```
1
        BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
    In the Matter of:
    Debbie Malloy,
5
            Complainant,
6
                                : Case No. 10-158-EL-CSS
            VS.
7
    Duke Energy Ohio,
            Respondent.
9
10
11
                          PROCEEDINGS
12
    before Mr. Jim Lynn, Attorney Examiner, at the Public
13
    Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street,
14
    Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:12 a.m. on
15
    Tuesday, October 19, 2010.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
               222 East Town Street, Second Floor
23
                   Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
24
                      Fax - (614) 224-5724
25
```

```
2
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
            Ms. Debbie Malloy
            6725 Fairfield Business Drive
3
            Value Place
            Fairfield Ohio 45014.
 4
                  Pro Se.
5
            Eberly McMahon, LLC
6
            By Mr. Robert A. McMahon
            2321 Kemper Lane, Suite 100
7
            Cincinnati, Ohio 45206
8
                  On behalf of the Respondent
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			3
1	INDEX		
2			
3	WITNESS	PAGE	
4	Debbie Malloy	_	
5	Direct Testimony by Ms. Malloy Cross-Examination by Mr. McMahon	5 33	
6	Examination by the Attorney Examiner Redirect Testimony by Ms. Malloy	46 68	
7	Alicia Jones	0.0	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. McMahon	49	
ŏ	Examination by the Attorney Examiner Redirect Examination by Mr. McMahon	59 61	
9	Further Examination by the Attorney Ex	aminer 63	
10			
11	EXHIBITS		
12			
13	DUKE EXHIBITS	IDFD ADMTD	
14		40 50	
15	A - Direct Testimony of Alicia, Jones	49 52	
16	COMPLAINANT EXHIBITS	IDFD ADMTD	
17	1 - Group of bills	6 33	
18	2 - Payment receipts	11 33	
19	3 - Letters from apartment management	17 33	
20	4 - Handwritten notes of D. Malloy	20 33	
21	5 - Bar Chart, 12-month history	21 33	
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 Tuesday Morning Session, October 19, 2010. 2 3 4 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public 5 Utilities Commission has assigned for record at this 6 time and place Case No. 09-158-EL-CSS, In the Matter 7 of Debbie Malloy versus Duke Energy Ohio. 8 I am Jim Lynn, the attorney-examiner 9 assigned to hear this case, and at this time we will 10 take the appearances of the parties 11 Ms. Malloy, can you state your name and 12 address. 13 MS. MALLOY: I am Debbie Malloy. 14 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And your address? 15 MS. MALLOY: It's a hotel on Dixie 16 Highway, the Value Place on Dixie Highway. 17 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have it as 18 6725 Fairfield Business Drive. 19 MS. JONES: Yes, sir, that's it. 20 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Value Place, 21 Fairfield Ohio 45014. 22 And present for Duke Energy. 23 MR. McMAHON: Robert McMahon, the firm of

Eberly McMahon, 2321 Kemper Lane, Suite 100,

Cincinnati, Ohio 45206.

24

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

At this time we will begin with

Ms. Malloy.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Malloy, if you would like to come up to the witness stand and bring whatever exhibits you have with you and we will swear you in.

_ _

DEBBIE MALLOY

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If you can describe what brought you here today and what makes up your complaint and so on.

MS. MALLOY: About two years ago I started receiving duplicate energy bills.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What do you mean by "duplicate"?

MS. MALLOY: I was getting charged for two separate accounts. I called, let them know what was going on. They said they would check into it for me. Nothing happened.

Okay, I didn't receive any bills. All of the sudden I started getting four bills all at one time, huge bills. I got a bill for \$1,000. I don't

```
have that one here with me because it was a year and a half ago and I've moved since then. So they said that I owed $1,184 on one bill for the month of April.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And you're saying
```

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And you're saying this was approximately April 2008?

MS. MALLOY: 2008, yes, sir. I think I have that with me. So I argued with them and started to set up payment agreements, and I did that, and just as you can see from these bills here -- do you want to --

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have some you want to introduce as exhibits?

MS. MALLOY: Yes, I do.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We will call this bill Exhibit 1. This is a bill that is dated April 5, 2010.

Off the record, please.

(Discussion off record.)

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy has introduced this as Malloy Exhibit 1, and this has multiple pages. It consists of copies of various bills she had received from Duke Energy. One is due date December 3, 2009. Another one is due date July

6, 2009. Another is due date May 5, 2010; and a due date of April 5, 2010. So these are copies of four bills that make up this Malloy Exhibit 1.

Ms. Malloy, with this information, how about if you could get back to the point you were trying to make with these bills, in fact. What were you trying to say by bringing these bills? What do you have to say?

MS. MALLOY: Well, I didn't receive any bills for a while.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You didn't get any at all?

MS. MALLOY: No. All of the sudden I got a bill for \$1,084. I kept calling the company when I didn't get a bill, and I explained the situation to them, and they were the rudest, most inconsiderate people I ever dealt with in my life. I tried to tell them that something was wrong because I was getting bills with two different account numbers, and then it was discovered in the pretrial hearing over the phone in June that one account was still attached to the Lakes Edge Apartment that I was living in in '03 to '06.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy, let me ask you a question. You were saying for a time

```
you did not receive any bills in the mail at all from Duke Energy.
```

MS. MALLOY: Right. And all of the sudden I would get four bills.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just a minute. For the time you were not receiving any bills at all, what address were you at at the time?

MS. MALLOY: The Preserves on Fox Sedge, 2455 Sedge Way.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: When you were there, you were not receiving any bills for a time.

MS. MALLOY: Then I started to get a bill --

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And then you started to receive them and --

MS. MALLOY: With an account number for the Lakes Edge apartment.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's back up. You eventually began to receive bills again when you were at the Fox Sedge address, and this was in April 2008, you were saying approximately then they began to come along.

MS. MALLOY: No, it was actually like -- yeah, it was around springtime.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Spring of 2008,

```
1
    and at that point in time Duke was stating that you
    owed over $1,100, 1,184.
2
3
                MS. MALLOY: Uh-huh.
4
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You mentioned
5
    something about different accounts and another
6
    address. Could you go on with that, please.
7
                MS. MALLOY: Okay. It shows on these
8
    bills here.
9
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: This is Malloy 1,
10
    looking at Malloy Exhibit 1. Which bill are you
11
    looking at in particular?
12
                MS. MALLOY: Here it is.
13
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: This is a page of
14
    Malloy Exhibit 1 that has the due date of April 5,
15
    2010.
16
                MS. MALLOY: And this is the account
17
    number.
18
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: She's looking at
    an account number that ends in 15-2.
20
                Mr. McMahon, do have that page?
21
                MR. McMAHON: I do.
22
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What are you
23
    comparing it to?
24
                MS. MALLOY: To this bill of December 3,
25
    '09.
```

