Large Filing Separator Sheet

Case Number:

11-351-EL-AIR

11-352-EL-AIR

11-353-EL-ATA

11-354-EL-ATA

11-356-EL-AAM

11-358-EL-AAM

File Date:

3/14/11

Section:

2 of 2

Number of Pages:

135

Description of Document:

Volume 1

Pre-filed Direct Testimonies

of

Andrea E. Moore and David A. Davis

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company, Individually and, if Their Proposed Merger is Approved, as a Merged Company (collectively, AEP Ohio) for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rate	•	Case No. 11-351-EL-AIR Case No. 11-352-EL-AIR
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company, Individually and, if Their Proposed Merger is Approved, as a Merged Company (collectively AEP Ohio) for Tariff Approval))))	Case No. 11-353-EL-ATA Case No. 11-354-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company, Individually and, if Their Proposed Merger is Approved, as a Merged Company (collectively AEP Ohio) for Approval to Change Accounting Methods)	Case No. 11-356-EL-AAM Case No. 11-358-EL-AAM

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDREA E. MOORE ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

Management Policies, Practices & Organizations

Operating Income

Rate Base

Allocations

Rate of Return

X Rates and Tariffs

Other

Filed March 14th, 2011

INDEX TO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDREA E. MOORE

	Page No.
I.	Personal Data1
II.	Purpose of Testimony3
III.	Proposed Changes to Terms and Conditions of Service4
IV.	Miscellaneous Charges6
V.	Pole Attachment Charges6
VI.	Current Rider Combined Rates8
VII.	Additional Company Proposed Riders8

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDREA E. MOORE ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER

AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

I. PERSONAL DATA

1	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?
2	A.	My name is Andrea E. Moore and my business address is 850 Tech Center Drive,
3		Gahanna, Ohio 43230.
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A.	I am employed by American Electric Power Service Corporation as Manager,
6		Regulated Pricing and Analysis for Columbus Southern Power Company (CSP)
7		and Ohio Power Company (OPCo), collectively known as AEP Ohio or the
8		Company. AEPSC is a subsidiary of the American Electric Power Company Inc.
9		(AEP) and provides technical and other services to AEP Ohio and other operating
10		units within the AEP System. In 2009, I began focusing solely on AEP Ohio's
11		regulated pricing matters.
12	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS MANAGER – REGULATED
13		PRICING AND ANALYSIS?
14	A.	I am responsible for directing the preparation and presentation of regulatory
15		matters to management as well as regulatory bodies. I plan, organize and direct
16		team activities to develop and support pricing structures, rider and true-up filings,
17		maintenance of tariffs, pilot programs, special contracts and other pricing
18		initiatives depending on assigned function.

1 Q. WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL

2 BACKGROUND?

3 I received my Bachelor of Science in Accounting degree from the University of Rio Grande. I completed the Basic Concepts of Rate Making class through New 4 5 Mexico State University. I earned a Master of Business Administration degree from Franklin University. I joined AEPSC in 2001 as an Accountant and joined 6 7 the Regulatory Tariffs department as a Regulatory Analyst III in 2004. I progressed through various positions before being promoted to my current 8 9 position of Manager - Regulated Pricing and Analysis. My duties within the regulatory department have included preparing cost-of-service studies for 10 regulatory filings, preparing cost based formula rates for wholesale customers, 11 12 preparing rider filings and rate designs, maintaining tariff books as well as other projects related to regulatory issues and proceedings, individual customer requests 13 and general rate matters. 14

15 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY IN A 16 REGULATORY PROCEEDING?

17 A. Yes. I submitted testimony on behalf of AEP Ohio in the Company's currently
18 pending Electric Security Plan (ESP). I have also submitted testimony before the
19 Virginia State Corporation Commission on behalf of Appalachian Power
20 Company.

1		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
2	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
3	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to support the Company's proposed changes to
4		the Terms and Conditions of service, including the updated prices for
5		miscellaneous distribution charges. I will also support updated pole attachment
6		charges, updates of certain current rider rates, administration of the Deferred
7		Asset Recovery Rider (DARR) and the administration of the Distribution
8		Investment Rider (DIR).
9	Q.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY SCHEDULE?
10	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following Schedules:
11		Schedule E-1 - Proposed Clean Tariffs
12		Schedule E-2a - Redlined current tariffs
13		Schedule E-2b - Current clean tariffs
14		Schedule E-3 - Rationale for tariff changes
15	Q.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS?
16	A.	Yes, I am sponsoring the following exhibits:
17		Exhibit AEM-1 – Errata Corrections to Prefiling Notice
18		Exhibit AEM-2 - Calculation of Miscellaneous Charges in Terms and Conditions
19		Exhibit AEM-3 – Calculation of Pole Attachment Charges
20		Exhibit AEM-4 - Example of combined current rider rates in anticipation of a
21		merged Company
22		Exhibit AEM-5 - Calculation of DARR
23		Exhibit AEM-6 - Initial filing example of the DIR

1		Exhibit AEM-7 – Subsequent quarterly true-up filing example of the DIR
2		Exhibit AEM-8 - Clean DARR Tariff Sheet Errata to Schedule E-1
3		Exhibit AEM-9 - Redline DARR Tariff Sheet Errata to Schedule E-2a
4	Ш	. PROPOSED CHANGES TO TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE
5	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE MODIFICATIONS OF THE TERMS AND
6		CONDITIONS PROPOSED BY THE COMPANY.
7	A.	The modifications to the Terms and Conditions were mostly to align the language
8		of both OPCo and CSP, in anticipation of merging the two Companies. In
.9		addition to rearranging paragraphs, some language changes were created in order
10		to more clearly explain or clarify Company Policies and Procedures.
11	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADDITION OF A SERVICE FEE FOR
12		MULTIPLE TRIPS FOR NEW SERVICE INSTALLATIONS.
13	A.	The last paragraph in section 9, Service Connections, includes the addition of a
14		service fee for multiple trips for new service installations. When a customer is
15		ready for service, a single trip is required to install the service. This fee is only
16		assessed when customers have not fulfilled their requirement and the Company
17		must make multiple trips to install service due to Company or jurisdictional
18		inspection requirements not being met. In the absence of this fee, this cost is
19		absorbed by other customers.
20	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES TO SECTION 14, METER
21		REGISTRATION AND TESTING.

22

23

The first two paragraphs in this section were adopted from CSP's Terms and

Conditions. This language requires customers to be responsible for installing and

maintaining the mounting or meter enclosures, or sockets. Customer responsibility is currently OPCo's practice. CSP however has yet to implement this practice and will begin implementation effective with the decision of this case. Also addressed here is the customer's responsibility to install a dedicated analog phone line, as well as changes in the meter location requirements to a maximum of five feet and minimum of three feet from the ground. This meter location requirement change will not affect current customers, only service going forward. These requirements are given to customers at the time they apply for service. All of the above changes, with the exception of the analog phone line, are currently the processes of OPCo with the exception of the ownership and responsibility of the meter enclosures and sockets.

- 12 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 15
 13 INTERVAL METERING INSTALLATIONS.
- 14 A. The Company is proposing that customers requesting interval metering for their
 15 benefit should bear all of the associated costs, including the necessary
 16 telecommunication costs. The Company has been seeing an increase in cases
 17 where remote interrogation is not possible due to the absence of analog phone
 18 lines. This causes multiple trips by meter electricians to manually read the
 19 interval meters.
- 20 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 21, 21 DEPOSITS.

1	Á.	The interest applied to customer deposits held by the Company for at least six
2		months was changed to no less than 3%. Company witness Dias discusses this
3		change.
4	Q.	IN PREPARING THE FILING DID THE COMPANY IDENTIFY ANY
5		UPDATES TO THE TARIFFS INCLUDED IN THE PREFILING
6		NOTICE?
7	Α.	Yes. There were a few updates needed to the tariffs. These updates are reflected
8		in Exhibit AEM-1. There was an additional update needed to Schedules E-1 and
9		E-2a for the DARR. These updated tariff sheets are shown as Exhibit AEM-8 and
10		AEM-9.
11		IV. MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES
12	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CHANGES TO MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES
13		WITHIN THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS.
14	A.	The miscellaneous charges in the Terms and Conditions were modified to reflect
15		the Company's current costs of labor and vehicle expenses. These costs have not
16		been updated in the Terms and Conditions since the 1990s base rate cases for CSP
17		and OPCo. Exhibit AEM-2 shows the current costs and rate calculations for
18		miscellaneous charges.
19		V. POLE ATTACHMENT CHARGES
20	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED CHANGES TO POLE
21		ATTACHMENT CHARGES.
22	A.	The Company's pole attachment charges have remained unchanged for decades.
23		A rate change is necessary in order to allow the Company to recover its costs to

1	inspect, maintain and inventory pole attachments. In order to capture the correct
2	amount to charge for pole attachments, the Company has used the formula created
3	by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) pursuant to Section 224 of
4	the Communications Act. Although this formula is tied to the amounts from the
5	Utility's FERC Form 1, the rates calculated have used the fully adjusted
6	jurisdictional distribution-only values.

7 Q. WHAT IS THE RESULT OF THE UPDATED POLE ATTACHMENT

8 CHARGE?

- A. The current pole attachment rates are \$3.72 and \$2.83 per pole per year for OPCo and CSP respectively. The proposed rate is \$8.12, based on the FCC formula discussed above, resulting in a 118% and 187% increase for each Company respectively. The Company is proposing an initial contact rate of \$3.78. If the Commission determines that the rate increase needs to be capped in this proceeding, the shortfall will be recovered from all other customers. These updated rates are shown in Exhibit AEM-3.
- 16 Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING ANY CHANGES TO THE POLE
- 17 ATTACHMENTS TARIFF AS IT RELATES TO UNAUTHORIZED POLE
- 18 ATTACHMENTS?
- 19 A. Yes. The Company is proposing to implement charges for unauthorized pole 20 attachments if those attachments are not in compliance with the National Electric 21 Safety Code.
- 22 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THE COMPANY FEELS THAT THESE
 23 CHARGESARE APPROPRIATE.

Currently the Company performs inventories of pole attachments approximately every 5 years. At that time, attachments in the field are compared to the record of attachments that are charged for yearly. Any attachments found in the field and not in record are considered unauthorized. The attaching company must then pay AEP Ohio the owed back rental for these unauthorized attachments for a maximum of five years. The attachers benefit from free access until found in inventory and there is no penalty. The Company is penalized in the current year for the attachers not paying the appropriate charges for pole attachments. When the Company receives a proposal for an attachment, an engineering analysis is performed to determine if the existing pole will adequately support the new attachment. Unauthorized attachments bypass this engineering review. The additional charge will allow the Company to perform the engineering analysis, to make any corrections necessary to meet NESC compliance, and will deter the attachers from attaching without permission to do so.

A.

VI. CURRENT RIDER COMBINED RATES

- 16 Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING ANY CHANGES TO THE CURRENT
 17 RIDER RATES?
- A. Yes. Exhibit AEM-4 shows an example of how the calculation of the
 gridSMART®, Enhanced Service Reliability, Economic Development, Universal
 Service Fund and Energy Efficiency/Peak Demand Reduction Cost Recovery
 Riders would be combined if the Companies' request to merge, presently being
 considered in Case No. 10-2376-EL-UNC, is approved.

1 VII. ADDITIONAL COMPANY PROPOSED RIDERS

- 2 Q. IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING ANY ADDITIONAL RIDERS?
- 3 A. Yes. The Company is requesting the approval of a DARR Rider and a DIR.
- 4 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DARR.
- 5 A. The Company has several existing regulatory assets as referenced by Company
- 6 witnesses Mitchell and Dias. Please see Exhibit AEM-5 for a worksheet that
- 7 shows the proposed revenue requirement and collection method. The Company
- 8 proposes that the rider continue until all costs are recovered. To reduce customer
- 9 impact, the Company is proposing a rider to collect these charges over a 7 year
- period, beginning in January 2013.
- 11 Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING A CARRYING COST ON THESE
- 12 **REGULATORY ASSETS?**
- 13 A. Yes. The Company is asking for a single carrying cost on the uncollected balance
- 14 of these assets based on the pre-tax WACC as supported by Company witness
- 15 Hawkins. These assets are shown on Exhibit AEM-5. Not included in the
- 16 balance in Exhibit AEM-5 are \$4,052,126 of Monongahela Power's regulatory
- assets, as described by Company witness Dias. The first \$4,052,126 collected
- 18 from the DARR will recover these assets with all remaining collections
- recovering the additional balance shown in Exhibit AEM-5.
- 20 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RECOVERY MECHANISM OF THE DARR.
- 21 A. The Company is proposing that the DARR be collected as a percentage of base
- 22 distribution revenue. The assets deferred for recovery are storm expenses, line
- extensions, customer choice implementation, green pricing, Monongahela Power

integration costs and the 2006-2008 Rate Stabilization Plan (RSP) rate case expenses. These regulatory assets relate almost entirely to the distribution responsibilities of the Company. Therefore, the Company is recommending the collection of the DARR through a percentage of base distribution revenue charge of 11.8088%. This percentage is based on current distribution revenues and will need to be updated to reflect distribution rate relief. This rider will be subject to over/under recovery.

8 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DIR.

A.

The Company is requesting the approval of a rider that will allow carrying costs on incremental distribution plant to be recovered each year using a pre-tax WACC as well as an O&M component as sponsored by Company witness Kirkpatrick. The Company is proposing an increase in rates to account for the net plant increase each year. Company witness Kirkpatrick testifies to the need for investment in distribution assets for the future. The Company is proposing to update this rider quarterly in 2012 based on the incremental increase in the net plant balance as shown on Form 3Q, which is filed quarterly with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Any assets recovered through other riders will be excluded from the DIR. This rider will be subject to over/under recovery. Because the costs are directly related to the Company infrastructure, the rider will be collected as a percentage of base distribution revenue. Exhibit AEM-6¹ shows an example of the initial rider filing after approval of distribution rates. Exhibit AEM-7 shows an example of subsequent quarterly filings which

¹ In the event that Distribution rates are approved after the Electric Security Plan rates as requested in Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO and 11-348-EL-SSO an additional true-up may be required on Exhibit AEM-6.

- 1 will reflect the rate change for the change in the net plant, plus a true-up of the
- 2 previous quarterly filing's revenue requirement versus rider collections.
- 3 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 4 A. Yes.

Errata Changes to Pre-Filing Notice

Columbus Southern Power Standard Tariff (PFN Exhibit 3 I)

Original Sheet	Description
Page 1	Removed Reference to Monongahela Power Rider. This Rider will expire.
Page 11	Remove "non-residential" from #4.
Page 11	8(a) Remove Last sentence " It is the responsibility of the customer who paid the CIAC cost to notify the Company when a new customer is connected and utilizes the line extension associated with the CIAC cost incurred."
Page 31	Rate Table for Storage Water Heating was left off
Page 45	Term of Contract - GS-2 Contract changed from 500 KW to 1,000 KW. Also the notification period changed from 6 months to 90 days
Page 51	Firm Service Designation- Refers to GS-4. This should be GS-2. Schedule GS-4 will be eliminated
Page 58	In Option 2 & 3, first sentence states that "The customer sells to the Company the total energy and produced+ need to remove the word and
Page 60	Remove "and Capacity" from heading " Monthly Credits or Payments for Energy and Capacity Deliveries"
Page 90	Last sentence reads "attachment of wire or cable. This should read "attachment" is the attachment

Errata Changes to Pre-Filing Notice

Ohio Power Standard Tariff (PFN Exhibit 3 I)

Original Sheet	Description
Page 1	Removed Reference to Monongahela Power Rider. This Rider will expire.
Page 16	Remove "non-residential" from #4.
Page 16	8(a) Remove Last sentence " It is the responsibility of the customer who paid the CIAC cost to notify the Company when a new customer is connected and utilizes the line extension associated with the CIAC cost incurred."
Page 36	Rate Table for Storage Water Heating was left off
Page 50	Term of Contract - GS-2 Contract changed from 500 KW to 1,000 KW. Also the notification period changed from 6 months to 90 days
Page 56	Firm Service Designation- Refers to GS-4. This should be GS-2. Schedule GS-4 will be eliminated
Page 63-65	P.U.C.O. Number should be 20, not 19
Page 97	Last sentence reads "attachment of wire or cable. This should read "attachment" is the attachment

DARR	EE/PDR Rider			ফ	GS-2	GS-1	RS ·	Schedule
Distribution Asset Recovery Rider	GS-3 Rate	CSP HPS 100 Watt Standard CSP HPS 150 Watt Standard CSP HPS 200 Watt Standard CSP HPS 400 Watt Standard	OP New Multiple on Metal - 16,000 L. (150 W) HPS OP New Multiple on Metal - 22,000 L. (200 W) HPS OP New Multiple on Metal - 50,000 L. (400 W) HPS	New 2012 - 22,000 Lumen (200 Watt) Cutoff New 2012 - 50,000 Lumen (400 Watt) Cutoff OP Existing on Wood Pole 7,000 L. (175 W) Mercury	Secondary Off Peak Demand Charge Primary Off Peak Demand Charge	Experimental GS-TOD Customer Charge	Experimental RS-TOD Customer Charge	Description
12		•••••						밁
12.2562% 11.8088%	0.3845	6.97 7.46 9.59 11.92	13.28 13.79 14.48	\$20.02 \$22.95 4.19	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$8.70	\$9.25	PFN Rate
<u></u>	0	*************************************	***					71 S
8088%	0.26773	7.72 8.76 10.00 12.80	13.39 14.41 16.56	\$25.53 \$28.46 4.20	\$4.90 \$3.81	\$7.85	\$8.40	Corrected Rate
		·		၁ ဝ ဂမ	72 Q	ĊΊ	_	1 -
87-1	81-1	4 4 4 4		6 41-3	90 21-1 31 21-1	5 20-3	104	CSP Sheet No.
87-1 87-1	81-1		41.3 41.3 41.3	41-3 41-3				

Ohio Power Company
Miscellaneous Service Revenue Increases

			***			openition of the second of the second opening
	\$260		\$304			Repair/Replace Interval and Modem Roards
	\$210		\$236			ensir/Renlace Modern Roard
	\$121		\$146			Repair/Replace Interval Board
	\$119		\$65			Repair/Replace Surge Protector
	\$47		\$44			Check Phone Line & Perform Manual Read
	\$43		\$39			Perform Manual Meter Read
	\$57		\$54			Connect Phone Line
\$26,800	\$134	5	\$	200	Revised	Underground - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200A
\$145,044	\$237	\$124,848	\$204	612	3-10	Overhead - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200A
\$53,694	\$57	\$22,137	\$23.50	942	3-10	Temporacy Service Read-in/Read-out existing meter
\$47,889	\$	\$53,210	\$10	5,321	3-4	Returned Check Charge
\$14,700	\$49	\$11,439	\$38.13	300	Revised	Minimum Fraudulent or Tampering Charge
	\$85	\$0	\$73	0	3-12	All other meters
	\$64	\$0	\$59		3-12	Moter Test Charges Single phase meters
\$1,232,000	\$16	\$1,386,000	\$ 18	77,000	3 - 14	Collection Trip Charge
\$10,096	\$77	\$0	\$	131	Revised	At customer's request for non-credit reasons
\$11,486	\$73	\$0	\$0	157	Revised	Install locking device
\$3,190	\$73	\$ 0	\$0	4	Revised	*Remove & reset meter
\$112	\$221	\$6	\$0	_	Revised	At pole - Sunday or holiday
\$17,016	\$192	\$0	\$0	89	Revised	At pole - overtime
\$68,119	\$15 4	\$ 6	ş	442	Revised	At pole - regular business hours
\$2,956	\$119	*	\$ 0	25	Revised	At meter - Sunday or holiday
\$427,251	\$98	8	*	4,360	Revised	At meter - overtime
\$1,153,279	\$53	\$6	*	21,760	Revised	At meter - regular business hours
	\$	\$2,030	\$145	4	3-15	All other - overtime
	*	\$390,172	\$92	4,241	3 - 15	Single phase - overtime
	8	\$9,360	\$90	10.4	3 - 15	All other - regular business hours
	☆	\$803,412	\$ 36	22,317	3 - 15	Reconnect Charges Single phase - regular business hours
Revenue	Rate	Revenue	Rate	Charges	Sheet	
Proposed	Proposed	Current	Current	Test Year	Tariff	

\$411,024 Schedule E3.2 Line 1347

Ohio Power Company

Miscellaneous Service Revenue Increases

For the Reconnect Charges - Revised, Ohio Power Company does not have all needed data to reallocate in the new categories from the Single Phase and All Other categories. For estimating purposes we have redistributed the number of charges using weighted averages from Columbus Southern Power Company for the same Test Year as follows:

No. of "Remove & reset mtr (26,676 * 0,08%) No. of Install lock device (26,676 * 0,63%) No. of Cust request non-credit (26,676 * 0,66%) 131 *Remove and Reset Meter will no longer be a practice. Such situations going forward will fall under Install Locking Device.	Number at pole - reg hrs (531 * 80.83%) Number at pole - ot (531 * 19.02%) Number at pole (531 * 0.15%)	Number at meter - reg hrs (26,145 * 80.83%) Number at meter - ot (26,145 * 19.02%) Number at meter - Sun/hol (26,145 * 0.15%)	Number at meter (26,676 * 98.01%) Number at pole (26,676 * 1.99%)	Ohio Power Company total (22,317 + 104 + 4241 + 14)	Total at meter Total at pole	Total non-pay reconnects Total non-pay reconnects - reg bus hrs Total non-pay reconnects - overtime Total non-pay reconnects - Sunfhol	At pole - regular business hours At pole - overtime At pole - Sunday or holiday *Remove & reset meter Install locking device At customer's request for non-credit reasons	Columbus Southern Power Company At meter - regular business hours At meter - overtime At meter - Sunday or holiday
44 157 131 Such situations going fo	442 89 1	21,760 4,360 25	· 26,145 531	26,676	29,910 608	30,518 25,400 5,089 29	583 24 50 180 150	24,817 5,065 28
rward will fall under Inst					percent of total percent of total	percent of total percent of total percent of total	percent of total percent of total percent of total	
alf Locking Device.					98.01% 1.99%	83.23% 16.68% 0.10%	0.16% 0.59% 0.49%	

*Remove and Reset Meter will no longer be a practice. Such situations going forward will fall under Instalt Locking Device.

For the Minimum Fraudulent or Tampering Charge - Revised, Ohio Power Company holds customers liable for such actions as indicated on sheet 3 - 8. In turn, the Company applies all costs associated with such an investigation and resolution. The majority of the charges applied (352 of 531) resulted in charges to cover labor to investigate and installation of a locking device on the meter enclosure at a minimum. The resulting revenue will be used as a base benchmark.

For the Underground Temporary Service - Revised, Ohio Power Company does not typically provide (nor is requested to provide), but when it does it is provided at actual costs. It is estimated that making such a fixed fee available, may result in 200 - 300 requests

Columbus Southern Power Company Miscellaneous Service Revenue Increases

	Total Miscellaneous Service Revenue	Repair/Replace Interval and Modern Boards	Repair/Replace Modern Board	Repair/Replace Interval Board	Repair/Replace Surge Protector	Check Phone Line & Perform Manual Read	Perform Manual Meter Read	Connect Phone Line	Underground - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200A	Overhead - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200A	Temporary Service Read-in/Read-out existing meter	Returned Check Charge	Minimum Fraudulent or Tampering Charge	All other meters	Single phase meters	Meter Test Charges All meters	Collection Trip Charge	At customer's request for non-credit reasons	Install locking device	*Remove & reset meter	At pole - Sunday or holiday	At pole - overtime	At pole - regular business hours	At meter - Sunday or holiday	At meter - overtime	Reconnect Charges At meter - regular business hours	
									Revised	Revised	Revised	5-1	5-2	Revised	Revised	51 N	5-1	5-1	5-1	5-1	5-1	5-1	5-1	5-1	5	ن ا س	Tariff Sheet
						_	298		1,240	272	_	6,734	362	c	, 0	0	122,851	150	180	50	>	24	583	28	5,065	24,817	09/09-08/10 Test Year Charges
Total Revenue Differential		\$304	\$236	\$146	\$65	\$44	\$39	\$54	\$160	\$230	\$30	\$ 6	\$25	¥	8 6	\$28	\$8	\$30	\$38	\$28	\$230	\$180	\$60	\$105	\$80	\$11.30	Current Rate
	\$2,045,760					\$44	\$11,622		\$198,400	\$62,560	\$30	\$40,404	\$9,050	8	\$	\$0	\$982,808	\$4,500	\$6,840	\$1,400	\$230	\$4,320	\$34,980	\$2,940	\$405,200	\$280,432	Current Revenue
erential		\$260	\$210	\$121	\$119	\$47	\$43	\$57	\$134	\$237	\$57	\$9	\$49	\$	\$64	\$ 0	\$16	\$77	\$73	\$73	\$221	\$192	\$154	\$119	868	\$53	Proposed Rate
\$2,179,696	\$4,225,456					\$47	\$12,814		\$166,160	\$64,464	\$57	\$60,606	\$17,738	*	* *	\$0	\$1,965,616	\$11,550	\$13,140	\$3,650	\$221	\$4,608	\$89,782	\$3,332	\$496,370	\$1,315,301	Proposed Revenue
Schedule E3.2 Line 1350																										,	

*Remove and Reset Meter will no longer be a practice. Such situations going forward will fall under Install Locking Device.

For the Meter Test Charges - Revised, Columbus Southern Power Company did not have any cases for this test period, nor did Ohio Power Company. It is recognized that a single charge for All Meter is not accurate and recommended that Columbus Southern Power adapt to charges for single phase and all other meters.

Exhibit AEM-2 4 of 33

AEP OHIO

Miscellaneous Service Revenue Increases

\$7,439,088		\$4,848,368				Total Miscellaneous Service Revenue
\$0	\$260	0	0			Repair/Replace Interval and Modem Boards
\$0	\$210	0	0			Repair/Replace Modem Board
\$0	\$121	0	0			Repair/Replace Interval Board
\$0	\$ 119	0	0			Repair/Replace Surge Protector
\$47	\$47	44	_			Check Phone Line & Perform Manual Read
\$12,814	\$43	11,622	298			Perform Manual Meter Read
\$0	\$57	0	o			Connect Phone Line
\$192,960	\$134	198,400	1,440		Revised	Underground - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200A
\$209,508	\$237	187,408	884		Revised	Overhead - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200A
\$53,751	\$57	22,167	943		Revised	Temporary Service Read-in/Read-out existing meter
\$108,495	\$9	93,614	12,055	, 1	ن ا	Returned Check Charge
\$32,438	\$49	20,489	662		5-2	Minimum Fraudulent or Tampering Charge
\$0	\$85	\$0	0	_	Revised	All other meters
\$0	\$64	\$0	0	_	Revised	Single phase meters
\$0	\$0	\$0	0		5 - 2	Meter Test Charges All meters
\$3,197,616	\$16	2,368,808	851	199,851	- <u>-</u> 7	Collection Trip Charge
\$21,646	\$77	4,500	281		5-1	At customer's request for non-credit reasons
\$24,626	\$73	6,840	337		5-1	Install locking device
\$6,840	\$73	1,400	42		5-1	*Remove & reset meter
\$333	\$221	230	8		5-1	At pole - Sunday or holiday
\$21,624	\$192	4,320	113		5-1	At pole - overtime
\$157,901	\$154	34,980	1,025		5-1	At pole - regular business hours
\$6,288	\$119	2,940	53		5-1	At meter - Sunday or holiday
\$923,621	\$98	405,200	9,425	9	5-1	At meter - overtime
\$2,468,580	\$53	280,432	577	46,577	5-1	At meter - regular business hours
\$		\$1,204,974)		:	Reconnect Charges Current OPCo Charges
Proposed Revenue	Proposed <u>Rate</u>	Current Revenue	10 ar Current <u>is Rate</u>	09/09-08/10 Test Year <u>Charges</u>	Tariff <u>Sheet</u>	

Exhibit AEM-2 6 of 33

Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

Ohio Power Miscellaneous Distribution Charges

Cost

1. Reconnect at meter for non-pay during regular business hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.) when disconnect is made at meter.

