

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us  
**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2010 10:39 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** Docketing  
**Attachments:** 164514.pdf

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 9/13/2010

Re: Nancy Longo  
218 Dell Park

Dayton, OH 45419

Docketing Case No.: 10-503-EL-FOR

Notes:

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business  
Technician                      Date Processed 9/13/10

From: "Nancy Longo"  
To: "ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us"  
Subject: Tell Duke No Nuke! We Want Low-Cost Efficiency and Renewables!  
Sent: 9/5/2010 9:43:42 AM  
Message:

Sep 5, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Docket # 10-503

Ohio utilities rely too heavily on dirty and expensive fossil fuels. Ohio's new law that requires utilities to invest in efficiency and renewables is a step in the right direction - creating jobs, protecting public health, and cleaning our air. But Duke wants to be let off the hook for its efficiency responsibilities and has proposed to build an expensive new nuclear plant instead. Please hold Duke accountable! Ohioans want efficiency and renewables - not a new nuclear plant!

Many market potential studies have proven that it's cost effective for Duke to go well beyond its efficiency requirements, and many renewable technologies are far cheaper than building a nuclear plant. Efficiency and renewables are the most cost effective ways of shielding consumers from high energy costs and protecting the environment.

If Duke is let off the hook for its energy efficiency requirements, every utility in the state may try to follow suit. Please protect all customers and the environment through strong enforcement of SB221.

Sincerely

Ms. Nancy Longo  
218 Dell Park Ave  
Dayton, OH 45419-1339

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Stephen Molk [smolk@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 9:31 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** Tell Duke No Nuke! We Want Low-Cost Efficiency and Renewables!

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Docket # 10-503

Ohio utilities rely too heavily on dirty and expensive fossil fuels. Ohio's new law that requires utilities to invest in efficiency and renewables is a step in the right direction - creating jobs, protecting public health, and cleaning our air. But Duke wants to be let off the hook for its efficiency responsibilities and has proposed to build an expensive new nuclear plant instead. Please hold Duke accountable! Ohioans want efficiency and renewables - not a new nuclear plant!

Many market potential studies have proven that it's cost effective for Duke to go well beyond its efficiency requirements, and many renewable technologies are far cheaper than building a nuclear plant. Efficiency and renewables are the most cost effective ways of shielding consumers from high energy costs and protecting the environment.

If Duke is let off the hook for its energy efficiency requirements, every utility in the state may try to follow suit. Please protect all customers and the environment through strong enforcement of SB221.

Sincerely

Mr. Stephen Molk  
500 Chilcote Ave  
Columbus, OH 43202-3164

## **Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Maureen Zorndorf [therapymo@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 9:31 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** Tell Duke No Nuke! We Want Low-Cost Efficiency and Renewables!

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Docket # 10-503

Ohio utilities rely too heavily on dirty and expensive fossil fuels. Ohio's new law that requires utilities to invest in efficiency and renewables is a step in the right direction - creating jobs, protecting public health, and cleaning our air. But Duke wants to be let off the hook for its efficiency responsibilities and has proposed to build an expensive new nuclear plant instead. Please hold Duke accountable! Ohioans want efficiency and renewables - not a new nuclear plant!

Many market potential studies have proven that it's cost effective for Duke to go well beyond its efficiency requirements, and many renewable technologies are far cheaper than building a nuclear plant. Efficiency and renewables are the most cost effective ways of shielding consumers from high energy costs and protecting the environment.

If Duke is let off the hook for its energy efficiency requirements, every utility in the state may try to follow suit. Please protect all customers and the environment through strong enforcement of SB221.

Sincerely

Ms. Maureen Zorndorf  
197 W South St  
Worthington, OH 43085-3504

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of Patricia Blochowiak [pblochowiak@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 9:07 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Duke Energy's proposal to build an expensive and dangerous nuclear power facility fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Unless Duke Energy achieves all energy efficiency and renewable energy, a nuclear facility should be off limits.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh. We must use cost-effective energy efficiency.

