and proceed with the Project. The 22 Project is also structured in three separate phases, with three separate financial 23 closings. The same condition (actual costs not being in excess of the approved nonbypassable surcharge) also applies to the Company's obligation to proceed with
 the subsequent phases.

3 During the construction of each phase, AEPSC under a Construction 4 Management Agreement, will act as construction manager and will have the 5 opportunity to monitor and manage the project costs.

6 FINANCIAL STRUCTURE

7 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE GENERAL STRUCTURE OF THE PROJECT 8 AND THE RELATION BETWEEN THE OWNERS, LENDERS AND AEP 9 OHIO.

10 As discussed previously, the Turning Point Solar Project will be owned by Turning A. 11 Point Solar Generation LLC a special purpose entity, established to own and lease 12 each phase of the project to AEP Ohio under a series of three separate twenty five 13 (25) year capital leases. TPS Generation is expected to be capitalized via a 14 combination of debt from construction lenders, the Rural Utility Service ("RUS") 15 which is part of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, AEP Ohio and the Ohio Air 16 Quality Development Authority ("OAQDA"). The RUS funding will occur for each 17 phase after that phase has achieved commercial operation. Additionally, there will 18 be \$20 million dollars of equity investment sourced from AEP Ohio with the 19 additional balance to be sourced from a, yet unidentified, third party equity investor. 20 The initial capitalization of TPS Generation will be approximately 75% debt and 21 25% equity. A general diagram of the structure and the relationship of the parties 22 are set forth in Exhibit JFG-7.

1

2

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROPOSED INVESTMENT BY AEP OHIO IN TURNING POINT SOLAR GENERATION

3 As discussed above, the Turning Point Solar Project will be partially capitalized Α. with a \$20 million direct investment from AEP Ohio in accordance with the SEET 4 5 Order in Case No. 10-1261-EL-UNC. AEP Ohio's equity contribution will be 6 made in three separate contributions coinciding with the three project phases. The 7 projected revenue requirement calculation for the Turning Point Solar Project 8 assumes the equity contributions will be made at the financial close dates for the 9 three phases. AEP Ohio would intend, however, to make its required equity 10 contributions as late as possible in order to help minimize overall net revenue 11 requirements by optimizing the amount of lower cost construction debt during the 12 construction phase. Based on the stated terms of the Project agreements, AEP Ohio 13 requests confirmation from the Commission that the SEET order condition would 14 be fully satisfied if AEP Ohio's equity contributions are made at the financial close 15 dates for the phases or at some later point for each phase in connection with the 16 take-out of the construction financings.

17 Q. WILL ADDITIONAL EQUITY BE REQUIRED IN ORDER TO FULLY

- 18 CAPITALIZE THE PROJECT COMPANY?
- 19 A. Yes. In addition to the investment in TPS Generation by AEP Ohio, there will also20 be a need for third party equity.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE COMPANY INTENDS TO SECURE THIRD PARTY EQUITY FINANCING OVER AND ABOVE THE PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED EQUITY FINANCING FROM AEP OHIO.

A. Upon issuance of a favorable order by the Commission, establishing a non
 bypassable charge for the life of the Project, the Company will issue an equity
 offering memorandum to a select group of pre-qualified long-term investors for the
 purposes of securing the required additional equity financing to capitalize TPS
 Generation.

6 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE DEBT FINANCING THAT THE COMPANY IS 7 SEEKING FROM THE RUS.

8 A. The RUS has a number of programs which have been established to aid in the 9 development of power generation projects throughout rural America. Among the 10 many programs it offers, there are a variety of loan programs. One such RUS 11 program, the FFB Guaranteed Loan Program, provides financing to qualified 12 borrowers with qualified power sector infrastructure projects at an interest rate of 1/8 13 percent margin over U.S. Treasuries. A number of steps need to be undertaken in 14 order to apply for and receive an FFB Loan Obligation Letter, which is similar to a 15 loan commitment from the RUS.