Current charge:

Proposed charge: \$65.00

Single Phase \$36.00

All Other \$90.00

Average travel time per trip: 30 Minutes

Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .5 hour = \$19.16

Average time to disconnect and reconnect: 12 minutes

Labor: \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .2 hour = 7.66

Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .7 hour = 5.45

Total cost justified reconnect charge \$32.27

Cost justified disconnect charge 32.27

Total rounded cost justified charge \$65.00

2. Reconnect at meter for non-pay during overtime hours after 4:00 p.m. and Saturday.

Current charge:

Proposed charge: \$109.00

Single Phase \$92.00

All Other \$145.00

Average travel time per trip: 1 hour

Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour x 1.5 (time and a half) x 2 hr. (min) = \$69.24

(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)

Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x 1 hour = $\frac{7.79}{}$

Total cost justified reconnect charge \$77.03

Cost justified disconnect charge (during regular hours) 32.27

Total rounded cost justified charge \$109.00

Exhibit AEM-2 7 of 33

Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

Ohio Power Miscellaneous Distribution Charges

Cost

3. Reconnect at meter on Sunday or holiday when double time is required.

Current charge:

Proposed charge: \$132.00

Single Phase \$92.00

All Other \$145.00

Average travel time: 1 hour

Labor: \$23.08 per hour x 2 (double time) x 2 hr. (min.) =

\$92.32

(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)

Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x 1 hour =

7.79

Total cost justified reconnect charge

Total rounded cost justified charge

\$100.11 32.2<u>7</u>

Cost justified disconnect charge (during regular hours)

\$132.00

4. Reconnect for non-pay during regular business hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.) when disconnect is made at pole.

Current charge:

Proposed charge: \$154.00

Single Phase \$92.00

All Other \$145.00

Average travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour

Labor: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x 1 hour =

\$47.74

Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hour =

<u>29.32</u>

Cost justified reconnect charge

\$77.06

Cost justified disconnect charge

<u>77.06</u>

Total rounded cost justified charge

\$154.00

Exhibit AEM-2 8 of 33

Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

Ohio	Power	Miscellaneous	Distribution	Charges

Cost

5. Reconnect for non-pay during overtime hours when disconnect is made at pole.

(Disconnect during regular hours/reconnect during overtime hours)

Current charge:

Proposed charge: \$193.00

Single Phase \$92.00 All Other \$145.00

Average travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour

Reconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76/hr. x 1.5 (overtime) x 2 hr. (min) =

\$86.28

(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)

Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hour =

<u> 29.32</u>

Cost justified reconnect charge

\$115.60

Disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76/hr. plus fringes at 66% (reg. time)

\$47.74

Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hour =

29,32

Total rounded cost justified charge

\$193.00

6. Reconnect at pole on Sunday or holidays when double time is required.

(Disconnect during regular hours/reconnect during overtime hours)

Current charge:

Proposed charge: \$221.00

Single Phase \$92.00

All Other \$145.00

Average travel time: 1 hour

Labor: \$28,76 per hour x 2 (double time) x 2 hr. (min.) =

\$115.04

(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)

Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hour =

29.32 \$144.36

Total cost justified reconnect charge

Disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76/hr.x 1 hour plus fringes at 66% (reg. time)

\$47.74

Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hour =

29.32

Total rounded cost justified charge

\$221.00

Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

Ohio Power Miscellaneous Distribution Charges

Cost

7. Install locking device and reconnect service.

Current	charge:	\$0.00
Culton	onarye.	wu.uu

Proposed charge: \$78.00

Average travel time per trip: 30 Minutes

Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .50 hour =

\$19.16

Average time to install lock and reconnect meter 15 minutes

Labor: \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .25 hour =

9.58

Locking device (Jiffy Lock)

10.55

Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .75 hour =

6.54

Cost justified disconnect charge

32.27

Total cost justified reconnect charge

\$78.00

8. Disconnect and Reconnect at customer's request for non-credit related reasons.

Current charge: \$30.00

Proposed charge: \$77.00

Disconnect/Reconnect is made at pole, weatherhead, secondary bushings of transformer or other similar manner. Customer will be assessed charge for each trip.

Cost justified	reconnect	charge	from	item	#4.

\$77.00

Cost justified disconnect charge from item #4.

\$77.00

Exhibit AEM-2 10 of 33

23.87 \$71.00

Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

	Ohio Power Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost
9.	Collection trip charge accessed the customer for each time an employees is dispatche	d to the
	customer's premise for the purpose of performing collection activities.	
	Current charge: \$18.00 Proposed charge: \$23.00	
	Average travel time per trip: 25 Minutes	
	Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .417 hour =	\$15.98
	Average time to complete collection task at customer site: 5 minutes	
	Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .083 hour =	\$3.18
	Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .5 hour =	<u>3.90</u>
	Total cost justified reconnect charge	\$23.00
10.	Meter test charge for single phase meters for each subsequent test conducted within t	hirty-six (36)
	months of the last previous test.	÷
	Current charge: \$59.00 Proposed charge: \$71.00	
	Average travel time per trip: 45 minutes	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .75 hour	\$35.81
	Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1.25 hours =	11.55

Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes

Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .5 hour =

Exhibit AEM-2 11 of 33

Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

Ohio Power Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost
Meter test charge for other than single phase meters for each subsequent test conduc	ted within
thirty-six (36) months of the last previous test.	
Current charge: \$73.00 Proposed charge: \$100.00	
Average travel time per trip: 45 minutes	*
Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .75 hour =	\$35.81
Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1.75 hours =	16.17
Average time at meter other than single phase: one hour	
Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x 1 hour =	47.74
Total rounded cost justified charge for other than single phase	\$100.00
Minimum charge to investigate tampering or fraudulent practice.	:
Current charge: \$0.00 Proposed charge: \$49.00	
Average travel time per trip: 30 Minutes	
Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .5 hour =	\$19.16
Average time to investigate and inspect equipment 20 minutes	
Labor: \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .333 hour =	12.76
Locking device (Jiffy Lock)	10.55
Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .833 hour =	<u>6.49</u>
Total cost justified tampering of fraud charge	\$49.00
	Meter test charge for other than single phase meters for each subsequent test conduct thirty-six (36) months of the last previous test. Current charge: \$73.00 Proposed charge: \$100.00 Average travel time per trip: 45 minutes Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .75 hour = Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1.75 hours = Average time at meter other than single phase: one hour Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x 1 hour = Total rounded cost justified charge for other than single phase Minimum charge to investigate tampering or fraudulent practice. Current charge: \$0.00 Proposed charge: \$49.00 Average travel time per trip: 30 Minutes Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .5 hour = Average time to investigate and inspect equipment 20 minutes Labor: \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .333 hour = Locking device (Jiffy Lock) Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .833 hour =

Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

	Ohio Power Miscellaneo	ous Distribution Charges	Cost
13.	Returned check charge.		
	Current charge: \$10.00	roposed charge: \$9.00	
	Bank fee per returned check	; ; ;	\$4.20
	Labor: Direct Collection Associate @ \$16.80 per	hour plus fringes at 56% x 1/12 hours (average r	2.18
	Labor: Payment Option Coordinator @ \$38.60 p	er hour plus fringes at 56% x 1/20 hours (average	<u>3.01</u>
	Total rounded cost justified charge processing a	nd review	\$9.00
14.	Temporary service requiring only reading-in and	reading-out an existing meter.	
	Current charge: \$30.00	roposed charge: \$65.00	
	Same as disconnect and reconnect at meter dur	ing normal business hours.	
	Total cost justified charge from item #1.	;	\$65.00
15.	Connect Phone Line		
	Current charge: \$54.00	roposed charge: \$57.00	
	Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes		
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour pl	us fringes at 66% x .5 hour =	\$23.87
	Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1 hours	ur =	\$9.24
	Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes		
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour p	<u>-</u>	\$23.87
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single pha	ase meters	\$57.00

Exhibit AEM-2 13 of 33

\$121.00

Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

	Ohio Power Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost
16.	Perform Manual Meter Read	
	Current charge: \$39.00 Proposed charge: \$45.00	
	Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .5 hour =	\$23.87
	Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1 hour =	\$9.24
	Average time at meter single phase: 15 minutes	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .25 hour =	<u>\$11.94</u>
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters	\$45.00
17.	Check Phone Line and Perform Manual Meter Read Due to Loss of Communication	÷
	Current charge: \$44.00 Proposed charge: \$49.00	:
	Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .5 hour =	\$23.87
	Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1 hour =	\$9.24
	Average time at meter single phase: 20 minutes	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .333 hour =	\$1 <u>5.90</u>
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters	\$49.00
18.	Repair/Replace Surge Protector	
	Current charge: \$65.00 Proposed charge: \$121.00	
	Total Cost justified charge from Item #17.	\$49.00
	Average time at meter single phase: 10 minutes	•
	Labor : MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 66% x .167 hour =	\$7.97
	Cost of Surge Protector	<u>\$64.00</u>

Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters

Exhibit AEM-2 14 of 33

Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

	Ohio Power	Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost
19.	Repair/Replace Interval Board		
	Current charge: \$146.00	Proposed charge: \$123.00	:
	Tatal On at in attend of a constant state.	WA 7	***
	Total Cost justified charge from Item		\$49.00
	Average time at meter single phase:		\$23.87
	Cost of Interval board	per hour plus fringes at 66% x .5 hour =	\$50.00
	Total rounded cost justified charge for	r single phase meters	\$123.00
	,	g-c primes mesons	
20.	Repair/Replace Modem Board		
	Current charge: \$236.00	Proposed charge: \$212.00	
	Total Cost justified charge from Item	#17.	\$49.00
	Average time at meter single phase:	30 minutes	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76	per hour plus fringes at 66% x .5 hour =	\$23.87
	Cost of Modern board		<u>\$139.00</u>
	Total rounded cost justified charge for	or single phase meters	\$212.00
21.	Repair/Replace Interval and Modem	Boards	•
	Current charge: \$304.00	Proposed charge: \$262.00	:
	Total Cost justified charge from Item	#17,	\$49.00
	Average time at meter single phase:	30 minutes	•
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76	per hour plus fringes at 66% x .5 hour =	\$23.87
	Cost of Modem and Interval Board		<u>\$189.00</u>
	Total rounded cost justified charge for	or single phase meters	\$262.00

Exhibit AEM-2 15 of 33

Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

Ohio Power Miscellaneous Distribution Charges

22. Temporary service - 120/240V, 1 ph, 200A with 100 foot service lateral from permanent overhead source. Install and remove service.

Current charge: \$204.00

Proposed charge: \$238.00

\$ 238.00

Cost

23. Temporary service - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200 A with 100 foot service lateral from permanent underground source. Install and remove service.

Current charge: Actual Cost

Proposed charge: \$138.00

\$ 138.00

Exhibit AEM-2 16 of 33

Columbus Southern Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

	Columbus Southern Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost
1.	Reconnect at meter for non-pay during regular business hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.) when disconnect	
	is made at meter.	
	Current charge \$11.30 Proposed charge: \$48.00	
	Average travel time per trip: 20 Minutes	
	Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .333 hour =	\$12.53
	Average time to disconnect and reconnect: 12 minutes	
	Labor: \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .2 hour =	\$7.52
	Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .533 hour =	\$4.15
	Total cost justified reconnect charge	\$24.20
	Cost justified disconnect charge	\$24.20
	Total rounded cost justified charge	\$48.00
2.	Reconnect at meter for non-pay during overtime hours after 4:00 p.m. and Saturdays.	
	Current charge: \$80.00 Proposed charge: \$101.00	
	Average travel time per trip: 1 hour	
	Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour x 1.5 (time and a half) x 2 hr. (min) =	\$69.24
	(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)	
	Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x 1 hour =	<u>\$7,79</u>
	Total cost justified reconnect charge	\$77.03
	Cost justified disconnect charge (during regular hours)	<u>\$24.20</u>
	Total rounded cost justified charge	\$101.00

Exhibit AEM-2 17 of 33

\$152.00

Columbus Southern Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

	Columbus Southern Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges			Cost	
3.	Reconnect at meter on Sunday or holidays when double time is required.			-	
	Current charge 105.00	Proposed charge: \$124.00	,	4.	
	Average travel time: 1 hour				
	Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per	hour x 2 (double time) x 2 hr. (min.) =		\$92.32	
		(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)			
	Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x 1 hour =		•	<u>\$7.79</u>	
	Total cost justified reconnect charge			\$100.11	
	Cost justified disconnect charge (during regular hours)			\$24.20	
	Total rounded cost justified charge			\$124.00	
4.	Reconnect for non-pay during regular business hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.) when disconnect				
	is made at pole.		:		
	Current charge: \$60.00	Proposed charge: \$152.00	:		
	Average travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour				
	Labor: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76 per	hour plus fringes at 63% x 1 hour =		\$46.88	
	Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per h	nour x 1 hour =	-	\$29.32	
	Cost justified reconnect charge			\$76.20	
	Cost justified disconnect charge			<u>\$76.20</u>	
	-				

Total rounded cost justified charge

Exhibit AEM-2 18 of 33

\$221.00

Columbus Southern Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

		1
Columbus S	outhern Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost
Reconnect for non-pay during ov		
(Disconn		
Current charge: \$180.00	Proposed charge: \$192.00	:
Average travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour		
Reconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76/hr. x 1.5 (overtime) x 2 hr. (min) =		\$86.28
_	(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)	
Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.3	2 per hour x 1 hours =	\$29.32
Cost justified reconnect charge		\$115.60
Disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76/hr, plus fringes at 63% (reg. time)		\$46.88
Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hours =		\$29.32
Total rounded cost justified char	ge	\$192.00
Reconnect at pole on Sunday or holiday when double time is required.		
(Disconnect during regular hours/reconnect during Sunday or holiday)		
Current charge: \$230.00	Proposed charge: \$221.00	
Average travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour		•
Labor: \$28.76 per hour x 2 (double time) x 2 hr. (min.) =		\$115.04
	(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)	
Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hours ≠		\$29.32
Total cost justified reconnect charge		\$144.36
Disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28,76/hr. plus fringes at 63% (reg. time)		\$46.88
Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.3	2 per hour x 1 hours =	<u>\$29.32</u>
	Reconnect for non-pay during or (Disconnection Current charge: \$180.00 Average travel and time to disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.3 Cost justified reconnect charge Disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.3 Total rounded cost justified chark Reconnect at pole on Sunday or (Disconnection Current charge: \$230.00 Average travel and time to discondition to the cost for class 77: \$29.3 Total cost for class 77: \$29.3 Total cost justified reconnect charge: \$28.76 per hour x 2 (document cost justified reconnect charge: \$29.3 Total cost justified reconnect charge: \$29.3	Average travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour Reconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76/hr. x 1.5 (overtime) x 2 hr. (min) = (Two hour union contractual call out minimum) Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hours = Cost justified reconnect charge Disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76/hr. plus fringes at 63% (reg. time) Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hours = Total rounded cost justified charge Reconnect at pole on Sunday or holiday when double time is required. (Disconnect during regular hours/reconnect during Sunday or holiday) Current charge: \$230.00 Proposed charge: \$221.00 Average travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour Labor: \$28.76 per hour x 2 (double time) x 2 hr. (min.) = (Two hour union contractual call out minimum) Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hours = Total cost justified reconnect charge

Total rounded cost justified charge

Exhibit AEM-2 19 of 33

\$76.00

\$76.00

Columbus Southern Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

Columbus Southern Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges Cost 7. Install locking device and reconnect service. Current charge: \$38.00 Proposed charge: \$69.00 Average travel time per trip: 30 Minutes \$18.81 Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .50 hour = Average time to install lock and reconnect meter 15 minutes \$9.41 Labor: \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .25 hour = Locking device (Jiffy Lock) \$10.55 Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .75 hour = \$5.84 Cost justified disconnect charge \$24,20 Total cost justified reconnect charge \$69.00 8. Disconnect and Reconnect at customer's request for non-credit related reasons. Current charge: \$30.00 Proposed charge: \$76.00 Disconnect/Reconnect is made at pole, weatherhead, secondary bushings of transformer or other similar manner. Customer will be assessed charge for each trip.

Cost justified reconnect charge from item #4.

Cost justified disconnect charge from item #4.

Exhibit AEM-2 20 of 33

Columbus Southern Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

	Columbus Southern Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	<u> </u>	Cost
9.	Collection trip charge is accessed the customer for each time an employees is disp	atched to the	
	customer's premise for the purpose of performing collection activities.		
	Current charge: \$8.00 Proposed charge: \$15.00		
	Average travel time per trip: 15 minutes	:	
	Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .25 hour =	:	\$9.41
	Average time to complete collection task at customer site: 5 minutes	**	
	Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .083 hour =		\$3.12
	Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .333 hour =		<u>\$2.59</u>
	Total cost justified reconnect charge		\$15.00
10.	 Meter test charge for single phase meters for each subsequent test conducted with months of the last previous test. 	nin thirty-six (36)	
	Current charge: \$28.00 Proposed charge: \$56.00		
	Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes		
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour =	:	\$23.44
	Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1 hour =		\$9.24
	Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes	1	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour =		\$23,44
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters		\$56.00

Exhibit AEM-2 21 of 33

Columbus Southern Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

Columbus Southern Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost
Meter test charge for other than single phase meters for each subsequent test conducted within	
thirty-six (36) months of the last previous test.	
Current charge: \$28.00 Proposed charge: \$70.00	
Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes	
Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour	\$23.44
Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1.25 hour =	\$11.55
Average time at meter other than single phase: 45 minutes	
Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .75 hour =	<u>\$35,16</u>
Total rounded cost justified charge for other than single phase	\$70.00
Minimum charge to investigate tampering or fraudulent practice.	
Current charge: \$25.00 Proposed charge: \$48.00	
Average travel time per trip: 30 Minutes	
Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour =	\$18.81
Average time to investigate and inspect equipment 20 minutes	
Labor: \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .333 hour =	\$12.53
Locking device (Jiffy Lock)	\$10.55
Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .833 hour =	\$ 6,49
Total cost justified tampering or fraud charge	\$48.00
Returned check charge.	
Current charge: \$6,00 Proposed charge: \$9.00	
Bank fee per returned check	\$4.20
Labor: Direct Collection Associate @ \$16.80 per hour plus fringes at 56% x 1/12 hours (average process	\$2.18
Labor: Payment Option Coordinator @ \$38.60 per hour plus fringes at 56% x 1/20 hours (average proces_	\$3.01
Total rounded cost justified charge	\$9.00
	Meter test charge for other than single phase meters for each subsequent test conducted within thirty-six (36) months of the last previous test. Current charge: \$28.00

Exhibit AEM-2 22 of 33

\$42,00

Columbus Southern Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

	Using 2010 Cost miorination		
	Columbus Southern Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	·.	Cost
14.	Temporary service requiring only reading-in and reading-out an existing meter.		
	Current charge: \$30.00 Proposed charge: \$48.00		
	Same as disconnect and reconnect at meter during normal business hours.		
	Total cost justified charge from item #1.	:	\$48.00
15.	Connect Phone Line		
	Current charge: \$54.00 Proposed charge: \$56.00	ŧ	
	Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes		
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour =		\$23.44
	Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1 hour =	<u> </u>	\$9.24
	Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes		
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour =		\$23,44
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters		\$56.00
16.	Perform Manual Meter Read	:	
	Current charge: \$39.00 Proposed charge: \$42.00		
	Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes		
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour =		\$23.44
	Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 3/4 hour =		\$6.93

Average time at meter single phase: 15 minutes

Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .25 hour =

Exhibit AEM-2 23 of 33

Columbus Southern Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

	oding 20 to Cost Institution	
	Columbus Southern Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost Cost
17.	Check Phone Line and Perform Manual Meter Read Due to Loss of Communication	1
	Current charge: \$44.00 Proposed charge: \$47.00	:
	Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes	1
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour =	\$23.44
	Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x .833 hour =	\$7.70
	Average time at meter single phase: 20 minutes	:
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .333 hour =	<u>\$15.61</u>
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters	\$47.00
18.	Repair/Replace Surge Protector	:
	Current charge: \$65.00 Proposed charge: \$119.00	
	Total Cost justified charge from Item #17.	\$47.00
	Average time at meter single phase: 10 minutes	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .167 hour =	\$7.83
	Cost of Surge Protector	<u>\$64.00</u>
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters	\$119.00
19.	Repair/Replace Interval Board	
	Current charge: \$146.00 Proposed charge: \$120.00	• •
	Total Cost justified charge from Item #17.	\$47.00
	Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour =	\$23.44
	Cost of Interval board	\$50.00
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters	\$120.00
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Exhibit AEM-2 24 of 33

Columbus Southern Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2010 Cost Information

	Columbus Southern Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost
20.	Repair/Replace Modern Board	
	Current charge: \$236.00 Proposed charge: \$209.00	
	Total Cost justified charge from Item #17.	\$47.00
	Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes	
	Labor : MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour =	\$23.44
	Cost of Modern board	<u>\$139.00</u>
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters	\$209.00
21.	Repair/Replace Interval and Modern Boards	
	Current charge: \$304.00 Proposed charge: \$259.00	
	Total Cost justified charge from Item #17.	\$47.00
	Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 63% x .5 hour =	\$23.44
	Cost of Modern and Interval Board	<u>\$189.00</u>
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters	\$259.00
22.	Temporary service - 120/240V, 1 ph, 200A with 100 foot service lateral from permanent overhead so Install and remove service.	ource.
	Current charge: Actual Cost Proposed charge: \$236.00	\$236.00
23.	Temporary service - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200 A with 100 foot service lateral from permanent underground source. Install and remove service.	nd
	Current charge: Actual Cost Proposed charge: \$129.00	<u>\$129.00</u>

Post Merger Ohio Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	scellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost	Schedule Reference
Reconnect at meter for non-pay during regular t is made at meter.	Reconnect at meter for non-pay during regular business hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.) when disconnect is made at meter.	sconnect	
Current charge:	Proposed charge: \$53.00		
Weighted Average travel time per trip: 25 Minutes Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fring	trip: 25 Minutes per hour plus fringes at 65% x .42 hour =	\$15.99	
Average time to disconnect and reconnect: 12 minutes Labor: \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at $65\% \times .2$ hour =	minutes .2 hour =	7.62	
Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .611 hour = Total cost justified reconnect charge	hour =	4.7 <u>6</u> \$28.37	
Cost justified disconnect charge Total rounded cost justified charge		\$57.00	28 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 14 .00
AMI Meter Adjustment Rounded 7% Credit		-\$4.00	
Total AMI Adjusted cost justified Rounded Charge	-de	\$ 53.00	\$53.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 30

2. Reconnect at meter for non-pay during overtime hours after 4:00 p.m. and Saturday.

Proposed charge: \$98.00

Current charge:

	\$69.24	7.79	\$77.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 14	28.37	\$105.00	-\$7.00	\$98.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 30
Average travel time per trip: 1 hour	Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour x 1.5 (time and a half) x 2 hr. (min) = (Two hour union contractual call out minimum)	Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour \times 1 hour =	Total cost justified reconnect charge	Cost justified disconnect charge (during regular hours)	Total rounded cost justified charge	AMI Meter Adjustment Rounded 7% Credit	Total AMI Adjusted cost justified Rounded Charge

\$100.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 14 \$119.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 30 Schedule Reference 28.37 \$128.00 -\$9.00 \$92.32 Cost (Two hour union contractual call out minimum) Post Merger Ohio Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges Reconnect at meter on Sunday or holiday when double time is required. Proposed charge: \$119.00 Labor: \$23.08 per hour x 2 (double time) x 2 hr. (min.) = Cost justified disconnect charge (during regular hours) Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x 1 hour = Total AMI Adjusted cost justified Rounded Charge AMI Meter Adjustment Rounded 7% Credit Total cost justified reconnect charge Total rounded cost justified charge Average travel time: 1 hour Current charge:

Reconnect for non-pay during regular business hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.) when disconnect is made at pole. 4

	\$47.45	\$76.77 \$7.00 77.00 \$154.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 30
Proposed charge: \$154,00	4verage travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour _abor: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% x 1 hour =	\$29.32 per hour x 1 hour = large harge d charge
Current charge.	Average travel and time to disconn Labor: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76	Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 p Cost justified reconnect charge Cost justified disconnect charge Total rounded cost justified charge

\$221.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 30 \$192.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 30 Schedule Reference \$115.04 29.32 \$144.36 \$86.28 \$47.45 29.32 \$115.60 29.32 29.32 \$47.45 Cost (Disconnect during regular hours/reconnect during overtime hours) (Disconnect during regular hours/reconnect during overtime hours) (Two hour union contractual call out minimum) (Two hour union contractual call out minimum) Disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76/hr.x 1 hour plus fringes at 65% (reg. time) Reconnect for non-pay during overtime hours when disconnect is made at pole. Post Merger Ohio Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges Reconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76/hr. x 1.5 (overtime) x 2 hr. (min) = Disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$28.76/hr. plus fringes at 65% (reg. time) Reconnect at pole on Sunday or holidays when double time is required Proposed charge: \$192.00 Proposed charge: \$221.00 Average travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour Labor: \$28.76 per hour x 2 (double time) x 2 hr. (min.) = Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hour = Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hour = Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hour = Vehicle cost for class 77: \$29.32 per hour x 1 hour = Total cost justified reconnect charge Total rounded cost justified charge Total rounded cost justified charge Cost justified reconnect charge Average travel time: 1 hour Current charge: Current charge: ຜ່ ဖ

7

Post Merger Ohio Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges Install locking device and reconnect service.	Cost	Schedule Reference
Current charge: \$0.00 Proposed charge: \$73.00		
Average travel time per trip: 30 Minutes Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 65% x .50 hour =	\$19.04	4
Average time to install lock and reconnect meter 15 minutes Labor: \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at $65\% \times .25$ hour =	9.52	QI.
Locking device (Jiffy Lock) Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .75 hour =	10.55 5.84	
Cost justified disconnect charge Total cost justified reconnect charge	28.37 \$73.00	<u>28.37</u> \$73.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 30

8. Disconnect and Reconnect at customer's request for non-credit related reasons.

Current charge: \$00.00 Proposed charge: \$77.00

Disconnect/Reconnect is made at pole, weatherhead, secondary bushings of transformer or other similar manner. Customer will be assessed charge for each trip.

Cost justified reconnect charge from item #4.

Cost justified disconnect charge from item #4.

\$77.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 30 \$77.00

o,

Cost Schedule Reference			\$12.07	\$1.26	3.12 \$16.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 29
Post Merger Ohio Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Collection trip charge accessed the customer for each time an employees is dispatched to the customer's premise for the purpose of performing collection activities.	Current charge: \$00.00 Proposed charge: \$16.00	Average travel time per trip: 19 Minutes Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at 65% x .317 hour =	Average time to complete collection task at customer site: 2 minutes Labor: MRO Specialist @ $$23.08$ per hour plus fringes at 65% x .033 hour =	Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour \times .4 hour = Total cost justified reconnect charge

10. Meter test charge for single phase meters for each subsequent test conducted within thirty-six (36) months of the last previous test.

