

1           BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

2                               - - -

3  
4       In the Matter of the 2010 :  
5       Long-Term Forecast Report : Case No. 10-503-EL-FOR  
6       of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. :

7                               - - -

8                               PROCEEDINGS

9       before Ms. Mandy Willey and Ms. Christine Pirik,  
10      Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities  
11      Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A,  
12      Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Monday, March  
13      21, 2011.

14                              - - -

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22                              ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.  
23                              222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor  
24                              Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201  
25                              (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481  
                              Fax - (614) 224-5724

                              - - -

APPEARANCES:

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.  
By Ms. Elizabeth H. Watts  
Ms. Amy B. Spiller  
139 East Fourth Street, 25 Atrium II  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

On behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Janine L. Migden-Ostrander  
Ohio Consumers' Counsel  
By Mr. Jeffrey L. Small  
Ms. Ann M. Hotz  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

On behalf of the Residential Customers of  
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Mr. Will Reisinger  
Mr. Nolan Moser  
Ohio Environmental Council  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212

On behalf of the Ohio Environmental  
Council.

Mr. Henry W. Eckhart  
1200 Chambers Road, Room 106  
Columbus, Ohio 43212

Ms. Shannon W. Fisk  
2 North Riverside Plaza, Suite 2250  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

On behalf of the Natural Resources  
Defense Council and Sierra Club.

Ms. Tara Santarelli  
Mr. Robert Kelter  
Environmental Law and Policy Center  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212

On behalf of the Environmental Law and  
Policy Center.

APPEARANCES (continued):

Bricker & Eckler, LLP.  
By Mr. Matthew W. Warnock  
Mr. Thomas J. O'Brien  
100 South Third Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

On behalf of the Mid-Atlantic Renewable  
Energy Coalition.

Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General  
By Mr. John H. Jones  
Mr. Thomas McNamee  
Assistant Attorneys General  
Public Utilities Section  
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215

On behalf of the staff of the Public  
Utilities Commission of Ohio.

- - -

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

INDEX

- - -

WITNESSES

PAGE

James A. Riddle  
Direct examination by Ms. Watts 18

- - -

JOINT EXHIBIT

ID'D REC'D

1 - Joint Stipulation and  
Recommendation (Corrected) 17 21

DUKE EXHIBITS

ID'D REC'D

1 - Prepared Direct Testimony of  
James R. Riddle 18 21

2 - Proof of Publication 22 23

3 - Revised Long-Term Forecast  
and Resource Plan (Redacted) 23 26

3A - Revised Long-Term Forecast  
and Resource Plan (Unredacted) 25 26

4 - Section IV - Resource Plan  
(Redacted) 24 26

4A - Section IV - Resource Plan  
(Unredacted) 25 26

5 - Form FE-DE1 25 26

- - -

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Monday Morning Session,  
March 21, 2011.

- - -

EXAMINER WILLEY: Let's go ahead and go  
on the record. This is a continuation of the hearing  
In the Matter of the 2010 Long-Term Forecast Report  
of Duke Energy Ohio, Incorporated, Case No.  
10-503-EL-FOR.

My name is Mandy Willey and with me is  
Christine Pirik. We are the attorney examiners with  
the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio that have  
been assigned to hear this case.

I'd like to begin by taking appearances  
of the parties.

On behalf of Duke Energy.

MS. WATTS: Thank you, your Honor. On  
behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Elizabeth Watts and Amy  
Spiller, 139 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio.

EXAMINER WILLEY: On behalf of the Ohio  
Consumers' Counsel.

MR. SMALL: Thank you, your Honor. On  
behalf of the residential customers of Duke Energy  
Ohio, Janine Migden-Ostrander, Consumers' Counsel,  
Jeffrey L. Small, Counsel of Record, Ann M. Hotz,  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel, Office of the Ohio

1 Consumers' Counsel, 10 West Broad Street, Columbus --  
2 Suite 1800, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Thank you.

3 EXAMINER WILLEY: On behalf of the Ohio  
4 Environmental Council.

5 MR. REISINGER: Good morning, your Honor.  
6 On behalf of the Ohio Environmental Council, William  
7 Reisinger, 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201,  
8 Columbus, Ohio 43212.

