

FILE

BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke) Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO
Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a)
Standard Service Offer Pursuant to)
§4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of)
an Electric Security Plan, Accounting)
Modifications and Tariffs for Generation)
Service.)

In the Matter of the Application of Duke) Case No. 11-3550-EL-ATA
Energy for Authority to Amend its Certified)
Supplier Tariff)

In the Matter of the Application of Duke) Case No. 11-3551-EL-UNC
Energy for Authority to Amend its Corporate)
Separation Plan)

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2011 JUN 27 PM 12:34
PUCO

MOTION TO INTERVENE
BY THE
OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL

The Ohio Environmental Council ("OEC") moves to intervene in the above captioned case, in which the Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke Energy Ohio or the "Company") submits its Application for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to ORC §4928.143, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan ("Application"). As more fully discussed in the accompanying memorandum, the OEC has a real and substantial interest in this proceeding to ensure that energy efficiency resource, renewable and advanced energy resource, Peak Demand Reduction ("PDR") projects and associated recovery riders are deployed in this state according to the clear requirements and timetables provided by Senate Bill 221 ("S.B. 221") and to ensure that this Application is properly scrutinized in light of the letter and intent of S.B. 221. The interests of the OEC, Ohio's largest non-profit environmental advocacy organization, are not


This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician se Date Processed 6/27/2011

represented by any existing party. The OEC's participation in this proceeding will contribute to a just and expeditious resolution of the issues involved without unduly delaying the proceeding or unjustly prejudicing any existing party.

Accordingly, the OEC hereby moves to intervene in this proceeding pursuant to R.C. 4903.221 and O.A.C. 4901-1-11.

WHEREFORE, the OEC respectfully requests that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio grants the OEC's motion to intervene for these reasons and those set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,



Trent A. Dougherty, Counsel of Record

Nolan Moser

E. Camille Yancey

Ohio Environmental Council

1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201

Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449

(614) 487-7506 – Telephone

(614) 487-7510 – Fax

trent@theoec.org

nolan@theoec.org

camille@theoec.org

Attorneys for the OEC

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Duke) Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO
Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a)
Standard Service Offer Pursuant to)
§4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of)
an Electric Security Plan, Accounting)
Modifications and Tariffs for Generation)
Service.)

In the Matter of the Application of Duke) Case No. 11-3550-EL-ATA
Energy for Authority to Amend its Certified)
Supplier Tariff)

In the Matter of the Application of Duke) Case No. 11-3551-EL-UNC
Energy for Authority to Amend its Corporate)
Separation Plan)

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO INTERVENE
BY THE
OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL**

R.C. Section 4903.221 provides that any “person who may be adversely affected by a public utilities commission proceeding may intervene in such proceeding.” The OEC is a non-profit, charitable organization comprised of a network of over 100 affiliated member groups whose mission is to secure a healthier environment for all Ohioans. Throughout its 40-year history, the OEC has been a leading advocate for fresh air, clean water, and sustainable land and energy use. The OEC was an active participant in the effort that led to the passage of S.B. 221, including the inclusion of energy efficiency and AER benchmarks. The OEC has a real and substantial interest in Duke Energy Ohio’s Application. Duke Energy Ohio’s energy efficiency,

renewable energy and peak demand reduction programs will impact the amount of energy efficiency and renewable energy implemented by Duke Energy Ohio, which will directly affect Ohio's air quality and environment. Therefore, the OEC has a substantial interest in assuring an effective assessment of Duke Energy Ohio's Application.

R.C. 4903.221(B) outlines four factors that the Commission shall consider when ruling on a motion to intervene in a proceeding. First, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221(B)(1), the Commission shall consider "the nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest." The OEC has several distinct interests in the disposition of this case. First, OEC is interested in the achievement of maximum cost-effective energy efficiency and renewable energy implementation. The disposition of this case will influence how Duke Energy Ohio pursues energy efficiency and renewable energy resources. Additionally, the OEC has an interest in the company's proposal to sell energy that is produced by its legacy generating assets. cost-recovery that they are seeking to collect for the installation of pollution controls on electric generating units, as well as cost recovery for costs associated with the closure of electric generating units. The OEC, as an environmental advocacy organization, has a special interest in the outcome of this case because of the direct impact on the longevity of Duke's coal fleet, and thus, this case could have on the emissions profile of Duke Energy Ohio.