```
1
                MR. McMAHON: And this is an account
2
    number that ends in 10-1.
3
                MS. MALLOY: 10-1. And it says here on
4
    the April 5 bill that I owe $674.46.
5
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy is
6
    looking at the amount, the current amount due.
7
                MS. MALLOY: This one says that I owe
8
    $705.
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: She's now
10
    referring to the bill that is dated December of 2009,
11
    due date December 3, 2009, and that has a total
12
    account balance -- current amount due of 200.04 and a
13
    total account balance of $705.22.
14
                MS. MALLOY: 705.
15
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: $705.22.
16
    Ms. Malloy, you are looking at some other pages in
17
    Malloy Exhibit 1.
18
                MS. MALLOY: Then it goes to July 6,
19
    2009.
20
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So she's pointing
21
    to a page of Malloy Exhibit 1 that has a due date
22
    July 6, 2009, and that's the account that ends in
23
    10-1, total account balance $981.88.
24
                MS. MALLOY: And then May 2010, this was
```

25

the final bill.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: She's looking at due date May 5, 2010 of Exhibit 1 and it indicates a current amount due of \$674.46.

Mr. McMahon, I didn't want to get ahead of you on these.

MR. McMAHON: I'm following.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That's the account that ends in 10-1. This was the -- I'm sorry, it ends is 15-2. So it's dated May 5, 2010, and it indicates an amount owed of \$674.46.

MS. MALLOY: So they're still billing me for the two accounts.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

MS. MALLOY: And I tried endlessly to work this out with the customer service reps, and it's very difficult to do that because my ex-husband, Patrick A. Malloy, is the senior coordinator for Duke, and he assaulted me.

So it's very difficult. It was very difficult to relay this information to them, and I was treated very rudely. I started making the payments because of the rudeness of the people that I was dealing with, and this is the payment history.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy has

what we will call this Malloy Exhibit 2. These are copies of money — this is a copy of the money order receipt, and a copy of letter from Fifth/Third Bank, and we have a copy of a bill here that you had written a money order number on.

MS. MALLOY: Right.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And we can go off the record for a minute to show Mr. McMahon what you have here.

MR. McMAHON: I saw them before the hearing. I don't have copies of any of those things.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Off the record.

(Discussion off record.)

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, we have three pages of Malloy Exhibit 2. There is a money order receipt that is -- I didn't find the date on here but it may be evident somewhere, and that's for \$153.

There's also a letter from the Fifth/Third Bank addressed to Ms. Malloy, February 17, 2010 indicating a payment that she made to Duke Energy February 2, 2010.

And finally a copy of a bill from Cinergy, which is the predecessor of Duke Energy, and that's bill that has a due date of May 3, 2006, and

```
13
1
    Ms. Malloy has written on there a money order number
2
    that ends in 660, and she also indicates $153,
3
    May 28.
                MR. McMAHON:
                               Okay.
5
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Were you able to
6
    keep with that, Mr. McMahon?
7
                MR. McMAHON: Yes. I've noted what those
8
    documents are. I guess I will deal with the
    admissibility at a later time.
10
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right, yes.
11
                MS. MALLOY: There were also two
12
    additional payments that I don't have verification of
13
    because they were made by my parents.
14
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Those were made
1.5
    2009, 2010?
16
                MS. MALLOY: 2009 and 2010.
17
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I see. And how
18
    many of those payments?
19
                MS. MALLOY: Two.
20
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: On two separate
21
    occasions?
22
                MS. MALLOY: Uh-huh.
23
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What other
    evidence might you have or what other comments might
25
    you have today?
```

MS. MALLOY: I was overbilled by about -- I have it broken down but I don't have it up here with me.

1.5

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you feel you were overbilled.

MS. MALLOY: I don't use -- I didn't use my heating or my air conditioning when I was living in that apartment because --

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are referring to the Fox Sedge Way address?

MS. MALLOY: Yes. And the Lakes Edge address also. I was told by Alicia and by several other customer service representatives for Duke that anyone who turns down their heat and does not use their air conditioning that the bill runs about \$30 for a one-bedroom apartment.

I was the living in a one-bedroom apartment and my bills were outrageously high, and, like I said, I didn't receive a bill for a long time, and so I figured if you take \$30 times 12, it comes out to what?

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: 360.

MS. MALLOY: \$360, and I was being billed \$1,100.

Then I was told by another very rude $\,$

representative that they guesstimated my bill. It was guesstimated based on the amount of electric that was used by everyone in our apartment complex, in other words, an even bill.

1.5

And I was living in an apartment complex with a very wealthy man, businessman, who owned his own corporation, who was paying for 600 of his employees to live there and he was paying all their utilities.

MR. McMAHON: Objection, relevance.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We will strike that. Just focus on your own place.

MS. MALLOY: I was told by one of their representatives that my bills were being compared to the other people living in that same building, and if I knew that, my bill, I wouldn't have turned off my heat or my air conditioning and my bill would be, you know — he would be paying for my bill, and he wasn't.

MR. McMAHON: Objection, relevance.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, we'll strike that, too. I understand how you feel.

MS. MALLOY: But that's what I was told by their employees, that my bills were being guesstimated according to the people who were living

```
1
    in the same building. And if this man was paying for
2
    these other people to live there, do you think
3
    they're going to have a $30 bill for their electric?
                MR. McMAHON: Objection, lack of
5
    foundation, relevancy.
6
                MS. MALLOY: I don't think so.
7
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: This isn't the
8
    point to be asking questions.
9
                              Okay.
                MS. MALLOY:
10
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You introduced a
11
    couple of exhibits and you have indicated that --
12
                MS. MALLOY: But I wasn't aware I was on
13
    even billing, and I did not tell them that, that I
14
    was on even billing, and then I was told that they
1.5
    quesstimated that.
16
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You say they were
17
    billing you like approximately the same amount due
18
    every month or something?
19
                MS. MALLOY: Right. And if that were
20
    true, I wouldn't have such high bills.
21
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Just for
22
    the record, too, this is other evidence from
23
    Ms. Malloy. It shows the 2455 Fox Sedge Way,
24
    Apartment B, and that is in West Chester, Ohio.
```

Ms. Malloy, so apparently then from what

```
1
    you're saying, you feel that for the amount of
2
    electricity that you used, you were overbilled, and
3
    you're indicating that you believe that was true for
    your Fox Sedge address and also your address which
5
    was on Lakes Edge Drive. You are saying either
6
    location you used a minimal amount.
7
                MS. MALLOY:
                              Right. That bill was
8
    finalized and taken care of when I left there.
9
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The one on Lakes
10
    Edge Drive?
11
                MS. MALLOY: Yes, sir. There was a very
12
    nice woman from our church who came and helped me and
13
    paid everything off.
14
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have
15
    anything at all today to indicate that?
16
                MS. MALLOY: It was almost seven years
17
    ago.
18
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you left the
19
    prior address, you left the Lakes Edge Drive
20
    approximately when?
21
                MS. MALLOY: I can tell you right here,
22
    1/31 of '04.
23
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
24
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy has
25
    handed me a letter. We will call this Malloy
```

