

# RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV



# 2011 MAY 26 AM 9: 19

PUCO

May 26, 2011

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ATTN: Docketing Division 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: Case Number 08-1344-GA-EXM

# **Docketing Division:**

On May 9, 2011, Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. ("Columbia") filed a Motion to Stay Discovery in this docket. Page 4 of that Motion references an attachment to the Motion. The attachment is the discovery served upon Columbia that is the subject of the Motion. Columbia has discovered that it inadvertently failed to provide the attachment to the Motion. Therefore, attached to this letter is the discovery request that should have been attached to the Motion. I apologize for any inconvenience that this oversight may have caused.

Sincerely,

Stephen B. Seiple

Assistant General Counsel

tysten & skyste

cc: Parties of Record

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed MAY 2 6 2011

ATTACHMENT A FOR THE MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY FILED MAY 9, 2011

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbia	)	
Gas of Ohio, Inc. for Approval of a General	)	
Exemption of Certain Natural Gas Commodity	)	
Sales Services or Ancillary Services from	)	Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM
Chapters 4905, 4909, and 4935 except Sections	)	
4905.10, 4035.01 and 4935.03, and from	)	
specified sections of Chapter 4933 of the	Ś	
Revised Code.	)	

# INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS FIRST SET REGARDING STANDARD CHOICE AUCTION BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL (APRIL 28, 2011)

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC"), an intervenor in the above-captioned case, requests that Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. ("COH" or "Company") answer the following interrogatories and provide copies or provide access for reproduction of the following documents within twenty (20) days of service and no later than May 18, 2011.

These requests should be deemed continuing so as to require further and supplemental responses as COH receives or generates additional documents or information within the scope of these requests between the time of the original response and the time of hearing.

As part of each response, please indicate the names of the respondent and his/her position with COH or an affiliate. Please provide written responses even if no documents or data are available. Please identify the responses to the specific numbered request.

To the extent practical and possible, please provide access to documents in Columbus, Ohio. Please contact OCC in the event that COH cannot make documents available in Columbus.

Should COH determine that certain requests and/or interrogatories are objectionable, please so indicate and respond to the remaining requests. Thank you in advance for your cooperation. If any questions arise, please contact:

Larry S. Sauer, Counsel of Record Joseph P. Serio Kyle L. Verrett Assistant Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 (614) 466-8574 (Telephone) (614) 466-9475 (Facsimile) sauer@occ.state.oh.us serio@occ.state.oh.us verrett@occ.state.oh.us

# **DEFINITIONS**

As used herein the following definitions apply:

1. "Document" or "Documentation" when used herein, is used in its customary broad sense, and means all originals of any nature whatsoever, identical copies, and all non-identical copies thereof, pertaining to any medium upon which intelligence or information is recorded in your possession, custody, or control regardless of where located; including any kind of printed, recorded, written, graphic, or photographic matter and things similar to any of the foregoing, regardless of their author or origin. The term specifically includes, without limiting the generality of the following: punchcards, printout sheets, movie film, slides, PowerPoint slides, phonograph records, photographs, memoranda, ledgers, work sheets, books, magazines, notebooks, diaries, calendars, appointment books, registers, charts, tables, papers, agreements, contracts, purchase orders, checks and drafts, acknowledgments, invoices, authorizations, budgets, analyses, projections, transcripts, minutes of meetings of any kind, telegrams, drafts, instructions, announcements, schedules, price lists, electronic copies, reports, studies, statistics, forecasts, decisions, and orders, intra-office and inter-office communications, correspondence, financial data, summaries or records of conversations or interviews, statements, returns, diaries, workpapers, maps, graphs, sketches, summaries or reports of investigations or negotiations, opinions or reports of consultants, brochures, bulletins, pamphlets, articles, advertisements, circulars, press releases, graphic records or representations or publications of any kind (including microfilm, videotape and records, however produced or

reproduced), electronic (including e-mail), mechanical and electrical records of any kind and computer produced interpretations thereof (including, without limitation, tapes, tape cassettes, disks and records), other data compilations (including, source codes, object codes, program documentation, computer programs, computer printouts, cards, tapes, disks and recordings used in automated data processing together with the programming instructions and other material necessary to translate, understand or use the same), all drafts, prints, issues, alterations, modifications, changes, amendments, and mechanical or electric sound recordings and transcripts to the foregoing. A request for discovery concerning documents addressing, relating or referring to, or discussing a specified matter encompasses documents having a factual, contextual, or logical nexus to the matter, as well as documents making explicit or implicit reference thereto in the body of the documents. Originals and duplicates of the same document need not be separately identified or produced; however, drafts of a document or documents differing from one another by initials, interlineations, notations, erasures, file stamps, and the like shall be deemed to be distinct documents requiring separate identification or production. Copies of documents shall be legible.