Second, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221(B)(2), the Commission shall consider "the legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case." Although the OEC does not outline detailed legal arguments in this section, the OEC maintains that Duke Energy Ohio's Application should be properly scrutinized by interested parties to ensure that it includes accurate assessments of Duke Energy Ohio's energy efficiency and renewable energy programs and calculations that comply with S.B. 221.

Third, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221(B)(3), the Commission shall consider “whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.” The OEC has significant experience dealing with electric utilities questions before the Commission and will not seek to unduly delay the proceeding. The OEC has been consistently involved in the development and enactment of S.B. 221 and the associated rules, including as a party in numerous cases before the Commission. The OEC’s intervention will not unduly prolong or delay these proceedings; to the contrary, the OEC’s expertise and unique interest will add value to the development of this case.

Fourth, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221(B)(4), the Commission shall consider “whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.” The OEC has actively participated in the implementation of the efficiency and renewable energy benchmarks established by S.B. 221 and in numerous other matters before the Commission. As an active participant in cases before the Commission, the OEC has developed expertise that will contribute to the full development of the legal questions involved in this proceeding. Finally, as Ohio’s leading environmental advocate, the OEC will be able to assure that the environmental impacts of resource planning are fully developed.

The OEC also satisfies the intervention requirements outlined in the Commission’s rules. The criteria for intervention established by O.A.C. 4901-1-11(A) are identical to those provided by R.C. 4903.221, with the exception that the rules add a fifth factor that the Commission shall consider when ruling on a motion to intervene. Pursuant to O.A.C. 4901-1-11(A)(5), the Commission shall consider “the extent to which the [intervenor’s] interest is represented by existing parties.” The OEC’s interest is not fully represented by the existing parties. The OEC is the leading advocate for Ohio’s environment. No other party to this proceeding has the mission


of securing healthy air for all Ohioans, and no other party has been a continuous participant in cases before the Commission for the specific purpose of furthering this mission.

Finally, we point out that it is the Commission's stated policy "to encourage the broadest possible participation in its proceedings."¹ The Commission should not apply its intervention criteria in a manner that would favor one environmental or consumer advocate to the exclusion of others.

The OEC meets all the criteria established by R.C. 4903.221 and O.A.C. 4901-1-11(A)(5) and therefore should be granted leave to intervene in this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, the OEC respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion to intervene in the above captioned matter.

DATE: JUNE 27, 2011



Trent A. Dougherty, Counsel of Record
Nolan Moser
E. Camille Yancey
Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449
(614) 487-7506 – Telephone
(614) 487-7510 – Fax
trent@theoec.org
nolan@theoec.org
camille@theoec.org

Attorneys for the OEC

¹ *Cleveland Elec. Illum. Co.*, Case No. 85-675-EL-AIR, Entry dated January 14, 1986, at 2.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following parties by first class or electronic mail this 27th day of June, 2011.


Counsel for Ohio Environmental Council

Elizabeth Watts
Assistant General Counsel
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
155 East Broad Street, 21st Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energyv.com

William Wright
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Samuel C. Randazzo
Joseph E. Olikier
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
joliker@mwncmh.com
Counsel for IEU-Ohio

Ohio Consumers' Counsel
Jeffery T. Small
10 W. Broad Street
Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
small@occ.state.oh.us

Michael L. Kurtz
David F. Boehm
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com
dboehm@BKLawfirm.com
Counsel for Ohio Energy Users

Thomas J. O'Brien
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4291
tobrien@bricker.com
Counsel for City of Cincinnati

Colleen L. Mooney
231 West Lima Street
Findlay, Ohio 45839
cmooney2@columbus.rr.com
Counsel for OP&E