

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Complaint of the)	
Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Stand)	
Energy Corporation, Incorporated,)	
Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council,)	
and Ohio Farm Bureau Federation,)	
)	
Complainants,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 10-2395-GA-CSS
)	
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On October 21, 2010, the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC), Border Energy, Inc. (Border), Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council (NOPEC), Stand Energy Corporation (Stand), and the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation (OFBF) filed a complaint, alleging that, among other things, Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. (IGS) d/b/a Columbia Retail Energy has engaged in marketing, solicitation, sales acts, or practices that are unfair, misleading, deceptive, or unconscionable. By entry issued February 28, 2011, MXenergy (MX) was granted leave to join the complaint. On March 16, 2011, and May 13, 2011, respectively, Border and MX withdrew from the case.
- (2) On November 12, 2010, IGS filed its answer denying the allegations contained in the complaint and asserting that it has complied with all statutory and regulatory requirements.
- (3) A settlement conference was held on March 28, 2011. However, the parties were unable to reach a settlement.
- (4) The attorney examiner finds the following procedural schedule should apply to this case:
 - (a) September 13, 2011 - A prehearing conference will be held at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission,

180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio
43215-3793.

- (b) September 13, 2011 - Deadline for each party to file a list of witnesses it anticipates calling at the hearing.
- (c) September 27, 2011 - Deadline for the filing of stipulations of facts, and direct expert and nonexpert testimony by the parties.
- (d) October 4, 2011 - The hearing will commence at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 180 East Broad Street, 11th floor, Room 11-C Columbus, Ohio 43215.
- (5) As is the case in all Commission complaint proceedings, the complainants have the burden of proving the allegations of the complaint. *Grossman v. Public Util. Comm.*, (1966), 5 Ohio St.2d 189.

It is, therefore,


ORDERED, That the parties adhere to the procedural schedule set forth in Finding (4). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO




By: Katie L. Stenman
Attorney Examiner

 dah

Entered in the Journal

JUN 16 2011



Betty McCauley
Secretary