

**BEFORE THE  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Commission's	)	
Investigation into Intrastate Carrier	)	Case No. 10-2387-TP-COI
Access Reform Pursuant to Sub. S.B. 162	)	

---

**MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OF  
WINDSTREAM NUVOX OHIO, INC., KENTUCKY DATA LINK, INC., WINDSTREAM  
COMMUNICATIONS, INC., NORLIGHT, INC. AND NORLIGHT  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

---

Windstream NuVox Ohio, Inc., Kentucky Data Link, Inc., Windstream Communications, Inc. Norlight, Inc. and Norlight Telecommunications, Inc. (hereinafter collectively, "Windstream"), by their attorneys and pursuant to Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's Rules, move for a protective order keeping confidential the designated confidential and/or proprietary information contained in the sealed filing accompanying this motion. The reasons underlying this motion are detailed in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,



William A. Adams, Counsel of Record  
BAILEY CAVALIERI LLC  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100  
Columbus, OH 43215-3422  
(614) 229-3278 (telephone)  
(614) 221-0479 (fax)  
[William.Adams@baileycavalieri.com](mailto:William.Adams@baileycavalieri.com)  
Attorneys for Windstream NuVox Ohio, Inc.,  
Kentucky Data Link, Inc., Windstream  
Communications, Inc. Norlight, Inc. and Norlight  
Telecommunications, Inc.

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2011 MAY 31 PM 4:43

PUCO

---

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

---

Windstream requests that the information designated as confidential and/or proprietary in the accompanying filing (along with any and all copies, including electronic copies) be protected from public disclosure. The confidential information consists of revenue and access line count in response to the November 3, 2010 Entry Appendix D. This constitutes Windstream confidential trade secret information and is deserving of protection as the Commission contemplated in paragraph 6 of the February 23, 2011 Entry.

The information set forth in this filing is clearly competitively sensitive trade secret information. Public disclosure of this information would impair Windstream's ability to respond to competitive opportunities in the marketplace, and would provide competitors with an unfair competitive advantage. The information will be made available to other parties in this case pursuant to an appropriate protective agreement.

Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. As set forth herein, state law prohibits the release of the information which is the subject of this motion. Moreover, the non-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 49. The Commission and its Staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. No purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information.

The need to protect the designated information from public disclosure is clear, and there is compelling legal authority supporting the requested protective order. While the Commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read in pari materia with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

*In re: General Telephone Co.*, Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, February 17, 1982). Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(A)(7)).

The definition of a "trade secret" is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

R. C. § 1333.61(D). This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the information which is the subject of this motion.

Courts of other jurisdictions have held that not only does a public utilities commission have the authority to protect the trade secrets of a public utility, the trade secret statute creates a

duty to protect them. *New York Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm. N.Y.*, 56 N.Y. 2d 213 (1982). Indeed, for the Commission to do otherwise would be to negate the protections the Ohio General Assembly has granted to all businesses, including public utilities, through the Uniform Trade Secrets Act. This Commission has previously carried out its obligations in this regard in numerous proceedings. See, e.g., *Elyria Tel. Co.*, Case No. 89-965-TP-AEC (Finding and Order, September 21, 1989); *Ohio Bell Tel. Co.*, Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); *Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, August 17, 1990).

In 1996, the Ohio General Assembly amended R. C. §§ 4901.12 and 4905.07 in order to facilitate the protection of trade secrets in the Commission's possession. The General Assembly carved out an exception to the general rule in favor of the public disclosure of information in the Commission's possession. By referencing R. C. § 149.43, the Commission-specific statutes now incorporate the provision of that statute that excepts from the definition of "public record" records the release of which is prohibited by state or federal law. R. C. § 149.43(A)(1)(v). In turn, state law prohibits the release of information meeting the definition of a trade secret. R. C. §§ 1333.61(D) and 1333.62. The amended statutes also reference the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. The protection of trade secret information from public disclosure is consistent with the purposes of Title 49 because the Commission and its Staff have access to the information; in many cases, the parties to a case may have access under an appropriate protective agreement. The protection of trade secret information as requested herein will not impair the Commission's regulatory responsibilities.

In *Pyromatics, Inc. v. Petruziello*, 7 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983), the Court of Appeals, citing *Koch Engineering Co. v. Faulconer*, 210 U.S.P.Q. 854, 861 (Kansas 1980), has delineated factors to be considered in recognizing a trade secret:

(1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business, (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees, (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information, (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors, (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

For all of the information which is the subject of this motion, Windstream considers and has treated the information as a trade secret. In the ordinary course of business of Windstream, this information is treated as proprietary and confidential by Windstream employees, and is not disclosed to anyone except in a Commission proceeding and/or pursuant to staff data request. Information of this type will be provided to other parties only pursuant to an appropriate protective agreement.

For the foregoing reasons, Windstream requests that the designated information be protected from public disclosure.

Respectfully submitted,




---

William A. Adams, Counsel of Record  
BAILEY CAVALIERI LLC  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100  
Columbus, OH 43215-3422  
(614) 229-3278 (telephone)  
(614) 221-0479 (fax)  
[William.Adams@baileycavalieri.com](mailto:William.Adams@baileycavalieri.com)  
Attorneys for Windstream NuVox Ohio, Inc.,  
Kentucky Data Link, Inc., Windstream  
Communications, Inc. Norlight, Inc. and Norlight  
Telecommunications, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true copy of the foregoing *Motion for Protective Order of Windstream NuVox Ohio, Inc., Kentucky Data Link, Inc., Windstream Communications, Inc. Norlight, Inc. and Norlight Telecommunications, Inc.* by electronic mail this 31<sup>st</sup> day of May, 2011, upon the persons listed below.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William A. Adams", is written over a horizontal line.