16 Among them are:

Successful completion of all necessary NEPA permitting activities – A
 process which is already underway and made easier because the land in
 which the project is being built on is reclaimed coal mine land;

20 2. Successful completion of a technical due diligence review;

Satisfaction of the RUS credit requirements - Repayment of loan to TPS
 Generation is assured via the capital leases between AEP Ohio and TPS
 Generation; and

- 1
- 4. Negotiation of final loan documents.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE DEBT FINANCING THAT THE COMPANY IS SEEKING FROM THE OAQDA FOR TPS GENERATION.

A. The Developer applied for and obtained preliminary approval for a low interest
OAQDA 166 Direct Loan (Advanced Energy Job Stimulus Program) which will
make up approximately 5% of the total project debt. Of the total loan amount,
approximately 75% of the loan principal is forgivable if the conditions relating to
job commitment and the establishment of a manufacturing facility in Ohio are
satisfied.

10 The OAQDA has committed \$7.5 million of low cost debt to the Project, 11 which it will disburse one time upon the placed-in-service date of Phase 1 of the 12 Project. OAODA will verify that the Project has been placed in service by relying 13 on tax documents and/or engineering reports that exist along with a possible visit to 14 the Project. The loan is expected to close in August, 2011. Three years from the 15 closing of the loan, OAQDA will verify whether an agreed upon number of 16 construction jobs relating to Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the Project have been created. 17 If the requisite number of construction jobs have been created, 50% of the loan will 18 be forgivable. OAQDA will also verify whether manufacturing jobs had been 19 created as a result of TPS Generation's purchase order for solar modules and related 20 balance of plant equipment. If the OAQDA determines, in its sole judgment, that a 21 manufacturing facility has been established, another 25% of the loan will be 22 forgivable.

1 **Q**. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TERM LOAN AEP OHIO WILL MAKE TO TPS **GENERATION WHICH IS ASSOCIATED WITH THE TAX BENEFITS** 2 3 **AEP OHIO RECEIVES AS LESSEE UNDER A CAPITAL LEASE.**

- 4 A. As Company witness Kelley discusses in his Direct Testimony, AEP Ohio plans to 5 make loans to TPS Generation in an amount approximately equal to the cash tax 6 benefits which are received by AEP Ohio for IRC §48 investment tax credits as a 7 result of its ownership (for tax purposes) of the Turning Point Solar Project. As 8 also discussed by Company witness Kelley, the Federal tax benefits associated with 9 this renewable energy project are claimed by AEP Ohio since it is the lessee under a 10 tax capital lease for each phase. Company witness Kelley further notes that Internal 11 Revenue Service normalization rules preclude AEP Ohio from directly contributing 12 these tax benefits back up to TPS Generation at no cost. AEP Ohio will charge a 13 market interest rate to TPS Generation. This loan will lower TPS Generation's 14 overall weighted average cost of capital; thus lowering the overall revenue 15 requirement for the benefit of the customers.
- 16

SOLAR MODULES: MADE IN OHIO

17 0. PLEASE PROVIDE SOME BACKGROUND ON THE COMPANY WHICH 18 **IS ESTABLISHING NEW SOLAR MANUFACTURING FACILITIES IN** 19 OHIO.

20 A. Isofoton S.A., which is based in Malaga Spain, is in the process of establishing its 21 new United States solar module manufacturing facilities in Ohio. The company 22 was established in 1981 as a spin-off of a university project driven by Professor D. 23 Antonio Luque of the Polytechnic University of Madrid, its first Chairman of the