9 EXAMINER WILLEY: On behalf of the  
10 Natural Resources Defense Council and Sierra Club.

11 MR. ECKHART: Yes, your Honor. Thank  
12 you. Henry W. Eckhart, and in case my old address is  
13 still in the file, I am now located at 1200 Chambers  
14 Road, Room 106, Columbus, Ohio 43212.

15 And also entering the appearance of  
16 Shannon W. Fisk on behalf of both the Sierra Club and  
17 the Natural Resources Defense Council, 2 North  
18 Riverside Plaza, Suite 2250, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

19 EXAMINER WILLEY: On behalf of the  
20 Environmental Law and Policy Center.

21 MS. SANTARELLI: Good morning. Tara  
22 Santarelli and Rob Kelter on behalf of the  
23 Environmental Law and Policy Center, 1207 Grandview  
24 Avenue, Suite 201, Columbus, 43212.

25 EXAMINER WILLEY: On behalf of the

1 Mid-Atlantic Renewable Energy Coalition.

2 MR. WARNOCK: On behalf of the  
3 Mid-Atlantic Renewable Energy Coalition, Matthew W.  
4 Warnock and Thomas J. O'Brien of the law firm of  
5 Bricker and Eckler, LLP, 100 South Third Street,  
6 Columbus, Ohio 43215.

7 EXAMINER WILLEY: On behalf of the staff.

8 MR. JONES: Yes, thank you, your Honor.  
9 On behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities  
10 Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General Michael  
11 DeWine, Thomas McNamee, John Jones, Assistant  
12 Attorneys General, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus,  
13 Ohio 43215.

14 EXAMINER WILLEY: Thank you.

15 I'd also note for the record that the  
16 Solar Alliance has filed a notice of withdrawal as an  
17 intervenor.

18 Before we address the stipulation today,  
19 I'd like to address the matters involving the  
20 protective orders that are before us.

21 Duke has filed a motion for a protective  
22 order for its 2010 Long-Term Forecast Report and  
23 Resource Plan which was revised and refiled on  
24 February 11th, 2011.

25 Ms. Watts, would you please state your

1 motion and the grounds for your motion.

2 MS. WATTS: Yes, your Honor. I think if  
3 you look at that document you'll notice that the  
4 redactions are extremely minimal in this case. And  
5 the material which was redacted was, in our view,  
6 competitively-sensitive and sensitive from an  
7 internal-company perspective with respect to some of  
8 the material related to resources. And we would ask  
9 that material be extended protective status.

10 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Is that the same  
11 information in the Long-Term Forecast Report that was  
12 filed on October 7th, 2010?

13 MS. WATTS: Yes, your Honor, it is.

14 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Is there any  
15 opposition to Duke's motion for a protective order?

16 (No response.)

17 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Before ruling on  
18 Duke's motion, I'd like to address some confidential  
19 testimony filed by the Natural Resources Defense  
20 Council and the Sierra Club because it's  
21 interrelated.

22 NRDC filed confidential testimony of  
23 David Schlissel on March 14th, 2011; however, the  
24 docket doesn't show that a protective order has been  
25 filed in conjunction with that.



1                   Do you -- Mr. Eckhart, do you intend to  
2 file a motion for a protective order or have you  
3 asked Duke to file a motion for a protective order?

4                   MR. ECKHART: Your Honor, I would have to  
5 check with my client. They sent that stuff to me at  
6 about 4:00 o'clock on the day it was filed, and all I  
7 did was copy it and rush it down here.

8                   EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay.

9                   MR. ECKHART: I'd have to check with  
10 them. I'll let you know.

11                  EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Thank you.

12                  Ms. Watts, do you want to make a motion  
13 for a protective order as to that information?

14                  MS. WATTS: Most definitely, your Honor.  
15 If there's anything that would otherwise -- that has  
16 otherwise been asked to be covered under the  
17 protective agreement, we would like that material to  
18 continue to remain protected, notwithstanding that  
19 it's NRDC's testimony.

20                  EXAMINER WILLEY: Have you reviewed this  
21 testimony at all?

22                  MS. WATTS: I have, but I can't, right at  
23 this moment, speak to which particular pieces of it.

24                  EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. I think we're  
25 going to have to deny the motion, at this point, if

1 you can't state specific reasons.

2 MS. WATTS: It is my recollection that  
3 the references in NRDC's testimony are to the matters  
4 in our filing which were filed under a request for  
5 protective status. So to the extent those references  
6 refer back to the same material, we would ask that it  
7 be continued under the protective -- the same status  
8 that would otherwise protect.