2. "Communication" shall mean any transmission of information by oral, graphic, written, pictorial, or otherwise perceptible means, including, but not limited to, telephone conversations, letters, telegrams, and personal conversations. A request seeking the identity of a communication addressing, relating or referring to, or discussing a specified matter encompasses documents having factual, contextual, or

- logical nexus to the matter, as well as communications in which explicit or implicit reference is made to the matter in the course of the communication.
- The "substance" of a communication or act includes the essence, purport or meaning of the same, as well as the exact words or actions involved.
- 4. "And" or "Or" shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively as necessary to make any request inclusive rather than exclusive.
- 5. "You," and "Your," or "Yourself' refer to the party requested to produce documents and any present or former director, officer, agent, contractor, consultant, advisor, employee, partner, or joint venturer of such party.
- Each singular shall be construed to include its plural, and vice versa, so as to make the request inclusive rather than exclusive.
- 7. Words expressing the masculine gender shall be deemed to express the feminine and neuter genders; those expressing the past tense shall be deemed to express the present tense; and vice versa.
- 8. "Person" includes any firm, corporation, joint venture, association, entity, or group of natural individuals, unless the context clearly indicates that only a natural individual is referred to in the discovery request.
- 9. "Identify," or "the identity of," or "identified" means as follows:
  - A. When used in reference to an individual, to state his full name and present or last known position and business affiliation, and his position and business affiliation at the time in question;

- B. When used in reference to a commercial or governmental entity, to state its full name, type of entity (e.g., corporation, partnership, single proprietorship), and its present or last known address;
- C. When used in reference to a document, to state the date, author, title, type of document (e.g., letter, memorandum, photograph, tape recording, etc.), general subject matter of the document, and its present or last known location and custodian;
- D. When used in reference to a communication, to state the type of communication (i.e., letter, personal conversation, etc.), the date thereof, and the parties thereto and the parties thereto and, in the case of a conversation, to state the substance, place, and approximate time thereof, and identity of other persons in the presence of each party thereto;
- E. When used in reference to an act, to state the substance of the act, the date, time, and place of performance, and the identity of the actor and all other persons present.
- F. When used in reference to a place, to state the name of the location and provide the name of a contact person at the location (including that person's telephone number), state the address, and state a defining physical location (for example: a room number, file cabinet, and/or file designation).
- 10. The terms "PUCO" and "Commission" refer to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, including its Commissioners, personnel (including Persons working in the Public Utilities Section of the Ohio Attorney General's Office), and offices.

- 11. The term "e.g." connotes illustration by example, not limitation.
- 12. The term "Columbia" or "Company" means Columbia Gas of Ohio.
- 13. The term "SSO" means standard service offer.
- 14. The term "SCO" means standard choice offer.
- 15. "Revised Program Outline" refers to the Company's April 15, 2011 filing to modify the existing SSO auction process in order to implement an SCO auction.

# **INSTRUCTIONS FOR ANSWERING**

- All information is to be divulged which is in your possession or control, or within the possession or control of your attorney, agents, or other representatives of yours or your attorney.
- 2. Where an interrogatory calls for an answer in more than one part, each part should be separate in the answer so that the answer is clearly understandable.
- 3. Each interrogatory shall be answered separately and fully in writing under oath, unless it is objected to, in which event the reasons for objection shall be stated in lieu of an answer. The answers are to be signed by the person making them, and the objections are to be signed by the attorney making them.
- 4. If any answer requires more space than provided, continue the answer on the reverse side of the page or on an added page.
- 5. Your organization(s) is requested to produce responsive materials and information within its physical control or custody, as well as that physically controlled or possessed by any other person acting or purporting to act on your behalf, whether as an officer, director, employee, agent, independent contractor, attorney, consultant, witness, or otherwise.
- 6. Where these requests seek quantitative or computational information (e.g., models, analyses, databases, and formulas) stored by your organization(s) or its consultants in computer-readable form, in addition to providing hard copy (if an electronic response is not otherwise provided as requested), you are requested to produce such computer-readable information, in order of preference:
  - A. Microsoft Excel worksheet files on compact disk;