1		Board. Following a downturn in the Spanish solar market in 2008 and 2009, the
2		company was taken over in July of 2010 by Spanish developer Affirma (80%
3		ownership) and South Korean manufacturer of automation equipment TOPTEC
4		(20% ownership).
5		Today, Isofoton concentrates on three key business segments:
6		1) photovoltaic module sales and manufacturing,
7		2) single and dual axis tracker manufacturing, and
8		3) high concentration photovoltaic modules.
9	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROPOSED SOLAR MODULE PURCHASE
10		AGREEMENT BETWEEN ISOFOTON AND TPS GENERATION.
11	A.	While the final Module Supply Agreement between Isofoton and the Developer
12		(and later assigned to TPS Generation) is expected to take a number of months to
13		finalize, there is general agreement between the parties on many of the major
14		commercial terms. And while there is not an agreement on final pricing for the
15		panels, there is an agreement on an overall price cap, on a per watt basis, for each of
16		the three phases, which sets a maximum solar module price. This price cap was
17		used to estimate the revenue requirement to be included in the Generation Resource
18		Rider (GRR), which discussed in more detail by Company witness Nelson and
19		whose rates are detailed by Company witness Roush. AEP Ohio and the Developer
20		will continue to negotiate the price and terms of the final agreement with Isofoton.
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE BENEFIT OF THE PROPOSED PURCHASE OF SOLAR
22		MODULES FROM ISOFOTON?

• •

A. One of the highlights of the Turning Point Solar Project is that it serves as a catalyst
 for bringing new high-tech manufacturing facilities, and jobs, to the state of Ohio.
 This feature required that the Developer and AEP Ohio enter into bilateral
 negotiations with Isofoton for the purchase of solar modules for the project.

5 FACILITY LEASE AND GRR

6 Q. WHAT WOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE FACILITIES LEASE(S) FOR THE 7 GENERATING FACILITY BETWEEN THE TPS GENERATION AND AEP 8 OHIO?

9 By leasing the solar generating facility from the TPS Generation, AEP Ohio would A. 10 be entitled to 100% of the electrical output (MWh) from the facility, all of the 11 facility's capacity value in PJM, and all of the environmental attributes, including 12 s-RECs, produced by the facility. As the Lessee under the contemplated Facilities 13 Lease(s), and much like a triple net lease on a building, AEP Ohio will be solely 14 responsible for all costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the 15 facility. Additionally, AEP Ohio would be responsible for the payment of local 16 property taxes and would receive all local, state, and federal tax benefits which 17 would serve to reduce the revenue requirements of the Turning Point Solar Project, 18 as more fully discussed by Company witness Kelley.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE INPUT YOU HAD IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COST ESTIMATES WHICH WERE INCORPORATED INTO THE FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE GENERATION RESOURCE RIDER.

A. Based on information provided by the Developer, I provided guidance on inputs to
 the financial pro formas in several areas including i) capital expenses (CAPEX), ii)
 certain operating expenses (OPEX), iii) estimated annual energy production, iv)
 project schedule, v) development costs, and vi) certain structuring aspects.

5 The CAPEX assumptions were derived from a combination of 1) the 6 previously discussed price caps for the purchase of solar modules negotiated with 7 Isofoton, 2) the comprehensive balance of plant estimate prepared by the 8 Developer's consulting engineer Black and Veatch, and 3) the estimated 9 development costs of the Developer and AEP Ohio.

10 The OPEX assumptions consist of direct O&M costs estimated for the 11 Project combined with an estimate for accounting, tax preparation and business 12 related expenses performed by AEPSC in accordance with a Business Services 13 Agreement on behalf of TPS Generation.

The annual energy production estimates were derived from a study prepared by Black & Veatch for the Developer based on their analysis of the historical and the expected solar intensity at the project site and the resulting expected production from the project using the Isofoton solar modules.

18 The development costs for the project include costs for engineering,
19 permitting, legal and other related internal costs incurred by both the Developer and
20 AEP Ohio.

- 21
- 22
- 23

1 AEP OHIO NEED FOR SOLAR GENERATION

Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE NEED FOR ADDITIONAL SOLAR GENERATION BY AEP OHIO.

A AEP Ohio secured its first large scale acquisition of solar renewable energy via its
long-term Renewable Energy Purchase Agreement with Wyandot Solar LLC, a 10.1
MW solar project located in Wyandot County near the city of Upper Sandusky.
Although this project is providing a much needed supply of Solar Renewable
Energy Credits (s-RECs), the increasing renewable energy benchmarks set forth in
S.B. 221 require that additional solar resources must be secured in the coming years
in order for the Company to comply.

11 As stated in the Supplement to the Long-Term Forecast for AEP Ohio filed 12 December 20, 2010, Case No. 10-501-EL-FOR and 10-502-EL-FOR, the Company 13 needs a total of approximately 100 MW of solar resources to be online by 2020.

14 Q. HOW WILL THE PROPOSED TURNING POINT SOLAR PROJECT 15 ASSIST AEP OHIO IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE SOLAR RENEWABLE

16 ENERGY BENCHMARKS ESTABLISHED BY SB 221?

A. AEP Ohio has the obligation of meeting increasing annual renewable energy
benchmarks specific to solar energy. As shown in the 2010 LTFR Supplement filed
December 20, 2010, the solar specific portion of the renewable energy benchmarks
established by SB 221 increase annually over the life of AEP Ohio's previously
filed ten-year compliance plan.

The renewable generation produced by the proposed new solar generation Project will allow AEP Ohio to continue to meet its annually increasing solar

benchmarks. Due to the still developing market for Ohio s-RECs, the Company
 believes it is prudent to procure its renewable energy needs through options that not
 only meet current needs, but also bring manufacturing and construction jobs to
 Ohio.

5 Q. WHAT WAS THE CONCLUSION CONTAINED IN THE 2010 LTFR 6 SUPPLEMENT?

A. As indicated on page 11 of the 2010 LTFR Supplement, a new generation resource
such as the proposed Turning Point Solar Project is consistent with fulfilling AEP
Ohio's needs with regards to complying with the near-term solar resource
compliance requirements contained in SB 221.

11 Q. WHAT DOES AEP OHIO PROPOSE TO DO WITH EXCESS RECS 12 PRODUCED BY THE PROPOSED TURNING POINT SOLAR PROJECT?

13 A. Solar RECs that are in excess of AEP Ohio's annual solar benchmark obligations 14 under SB 221 can be banked for future years' compliance requirements. Since the 15 solar benchmarks increase annually, any s-RECs that are "banked" in the short-run 16 would eventually be depleted if no additional resources are added beyond the 17 Turning Point Solar Project. Nevertheless, should AEP Ohio choose to sell some of 18 the banked s-RECs any realized revenues from any such sales will be credited 19 100% towards reducing the total costs incurred in the GRR for the benefit of the 20 Ohio customers.

1

2 CONCLUSION

3 Q. IN YOUR OPINION, SHOULD THE COMMISSION APPROVE THE 4 PROPOSED TURNING POINT SOLAR PROJECT DESCRIBED HEREIN 5 FOR AEP OHIO TO MEET ITS SOLAR ENERGY BENCHMARKS 6 ESTABLISHED BY SB 221?

A. Yes. The need for additional solar generation in Ohio exists. Significant efforts
have been made in the referenced agreements to minimize costs and risks while
maintaining flexibility to benefit from additional cost reductions. Pursuing this
project is a prudent option for AEP Ohio, particularly since the Turning Point Solar
project spurs the Ohio solar manufacturing industry (rather than buying modules
from other countries) in a manner consistent with SB 221.

13 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT 14 TESTIMONY?