Current charge: \$00.00	Proposed charge: \$64.00	
Average travel time per trip: 38 minutes Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per	Average travel time per trip: 38 minutes Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% x .633 hour	\$30.04
Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1.13 hours =	4 per hour x 1.13 hours =	10.44
Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes	hase: 30 minutes	
Labor: MRO Electrician A @	abor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% x .5 hour =	23.73
Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters	arge for single phase meters	\$64.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 20

	Post Merger Ohio Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost	Schedule Reference
	11. Meter test charge for other than single phase meters for each subsequent test conducted within thirty-six (36) months of the last previous test.		
	Current charge: \$00.00 Proposed charge: \$85.00		
	Average travel time per trip: 38 minutes Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% x .633 hour = Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 1.5 hours =	\$30.04 13.86	
	Average time at meter other than single phase. 52.5 mins Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% ×52.5 mins = Total rounded cost justified charge for other than single phase	\$85.00	41.52 \$85.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 20
12	Minimum charge to investigate tampering or fraudulent practice.		
	Current charge: \$0.00 Proposed charge: \$49.00		
	Average travel time per trip: 30 Minutes Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at $65\% \times .5$ hour =	\$19.04	
	Average time to investigate and inspect equipment 20 minutes Labor: \$23.08 per hour plus fringes at $65\% \times .333$ hour =	12.68	
	Locking device (Jiffy Lock) Vehicle cost for class 24: \$7.79 per hour x .833 hour = Total cost justified tampering of fraud charge	10.55 <u>6.49</u> \$49.00	10.55 <u>6.49</u> \$49.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 20
1 3	Returned check charge.		
	Current charge: \$00.00 Proposed charge: \$9.00		
	Bank fee per returned check	\$4.20	
	Labor: Direct Collection Associate @ \$16.80 per hour plus fringes at $56\% \times 1/12$ hours (a Labor: Payment Option Coordinator @ \$38.60 per hour plus fringes at $56\% \times 1/20$ hours (Total rounded cost justified charge processing and review	2.18 3.01 \$9.00	2.18 3.01 \$9.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 28

Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Changes Using 2010 Cost Information Post Merger Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service

Post Merger Ohio Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges

\$57.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 21 \$23.73 \$57.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 21 \$43.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 21 Schedule Reference \$23.73 \$23.73 \$11.86 \$9.24 \$6.93 Cost _abor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% x .25 hour = 14. Temporary service requiring only reading-in and reading-out an existing meter. Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% x .5 hour = Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% \times .5 hour = Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour \times 1 hour = Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% x .5 hour = Same as disconnect and reconnect at meter during normal business hours. Proposed charge: \$57.00 Proposed charge: \$43.00 Proposed charge: \$57.00 Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 3/4 hour = Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes Average time at meter single phase: 15 minutes 30 minutes Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes Total cost justified charge from item #1. Average travel time per trip: Perform Manual Meter Read Current charge: \$54.00 Current charge: \$00.00 Current charge: \$39.00 Connect Phone Line

7.

6

	Post Merger Ohio Power Company Miscellaneous Distribution Charges	Cost	Schedule Reference
17.	17. Check Phone Line and Perform Manual Meter Read Due to Loss of Communication		
	Current charge: \$44.00 Proposed charge: \$47.00		
	Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% x .5 hour = Vehicle cost for class 40: \$9.24 per hour x 50 min =	\$23.73 \$7.70	
	Average time at meter single phase:	\$15.80 \$47.00	<u>\$15.80</u> \$47.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 21
7 8	18. Repair/Replace Surge Protector		
	Current charge: \$65.00 Proposed charge: \$119.00		
	Total Cost justified charge from Item #17.	\$47.00	
	Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% x .167 hour =	\$7.92	
	Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters	\$119.00	\$119.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 21
9	19. Repair/Replace Interval Board		
	Current charge: \$146.00 Proposed charge: \$121.00		
	Total Cost justified charge from Item #17.	\$47.00	
	Average unite at meter single phase. So minutes Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$28.76 per hour plus fringes at 65% x.5 hour = Cost of Interval board Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters	\$23.73 \$50.00 \$121.00	\$23.73 \$50.00 \$121.00 Schedule E-1 Part 2A & C Page 21

AEP-OH CATY and General Revenues

			Schedule E3.2 Line 1347	Schedule E3.2 Line 1358	
ole Attachment	Revenue	Adjustment	1,256,649	1,208,913	2,465,562
ш		ē	\$ 880	347 \$	\$ 982
		Revenue	\$ 2,319,(8.12 \$ 1,855,647	\$ 4,174,
	Combined	Tariff	8.12	8.12	
		Revenue	1,062,439	646,734	\$ 1,709,174
	Current	Tariff	3.72 \$ 1	2.83 \$	₩
2010	Attachment	Count	285,602	228,528	514,130
			Ohio Power	Columbus Southern Power	Total -

***Revenues are for yearly rental only. This does not include initial attachment fees.

			Schedule E3.2 Line	Schedule E3.2 Line 1358	
Initial Contact	Revenue	Adjustment	4,706	6,687	14,393
			₩	↔	↔
		Revenue	6,868	14,137	21,005
		_	₩	₩	()
	Combined	Tariff	3.78	3.78	•
		Revenue	2,162	4,451	6,613
			49	69	₩
	Current	Tariff	1.19	1.19	-
2010 Initial	Contact	Count	1,817	3,740	5,557
			Ohio Power	Columbus Southern Power	Total

Total PA Revenue Adjustment

2,479,955

١				
	Distribution Case Data fr	om Workpa	Distribution Case Data from Workpaper OPCo Jurisdictional Cost of Service	vice
<u> [8</u>	Description	Acct Ref.	Report Reference or Formula	69
٦	Gross investment	364	COS Page 3 n 6 Col 8	325 702 514
1 8			COS Page 3 Ln 7 Col 8	281,574,210
4	<u>!</u>		COS Page 3 Ln 8 Col 8	135,157,954
လ			Sum Accts 364,365,369	742,434,677
8			COS Page 3 Ln 16 Col 8	1,599,363,171
-				
٥	Deprec, Reserve		0.00.80% 44	108 628 795
b ć			(1 Ed 8)*1 44	243 054 403
= :			COS Base 4 n 40 Cal 9	523 504 10R
= :			2000	262,231,100
7	Deferred Tayes			
: 2	Poles		(12-19)/(16-111)*121	35.232.012
15			(L5-L10)/(L6-L11)*L21	80,310,918
16	Distribution Utility Plant			
1	For Accel. Amort. Potv	281	COS Page 5 Ln1 5 Col 8	0
18		282	COS Page 5 Ln 16 Col 8	151,532,561
5	L	283	COS Page 5 Ln 17 & 18 Col 8	65.631,268
3 2		190	COS Page 5 Ln 14 Cot 8	44.156.926
3 2	Defamed Tayes Tot Pit		Sum Accts 281 282 283 Less 190	173,006,903
22				
23	Net Pole Investment		L2-19-L14	183,843,706
24	Net Overhead Accts		L5-L10-L15	419,069,357
52	Net Plant Investment		L6-L11-L21	902,765,072
18				46 0092
7	Appur, Elimination Kate		Kate for Electric Company	13.00%
8	Number of Poles		Company Records	140,000
R	Net Cost of a Bare Pole		(172 (1774))/178	203.00
ᆌ	2000		Description Chiefe	E 5.40%
5 8			Copilectation Study	35 390 025
7 6				02,003,020
33	Pole Maintenance Exp	į	LZ3/LZ4~L34	10,244,609
34		593	COS Page 8 Line 17,18 & 19 Col 8	37,029,970
35	u	ĺ		
8	- 1		COS Page 11 Line 11 Col 8	64,487,954
3	Income Taxes - Federal		COS Page 11 Line 24 Col 8	-4,081,145
38		_ 1	COS Page 11 Line 21 Col 8	-181,269
39	Provision for Def. Inc. Tax	- 1	COS Page 11 Line 22 Col 8	122,230
4	Provision for Def. Inc. Tax (cr.)		COS Page 11 Line 25 Col 8	15,979,477
4		411.4	COS Page 11 Line 26 Col 8	0
42	Operating Taxes - Total			76,327,247
₽			+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4	Depreciation Expense Factor		(L31*L2)/L23	9.81%
4	45 Admin. Factor		L32/L25	3.92%
46	Pole Mainten, Factor		L33/L23	8.84%
47	Tax Expense Factor		L42/L25	8.45%
8	48 Rate of Return		Commission Order	11.25%
18	Annual Cost Factor		144+145+146+147+148	42.27%
2	Annual Net Pole Cost		L49*L29	\$101.28
5	CATV Co. Space %		1FT/13,5FT	7.41%

			2
Line Description	Acct. Ref.	Report Reference or Formula	₩.
1 Gross Investment			
2 Poles	364	COS Page 3 Ln 6 Col 8	230,957,605
	365	COS Page 3 Ln 7 Col 8	230,847,465
_	369	COS Page 3 Ln 8 Col 8	136,107,963
_		364,365,36	597,913,033
6 Total Dist. Plant		COS Page 3 Ln 16 Col 8	1,749,713,592
8 Deprec Reserve			
		(L2/L6)*L11	96.156.027
		(L5/L6)*L11	248,932,880
<u> </u>		COS Page 4 Ln 10 Col 8	728,469,226
_			
_		(L2-L9)/(L6-L11)*L21	70 004 407
		(L3-L10)(L5-L11)/L21	12,004,107
ã	7.00	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	-
	187	COS Page 5 LN 15 COI 8	000 472 274
	797	COS Page 3 LN 16 CM 8	78 863 87
	203	COS Page 5 Ln 17 & 18 CG 9	20 446 623
20 Deferred (axes	081	COS Page 5 LII 14 COI 6	248,440,055
		Suil Aute 201,202,203 Less 190	100,212,012
23 Net Pole Investment		12-19-114	106,262,149
		L5-L10-L15	275,096,045
25 Net Plant Investment		L6-L11-L21	805,032,275
		O of the Figure 1	46.0002
20 Number of Doles		Company Percents	334 613
29 Net Cost of a Bara Pola		(L23*(1-L27))/L28	269.83
30			
31 Deprec. Rate - Poles		Depreciation Study	4.14%
32 Administrative Exp.		COS Page 10 Line 43 Col 8	38,922,727
3 Pole Maintenance Exp		123/124*L34	9,885,511
	593	COS Page 9 Line 18 & 19 Col 8	25,592,038
35 Operating Taxes		11	
- 1	408	COS Page 12 Line 11 Col 8	80,713,806
37 Income Taxes - Federal	409.18	COS Page 12 Line 18 Col 8	8,204,507
- 1	409.1b	COS Page 12 Line 15 Col 8	767,752
Provision for Def. Inc.	410.1	COS Page 12 Line 16 Col 8	154,040
40 Provision for Def. Inc. 1ax (cr.)	411.1	COS Page 12 Line 19 Col 8	1,042,743
	4.11.4	COS Page 12 Line 20 Col 8	001.012-
42 Operating Taxes - Total			100,736,728
43 44 Demociation Evacuas Bortor		A 24*1 2\J 24	%UU 6
45 Admin Factor		1 32/1 25	4.83%
46 Dole Mainten Factor		33/1 23	9.30%
47 Tax Expanse Factor		L42/L25	12.52%
48 Rate of Return		Commission Order	11.25%
		L44+L45+L46+L47+L48	46.90%
50 Annual Net Pole Cost		L49*L29	\$126.60
54 CATV CO CTATO		7FT/33 SFT	7.41%

AEF	AEP Ohio gridSMART Rider Rate*	RTF	ider Rate*	
Residential Revenue Requirement Non-Res Revenue Requirement		क क	4,160,503 2,233,585	
Residential Customers Non-Residential Customers	1,270,439 185,431	69 69	3.27 12.05	
Residential Customers Non-Residential Customers	Monthly Rate Monthly Rate	↔ ↔	0.27 1.00	
* Revenue Requirement from gridSMART Rider filing as revised in Case No. 10-0164. Ohio Power number of customers added	MART Rider filir added	g as	revised in Case No. 10-0164.	

AEP Ohio Enhanced Service Reliability Rider Rate*	Reliability Rider Rate*
Total Revenue Requirement	\$ 29,362,141
Base Distribution Revenues	\$ 641,008,112
AEP Ohio ESRR	4.58062%
* Data from CSP and OPCo Schedules as revised in Case No. 10-0163	Case No. 10-0163

AEP Ohio Econom	AEP Ohio Economic Development Rider Rate*
Total Revenue Requirement	\$ 61,761,133
Base Distribution Revenues	\$ 641,008,112
AEP Ohio ESRR	9.63500%
* Data from CSP and OPCo Schedule 1 in Case No. 10-154 & 10-1072 and Schedule 2 in Case No. 09-1095	ase No. 10-154 & 10-1072 and Schedule 2

	Calculation o	on of Energy El	ficiency and	Peak Demand	of Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Rider *	1t *		
		Net Lost			Allocation on			
	Program Costs	Distribution	Shared	Total	Distribution Revenue	Allocated Total	Forecasted Metered Energy	EE&PDR
	(\$	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(KWh)	(\$/kWh)
RS	67,555,061	1,577,570	734,241	69,866,872		69,866,872	24,173,461,862 0.0028902	0.0028902
All Other C&I					208,952,732	87,925,480	32,840,756,551 0.0026773 23,932,355,885 0.0003845	0.0026773
Total C&I	94,389,422	865,296	865,296 1,871,793	97,126,511	97,126,511 230,818,754	97,126,511	56,773,112,437	
Total	161,944,484	2,442,866 2,606,034	2,606,034	166,993,383		166,993,383	80,946,574,299	
* Amounts calculated based on Case Nos. 09-1089 and	ise Nos. 09-1089	and 09-1090						

Adjustment to Universal Service Fund Rider to reflect one AEP Ohio Rate

10-99 USF Rider	CSP	0.0001830	OPCo	0.0001681	AEP Ohio*	0.0001731
Exhibit DAS REV29 Filed In Case No. 10-725-EL-USF on 11/23/10 as supplemental testimony 1 10/99 USF Rider	upplementa	l testimony 0.0001830		0.0001681		0.0001731
2 USF Rider Revenue Requirement	€9	38,312,674.02	69	45,159,420.54	w 69	83,472,094.56
3 Total kWh Used in Calculation		20,990,164,712		26,017,840,799	4	47,008,005,511
4 Uniform per Kwh Rate		0.0018253		0.0017357		0.0017757
5 Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		118		180		298
6 Total kWh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		5,753,329,672		10,872,541,304	16	16,625,870,976
7 First Block Annual kWh (833,334 Monthly)		10,000,000		10,000,000		10,000,000
8 Total kWh in First Block (5) x (7)		1,180,000,000		1,800,000,000		2,980,000,000
9 Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	↔	2,693,661.14	↔	4,635,019.14	€9	7,244,973.57
10 Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)		4,573,329,672		9,072,541,304	5	13,645,870,976
11 Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per kWh rate		0.0001830		0.0001681		0.0001731
12 Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	69	836,919.33	G	1,525,094.19	s s	2,362,100.27
13 Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	69	3,530,580,47	₩	6,160,113.33	€9	9,607,073.84
14 Revenue @ ODOD Proposed Rate (6) x (4)	↔	10,501,552.65	↔	18,871,469.94	es es	29,522,559.09
15 Revenue shortfall (13) - (14)	⇔	(6,970,972.18)	⇔	(12,711,356.61)	∵	(19,915,485.25)
16 Adjusted Cost		34,782,094	€>	38,999,307	€9-	73,865,021
17 Adjusted kWh		15,236,835,040		15,145,299,495	36	30,382,134,535
18 Adjusted First Block Rate		0.0022828		0.0025750		0.0024312
An and the beauty and Cook and						

* Weighted CSP and OPCo 1999 Rates based on line 10

Regulatory Asset Rider - Analysis Calculation of Recovery AEP Ohio Projected January 2013 through December 2019

							ied January zuis uirougn December zui	au nacampa	6107						
S S	Month		January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
-	AEP Ohio Base Distribution Revenue:		58,654,859	56,003,793	52,250,084	48,299,071	46,300,306	55,987,364	63,698,531	66,180,446	62,189,686	48,142,654	47,712,474	52,702,732	658,121,999
2	Revenue Requirement		371,360,996												
ю	Estimated Annual Collection		77,716,361												
4	Reg Asset Rider Rate		11.8088%												
c	Monthly Collection		6,926,439	6,613,380	6,170,112	5,703,544	5,467,514	6,611,440	7,522,037	7,815,122	7,343,860	5,685,073	5,634,274	6,223,564	77,716,361
8 r 8 8 5	Carrying Charge Calculation Year 1 Balance Recovery Net to Rate Carrying Cost	***	January 371,360,996 \$ 6,926,439 \$ 364,434,557 \$ 0,9667% 3,522,867 \$	February 367,957,424 \$ (,613,380 \$ 361,344,043 \$ 0,9667% 3,492,992 \$	March 364,837,035 \$ 6,170,112 \$ 358,696,823 \$ 0,9667% 3,487,114 \$	April 362,134,037 \$ 5,703,544 \$ 356,430,493 \$ 0.9667% \$ 3,445,486 \$	May 359,875,988 \$ 5,467,514 \$ 354,408,474 \$ 0.9667% 3,425,949 \$	June 357,834,423 \$ 6,611,440 \$ 361,222,983 \$ 0,9667% 3,385,156 \$	July 354,618,139 \$ 7,522,037 \$ 347,096,102 \$ 0,9667% 3,355,262 \$	August 350,451,364 \$ 7,815,122 \$ 342,636,242 \$ 0,9667% 3,312,150 \$	September 345,948,392 \$ 7,343,860 \$ 338,604,532 \$ 0.9867% \$ 3,273,177 \$	October 341,677,709 \$ 5,685,073 \$ 336,192,636 \$ 0.9667% 3,249,862 \$	November 339,442,498 5,634,274 333,808,223 0,8867% 3,226,813 \$	December 337,035,036 8,223,564 330,811,472 0,9667% 3,197,844 \$	Total \$ 4,253,373,042 \$ 77,716,361 \$ 4,175,656,681 11,60% \$ 40,364,681
= 45	Year 2 Balance Recovery Net Rate Carrying Cost	» » »	334,009,316 \$ 6,926,439 \$ 327,082,877 \$ 0.9867% \$ 3,161,801 \$	330,244,678 \$ 6,613,380 \$ 323,631,298 \$ 0.9867%	326,759,734 \$ 6,170,112 \$ 320,569,622 \$ 0.9867% \$ 3,069,033 \$	323,688,655 \$ 5,703,544 \$ 317,885,110 \$ 0.9667%	321,059,966 \$ 5.467,514 \$ 315,591,452 \$ 0.9667% \$ 3,050,717 \$	318,642,169 \$ 6,611,440 \$ 312,030,729 \$ 0.9667% \$ 3,016,297 \$	315,047,026 \$ 7,522,037 \$ 307,524,989 \$ 0.9667% 2,972,742 \$	310,497,731 \$ 7,816,122 \$ 302,682,610 \$ 0.9667% 2,825,932 \$	305,608,542 \$ 7,343,860 \$ 298,264,681 \$ 0.9087% 2,883,225 \$	301,147,906 \$ - 5,685,073 \$ 295,462,833 \$ 0.9657% 2,856,141 \$	298,318,974 \$ 5634,274 \$ 292,684,700 \$ 0.9667% 2,829,285 \$	295,513,985 \$: 6,223,564 \$: 289,290,420 \$: 0.9867% \$ 2,786,474 \$ \$	\$ 3,780,537,681 \$ 77,716,361 \$ 3,702,821,320 11,60% \$ 35,793,939
9	Year 3 Balance Recovery Net Rate Carrying Cost		292,086,894 \$ 6,926,439 \$ 285,160,455 \$ 0.9867% 2,756,551 \$	287,917,006 \$ 6,613,380 \$ 281,303,626 \$ 0.9667% 2,719,268 \$	284,022,894 \$ 6,170,112 \$ 277,852,782 \$ 0.9667% \$ 2,685,910 \$	280,538,682 \$ 5,703,544 \$ 274,835,147 \$ 0.8667% 2,656,740 \$	277,491,887 \$ 5,467,514 \$ 272,024,373 \$ 0.986776 2,629,569 \$	274,653,942 \$ 6,611,440 \$ 268,042,502 \$ 0.8667% 2,591,078 \$	270,633,580 \$ 7,522,037 \$ 263,111,543 \$ 0.9667% 2,543,412 \$	265,654,955 \$ 7,815,122 \$ 257,839,834 \$ 0.9667% 2,492,452 \$	260,332,286 \$ 7,343,860 \$ 252,988,425 \$ 0.9667% 2,445,555 \$	255,433,980 \$ 5,685,073 \$ 249,748,907 \$ 0,9667% 2,414,239 \$	252,163,146 \$ 5,634,274 \$ 246,528,872 \$ 0.9867% 2,383,112 \$	248,911,984 \$ 5 6,223,584 \$ 242,688,420 \$ 5 0,9867% 2,345,988 \$	3,249,841,247 77,716,361 3,172,124,886 11,60% 30,863,874
2 2 2 2 3	Year 4 Balance Recovery Net Rate Carrying Cost		245,034,408 \$ 0.926,439 \$ 238,107,968 \$ 0.9867% \$ 2,301,710 \$	240,409,678 \$ 6,613,380 \$ 233,796,288 \$ 0.9667% \$ 2,260,031 \$	238,056,329 \$ 6,170,112 \$ 229,886,217 \$ 0.9867%	232,108,450 \$ 5,703,544 \$ 226,404,908 \$ 0.8667% 2,188,581 \$	228,593,487 \$ 5,467,514 \$ 223,125,973 \$ 0.9667% 2,156,884 \$	225,282,857 \$ 6,011,440 \$ 218,671,416 \$ 0.9667% 2,113,824 \$	220,785,240 \$ 7,522,037 \$ 213,263,204 \$ 0.9667% 2,061,544 \$	215,324,748 \$ 7,815,122 \$ 207,509,626 \$ 0,9687% 2,005,926 \$	209,515,552 \$ 7,343,860 \$ 202,171,692 \$ 0,8867% 1,954,326 \$	204,126,018 \$ 5,685,073 \$ 198,440,044 \$ 0.9667% 1,918,262 \$	200,359,206 \$ 5,634,274 \$ 194,724,932 \$ 0.9667% 1,882,341 \$	196,507,273 \$. 6,223,564 \$. 190,383,709 \$. 0,9667% 1,840,376 \$	\$ 2,654,203,244 \$ 77,716,361 \$ 2,576,486,883 11,60% \$ 24,906,038
25 28 29 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	Year 5 Balance Recovery Net Rate Carrying Cost	** ** ** **	192,224,085 \$ 6,926,439 \$ 185,297,645 \$ 0,9667%	187,088,856 \$ 6,13,380 \$ 180,475,476 \$ 0,9657% 1,744,596 \$	182,220,072 \$ 6,170,112 \$ 176,049,960 \$ 0.9667% 1,701,816 \$	177,751,776 \$ 5,703,544 \$ 172,048,232 \$ 0,9667% 1,663,133 \$	173,711,365 \$ 5,467,514 \$ 168,243,851 \$ 0.9867% 1,626,357 \$	169.870,208 \$ 6.611,440 \$ 163,258,768 \$ 0.9667%	164,836,936 \$ 7,522,037 \$ 157,314,899 \$ 0.9667% 1,520,711 \$	158,835,610 \$ 7,815,122 \$ 151,020,486 \$ 0.9667% 1,459,865 \$	152,480,353 \$ 7,343,860 \$ 145,136,493 \$ 0.9667% 1,402,886 \$	146,539,479 \$ 5,685,073 \$ 140,854,405 \$ 0,9667% 1,361,593 \$	142,215,998 \$ 5,634,274 \$ 136,581,724 \$ 0.9667%	137,902,014 \$ 6,223,564 \$ 131,678,450 \$ 0,9667% 1,272,892 \$	\$ 1,985,676,751 \$ 77,718,361 \$ 1,907,960,390 11,60% \$ 18,443,618
2 2 2 2 2	Year 6 Balance Recovery Net Rate Carrying Cost	0 to to	132,951,342 \$ 6,926,439 \$ 126,024,902 \$ 0,9667%	127,243,143 \$ 6,613,380 \$ 120,629,763 \$ 0.9667%	121,795,851 \$ 6,170,112 \$ 115,625,739 \$ 0.9667%	116,743,454 \$ 5,703,544 \$ 111,038,910 \$ 0,9667% 1,073,386 \$	112,113,296 \$ 5,467,514 \$ 108,845,782 \$ 0.9867% 1,030,909 \$	107,676,691 \$ 6,611,440 \$ 101,055,251 \$ 0.9667% \$ 976,964 \$	102,042,215 \$ 7,522,037 \$ 94,520,178 \$ 94,520,178 \$ 913,695 \$	95,433,873 \$ 7,815,122 \$ 87,618,751 \$ 0.9667% \$ 846,981 \$	88,465,732 \$ 7,343,860 \$ 81,121,872 \$ 0.9667% 784,178 \$	81,906,050 \$ 5,685,073 \$ 76,220,976 \$ 0.9667%	76,957,779 \$ 5,634,274 \$ 71,323,505 \$ 0.9667%	72,012,986 \$ 6,223,564 \$ 65,789,402 \$ 0,9667% \$ 635,984 \$	1,235,342,393 77,716,361 1,157,626,032 11,190,385
3, 8, 8, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 9,	Year 7 Balance Recovery Net Ratie Carrying Cost		96,425,386 \$ 6,926,439 \$ 59,498,927 \$ 0,9667% 575,156 \$	60,074,083 \$ 6,613,380 \$ 6,648,702 \$ 0,046,702 \$ 516,787 \$	53,977,489 \$ 6,170,112 \$ 47,607,377 \$ 0,9667% 462,138 \$	48,269,515 \$ 5,703,544 \$ 42,565,971 \$ 0,9667% 411,471 \$	42,977,442 \$ 5,467,514 \$ 37,509,928 \$ 96,2966,7%	37,872,524 \$ 6,611,440 \$ 31,261,084 \$ 0.9667% 302,190 \$	31,563,274 \$ 7,522,037 \$ 24,041,237 \$ 0.9667% 232,399 \$	24,273,636 \$ 7,815,122 \$ 16,458,514 \$ 0,9667% 159,099 \$	16,617,613 \$ 7,343,860 \$ 9,273,753 \$ 0,9667% 89,646 \$	9,363,399 \$ 5,885,073 \$ 3,678,326 \$ 0,9667% \$ 35,557 \$	3,713,883 \$ 5,634,274 \$ (1,820,392) \$ 0,8667%	(1,938,966) \$ 6,223,564 \$ (8,162,520) \$ 0,9667% (76,904) \$	393,189,269 77,716,381 315,472,908 11,50% 3,049,571
Line 1	Line 1 - Ohio Power Base Distribution Rates November 2009 through October 2010 Line 2 - Regulatory Asset Projected Balance December 31, 2012 TEM Exhibit 1	tes Novem	nber 2009 through ⁽		Line 11 - Previous Month Line 12 - Line 5	ith Line 13 Plus Line 15	0 15	55	Line 21 - Previous Month Line 23 Plus Line 25 Line 22 - Line 5	nth Line 23 Plus Li	ne 25	5 5:	Line 32 - Line 5 Line 33 - Line 31 Minus Line 32	r Line 32	