- B. other Microsoft Windows or Excel compatible worksheet or database diskette files;
- C. ASCII text diskette files; and
- D. such other magnetic media files as your organization(s) may use.
- 7. Conversion from the units of measurement used by your organization(s) in the ordinary course of business need not be made in your response; e.g., data requested in Mcf may be provided in Dth as long as the unit measure is made clear.
- 8. Unless otherwise indicated, the following requests shall require you to furnish information and tangible materials pertaining to, in existence, or in effect for the whole or any part of the period from January 1, 2008 through and including the date of your response.
- Responses must be complete when made, and must be supplemented with subsequently acquired information at the time such information is available.
- 10. In the event that a claim of privilege is invoked as the reason for not responding to discovery, the nature of the information with respect to which privilege is claimed shall be set forth in responses together with the type of privilege claimed and a statement of all circumstances upon which the respondent to discovery will rely to support such a claim of privilege (i.e., provide a privilege log). Respondent to the discovery must a) identify (see definition) the individual, entity, act, communication, and/or document that is the subject of the withheld information based upon the privilege claim, b) identify all persons to whom the information has already been

revealed, and c) provide the basis upon which the information is being withheld and the reason that the information is not provided in discovery.

# **INTERROGATORIES**

During the past five years (January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2010), focusing on the capacity retained by Columbia for balancing and operational purposes under the Standard Service Offer ("SSO") or Standard Contract Offer ("SCO") gas acquisition program, how much revenue from off-system sales and capacity release transactions has Columbia earned.

#### **RESPONSE:**

2. Referring to the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 1, for the past 5 years (January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2010) please provide a month by month breakdown of the off-system sales by type of transaction (e.g. off-system sale, sales right, park, loan and exchange, other (specify)) and capacity release revenues earned, and identify the counterparty (e.g. the party that the capacity was sold and/or released to).

#### RESPONSE:

3. Since the implementation of the SSO auction on April 1, 2010, please provide a month by month breakdown of the off-system sales and capacity release revenues earned

4. Referring to Page 2 of the Revised Program outline, please identify any additional expenses or costs which Columbia may incur as a result of its proposal to provide service to its sales customers through an SCO auction process, instead of an SSO auction process.

#### **RESPONSE:**

5. Has Columbia done any studies or analysis to determine the magnitude of any additional expenses it may incur as a result of its proposal to provide service to its sales customers through an SCO auction process?

# **RESPONSE:**

6. If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No. 5 is negative, please explain why no studies or analysis was conducted?

# **RESPONSE:**

7. Will it be necessary for Columbia incur additional IT expenses in order to conduct an SCO auction by February 2012?

8. If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No. 7 is affirmative, how much additional IT expenses are required for the Company to conduct an SCO auction by February, 2012?

# **RESPONSE:**

9. Based on Columbia's review of the Dominion East Ohio ("DEO") SCO program, is Columbia aware of any additional expenses that DEO incurred as a result of the SCO auction process?

# **RESPONSE:**

10. If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No. 9 is affirmative, please identify the additional expenses that DEO incurred.

# **RESPONSE:**

11. If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No. 9 is negative, please explain why Columbia believes it may incur additional expenses if DEO did not.

12. Based on Columbia's review of the Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio ("VEDO") SCO program, is Columbia aware of any additional expenses that VEDO incurred as a result of the SCO auction process?

# **RESPONSE:**

13. If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No. 12 is affirmative, please identify the additional expenses that VEDO incurred.

# **RESPONSE:**

14. If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No.12 is negative, please explain why Columbia believes it may incur additional expenses if VEDO did not?

# **RESPONSE:**

15. Has Columbia conducted any studies or analysis to determine if it may no longer incur some expenses or if other expenses will be reduced as a result of its proposal to provide service to sales customers through an SCO auction process?

10.	If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No.15 is negative, please		
	explain why no studies or analysis was conducted.		
RESP	ONSE:		
17.	Does Columbia anticipate any reduction in expenses that will occur as the result		
	of implementing and SCO auction in place of an SSO auction?		
RESPONSE:			
18.	If the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 17 is affirmative, please describe and		
	quantify the anticipated reductions in expenses.		
RESPONSE:			
19.	If the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 17 is negative, please explain why		
	Columbia does not anticipate any reduction in expenses.		
RESPONSE:			

20. Does the Revised Program Outline address how to deal with any reductions in expenses that may occur as a result of the proposal to provide service to sales customers through an SCO auction process.

#### **RESPONSE:**

21. If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No. 20 is affirmative, please identify where in the Revised Program Outline this is included.

# **RESPONSE:**

22. Does Columbia believe that the proposed SCO auction process is a permanent change in how Columbia provides service to current SSO customers?

# **RESPONSE:**

23. If the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 22 is affirmative please explain the basis for Columbia believing that the SCO auction process is a permanent change in how Columbia provides service to current SSO customers?