Please ensure that Duke Energy estimates, examines, and captures all energy efficiency options available before looking to nuclear power.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Dr. Patricia Blochowiak  
1894 Farmington Rd  
East Cleveland, OH 44112-4744

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of Ali Boraby [calebscomer@bex.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 9:07 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Please protect my electricity rates and Ohio's future!

Duke Energy is proposing to build an expensive nuclear power facility; yet the company fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Unless and until Duke Energy seriously achieves all economically available energy efficiency and renewable energy, a new, expensive nuclear facility should be completely off limits.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh; while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh; we can't afford to leave any low cost energy efficiency on the table, unutilized.

Please ensure that Duke Energy properly estimates, examines, and captures all the cost effective energy efficiency options available before looking to expensive, new alternatives generation.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ali Boraby  
2085 S Kennison Dr  
Toledo, OH 43609-1919

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Mary Hogan [hogangreenfire@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 9:02 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** Tell Duke No Nuke! We Want Low-Cost Efficiency and Renewables!

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Docket # 10-503

Ohio utilities rely too heavily on dirty and expensive fossil fuels. Ohio's new law that requires utilities to invest in efficiency and renewables is a step in the right direction - creating jobs, protecting public health, and cleaning our air. But Duke wants to be let off the hook for its efficiency responsibilities and has proposed to build an expensive new nuclear plant instead. Please hold Duke accountable! Ohioans want efficiency and renewables - not a new nuclear plant!

Many market potential studies have proven that it's cost effective for Duke to go well beyond its efficiency requirements, and many renewable technologies are far cheaper than building a nuclear plant. Efficiency and renewables are the most cost effective ways of shielding consumers from high energy costs and protecting the environment.

If Duke is let off the hook for its energy efficiency requirements, every utility in the state may try to follow suit. Please protect all customers and the environment through strong enforcement of SB221.

Sincerely

Ms. Mary Hogan  
2767 State Route 56  
New Marshfield, OH 45766-9794

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of Phil Fry [pfryohio@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 7:07 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Please protect my electricity rates and Ohio's future!

Duke Energy is proposing to build an expensive nuclear power facility; yet the company fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Unless and until Duke Energy seriously achieves all economically available energy efficiency and renewable energy, a new, expensive nuclear facility should be completely off limits.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh; while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh; we can't afford to leave any low cost energy efficiency on the table, unutilized.

Please ensure that Duke Energy properly estimates, examines, and captures all the cost effective energy efficiency options available before looking to expensive, new alternatives generation.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Mr. Phil Fry  
5370 N Elm Tree Rd  
Conover, OH 45317-9622  
(397) 362-4493



## **Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Ellen Baumgartner [ellenb28@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 6:31 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** Tell Duke No Nuke! We Want Low-Cost Efficiency and Renewables!

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Docket # 10-503

Ohio utilities rely too heavily on dirty and expensive fossil fuels. Ohio's new law that requires utilities to invest in efficiency and renewables is a step in the right direction - creating jobs, protecting public health, and cleaning our air. But Duke wants to be let off the hook for its efficiency responsibilities and has proposed to build an expensive new nuclear plant instead. Please hold Duke accountable! Ohioans want efficiency and renewables - not a new nuclear plant!

Many market potential studies have proven that it's cost effective for Duke to go well beyond its efficiency requirements, and many renewable technologies are far cheaper than building a nuclear plant. Efficiency and renewables are the most cost effective ways of shielding consumers from high energy costs and protecting the environment. We can not afford the risk of another Chernobyl. Renewable technologies MUST have priority.

If Duke is let off the hook for its energy efficiency requirements, every utility in the state may try to follow suit. Please protect all customers and the environment through strong enforcement of SB221.

Sincerely

Ms. Ellen Baumgartner  
45 Kenyon Brook Dr  
Worthington, OH 43085-3629

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of ron cserbak [cserbak\_r@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 4:37 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Please protect my electricity rates and Ohio's future!

Duke Energy is proposing to build an expensive nuclear power facility; yet the company fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Unless and until Duke Energy seriously achieves all economically available energy efficiency and renewable energy, a new, expensive nuclear facility should be completely off limits.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh; while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh; we can't afford to leave any low cost energy efficiency on the table, unutilized.

