```
1
         BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
 2
                              _ _ _
 3
     In the Matter of the
    Application of Calisolar, :
    Inc. for Approval of a :Case No. 11-2336-EL-AEC
 4
    Unique Arrangement for
    Calisolar, Inc.'s Ontario,:
 5
    Ohio, Facility.
 6
 7
 8
                          PROCEEDINGS
 9
    before Mr. Gregory Price and Mr. Jonathan Tauber,
    Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities
10
    Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C,
11
12
    Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:30 a.m. on Tuesday, June
13
    14, 2011.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
                222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
23
                     Columbus, Ohio 43215
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
                      Fax - (614) 224-5724
24
25
```

2 1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry By Mr. Michael L. Kurtz 3 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 4 On behalf of Colisolar. 5 6 FirstEnergy By Mr. James W. Burk 7 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308 8 On behalf of Cleveland Electric 9 Illuminating, Ohio Edison, and Toledo Edison. 10 11 Mike DeWine Ohio Attorney General By Mr. Thomas W. McNamee 12 Assistant Attorney General 13 Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 14 On behalf of the Staff. 15 16 - - -17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

INDEX _ _ _ WITNESSES PAGE Robert B. Fortney Direct examination by _ _ _ JOINT EXHIBITS ID'D REC'D 1 - Stipulation and Recommendation 7 and Request for Expedited Decision _ _ _

1 Tuesday Morning Session, June 14, 2011. 2 3 EXAMINER TAUBER: The Public Utilities 4 5 Commission of Ohio has called for hearing at this 6 time and place Case No. 11-2336-EL-AEC, being In the 7 Matter of the Application of Calisolar, Inc. for 8 Approval of Unique Arrangement for Calisolar, Inc.'s 9 Ontario, Ohio, Facility. 10 My name is Jonathan Tauber, with me is 11 Gregory Price, and we're the Attorney Examiners 12 assigned to this hearing. 13 At this point in time if we could have 14 appearances of the parties. 15 MR. KURTZ: Thank you, your Honor. On 16 behalf of Calisolar, Mike Kurtz, Boehm, Kurtz & 17 Lowry, 1510 URS Center, Cincinnati, Ohio. MR. BURK: On behalf of Ohio Edison 18 19 Company, James W. Burk, 76 South Main Street, Akron, 20 Ohio, 44308. 21 MR. McNAMEE: On behalf of the staff of 2.2 the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Michael 23 DeWine, Attorney General of the State of Ohio, and 24 I'm Thomas W. McNamee, Assistant Attorney General, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio. 25

1 EXAMINER TAUBER: Thank you. 2 Are there any preliminary matters to come before the Commission this morning? 3 4 MR. KURTZ: Yes, your Honor, thank you. 5 On April 7, Calisolar filed a motion for a protective 6 order with respect to the application that was filed in the case. 7 8 Subsequent to the application, a 9 stipulation was filed that should also contain confidential information, so we would move at this 10 11 time that the protective order apply to both the 12 application and the stipulation. 13 EXAMINER TAUBER: Are there any 14 objections? 15 MR. MCNAMEE: None. 16 MR. BURK: None. 17 EXAMINER TAUBER: The motion shall be granted. There will be a protective order for the 18 19 information redacted in the stipulation. That should 20 be under protective order for 18 months. And looking 21 at the date, that would be December 14, 2012. 2.2 And I understand at this point in time a 23 stipulation has been docketed on the record? 24 MR. McNAMEE: Yes, your Honor. A 25 stipulation was filed in the case on May 31. We

1 believe that it resolves all issues in the case amongst all three parties, and at this time we'd be 2 3 prepared to put on a witness to sponsor that 4 stipulation. 5 EXAMINER TAUBER: Proceed. 6 MR. McNAMEE: All right. At this time we 7 would call Robert Fortney. 8 (Witness sworn.) 9 10 ROBERT B. FORTNEY 11 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 12 examined and testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 By Mr. McNamee: 15 Q. Mr. Fortney, can you state and spell your name for the record, please? 16 17 Α. Robert B. Fortney, F, as in Frank, 18 o-r-t-n-e-y. 19 What's your business address? Q. 20 Α. 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215. 21 2.2 Ο. By whom are you employed and in what 23 capacity? 24 Α. I'm employed by the Public Utilities 25 Commission of Ohio as a public utilities

