

● ORIGINAL ●

9

BEFORE

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO ⁸⁹FEB 16 PM 5:13

In the Matter of the Amendment of)
Chapter 4901:1-5 of the Ohio)
Administrative Code)

Case No. 96-1175-TP-ORD
PUCO


AMERITECH OHIO'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Ameritech Ohio, by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(D)) moves for a protective order keeping confidential the designated confidential and/or proprietary information contained in the filing accompanying this motion. The reasons underlying this motion are detailed in the attached Memorandum in Support. Consistent with the requirements of Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules, unredacted copies of the confidential information which is the subject of this motion have been filed under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

AMERITECH OHIO

By:


Jon F. Kelly
150 E. Gay St., Room 4-C
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 223-7928

Its Attorney

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician Anna M. Hise Date Processed Feb 17, 1999

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Ameritech Ohio requests that the information designated as confidential and/or proprietary in the accompanying filing (along with any and all copies, including electronic copies) be protected from public disclosure. The information that is designated confidential in the filing being made concurrently is the following:

Attachment A. Ameritech Ohio's level of uncollectibles, and the percentage of regulated revenues that that figure represents. This information is the proprietary financial information of Ameritech Ohio, which is entitled to trade secret protection under Ohio law.

Attachment B. The list of names of entities providing long distance service with which Ameritech Ohio has billing and collection agreements. The billing and collection of long distance charges is a competitive service, and Ameritech Ohio's customer list is entitled to trade secret protection under Ohio law.

Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure

of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. As set forth herein, state law prohibits the release of the information which is the subject of this motion. Moreover, the non-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 49. The Commission and its Staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. No purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information.

The need to protect the designated information from public disclosure is clear, and there is compelling legal authority supporting the requested protective order. While the Commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read in pari materia with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, February 17, 1982). Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(A)(7)).

The definition of a "trade secret" is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

(1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.

(2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

R. C. § 1333.61(D). This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the information which is the subject of this motion.

Courts of other jurisdictions have held that not only does a public utilities commission have the authority to protect the trade secrets of a public utility, the trade secret statute creates a duty to protect them. New York Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm. N.Y., 56 N.Y. 2d 213 (1982). Indeed, for the Commission to do otherwise would be to negate the protections the Ohio General Assembly has granted to all businesses, including public utilities, through the Uniform Trade Secrets Act. This Commission has previously carried out its obligations in this regard in numerous proceedings. See, e.g., Elyria Tel. Co., Case No. 89-965-TP-AEC (Finding and Order, September 21, 1989); Ohio Bell Tel. Co., Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31,

1989); Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, August 17, 1990).

In 1996, the Ohio General Assembly amended R. C. §§ 4901.12 and 4905.07 in order to facilitate the protection of trade secrets in the Commission's possession. The General Assembly carved out an exception to the general rule in favor of the public disclosure of information in the Commission's possession. By referencing R. C. § 149.43, the Commission-specific statutes now incorporate the provision of that statute that excepts from the definition of "public record" records the release of which is prohibited by state or federal law. R. C. § 149.43(A)(1). In turn, state law prohibits the release of information meeting the definition of a trade secret. R. C. §§ 1333.61(D) and 1333.62. The amended statutes also reference the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. The protection of trade secret information from public disclosure is consistent with the purposes of Title 49 because the Commission and its Staff have access to the information; in many cases, the parties to a case may have access under an appropriate protective agreement. The protection of trade secret information as requested herein will not impair the Commission's regulatory responsibilities.

In Pyromatics, Inc. v. Petruziello, 7 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983), the Court of Appeals, citing Koch

Engineering Co. v. Faulconer, 210 U.S.P.Q. 854, 861 (Kansas 1980), has delineated factors to be considered in recognizing a trade secret:

(1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business, (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees, (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information, (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors, (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

For all of the information which is the subject of this motion, Ameritech Ohio considers and has treated the information as a trade secret. In the ordinary course of business of Ameritech Ohio, this information is stamped confidential, is treated as proprietary and confidential by Ameritech Ohio employees, and is not disclosed to anyone except in a Commission proceeding and/or pursuant to staff data request. During the course of discovery, information of this type information has generally been provided only pursuant to protective agreement.