```
1
    Exhibit 3. It's a letter -- just a minute, we will
2
    call these two pages Malloy Exhibit 3.
3
                Off the record for a minute.
                 (Discussion off record.)
5
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Malloy
6
    Exhibit 3 consists of two pages. One is a letter
7
    from Summit Management Services. It indicates that
8
    when she vacated the prior address on Lakes Edge
    Drive in West Chester; and the other sheet of paper
10
    is a letter indicating when she moved in and began
11
    her lease at Fox Sedge Way.
                 So, Ms. Malloy, you introduced evidence
12
13
    about when you left the Lakes Edge Drive address and
14
    you moved into Fox Sedge. You indicated that someone
1.5
    paid up all your past-due bills before --
16
                MS. MALLOY: Yes, sir, they did.
17
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: -- before you
18
    left the Lakes Edge address.
19
                MS. MALLOY: Yes, sir.
20
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You don't have
21
    any evidence with you today.
22
                MS. MALLOY: It was seven years ago, your
23
            I moved several times and I don't have that
24
    information.
```

Okay.

Are there

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER:

any other comments you would have?

MS. MALLOY: Just that I broke down, you know, like I said it was -- if my bill is being guesstimated at -- supposed to be guesstimated at \$30 a month based on a one-bedroom apartment and I was being charged \$1,184, I broke it down with the payments.

And you don't understand what I went through. I had a very good relationship with my property manager, and she got very irate and very violent with me. She shut off my water. It was cold. I didn't have any water or heat because of this issue.

My electricity was being shut off. They would not listen to me. They were rude. And I feel like I should be reimbursed for the money that I was overpaid, and I lost \$150 in food because of the disconnections that were done to me unnecessarily. My electricity was disconnected two days — for an entire month and up to two days before my daughter was married.

So it's been a very --

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All three disconnections you are referring to, those were on the Fox Sedge address?

```
1
                MS. MALLOY: Yes. That's when I started
2
    getting the double billing. It wasn't until we had
3
    the initial hearing that they understood that there
4
    were two accounts.
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: When you say
6
    that, you actually mean the prehearing conference?
7
                MS. MALLOY: Yes, sir. I wish I could
8
    have the representative that helped me because I feel
    like I'm being left alone in this, and, you know, I
10
    feel like before I at least had somebody to help.
11
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                         Sure.
12
                MS. MALLOY: But I broke it down. Can
13
    you understand that?
14
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
15
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We can call this
16
    Malloy Exhibit 4, what you're indicating apparently
17
    is what you believe your amount of overpayment was.
18
                            Uh-huh.
                MS. MALLOY:
19
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I see.
20
                I'll show this to you, Mr. McMahon.
21
                We will call this Malloy Exhibit 4, and
22
    this is Ms. Malloy's handwritten description of what
23
    she believes was her overpayment to Duke Energy.
24
                And this is while you were living on at
```

Fox Sedge address in West Chester, Ohio.

```
1
                MS. MALLOY:
                            Yes, sir.
2
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Did you
3
    have any other exhibits?
                MS. MALLOY: I don't believe so.
5
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You don't believe
6
    so, okay.
7
                MS. MALLOY: I did have -- I did have
8
    where they started to listen to me and they started
    adjusting my bill. And you could see -- you know how
10
    they have those little bars?
11
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are referring
12
    to maybe copies of prior bills or something.
13
                MS. MALLOY: Right. See how these --
14
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy has --
15
    this is a bill from Cinergy where it indicates the
16
    prior electric usage. It does not indicate, though,
17
    the date of this bill.
18
                We will go off the record for a minute.
19
                 (Discussion off record.)
20
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
21
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: This will be
22
    Malloy Exhibit 5. It's a second page of a bill that
23
    we have determined was due May 3, 2006.
24
    indicates, according to Ms. Malloy, was trying to
```

point out like prior usage. There is like a bar

```
1
    graph there.
2
                And can you explain what you are trying
3
    to point out there?
                MS. MALLOY: Well, there was very little
5
    electricity used, and then it spiked, and so it
6
    doubled, which would explain the double billing.
7
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Looks like as
8
    though from December of '05 onward the electric
    kilowatts used spiked.
10
                MS. MALLOY: Because that's when they
11
    started to backpay. They started to backpay.
12
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Back-bill?
13
                MS. MALLOY: Right.
14
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy, when
15
    you mentioned double billing, just to get it clear in
16
    my own mind --
17
                MS. MALLOY: They were using two
18
    different accounts but still billing me
19
    simultaneously.
20
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: When you say two
21
    accounts --
22
                MS. MALLOY: The two different accounts.
23
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're saying you
```

were being billed for the Lakes Edge account as well

as the Fox Sedge account?

MS. MALLOY: Yes, sir.

1.5

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And you were being billed for both accounts even though you're saying --

MS. MALLOY: I can't live in two apartments at one time.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, you're also saying when you vacated the location at Lakes Edge that was paid by some kind benefactor.

MS. MALLOY: Yes.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are also indicating when you lived in the new address at Fox Sedge, according to your exhibits, Malloy Exhibit 3, you moved into that address in January of '04. You're saying for a time you did not get any electric bill in the mail at all.

MS. MALLOY: (Witness shakes head.)

I called them and asked them how much I needed to send, and I would send them a check as soon as they told me. And that's exactly what I did.

Every time I get off the phone with them, I sent a check. Even though these checks were like for \$179 I don't -- I don't use that much.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So you're saying that when you did not receive -- you moved into Fox

```
1
    Sedge and did not get a bill for sometime --
2
                MS. MALLOY: This started back in 2008.
3
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Oh, I see, so you
4
    started to get a bill for awhile.
5
                MS. MALLOY: Yes. And then all the
6
    sudden --
7
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And then the
8
    bills just stopped.
9
                MS. MALLOY: The way that the woman,
10
    which I explained in that letter from the other
11
    apartment that I gave you --
12
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just a minute.
13
    Go off the record.
14
                 (Discussion off record.)
15
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy is
16
    looking at Exhibit 3.
17
                MS. MALLOY: They were bought out by
18
    another company and somehow picked up the old
19
    information from my old address. That's what I was
20
    told by this woman who now is in charge of that
21
    property, which is the Lakes Edge property.
22
                MR. McMAHON: Objection, hearsay.
23
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We will strike
24
    that.
25
                MS. MALLOY: That explains the whole
```

thing.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, we have the exhibits, Exhibit 3. So again what you're telling me you moved into this new location, Fox Sedge Way. You did receive bills from Duke/Cinergy, now Duke, for a time, and then you're saying there was a period of time there were no bills at all sent to you.

MS. MALLOY: But I was still in contact with them because I wanted to pay a bill so I would pay whatever they told me.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You would call them and say, "I didn't get a bill lately. What's going on?"

MS. MALLOY: Yes.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That period of time began approximately when, ma'am; do you recall?

MS. MALLOY: In '08 when this all started happening.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Approximately 2008. And you indicated in April 2008 bills appeared in the mail again and they were saying I owed --

MS. MALLOY: Got four at all at one time.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Four all at one time. And this was indicating usage at the Fox Sedge and Lakes Edge.