Please ensure that Duke Energy properly estimates, examines, and captures all the cost effective energy efficiency options available before looking to expensive, new alternatives generation.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Mr. ron cserbak  
3951 Springrock Dr  
Cincinnati, OH 45251-1927

## Wright, Mariruth

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Judith Kitrick [jvasloft@columbus.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 3:31 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** Tell Duke No Nuke! We Want Low-Cost Efficiency and Renewables!

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Docket # 10-503

Ohio utilities rely too heavily on dirty and expensive fossil fuels. Ohio's new law that requires utilities to invest in efficiency and renewables is a step in the right direction - creating jobs, protecting public health, and cleaning our air. But Duke wants to be let off the hook for its efficiency responsibilities and has proposed to build an expensive new nuclear plant instead. Please hold Duke accountable! Ohioans want efficiency and renewables - not a new nuclear plant!

As we are on the brink of so so many initiatives that will become reality on how to stay energized and efficient without the bizarre idea that we have to boil water with deadly nuclear fusion to power our lifestyles, this proposal to build a nuclear power plant is- STUPID-.

To create waste that will brand our time of this planet for tens of thousands of years as being irresponsible to all lifeforms on the planet is not a legacy the earth should shoulder. Inventions like the Bloom Box, the ability to use man made diamonds to reduce energy requirements needed for communication, transportation, and other technologies, the ability to make batteries that are smaller and more efficient, the advances in solar and wind, and capturing currents of our oceans and storage of this energy are made daily all trump nuclear power, which is a killing machine and frankly old school. NO NUKES FOR DUKE!

Many market potential studies have proven that it's cost effective for Duke to go well beyond its efficiency requirements, and many renewable technologies are far cheaper than building a nuclear plant. Efficiency and renewables are the most cost effective ways of shielding consumers from high energy costs and protecting the environment.

Sincerely Judith Kitrick, 60 E Spring St, Cols, OH 43215

If Duke is let off the hook for its energy efficiency requirements, every utility in the state may try to follow suit. Please protect all customers and the environment through strong enforcement of SB221.

Sincerely

Mrs. Judith Kitrick  
60 E Spring St Apt 601  
Columbus, OH 43215-7526

## Wright, Mariruth

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Clyde Dilley [clydedilley@att.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 3:28 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** Tell Duke No Nuke! We Want Low-Cost Efficiency and Renewables!

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Docket # 10-503

Ohio utilities rely too heavily on dirty and expensive fossil fuels. Ohio's new law that requires utilities to invest in efficiency and renewables is a step in the right direction - creating jobs, protecting public health, and cleaning our air. But Duke wants to be let off the hook for its efficiency responsibilities and has proposed to build an expensive new nuclear plant instead. Please hold Duke accountable! Ohioans want efficiency and renewables - not a new nuclear plant!

Many market potential studies have proven that it's cost effective for Duke to go well beyond its efficiency requirements, and many renewable technologies are far cheaper than building a nuclear plant. Efficiency and renewables are the most cost effective ways of shielding consumers from high energy costs and protecting the environment.

If Duke is let off the hook for its energy efficiency requirements, every utility in the state may try to follow suit. Please protect all customers and the environment through strong enforcement of SB221.

Sincerely

Dr. Clyde Dilley  
2600 Mount Holyoke Rd  
Upper Arlington, OH 43221-3423

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of G. Kern [alsipiii@zoomtown.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 3:07 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Please protect my electricity rates and Ohio's future!

Duke Energy is proposing to build an expensive nuclear power facility; yet the company fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Unless and until Duke Energy seriously achieves all economically available energy efficiency and renewable energy, a new, expensive nuclear facility should be completely off limits.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh; while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh; we can't afford to leave any low cost energy efficiency on the table, unutilized.

Please ensure that Duke Energy properly estimates, examines, and captures all the cost effective energy efficiency options available before looking to expensive, new alternatives generation.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Ms. G. Kern  
672 Barg Salt Run Rd  
Cincinnati, OH 45244-1138

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of Raymond Gettins [r\_rsg@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 1:36 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Please protect my electricity rates and Ohio's future!