Line 12 - Line 11 Minus Line 12
Line 14 - June 11 Minus Line 12
Line 14 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.80% / by 12)
Line 15 - Line 13 Times Line 14
Line 16 - Line 6 Previous Month Line 18 Plus Line 20
Line 17 - Line 6
Line 18 - Line 16 Minus Line 17
Line 18 - Line 16 Minus Line 17
Line 19 - Line 19 Times Line 19
Line 20 - Line 16 Times Line 19

Line 2 - Regulatory Assel Projected Batanca December 3 Line 3 - Esturated Annual Revenue Collection Line 4 - Line 3 divided by total on Line 1 Line 5 - Line 4 times Line 1 Line 6 - Previous Month Line 9 Plus Line 11 Line 6 - Line 6 Line 8 - Line 6 All Line Line 7 Line 9 - Line 6 All Line 1 Line 7 Line 9 - Line 8 All Line 1 Line 7 Line 10 - Line 8 Times Line 9

Line 23 - Line 21 Minus Line 22 Line 23 - Line 21 Minus Line 22 Line 24 - Monthly Carryfing Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 24 - Line 23 Times Line 24 Line 25 - Line 37 - Line 30 Line 27 - Line 5 Line 28 - Line 25 Minus Line 27 Line 39 - Monthly Carryfing Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 30 - Line 28 Times Line 29 Line 31 - Previous Month Line 28 Plus Line 30

Line 35 - Line 31 Minus Line 32
Line 34 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12)
Line 34 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12)
Line 35 - Line 35 Times Line 37 Plus Line 36
Line 37 - Line 38
Line 38 - Line 36 Minus Line 37
Line 39 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12)
Line 40 - Line 38 Times Line 38

Regulatory Asset Rider - Analysis Calculation of Recovery Columbus Southern Power Company Projected January 2013 through December 2019

10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<u></u>						jecteu vandary	y solo tillough	Annona IIA	?!						
1,12,00,100 1,00,100 1,00,100 1,00,100 1,00,0	ź	Month		January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
14440000000000000000000000000000000000	-	AEP Ohio Base Distribution Revenue:		27,656,736	26,579,661	25,462,978	24,098,615	23,227,857	30,799,528	35,617,409	36,984,500	34,416,792	24,608,350	23,686,401	25,417,884	338,556,711
1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	7	Revenue Requirement		212,595,756												
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	ю	Estimated Annual Collection		44,490,856												
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	4	Reg Asset Rider Rate		13.1413%												
1,000,000 1,00	ĸ	Monthly Collection		3,634,463	3,492,921	3,346,174	3,166,879	3,052,449	4,047,468	4,680,601	4,860,255	4,522,824	3,233,865	3,112,708	3,340,248	44,490,856
12,126-01 12,001-02 12,0		Carrying Charge Calculation		January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November		Total
Control Cont	ن و	Year 1 Balance	•		210,981,252	×			205,873,785	203,777,305		198,057,273 \$	195,405,282 \$	194,029,075 \$		2,439,092,791
1,000,000 1,00	~ @	Recovery Net	* •	208.961.293	207.488,331	2	\$ 204,973,762 \$	203,902,725	3 201,826,317	199,096,704 \$	4,080,255 \$	193,534,449 \$	192,171,418 \$	190,916,366 \$		2,394,601,935
1,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10	, a	Rate	•	0.9667%	0.9667%		0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9687%	0.9867%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9567%		11.60%
1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	6	Carrying Cost	€>		2,005,721		\$ 1,981,413 \$			1,924,601	1,896,223 \$	1,870,833 \$	1,857,857 \$	1,845,525 \$		
1,700,477 1,00	Ξ	Year 2 Balance	4	191,252,719 \$	189,431,899		\$ 186,172,653 \$	184,774,830	\$ 183,479,030 \$	181,166,068 \$	178,191,493 \$	175,006,773 \$	172,131,960 \$	170,530,777 \$		2,168,911,032
1,0000000 1,00000000 1,00000000 1,00000000 1,0000000000	72	Recovery	•	3,634,463 \$	3,492,921	•	\$ 3,166,879	3,052,449	4,047,468	4,680,601 \$	4,860,255 \$	4,522,824 \$	3,233,865 \$	3,112,708 \$		44,490,856
1,000,000 1,00	e 2	Net Dete	ı n	367,618,256 \$	185,938,978	\$	5 183,005,7/4 1 0 966796	161,/22,361 3	5 1/9,431,363 1 0 0467%	1/0,405,400 \$	4 752,125,11	0.965,940	0.9667%	0.9567%		11.80%
1,000,000 1,00	5 7	Carrying Cost	*	1,813,643 \$	1,797,410	-	\$ 1,769,056 1	1,756,650	1,734,505 1	1,706,026 \$	1,675,535 \$	1,648,011	1,632,682	1,618,375		20.5
1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	5	Year 3 Balance	**	167,297,925	165,245,542		\$ 161,516,433 \$	159,880,267	158,343,819	155,787,883 \$	152,567,985 \$	149,135,572 \$	146,010,671 \$	144,155,982 \$		
132,000 132,	11	Recovery	69	3,634,463 \$	3,492,921	\$ 3,346,174	\$ 3,166,879 \$	3,052,449	\$ 4,047,468 \$	4,680,601 \$	4,860,255 \$	4,522,824 \$	3,233,865 \$	3,112,708 \$		
1,582,000 1,583,000 1,586,001 1,58	60 6	7. S. C.	v,	163,663,462 \$	161,752,621	\$ 159,970,056	\$ 158,349,554 1 0.068794	156,827,817	\$ 154,296,352 \$ 0.066794	151,107,282 \$	147,707,730 \$	144,612,747 \$	142,776,806 \$ 0.9667%	141,044,274 \$		1,521,1
18,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	2 2	Carrying Cost	•?	1,582,080 \$	1,563,609	\$ 1,546,377	\$ 1,530,712 \$	1,516,002 \$	1,491,531	1,460,704 \$	1,427,841 \$	1,397,923 \$		1,363,428 \$	1,344,319	17,604,703
13,377,300 13,400,000 13,	2	Year 4 Balance	•	140,411,772 \$	138,099,490	\$ 135,907,765	\$ 133,843,020 \$	131,939,344 \$	130,132,802 \$	127,304,159 \$	123,808,918 \$		116,692,907			1,525,314,881
1,321,161 1,301,197 1,381,626 1,381,631 1,381,632 1,381,631 1,381,630 1,381,630 1,381,631 1,381,630 1,381,631 1,381,631 1,381,632 1,381,631 1,38	2	Recovery	•	3,834,463 \$	3,492,921	\$ 3,346,174	\$ 3,166,879 \$	3,052,449	\$ 4,047,468 \$	4,680,601 \$	4,860,255 \$		3,233,865	3,112,708		
\$ 10,225,648 10,225,648 10,225,648 1,146,627	8 3	76.0°	*	136,777,309 \$	134,606,569	\$ 132,561,591	\$ 130,676,142 1	128,886,895	\$ 126,085,334 \$	122,623,557 \$	118,948,663 \$		113,459,043	111,443,105		1,480,8
1,002455 10,0031,003 10,001,001,001 1,000,001	8 2	Carrying Cost	€9-	1,322,181 \$	1,301,197	\$ 1,281,429	\$ 1,263,203 \$	1,245,907 \$	1,218,825 \$	1,185,361 \$	1,149,837 \$		1,096,771	-		14,3
\$ 0.004,005 \$ 0.442221 \$ 0.3402,143 \$ 0.3102,140 \$ 0.3057% \$ 0.305	ģ	Voor 6 Beleace	ø	110 235 540 .	107 831 683	4 105 145 315	e 100 783 200 e	400 670 270 6	3 08 480 480 4	05 334 968 S	61 530 501 8	87 508 149 \$		81 332 337 \$		1.143.313.709
\$ 100,010.06 \$ 104,119,642 \$ 100,010.06 \$ 100,019,41 \$ 0.0667% \$ 0	2 5	real > Data to Recovery	• •	3,634,463 \$	3,492,921	\$ 3,346,174	\$ 3,168,879 \$	3,052,449 \$	4,047,468 \$	4,680,601	4,860,255 \$	4,522,824 \$		3,112,708 \$		44,490,856
0.3867% 0.38	78	Net	•	106,601,086 \$	104,138,642	\$ 101,799,141	\$ 99,616,321		¥	90,654,267 \$	86,670,336 \$		8	78,219,629 \$		1,098,8
\$ 73,435,262 \$ 70,518,450 \$ 67,225,275 \$ 65,239,596 \$ 62,291,724 \$ 65,392,351 \$ 90,920,703 \$ 46,555,472 \$ 41,043,289 \$ 41,225,242 \$ 3,225,443 \$ 4,446,603 \$ 4,240,243 \$ 4,466,573 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 3,240,243 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 4,665,773 \$ 3,240,244 \$ 3,240,244 \$ 3,240,	8 8	Rafe Camying Cost	69	1,030,477 \$	1,006,674	0.9667% \$ 984,058	0.966/% \$ 962,958 \$			0.906/% 876,325 \$	837,813 \$			756,123 \$	_	5,0
1,000,000 1,00	i		,				4 213 000 10	0000000	***************************************	* **********	4 440 000 14	9 202 000 00	40 058 470	9 080 870 77	* 576 908 17	714 586 883
\$ 72722184 \$ 69342341 \$ 67272276 \$ 64,755,566 \$ 62,229,219 \$ 59,844,267 \$ 54,772,280 \$ 50,442,086 \$ 44,406,878 \$ 63,6164 \$ 40,805,78 \$ 0,3667% \$ 0	F 8	Year 5 Barnce Recovery	n 49	3,634,463 \$	3,482,921	\$ 3,346,174	\$ 3,166,879 \$	3,052,449	1 4,047,468 \$	4,680,601 \$	4,860,255 \$	4,522,824 \$	3,233,865	3,112,708 \$	3,340,248	44,490,856
1,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	g	Net	•	72,732,184	69,942,341	\$ 67,272,276	\$ 64,755,696 \$	62,329,219 1	\$ 58,884,267 \$	\$ 54,772,880 \$	50,442,096 \$	46,406,878 \$	43,621,614	40,830,581 \$	37,985,995	670,076,027
\$ 38,53,193 \$ 36,084,345 \$ 31,986,517 \$ 28,796,040 \$ 25,876,910 \$ 23,046,097 \$ 19,161,273 \$ 14,540,945 \$ 9,875,135 \$ 5,404,050 \$ 2,191,164 \$ (930,453) \$ 23,535, 85 \$ 3,462,921 \$ 3,346,174 \$ 3,166,879 \$ 3,052,440 \$ 4,600,671 \$ 9,780,255 \$ 4,522,824 \$ 3,223,14 \$ 3,112,708 \$ 3,340,246 \$ 44,500,671 \$ 9,780,255 \$ 4,522,824 \$ 3,233,086 \$ 3,112,708 \$ 3,340,246 \$ 44,500,671 \$ 9,780,255 \$ 4,522,824 \$ 3,233,085 \$ 3,112,708 \$ 3,340,246 \$ 44,500,671 \$ 9,780,255 \$ 4,522,824 \$ 3,233,085 \$ 3,112,708 \$ 3,340,246 \$ 44,500,671 \$ 9,780,256 \$ 4,520,231 \$ 2,170,185 \$ (931,464) \$ 4,44,500,671 \$ 9,780,256 \$ 4,522,824 \$ 3,233,085 \$ 3,112,708 \$ 3,340,246 \$ 44,500,671 \$ 9,780,256 \$ 4,520,231 \$ 2,170,185 \$ 4,520,246 \$ 44,500,671 \$ 9,780,256 \$ 4,520,231 \$ 2,170,185 \$ 4,520,246 \$ 44,500,671 \$ 9,780,256 \$ 4,500,671 \$	¥ %	Rate Carrying Cost	*	0.9667% 703,078 \$	0.9667% 678,109		0.9667% \$ 625,972 \$	0.9667% 602,516 4	0.9667% 1 569,215 \$	0.9667%	487,607 \$	0.866/%	421,676 \$	395,962 \$	367,198 \$	6,477,402
\$ 3,634,63 \$ 3,482,921 \$ 3,346,174 \$ 3,106,878 \$ 3,052,440 \$ 4,600,505 \$ 4,602,555 \$ 4,522,624 \$ 3,233,965 \$ 3,112,708 \$ 3,340,248 \$ 4,480,677 \$ 9,190,578 \$ 1,10,185	99	Year 7 Balance	*	38,353,193 \$	35,054,345	\$ 31,886,517	\$ 28,796,040 \$	25,876,910	1 23,045,097 \$	19,181,273 \$	14,840,845 \$	9,875,135	5,404,050 \$	2,191,164 \$		
\$ 34,647.30 \$ 31,661.73 \$ 28,603.34 \$ 22,623,161 \$ 22,824,61 \$ 18,927.54 \$ 14,000,671 \$ 8,1700,583 \$ 1,528.44 \$ 14,173 \$ 94,646 \$ 5,322.71 \$ 2,170,183 \$ 1,000,677,41 \$ 1,0	37	Recovery	•	3,634,463 \$	3,482,921		\$ 3,166,879 \$	3,052,449	4,047,468 \$	4,680,601 \$	4,860,255 \$	4,522,824 \$	3,233,865	3,112,708 \$	3,340,248 \$	44,490,856
\$ 325,614 \$ 305,004 \$ 275,687 \$ 220,638 \$ 183,644 \$ 141,173 \$ 94,546 \$ 61,788 \$ 20,878 \$ (6,908) \$ (41,283) \$ 1,18	8 8	Net C	**	34,718,730 \$	31,561,423	28	\$ 25,629,161 \	22,824,460 (\$ 18,997,629 1	14,500,671 \$	9,780,589 \$	5,352,311 \$	2,170,185 \$	(921,544) \$	(4,276,701) \$	186,863,258
November 2009 through October 2010 Line 11 - Previous Month Line 12 Plus Line 15 Line 21 - Previous Month Line 23 Plus Line 25 Line 22 - Line 5 Line 13 - Line 14 Minus Line 15 Line 14 Minus Line 15 - Line 14 Minus Line 15 - Line 15 - Line 15 - Line 17 - Line 15 - Line 17 - Line 16 - Previous Month Line 27 Line 17 - Line 17 - Line 18 - Monthly Canying Cost rate (11,60% / by 12) Line 25 - Line 25 Times Line 26 Line 27 Times Line 30 Line 27 - Line 61 Line 27 Line 30 Line 27 - Line 61 Line 28 - Line 28 Minus Line 30 Line 29 - Monthly Canying Cost rate (11,60% / by 12) Line 29 - Monthly Canying Cost rate (11,60% / by 12) Line 29 - Monthly Canying Cost rate (11,60% / by 12) Line 29 - Monthly Canying Cost rate (11,60% / by 12) Line 20 - Line 18 Minus Line 19 Line 20 - Line 29 Minus Line 29 Times Line 29 Minus Line 29 Line 29 Line 29 Line 29 Line 29 Line 29 Line 20 Line 29 Line 20	\$ \$:	•		305,094	275,697		220,636 1	183,644	140,173 \$	94,546	51,739	_ `	.	(41,283) \$	1,825,678
Line 14 Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 23 - Line 21 Minus Line 22 Line 14 Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 24 Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 24 Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 24 Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 25 - Line 28 Times Line 30 Line 16 - Pervious Month Line 18 Plus Line 20 Line 17 - Line 19 Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 29 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 29 Line 28 Line 29 Minus Line 21 Line 29 Line 29 Line 20 Line 29 Line 20 Li	Line 1	Ohio Power Base Distribution Rate	mevoN se	ber 2009 through C		Line 11 - Previous A	Aonth Line 13 Plus L	ine 15	5	ne 21 - Previous M	onth Line 23 Plus	Line 25	5 :	18 32 - Line 5 22 - Line 5		
Line 14 - Monthly Canying Cost rate (11,60% / by 12) Line 15 - Line 13 Times Line 14 Monthly Canying Cost rate (11,00% / by 12) Line 15 - Line 15 Times Line 14 Times Line 15 Times Line 24 Monthly Canying Cost rate (11,60% / by 12) Line 15 - Line 23 Times Line 24 Line 24 Line 25 Line 25 Line 25 Line 25 Line 26 Line 30 Line 26 Line 30 Line 28 Line 30 Line 28 Line 30 Line 28 Line 28 Line 30 Line	Line 3.	Regulatory Asset Projected Baland Estimated Amwal Revenue Collect	de Decem	IDBT 31, 2U12 I E.M		Line 13 - Line 11 MI	nus Line 12	:	. .	ne 23 - Line 21 Mir	rus Line 22	;	33	te 34 - Monthly Ca	rrying Cost rate (1	1.80% / by 12)
Line 16 - Previous Month Line 18 Plus Line 20 Line 26 - Previous Month Line 30 Line 27 - Line 6 Line 17 Line 17 Line 17 Line 18 - Line 19 Month Carying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 29 - Month Carying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 29 Times Line 19 Line 19 Times Line 19 Line 20 - Line 19 Times Line 19 Line 20 Line 19 Times Line 19 Line 20 Line 2	- E	Line 3 divided by total on Line 1 Line 4 times Line 1				Line 14 - Monthly C Line 15 - Line 13 Til	emying Cost rate (1) mes Line 14	.60% / by 12)	.	ne 24 - Monthly C4 ne 25 - Line 23 Tin	arrying Cost rate (1 tes Line 24	1,500% / by 12)	33	16 30 - Lifte 33 1 M 16 36 - Previous M	es Line 54 onth Line 33 Plus I	ine 35
Line 18 - Line 18 Minus Line 17 Line 28 - Line 28 Minus Line 27 Line 29 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.50% / by 12) Line 29 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.50% / by 12) Line 20 - Line 19 Times Line 29 Line 20 - Line 29 Times Line 29	ine 6	Previous Month Line 9 Plus Line 11	=			Line 16 - Previous II	Aonth Line 18 Plus L	ine 20	7 5	he 26 - Previous M ne 27 - Line 5	onth Line 28 Plus	Line 30	55	1e 37 - Line 5 1e 38 - Line 36 Min	us Line 37	
Line 20 - Line 18 Times Line 19 - Line 20 - Li	89	Line 6, Minus Line 7	# 7 Per 4 29			Line 18 - Line 16 Mi	hus Line 17	BOS. (Pa. 12)	133	ne 28 - Line 26 Mir	nus Line 27	1 60% (hv 12)	33	ne 39 - Monthly Car	rrying Cost rate (1)	1,60% / by 12)
	ine to	- Line 8 Times Line 9	į.	_		Line 20 - Line 18 Til	mes Line 19	(w) for the contra	: :	na 30 - Line 28 Tin	nes Line 29	/ fa	İ			

Regulatory Asset Rider - Analysis Calculation of Recovery Ohio Power Company Projected January 2013 through December 2019

<u>e</u>					<u>פ</u> ֿ	_	.y 2010 till 00	ected January 2013 unlough December 2013	6104						
ġ	Month		January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
-	AEP Ohio Base Distribution Revenue;		30,998,122	29,424,132	26,787,106	24,200,456	23,072,449	25,187,836	28,081,121	29,195,946	27,772,895	23,534,304	24,026,073	27,284,648	319,565,288
8	Revenue Requirement		158,765,240												
69	Estimated Annual Collection		33,225,505												
4	Reg Asset Rider Rate		10.3971%												
ç	Monthly Collection		3,222,904	3,059,255	2,785,081	2,516,144	2,398,864	2,618,803	2,919,621	3,035,530	2,887,574	2,446,884	2,498,014	2,836,831	33,225,505
	Carrying Charge Calculation	,	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
60	Year 1 Balance	•	158,765,240 \$	157,045,912	\$ 155,475,195		\$ 153,115,924 \$	152,173,991 \$	151,000,888 \$	=	147,893 136 \$	146,407,282 \$	145,352,015 \$	74	\$ 1,815,143,345
~ (Recovery	•	3,222,904 \$	3,059,255	\$ 2,785,081	\$ 2,516,144 \$	\$ 2,398,864 \$	2,618,803 \$	2,919,621 \$	3,035,530 \$	2,887,574 \$	2,446,884 \$	2,498,014 \$	2,836,831 \$	33,225,505
9 0	Nation Ratio	٠	150,542,536	769,988,501 0.9667%	#11,086,114 0.9667%	0.9667%	0.8667%	0.9667%	0.9687%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	11.60%
9 2	Camying Cost	69	1,503,576 \$	1,488,538	\$ 1,476,004	\$ 1,465,950 \$	1,456,932 \$	1,445,700 \$	-	1,415,946 \$	1,401,720 \$	1,391,617 \$	1,380,922 \$	1,366,848 \$	17,225,206
=	Year 2 Balance	**	142.764.941 \$	140,890,943	\$ 139,164,061	\$ 137,697,310 \$	136,487,917 \$	135,385,247 \$	134,049,853 \$	132,397,825 \$	130,612,797 \$	128,959,900 \$	127,735,975 \$	126,448,595 \$	\$ 1,612,595,365
2	Recovery	•		3,059,255		\$ 2,516,144	\$ 2,398,864 \$	2,618,803 \$			2,887,574 \$	2,446,884 \$	2,498,014 \$		33,225,505
₽ ;	Net	•	139,542,037 \$		\$ 136,378,980	\$ 135,181,166 \$	\$ 134,088,053 \$	132,786,444 \$	131,130,232 \$	129,362,295	127,725,223 \$	126,513,016 \$	125,237,962 \$	123,611,754 \$	1,578,369,860
4 5	Kate Cerrying Cost	•	1,348,906 \$	1,332,373	\$ 1,318,330	\$ 1,306,751 \$	5 1,296,194 \$	1,283,409 \$	1,267,592 \$	1,250,502 \$	1,234,677 \$	1,222,959 \$	1,210,634 \$	1,194,914	15,267,242
5	Year 3 Balance	et.	124.808.677 \$	122,759,083	\$ 120,858,927	\$ 119.213.207	\$ 117.825.134 \$	116.542.057 \$	115.024.512 \$	113,188,572 \$	111,217,855 \$	109,377,474 \$	107,964,252 \$	106,485,746 \$	1,385,261,496
: ;	Recovery	• •	3,222,904 \$			\$ 2,516,144 \$	\$ 2,398,864 \$	2,618,803 \$			2,887,574 \$		2,498,014 \$	•	33,225,505
9	E	••	121,583,773 \$	119,699,828	\$ 118,071,846	\$ 116,697,063 \$	115,426,270 \$	113,923,254 \$	112,104,892 \$	110,153,042 \$	108,330,281 \$	106,930,580 \$	105,466,239 \$	103,648,914 \$	1,352,035,992
50 1	Rate Carrying Cost	**	0.9567%	1,157,098	0.9667%	0.966/% \$ 1,128,072 \$	0.9067%	1,101,258 \$	1,083,881 \$	1,064,813 \$	1,047,193 \$	1,033,962 \$	1,019,507 \$	1,001,940 \$	13,069,681
-										•			4 4 30 000 30		000
# £	Year 4 Balance	w v	104,650,854 \$	102,408,420	\$ 100,389,540	\$ 98,467,196 \$	5 96,878,578 5 5 2398.864 5	25,393,018 \$	83,671,032 \$	3.035.530	29,449,545 \$	2,446,884 \$	2.488.014 \$	2.838.831 \$	33,225,505
3 ដ	Net Net	+ 49	101 427 850 \$	99.349,165	\$ 97,524,459	\$ 95,951,052	94,479,714 \$	92,774,215	90,751,412 \$	88,593,145	86,561,971	84,951,853 \$	83,275,041 \$	81,243,202 \$	1,096,883,178
7.	Rate	,	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9867%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	0.9667%	11.60%
25	Camying Cost	4	960,470 \$	960,375	\$ 942,736	\$ 927,527 \$	\$ 913,304 \$	896,817 \$	877,264 \$	856,400 \$	836,766 \$	821,201 \$	804,992 \$	785,351 \$	10,603,204
5 8	Year 5 Balance	**	82,028,553 \$	79,567,436	\$ 77,247,761	\$ 75,182,486 \$	\$ 73,368,783 \$	71,655,961 \$	\$ 719,517 \$	67,430,484 \$	65,017,436 \$	62,730,453 \$	60,866,310 \$	58,932,523 \$	843,732,705
27	Recovery	**	3 222 904 \$	3,059,255	\$ 2,785,081	\$ 2,516,144 \$	\$ 2,398,864 \$	2,618,803 \$	2,919,621 \$	3,035,530 \$	2,887,574 \$	2,446,684 \$		2,836,831 \$	33,225,505
8 8	Net O Net	**	78,805,648 \$	76,508,182	\$ 74,462,680	\$ 72,686,342 \$	\$ 70,969,919 \$	69,037,158 \$	66,784,896 \$	0.9867%	62,129,864 \$	60,283,569 \$	58,366,297 \$	56,095,692 \$	810,507,200 11.60%
30	Carrying Cost	49	761,788 \$	_	\$ 719,806	\$ 702,441	\$ 686,043 \$	667,359 \$	645,587 \$	622,485 \$	600,589 \$	562,741 \$	564,227 \$	542,258 \$	7,834,903
5	Year 6 Balanca	49	56,637,950 \$	53,931,392	\$ 51,363,901	\$ 49,048,415	\$ 46,982,083 \$	45,014,190 \$	42,805,209 \$	40.271.149 \$	37,585,583 \$	35,043,499 \$	32,911,716 \$	30,707,702 \$	522,312,769
32	Recovery	· es	3,222,904			\$ 2,516,144	\$ 2,398,864 \$	2,618,803 \$	2,919,621	3,035,530 \$	2,887,574 \$	2,446,884		2,836,831 \$	33,225,505
33	Net	*	53,415,046 \$	50,872,137	\$ 48,578,820	\$ 46,532,271 \$	\$ 44,583,219 \$	42,395,387 \$	39,885,588 \$	37,235,619 \$	34,707,989 \$	32,596,616 \$	30,413,703 \$	27,870,870 \$	489,087,264
4 %	Kate Carrying Cost	•	516,345 \$	_	0.900/7e \$ 469,595	_	430,971 \$	409,822 \$	385,561	359,944	335,511 \$	315,101 \$	293,999 \$	269,418 \$	4,727,844
98	Year 7 Balance	ø	28,140,289 \$	25,158,253	\$ 22,312,622	\$ 19,716,307 \$	\$ 17,366,431 \$	15,112,263 \$	12,614,220	9,788,314 \$	6,818,061 \$	3,968,481 \$	1,536,306 \$	(971,004) \$	161,560,532
37	Recovery	• •	3,222,904 \$	3,059,255	\$ 2,785,081	\$ 2,516,144 \$	\$ 2,398,864 \$	2,618,803 \$	2,919,621	3,035,530 \$	2,887,574 \$	2,446,884 \$		2,836,831	33,225,505
88	Net	49	24,917,386 \$	22,098,998	\$ 19,527,541	\$ 17,200,163 \$	\$ 14,967,567 \$	12,493,450 \$	9,694,599 \$	6,752,784 \$	3,930,486 \$	1,521,597 \$	(961,707) \$	(3,807,835) \$	128,335,027
8 2	Rate Carrying Cost	*	0.966/% 240,868 \$	213,624	4.906/76 \$ 188,786	0.956/7s \$ 166,268 \$	144,686 \$	120,770 \$	93,714 \$	65,277 \$	37,995 \$	14,709 \$	(9.297) \$	\$ (608'96)	1,240,572
- -	Ohio Power Base Distribution Sate	Amenda Se	Sec 2009 through O		line 11 - Previeus	Month I ine 13 Plus I line 15	1.ine (5	- F	ie 25 - Previous M	.tee 21 - Previous Month Line 23 Plus Line 25	ine 25	5	Une 32 - Line 5		
line 2	Line 2 - Regulatory Asset Projected Balance December 31, 2012 TEM Exhibit 1	nce Decem	ber 31, 2012 TEM		Line 12 - Line 5			. 5	Line 22 - Line 5	:		5 :	Line 33 - Line 31 Minus Line 32	us Line 32	
Line 3	Line 3 - Estimated Annual Revenue Collect Line 4 - 1 the 3 divided by total on Line 1	ction			Line 13 - Line 11 Minus Line 12 Line 14 - Monthly Carwing Cost	Line 13 - Line 11 Minus Line 12 Line 14 - Monthiv Carrying Cost rate (11,80% / by 12)	11.80% / by 12)	5	ne 23 - Line 21 Mir re 24 - Monthly Ca	Line 23 - Line 21 Minus Line 22 Line 24 - Monthly Carving Cost rate (11.60% / by 12)	1.60% / by 12)	5.5	Line 34 - Monthly Cerrying Cost rate (11.50% / by 12) Line 35 - Line 33 Times Line 34	rying Cost rate (11 es Line 34	.50% / kg / 22)
Lines	Line 5 - Line 4 times Line 1		•	-	Une 15-Line 13 Times Line 14	Imes Line 14		13	Line 25 - Line 23 Times Line 24	res Line 24	,) 5 :	Line 36 - Previous Month Line 33 Plus Line 35	anth Line 33 Plus L	Ine 35
Line 6	Line 6 - Previous Month Line 9 Plus Line 11 Line 7 - Line 5	=			Line 16 - Previous Joe 17 - Line 5	Month Line 18 Plus Line 20	t Line 20	35	Line 26 - Previous Mi Line 27 - Line 5	Line 26 - Previous Month Line 28 Plus Line 30 Line 27 - Line 5	Line 30	3 5	Line 37 - Line 5 Line 38 - Line 36 Minus Line 37	us Line 37	
L. 18. 8.	. Line 6, Minus Line 7			. =	Line 18 - Line 16 Minus Line 17	dinus Line 17	:	: 	Line 28 - Line 26 Minus Line 27	rus Line 27	;	:5:	Line 39 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11,60% / by 12)	nying Cost rate (11	.60% / by 12)
Line 9	Line 9 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12)	7% / by 12)			Line 19 - Monthly (ine 20 - Line 18 T	Line 19 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.50% / by 12) Line 20 - Line 18 Times Line 19	11.60% / by 12}	35	ne 29 - Monthly Ca 1e 30 - Line 28 Tim	Line 29 - Monthly Carrying Cost rate (11.60% / by 12) Line 30 - Line 28 Times Line 29	1.60% / by 12)	5	16 40 - Line 38 Tim	es Line 39	
1								ž	16 31 - Previous M	onth Line 28 Plus (Jine 30				_

	AEP Ohio Proposed Distribution Investment Rider 1st Quarter 2012	st Quarter 2012		Exhibit AEM-6 Page 1	A-6
Line		CSP	OPCo	AEP Ohio	- <u>)</u>
-	Distribution Plant Date Certain D Rate Case				
7	Distribution Plant - As of Date Certain 8/2010	×	×	×	
ო	Accumulated Depreciation - As of Date Certain 8/2010	×	XX	XX	
4=2-3	Net Distribution Plant	×	×	×	
S					
ဖ	4th Quarter Distribution Plant				
_	Distribution Plant - Form 3Q Page 208 Line 8	×	×	×	
œ	Accumulated Depreciation - Form 3Q Page 208 Line 8	×	XX	XX	
8-7-6	Net Distribution Plant			×	
10					
11=9-4	Change in Distribution Net Plant			×	
12					
<u>ნ</u>	Solar Panel Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through FAC)	×	×	×	
4					
15	gridSMART Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through GS Rider)	×		×	
9					
17	Incremental Veg Mgmt Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through Rider)	×	×	×	
1 8					
19=11-13-15-17 20	Adjusted Distribution Net Plant			×	
21	Carrying Charge Rate (Grossed up WACC Plus 3.5% O&M Adder)			15.27%	
22					
23=19*21	Rider Revenue			×	
24		3	3	?	
25 26	Annual Base Distribution Revenue (12 Months Ending Sept 2010)	×	×	×	
27=23/25	AEP Ohio Percentage of Base Distribution Rate			%	

AEP Ohio Proposed Distribution Investment Rider 2nd Quarter 2012

				ፓ መ	Ō
Line		CSP	OPCo	AEP Ohio	
- 0	4th Quarter Distribution Plant Distribution Plant - Form 30 Page 208 Line 8	×	×	×	
1 က	Accumulated Depreciation - Form 3Q Page 208 Line 8	ξ×	ξ×	€≾	
4=2-3		×	×	X	
ဂ ယ	1st Quarter Distribution Plant				
7	Distribution Plant - Form 3Q Page 208 Line 8	×	×	×	
œ	Accumulated Depreciation - Form 3Q Page 208 Line 8	×	×	×	
9=7-8 10	Net Distribution Plant			×	
11=9-4	Change in Distribution Net Plant			×	
<u> </u>	Solar Panel Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through FAC)	×	×	×	
4					
15	gridSMART Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through GS Rider)	×		×	
17	Incremental Veg Mamt Net Plant Adiustment (Recovered through Rider)	×	×	×	
. 8		1	•	•	
19=11-13-15-17 20	19=11-13-15-17 Adjusted Distribution Net Plant 20			×	
22 23	Carrying Charge Rate (Grossed up WACC Plus 3.5% O&M Adder)			15.27%	
23=19*21	Rider Revenue			×	
24					
25 26	Annual Base Distribution Revenue	×	×	×	
27=23/25	AEP Ohio Percentage of Base Distribution Rate			%	
28	Revenue Requirement from Previous Filing			×	
59	Rider Revenue Collected			×	
30=28-29	Additional over/(under) recovery-			×	
31=30/25	Additional Increas/(Decrease) in Rate			×	
32=27+31	Total DIR Rate			×	

Proposed Post Merger Ohio Power Company Ohio Power Rate Zone OHIO POWER COMPANY Exhibit AEM-8 Page 1 of 4 Original Sheet No. 87-1

P.U.C.O. NO. 20

Deferred Asset Recovery Rider

Effective Cycle 1, 2013, all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Deferred Asset Recovery Rider charge of 11.8088% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order dated	in Case No	Effective:
	Issued 8v	

Joseph Hamrock, President AEP Ohio

Proposed Post Merger Ohio Power Company Columbus Southern Power Rate Zone OHIO POWER COMPANY Exhibit AEM-8 Page 2 of 4 Original Sheet No. 87-1

P.U.C.O. NO. 20

Deferred Asset Recovery Rider

Effective Cycle 1, 2013, all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Deferred Asset Recovery Rider charge of 11.8088% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order dated	_in Case No	•
issued:	legued By	Effective:

Joseph Hamrock, President AEP Ohio

P.U.C.O. NO. 20

Deferred Asset Recovery Rider

Effective Cycle 1, 2013, all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Deferred Asset Recovery Rider charge of 11.8088% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order dated in Case No	<u></u>
Issued:	Effective:

Issued By
Joseph Hamrock, President
AEP Ohio

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY

P.U.C.O. NO. 8

Deferred Asset Recovery Rider

Effective Cycle 1 January 2013, all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Deferred Asset Recovery Rider charge of 11.8088% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order dated	in Case No	1
Issued:		Effective:
	legued Dv	4

Joseph Hamrock, President AEP Ohio

Proposed Post Merger Ohio Power Company Ohio Power Rate Zone OHIO POWER COMPANY Exhibit AEM-9 1 of 4 Original Sheet No. 87-1

P.U.C.O. NO. 20

Deferred Asset Recovery Rider

Effective Cycle 1, 2013, all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Deferred Asset Recovery Rider charge of 12.256211.8088% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order dated in Case No	Effective:
Issued By Joseph Hamrock, President	,

AEP Ohio

Proposed Post Merger Ohio Power Company Columbus Southern Power Rate Zone OHIO POWER COMPANY Exhibit AEM-9 2 of 4 Original Sheet No. 87-1

P.U.C.O. NO. 20

Deferred Asset Recovery Rider

Effective Cycle 1, 2013, all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Deferred Asset Recovery Rider charge of 12.256211.8088% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order datedIssued:	in Case No	Effective:
	Issued By	

Joseph Hamrock, President AEP Ohio

P.U.C.O. NO. 20

Deferred Asset Recovery Rider

Effective Cycle 1, 2013, all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Deferred Asset Recovery Rider charge of 12.256211.8088% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order datedlssued:	_ in Case No	Effective:
	Issued By Joseph Hamrock, President	

AEP Ohio

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY

P.U.C.O. NO. 8

Deferred Asset Recovery Rider

Effective Cycle 1 January 2013, all customer bills subject to the provision of this Rider, including any bills rendered under special contract, shall be adjusted by the Deferred Asset Recovery Rider charge of 42.256211.8088% of the customer's distribution charges under the Company's Schedules, excluding charges under any applicable Riders. This Rider shall be adjusted periodically to recover amounts authorized by the Commission.

Filed pursuant to order dated	_ in Case No	
Issued:		Effective:
	legued By	

Joseph Hamrock, President AEP Ohio

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the Pre-Filed Direct Testimony of Andrea E. Moore has been served upon the below-named counsel via First Class mail, postage prepaid, this 14th day of March, 2011.

Steven T. Nourse

Werner L. Margard III Stephen A. Reilly Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Samuel C. Randazzo Joseph E. Oliker Frank P. Darr McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Thomas J. O'Brien Lisa G. McAlister Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291

James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114

Maureen R. Grady Michael E. Idzkowski Richard C. Reese Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus OH 43215

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620

Colleen L. Mooney David C. Rinebolt Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, OH 45840

Henry W. Eckhart
The Natural Resources Defense Council
1200 Chambers Road
Columbus, OH 43212

Clinton A. Vince Douglas G. Bonner Daniel D. Barnowski Emma F. Hand Keith C. Nusbaum SNR Denton US LLP 1301 K Street NW Suite 600, East Tower Washington, DC 20005 Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215

John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	
Ohio Power Company, Individually and, if)	Case No. 11-351-EL-AIR
Their Proposed Merger is Approved, as a)	Case No. 11-352-EL-AIR
Merged Company (collectively, AEP Ohio))	
for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rates)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	
Ohio Power Company, Individually and, if)	Case No. 11-353-EL-ATA
Their Proposed Merger is Approved, as a)	Case No. 11-354-EL-ATA
Merged Company (collectively, AEP Ohio))	
for Tariff Approval)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company and)	
Ohio Power Company, Individually and, if)	Case No. 11-356-EL-AAM
Their Proposed Merger is Approved, as a)	Case No. 11-358-EL-AAM
Merged Company (collectively, AEP Ohio))	
for Approval to Change Accounting)	
Methods)	

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID A. DAVIS ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

Management Policies, Practices & Organizations

Operating Income

Ratebase

Allocations

Rate of Return

Rates and Tariffs

X Other

Filed March 14th, 2011

INDEX TO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID A. DAVIS

	Page No.
I. Personal Data	1
II. Purpose of Testimony.	3
III. Definition of Depreciation	7
IV. Depreciation Study Overview	8
V. Study Methods and Procedures	12
VI. Study Results	16

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID A. DAVIS ON BEHALF OF COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

1		I. PERSUNAL DATA
2	Q.	WILL YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
3		POSITION?
4	A.	My name is David A. Davis. My business address is 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio
5		43215. My position is Manager - Property Accounting Policy and Research for
6		American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC), a wholly owned subsidiary of
7		American Electric Power Company, Inc. (AEP).
8	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR PRINCIPAL AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY?
9	A.	My responsibilities include providing the AEP electric operating subsidiaries with
10		accounting support for regulatory filings, including the preparation of depreciation
11		studies and testimony. I also monitor regulatory proceedings and legislation for
12		accounting implications and assist in determining the appropriate regulatory accounting
13		treatment.
14	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND WORK
15		EXPERIENCE.
16	A.	I received a Masters Degree in Business Administration from the University of Dayton
17		in 1988. I also have a Bachelors degree in Business Administration with a major in
18		accounting from Ohio University that I received in 1976. I am a Certified Public

Accountant (Inactive) in the state of Ohio. In 1980, I was employed by Columbus Southern Power Company (CSP), one of the AEP operating companies, as an accountant. I have held various positions in the Accounting Department including Special Studies, Reports and Lease Accounting. From 1984 to 1985, I was employed by Columbia Gas System Service Corporation as a staff auditor, where my responsibilities included financial and procedural audits of the Columbia Gas Distribution Companies and other subsidiary companies. From 1986 to present, I have been employed by AEP at the Service Corporation, CSP or Ohio Power. At AEP, I have held several positions including Supervisor of Consolidation Accounting, Manager/Supervisor of Property Accounting (for 16 years) and my current position of Manager – Property Accounting Policy and Research.

12 Q. HAVE YOU PRESENTED EXPERT TESTIMONY IN RATE AND 13 DEPRECIATION PROCEEDINGS BEFORE REGULATORY AGENCIES OR

COMMISSIONS?

A.

Yes. In 2007, I testified before the Oklahoma Corporation Commission (OCC or Commission) on behalf of Public Service of Oklahoma (PSO or Company) concerning depreciation in Cause No. PUD 200600285. Also, in 2007 I prepared a generation depreciation study for the Louisiana Public Service Commission in Docket No. U23327, Subdocket A on behalf of Southwestern Electric Power Company (SWEPCO). In 2008, I prepared an updated depreciation study and testimony for PSO and testified before the OCC in Cause No. PUD 200800144. In 2009, I prepared a depreciation study for SWEPCO that was filed with the Arkansas Public Service Commission (APSC) in Docket No. 09-008-U. Also, in 2009, I prepared a depreciation study for SWEPCO that

1		was filed with the Public Utility Commission of Texas in Docket No. 37364. In 2010, I
2		prepared a depreciation study for PSO that was filed with the OCC in Oklahoma in
3		Cause No. PUD 201000050. In addition, I have assisted with depreciation studies for
4		AEP operating companies in Ohio, Kentucky, Virginia, West Virginia, Indiana and
5		Michigan.
6	Q.	HAVE YOU HAD ANY FORMAL TRAINING RELATING TO
7		DEPRECIATION AND UTILITY ACCOUNTING?
8	A.	Yes. I am currently an at-large director of the Society of Depreciation Professionals
9		(SDP) and have completed training offered by the SDP that included Depreciation
10		Basics, Life Analysis for Valuations, Life and Net Salvage Analysis, and Preparing and
11		Defending a Depreciation Study. These training classes included an introduction to
12		Plant and Depreciation Accounting, Data Requirements and Collection, Depreciation
13		Models, Life Cycle Analysis, Current Regulatory Issues, Actuarial Life Analysis, Net
14		Salvage Analysis and Simulation Life Analysis. In addition, I am a past member and
15		have attended and participated in numerous Edison Electric Institute Property
16		Accounting and Valuation meetings.
17		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
18	Q.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS IN THIS PROCEEDING?
19	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits:
20		Exhibit DAD-1 - Depreciation Study Report for Columbus Southern Power Company
21		Exhibit DAD-2 - Depreciation Study Report for Ohio Power Company
22		Exhibit DAD-3 – Combined Company Depreciation Rate Calculation

Q. WHAT SCHEDULES ARE YOU SPONSORING OR CO-SPONSORING?

2 A. I am co-sponsoring the following schedule:

A.

Schedule B-3.2 – Depreciation Accrual Rates and Jurisdictional Reserve
 Balances by Accounts

5 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

My testimony recommends revised Distribution and General Plant depreciation accrual rates for Columbus Southern Power Company (CSP) and Ohio Power Company (OPCo) or collectively, AEP Ohio. The recommended depreciation accrual rates are based on my depreciation studies for AEP Ohio's electric utility plant in service at December 31, 2009. Schedules I and II in my Depreciation Study Report for CSP (Exhibit DAD-1) and Schedules I and II in my Depreciation Study Report for OPCo (Exhibit DAD-2) detail the results of my studies. The depreciation rates determined by my studies are intended to provide recovery of invested capital, cost of removal, and credit for salvage over the expected life of the property.

The revised depreciation rates are primarily required to update Distribution and General Plant rates set by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (the Commission) in Case No. 91-418-EL-AIR for CSP and in Case No. 94-996-EL-AIR for OPCo.

1	Q.	WHY SHOULD THE RESULTS OF THESE DEPRECIATION STUDIES BE
2		USED TO CHANGE CURRENT DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL
3		DEPRECIATION RATES?
4	A.	My depreciation studies are updated through December 31, 2009 and use an estimate of
5		depreciable lives and net salvage values through that date. Current Distribution and
6		General depreciation rates are based on investment at December 31, 1989 for CSP and at
7		December 31, 1993 for OPCo. The approved depreciation rates are the rates
8		recommended by the Commission staff in Case No. 91-418-EL-AIR for CSP and in Case
9		No. 94-996-EL-AIR for OPCo.
10		Distribution and General net salvage percentages recommended were based on
11		an analysis of Company retirement, salvage and removal cost data for a 40-year period.
12		The procedure used to calculate AEP Ohio's net salvage percentages is typical and is
13		used throughout the utility industry and for all of CSP and OPCo's affiliated companies.
14		As noted by Robert L. Hahne, Gregory E. Aliff and Deloitte & Touche, LLP in
15		"Accounting for Public Utilities" (Release No. 15, October 1998, page 6-31):
16 17 18		Salvage and cost of removal are built into depreciation rates by a net salvage factor usually determined through an evaluation of historical
18 19		experience. Since actual experience is expressed by dividing actual salvage value and actual cost of removal by the original cost of the retired
20		property that generated the experience, the effect of inflation is inherent
21		in the determination. (Emphasis added.)

Q. SHOULD THE COMMISSION ACCEPT THE RESULTS	UF	UF YU	UK
---	----	-------	----

2 **DEPRECIATION STUDIES?**

1

9

- A. Yes. My depreciation studies use standard industry methodology, are accurate, complete
 and calculate reasonable net salvage percentages for Distribution and General property
 using the AEP Ohio companies' historical retirement, salvage and cost of removal data
 on an account by account basis. The results of my studies are based on a significant
- 7 amount of history and documentation and should be accepted.

8 Q. WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO USE THE RESULTS OF YOUR DEPRECIATION

STUDIES TO SET DEPRECIATION RATES IN A RATIONAL AND

10 SYSTEMATIC FASHION?

11 A. If current depreciation rates are set too low, higher rates will be required later to allow
12 the utility to recover its costs. The distribution of depreciation expense to ratepayers
13 should be in a systematic fashion that requires each generation of ratepayers to pay their
14 fair portion of the cost of electric utility plant used to serve their needs. Appropriate
15 depreciation cost allocation should match the consumption of the related assets to ensure
16 that the Company's results of operations are properly reflected and avoid
17 intergenerational inequities in rate setting for customers.

18 Q. WHAT ARE THE REQUIREMENTS OF A DEPRECIATION STUDY?

A. A depreciation study involves data collection, life analysis, salvage and cost of removal
analysis, evaluation and calculations. Data collection requires an understanding of
property records for construction, retirement and the property record system. As
Property Accounting Manager/Supervisor for 16 years, I have acquired an extensive
knowledge of the Company's property records. Life analysis establishes the life and

retirement dispersion for property and the techniques used will depend on the type of property studied and data available. A salvage and removal analysis consists of the determination of salvage and removal as a percentage of the cost of retired property. Evaluation is only an important element of a depreciation study if history is misleading about the future. Calculations in a depreciation study are typically used to determine net salvage percentages, depreciation rates and other items. III. DEFINITION OF DEPRECIATION WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DEFINITION OF DEPRECIATION USED IN PREPARING YOUR STUDY? The definition of depreciation that I used in preparing the study is the same that is used by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. That definition is: Depreciation, as applied to depreciable electric plant, means the loss in service value not restored by current maintenance, incurred in connection with the consumption or prospective retirement of electric plant in the course of service from causes which are known to be in current operation and against which the utility is not protected by insurance. Among the causes to be given consideration are wear and tear, decay, action of the elements, inadequacy, obsolescence, changes in the art, changes in demand and requirements of public authorities. Service value means the difference between original cost and the net salvage value (net salvage value means the salvage value of the property retired less the cost of removal) of the electric plant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Q.

Α.

2	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PURPOSE OF A BOOK
3		DEPRECIATION STUDY?
4	A.	Yes. A book depreciation study establishes mortality characteristics applicable to
5		property and uses these characteristics to calculate depreciation rates or depreciation
6		provisions. Mortality characteristics include average service life or life span, retirement
7		dispersion and net salvage. Once mortality characteristics are established, the calculation
8		of depreciation rates is a mechanical exercise.
9	Q.	ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH THE QUALITY OF THE DATA YOU USED FOR
10		THE DEPRECIATION STUDY?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	DID YOU MAKE ANY ADJUSTMENTS AS A RESULT OF YOUR
13		DEPRECIATION STUDY?
14	A.	Yes. AEP Ohio companies maintained an accumulated depreciation balance by 3XX
15		account since our 1999 conversion to our currently used software, PowerPlant. The
16		functional accumulated depreciation balances by 3XX account were allocated in 1999
17		using original cost balances since an up-to-date depreciation study was not available at
18		that time to provide theoretical reserve balances to perform the allocation.
19		My depreciation study allocates functional distribution and general plant total
20		accumulated depreciation balances to individual 3XX accounts using the study's
21		theoretical reserve calculations.
22		In addition, the results of the depreciation study's accumulated depreciation
23		allocation were used to book transfers of accumulated depreciation balances between

IV. DEPRECIATION STUDY OVERVIEW

functional 3XX reserve accounts in 2010 to more correctly detail the book amount of accumulated depreciation by 3XX account. The total functional balance in accumulated depreciation did not change as a result of the transfers.

Q.

A.

WERE THERE ANY CHANGES IN THE METHODS OR PROCEDURES USED IN YOUR CURRENT DEPRECIATION STUDY?

Yes. My depreciation study recommends the use of the remaining life method to calculate depreciation rates versus the whole life method that was used to determine rates for both of the AEP Ohio companies in their prior rate cases. The reasons for my recommended usage of the remaining life method are explained in Section V of my testimony.

In addition, my depreciation study recommends that we combine CSP subcategories of accounts 366, 367 and 369 (our last depreciation study recommended three separate depreciation rates each for accounts 366 and 367 and four separate rates for account 369) to use one rate for each of these accounts in a manner similar to OPCo's rate calculations for these accounts.

Also, the AEP Ohio companies began using a vintage retirement procedure for general plant accounts 391 to 398 in 1998 in accordance with FERC Accounting Release Number 15. Under this procedure, interim retirements are not recognized and final retirements are posted when the property is fully depreciated. My study recommends that we standardize AEP Ohio's general equipment average service lives in these accounts by using the longest life by account that was approved by the Commission in the last rate case.

- 1 Q. HOW DO THE CSP DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT
- 2 DEPRECIATION RATES AND ANNUAL ACCRUALS AS A RESULT OF
- 3 YOUR STUDY COMPARE WITH CSP'S CURRENT RATES AND
- 4 ACCRUALS?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 5 A. A comparison of CSP's current rates and the study rates are shown below based on
- 6 December 31, 2009 depreciable plant balances:

7 <u>CSP Composite Rates and Accruals</u>

8 9	Functional Plant Group	Approved Annual Rates Expense	Study Rates	Annual Expense	% Change Expense
10	Distribution	3.52% \$59,456,241	3.01%	\$50,760,201	-14.6%
11	General	3.32% \$ 2,763,973	1.63%	\$ 1,355,348	-50.9%
12	TOTAL	3.51% \$62,220,214	2.94%	\$52,115,549	-16.2%

Based on the results of the CSP study using December 31, 2009 plant balances, I am recommending a decrease in annual depreciation expense of \$10,104,665 or -16.2% in the annual accrual amount. The depreciation rate changes are primarily due to increases in the estimated average service life and decreases in the estimated net salvage ratio (1 - net salvage percentage) used to calculate CSP's current study Distribution and General Plant depreciation rates when compared to the average service lives and net salvage amounts approved in Case No. 91-418-EL-AIR.

- 1 Q. HOW DO THE OPCO DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT
- 2 DEPRECIATION RATES AND ANNUAL ACCRUALS AS A RESULT OF
- 3 YOUR STUDY COMPARE WITH OPCO'S CURRENT RATES AND
- 4 ACCRUALS?

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 5 A. A comparison of OPCo's current rates and the study rates are shown below based on
- 6 December 31, 2009 depreciable plant balances:

OPCo Composite Rates and Accruals

8 9	Functional Plant Group	Approved Annual Rates Expense	Study <u>Rates</u>	Annual Expense	% Change Expense
10	Distribution	3.97% \$61,282,369	3.77%	\$58,201,704	-5.0%
11	General	2.83% \$ 2,914,415	2.26%	\$ 2,326,681	-20.2%
12	TOTAL	3.90% \$64,196,784	3.68%	\$60,528,385	-5.7%

Based on the results of the OPCo study using December 31, 2009 plant balances, I am recommending a decrease in annual depreciation expense of \$3,668,399 or -5.7% in the annual accrual amount. The depreciation rate changes are primarily due to increases in the estimated average service life and decreases in the net salvage ratio (1 - net salvage %) used to calculate OPCo's current study Distribution and General Plant depreciation rates when compared to the average service lives and removal cost amounts approved in Case No. 94-996-EL-AIR.

V. STUDY METHODS AND PROCEDURES

1		V. STUDY METHODS AND PROCEDURES
2	Q.	WHAT WERE THE METHODS AND PROCEDURES USED IN YOUR
3		DEPRECIATION STUDY?
4	A.	The methods and procedures are fully described in my Depreciation Study Reports. In
5		summary, all of the property included in these reports was considered on a group plan.
6		Under the group plan, depreciation is accrued upon the basis of the original cost of all
7		property included in each depreciable plant group instead of individual items of property.
8		Upon retirement of any depreciable property, its full cost, less any net salvage realized, is
9		charged to the accumulated provision for depreciation regardless of the age of the
10		particular item retired. Also under this plan, the dollars in each primary plant account
11		are considered as a separate group for depreciation accounting purposes and an annual
12		depreciation rate for each account is determined. In this study, the plant groups
13		consisted of the individual primary plant accounts for Distribution and General Plant
14		property.
15		The average service lives for AEP Ohio companies' Distribution and General
16		Plant were determined using statistical procedures similar to those used in the insurance
17		industry in studies of human mortality. The historical retirement experience of the
18		property groups was studied and retirement characteristics of the property were
19		described using the Iowa-type retirement dispersion curves.
20		Net salvage for each property group was determined based on actual historical
21		experience for Distribution and General Plant accounts.
22		Depreciation rates were calculated by using the Average Remaining Life
23		Method.