```
1
                MS. MALLOY: Fox Sedge and Lakes Edge.
2
    She said that they picked up, that woman.
3
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're referring
4
    to the person that wrote the letter about how you
5
    left the Lakes Edge address.
6
                MS. MALLOY:
                             She said that it was bought
7
    out by another company, and they didn't even have me
8
    listed as a resident there because things got so
    messed up. The property was bad. I had so many
10
    problems in that apartment; that's why I moved.
11
                MR. McMAHON: Objection, move to strike
12
    the testimony. The comments were made by some
13
    unidentified person as hearsay when she said "she
14
    said."
15
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We will strike
16
    that.
17
                Ms. Malloy, you're indicating you
18
    received in April of 2008 four bills and you owed
19
    them --
20
                MS. MALLOY: But they were from both
21
    accounts.
22
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay, both
23
    addresses you mean.
24
                MS. MALLOY: Right. And each time I
```

would call Duke, I would be treated so poorly and so

```
disrespectful, it was awful trying, so I just started paying the bills.
```

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You said this was in April 2008 the bills reappeared after a gap of time when they were not there.

MS. MALLOY: Yes, sir.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That was two years ago. I assume since they aren't with you today, you don't have copies of them.

MS. MALLOY: No.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And you're claiming when the bills reappeared again, you did begin to make payments to Duke.

MS. MALLOY: (Witness nods head.)

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Is it your -- and are you stating that you believe after the bills resumed and you began the payments, that your payments were current when you left Fox Sedge? How do you feel about --

MS. MALLOY: Well, when I left Fox Sedge is when I contacted PUCO.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

MS. MALLOY: And I was under the understanding that as long as it was under dispute, that until it was -- you know, until we had this

```
1
    hearing, that I didn't have to resume payment until
2
    it was resolved. So I haven't -- according to them,
3
    I still owed -- on top of that I still owed almost
4
    $500. There's no way.
5
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
6
                MS. MALLOY: So that's why I'm here.
7
    That's why.
8
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Off the record
    for a minute.
10
                 (Discussion off record.).
11
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So Ms. Malloy, is
12
    it your belief that when you moved out of Fox
13
    Sedge --
14
                MS. MALLOY: That I was paid up? Yeah,
15
    well overpaid up.
16
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You feel you were
17
    overpaid up.
18
                MS. MALLOY: According to them they are
19
    saying I still owed $490 and when I called
20
    Mr. McMahon recently to try to work this out, he said
21
    it was far more than $500 I owed.
22
                MR. McMAHON: Object to any comments
23
    involved with settlement negotiations or anything
24
    like that.
```

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Anything about

negotiations prior to now are not part of the record, so we will strike that.

MS. MALLOY: He said they were way more than that.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy, your belief is by the time you moved out of the Fox Sedge address that you --

MS. MALLOY: I wasn't current because I stopped paying because they said in the paperwork when I filed a complaint with PUCO, as long as it was under investigation --

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You don't feel you were fully paid up; you were current because you had disputed --

MS. MALLOY: Yes, I was current according to me but not according to them.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And you also believe at the time you moved out of the Fox Sedge address, am I correct that you believe you had paid whatever you did owe? You seem to indicate you feel you overpaid.

MS. MALLOY: Yes. I was just trying to satisfy them because that's what I was told. This happened to several of my friends and they make a lot more money than I do, and they have a better job than

```
1
    I have, and they can afford to pay people who say
2
    they owe more money than they really do.
3
                MR. McMAHON: Objection, relevance.
4
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The comments of
5
    other folks, we will strike that.
6
                MS. MALLOY:
                             I'm sorry.
7
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you
8
    for your testimony.
9
                Mr. McMahon, I'm sure you have questions
10
    for the witness.
11
                MR. McMAHON: I have questions but I have
12
    admissibility objections first about the exhibits.
13
    Would you like those first?
14
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. Why don't
15
    you give those.
16
                Well, Ms. Malloy, since you introduced
17
    these as exhibits, I'm sure you want them to have
18
    included as part of the official record.
19
                MS. MALLOY:
                             Yes.
20
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. McMahon, what
21
    are your objections to these? Do you want to see
22
    them?
23
                                    I will just go through
                MR. McMAHON:
                               No.
    them for the record. For the record, I have no
25
    objection to Malloy Exhibit 1.
```

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That's basically the copies of bills and such from Duke.

MR. McMAHON: Correct.

1.5

Malloy Exhibit 2, Duke Energy objects to the second page, the letter from the Fifth/Third Bank dated February 17, 2010 as inadmissible hearsay. It's an out-of-court statement trying to prove the truth of a matter of some payment allegedly made from her account. A canceled check would be appropriate but not some unauthenticated letter from Fifth/Third Bank.

MR. McMAHON: Exhibit 3 we object to both pages as inadmissible hearsay and relevance.

Ms. Malloy is allowed to testify about the time she lived at various addresses, but these documents shed no light and they are out-of-court statements offered

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Exhibit 3.

purportedly to prove when she lived at one or both addresses, so both documents are hearsay.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'm sorry, you stated because she was permitted to say --

MR. McMAHON: I said she's allowed to testify, but these documents in Exhibit 3 are hearsay documents, documents from out-of-court witnesses, from alleged management companies that purportedly

she is trying to introduce as evidence when she did live or didn't live at certain properties.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You have objections to Malloy Exhibit 4?

MR. McMAHON: Yes, hearsay and no foundation for the evidence. Ms. Malloy can provide direct testimony, but she's not allowed to write documents, write testimony without any backup or support from the information set forth in that document.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And Exhibit 5.

MR. McMAHON: Exhibit 5 I'm not concerned about. Even though it's an incomplete document, we have the record.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. I'll make a note of your objections, Mr. McMahon. I believe Ms. Malloy has relied on the information she had and we will give — the Commission will give the appropriate weight to these exhibits when a decision is made, so we will overrule your objections, but we understand what your comments are, and therefore, they will be admitted into evidence.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. McMAHON: Thank you.

MS. MALLOY: Do you have a copy of the

```
33
1
    overpayments?
2
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Are you referring
3
    to this, Malloy Exhibit 5?
                 MR. McMAHON: Yes, we do. Thank you.
5
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you,
6
    Mr. McMahon. You said you had a few questions for
7
    her.
8
                 MR. McMAHON: I do.
9
10
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
11
    By Mr. McMahon:
12
           Q.
                 Ms. Malloy, I just need to confirm, I had
13
    trouble following when you lived at each address.
14
           Α.
                 Okay.
15
                 When did you live at Lakes Edge Drive?
           Q.
16
                 I only lived there for nine months.
           Α.
17
                 So from approximately April or May of '03
           Q.
18
    until the end of January of '04?
19
                      I moved in Lakes Edge in November of
           Α.
                 No.
20
    03.
21
                 You moved into Lakes Edge in November.
           Q.
22
           Α.
                 Of '03.
23
                 And you moved out nine months later.
           Q.
```

Nine months later.

24

25

Α.

Q.

Okay. And you lived a 2455 Fox Sedge,

Apartment B from when?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. From 1/31/04 until April 15, 2010.
- Q. Okay. Just to be clear, there's a little bit of inconsistency there. You said you moved into Lakes Edge Drive in November of '03 and moved out nine months later.
 - A. I did.
- Q. But if you moved into Fox Sedge Drive in January '04, that would only have been three months later?
 - A. I don't know.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- A. Maybe it was '02. It was '02 maybe. No,

 14 I was only there nine months.
 - Q. Okay. So it would have been earlier in '03, not November.
 - A. No, it was in November. I moved in November. November 4.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. No, it was '02. It was '02.
 - Q. So you moved in Lakes Edge Drive --
- A. November 4th of 2002 I moved in the Lakes

 Edge address, and I moved out of there on January 28

 or 29 because I moved back into the Fox Sedge Way

 address on 31st. We had a snowstorm in between

there.

- Q. Okay.
- A. We had a snowstorm, a huge snowstorm, and that's why I stayed with some friends.
- Q. So you were in Lakes Edge Drive from November '02 to the end of January '04, and then moved into Fox Sedge, Apartment B in January 31,'04 until April 15, 2010.
 - A. Till April 15, 2010.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Before I get into some of the bills actually today, you have no evidence today as to kilowatts --
- A. Excuse me, I cannot prove anything with any bills from '02 until '08 because I was in a different apartment. When you go back and specifically ask about specific payments on specific days and specific years, I have been through so much because of what your coordinating supervisor has put me through, and I don't think you understand the validity of that.
- Q. Ma'am, I'm just trying to follow up with some questions from your testimony.
- MS. MALLOY: I can't answer their
 questions if they're going to go back to specific
 billings on specific years.

I think,

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: If you can't, just indicate you don't remember back that far, you don't have the information; but Mr. McMahon can ask to clarify things in his mind.

- Q. My question before you started is simply this: You don't have any evidence here this morning as to the kilowatts you claim to have actually used at the Fox Sedge address, Apartment B, correct?
 - A. Just the one I have from those bills.
- Q. You have the bills from Duke Energy, correct?
 - A. Uh-huh.

1.5

- Q. You need to say it out loud?
- A. I don't know if I have them with me.
- Q. Other than Duke Energy -MS. MALLOY: Except what I showed you.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

Ms. Malloy, you are referring to this Malloy
Exhibit 5 with the little bar graph. That's the bill
that was due May 3, 2006.

A. That's what I was trying to say. I don't have that with me, so when you're coming at with me that information, I have no answer for you because I can't answer truthfully or honestly, and I can't defend myself against your allegations if I don't

have the information.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. McMahon, if in you have a question you want to finish.

Q. I'm just trying, Ms. Malloy, to confirm --

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Honestly if you don't know, just say whatever the appropriate answer would be.

- Q. Other than the information set forth on Duke Energy's bills, you don't have any other information as to the kilowatts of electricity that you claim to have actually used at your apartment, correct?
- A. No. Except for I did not use my air conditioning, my heating. I had a refrigerator and a TV and a small lamp.
 - Q. Okay. As to how many kilowatts --
 - A. I have no idea, sir, no.
- Q. Fair enough. And you also don't have any evidence about the value of any food that was allegedly lost when your service was disconnected, correct?
- A. I was given -- given three \$50 gift certificates from the St. Vincent de Paul Society from St. Maximilian Kolbe Church, who helped me with

the food, and I each time my electricity was disconnected, I based it on that amount because that is -- I would buy food and the next day I would have no electric.

And I went without electric, sir, for a month and a half at one time. So I was still receiving bills with usage during those times that my electric was disconnected.

- Q. Okay. And do you have those bills today?
- A. No. You don't understand, sir, I have been forced to move several times because of this issue, and it's very difficult because I have people who at this current moment aren't respecting me very much because of the situation that I'm in. It's very difficult.
- Q. And I understand that, ma'am. I'm just trying to get the information.
- A. So they take my things and they just discard them. So I had everything that I needed and they were just tossed in a storage unit, and you try to go through a mess.
 - Q. Okay.

1.5

A. And this is done because -- and I know there's no one here to defend that, so I know what I say is not going to be taken seriously, but because

```
it's because of my ex-husband's relationship with me and it's my daughters, and they feel like I'm here to get him in trouble and I'm not. I'm just trying to prove to you that it's because of this issue --
```

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We can go off the record for a minute.

(Recess taken.)

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

Mr. McMahon any other questions?

MR. McMAHON: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. McMahon) You made the claim that you were billed twice for two different apartments, for usage at two different apartments, yet you don't have any of that evidence here today, correct?
- A. Well, I do have evidence. There's the two different accounts on one address.
- Q. You are referring to the exhibits you offered into evidence.
- A. But then when we had the initial hearing for this, that's when it was brought up by my -- I wish she was here. I wish I had somebody on my side to help me to defend myself because I feel like I'm being ambushed. Here it is.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Let's look at this for a minute.

MS. MALLOY: This is the account for the

Lakes Edge account but it has Fox Sedge address.

1.5

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Off the record for a minute.

(Discussion off record.)

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will mention that she's referring to Malloy Exhibit 1, the page that has a due date December 3, 2009, and the account number ends in 10-1; and then the bill that has a due date May 5, 2010, and that has an account number ending in 15-2.

Ms. Malloy, the point you were trying to make with those was --

MS. MALLOY: The 15-2 account has the billing address of Fox Sedge Way, which was my current address. And then you also have the correct account number for Fox Sedge, but you have the same address on each account.

- Q. Are you aware, though, ma'am, that all of these bills in your Exhibit 1 relate to the same address, 2455 --
- A. That's what I'm saying. One account is for Lakes Edge. That account should not exist. That account was paid up.
- Q. Actually, what I'm trying to confirm.

 Are you aware that all four of these bills relate to;