William A. Adams, Counsel of Record

## SERVICE LIST

William Wright  
Assistant Attorney General  
Chief, Public Utilities Section  
180 East Broad Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
[bill.wright@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:bill.wright@puc.state.oh.us)

### **Frontier Communications Corporation**

Kevin Saville  
Associate General Counsel  
Frontier Communications Corporation  
2378 Wilshire Boulevard  
Mound, MN 55364  
[kevin.saville@FTR.com](mailto:kevin.saville@FTR.com)

Rachel G. Winder  
Ohio Government and Regulatory Affairs  
Frontier Communications  
17 South High Street, Suite 610  
Columbus, OH 43215  
[Rachel.winder@ftr.com](mailto:Rachel.winder@ftr.com)

Carolyn S. Flahive  
Thompson Hine LLP  
41 South High Street, Suite 1700  
Columbus, OH 43215-6101  
[carolyn.flahive@thompsonhine.com](mailto:carolyn.flahive@thompsonhine.com)

### **Verizon**

Barth E. Royer  
Bell & Royer, LPA  
33 South Grant Avenue  
Columbus, OH 43215  
[barthroyer@aol.com](mailto:barthroyer@aol.com)

### **Ohio Consumers' Counsel**

David C. Bergmann  
Terry L. Etter  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, OH 43215  
[bergmann@occ.state.oh.us](mailto:bergmann@occ.state.oh.us)  
[etter@occ.state.oh.us](mailto:etter@occ.state.oh.us)

### **Century Link**

Gary Baki  
CenturyLink  
50 West Broad Street, Suite 3600  
Columbus, OH 43215  
[gary.s.baki@embarq.com](mailto:gary.s.baki@embarq.com)

Zsuzsanna E. Benedek  
CenturyLink  
240 North Third Street, Suite 300  
Harrisburg, PA 17101  
[Sue.benedek@centurylink.com](mailto:Sue.benedek@centurylink.com)

### **Cincinnati Bell**

Douglas E. Hart  
Cincinnati Bell  
441 Vine Street, Suite 4192  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
[dhart@douglasshart.com](mailto:dhart@douglasshart.com)

### **T-Mobile USA, Inc.**

Garnet Hanly  
T-Mobile USA, Inc.  
401 Ninth Street, NW, Suite 550  
Washington, DC 20004  
[garnet.hanly@T-Mobile.com](mailto:garnet.hanly@T-Mobile.com)

David Haga  
Assistant General Counsel  
Verizon  
1320 North Courthouse Road  
Arlington, VA 22201  
[david.haga@verizon.com](mailto:david.haga@verizon.com)

Charles Carrathers  
Verizon  
600 Hidden Ridge HQE03H51  
Irving, TX 75038  
[chuck.carrathers@verizon.com](mailto:chuck.carrathers@verizon.com)

**Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association**

Stephen M. Howard  
Benita A. Kahn  
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP  
P.O. Box 1008  
Columbus, OH 43216-1008  
[smhoward@vorys.com](mailto:smhoward@vorys.com)  
[bakahn@vorys.com](mailto:bakahn@vorys.com)

**The MACC Coalition**

Thomas J. O'Brien  
Bricker & Eckler LLP  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, OH 43215-4291  
[tobrien@bricker.com](mailto:tobrien@bricker.com)

**ACCESS POINT, INC.**

Kate Dutton  
1100 Crescent Green  
Suite 109  
Cary, NC 27518  
[kate.dutton@accesspointinc.com](mailto:kate.dutton@accesspointinc.com)

**TECHNOLOGIES MANAGEMENT, INC.**

Laura McGrath  
2600 Maitland Center Parkway  
Maitland, FL 32751  
[lmcgrath@tminc.com](mailto:lmcgrath@tminc.com)

**Small Local Exchange Carriers Group Association**

Norman J. Kennard  
Regina L. Matz  
Thomas, Long, Nielsen & Kennard  
P.O. Box 9500  
Harrisburg, PA 17108-9500  
[rmatz@thomaslonglaw.com](mailto:rmatz@thomaslonglaw.com)

**The AT&T Entities**

Mary Ryan Fenlon  
Jon F. Kelly  
AT&T Services, Inc.  
150 East Gay Street, Room 4-A  
Columbus, OH 43215  
[Mf1842@att.com](mailto:Mf1842@att.com)  
[Jk2961@att.com](mailto:Jk2961@att.com)

**Sprint Nextel**

Diane C. Browning  
Sprint Nextel  
6450 Sprint Parkway  
Overland Park, KS 66251  
[diane.c.browning@sprint.com](mailto:diane.c.browning@sprint.com)

**ICORE**

Gary M. Zingaretti  
253 South Franklin Street  
Wilkes-Barre, PA 18701  
[gzing@icoreinc.com](mailto:gzing@icoreinc.com)

**ONVOY, INC.**

Mary T. Buley  
300 South Highway 169  
Suite 700  
Minneapolis, MN 55426  
[mary.buley@onvoy.com](mailto:mary.buley@onvoy.com)

**FIRST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

Mary Cegelski  
15278 Neo Parkway  
Garfield Heights, OH 44128  
[MCEGELSKI@firstcomm.com](mailto:MCEGELSKI@firstcomm.com)



**IMPACT NETWORK SOLUTIONS, INC.**

Nancy L. Myers

429 Trenton Avenue

Findlay, OH 45840

[myersn@impactnetwork.com](mailto:myersn@impactnetwork.com)