

BAILEY CAVALIERI LLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

One Columbus 10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3422 telephone 614.221.3155 facsimile 614.221.0479 www.baileycavalieri.com

MIJUN DOCKETING

direct dial: 614.229.3278 email: William.Adams@BaileyCavalieri.com

June 1, 2011

Ms. Betty McCauley Docketing Division Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 13th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Re:

In the Matter of the Application of Windstream Ohio, Inc. for a Determination Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code 4927.12(C)(3), Case No. 11-3235-TP-ATA

In the Matter of the Application of Windstream Western Reserve, Inc. for a Determination Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code 4927.12(C)(3), Case No.11-3236-TP-ATA

Dear Ms. McCauley:

Enclosed are the original and fifteen (15) copies of the Motion for Protective Order of Windstream Ohio, Inc. and Windstream Western Reserve, Inc. for filing in the above matters, along with three (3) unredacted copies of the designated confidential information to be filed under seal. Please time stamp the extra copies of the Motion for Protective Order and the confidential information being filed under seal, and return them to our courier.

Thank you for your assistance.

Very truly yours,

BAJILEY CAVALJERI LLO

William A. Adams

WAA/sg

Enclosures



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

PECE.	
2011 11/2	0.00
TOI, SIVE	PH 3: 30
~//~	PH 3:30 OIL
, C	

In the Matter of the Application of Windstream Ohio, Inc. for a Determination Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code 4927.12(C)(3)	Case No. 11-3235-TP-ATA	, ,
In the Matter of the Application of Windstream Western Reserve, Inc. for a Determination Pursuant) to Ohio Revised Code 4927.12(C)(3)	Case No. 11-3236-TP-ATA	÷

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OF WINDSTREAM OHIO, INC. AND WINDSTREAM WESTERN RESERVE, INC.

Windstream Ohio, Inc. and Windstream Western Reserve, Inc. (hereinafter collectively, "Windstream"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's Rules, move for a protective order keeping confidential the designated confidential and/or proprietary information contained in the sealed filing accompanying this motion. The reasons underlying this motion are detailed in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted

William A. Adams, Counsel of Record

BAILEY CAVALIERI LLC

10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100

Columbus, OH 43215-3422

(614) 229-3278 (telephone)

(614) 221-0479 (fax)

William.Adams@baileycavalieri.com

Attorneys for Windstream Ohio, Inc. and

Windstream Western Reserve, Inc.

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Windstream requests that the confidential and/or proprietary information filed under seal in the accompanying filing (along with any and all copies, including electronic copies) be protected from public disclosure. The confidential information consists of numbers of lifeline customers, and reimbursed and unreimbursed financial information relating to serving those customers. This constitutes Windstream confidential trade secret information and is deserving of protection. A redacted public version of this spreadsheet was filed on public record on May 31, 2011 in these cases.

The information set forth in this filing is clearly competitively sensitive trade secret information. Public disclosure of this information would impair Windstream's ability to respond to competitive opportunities in the marketplace, and would provide competitors with an unfair competitive advantage. The information will be made available to other parties in this case pursuant to an appropriate protective agreement.

Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. As set forth herein, state law prohibits the release of the information which is the subject of this motion. Moreover, the non-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 49. The

Commission and its Staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. No purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information.

The need to protect the designated information from public disclosure is clear, and there is compelling legal authority supporting the requested protective order. While the Commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read <u>in pari materia</u> with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, February 17, 1982). Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(A)(7)).

The definition of a "trade secret" is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

R. C. § 1333.61(D). This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the information which is the subject of this motion.

Courts of other jurisdictions have held that not only does a public utilities commission have the authority to protect the trade secrets of a public utility, the trade secret statute creates a duty to protect them. *New York Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm. N.Y.*, 56 N.Y. 2d 213 (1982). Indeed, for the Commission to do otherwise would be to negate the protections the Ohio General Assembly has granted to all businesses, including public utilities, through the Uniform Trade Secrets Act. This Commission has previously carried out its obligations in this regard in numerous proceedings. See, *e.g., Elyria Tel. Co.*, Case No. 89-965-TP-AEC (Finding and Order, September 21, 1989); *Ohio Bell Tel. Co.*, Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); *Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, August 17, 1990).

In 1996, the Ohio General Assembly amended R. C. §§ 4901.12 and 4905.07 in order to facilitate the protection of trade secrets in the Commission's possession. The General Assembly carved out an exception to the general rule in favor of the public disclosure of information in the Commission's possession. By referencing R. C. § 149.43, the Commission-specific statutes now incorporate the provision of that statute that excepts from the definition of "public record" records the release of which is prohibited by state or federal law. R. C. § 149.43(A)(1)(v). In turn, state law prohibits the release of information meeting the definition of a trade secret. R. C. §§ 1333.61(D) and 1333.62. The amended statutes also reference the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. The protection of trade secret information from public disclosure is consistent with the purposes of Title 49 because the Commission and its Staff have access to the information; in many cases, the parties to a case may have access under an appropriate protective agreement. The protection of trade secret information as requested herein will not impair the Commission's regulatory responsibilities.

In *Pyromatics, Inc. v. Petruziello*, 7 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983), the Court of Appeals, citing *Koch Engineering Co. v. Faulconer*, 210 U.S.P.Q. 854, 861 (Kansas 1980), has delineated factors to be considered in recognizing a trade secret:

(1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business, (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees, (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information, (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors, (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

For all of the information which is the subject of this motion, Windstream considers and has treated the information as a trade secret. In the ordinary course of business of Windstream, this information is treated as proprietary and confidential by Windstream employees, and is not publically disclosed to anyone. Information of this type will be provided to other parties only pursuant to an appropriate protective agreement.

For the foregoing reasons, Windstream requests that the designated information be protected from public disclosure.

Respectfully submitted,

William A. Adams, Counsel of Record

BAILEY CAVALIERI LLC

10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100

Columbus, OH 43215-3422

(614) 229-3278 (telephone)

(614) 221-0479 (fax)

William.Adams@baileycavalieri.com

Attorneys for Windstream Ohio, Inc. and

Windstream Western Reserve, Inc.