15 A. Yes, it does.

Exhibit JFG-6

[Separately bound due to size of exhibit]

•

Development Agency Power Company (CSP) **Ohio Air Quality** Public Utilities Commission **Columbus Southern** Generation Resource Rider O&M expenses, tax payments Term Debt Includes: Lease Payments, Equity ~ (25%) of Ohio (PUCO) **3rd Party** and benefits (GRR) Proposed 49.9 MW Turning Point Solar Project Investment of and on Return multiple construction phases or construction financing Term Debt **AEP** Ohio Term Debt ~ (75%) Payments To TPSG Note: For simplicity, no illustrations made for Lease TPS Generation (TPSG) (Will perform O&M activities) AEP Ohio (CSP / OP) Lessee Lessor USDA / Rural Utility Service 100% Energy õ & RECs **Term Debt** (RUS) assets to TPSG at Financial Close and post PUCO TPS sells predevelopment construction approval project **Turning Point Solar LLC** Purchase Agmt. with PJM & OP Interconnection Developer Environmental Land Lease Solar Panel **BOP / EPC** (TPS) with OP Permits Agmt. nototosi АЧЭИ

Exhibit JFG-7

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Supplemental Direct Testimony of Jay F. Godfrey on behalf of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company has been served upon the below-named counsel via First Class mail, postage prepaid, this 1st day of July, 2011.

Steven T. Nourse

William L. Wright Werner L. Margard III Stephen A. Reilly Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Samuel C. Randazzo Joseph E. Oliker Frank P. Darr McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Thomas J. O'Brien Terrence O'Donnell Christopher Montgomery Lisa G. McAlister Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291

John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Zachary D. Kravitz Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215 Terry Etter Michael E. Idzkowski Maureen R. Grady Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus OH 43215

Dorothy K. Corbett Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC 139 East Fourth Street 1303-Main Cincinnati Ohio 45202

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620

Colleen L. Mooney David C. Rinebolt Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45840

James F. Lang Laura C. McBride N. Trevor Alexander Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 1400 KeyBank Center 800 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215

Jay E. Jadwin AEP Retail Energy Partners LLC 155 West Nationwide Blvd, Suite 500 Columbus, OH 43215

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Michael J. Settineri Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street Columbus, OH 43215

Glen Thomas 1060 First Avenue, Ste. 400 King of Prussia, PA 19406

Laura Chappelle 4218 Jacob Meadows Okemos, MI 48864

David I. Fein Constellation Energy Group, Inc. 550 W. Washington Blvd., Ste. 300 Chicago, IL 60661

Cynthia Former Brady Constellation Energy Resources, LLC 550 W. Washington Blvd., Ste. 300 Chicago, IL 60661

William L. Massey Covington & Burling, LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004

Joel Malina COMPETE Coalition 1317 F Street, NW, Ste 600 Washington, DC 20004 Henry W. Eckhart Natural Resources Defense Council 1200 Chambers Road, Ste 106 Columbus, OH 43212

Shannon Fisk Senior Attorney Natural Resources Defense Council 2 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 2250 Chicago, IL 60606

Christopher L. Miller Gregory H. Dunn Asim Z. Haque Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA 250 West Street Columbus, OH 43215

Sandy I-ru Grace Exelon Business Services Company 101 Constitution Avenue NW Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20001

Jesse A. Rodriguez Exelon Generation Company, LLC 300 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348

Kenneth P. Kreider Keating Muething & Klekamp PLL One East Fourth Street Suite 1400 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Holly Rachel Smith Holly Rachel Smith, PLLC Hitt Business Center 3803 Rectortown Road Marshall, VA 20115

Steve W. Chriss Manager, State Rate Proceedings Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. 2011 SE 10th Street Bentonville, AR 72716-0550 Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927

Gary A. Jeffries Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817

Tara C. Santarelli Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212

E. Camille Yancey Nolan Moser Trent A. Dougherty Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449

Douglas G. Bonner Emma F. Hand Keith C. Nusbaum SNR Denton US LLP 1301 K Street NW Ste. 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005

Philip B. Sineneng Thompson Hine LLP 41 S. High Street, Ste. 1700 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Gregory J. Poulos EnerNOC, Inc. 101 Federal Street, Ste. 1100 Boston, MA 02110