```
2455 Fox Sedge; none of this is for Lakes Edge?
```

A. No; that's because they changed the address but they didn't change the account number.

MR. McMAHON: Ms. Jones can explain that.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. You can do that with your witness' testimony.

Thank you, Ms. Malloy.

- Q. In your Exhibit 2 you offered some evidence about a \$153 payment from a money order. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.

MR. McMAHON: May I step up? It might be easier.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That's fine.

MR. McMAHON: What I'm showing Ms. Malloy is the Duke Exhibit A, which is Ms. Jones' testimony, and if you look into Exhibit AJ-3, it's the 2006 bills. That's what I trying to show Ms. Malloy.

- Q. If you look here, ma'am, on the bill from Duke Energy for the Fox Sedge account, due date July 3, 2006, do you see you got credit for the \$153 payment you made in May 2006?
 - A. Okay, that's fine.
- Q. So we all agree you got credit for that payment.

A. (Witness nods head.)

Q. I just wanted to make sure you weren't saying that you did not.

MR. McMAHON: May I step back up again?
THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.

MS. MALLOY: Do you want me to step down?

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You can stay
where you are.

- Q. Ms. Malloy, I'm showing you a bill from Duke Energy, due date April 6, 2004. That's part of Exhibit AJ-1 to Duke-Ohio Exhibit A.
- A. Again, that was from the Lakes Edge address.
- Q. Actually, ma'am, I show you its your account for 2455 Fox Sedge, correct?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you see where a balance of \$185.21 was transferred from your old account number from Lakes Edge?
- A. That shouldn't have been there. That's what I was disputing at the time that I moved in there.
- Q. Right. You say that someone paid that, but you don't have any evidence of that today.
 - A. I don't have any evidence of that today

- because it was almost seven years ago.
 - Q. I understand.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. So how can you -- how can you do that? It was paid up by a very generous woman.
- Q. Isn't it true that you only made one payment to Duke Energy in all of 2008?
 - A. That is not true.
- Q. Ma'am, I'm happy to hand you your 2008 bills if you want to look at them.
 - A. That is not true.
- Q. Do you have evidence of any other payments?
- A. Like I said, I have two adult children who are very angry with me at the moment because they think I am here to get my ex-husband in trouble. I am not. I am trying to keep myself out of trouble with this issue.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. They are very angry that I am here. They took all my records and just threw them. So I have no way of retrieving those records to defend myself against this.
 - O. And --
- A. And I don't have the resources to retain an attorney to help me with this.

Q. I understand. I don't want to get in an argument at all about anyone who was rude to you or anything of that nature. Isn't it true, though, that you entered into two separate payment plans with Duke Energy?

A. That is not true. Every agreement that I made with Duke concerning this amount of money I immediately made a payment. My parents made two payments for the \$262 agreement, a \$262 agreement of which they each paid \$100 for. I paid the remaining balance.

And I don't know if I have that with me or not. It's on that -- it was through PayPal. I made the payment through PayPal. And, again, I do not have that receipt that I got with my checking statement because it was tossed into a storage unit.

Each payment agreement that I made with Duke, I have kept. If I could not keep it, that's when I got the PUCO involved. I called them, and it was explained to me that as long as it was being disputed through the Public Utilities Commission that I did not have to pay any more money until I got an answer for it.

Q. Ma'am, are you aware that Duke Energy has credited you for the \$262 payment that you made in

early February, 2010?

1.5

- A. That's what I'm saying, that I made those payments.
 - Q. Right. We are not disputing that.
- A. I'm disputing those amounts because there's no way. I was making like I said in the beginning, I was going along with your payment plan so that I wouldn't lose my electric. There is no way, sir, that I had that huge amount of bill to begin with. I was just going along with you so I could keep my electric on.

And then I got into some financial difficulties because of it. And now, I lost my apartment at Fox Sedge. I just now got another apartment that I haven't moved into yet. I've living still in a hotel. I have gone a month and a half at a time for three times without electric because, like I said, those were huge bills.

They were not my bills. But I elected to pay them to keep me out of trouble, to keep me from being disconnected, to keep me from having to deal with the rudeness of your employees and having to deal with my ex-husband. So I was trying to make the payments so that I could keep myself out of trouble and then I couldn't, and I lost my apartment because

of it.

MR. McMAHON: I guess I'm happy to go through every bill to show usage and payment and how the balance accrued over time. It sounds like it might be a pointless exercise.

MS. MALLOY: Yeah.

MR. McMAHON: I don't want to waste the Court's time and appear that I am arguing with Ms. Malloy.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I understand. Whatever point Duke is trying to make could be done through an introduction of its exhibits --

MR. McMAHON: Okay. That might be easier.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: -- that you have right there. But thank you for the questions you do have.

Do you have any remaining questions?

MR. McMAHON: I don't. Thank you.

- - -

EXAMINATION

By The Attorney Examiner:

Q. Ms. Malloy, to be clear in my own mind -these might be questions I asked you had earlier -if I'm understanding your statement correctly, you

- believe whatever payment plans you entered into with Duke, you abided by them. You stayed current and so forth.
- A. But that was based on what they were telling me I owed, which I felt was an overpayment to begin with but I was just trying --
- Q. And you're saying you did go along with whatever payment plan was set up.
- A. Yes; to keep my electric on and keep me out of trouble and keep me from dealing with -- dealing with --
 - Q. The difficulties you had and so on.
- A. Right. Because I had two other friends that had the same problems, and they had the means to pay the money. I didn't have the means.
- Q. And your contention is also that it was -- you feel the amounts of the bills were much higher than the amount of electricity you ever would have used apparently at either address --
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. -- on Fox Sedge on Lakes Edge. And that when you left the Fox Sedge location, you had paid -- you believe you had paid what was more than appropriate.
 - A. Yes. Yes.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. McMahon, thank you for your questions. We will admit into evidence then Malloy Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 over Mr. McMahon's objections. The Court understands them, but we will give them the appropriate weight at later date, so we will admit them into evidence. Thank you.

1.5

We will go off the record for a moment. (Discussion off the record.)

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. McMahon, you may call your witness.

MR. McMAHON: Yes, your Honor. Before doing that, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. would move for a directed verdict on the Complainant's claim. The Complainant has admitted under oath she does not have any evidence of payments made. She has not testified as to what payments made on or any particular amounts. She admitted she doesn't have any evidence about any alleged duplicate bills.

Ms. Malloy further admitted she does not have any evidence at all of the actual kilowatts used, nor has she presented any evidence about a defective meter at her apartment or anything of that nature that her actual usage was different then her bill usage.

1 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. McMahon, 2 we'll overrule that. We are here to listen to what 3 both sides fully have to say. MR. McMAHON: I understand. 5 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I understand your 6 point, but we are hear to hear full evidence from 7 both sides, whatever evidence that may have. 8 With that you may call your witness. 9 MR. McMAHON: Duke Energy calls Alicia 10 Jones. 11 For the record I'm handing Ms. Malloy a 12 copy of Ms. Jones' testimony so she can follow along. 13 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: This is Duke 14 Exhibit A? 15 MR. McMAHON: Yes, sir. 16 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Duke Energy 17 Exhibit A. 18 19 ALICIA JONES 20 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 21 examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 By Mr. McMahon: 24 Please state your name for the record. Ο. 25 Α. Alicia Jones.

- Q. And you're employed by -- tell the Court who you are employed by?
 - A. Employed by Duke Energy.
- Q. And what is your position with Duke Energy?
 - A. Customer relationship specialist.
- Q. You have there in front of you what has been previously marked as your direct testimony for these proceedings as Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit A, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And were you involved in the preparation of your testimony?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And you helped prepare it and reviewed it in advance of its filing with the Commission?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And is your testimony in Exhibit A true and accurate to the best of your knowledge and information?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And attached to Exhibit A as Exhibits AJ-1 through 9, are those copies of documents generated and maintained by Duke Energy in its ordinary course of business?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Q. And are those true and accurate copies of those records which had been attached as Exhibits 1 through 9 of your testimony?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And is this information in those exhibits true and accurate?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. At this time -- I will have questions to supplement -- I would offer Ms. Jones' testimony marked as Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit A into evidence.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

Ms. Malloy, prior to this hearing today, did you receive a copy of this exhibit in the mail?

MS. MALLOY: No.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you want a few minutes to look through it?

MS. MAILLOY: No.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Not at all?

MS. MALLOY: No.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: In that case, do you have an objection to admitting it into evidence?

MS. MALLOY: Do I have any objection?

I'm sorry. I have trouble hearing in my left ear.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Off the record.

(Discussion off record.)

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any objections to admitting this into evidence?

MS. MALLOY: I do have an objection to them because their evidence is totally different than my evidence, and I object.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I'll overrule that. I believe Duke also, like you, is relying on information that they have.

MS. MALLOY: I would like an opportunity --

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We will give both sides full opportunity then.

MS. MALLOY: That's fine.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We will admit that into evidence as Duke Energy Exhibit A, the testimony of Alicia Jones and the attached copies of bills for Ms. Malloy.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. McMAHON: Thank you.

I'm not going to repeat what has already been admitted as evidence, but I do have questions for Ms. Jones to follow up and possibly explain some things that Ms. Malloy testified to.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

- Q. (By Mr. McMahon) Ms. Jones, obviously you were just here for Ms. Malloy's testimony, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Can you explain to the Court how, just generally speaking, how Duke Energy handled

 Ms. Malloy's account from her prior address at Lakes

 Edge Drive to her second address at 2455 Fox Sedge,

 Apartment B?
- A. Sure. Ms. Malloy had service starting in May of 2003 for Lakes Edge, Apartment 16. Each month she was billed for usage, and the amount of the usage is low, which verifies you said you weren't using a lot of utility because the bills are very minimal.

But the payments weren't consistent, and because of that, when you left that address, there was a remaining balance of \$182.47 that went unpaid that generated on the final bill.

- Q. And ultimately that was because of a late payment charge or finance charge, whatever you call it, that was \$185.21.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. That was the amount moved to Ms. Malloy's second account at the 2455 Fox Sedge.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And that's consistent with PUCO

regulations and Duke Energy's tariffs?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And, as your testimony has already demonstrated that's been submitted into the record, from 2004 through all of 2006 Ms. Malloy essentially remained current, may have fallen behind a little bit, but was current with her payments?
- A. Yes. She was able to maintain the service fairly well.
- Q. And she started falling behind in 2007, correct?
- A. Yes. The payments started to get a little bit lax right around 2007.
- Q. And as you already testified in the record, a past due balance rolled over into 2008.
 - A. Right.
- Q. And for all of 2008 Duke Energy only received one payment from Ms. Malloy, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. That was a \$200 payment in May of 2008; is that right?
 - A. Correct. Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. And then can you explain this
 whole issue about payment plans and how that works,
 including on Duke Energy's bills? Because I think

there is some confusion there.

A. Right around the time that Ms. Malloy started having problems, she called in and entered into payment plans. And the way payment plans work with Duke Energy is that we'll take the total balance and credit it to the account. It looks like a credit.