9 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. So are you  
10 certain that the redacted information, then, is that  
11 same information --

12 MS. WATTS: Yes.

13 EXAMINER WILLEY: -- that's already been  
14 protected?

15 Ms. Watts, we think it would be a good  
16 idea to take a five- to ten-minute recess so that you  
17 can review that testimony and make sure that that's  
18 something that you've already requested protective  
19 status for. So we'll take a five- to ten-minute  
20 recess.

21 MS. WATTS: Thank you.

22 EXAMINER WILLEY: Ms. Watts, before we  
23 recess, have you also looked at the testimony for  
24 Mr. Lanzalotta?

25 MS. WATTS: Yes.

1 EXAMINER WILLEY: We'll go off the  
2 record.

3 (Recess taken.)

4 EXAMINER WILLEY: Ms. Watts, have you had  
5 sufficient time to review the document?

6 MS. WATTS: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.  
7 I have reviewed the testimonies of Mr. Lanzalotta and  
8 Mr. Sullivan on behalf of the NRDC; and the pieces of  
9 testimony which are redacted in those testimonies  
10 are, in fact, related to the same material for which  
11 we've sought protected status.

12 EXAMINER WILLEY: Before I rule on that;  
13 additionally, I want to remark that Mr. Lanzalotta's  
14 testimony filed by the NRDC also looks like it was  
15 intended to be confidential; however, there also  
16 hasn't been a motion for a protective order filed  
17 regarding Mr. Lanzalotta's testimony. Have you also  
18 -- and you stated that you had reviewed  
19 Mr. Lanzalotta's testimony?

20 MS. WATTS: That's correct.

21 EXAMINER WILLEY: Do you want to make a  
22 motion for a protective order as to that, as well?

23 MS. WATTS: Yes, your Honor, I would, for  
24 the same reasons as with respect to Mr. Sullivan.

25 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Also,

1 Mr. Eckhart, I want to mention that, although the  
2 attorney examiners were served with unredacted  
3 versions of the confidential testimony of both  
4 Mr. Lanzalotta and Mr. Schlissel, there has been no,  
5 I'm sorry, just as to Mr. Lanzalotta there has been  
6 no unredacted version filed with docketing, so that  
7 is something that needs to be done by the end of the  
8 week.

9 MR. ECKHART: I will take care of it,  
10 your Honor.

11 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Thank you.

12 MR. ECKHART: Your Honor, are you talking  
13 about just Lanzalotta now, or are you talking about  
14 Schlissel and Sullivan also?

15 EXAMINER WILLEY: Mr. Sullivan did not  
16 have any unredacted -- or did not have any redacted  
17 testimony. This would just be a protective order for  
18 Mr. Lanzalotta. And it does appear that a protective  
19 order and an unredacted copy was filed for  
20 Mr. Schlissel. So it's just Lanzalotta that needs to  
21 have the unredacted version filed with docketing.

22 MR. ECKHART: I gotcha. Thank you.

23 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Ms. Watts, I'm  
24 going to grant your motions for a protective order  
25 for the two documents including the 2010 Long-Term

Forecast Report which was revised and filed on February 11th, 2011, and the testimony of David Schlissel filed on March 14th, 2011, and these will be protected for 18 months from the date of this hearing which will be September 21st, 2012.

And I would like to keep all of these related confidential documents on the same schedule. So regarding the Long-Term Forecast Report that was filed on October 7th, 2010, I'm also going to extend that protection out another 18 months, so that will also be protected until September 21st, 2012.

Regarding these three documents, Rule 4901-1-24(F) provides that protective orders automatically expire after 18 months; and require a party wishing to extend a protective order to file an appropriate motion at least 45 days in advance of the expiration date.

So if Duke wishes to extend this confidential treatment, it should file an appropriate motion 45 days in advance of the expiration date. And if no such motion to extend confidential treatment is filed, the Commission may release this information without prior notice to do.

MS. WATTS: Thank you, your Honor.

EXAMINER WILLEY: There's also a few more

1 issues that we need to clean up or clear up with  
2 NRDC. It appears that, on March 14, 2011, two sets  
3 of direct testimony were filed for both Mr. Sullivan  
4 and Mr. Lanzalotta with different page numbers.