For the foregoing reasons, Ameritech Ohio requests that the designated information be protected from public disclosure.

Respectfully submitted,

AMERITECH OHIO


By: 

Jon F. Kelly
150 E. Gay St., Room 4-C
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 223-7928

Its Attorney

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing were served on the parties shown on the attached service list by first class mail, postage prepaid, this 16th day of February, 1999.


Jon F. Kelly

SERVICE LIST
Case No. 96-1175-TP-ORD

TELECOMMUNICATIONS RESELLERS ASSOCIATION

Andrew O. Isar
P.O. Box 2461
4312 92nd Avenue N.W.
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

TIME WARNER COMMUNICATIONS

Marsha Rockey Schermer
Roger P. Sugarman
Suite 1800
65 E. State Street
Columbus, OH 43215

EDGEMONT NEIGHBORHOOD COALITION

Ellis Jacobs
Legal Aid Society of Dayton
333 W. First St., Suite 500
Dayton, Ohio 45402

OHIO STATE LEGAL SERVICES ASSN.

Michael R. Smalz
861 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

OHIO PAYPHONE ASSOCIATION

Michael W. Ward
O'Keefe Ashenden Lyons & Ward
30 North LaSalle Street
Suite 4100
Chicago, Illinois 60602

GREG HART COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Gregory J. Hart
4000 Reed Bank Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45227

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF OHIO D/B/A/ SPRINT

Joseph R. Stewart
50 West Broad St., Suite 3600
Columbus, Ohio 43215

GTE NORTH INCORPORATED

Jack Kennedy
100 Executive Drive
Marion, Ohio 43302

CINCINNATI BELL TEL. CO.

Jack B. Harrison
Frost & Jacobs
2500 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

CENTURY TELEPHONE OF OHIO, INC.

Daniel R. Conway
Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur
41 South High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Karen J. Hardie
David C. Bergmann
77 South High St., 15th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550

AT&T COMM. OF OHIO, INC.

David J. Chorzempa
13th Floor
227 West Monroe Street
Chicago, IL 60606

Benita A. Kahn
William S. Newcomb, Jr.
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease
52 East Gay Street
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

NEXTLINK OHIO, L.L.C.

Denise C. Clayton
Suite 300
Two Easton Oval
Columbus, Ohio 43219

COMMUNICATIONS BUYING GROUP, INC.

Boyd B. Ferris
Ferris & Ferris
2733 W. Dublin-Granville Road
Columbus, OH 43235-2798

BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS OF OHIO, INC.

Sally W. Bloomfield
Bricker & Eckler, LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP.
Judith B. Sanders
Bell, Royer & Sanders Co., LPA
33 S. Grant Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Matthew H. Berns
205 North Michigan Avenue
Chicago, IL 60601

OHIO TELECOMMUNICATIONS
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION

Thomas E. Lodge
J. Raymond Prohaska
Thompson, Hine & Flory LLP
One Columbus
10 West Broad St., Suite 700
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3435

WORKING ASSETS LONG DISTANCE

Maia Ettinger
Walter McGee
701 Montgomery St., 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

VOICELLOG LLC

James Veilleux
9509 Hanover South Trail
Charlotte, NC 28210

ICG TELECOM GROUP, INC.

Boyd B. Ferris
Ferris & Ferris
2733 W. Dublin-Granville Road
Columbus, OH 43235-2798

TCG OHIO

David J. Chorzempa
AT&T
227 West Monroe St., 13th Flr.
Chicago, IL 60606

Benita A. Kahn
William S. Newcomb, Jr.
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and
Pease
52 East Gay Street
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

Douglas W. Trabaris
AT&T
Suite 1500
222 W. Adams Street
Chicago, Illinois 60606

J. Drew McFarland
120 East Broadway
Granville, Ohio 43023-1304

Gail Minnick
6193 Mills Creek Lane
North Ridgeville, OH 44039

Evelyn Schaeffer
1211 Route 45
Austinburg, Ohio 44010

Tammie Vuletich
16695 W. Reserve Road
Berlin Center, Ohio 44401