But what we're doing is allowing the customer to pay it off in installments instead of all at one time. They may pay an installment towards the arrears plus the current usage, and this can go on for several months to give the customer an opportunity to pay the bill off without, you know, disconnect notices and late charges.

But if the customer is unable to keep the arrangement, the first time the installment payment is missed, even if they pay the current charges, if they don't pay the current charges and the installment, it causes the total plan to default. Everything will become due, and the customer will receive a disconnect notice.

Q. And is that payment plan arrangement that you just discussed, is that consistent with PUCO regulations and Duke Energy's tariffs on file with the Commission?

- A. Yes. We are required to give our customers an opportunity to be able to maintain their services.
- Q. And that's what Duke Energy did with Ms. Malloy, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And in particular, as you testified to, in June of 2009 the amount of \$1,038.26 was essentially backed out of the current balance owed by Ms. Malloy, correct?
 - A. Correct; and placed on a payment plan.
- Q. And as long as she remained current on this payment plan and paid her current charges, her service would have been uninterpreted, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But Ms. Malloy defaulted on that payment plan, correct?
 - A. Yes, she wasn't able to keep it.
- Q. And all of that information is set forth in the bills attached to your testimony.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And there was also a second payment plan that she entered into, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And again, the same policies and

procedures were followed, right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And so this was another opportunity that Duke Energy gave to Ms. Malloy to maintain her service without interruption.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And she also defaulted on this payment plan?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware of any complaints by Ms. Malloy that her meter was defective and it wasn't properly calculating her usage?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Do know if the bills attached to your testimony marked as Exhibits 1 through 8 accurately set forth all payments and credits on Ms. Malloy's accounts with Duke Energy?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. I think there's also possibly been a little bit of confusion about this whole concept of duplicate bills. Once Ms. Malloy moved out of 2455 Fox Sedge Drive, Apartment B, she received a final bill, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And then for the couple months

thereafter, she would receive what one might consider to be like a statement, a reminder.

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. She didn't continue to get billed for usage in an apartment she wasn't using, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. She was just getting billed for the past due balance that had already accrued.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And as we sit here today, the total amount owed by Ms. Malloy for both her prior account at Fox Sedge and the most recent account at 2455 -- I'm sorry -- Lakes Edge and then Fox Sedge, is \$733.20 on page 8 of your testimony? Page 8, line 8.
- A. Correct. I want to make sure we deducted the \$200-some payment that we received.
 - Q. That was received on February 4, 2010?
 - A. Okay, yes.
- Q. And you're not aware of any payments since February 4, 2010, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- MR. McMAHON: I have nothing further.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Off the
- 25 record for a moment.

(Discussion off record.)

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy, did you have any questions for Ms. Jones?

MS. MALLOY: I already said what I needed to say. It will just be repeating what I already said, so no.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

_ _

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

EXAMINATION

By The Attorney Examiner:

- Q. Ms. Jones, I have a few questions. If you go to page 7 of your testimony, please.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You indicated in line 6 there was a -- in the first paragraph in lines 3 and lines 6 there had been a disconnection sometime in 2009. Could you help me on when that occurred, exactly?
 - A. Yes.
 - MS. MALLOY: It was August 1, I believe.
 - A. It was July 13, 2009.
- Q. So that's when the first disconnection occurred at the Fox Sedge Drive.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Then later on that same page,
 page 7 of your testimony, you indicated there was

another disconnection July 20, 2010.

MS. MALLOY: July 20, 2010? I wasn't there.

- Q. That's the indication.
- A. What line is that, sir?
- Q. Line 16, page 7 of your testimony.

 MR. McMAHON: It says January 20.

 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'm sorry, my
- mistake, January 20, 2010.
- Q. What I wanted to get clear in my own mind, that there were two disconnections at the Fox Sedge location.
 - A. Yes, sir. May I say something else, too?
 - Q. Sure.
- A. That may need clarification. When the service was disconnected January 20, 2010, at the apartment complex where she lived, they don't want the service off, so the Preserve placed the service in their name.
 - Q. Preserve?
- A. That's the name of the apartment complex.

 MS. MALLOY: Objection, Your Honor. I'd

 like the object to that because my electric was

 disconnected. I froze. My property manager turned

off my water because of that issue, and she did not

pay the bill. She did not pay the bill.

Q. Ms. Jones, if you could state what you said again. I lost track.

MR. McMAHON: I think it might help if you look at Malloy Exhibit 1. If I can ask a few follow-up questions, it may help explain it.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. McMAHON: Ms. Jones doesn't have a copy so may I approach?

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That's fine.

Yes.

MS. MALLOY: If that is true, why would I owe so much if she paid it?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. McMahon:

- Q. Look at Malloy Exhibit 1. There are a couple of account numbers on the documents; one ends in 10-1 and one ends in 15-2. Can you explain what appear to be different account?
- A. Yes. Both of the account numbers apply to the same address. When the service was disconnected on January 20, 2010, the Preserve, which is the apartment complex, they came in later and turned the service on in their name. They didn't pay

her bill. They just turned the service on in their name because they wanted the service on.

1.5

MS. MALLOY: Objection. My property manager was demanding that I pay her for my electric. That's what happened.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm trying to explain.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We will let Ms. Jones continue. I'll overrule that and let her continue.

MS. MALLOY: I know that's hearsay because she's not here.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, Ms. Malloy, any additional comments you have you can make after Ms. Jones testifies. You're still under oath.

Miss Jones, please go ahead.

- A. When the apartment complex placed the account in their name for the same address, the account number changed.
- Q. So is the account that ends in -15-2 just the account at the exact same property address but that was put in the apartment complex's name?
- A. That account number generated after we placed the service back in Ms. Malloy's name because the apartment complex was unhappy with having to

place the service in their name, so we put the service back on in Ms. Malloy's name, which generated a new account number that ends in 15. That's why there are two different account numbers for the same address.

- Q. Okay. Bottom line, are all the documents in Malloy Exhibit 1 relating to Ms. Malloy's apartment at Fox Sedge when she lived there?
 - A. Correct.

MR. McMAHON: Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

10

1

2

3

6

7

8

FURTHER EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

- Q. Ms. Jones, I'm sure you provided a good explanation, but I think I am kind of confused what was going on. Could you explain again why there are two account numbers?
- A. Sure. The service was in Ms. Malloy's name, and it was disconnected on January 20.
 - Q. 2010?
 - A. It was disconnected January 20, 2010.
 - Q. Right.
- A. The apartment complex was aware of that.

 It was in the wintertime so they were concerned about

 the heating in their unit so they called and placed

the service in their name.

- Q. All right.
- A. Which generated a final bill for Ms. Malloy and started a new service for the apartment complex. This all applies to the same address.

There was an issue with eviction, and I spoke with the apartment complex, and we made the decision to place the service back in Ms. Malloy's name in an effort to assist her.

- Q. And so you're saying there was a disconnection that occurred and that the service, at least for a brief time, was placed in the name of the apartment complex for that unit?
 - A. And restored.
 - Q. And it was turned back on, okay.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And then you're saying -- please elaborate. You're saying at some point the decision was made to put that service back after it was turned back on, back in Ms. Malloy's name.
- A. Yes, without a payment. And that generated another account number.
- MS. MALLOY: She was demanding that I pay

 her instead of you.

65 1 THE WITNESS: When it was in their name? 2 MS. MALLOY: Yes. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. 4 MR. McMAHON: Just to be clear, again, 5 the Malloy Exhibit 1 to the extent the account number 6 that ends in -10-1 was Ms. Malloy's, what we might 7 call her first account number at 2455 Fox Sedge, 8 Apartment B, correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. 10 MR. McMAHON: And the account number that 11 ends in -15-2 is what we would call Ms. Malloy's 12 second account number at the exact same address. 13 THE WITNESS: Correct. 14 MS. MALLOY: No. 15 MR. McMAHON: Again, this was for usage 16 billed to Ms. Malloy while she lived in the premises. 17 THE WITNESS: Correct. 18 MS. MALLOY: No. 19 (The Attorney Examiner) So, Ms. Jones, Q. 20 your explanation, there was a disconnection 21 January 20, 2010. At this point in time what was the 22 account number? 23 It was 6180-2171-10-0. Α. 24 0. 10-1 maybe?

25

Α.

Correct.

- Q. So that was the account number up to the point in time when the disconnection occurred.
 - A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Then you're saying after some discussion with the apartment owners, the owner/management, services resumed, and there -- when service was resumed to that unit, there was a new account number generated.
- A. Well, the apartment complex called and placed the service in their name.
 - Q. In their name, okay.
 - A. To keep their unit heated.
 - Q. Okay.
- MS. MALLOY: But my unit wasn't kept heated and I called.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just a minute.

 We will let Ms. Jones finish.
 - Q. They placed the service in their name to keep the service operating.
- MS. MALLOY: She turned off my water.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just a minute,
- 22 Ms. Malloy, please.
- Q. Then the apartment placed it in their

 name. Service was restored, and what occurred after

 that, at some point it went back to Ms. Malloy's

name, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Yes. At some point after the service had been restored in the apartment complex, it was up and going, there was a conversation with apartment management, and the impression was given that they were not happy having the service in their name with her in the unit.
 - Q. All right.
- A. And based on that information, we made a decision, a conscious decision, to restore the service in Ms. Malloy's name, which generated the second account number ending in 15.
 - Q. 15-2.
 - A. Correct.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I don't have any more questions at this time.
 - Thank you.
- We have already admitted Duke Energy Exhibit A into evidence.
- MR. McMAHON: That's correct.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You can take your seat, Ms. Jones.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Malloy, you had some comments. You're still under oath, so if

you have some comments in relation to what Ms. Jones had to say, so if you would like, you can state those.

_ _ _

1.5

REDIRECT TESTIMONY

MS. MALLOY: I continued to be billed.

The said she put the account in her name.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: In the name of the apartment complex.

MS. MALLOY: To keep the electric going, but she didn't keep the electric going. And when I called to verify that information, whoever I spoke to, I don't remember if it was you or not, told me that that was not true, that they did not call and put the apartment's name on the bill so the electric could keep running. That is not true because I called to verify that, and I continued to be billed for it.

Like I stated earlier, the only reason I continued to make payments was because they insisted that I owed that amount, and I don't feel that I owe that amount.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So, Ms. Malloy, you're stating there was a disconnection in January 2010.

- A. Yeah. She cut off my water, too.
- Q. Just a minute. You're saying there was a disconnection --
- A. So if she elected to keep the electric going, she sure didn't because she turned off my water on top of it because she was afraid that my pipes would burst.
- Q. You saying there was a disconnection January 20, 2010 and that service was not restored apparently for some time.
 - A. A month and a half.

- Q. A month and a half?
- A. I was without electric and almost water because she was afraid my pipes were going to burst.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Jones, we can go back to you, and you still under oath as well. You're indicating it was disconnected but service was restored, and from what you are saying, you're giving me the impression service was restored within a very short period of time or?

MS. JONES: Service was disconnected January 20, 2010, and the was restored to the apartment complex name an February 5, 2010.

MS. MALLOY: When I called to verify that it was put in her name, because Sandy was demanding