1	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THE AVERAGE REMAINING LIFE
2		METHOD OF CALCULATING DEPRECIATION RATES?
3	A.	Yes. The Average Remaining Life method recovers the un-depreciated original cost less
4		future net salvage over the remaining life of the property. This technique uses the gross
5		plant value times a net salvage ratio less book accumulated depreciation as a numerator
6		and the remaining life or future life expectancy as a denominator to calculate an annual
7		depreciation accrual that is converted to a depreciation rate.
8	Q.	ARE THE CURRENTLY APPROVED DEPRECIATION RATES FOR AEP
9		OHIO COMPANIES CALCULATED USING THE AVERAGE REMAINING
10		LIFE METHOD?
11	A.	No. The currently approved depreciation rates for the AEP Ohio companies use the
12		whole life method to calculate depreciation rates.
13	Q.	WHY ARE YOU RECOMMENDING THE AVERAGE REMAINING LIFE
14	·	METHOD INSTEAD OF THE WHOLE LIFE METHOD IN YOUR CURRENT
15		DEPRECIATION STUDY?
16	A.	The Whole Life depreciation method ignores accumulated depreciation or the
17		depreciation reserve and bases the depreciation rate on the average service life of each
18		plant account. This method results in the allocation of a gross plant base over the total
19		life of the investment. However, the estimated service life of each plant account cannot
20		be expected to be precise and for this reason an over or under accrual of depreciation
21		expense will occur over time.

I		The average remaining life method seeks to recover the un-depreciated
2		remaining (original cost less accumulated depreciation) cost of the property over its
3		remaining life. By deducting the actual depreciation reserve from the property's original
4		cost to calculate depreciation rates, the average remaining method effectively amortizes
5		any reserve over or under accruals over the remaining life of the property.
6	Q.	DOES AEP USE THE REMAINING LIFE METHOD TO CALCULATE
7		DEPRECIATION RATES FOR ITS ELECTRIC UTILITY OPERATIONS IN
8		OTHER JURISDICTIONS?
9	A.	Yes. AEP uses the remaining life method to calculate depreciation rates in Arkansas,
10		Indiana, Michigan, Oklahoma, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia and Louisiana.
11	Q.	HAVE COMMISSIONS IN THESE STATES ACCEPTED DEPRECIATION
12		RATES CALCULATED USING THE REMAINING LIFE METHOD?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	HAVE YOU CALCULATED AN ANNUAL DEPRECIATION AMOUNT FOR
15		THE AEP OHIO COMPANIES USING THE WHOLE LIFE METHOD?
16	A.	Yes. My calculations are illustrated in Appendix A of Exhibit DAD - 1 for CSP and
17		Appendix A of Exhibit DAD - 2 for OPCo. The calculations indicate that the annual
18		depreciation accrual for CSP would be \$59.2 million using whole life versus \$52.1
19		million using remaining life (an increase of \$7.1 million by using whole life). OPCo's
20		annual depreciation accrual would be \$65.8 million using whole life versus \$60.5 million
21		using remaining life (an increase of \$5.3 million by using whole life).

1	Q.	WHY DOES YOUR DEPRECIATION STUDY INCLUDE NET SALVAGE IN
2		THE DEVELOPMENT OF DEPRECIATION RATES?
3	A.	The obligation to include net salvage in the development of depreciation rates is widely
4		recognized and accepted by a substantial majority of state regulatory commissions as a
5		standard ratemaking principle. Including removal and salvage in the depreciation reserve
6		(accumulated depreciation) requires that depreciation rates include a removal and
7		salvage component to properly depreciate property over its useful life and recover net
8		salvage amounts. FERC's "Accounting and Reporting Requirements for Public Utilities
9		and Licensees", Electric Plant Instructions, paragraph 10, item B, (2) indicates this
10		account treatment:
11 12 13 14 15		" If the retirement unit is of a depreciable class, the book cost of the unit retired and credited to electric plant shall be charged to the accumulated provision for depreciation applicable to such property. The removal and the salvage shall be charged or credited, as appropriate, to such depreciable account."
16 17		The economic principle underlying both the accounting and ratemaking
18		treatment of net salvage is that in addition to return of and return on invested capital, a
19		revenue requirement for removal expense less salvage is created when an asset is placed
20		in service.
21	Q.	HOW ARE NET SALVAGE RATES ESTIMATED IN A DEPRECIATION
22		STUDY?
23	Α.	Average and future net salvage rates are ideally calculated from a historical analysis of
24		the cost to install and the net cost to retire major retirement units.

1	Q.	WAS A HISTORICAL ANALYSIS USED TO DETERMINE THE AEP OHIO
2		COMPANIES' NET SALVAGE RATES FOR YOUR DEPRECIATION
3		STUDIES?
4	A.	Yes. A historical analysis was used in my current depreciation studies to determine net
5		salvage amounts for Distribution and General Plant. My depreciation study calculation of
6		net salvage amounts employs a standard industry methodology to estimate net salvage
7		that properly reflects the AEP Ohio companies' cost to remove and salvage received
8		from retirements of assets.
9		VI. STUDY RESULTS
10	Q.	WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF YOUR STUDY FOR CSP DISTRIBUTION
11		PLANT?
12	A.	For CSP's Distribution Plant, the composite depreciation rate decreased from 3.52% to
13		3.01%. The decrease was mainly caused by increases in the estimated average service
14		life for eight accounts and increases in the net salvage ratio (1 minus the net salvage rate)
15		for four accounts. The decrease was slightly moderated by a decrease in the net salvage
16		ratio for six accounts.
17	Q.	WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF YOUR STUDY FOR CSP GENERAL
18		PLANT?
19	A.	CSP's General Plant composite depreciation rate decreased from the current 3.32% to
20		1.63%. The decrease is largely attributable to an increase in the estimated average
21		service life for four accounts and an increase in the net salvage ratio expected from three

1		accounts. The decrease was slightly moderated by a decrease in the net salvage ratio for
2		one account.
3	Q.	WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF YOUR STUDY FOR OPCO DISTRIBUTION
4		PLANT?
5	A.	For OPCo's Distribution Plant, the composite depreciation rate decreased from 3.97% to
6		3.77%. The decrease was mainly caused by increases in the estimated average service
7		life for five accounts and increases in the net salvage ratio (1 minus the net salvage rate)
8		for four accounts. The decrease was slightly moderated by a decrease in the net salvage
9		ratio for four accounts.
10	Q.	WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF YOUR STUDY FOR OPCO GENERAL
11		PLANT?
12	A.	OPCo's General Plant composite depreciation rate decreased from the current 2.83% to
13		2.26%. The decrease is largely attributable to an increase in the estimated average
14		service life for three accounts and an increase in the net salvage ratio expected from five
15		accounts. The decrease was slightly moderated by a decrease in the net salvage ratio for
16		one account.

- 1 Q. SINCE THE AEP OHIO COMPANIES HAVE REQUESTED PERMISSION TO
- 2 COMBINE, HAVE YOU CALCULATED A COMBINED ANNUAL
- 3 DEPRECIATION ACCRUAL AND COMBINED DEPRECIATION RATES
- 4 USING THE AVERAGE REMAINING LIFE METHOD OF ANALYSIS?
- 5 A. Yes. The Combined Company weighted average annual depreciation accrual and
- depreciation rates based on plant in service at December 31, 2009 are shown on
- 7 Schedule I of Exhibit DAD 3 in my depreciation study report.
- 8 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 9 A. Yes.

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY

DEPRECIATION STUDY REPORT

OF

ELECTRIC PLANT IN SERVICE

AT DECEMBER 31, 2009

Depreciation Study Report

Table of Contents

SUBJECT		PAGE
TITLE PAGE	***************************************	1
TABLE OF CONTENTS		2
INTRODUCTION		3
DICUSSION OF METHODS AND PROCEDURES USED IN THE STUDY		5
Group Method Determination of Annual Depreciation Rates Methods of Life Analysis		5 5 6
Final Selection of Average Life and Curve Type Net Salvage Calculation of Depreciation Requirement at		8
December 31, 2009 Study Results		9 10
SCHEDULES - TITLE PAGE	***************************************	11
SCHEDULE I - EXPLANATION OF COLUMNS		12
SCHEDULE I - CALCULATION OF DEPRECIATION RATES BY THE REMAINING LIFE METHOD		13
SCHEDULE II - ANNUAL DEPRECIATION RATES AND ACCRUALS BY THE REMAINING LIFE METHOD		14
SCHEDULE III - COMPARISON OF MORTALITY CHARACTERISTICS		15
APPENDIX A - CALCULATION OF DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT DEPRECIATION RATES BY THE WHOLE LIFE METHOD		A-1
APPENDIX A - ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT DEPRECIATION RATES AND ACCRUALS BY THE WHOLE LIFE METHOD		A - 2

INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a depreciation study of Columbus Southern Power Company's (CSP or the Company) depreciable Distribution and General electric utility plant in service at December 31, 2009. The study was prepared by David A. Davis, Manager – Property Accounting Policy and Research at American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC). The purpose of this depreciation study was to develop appropriate annual depreciation accrual rates for each of the primary Distribution and General Plant accounts, which comprise the groups for which CSP computes its annual depreciation expense.

The recommended depreciation rates are based on the Average Remaining Life Method of computing depreciation. Further explanation of this method is contained in the "Discussion of Methods and Procedures Used in the Study" section of this report

The definition of depreciation used in this Study is the same as that used by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC):

"Depreciation, as applied to depreciable electric plant, means the loss in service value not restored by current maintenance, incurred in connection with the consumption or prospective retirement of electric plant in the course of service from causes which are known to be in current operation and against which the utility is not protected by insurance. Among the causes to be given consideration are wear and tear, decay, actions of the elements, inadequacy, obsolescence, changes in the art, changes in demand and requirements of public authorities."

"Service value means the difference between original cost and the net

salvage value (net salvage value means the salvage value of the property retired less the cost of removal) of the electric plant." (FERC Accounting and Reporting Requirements for Public Utilities and Licensees, ¶15.001.)

Schedule I on this report shows the recommended depreciation accrual rates by primary plant accounts and composite rates to functional plant classifications (note that the total Company General Plant balance was used to develop General depreciation rates). Schedule II shows a comparison of CSP's current depreciation rates and accruals to the recommended rates and accruals using the Company's General Plant balances in accounts 390 to 398 assigned to the Distribution function. Schedule III provides a comparison of the current Depreciation Study mortality characteristics that were used to compute the recommended depreciation rates and the mortality characteristics used to determine the existing depreciation rates and accruals (from the Company's Case No. 91-418-EL-AIR). A comparison of CSP's current functional group composite depreciation rates and accruals to the recommended functional group rates and accruals follows:

Composite Rates and Accruals (Using General Plant assigned to the Distribution function)

	E	kisting	S	tudy	increase	Change In
Functional Plant Group	Rates	<u>Accruals</u>	Rates	<u>Accruals</u>	(Decrease)	Rates
Distribution Plant	3 52%	59,456,241	3.01%	50,760,201	-8,696,040	-0 51%
General Plant	3 32%	2,763,973	1 63%	1,355,348	-1,409,079	-1 69%
Total Plant	3.51%	62,220,214	2 94%	52,115,549	-10,104,665	-0 57%

Based on Distribution and General Depreciable Plant in Service as of December 31, 2009, I am recommending a decrease in annual depreciation expense of -\$10,104,665 or -16.2%

in the annual accrual amount resulting from a -0.57% decrease in the annual composite depreciation rate. The depreciation rate changes are primarily due to increases in the estimated average service life for eight Distribution accounts and four General accounts. Also adding to the decrease in depreciation rates was a decrease in the net salvage ratio (1 minus the net salvage percentage) for six Distribution accounts and for one General account. These changes use CSP's current study depreciation rates and compare to the depreciation rates approved in Case No 91-418-EL-AIR (See Schedule II).

DISCUSSION OF METHODS AND PROCEDURES USED IN THE STUDY

1. Group Method

All of the depreciable property included in this report was considered on a group plan. Under the group plan, depreciation expense is accrued upon the basis of the original cost of all property included in each depreciable plant account. Upon retirement of any depreciable property, its full cost, less any net salvage realized, is charged to the accrued depreciation reserve regardless of the age of the particular item retired. Also, under this plan, the dollars in each primary plant account are considered a separate group for depreciation accounting purposes and an annual depreciation rate for each account is determined. The annual accruals by primary account were then summed, to arrive at the total accrual for each functional group. The total accrual divided by the original cost yields the functional group accrual rate.

2 <u>Determination of Annual Depreciation Rates By the Average Remaining Life Method</u>

CSP's current depreciation rates are based on the Whole Life Method for Distribution and General Plant.

The current Depreciation Study recommends the Average Remaining Life

Method which recovers the original cost of the plant, adjusted for net salvage, less accumulated depreciation, over the average remaining life of the plant. By this method, the annual depreciation rate for each account is determined on the following basis:

Annual
Depreciation Expense =

(Orig. Cost) (Net Salvage Ratio) - Accumulated Depreciation
Average Remaining Life

Annual
Depreciation = Annual Depreciation Expense
Rate Original Cost

Because the Average Remaining Life Method provides a way to adjust the accumulated depreciation when changes occur in the estimates of service life or net salvage for depreciable property groups, I am recommending the Average Remaining Life Method be used to calculate depreciation rates for CSP's depreciable Distribution and General property.

3. Methods of Life Analysis

Actuarial Analysis

This method of analyzing past experience represents the application to industrial property of statistical procedures developed in the life insurance field for investigating human mortality. It is distinguished from other methods of life estimation by the requirement that it is necessary to know the age of the property at the time of its retirement and the age of survivors, or plant remaining in service; that is, the installation date must be known for each particular retirement and for each particular survivor.

The application of this method involves the statistical procedure known as the "annual rate method" of analysis. This procedure relates the retirements during each age interval to the exposures at the beginning of that interval, the ratio of these being the annual retirement ratio. Subtracting each retirement ratio from unity yields a sequence of annual survival ratios from which a survivor curve can be determined. This is accomplished by the consecutive multiplication of the survivor ratios. The length of this curve depends primarily upon the age of the oldest property. Normally, if the period of years from the inception of the account to the time of the study is short in relation to the expected maximum life of the property, an incomplete or stub survivor curve results

While there are a number of acceptable methods of smoothing and extending this stub survivor curve in order to compute the area under it from which the average life is determined, the well-known Iowa Type Curve Method was used in this study.

By this procedure, instead of mathematically smoothing and projecting the stub survivor curve to determine the average life of the group, it was assumed that the stub curve would have the same mortality characteristics as the type curve selected. The selection of the appropriate type curve and average life is accomplished by plotting the stub curve, superimposing on it Iowa curves of the various types and average lives drawn to the same scale, and then determining which Iowa type curve and average life best matches the stub. This method was used for CSP's Distribution accounts and General Plant account 390.

For CSP's General Plant investment (with the exception of Account 390,

Structures), an SQ type Iowa curve was recommended because, beginning in 1998, CSP adopted the practice of recording retirements when property reaches an age equal to the average service life in accordance with FERC Accounting Release number 15.

To standardize the lives used for AEP's Ohio Companies in General Plant accounts 391 to 398; I selected the longest life approved by account for either CSP (Case No 91-418-EL-AIR) or Ohio Power Company (Case No 94-996-EL-AIR). These were the last depreciation filings with this Commission and (other than adopting standard rates for AEP's Ohio Companies) there is no basis for changing lives in the current study. Changes were made to net salvage recommendations to reflect the account salvage and removal historical experience. Since vintage year retirements are being used for accounts 391 to 398, no actuarial analysis was conducted for those investments.

4 Final Selection of Average Life and Curve Type

The final selection of average life and curve type for each depreciable plant account analyzed by the Actuarial Method was primarily based on the results of the mortality analyses of past retirement history.

5. Net Salvage

The net salvage percentages used in this report are expressed as percent of original cost and are based primarily on the Company's experience combined with the judgment of the analyst. To aid in the selection of net salvage percentages, a review was made of the Company's experience for each primary account within each functional plant group for years 1969 - 2009.

The salvage program analyzes historical experience on an annual basis, on the cumulative history basis and for 5-year moving averages to get the historical net salvage, as well as indicated trends

The net salvage percents selected were converted to net salvage ratios and appear in Column V on Schedule I and were used to determine the total amount to be recovered through depreciation. The same net salvage was also reflected in the determination of the calculated depreciation requirement.

The net salvage ratios shown in Column V on Schedule I of this report may be explained as follows:

- a. Where the ratio is shown as unity (1 00), it was assumed that the net salvage in that particular account would be zero
- b Where the ratio is less than unity, it was assumed that the salvage exceeded the removal costs. For example, if the net salvage were 20%, the net salvage ratio would be expressed as 80.
- c. Where the ratio is greater than unity, it was assumed that the salvage was less than the cost of removal. For example, if the net salvage were minus 5%, the net salvage ratio would be expressed as 1.05.

6. <u>Calculation of Depreciation Requirement at December 31, 2009</u>

The accumulated depreciation by individual plant accounts was taken from the

Company's books at December 31, 2009. The calculation of a theoretical reserve for each plant account is provided on Schedule I for information purposes. CSP's current depreciation rates for Distribution and General Plant are Whole Life rates that do not take into account over or under accruals that result from changes in estimates of service lives and net salvage.

7. Study Results

For Distribution and General Property, the average service life, retirement dispersion pattern and net salvage pattern used to calculate each primary plant account rate are shown on Schedule III. The mortality characteristics and net salvage values for the current rates are also shown. The changes to the mortality characteristics follow the trends shown by the historical retirement experience. The gross salvage and gross cost of removal percentages were largely based on the history of the account for the period 1969-2009.

Distribution Plant

The composite rate for Distribution Plant decreased from 3.52% to 3.01%. The decrease was mainly caused by increases in the estimated average service life for eight Distribution accounts and a decrease in the net salvage ratio (1 minus the net salvage percentage) for six Distribution accounts. The Distribution Plant composite rate decrease was partly offset by an increase in the net salvage ratio for four accounts.

General Plant

The composite rate for General Plant decreased from 3 32% to 1.63%. The decrease is largely attributable to an increase in the estimated average service life for four accounts and a decrease in the net salvage ratio for one account. The General Plant composite rate decrease was partly offset by an increase in the net salvage ratio for three accounts.

SCHEDULES

SCHEDULE I - Explanation of Columns

Schedule I shows the determination of the recommended annual depreciation accrual rate by primary plant accounts by the straight line remaining life method. An explanation of the schedule follows:

Column I - Account number

Column II - Account title

Column III - Original Cost at December 31, 2009

Column IV - Average Life and (Iowa) Curve Type.

Column V - Net Salvage Ratio.

Column VI - Total to be Recovered (Column III) * (Column V)

Column VII - Calculated Depreciation Requirement.

Column VIII - Accumulated Depreciation - CSP's accumulated depreciation by

plant account

Column IX - Remaining to be Recovered (Column VI - Column VIII)

Column X - Average Remaining Life

Column XI - Recommended Annual Accrual Amount.

Column XII - Recommend Annual Accrual Percent or Depreciation Rate

(Column XI/Column III).

EXHIBIT DAD-1 SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 13

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY
CALCULATION OF DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT DERECLATION ARTES BY THE REMAINIG LIFE METHOD
BASED ON PLANT IN SPENICE AT DECEMBER 31, 2009
SCHEDULE I. AVERAGE LIFE GROUF (ALG) METHOD ACCRUAL RATES

Š EI	TITLE (II)	ORIGINAL COST AT 1231/09 (JIL)	AVERAGE LIFE & CURVE TYPE (1)	NET SALVAGE RATIO	TOTAL TO BE RECOVERED _(M)	THEORETICAL DEPRECIATION RESERVE (VII)	ALLOCATED ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	REMAINING TO BE RECOVERED	AVERAGE REMAINING LIFE (X)	RECOMMENDED ANNUAL ACCRUAL AMOUNT PERCE (XI) (XII)	ENDED ICRUAL PERCENT (XII)
DISTRUBI	DISTRIBLTHON PLANT										
361.0	Structures & improvements	9,781,492	56 £1.0	1.14	11,150,901	3,758,286	4,948,248	6,202,653	36.41	170,356	1.74%
362.0		221,705,860	40 L1.0	1.16	257,178,796	57,045,192	74,907,733	182,271,065	31.13	5,855,158	2.64%
387	Poles, Towers, & Findures	226,773,173	40 E 1.0	88	419,530,370	105,658,935	138,743,880	280,786,490	29.93	9,381,440	4 4 8
3650	-	227,520,697	42 L0.5	1.10	260,272,767	47,724,404	62,668,330	187,604,437		5,501,598	2.42%
388.0		86,288,645	60 R2.5	8	88,288,648	20,888,906	27.400,952	60,887,693		1,328,845	1.51% 8.10
0.78		362,865,773	9 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	3 5	449,953,559	121,389,798	159,400,543	280,553,016		0.001,040	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2000	Line i misioniers	500,300,005 500,400,	24 KJ. D	2 8	405,007,004	99,000,008	131,140,330	495 A70 785		0,200,216 A 254 725	3.17%
820.0		80 303 R55	26.52	8 8	108,001,001	20 540 817	40 104 052	63 487 924	26.36	260500	3
371.0		24.672.218	13.11.5	2	29,606,662	13.727.751	18,026,317	11,580,345	6.97	1,861,456	6.73%
372.0	_	102,689	30 00	8	102,689	47,159	61,928	40,763	16.22	2,513	2.45%
373.0		12354,702	25 10.5	7.	14,084,280	4.995.122	E 559 243	7.525.117	16.13	466.629	3.78%
	Total Distribution Plant	1,688,844,718			2.145.241.172	543,402,798	713,558,318	1.431.682.863		50,750,201	3.01%
GENERA	GENERAL PLANT (Total Company Note 2)										
390.0	Structures & Improvements (Note 3)	53,645,022	4511.0	0.98	52,572,122	11,785,097	23,332,121	29,240,001	35.00	835,429	1.58%
390.0	Structures & Improvements (Note 4)	4,828,229	0.42 Yrs	9	4,828,229	4,824,789	4,824,769	3,480		3,480	0.07%
391.0	Office Furniture & Equipment	5,067,274	30 SQ	9.	5,067,274	1,522,165	3,011,026	2,056,248		286'46	1.93%
382.0	Transportation Equipment (Note 5)	40,258	90 3Q	8	40,258	3,173	6,277	88. 88.		82	252
383.0	Stones Equipment	301,988	N .	8	301,988	83,814	185,575	116,391		4 955	1.64%
0.4		10,353, 142	30.80	8	10,870,799	3,349,911	5,526,527	4,244,272		204.40	\$ 18. C
395.0		631,927	28.82	8 8	631,927	117,271	23,976	COR BOR		7,040,7	2.70%
0.080		0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000	200	5 5	45 PAR 210	3 300 030	A 500 BES	9077		329 BR3	3
386.0	Miscellaneous Eq.	1.521.537	25 SQ	8	1621.537	314,286	621,715	228 656	20.15	49,619	3.08%
			!								
	Total General Plant	92,099,209			B1,543,883	25,322,330	45.371.427	46.172.266		1.543.099	1,68%
	Total Depreciable Plent	1,780,943,927			2,236,784,985	568,726,131	758,929,745	1,477,855,119		62,303,300	2.94%
	N/A = not applicable										

*STES:

Excludes \$3,022,777 provided for in CSP's Enhanced Vegelation Management Rider.
 Used folial company general plant beliances at December 31, 2008 for purposes of selebilahing accrual rates in the Depreciation Study.
 The balance excludes \$501,398 for Solar Parais at the Athers Service Center that are provided for in the Company's fuel adjustment clause.

4. Owned improvements to leased shuckures that are emortized over the lease term in accordance with GAAP. Amount based on arrivalized Dec 2006 amortization expense, adjusted for the amount remaining to be recovered.

6. In CSP's last general rate case, transportation equipment was sognigated into classes and separate depreciation rases were calculated by class or vehicle. Since the last case, CSP has relired most of its investment in transportation equipment and is leasing vehicles rateed of owning them. The remaining amounts in the transportation equipment account mainly consists of traiters and other minor trans.

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT DEPRECIATION RATES AND ACCRUALS BY THE REMAINING LIFE METHOD SCHEDULE II - COMPARE DEPRECIATION EXPENSE USING CURRENT AND STUDY RATES BASED ON PLANT IN SERVICE AT DECEMBER 31, 2009

(USING DISTRIBUTION COMPANY GENERAL PLANT BALANCES)

NO (1)	TITLE (2)	ORIGINAL COST AT 12/31/09 (3)	CURRENT APPROVED RATE .(4)	ANNUAL ACCRUAL (5)	STUDY RATE (6)	STUDY ACCRUAL (Z)	OFFERENCE (DECREASE)
DISTRIBUT	IÓN FLANT						
361.0	Structures & improvements	9 781 492	2 56%	250 406	1 74%	170 356	-80 050
362 0	Station Equipment	221 705 860	2 71%	6 008 229	2 64%	5 656 158	-153 071
364 0	Polas, Towers, & Fixtures	226 773 173	4 00%	9 070 927	4 14%	9 381 440	310 513
365 0	Overhead Conductor & Devices (Note 1)	227 520 697	2 85%	6 507 092	2 42%	5 501 596	-1 005 496
366 D	Underground Conduit - Downtown	16 970 102	2 00%	327 402	Cr	enbined to Use One 35	8 Account
366 D	Underground Conduit - Residential	48 637 081	1 79%	868 814		mbined to Use One St	
366 0	Underground Conduit - Other	23 381 462	1 79%	418 528	Co	mbined to Use Oce 36	6 Accept
	Total Account 366	68,288,645	1.83%	1,814,744	1 51%	1 326 845	-285 899
367 Q	Underground Conductor - Downtown	27 155 517	2 50%	678 888	Co	mbined to Use One 36	7 Account
367 0	Underground Conductor - Residential	296 217 435	2 68%	7 938 627	Co	mbined to Use One 35	7 Account
367 C	Underground Conductor - Other	39 492 821	3 00%	1.184 785		mbined to Line One 38	
	Total Account 367	352,865,773	2.70%	9,802,300	3 05%	11 051 845	1 249 548
368 D	Line Transformers	300 356 843	3 53%	10 603 020	2 86%	8 585 218	-2 017 802
369 D	Services - Overhead	96 671 612	6 73%	6 505 999	Co	mbined to Use One 30	@ Account
369 D	Services - Underground Downtown	7 838 422	3 94%	308 B34		mbined to Use One 35	
369 0	Services - Underground Residential	28 629 526	7 69%	2 201 611		embined to Use One 36	
369 0	Services - Underground Other	<u>987 211</u>	2 93%	<u>27 982</u>		mbined to Use One 36	
	Total Account 369	134,106,771	8.74%	9.044,106	3 17%	4 254 736	-4 789 370
370 0	Maters (Excludes smartGRID meters)	80 303 855	4 00%	3 212 154	3 11%	2 500 509	-711 645
371 0	Installations on Custs. Prem.	24 672 218	10 83%	2 672 001	6 73%	1 861 458	-1 010 545
372 0	Leased Property on Custs Prem	102 689	4 00%	4 108	2 45%	2 513	-1 595
373 0	Street Lighting & Signal Sys	<u>12 354 702</u>	5 40%	<u>687 154</u>	3 78%	<u>466 529</u>	<u>-200 625</u>
	Total Distribution Plant	1 588 844 718	3 52%	59 456 241	3.01%	<u>50 780 201</u>	-8 696 040
GENERAL	PLANT (Distribution Company Note 2)						
390 0	Structures & improvements (Note 3)	53 209 299	2 44%	1 298 307	1 56%	828 643	-469 664
390 Q	Structures & Improvements (Note 4)	4 741 428	3 33%	157 890	0 07%	3 398	-154 492
391 0	Office Furniture & Equipment	3 314 542	4 50%	149 154	1 93%	64 078	-85 076
392 0	Transportation Equipment (Note 8)	13 671	1 83%	250	1 83%	251	: 1
393 0 394 0	Stores Equipment	189 068	2 94%	5 559	1 64%	3 109	-2 450
394 0	Tools Shop & Garage Equipment	8 009 535	3 17%	253 902	1 97%	158 165	-95 737 -3
396 O	Laboratory Equipment (Note 6) Power Operated Equipment	62 656	2 78%	2 298	2 78%	2 295 43	-3 -113
3970	Communication Equipment	2 337 12 755 220	6 67% 6 67%	156 850 773	1 84% 2 11%	268 790	-581 983
398 0	Miscellaneous Equipment	888 510	5 26%	45 684	3 06%	26.576	19 106
	Total General Plant	83 186 288	3 32%	2 763 973	1 63%	1 355 348	-1 408 625
	Total Depreciable Plant	1,772,031,006	3 51%	62,220,214	<u>2.94</u> %	52,115,549	-10,104,685

NOTES:

- 1 Excludes \$3 027 777 provided for in CSP's Enhanced Vegetation Menagement Rider
- 2 Used distribution company general plant balances at December 31 2009
- 3 The belience excludes \$601 398 for Soler Panels at the Athens Service Center that are provided for in the Company's fuel adjustment clause
- 4. Owned improvements to leased structures that are amortized over the lease term in accordance with GAAP. Amount based on annualized Dec 2009 amortization expense, adjusted for amount remaining to be recovered. The belance also excludes \$501.398 for Sokar Panels at the Athens Service Center provided for in the Company's fuel adjustment clause.