24. Referring to Section 38 of the Revised Program Outline (Off-system Sales and Capacity Release), how much off-system sales and capacity release revenues has Columbia retained for the program year April 1, 2010 through March 31, 2011?
RESPONSE:

25. During the Program Year April 1, 2010 through March 31, 2011, what form of security did Columbia require from successful SSO bidders?

# **RESPONSE:**

26. If the Company required a cash deposit for security from the successful SSO bidders for the Program Year April 1, 2010 through March 31, 2011, how much cash security did Columbia collect?

# **RESPONSE:**

27. Referring to the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 25 how much interest did

Columbia earn on the cash security collected from successful SSO bidders during
the Program Year April 1, 2010 through March 31, 2011?

28. For the Program Year April 1, 2011 through March 31, 2012, what form of security did Columbia require from successful SSO bidders?

# **RESPONSE:**

29. If the Company required a cash deposit for security from the successful SSO bidders for the Program Year April 1, 2011 through March 31, 2012, how much cash security did Columbia collect?

# **RESPONSE:**

30. Referring to the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 28 how much interest does

Columbia estimate that it will earn on the cash security collected from successful

SSO bidders during the Program Year April 1, 2011 through March 31, 2012?

# **RESPONSE:**

31. Referring to the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 27, how did Columbia account for the interest earned?

32.	Referring to the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 30, how did Columbia
	account for the interest earned?

# **RESPONSE:**

33. Referring to the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 30, please explain the rationale behind how the company accounted for the interest.

# **RESPONSE:**

34. Referring to the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 31, please explain the rationale behind how the company accounted for the interest.

# **RESPONSE:**

35. In the event Columbia goes forward with its proposal to implement an SCO auction for program year April 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013, what form of security does Columbia propose to require from successful SSO bidders?

36. If the Company requires a cash deposit for security from the successful SCO bidders for the Program Year April 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013, how much cash security does Columbia estimate it will collect?

# **RESPONSE:**

37. How much interest does Columbia estimate that it will earn on the cash security collected from successful SCO bidders during the Program Year April 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013?

# **RESPONSE:**

38. Referring to the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 37, how does the Company plan to account for those revenues?

# **RESPONSE:**

39. Referring to the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 38, please explain the rationale behind how the Company plans to account for the interest.

40. Referring to Section 5 of the Revised Program Outline (Standard Choice Offer) the Revised Program Outline (at 9) states:

SCO customers' gas cost portion of the bill will be subject to sales tax, and DSS customers' gas cost portion of the bill will be subject to the Public Utility Gross Receipts Tax. The balance of both SCO and DSS customers' bills will be subject to the Gross Receipts Tax.

Has Columbia estimated the differential between sales tax and gross receipts tax that Columbia's SCO customers will be charged (individually or in the aggregate) on the gas cost portion of their bills during Program Year, April 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013?

#### **RESPONSE:**

41. If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No. 40 is affirmative, how much additional tax has Columbia estimated that its SCO customers (individually or in the aggregate) will be charged during the Program Year, April 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013?

42.	If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No. 40 is negative, please
	explain why Columbia has not estimated the additional tax liability that current
	SSO customers will face?

# **RESPONSE:**

43. In aggregate, how much saving/losses has Columbia's Choice customers experienced compared to billings under Columbia's GCR/SSO over the past 5 years (January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2010)?

# **RESPONSE:**

44. What methodology does Columbia use to determine the Choice customers' savings/loss amounts?

# **RESPONSE:**

45. What assumptions does Columbia make when determining the Choice customers' savings/loss amounts?

46. Has the Company been following the Columbia Gulf Rate Case at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), Docket No. RP11-1435.

# **RESPONSE:**

47. If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No. 44 is affirmative, please describe the extent of the Company's participation in that FERC case.

# **RESPONSE:**

48. Referring to the testimony of Columbia Gulf witness John McNamare, filed on October 28, 2010 in that case, Mr. McNamare discusses the risk Columbia Gulf faces with regards to lost capacity (See pages 25-26). In light of Mr. McNamara's testimony, what is the Company's future capacity contracting plans for Columbia Gulf capacity?

# **RESPONSE:**

49. Has the Company formalized its position(s) in FERC Docket No. RP11-1435?

RESPONSE:

50. If the Company's response to OCC Interrogatory No. 49 is affirmative, what are the formal position(s) taken in FERC Docket No. RP11-1435?

# **RESPONSE:**

51. Has the Company had discussions with any of the qualified bidder(s) in the recent SSO auction in which any of the qualified bidder(s) have indicated that they were not interested in participating in an SCO auction?