```
that I pay her on top of what they were telling me to pay, which I felt was unfair to begin with. And I called Duke to verify it was taken out of my name, and they said no, it's not taken out of your name. It's not in their name, so.
```

MS. JONES: We placed that in your name a few days after that February 5. We placed it in your name on February 11. It is possible when you called it was not in their name. It was in their name a very brief time.

MS. MALLOY: Because they were demanding payment. Sandy was demanding payment.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That's your landlord?

MS. MALLOY: Right, Sandy Nash. She got very violent with me.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So, Ms. Jones, you're saying there was a period of time where that unit actually did not have electric service then.

MS. JONES: Correct.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Those days were from January 20 to approximately when?

MS. JONES: February 5, 2010.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: At that point in time, February 5, was it still in the apartment

```
1
    complex's name? Or back in Ms. Malloy's name?
2
                MS. JONES: On February 5 -- it was
3
    turned off for nonpayment January 20, and then they
4
    called in in February and wanted the service back on.
5
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Oh, I see.
6
                MS. JONES: Then a few days later because
7
    they were unhappy it being in their name, we went
8
    ahead and put it back in her name.
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
10
                MS. MALLOY: She was still demanding I
11
    pay her.
12
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're referring
13
    to your landlord?
14
                MS. MALLOY: And they were saying that
15
    didn't happen, that she did not put her name on the
16
    account so I was confused. As I stated several times
17
    before, this was a disputed amount to begin with, and
18
    I made every effort I could to make payment.
19
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
                                                      Thank
20
    you.
21
                 I don't have any further questions.
22
    Thank you, Ms. Malloy.
23
                Mr. McMahon, do you have any further
    questions?
25
                MR. McMAHON: I don't.
```

```
72
1
                  THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I want to thank
2
    everyone for coming. I have no further questions
3
    myself, and we will close the proceedings for today.
 4
    Thank you.
                  (The hearing adjourned at 11:55 a.m.)
5
 6
7
8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, October 19, 2010, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Rosemary Foster Anderson, Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires April 5, 2014.

11 (RFA-8529)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/3/2010 11:32:08 AM

in

Case No(s). 10-0158-EL-CSS

Summary: Transcript Transcript of the Debbie Malloy vs. Duke Energy hearing held on 10/19/10. electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Anderson, Rosemary Foster Mrs.