^{5.} In CSP's last general rate case, transportation equipment was segregated into classes and separate depreciation rates were calculated by class of vehicle. Since the last case, CSP has retired most of its investment in transportation equipment and is leasing vehicles instead of owning them. The remaining amounts in the transportation equipment account mainly consists of trailers and other minor items. Since the old rates and classes are not compatible with the single rate needed for the current case the current recommended study rate was also shown as the current approved rate.

⁶ CSP did not have an approved rate for account 395 in its last case, so the recommended rate is also shown as the approved rate

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY SCHEDULE III - COMPARISON OF MORTALITY CHARACTERISTICS DEPRECIATION STUDY AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2009

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
			Ex	isting Ra	tes (A)				Study Rate	ea (B)	
		Average			Cost of	Net	Average	:		Cost of	Net
		Service	lowa	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	Service	Iowa	Salvage	Removal	_
		<u>Life</u>	Curve	Factor	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	Life	Curve	Factor 1	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>
		(Years)					(Years)				
DISTRI	BUIION PLANT									1	
361 0	Structures & Improvements	43	R2.5	NA	NA	-10%	55	L10	5%	19%	-14%
362.0	Station Equipment	35	R1.5	NA	NA	5%	40	11.0	2%	18%	-16%
364.0	Poles, Towers, & Fixtures	40	R1 5	NA	NA	-60%	40	11.0	10%	95%	-85%
365 0	Overhead Conductor & Devices	42	R2 0	NA	NA	-20%	42	1.0.5	23%	33%	-10%
366 0	Underground Conduit - Downtown	50	R3 0	NA	NA	0%	60	R2 5	0%	0%	0%
366.0	Underground Conduit - Residential	56	R3 5	NA	NA	0%	(Combine	- Use one	366 acco	unt
366.0	Underground Conduit - Other	56	R3 5	NA	NA	0%	(Combine	- Use one	366 acco	unt
367 0	Underground Conductor - Downtown	34	S0 0	NA	NA	15%	36	L15	1%	25%	-24%
367 0	Underground Conductor - Residential	28	S3 0	NA	NA	25%	(Combine	- Use one	367 acco	unt
367.0	Underground Conductor - Other	36	R10	NA	NA	25%	(Combine	- Use one	367 acco	unt
368.0	Line Transformers	34	S0 5	NA	NA	-20%	34	R1 5	14%	26%	-12%
369 0	Services - Overhead	26	R4 5	NA	NA	-75%	40	100	1%	39%	-38%
369 0	Services - UG Downtown	33	R2.0	NA	NA	-30%	(Combine	- Use one	369 acco	unt
369.0	Services - UG Residential	26	L4 5	NA	NA	-100%	Combine - Use one 369 account				
369.0	Services - UG Other	35	R3 0	NA	NA	0%	Combine - Use one 369 account				
370 0	Meters	35	S1.0	NA	NA	-40%	36	S1 0	9%	38%	-29%
371.0	Installations on Custs Prem	12	L20	NA	NA	-30%	13	115	0%	20%	` -20%
372.0	Leased Property on Custs Prem.	25	L10	NA	NA	0%	30	100	0%	0%	0%
373.0	Street Lighting & Signal Sys.	25	L10	NA	NA	-35%	25	L0 5	2%	16%	-14%
CENTER	110 mg 1100	•									
GENE	RAL PLANI										
390.0	Structures & Improvements	45	R2 5	NA	NA	-10%	45	LI 0	17%	15%	2%
391 0	Office Furniture & Equipment	20	L0.0	NA	NA	10%	30	SQ	0%	: 0%	0%
392.0	Iransportation Equipment (C)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	50	SQ	0%	0%	0%
3930	Stores Equipment	34	L15	NA	NA	0%	34	SQ	0%	0%	0%
394 0	Iools Shop & Garage Equipment	30	L0 5	NA	NA	5%	30	sQ	5%	10%	-5%
395 0	Laboratory Equipment	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	28	SQ	0%	0%	0%
396.0	Power Operated Equipment	12	L00	NA	NA	20%	26	SQ	25%	16%	9%
397.0	Communication Equipment	15	\$1.0	NA	NA	0%	35	SQ	0%	0%	0%
398 0	Miscellaneous Equipment	19	Lio	NA	NA	0%	25	SQ	.0%	0%	0%

NOIE: (A) Existing Rate mortality characteristics were recommended by the commission staff in Case No 91-418-EL-AR.

NA = Not Available

⁽B) The Company recommends that the costs recorded in accounts 366, 367 and 369 be combined using a single depreciation rate for each plant account in a similar fashion to its affiliate, Ohio Power Company.

⁽C) Since most vehicles are leased, the Company no longer keeps its property record segregated by vehicle class. The primary owned investment in this account is trailers and other transportation type equipment

EXHIBIT DAD - 1 APPENDIX A CSP WHOLE LIFE RATE CALCULATION

EXHIBIT DAD-1 APPENDIX A PAGE A - 1

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY CALCULATION OF DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT DEPRECATION RATES BY THE WHOLE LIFF METHOD BASED ON PLANT IN SERVICE AT DECEMBER 3, 2009 SCHEDULE I - WHOLE LIFF ACCRUAL RATES

IDED KUAL PERCENT (XII)	2,07% 2,82% 2,62% 1,62% 3,44% 3,44% 3,56% 9,23% 6,83% 6,83%	8.38.88 8.08.88	2003 2003 2003 2003 2004 2004 3.494 3.494 2.4984 2.003	3.34%
RECOMMENDED ANNUAL ACCRUAL AMOUNT PERL LZU LX	202,744 6,429,470 10,489,259 5,989,875 1,471,477 12,489,710 9,884,653 4,936,694 2,877,636 2,877,636 2,877,636 2,877,636	57 282,510	1,168,269 3,460 168,509 895 881 362,390 22,588 106 445,508 24,508	2.245.128 59,538,639
AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE (X)	55.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9		4.50 9.042 9.000 9	
REMAINING TO BE RECOVERED (IX)	6,202,665 102,271,095 200,785,490 167,604,437 60,887,695 200,287,698 138,447,785 11,580,346 40,785 40,785	1.431.652.853	29,240,000 3,440 2,056,248 33,981 118,391 4,244,272 399,975 976 998	48.172.285
ALLOCATED ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	4,548,248 74,007,733 138,743,880 82,668,330 27,400,653 158,400,538 49,586,559 14,104,022 16,026,317 16,026,317 16,026,317 16,022 16,022	713,558,318	23,332,127 4,824,789 3,011,026 6,277 196,577 23,1976 1,1976 6,529,635 6,529,635 6,529,635	<u>45,371,427</u> 758,828,745
THEORETICAL DEPRECIATION / RESERVE (WILL)	3.763.286 67.045,192 105,659.835 47.744,404 20,966,605 20,966,605 37,769,736 37,769,735 13,729,751 13,727,751 4,995,122	543,402.793	11,795,097 4,824,789 1,522,165 3,773 80,814 117,271 17,271 3,300,838 3,300,838	25,322,338 505,725,191
TOTAL TO BE I RECOVERED	11,150,901 257,178,786 419,550,370 250,272,767 89,286,546 336,413,104 185,067,344 185,077,344 185,077,	2,145,241,172	52,572,122 4,826,229 5,087,274 40,289 301,966 10,870,796 631,827 2,762 15,606,819 1,621,532	91.543,693 2.236,784,885
NET BALVAGE RATIO (V)	1.16 1.16 1.10 1.10 1.24 1.28 1.29 1.20 1.20 1.10 1.10		0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	
AVERAGE LIFE & CURVE TYPE (IV)	85 L10 40 L10 40 L10 80 L15 88 L15 84 R15 86 R10 86 R10		45 L1.0 0.42 Yrs 0.42 Yrs 30 S0 28 S0 28 S0 26 S0 26 S0 25 S0 25 S0 25 S0	
ORIGINAL COST AT 12/31/09	9.781,482 221,705,890 228,776,890 226,773,173 82,288,845 82,888,845 82,888,844 144,108,771 83,938,885 122,688 112,688 112,688	1.888.844.718	63,646,022 4,628,226 5,067,274 40,258 10,353,142 60,582,142 3,052 15,606,819 1,621,522	92.098.20 <u>8</u>
NO. TITLE LD (B) DISTREBUTION PLANT	Structures & Improvements Station Equipment Poles, Towers, & Efficies Overhead Concludor & Devices (Note 1) Underground Concludor Underground Concludor Line Transformers Sances Malers (Excludes enhantGRID maters) Malers (Excludes enhantGRID maters) Leased Property on Custs, Prem. Street Lighting & Signal Syre.	Total Distribution Plant GENERAL PLANT (Total Company Note 2)	Structures & Improvements (Note 3) Structures & Improvements (Note 4) Structures & Improvements (Note 4) Info Furthers & Equipment Transportation Equipment (Note 8) Stores Equipment Tools Store Equipment Laborator Equipment Laborator Equipment Communication Equipment Communication Equipment Miscelleneaus Equipment	Yotal General Pless Total Depreciable Plant NVA — not applicable
NO. ££ BISTRIBU	361,0 362,0 364,0 366,0 366,0 366,0 367,0 377,0 377,0 377,0 377,0	GENERAL	380.0 380.0 380.0 380.0 380.0 386.0 386.0 386.0	

NOTES:

Excludes 33,027,777 provided for in CSP's Enhanced Vegelation Management Rider.
 Used total company general plant belances at December 31, 2009 for purposes of establishing accrual rates in the Depreciation Shuch.

3. The balance excludes \$601,388 for Solar Panels at the Athens Service Center that are provided for in the Company's tuel actualment clause.

4. Owned improvements to leased structures that are amontized over the lease term in accordance with GAAP. The rate was calculated based on annualized Dec 2009 amontization exponse activisad for the amount remaining to be recorded. Since owned improvements to leased structures wats fully amontized in 2010, this rate be used for water additions.

6. In CSP's lest garared rate case, transportation equipment was segregated into classee and separate deposition rates were calculated by class of vehicle. Since the last case, CSP has retired most of its investment and is lessing vehicles instead of owning them. The remething emounts in the transportation equipment and is lessing vehicles instead of owning them. The remething emounts in the transportation equipment and is lessing vehicles instead of owning them. The remething emounts in the transportation equipment and is lessing vehicles instead of owning them.

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT DEPRECATION RATES AND ACCRUALS BY THE WHOLE LIPE METHOD SCHEDULE II - COMPARE DEPRECATION EXPENSE USING CURRENT AND WHOLE LIFE RATES BASED ON PLANT IN SERVICE AT DECEMBER 31, 2009

(USING DISTRIBUTION COMPANY GENERAL PLANT BALANCES)

NO (1)	ТПLE (2)	ORIGINAL COST AT 12/31/09 (3)	CURRENT APPROVED RATE (4)	ANNUAL ACCRUAL (5)	WHOLE LIFE RATE (6)	WHOLE LIFE ACCRUAL (7)	DIFFERENCE (DECREASE) (8)
DISTRIBUT	TON PLANT						ŀ
361 0	Structures & Improvements	9 781 492	2 56%	250 408	2 07%	202 744	-47 662
362 0	Station Equipment	221 705 880	2 71%	6 008 229	2 90%	6 429 470	421 241
364 0	Poles, Towers, & Fixtures	226 773 173	4 00%	9 070 927	4 62%	10 488 259	1 417 332
365 O	Overhead Conductor & Devices (Note 1)	227 520 697	2 86%	6 507 092	2 62%	5 958 875	-548 217
366 O	Underground Conduit - Downtown	16 370 102	2 00%	327 402	Co	mbined to Use One 38	6 Account
366 0	Underground Conduit - Residential	48 537 081	1 79%	868 814	Ce	rebined to Use One 36	8 Account
366 0	Underground Conduit - Other	23 381 462	1 79%	418 528	Ca	mbined to Use One 36	6 Account
	Total Account 366	88,288,645	1.93%	1.814,744	1 67%	1 471 477	-143 267
367 0	Underground Conductor - Downtown	27 155 517	2 50%	678 888	Co	mbined to Use One 35	7 Acquet
367 O	Underground Conductor - Residential	296 217 435	2 68%	7 938 627	Ga	mbined to Use One 36	7 Account
367 O	Underground Conductor - Other	39 492 821	3 00%	1 184 785	Co	mbined to Use One 36	7 Account
	Total Account 367	362,865,773	2.70%	9 802 300	3 44%	12 498 710	2 696 410
368 0	Line Transformers	300 368 843	3 53%	10 603 020	3 29%	9 894 503	-708 517
369 0	Services - Overhead	96 671 612	6 73%	6 505 999	Ce	mbined to Use One 36	9 Account
369 0	Services - Underground Downlown	7 838 422	3 94%	308 834	Co	mbined to Use One 36	9 Account
36 9 0	Services - Underground Residential	28 629 526	7 69%	2 201 611	Co	mbined to Use One 38	9 Account
369 O	Services - Underground Other	967 211	2 86%	27 662		mbined to Usa One S6	
	Total Account 369	134,106,771	6.74%	9,044,106	3 45%	4 626 684	-4 417 422
370 0	Meters (Excludes smartGRID meters)	60 303 855	4 00%	3 212 154	3 58%	2 877 555	-334 599
371 O	Installations on Custs, Prem.	24 672 218	10 83%	2 672 001	9 23%	2 277 436	-394,565
372 0	Leased Property on Custs. Prem	102 689	4 00%	4 108	3 33%	3 423	-685
373 0	Street Lighting & Signal Sys	12 354 702	5 40%	957 154	4 56%	<u>583 374</u>	<u>-103 780</u>
	Total Distribution Plant	1 588 844 718	3 52%	59 456 241	3 39%	<u>57 292 510</u>	2 163 731
GENERAL	PLANT (Distribution Company Note 2)						
390 0	Structures & Improvements (Note 3)	53 209 299	2 44%	1 298 307	2 18%	1 158 780	-139 527
390-0	Structures & Improvements (Note 4)	4741 428	3 33%	157 890	0.07%	3 398	-154 492
391 0	Office Furniture & Equipment	3 314 542	4 50%	149 154	3 33%	110 485	-38 669
392 Q	Transportation Equipment (Note 5)	13 671	2 00%	273	2 00%	273	: Q
393 Q	Stores Equipment	189 388	2 94%	5 559	2 94%	5 561	. 2
394 O	Tools Shop & Garage Equipment	8 009 535	3 17%	253 902	3 50%	280 334	26 432
395 0	Laboratory Equipment (Note 5)	82 658	3 57%	2 951	3 57%	2 952	1
396 0	Power Operated Equipment	2 337	6 67%	158	3 49%	82	-74
397 0	Communication Equipment	12 755 220	6 67%	850 773	2 86%	364 434	-486 338
398 O	Miscellaneous Equipment	868 <u>510</u>	5 2 6 %	<u>45 684</u>	4 00%	<u>34 740</u>	-10 944
	Total General Plant	<u>83 186 288</u>	3 32%	2 764 649	2 36%	<u>1 961 039</u>	<u>-803 610</u>
	Total Depreciable Plant	1,772,031,006	3 51%	62,220,890	<u>3.34</u> %	59,253,549	<u>-2,987,341</u>

NOTES;

- 1 Excludes \$3 027 777 provided for in CSP's Enhanced Vegetation Management Rider
- 2 Used distribution company general plant balances at December 31 2009
- 3 The balance excludes \$501 398 for Solar Panels at the Athens Service Center that are provided for in the Company's fuel adjustment clause
- 4 Owned improvements to leased structures that are amortized over the lease term in accordance with GAAP. The rate was calculated based on annualized Dac 2009 amortization expense adjusted for the amount remaining to be recovered. Since owned improvements to leased structures were fully amortized in 2010, this rate will provide a minimal amount in cost of service for future additions.

^{5.} In CSP's last general rate case, transportation equipment was segregated into classes and separate depreciation rates were calculated by class of vehicle. Since the last case, CSP has retired most of its investment in transportation equipment and is leasing vehicles instead of owning them. The remaining amounts in the transportation equipment account, mainly consists of trailers and other minor items. Since the old rates and classes are not compatible with the single rate needed for the current case, the current recommended study rate was also shown as the current approved rate.

^{6.} CSP did not have an approved rate for account 395 in its last case, so the recommended rate is also shown as the approved rate

OHIO POWER COMPANY

DEPRECIATION STUDY REPORT

OF

ELECTRIC PLANT IN SERVICE

AT DECEMBER 31, 2009

Depreciation Study Report

Table of Contents

SUBJECT		PAGE
TITLE PAGE	***************************************	1
TABLE OF CONTENTS		2
INTRODUCTION		3
DICUSSION OF METHODS AND PROCEDURES USED IN THE STUDY		5
Group Method Determination of Annual Depreciation Rates Methods of Life Analysis		5 5 6
Final Selection of Average Life and Curve Type Net Salvage Calculation of Depreciation Requirement at		10 10
December 31, 2009 Study Results	***************************************	12 12
SCHEDULES - TITLE PAGE		14
SCHEDULE I - EXPLANATION OF COLUMNS	•••••	15
SCHEDULE I - CALCULATION OF DEPRECIATION RATES BY THE REMAINING LIFE METHOD		16
SCHEDULE II - ANNUAL DEPRECIATION RATES AND ACCRUALS BY THE REMAINING LIFE METHOD		17
SCHEDULE III - COMPARISON OF MORTALITY CHARACTERISTICS		18
APPENDIX A - CALCULATION OF DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT DEPRECIATION RATES BY THE WHOLE LIFE METHOD		A-1
APPENDIX A - ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT DEPRECIATION RATES AND ACCRUALS BY THE WHOLE LIFE METHOD		A-2

INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a depreciation study of Ohio Power Company's (OPCO or the Company) depreciable Distribution and General electric utility plant in service at December 31, 2009. The study was prepared by David A. Davis, Manager – Property Accounting Policy and Research at American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC). The purpose of this depreciation study was to develop appropriate annual depreciation accrual rates for each of the primary Distribution and General Plant accounts, which comprise the groups for which OPCO computes its annual depreciation expense.

The recommended depreciation rates are based on the Average Remaining Life Method of computing depreciation. Further explanation of this method is contained in the "Discussion of Methods and Procedures Used in the Study" section of this report.

The definition of depreciation used in this Study is the same as that used by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC):

"Depreciation, as applied to depreciable electric plant, means the loss in service value not restored by current maintenance, incurred in connection with the consumption or prospective retirement of electric plant in the course of service from causes which are known to be in current operation and against which the utility is not protected by insurance. Among the causes to be given consideration are wear and tear, decay, action of the elements, inadequacy, obsolescence, changes in the art, changes in demand and requirements of public authorities."

"Service value means the difference between original cost and the net

salvage value (net salvage value means the salvage value of the property retired less the cost of removal) of the electric plant." (FERC <u>Accounting and Reporting Requirements for Public Utilities and Licensees</u>, ¶15 001.)

Schedule I on this report shows the recommended depreciation accrual rates by primary plant accounts and composite rates to functional plant classifications (note that the total Company General Plant balance was used to develop General depreciation rates). Schedule II shows a comparison of OPCO's current depreciation rates and accruals to the recommended rates and accruals using the Company's General Plant balances in accounts 390 to 398 assigned to the Distribution function. Schedule III provides a comparison of the current Depreciation Study mortality characteristics that were used to compute the recommended depreciation rates and the mortality characteristics used to determine the existing depreciation rates and accruals (from the Company's Case No. 94-996-EL-AIR). A comparison of OPCO's current functional group composite depreciation rates and accruals to the recommended functional group rates and accruals follows:

Composite Rates and Accruals (Using General Plant assigned to the Distribution function)

	E	dsting	St	udy	Increase	Change In
Functional Plant Group	Rates	<u>Accruals</u>	Rates	Accruals	(Decrease)	Rates
Distribution Plant	3.97%	61,282,369	3.77%	58,201,704	-3,080,665	-0 20%
General Plant	2 83%	2,914,415	2 26%	2,326,681	-587,734	-0.57%
Total Plant	3 90%	64,196,784	3 68%	60,528,385	-3,668,399	-0 22%

Based on Distribution and General Depreciable Plant in Service as of December 31, 2009, I am recommending a decrease in annual depreciation expense of -\$3,668,399 or -5.7% in the annual accrual amount resulting from a -0.22% decrease in the annual composite depreciation

rate The depreciation rate changes are primarily due to increases in the estimated average service life for five Distribution accounts and three General accounts. Also adding to the decrease in depreciation rates was a decrease in the net salvage ratio (1 minus the net salvage percentage) for four Distribution accounts and for one General account. These changes use OPCO's current study depreciation rates and compare to the depreciation rates approved in Case No. 94-996-EL-AIR (See Schedule II).

DISCUSSION OF METHODS AND PROCEDURES USED IN THE STUDY

1. Group Method

All of the depreciable property included in this report was considered on a group plan. Under the group plan, depreciation expense is accrued upon the basis of the original cost of all property included in each depreciable plant account. Upon retirement of any depreciable property, its full cost, less any net salvage realized, is charged to the accrued depreciation reserve regardless of the age of the particular item retired. Also, under this plan, the dollars in each primary plant account are considered a separate group for depreciation accounting purposes and an annual depreciation rate for each account is determined. The annual accruals by primary account were then summed, to arrive at the total accrual for each functional group. The total accrual divided by the original cost yields the functional group accrual rate.

2. Determination of Annual Depreciation Rates By the Average Remaining Life Method

OPCO's current depreciation rates are based on the Whole Life Method for Distribution and General Plant.

The current Depreciation Study recommends the Average Remaining Life

Method which recovers the original cost of the plant, adjusted for net salvage, less the

accumulated depreciation, over the average remaining life of the plant. By using this method, the annual depreciation rate for each account is determined on the following basis:

Annual
Depreciation Expense =

(Orig. Cost) (Net Salvage Ratio) - Accumulated Depreciation
Average Remaining Life

Annual
Depreciation = Annual Depreciation Expense
Rate Original Cost

Because the Average Remaining Life Method provides a way to adjust accumulated depreciation when changes occur in estimates of service life or net salvage for depreciable property groups, I am recommending the Average Remaining Life Method be used to calculate depreciation rates for all of OPCO's depreciable Distribution and General property

3 Methods of Life Analysis

Actuarial Analysis

This method of analyzing past experience represents the application to utility property of statistical procedures developed in the life insurance field for investigating human mortality. It is distinguished from other methods of life estimation by the requirement that it is necessary to know the age of the property at the time of its retirement and the age of survivors, or plant remaining in service; that is, the installation date must be known for each particular retirement and for each particular survivor.

The application of this method involves the statistical procedure known as the "annual rate method" of analysis. This procedure relates the retirements during each age interval to the exposures at the beginning of that interval, the ratio of these being the annual retirement ratio. Subtracting each retirement ratio from unity yields a sequence of annual survival ratios from which a survivor curve can be determined. This is accomplished by the consecutive multiplication of the survivor ratios. The length of this curve depends primarily upon the age of the oldest property. Normally, if the period of years from the inception of the account to the time of the study is short in relation to the expected maximum life of the property, an incomplete or stub survivor curve results.

While there are a number of acceptable methods of smoothing and extending this stub survivor curve in order to compute the area under it from which the average life is determined, the well-known Iowa Type Curve Method was used in this study.

By this procedure, instead of mathematically smoothing and projecting the stub survivor curve to determine the average life of the group, it was assumed that the stub curve would have the same mortality characteristics as the type curve selected. The selection of the appropriate type curve and average life is accomplished by plotting the stub curve, superimposing on it Iowa curves of the various types and average lives drawn to the same scale, and then determining which Iowa type curve and average life best matches the stub. This method was used for OPCO Distribution accounts 361 and 362 and for General Plant account 390.

For OPCO's General Plant investment (with the exception of Account 390, Structures), an SQ type Iowa curve was recommended because, beginning in 1998, OPCO adopted the practice of recording retirements when property reaches an age equal to the average service life in accordance with FERC Accounting Release number 15.

To standardize the lives used for AEP's Ohio Companies in General Plant accounts 391 to 398; I selected the longest life approved by account for either CSP (Case No. 91-418-EL-AIR) or Ohio Power Company (Case No. 94-996-EL-AIR) These cases were the last depreciation filings with this Commission and (other than adopting standard rates for AEP's Ohio Companies) there is no basis for changing lives in the current study. Changes were made to net salvage recommendations to reflect the account salvage and removal historical experience. Since vintage year retirements are being used for accounts 391 to 398, no actuarial analysis was conducted for those investments.

Simulated Plant Record Analysis

The "Simulated Plant Record" (SPR) method designates a class of statistical techniques that provide an estimate of the age distribution, mortality dispersion and average service life of property accounts whose recorded history provides no indication of the age of the property units when retired from service. For each such account, the available property records usually reveal only the annual gross additions, annual retirements and balances with no indication of the age of either plant retirements or annual plant balances. For this study, the "Balances method" of analysis was used

The SPR Balances Method is a trial and error procedure that attempts to

duplicate the annual balance of a plant account by distributing the actual annual gross additions over time according to an assumed mortality distribution. Specifically, the dollars remaining in service at any date are estimated by multiplying each year's additions by the successive proportion surviving at each age as given by the assumed survivor characteristics. For a given year, the balance indicated is the accumulation of survivors from all vintages and this is compared with the actual book balance. This process is repeated for a different survivor curves and average life combinations until a pattern is discovered which produces a series of "simulated balances" most nearly equaling the actual balances shown in a company's books.

This determination is based on the distribution producing the minimum sum of squared differences between the simulated balance and the actual balances over a test period of years

The iterative nature of the simulated methods makes them ideally suited for computerized analysis. For each analysis of a given property account, the computer program provides a single page summary containing the results of each analysis indicating the "best fit" based on criteria selected by the user

The results of my analysis by the Balance Method are in the Depreciation Study work papers accompanying this filing. In the case of the Balances Method each curve type tested is shown along with the average service life that produced the minimum sum of squared differences from the actual balances. The analysis also shows the value of the Index of Variation of the difference that is calculated according to the following equation for the Balances Method:

Index of Variation = (1000) Sum of Squared Differences Average Actual Number of Test Years Balance

The lower the value of the Index the better the agreement with the actual data.