# **RESPONSE:**

52. If the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 51 is affirmative, please identify the qualified SSO bidder(s) that have informed the Company that they are not interested in participating in an SCO auction?

# **RESPONSE:**

53. If the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 51 is affirmative, please indicate the reason(s) that the qualified bidders gave for their position that they are not interested in participating in an SCO auction.

54. To the extent that the Company prefers an SCO auction over an SSO auction, please explain the reason for the Company's preference.

# **REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

- Please provide any workpapers, studies or analysis associated with the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 1, pertaining to off-system sales and capacity release revenues.
- 2. Please provide any workpapers, studies or analysis associated with the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 2, pertaining to off-system sales and capacity release revenues.
- 3. Please provide any workpapers, studies or analysis associated with the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 3, pertaining to off-system sales and capacity release revenues.
- 4. Please provide any workpapers, studies or analysis associated with the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 4 regarding additional expenses associated with conducting an SCO auction.
- 5. Please provide any workpapers, studies or analysis associated with the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 5 regarding any studies performed to identify additional expenses associated with conducting an SCO auction.

- 6. Please provide any workpapers, studies or analysis associated with the response to OCC Interrogatory Nos. 7 and 8 regarding additional IT expenses associated with conducting an SCO auction.
- 7. Please provide any workpapers, studies or analysis associated with the response to OCC Interrogatory Nos. 15 and 16 regarding any studies regarding expense reductions associated with conducting an SCO auction.
- Please provide any workpapers, studies or analysis associated with the response to OCC Interrogatory No. 24 regarding off-system sales and capacity release revenues retained.
- 9. Please provide any workpapers, studies or analysis associated with the response to OCC Interrogatory Nos. 25-39 regarding the interest earned on cash security posted by the successful SSO/SCO winning bidders associated with conducting an SSO or SCO auction.
- 10. Please provide any workpapers, studies or analysis associated with the response to OCC Interrogatory Nos. 40-42 the sales tax/gross receipts tax differential associated with conducting an SCO auction.
- 11. Please provide workpapers, studies or analysis associated with Columbia's response to OCC Interrogatory Nos. 43-45 pertaining to how much more/less

money Columbia's Choice customer have paid compared to the Companies GCR/SSO rate, by month for the period January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2010.

- 12. Please provide a copy of any formal or informal discovery responses provided to the PUCO staff.
- 13. Please provide a copy of any formal or informal discovery responses provided to any other signatory party to the October 7, 2009 Stipulation in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel's First

Set of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents regarding SCO Auction
has been served upon the following parties by first class mail this 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2011.

Larry S. Sauer Assistant Consumers' Counsel

# **SERVICE LIST**

Stephen B. Seiple
Daniel A. Creekmur
Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.
200 Civic Center Drive
P.O. Box 117
Columbus, Ohio 43216-0117

David Boehm Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Samuel C. Randazzo McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC 21 East State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Glen Krassen Bricker & Eckler, LLP 1375 East Ninth Street, Suite 1500 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1718 William Wright Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Larry Gearhardt
Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
280 North High Street
P.O. Box 182383
Columbus, Ohio 43218-2383

John Dosker Stand Energy Corp. 1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-1629

Colleen Mooney
David Rinebolt
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street, P.O. Box 1793
Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793

David M. Perlman
Bracewell & Giuliani LLP
2000 K Street NW
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20006-1872

Brian Ballenger Ballenger & Moore 3401 Woodville Road, Suite C Toledo, Ohio 43619

James E. Moan City of Sylvania 4930 Holland-Sylvania Road Sylvania, Ohio 43560

Barth Royer Bell & Royer CO, LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927

Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

Craig Goodman
National Energy Marketers Association
3333 K. Street, NW
Suite 110
Washington, D.C. 20007

Lance Keiffer
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
700 Adams Street
Suite 250
Toledo, Ohio 43604

Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 Sheila McAdams
Marsh & McAdams
City of Maumee
204 West Wayne Street
Maumee, Ohio 43537

Paul Goldberg City of Oregon 5330 Seaman Road Oregon, Ohio 43616

Leslie Kovacik
Department of Public Utilities
420 Madison Avenue, Suite 100
Toledo, Ohio 43604

Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler, LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Jonathan Airey Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

Michael Dortch Kravitz, Brown & Dortch, LLC 65 East State Street Suite 200 Columbus, Ohio 43215

David Boehm Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-4454

Paul Skaff Leatherman, Wintzler, Dombey & Hart City of Holland 353 Elm Street Perrysburg, Ohio 43551