5 I know you said that you just received  
6 those documents. Can you tell us why there were two  
7 different versions filed for each of those witnesses?

8 MR. ECKHART: No, your Honor, I do not  
9 know.

10 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Thank you.

11 MR. ECKHART: I'll check.

12 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay.

13 MR. SMALL: Your Honor, I hope I'm not  
14 getting ahead of myself. I understand the Bench's  
15 concern about proper procedure as far as protecting  
16 items; however, for purposes of what I understand  
17 will be the record in this case, those items, by  
18 agreement of the parties, will not be part of the  
19 record. So, if there's a problem, it won't make a  
20 difference to the record, as the parties have agreed  
21 to it.

22 Like I said, I may be getting ahead of  
23 the matter a bit here.

24 EXAMINER PIRIK: Just to be clear,  
25 Mr. Small, I understand that what will be part of the

1 record and what will be considered as part of the  
2 record is set forth in the stipulation for the  
3 Commission to consider; but whenever a document is  
4 filed under seal at the Commission, there has to be a  
5 corresponding motion for protective order --

6 MR. SMALL: Yes.

7 EXAMINER PIRIK: -- filed with it, and  
8 there has to be a date set as to when that protective  
9 treatment will end. So regardless of whether it's  
10 made part of the record or not, it has to be clear on  
11 the record what the date certain is for that to be  
12 open to the public.

13 MR. SMALL: I realize that. I thought  
14 you were addressing there was some page -- pagination  
15 questions or something like that.

16 EXAMINER PIRIK: I think the confusion in  
17 the record is that there's one document of one  
18 individual's testimony that has 13 pages, and then  
19 there's, on the same day, another document filed that  
20 has 43 pages; and the docket card is just very  
21 confused as far as exactly what the items are on that  
22 docket card. So, in the effort of trying to clarify  
23 some of those issues, that's what the examiners are  
24 trying to do.

25 MS. WATTS: And, your Honor, those two

1 testimonies where there's a large page-number  
2 discrepancy, the way in which the shorter document  
3 was redacted wasn't the typical way where you  
4 black-line-out the material. In this case, the  
5 redacted material was omitted from the document  
6 entirely and there's just a sentence that says  
7 "redacted." So it made the whole document much  
8 shorter because a lot was taken out. So the  
9 testimonies are, more or less, the same, but one  
10 contains sentences where redacted material was taken  
11 out. So that's why one's 13 and one's 43.

12 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Thank you.

13 EXAMINER PIRIK: Just so that I  
14 understand, so the one that's 43, it has all the  
15 information in it that's supposed to be confidential?

16 MS. WATTS: No. Apparently, the one  
17 that's 43 is filed with black-line redactions; and  
18 the one that's 13 has that extracted out and just a  
19 sentence that says "redacted."

20 EXAMINER WILLEY: All right. Let's  
21 proceed to discussing the stipulation. I understand  
22 the parties have docketed a stipulation. Is that  
23 correct, Ms. Watts?

24 MS. WATTS: That's correct, your Honor.  
25 And, again, just a bit of confusion in the record.



1 The parties did submit a stipulation to docketing on  
2 Thursday of last week, and there was an error, sort  
3 of a, if Mr. Warnock will forgive me, sort of a  
4 clerical error, even though it was his client that  
5 was omitted.

6 And so we have a corrected version,  
7 today, that includes Mr. Warnock's client properly  
8 addressed. And we have copies of those to provide to  
9 the Bench today.

10 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Thank you.

11 MS. WATTS: Your Honor, I would ask that  
12 be marked as Joint Exhibit 1.

13 EXAMINER WILLEY: It will be so marked.

14 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

15 EXAMINER WILLEY: Is there a witness  
16 available to support the stipulation?

17 MS. WATTS: There is, your Honor.

18 EXAMINER WILLEY: You may call your  
19 witness.

20 MS. WATTS: Duke Energy Ohio will call  
21 James Riddle.

22 EXAMINER WILLEY: Would you please raise  
23 your right hand.

24 (Witness sworn.)

25 MS. WATTS: Your Honor, Mr. Riddle's

1 testimony we ask that be marked as Joint Exhibit 2.