The SPR Method of Life Analysis was utilized for the following accounts:

- 364.0 Distribution Poles, Towers & Fixtures
- 365 0 Distribution OH Conductor & Devices
- 366.0 Underground Conduit
- 367.0 Underground Conductor & Devices
- 368 0 Distribution Line Transformers
- 369.0 Distribution Services
- 370.0 Distribution Meters
- 371.0 Installation on Customers Premises
- 373 0 Street Lighting & Signal Systems

4. Final Selection of Average Life and Curve Type

The final selection of average life and curve type for each depreciable plant account analyzed by the Actuarial and SPR Methods was primarily based on the results of the mortality analyses of past retirement history.

5. Net Salvage

The net salvage percentages used in this report are expressed as percent of original cost and are based primarily on the Company's experience combined with the judgment of the analyst. To aid in the selection of net salvage percentages, a review was made of the Company's experience for each primary account within each functional plant group for years 1969 - 2009.

The salvage program analyzes historical experience on an annual basis, on the cumulative history basis and for 5-year moving averages to get the historical net salvage, as well as indicated trends.

The net salvage percents selected were converted to net salvage ratios and appear in Column V on Schedule I and were used to determine the total amount to be recovered through depreciation. The same net salvage was also reflected in the determination of the calculated depreciation requirement.

The net salvage ratios shown in Column V on Schedule I of this report may be explained as follows:

- a Where the ratio is shown as unity (1.00), it was assumed that the net salvage in that particular account would be zero.
- b Where the ratio is less than unity, it was assumed that the salvage exceeded the removal costs. For example, if the net salvage were 20%, the net salvage ratio would be expressed as .80.
- c. Where the ratio is greater than unity, it was assumed that the salvage was less than the cost of removal. For example, if the net salvage were minus 5%, the net salvage ratio would be expressed as 1.05.

6 <u>Calculation of Depreciation Requirement at December 31, 2009</u>

The accumulated depreciation by individual plant accounts was taken from the Company's books at December 31, 2009. The calculation of a theoretical reserve for each plant account is provided on Schedule I for information purposes. OPCO's current depreciation rates for Distribution and General Plant are Whole Life rates that do not take into account over or under accruals that result from changes in estimates of service lives and net salvage

Study Results

For Distribution and General Property, the average service life, retirement dispersion pattern and net salvage pattern used to calculate each primary plant account rate are shown on Schedule III. The mortality characteristics and net salvage values for the current rates are also shown. The changes to the mortality characteristics follow the trends shown by the historical retirement experience. The gross salvage and gross cost of removal percentages were largely based on the history of the account for the period 1969-2009.

Distribution Plant

The composite rate for Distribution Plant decreased from 3.97% to 3.77%. The decrease was mainly caused by increases in the estimated average service life for five Distribution accounts and a decrease in the net salvage ratio (1 minus the net salvage percentage) for four Distribution accounts. The Distribution Plant composite rate decrease was partially offset by an increase in the net salvage ratio for six accounts

General Plant

The composite rate for General Plant decreased from 2 83% to 2.26%. The decrease is largely attributable to an increase in the estimated average service life for

three accounts and a decrease in the net salvage ratio for one account. The General Plant composite rate decrease was partly offset by an increase in the net salvage ratio for five accounts.

SCHEDULES

SCHEDULE I - Explanation of Columns

Schedule I shows the determination of the recommended annual depreciation accrual rate by primary plant accounts by the straight line remaining life method. An explanation of the schedule follows:

Column I - Account number.

Column II - Account title.

Column III - Original Cost at December 31, 2009.

Column IV - Average Life and (Iowa) Curve Type.

Column V - Net Salvage Ratio.

Column VI - Total to be Recovered (Column III) * (Column V).

Column VII - Calculated Depreciation Requirement.

Column VIII - Accumulated Depreciation - OPCO's accumulated depreciation by

plant account

Column IX - Remaining to be Recovered (Column VI Column VIII).

Column X - Average Remaining Life.

Column XI - Recommended Annual Accrual Amount

Column XII - Recommend Annual Accrual Percent or Depreciation Rate

(Column XI/Column III).

OHIO POWER COMPANY
CALCULATION OF DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT DEPRECIATION RATES BY THE REMAINING LIFE METHOD
BASED ON PLANT IN SERVICE AT DECEMBER 31, 2006
SCHEDULE 1. AVERAGE LIFE GROUP (ALG) METHOD ACCRUAL RATES
(USING TOTAL COMPANY GENERAL EQUIPMENT BALANCES)

N/A = not applicable

NOTES: 1. Storage Battery Equipment, being depredated over 15 years as per AEP's engineering estimates. AEP engineering estimates equal amounts of salvage and removal so net salvage equals zero. This equipment was acquired in 2009, and the rais has not yet been approved by the PUCO.

EXHIBIT DAD-2 SCHEDULE I PAGE 16

2. Excludes \$3,753,847 provided for in OPCo's Enhanced Vegetation Management Rider.

Used total company general plant betances at Decamber 31, 2009 for purposes of estelbishing accrual raises in the Depreciation Study.
 Owned improvements to issued structures that are amortized over the lease term in accordance with GAAP. Life and amortization amount based on average remaining amortization penod at Dec 2009 which was 5.10 years. The Company dat not request approved for the leasehold improvements amortization rate in our last rate case so the rate is not yet approved by the PUCO. The balance excludes \$531,088 for Solar Panels at the Newark Service Center that are provided for in the Company's fuel adjustment clause.

OHIO POWER COMPANY ANNUAL DEPRECIATION RATES AND ACCRUALS BY THE REMAINING LIFE METHOD SCHEDULE II - COMPARE DEPRECIATION EXPENSE USING CURRENT AND STUDY RATES BASED ON PLANT IM SERVICE AT DECEMBER 31, 2009

(USING DISTRIBUTION COMPANY GENERAL EQUIPMENT BALANCE)

NO (1)	TITLE (2)	ORIGINAL COST AT 12/31/09 (3)	CURRENT APPROVED RATE (4)	CURRENT ANNUAL ACCRUAL (5)	STUDY RATE (6)	STUDY : ACCRUAL (7)	DIFFERENCE (DECREASE) (8)
DISTRIBUT	TION PLANT						
361 0 362 0 363 0 364 0 365 0 366 0 367 0 368 0 369 0 370 0 371 0 372 0 373 0	Structures & Improvements Station Equipment Storage Battery Equipment (Note 1) Poles, Towers, & Fidures Overhead Conductor & Devices (Note 2) Underground Conductor Line Transformers Services Meters Installations on Custs, Prem Leased Property on Custs, Prem Street Lighting & Signal Sys	8 070 865 237 243 322 5 062 199 317 486 950 274 587 259 51 027 785 90 068 271 312 931 476 132 711 657 69 839 324 21 997 419 1 104 20 928 431	1 91% 2 86% 6 52% 4 84% 4 00% 2 00% 3 33% 3 64% 4 55% 4 03% 10 D0% 3 33% 5 75%	154 154 6 785 159 329 995 15 363 948 10 982 689 1 020 558 2 999 207 11 390 706 6 038 380 2 814 525 2 199 742 37 1 203 270	1 79% 2 76% 6 52% 5 54% 3 69% 1 88% 3 04% 3 .14% 3 42% 3 06% 9 35% 0 00% 5 43%	144 219 6 619 631 329 996 17 592 417 10 139 055 960 208 2 735 086 9 813 094 4 544 153 2,138 709 2,058 767 0	0 2 228 469 -852 635 -60 348
	Total Distribution Plant	<u>1 541,882,062</u>	3 97%	61,282,369	3 77%	58.201.704	-3 080 665
GENERAL	PLANT (Distribution Company, Note 3)						
390 0 390 0 391 0 392 0 393 0 394 0 395 0 396 0 397 0 398 0	Structures & Improvements Structures & Improvements (Note 4) Office Furniture & Equipment Transportation Equipment Stores Equipment Tools Shop & Garage Equipment Laboratory Equipment Power Operated Equipment Communication Equipment Miscellaneous Equipment Total General Plant	53 621 746 7 132 729 1 395 101 416 101 683 9 097 927 235 285 11 418 30 805.390 604.256	2 56% 3 29% 3 17% 1 90% 3 13% 3 80% 3 50% 3 46% 4 00%	1 372 717 234 727 44 225 8 3 183 345 721 8 236 395 881 034 24.170 2 914 415	1 86% 2 19% 2 79% 1 98% 2 89% 3 56% 2 75% 3 52% 2 58% 3 81%	997 520 156 467 38 965 8 2 738 305 821 6 412 402 795 319 23 309 2 326 881	-375 197 -78 260 -5 240 0 -445 -39 900 -1 823 7 -85 715 -1 161 -567,734
	Total Depreciable Plant	1,644,888 013	3 90%	64 196 784	3.68%	60,528 365	-3,668,399

NOTES:

- 1. Storage Battery Equipment, being depreciated over 15 years as per AEP's engineering estimates. AEP engineering estimates equal amounts of salvage and removal so net salvage equals zero. This equipment was acquired in 2008 and the rate has not yet been approved by the PUCO.
- 2 Excludes \$3 753 847 provided for in OPCo's Enhanced Vegetation Management Rider
- 3 Distribution company general plant balances at December 31 2009
- 4. Owned improvements to leased structures that are amortized over the lease term in accordance with GAAP. Life and amortization amount based on average remaining amortization period at Dec 2009 which was 5.10 years. The Company did not request approval for the leasehold improvements amortization rate in our last rate case so rate is not yet approved by the PUCO. The balance excludes \$531 068 for Solar Panels at the Newark Service Center that are provided for in the Company's fuel adjustment clause.

OHIO POWER COMPANY SCHEDULE III - COMPARISON OF MORTALITY CHARACTERISTICS DEPRECIATION STUDY AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2009

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
				Existing R	Rates				Study R	ates	
		Average			Cost of	Net	Average			Cost of	Net
		Service	Iowa	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	Service	Iowa	Salvage	Removal	Salvage
		<u>Life</u>	Curve	Factor	Factor	Factor	Life	Curve	Factor	Factor	Factor
		(Years)					(Years)				
DIS	IRIBUTION PLANT										
361.0	Structures & Improvements	55	R2 0	NA	NA	-5%	60	R1 5	22%	41%	-19%
362.0	Station Equipment	35	L00	NA	NA	0%	40	L0.0	20%	36%	-16%
363 0	Storage battery equipment	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15	SQ	3%	3%	0%
364 0	Poles, Towers, & Fixtures	32	100	NA	NA	-55%	32	LOO	9%	96%	-87%
365 0	Overhead Conductor & Devices	30	\$1.5	NA	NA	-20%	30	L00	22%	38%	-16%
366 0	Underground Conduit	50	R2.5	NA	NA	0%	50	R20	0%	:0%	0%
367.0	Underground Conductor	30	L3.0	NA	NA	0%	36	R0 5	2%	16%	-14%
368 0	Line Transformers	33	L0.0	NA	NA	-20%	34	R1 5	25%	40%	-15%
369 0	Services	33	R0 5	NA	NΑ	-50%	. 33	R0 5	11%	31%	-20%
370 0	Meters	31	R0 5	NA	NA	-25%	36	S1 0	17%	34%	-17%
371 0	Installations on Custs Prem	12	L00	NA	NA	-20%	12	001	2%	23%	-21%
372 0	Leased Property on Custs Prem	30	RI 0	NA	NA.	0%	30	RI 0	0%	0%	0%
373.0	Street Lighting & Signal Sys.	20	L00	NA	NA	-15%	20	100	1%	19%	-18%
GEN	VERAL PLANT									:	
390 0	Structures & Improvements	45	R2.0	NA	NA	-15%	50	SO	15%	20%	-5%
391 0	Office Furniture & Equipment	30	L0.5	NA	NA	5%	30	SQ	0%	0%	0%
392.0	Transportation Equipment	50	R1 0	NA	NA	5%	50	SQ	0%	-0%	0%
393.0	Stores Equipment	32	R5 0	NA.	NA	0%	34	SQ	0%	.0%	0%
394.0	Tools Shop & Garage Equipment	25	R2.5	NA.	NA.	5%	30	SQ	9%	18%	-9%
395 0	Laboratory Equipment	28	L4.0	NA.	NA NA	2%	28	SQ	0%	:0%	0%
396 0	Power Operated Equipment	26 26	L4.0	NA NA	NA NA	10%	26 26	SQ	8%	2%	6%
397.0	Communication Equipment	35	L0.0	NA NA	NA NA	0%	26 35	SQ SQ	0% 0%	.0%	0%
398.0	Miscellaneous Equipment	25	R10	NA NA	NA NA	0%	33 25	SQ SQ	0%	:0% 8%	0%

Note: Existing Rate mortality characteristics were recommended by the commission staff in Case No 94-996-EL-AIR

NA = Not Available

EXHIBIT DAD - 2

APPENDIX A OPCO WHOLE LIFE RATE CALCULATION

EXHIBIT DAD-2 APPENDIX A

4.00% %

66,515,521

1,581,001,674

552,247,900

459,670,729

2,133,249,574

4.10%

4.965.584

77.165.474

48,259,503

39.543,255

125,423,977

121,174,873

OHIO POWER COMPANY CALCULATION OF DISTRIBUTION AND GENERAL PLANT DEPRECIATION RATES BY THE WHOLE LIFE METHOD BASED ON PLANT IN SERVICE AT PECEMBRER 31, 2003 SCHEDILE I. P. WHOLE LIFE ACCRUAL RATES (USING TOTAL COMPANY GENERAL EQUIPMENT SALANCES)

TITLE (II) UTION PLANT Structures & Improvements Structures & Improvements Storage Battley Equipment Poles, Towers, & Fédures Poles, Towers, & Fédures Lidentreund Conduits		m m	SALVAGE RATIO	TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL TO BE TO BE ON ON ON SECONERED ON ON SECONERED ON ON SECONERED ON	PEP PEP .	ACC	REMAINING TO BE RECOVERED (IX) 5,781,743 222,624,921 4,446,832 447,375,173 526,574(8,256 57 978,294	AVER LIF (X	RECOMMENDED ANNUAL ACCRUAL AMOUNT PERG (XI) (XII) (XII) (XII) (16,072 1.5 5890,056 2.5 18,550,222 5.6 10,510,510,501 10,510,501 10,510,501	CRUAL CRUAL (XII) 1,98% 2.59% 6.67% 6.67% 5.84% 3,87%
rem. Note 3)	90,085,271 312,321,476 132,71,675 69,839,324 21,987,419 20,926,431 1,104	38 R0 5 33 R0 5 38 R1 5 38 S10 20 L0 0 20 L0 0	4.1 1.2 1.2 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	102,875,549 309,871,197 109,253,068 81,712,009 26,168,877 1,104 24,693,189	17,510,578 98,302,145 98,302,145 34,084,369 7,144,389 7,144,389 7,20,127,474	21,005,891 115,525,157 45,525,157 21,977,866 8,558,459 1,104 8,420,288 503,889,397	81,889,688 244,346,040 115,886,778 18,058,4163 18,728,901 15,728,901	26.00 33.4.00 33.4.00 36.00 20.00 20.00 20.00	2,852,099 10,884,447 4,825,478 2,266,778 2,218,073 1,234,659 61,548,958	3.17% 3.28% 3.26% 3.25% 10.08% 5.33% 5.90%
(Note 4)	58,032,287 7,897,808 3,227,883 31,743 269,697 15,392,642 570,346 530,645 33,062,228 2,069,714	56 50,0 30 50,0 30 50,0 34 50,0 34 50,0 38 50,0 25 50,0 25 50,0 25 50,0	50.1 00.1 00.1 00.1 00.1 00.1 00.1 00.1	60,933,901 7,897,808 3,227,863 31,743 269,897 16,777,571 570,348 592,206 33,062,228	18,206,338 7,014,232 1,214,752 1,218 6,218 3,663,168 287,855 50,855 8,747,321 313,072	23,064,219 7,014,232 1,546,211 1,546,211 81,988 81,988 11,090,933 398,386	37,849,682 883,576 1,687,862 30,197 12,133,259 230,890 520,890 520,890 521,971,905 1,862,752,	20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00	3,046,696 173,266 107,546 235 7,832 299,262 20,370 2,300 844,636 82,389	5.25% 2.19% 3.33% 2.00% 2.84% 3.63% 3.63% 3.67% 3.62% 4.00%

Total Depreciable Plant

N/A = not applicable

Fotal General Plant

1,663,056,835

1. Storage Battery Equipment, being depreciated over 15 years as per AEP's enganeering estimates equal amounts of salvage and removal so not salvage equals zero. This equipment was acquired in 2008 and the rate has not yet been approved by the PUCO. NOTES:

^{2.} Excludes \$3,753,847 provided for in OPCo's Enhanced Vegetation Management Rider.

Used lotal company general plant belances at December 31, 2009 for purposes of establishing accrual rates in the Depreciation Study.
 Owned improvements to besed structures that are amortized over the lease term in accordance with GAAP. Life and amortization amount based on average remaining amortization period at Dec 2009 which was 5.10 years. The Company did not request approval for the leasehold improvements amortization rate in our lest rate case so the rate is not vist approved by the PUCO. The balance excludes \$551,068 for Solar Panels at the Nawark Service Center that are provided for in the Company's ruel adjustment clause.

OHIO POWER COMPANY ANNUAL DEPRECIATION RATES AND ACCRUALS BY THE WHOLE LIFE METHOD SCHEDULE II - COMPARE DEPRECIATION EXPENSE USING CURRENT AND STUDY RATES BASED ON PLANT IN SERVICE AT DECEMBER 31, 2009

(USING DISTRIBUTION COMPANY GENERAL EQUIPMENT BALANCE)

NO (1) DISTRIBUT	TITLE (2) TON PLANT	ORIGINAL COST AT 12/31/09 (3)	CURRENT APPROVED RATE (4)	CURRENT ANNUAL ACCRUAL (5)	STUDY RATE (6)	STUDY ACCRUAL (I)	DIFFERENCE (DECREASE) (8)
361 0 362 0 363 0 364 0 365 0 367 0 368 0 369 0 370 0 371 0 372 0	Structures & Improvements Station Equipment Storage Battery Equipment (Note 1) Poles, Towers, & Fixtures Overhead Conductor & Devices (Note 2) Underground Conduit Underground Conductor Line Transformers Services Meters Installations on Custs. Prem, Leased Property on Custs. Prem Street Lighting & Signal Sys	8 070.865 297 243.322 5 062 199 317 436 950 274 567 269 51 027 785 90 056 271 312 931.476 132 711 667 69 839 324 21 997 419 1 104 20 926 431	1 91% 2 88% 6 67% 4 84% 4 00% 2 00% 3 33% 3 64% 4 55% 4 03% 10 00% 3 33% 5 75%	154 154 6 785 159 337 480 15 363 948 10 982 890 1 020 556 2 999 207 11 390 708 6 038 380 2 814 525 2 199 742 3 37 1 203 270	1 96% 2 90% 6 67% 5 847% 3 87% 2 00% 3 17% 3 364% 3 25% 10 08% 5 90%	160 072 6 880 056 337 480 18 550 222 10 616 601 1 020 558 2 862 099 10 584 447 4 825 878 2 269 778 2 218 073 37 1 234 659	5 918 94 897 0 3 186 274 -366 089 0 -147 108 -806 259 -1 212 502 -544 747 18 331 0 31 389
	Total Distribution Plant	<u>1 541.882.062</u>	3 98%	<u>61 289 854</u>	3 99%	<u>61 549 958</u>	260,104
GENERAL	PLANT (Distribution Company, Note 3)					:	
390 0 390 0 391 0 392 0 393 0 394 0 395 0 396 0 397 0 398 0	Structures & Improvements Structures & Improvements (Note 4) Office Furniture & Equipment Transportation Equipment Stores Equipment Tools Shop & Garage Equipment Laboratory Equipment Power Operated Equipment Communication Equipment Miscellaneous Equipment Total General Plant	53 621 748 7 132 729 1 395 101 416 101 683 9 097 927 235 285 11,418 30 805,390 604,256	2 58% 3 29% 3 17% 1 90% 3 13% 3 80% 3 50% 3 48% 2 86% 4 00%	1 372 717 234 727 44 225 8 3 183 345 721 8 235 395 891 034 24 170 2 914 415	5 25% 2 19% 3 33% 2 00% 2 94% 3 63% 3 57% 3 62% 2 86% 4 00%	2 815 142 158 467 46 503 8 2 991 330 558 8 403 413 880 153 24.170	1 442 425 -78 260 2 278 0 -192 -15 163 168 18 -881 0 1 350 393
	Total Depreciable Plant	1,644,888,013	3 90%	64,204,269	4.00%	65,814,766	1,610,497

NOTES:

- Storage Battery Equipment, being depreciated over 15 years as per AEP's engineering estimates. AEP engineering estimates equal amounts of salvage and removal so net salvage equals zero. This equipment was acquired in 2008 and the rate has not yet been approved by the PUCO.
- 2 Excludes \$3 753 647 provided for in OPCo's Enhanced Vegetation Management Rider
- 3 Distribution company general plant balances at December 31 2009
- 4. Owned improvements to leased structures that are amortized over the lease term in accordance with GAAP. Life and amortization amount based on average remaining amortization period at Dec 2009 which was 5.10 years. The Company did not request approval for the leasehold improvements amortization rate in our last rate case so rate is not yet approved by the PUCO. The balance excludes \$531 068 for Solar Panels at the Newark Service Center that are provided for in the Company's fuel adjustment clause.

EXHIBIT DAD - 3 COMBINED COMPANY DEPRECIATION RATE CALCULATION USING THE AVERAGE REMAINING LIFE METHOD

EXHIBIT DAD-3 SCHEDULE XI PAGE 1

OHIO POWER COMPANY AND COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED AYERAGE COMBINED COMPANY REMAINING LIFE DEPRECIATION RATES AND ACCRUALS SCHEDULE XI - COMBINED COMPANY STUDY DEPRECIATION EXPENSE AND RATES BASED ON PLANT IN SERVICE AT DECEMBER 31, 2009

(USING DISTRIBUTION COMPANY GENERAL EQUIPMENT BALANCE)

ŘĦ	श्रु	OPCo ORIGINAL COST AT 12/31/2009 (3)	CSP ORIGINAL COST AT 12/31/2009 (4)	COMBINED CO. ORIGINAL COST 12/31/2009 (5)	OPCo STUDY ACCRUAL (E)	CSP STUDY ACCRUAL (Z)	COMBINED CO. STUDY ACCRUAL (B)	COMBINED CO ACCRUAL RATES (9)	
DISTRIBU	DISTRIBUTION PLANT				-				
0.00	Character of Contract of Contr	900 000	0 704 400	130 030 17	070 777	470 054	87.8	7847	
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Station Courses	000'0'0'0	784,107,6	17,002,007	44,013	10000	0.0,474.080	7624	
200.00	Observe Capabon Commons	220,042,102 004,042,102	000'00'1177	201 (at a) 001	100,000	200,	200 006	2.7. A	
200.00	durage bauery Equipment	681,200,C		300,0	000,000	2 2 2 2	200,020	8 70.0 7 70.0	
364.0	Poles, towers, or rixtures	317,436,850	220,773,173	544,210,123	114,280,11	9,381,440	759,578,02	6,00.4 6,00.4 6,00.4	
365.0	Overhead Conductor & Devices	2/4,56/,258	760,020,722	008,180,200	660,081,0T	ore there	100,100,01	£	
366.0	Underground Conduit	51,027,785	98,288,645	139,316,430	960,208	1,328,845	2,289,053	1.64%	
367.0	Underground Conductor	90,066,271	362,865,773	452,932,044	2,735,086	11,051,845	13,786,931	3.04%	
368.0	Line Transformers	312,931,476	300,368,843	613,300,319	B,813,094	8,585,218	18,398,312	3.00%	
369.0	Services	132,711,657	134,106,771	266,318,428	4,544,153	4,254,736	9,798,889	3.30%	
370.0	Meters	69,839,324	80,303,855	150,143,179	2,138,709	2,500,509	4,639,218	3.09%	
371.0	Installations on Custs. Prem.	21,997,419	24,872,218	46,669,637	2,056,767	1,661,456	3,718,223	7.87%	
372.0	Leased Property on Custs. Prem.	1,104	102,589	103,783	0	2,513	2,513	2.42%	
373.0	Street Lighting & Signal Svs.	20.928.431	12,354,702	33,281,133	1,137,170	466.529	1.603.699	4.82%	i
	Total Distribution Plant	1.541.882.062	1,689,844,718	3,230,726,780	58.201.704	50,760,201	108,961,905	3.37%	
GENERAL.	GENERAL PLANT (Distribution Company)								
390.0	Structures & Improvements	53,621,746	53,209,299	106,831,045	997,520	828,643	1,826,163	1.71%	
390.0	Structures & Improvements	7,132,729	4,741,428	11,874,157	156,467	3,398	159,865	1.35%	
391.0	Office Furniture & Equipment	1,395,101	3,314,542	4,709,643	38,985	64,078	103,063	2.19%	
392.0	Transportation Equipment	416	13,671	14,087	60	251	259	1.84%	
393.0	Stores Edulpment	101,683	189,088	290,771	2,738	3,109	5,847	2.01%	
394.0	Tools Shop & Garage Equipment	8.097.927	8,009,536	17.107.462	305,821	158,165	463,986	2.71%	
395.0	Laboratory Equipment	235.285	82,658	317,943	6,412	2,295	8,707	2.74%	
396.0	Power Operated Equipment	11.418	2,337	13,755	402	43	445	3.24%	
397.0	Communication Equipment	30.805.390	12.755.220	43.580,610	785.318	268,790	1,064,109	2.44%	
398.0	Miscellaneous Equipment	804.266	888,510	1.472,788	23,009	26.576	49,585	3.37%	
٠									
	Total General Plant	103,005,951	83.186.288	186,192,239	2,326,681	1,355,348	3.682.028	1,98%	
		976 000 776 7	900 000 011	01000000	300 003 00	07 344 63	140 EAS 044	2008	
	lotal Depreciable Plant	1,644,655,013	1,772,031,000	20,810,018,0	000,020,000	840'011'70	100000000	*/^^	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the Pre-Filed Direct Testimony of David A. Davis has been served upon the below-named counsel via First Class mail, postage prepaid, this 14th day of March 2011.

C TN

Werner L. Margard III Stephen A. Reilly Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Samuel C. Randazzo Joseph E. Oliker Frank P. Darr McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Thomas J. O'Brien Lisa G. McAlister Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291

James F. Lang
Laura C. McBride
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114

Maureen R. Grady Michael E. Idzkowski Richard C. Reese Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus OH 43215

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620

Colleen L. Mooney
David C. Rinebolt
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street
Findlay, OH 45840

Henry W. Eckhart The Natural Resources Defense Council 1200 Chambers Road Columbus, OH 43212

Clinton A. Vince
Douglas G. Bonner
Daniel D. Barnowski
Emma F. Hand
Keith C. Nusbaum
SNR Denton US LLP
1301 K Street NW
Suite 600, East Tower
Washington, DC 20005

Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215

John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Chester Willcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215