1 administrator. 2 MR. McNAMEE: Your Honor, at this time 3 I'd ask to have a multi-page document filed in this 4 case on May 31 denominated Stipulation and 5 Recommendation and Request for Expedited Decision, 6 marked for identification as Joint Exhibit 1. 7 EXAMINER TAUBER: It shall be so marked. 8 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 9 Mr. Fortney, do you have before you Ο. what's just been marked as Joint Exhibit 1? 10 11 Α. Yes. What is it? 12 Ο. 13 It's the stipulation and recommendation Α. 14 signed by the staff, Calisolar, and FirstEnergy, 15 regarding the application of Calisolar for a 16 reasonable arrangement. 17 Ο. Are you familiar with that document, sir? 18 Α. Yes, I am. 19 In what way are you familiar with it? Q. 20 Were you involved in its creation? 21 I was involved in negotiations and the Α. 2.2 review of the draft documents. 23 Good. And, Mr. Fortney, is the Ο. 24 stipulation a product of serious bargaining amongst 25 capable and knowledgeable parties?

1	A. Yes, I believe it certainly is. The
2	primary negotiator for FirstEnergy was Eileen
3	Mikkelsen, who is their director of regulatory
4	affairs. Primary negotiator early on for Calisolar
5	was David Stickler, later on it was Michael Kurtz.
6	And for the staff there was a negotiating
7	team consisting of Kim Bojko, who was at that time
8	chief of staff, and department directors Kim Wisman
9	and Jody Bear and myself and other staff members.
10	Q. Okay.
11	A. If you don't believe the bargaining was
12	serious, then you have never met Mr. Stickler.
13	Q. You know all these individuals and you
14	believe that they're capable and knowledgeable?
15	A. Yes, I do.
16	Q. Taken as a package does the stipulation
17	benefit ratepayers and is it in the public interest?
18	A. Yes, sure does.
19	Q. In what way?
20	A. Calisolar is planning to enter a former
21	GM plant in Ontario, Ohio, that is empty, what we
22	call Round Field site. They expect to hire between
23	830 and 1,100 employees. They expect to make 752
24	million in capital investment to revitalize the
25	plant.

1 And along with that all the secondary and 2 tertiary benefits that come about from having the job 3 along with the state, local, and school tax dollars. 4 The terms of the stipulation are Q. 5 important in achieving that end. 6 It will allow Calisolar to reopen that Α. 7 plant. Yes. 8 Ο. Does the stipulation violate any 9 regulatory principle or practice? 10 No, to the contrary. I think it supports Α. 11 state policy. It will -- Calisolar will have 12 products that they will sell internationally. They 13 have also volunteered to contribute their energy efficiency programs and demand response programs to 14 15 FirstEnergy. They've also agreed to build a 16 demonstration solar plant that FirstEnergy can use as 17 solar regs. 18 EXAMINER PRICE: When you say they're 19 going to commit their energy efficiency and peak 20 demand production to FirstEnergy, they're doing that 21 without any further compensation beyond --2.2 THE WITNESS: That's my understanding, 23 yes. 24 EXAMINER PRICE: Thank you. MR. McNAMEE: With that, your Honors, I 25

1 have no further questions. 2 EXAMINER TAUBER: Mr. Kurtz, do you have any questions? 3 4 MR. KURTZ: No questions, your Honor. 5 MR. BURK: No questions, your Honor. 6 MR. McNAMEE: Your Honor, I would ask that the Joint Exhibit 1 be admitted. 7 8 EXAMINER TAUBER: Are there any 9 objections? 10 (No response.) 11 Hearing none, Joint Exhibit 1 will be 12 admitted at this time. 13 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 14 MR. McNAMEE: At this point, your Honor, I think that would resolve all the issues that I'm 15 16 aware of in the case, and we have nothing further to 17 present. 18 EXAMINER TAUBER: Is there anything else 19 to come before the Commission this morning? 20 (No response.) 21 EXAMINER TAUBER: Hearing none, this hearing shall be adjourned. Thank you. 2.2 23 (Hearing adjourned at 10:36 a.m.) 24 25

		11
1	CERTIFICATE	
2	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a	
3	true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken	
4	by me in this matter on Tuesday, June 14, 2011, and	
5	carefully compared with my original stenographic	
6	notes.	
7		
8	Tulicoppo Hoppehent Desistand	
9	Julieanna Hennebert, Registered Professional Reporter and RMR and	
10	Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.	
11		
12	My commission expires February 19, 2013.	
13	(JUL-1735)	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/28/2011 10:09:51 AM

in

Case No(s). 11-2336-EL-AEC

Summary: Transcript Transcript of Calisolar, Inc. hearing held on 06/14/11 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Hennebert, Julieanna Mrs.