2 EXAMINER WILLEY: It will be so marked.

3 MR. SMALL: Your Honor, if I may, I would  
4 prefer to have it marked as Duke Exhibit 1.

5 MS. WATTS: Okay. Works for me if it  
6 works for everyone.

7 EXAMINER WILLEY: Was that Duke Exhibit  
8 1?

9 MR. SMALL: Yeah. I don't think the  
10 other parties have had any part in drafting or  
11 submitting it.

12 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. The testimony  
13 will be marked as Duke Exhibit 1.

14 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

15 - - -

16 JAMES A. RIDDLE  
17 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was  
18 examined and testified as follows:

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 By Ms. Watts:

21 Q. Mr. Riddle, would you state your name,  
22 please.

23 A. My name is James A. Riddle.

24 Q. And, Mr. Riddle, do you have before you a  
25 document which has been marked as Duke Energy

1 Exhibit 1?

2 A. Yes, I do.

3 Q. And would you tell me what that is,  
4 please?

5 A. It is my direct testimony as in regards  
6 to the stipulation in this case.

7 Q. And did you prepare that testimony?

8 A. Yes, I did.

9 Q. And we do have one matter that needs to  
10 be addressed with respect to that testimony.

11 Mr. Riddle, when your testimony was  
12 prepared, you were speaking to a stipulation which  
13 has now been corrected. There was an earlier  
14 stipulation and are you aware of a correction that  
15 was made to that stipulation?

16 A. Yes, I am.

17 Q. And do you know what that correction was?

18 A. It involved the addition of additional  
19 parties to the stipulation.

20 Q. Okay. And is your testimony with respect  
21 to the stipulation, which is now marked as Joint  
22 Exhibit 1, still accurate and truthful?

23 A. Yes, it is.

24 Q. Do you have any additions or corrections?

25 A. No, I don't.

1 MS. WATTS: Mr. Riddle is available for  
2 cross-examination.

3 EXAMINER WILLEY: Are there any questions  
4 for this witness on behalf of the Ohio Consumers'  
5 Counsel?

6 MR. SMALL: No questions, your Honor.

7 EXAMINER WILLEY: Ohio Environmental  
8 Council?

9 MR. REISINGER: No questions, your Honor.

10 EXAMINER WILLEY: Natural Resources  
11 Defense Council and the Sierra Club?

12 MR. ECKHART: No questions, your Honor.

13 EXAMINER WILLEY: The Environmental Law  
14 and Policy Center?

15 MS. SANTARELLI: No questions, your  
16 Honor.

17 EXAMINER WILLEY: The Mid-Atlantic  
18 Renewable Energy Coalition?

19 MR. WARNOCK: No questions, your Honor.

20 EXAMINER WILLEY: Does staff have any  
21 questions?

22 MR. JONES: No questions, your Honor.

23 EXAMINER WILLEY: If there are no  
24 questions, you are excused. Thank you.

25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1 (Witness excused.)

2 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. I'd like to move  
3 on now to the admission of exhibits.

4 Let's begin with Duke. Would you like to  
5 move for admission of exhibits?

6 MS. WATTS: We would like to move for  
7 Joint Exhibit 1 and Duke Energy Exhibit 1, please.

8 EXAMINER WILLEY: Are there any  
9 objections?

10 (No response.)

11 EXAMINER WILLEY: Hearing none, those  
12 exhibits will be admitted.

13 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

14 EXAMINER WILLEY: Let's move on to Ohio  
15 Consumers' Counsel. Do you have any exhibits?

16 MR. SMALL: I believe Duke will be moving  
17 the exhibits.

18 MS. WATTS: We moved Joint Exhibit 1 and  
19 Duke Energy Exhibit 1.

20 MR. SMALL: Oh, excuse me for looking  
21 down. What is the question posed to me?

22 MS. WATTS: Whether you would like to  
23 move for admission of any exhibits.

24 MR. SMALL: OCC has no exhibits to move.

25 EXAMINER WILLEY: Do any of the parties

1 intend to move for admission of any of the direct  
2 testimony that was filed?

3 MR. SMALL: Your Honor, I'll speak to it.

4 The stipulation provides for certain  
5 matters to be placed into the record, and only the  
6 testimony of the Duke witness, in this case  
7 Mr. Riddle, is part of that stipulation.

8 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay.

9 MR. SMALL: So there would be no other  
10 testimony moved or admitted per the stipulation.

11 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Thank you.

