

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of The)	
Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland)	Case No. 11-2479-EL-ACP
Electric Illuminating Company and The)	
Toledo Edison Company for a Force)	
Majeure Determination.)	

**MOTION TO INTERVENE
BY
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL**

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where certain Ohio electric utilities have applied for a force majeure determination to be excused from meeting the standard in Ohio law for use of solar power.¹ OCC is filing on behalf of all the approximately 1.9 million residential utility customers of the Ohio Edison Company, the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and the Toledo Edison Company (collectively "FirstEnergy" or "Companies"). The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission" or "PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER
CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Christopher J. Allwein

Christopher J. Allwein, Counsel of Record

Jeffrey L. Small

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

Telephone: (614) 466-8574

allwein@occ.state.oh.us

small@occ.state.oh.us

In the Matter of the Application of The)
Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland) Case No. 11-2479-EL-ACP
Electric Illuminating Company and The)
Toledo Edison Company for a Force)
Majeure Determination.)

This case involves the review of a force majeure request related to the solar energy benchmark in Ohio Revised Code 4928.64(B)(2). The application was jointly submitted by the Companies. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all the approximately 1.9 million residential utility customers of FirstEnergy, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential customers may be “adversely affected” by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding where the Companies are requesting a force majeure determination and a waiver of statutory solar benchmarks for the second time in one year and for the second year in a row. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;

- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of FirstEnergy in this case involving solar benchmarks from which these customers and other Ohio citizens were intended to benefit. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that customers should be provided with a diversity of electric supplies and suppliers,² and that alternative energy resources implemented by the Companies must include Ohio solar energy resources.³ OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information

² R.C.4928.02(C)

³ R.C.4928.64(B)(2)

that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a “real and substantial interest” according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where the utilities’ level of compliance with the statutory standard for solar energy is under review.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the “extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in ruling on an appeal in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its intervention. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s intervention and that OCC should have been granted intervention.⁴

⁴ See *Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20 (2006).

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER
CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Christopher J. Allwein

Christopher J. Allwein, Counsel of Record
Jeffrey L. Small
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

Telephone: (614) 466-8574

allwein@occ.state.oh.us

small@occ.state.oh.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this *Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel* was served on the persons stated below *via* electronic transmission, this 10th day of June 2011.

/s/ Christopher J. Allwein

Christopher J. Allwein
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

Kathy J. Kolich
Carrie M. Dunn
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308
kjkolich@firstenergycorp.com
cdunn@firstenergycorp.com

Theodore Robinson
Citizen Power
2121 Murray Avenue
Pittsburgh, Ohio 15217
robinson@citizenpower.com

Tara C. Santarelli
Environmental Law & Policy Center
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43212
tsantarelli@elpc.org

David F. Boehm
Michael L. Kurtz
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
dboehm@BKLawfirm.com
mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com

William L. Wright
Attorney General's Office
Public Utilities Section
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
william.wright@puc.state.oh.us

Lisa G. McAlister
Matthew W. Warnock
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
lmcalister@bricker.com
mwarnock@bricker.com

Christopher Montgomery
Terrence O'Donnell
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
todonnel@bricker.com
cmontgomery@bricker.com

Michael K. Lavanga
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street N.W.
West Tower, 8th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20007
mkl@bbrslaw.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/10/2011 10:31:36 AM

in

Case No(s). 11-2479-EL-ACP

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Mary Edwards on behalf of Allwein, Christopher J. and Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel