

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio                    )  
Power Company for Approval of its                        )  
Renewable Energy Credit Purchase Program.            )

Case No. 09-1873-EL-ACP

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus            )  
Southern Power Company for Approval of its            )  
Renewable Energy Credit Purchase Program.            )

Case No. 09-1874-EL-ACP

ENTRY

The Attorney Examiner finds:

- (1) On November 30, 2009, Ohio Power Company (OP) and Columbus Southern Power Company (CSP) (jointly, AEP-Ohio or Companies) filed applications for approval of a renewable energy credit (REC) purchase program to assist the Companies in meeting the alternative energy resource standards pursuant to Section 4928.64, Revised Code. In accordance with the requirements of Section 4928.65, Revised Code, an electric distribution utility may use RECs to comply with the renewable energy resource standards.
- (2) On January 5, 2010, Industrial Energy Users-Ohio (IEU-Ohio) filed a motion to intervene in these cases. IEU-Ohio asserts that this application will affect the price, adequacy, and reliability of electric service to IEU-Ohio members. As such, IEU-Ohio asserts that it has a direct, real, and substantial interest in these proceedings.
- (3) On January 14, 2010, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC) filed a motion to intervene in these cases. In its motion to intervene, OCC states that it is the advocate for the state's residential consumers and the interest of Ohio's residential consumers may be adversely affected by these applications. In addition, OCC states that its significant experience in Commission proceedings will allow for the efficient processing of these matters with consideration of the public interest. Moreover, OCC avers that its participation will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. With its motion to intervene, OCC also filed comments on AEP-Ohio's proposed renewable energy credit purchase program and a

request for clarification of the requirements for customer participation in the proposed REC program.

- (4) On January 27, 2010, AEP-Ohio filed reply comments.
- (5) IEU-Ohio and OCC have set forth reasonable grounds for intervention and, therefore, their motions to intervene should be granted.
- (6) In order to accomplish a review of AEP-Ohio's applications, the Attorney Examiner finds that the following procedural schedule should be established:
  - (a) October 4, 2010 - Deadline for the filing of motions to intervene.
  - (b) October 4, 2010 - Deadline for the filing of comments on AEP-Ohio's applications.
  - (c) October 12, 2010 - Deadline for all parties to file reply comments.


It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the motion for intervention filed by IEU-Ohio and OCC be granted. It is, further,

ORDERED, That the procedural schedule set forth in finding (6) be adopted. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO



By: Greta See  
Attorney Examiner

gjs  
/vrm

Entered in the Journal

**SEP 24 2010**



Renee J. Jenkins  
Secretary