12 Additionally, Ms. Watts, do you have a  
13 proof of publication --

14 MS. WATTS: I do, your Honor.

15 EXAMINER WILLEY: -- to enter into  
16 evidence?

17 MS. WATTS: And thank you for reminding  
18 me. And we would ask that be moved into -- we would  
19 ask that be marked and we move that it be admitted  
20 into evidence as Duke Energy Exhibit 2.

21 EXAMINER WILLEY: It will be so marked.

22 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

23 EXAMINER WILLEY: Are there any  
24 objections to admission of the proof of publication?

25 (No response.)

1 EXAMINER WILLEY: Hearing none, it will  
2 be admitted.

3 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

4 EXAMINER WILLEY: Are there any further  
5 motions to come before us today?

6 Additionally, let the record reflect that  
7 the proof of publication was filed on August 31st of  
8 2010.

9 Is there anything else to come before us  
10 today?

11 MS. WATTS: Yes, your Honor. We do have  
12 some additional exhibits we'd like to have marked and  
13 moved into evidence.

14 Duke Energy's Revised Long-Term Filing  
15 dated October 7th, Long-Term Forecast and Resource  
16 Plan dated October 7th would be Duke Energy Exhibit  
17 3.

18 EXAMINER WILLEY: It will be so marked.

19 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

20 EXAMINER WILLEY: Are there any  
21 objections to this exhibit?

22 MR. SMALL: There are some adjustments to  
23 it, your Honor. For instance, Section IV, roman  
24 numeral IV, would not be made part of the record as  
25 part of the stipulation. And there's a replacement

1 in Section IV that I believe Duke wants to address.

2 EXAMINER WILLEY: Ms. Watts, do you have  
3 a response?

4 MS. WATTS: Yes, your Honor. The revised  
5 Section IV which is essentially the Revised Resource  
6 Plan which was filed with the Commission on February  
7 11th, 2011, we would ask that be marked as Duke  
8 Energy Exhibit 5.

9 MR. SMALL: 4.

10 MS. WATTS: 4. Section IV marked as  
11 Exhibit 4.

12 EXAMINER WILLEY: It will be so marked.

13 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

14 MS. WATTS: And then the corrected Form  
15 DE1, it's FE-DE1, we would ask that be marked as Duke  
16 Energy Exhibit 5.

17 EXAMINER WILLEY: It will be so marked.

18 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

19 MS. WATTS: Then the first full paragraph  
20 of page 5 of the confidential unredacted version of  
21 the February 11, 2011 filing should be excluded from  
22 that document as an exhibit.

23 EXAMINER WILLEY: Let's go off the record  
24 for a minute.

25 (Off the record.)



1 EXAMINER WILLEY: Let's go back on the  
2 record.

3 Ms. Watts.

4 MS. WATTS: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.  
5 And I apologize for not getting this straight the  
6 first time. The Revised Long-Term Forecast and  
7 Resource Plan which was filed on October 7th, 2010,  
8 we would ask that be marked as Exhibit 3. And the  
9 redacted -- or the -- yes --

10 EXAMINER WILLEY: The unredacted?

11 MS. WATTS: The unredacted version would  
12 be 3A.

13 And then with respect to the Resource  
14 Plan or Section IV which was filed on February 11th,  
15 2011, we'd ask that be marked as 5, and the  
16 unredacted version marked as 5A -- oh, 4 and 4A.  
17 Sorry.

18 EXAMINER WILLEY: Okay. Those will be so  
19 marked.

20 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

21 EXAMINER WILLEY: Are there any  
22 objections to the admission of these exhibits?

23 (No response.)

24 EXAMINER WILLEY: Hearing none, these  
25 exhibits will be admitted.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

EXAMINER WILLEY: Is there anything else  
to come before us today?

(No response.)

EXAMINER WILLEY: Hearing none, we are  
adjourned. Thank you.

(The hearing concluded at 10:50 a.m.)

- - -

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, March 21, 2011, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Carolyn M. Burke, Registered  
Professional Reporter, and  
Notary Public in and for the  
State of Ohio.

My commission expires July 17, 2013.

- - -

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**4/5/2011 1:25:23 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 10-0503-EL-FOR**

Summary: Transcript Transcript of 2010 Long Term Forcast Report of Duke Energy hearing held on 03/21/11. electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn M. Mrs.