Duke Energy is proposing to build an expensive nuclear power facility; yet the company fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Until Duke Energy seriously achieves all economically available energy efficiency and renewable energy, a new, expensive nuclear facility does not make sense.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh; while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh; we can't afford to leave any low cost energy efficiency on the table, unutilized.

Please ensure that Duke Energy properly estimates, examines, and captures all the cost effective energy efficiency options available before looking to expensive, new alternatives generation.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raymond Gettins  
218 Worthington Ave  
Wyoming, OH 45215-4342

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of Bruce Laughbaum [blaugh@att.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 1:06 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Please protect my electricity rates and Ohio's future!

Duke Energy is proposing to build an expensive nuclear power facility; yet the company fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Unless and until Duke Energy seriously achieves all economically available energy efficiency and renewable energy, a new, expensive nuclear facility should be completely off limits.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh; while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh; we can't afford to leave any low cost energy efficiency on the table, unutilized.

Please ensure that Duke Energy properly estimates, examines, and captures all the cost effective energy efficiency options available before looking to expensive, new alternatives generation.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Laughbaum  
8450 State Route 204 NW  
Thornville, OH 43076-9624  
(740) 242-5259

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of Donna R. Bender  
[banddbender@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 1:06 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Please protect my electricity rates and Ohio's future!

Duke Energy is proposing to build an expensive nuclear power facility; yet the company fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Unless and until Duke Energy seriously achieves all economically available energy efficiency and renewable energy, a new, expensive nuclear facility should be completely off limits.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh; while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh; we can't afford to leave any low cost energy efficiency on the table, unutilized.

Please ensure that Duke Energy properly estimates, examines, and captures all the cost effective energy efficiency options available before looking to expensive, new alternatives generation.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna R. Bender  
424 N Portage Path  
Akron, OH 44303-1220



## **Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Bruce Laughbaum [blaugh@att.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 12:32 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** Tell Duke No Nuke! We Want Low-Cost Efficiency and Renewables!

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Docket # 10-503

Ohio utilities rely too heavily on dirty and expensive fossil fuels. Ohio's new law that requires utilities to invest in efficiency and renewables is a step in the right direction - creating jobs, protecting public health, and cleaning our air. But Duke wants to be let off the hook for its efficiency responsibilities and has proposed to build an expensive new nuclear plant instead. Please hold Duke accountable! Ohioans want efficiency and renewables - not a new nuclear plant!

Many market potential studies have proven that it's cost effective for Duke to go well beyond its efficiency requirements, and many renewable technologies are far cheaper than building a nuclear plant. Efficiency and renewables are the most cost effective ways of shielding consumers from high energy costs and protecting the environment.

If Duke is let off the hook for its energy efficiency requirements, every utility in the state may try to follow suit. Please protect all customers and the environment through strong enforcement of SB221.

Sincerely

Mr. Bruce Laughbaum  
8450 State Route 204 NW  
Thornville, OH 43076-9624  
(740) 242-5259

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of Mary L Sutphin [mlsutphin@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 12:06 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Please protect my electricity rates and Ohio's future!

Duke Energy is proposing to build an expensive nuclear power facility; yet the company fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Unless and until Duke Energy seriously achieves all economically available energy efficiency and renewable energy, a new, expensive nuclear facility should be completely off limits.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh; while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh; we can't afford to leave any low cost energy efficiency on the table, unutilized.

Please ensure that Duke Energy properly estimates, examines, and captures all the cost effective energy efficiency options available before looking to expensive, new alternatives generation.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary L Sutphin  
534 Huntmere Dr  
Bay Village, OH 44140-2540  
(216) 470-6101

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of Enea Micheli [micheli.enea@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 12:06 PM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Please protect my electricity rates and Ohio's future!

Duke Energy is proposing to build an expensive nuclear power facility; yet the company fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Unless and until Duke Energy seriously achieves all economically available energy efficiency and renewable energy, a new, expensive nuclear facility should be completely off limits.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh; while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh; we can't afford to leave any low cost energy efficiency on the table, unutilized.

Please ensure that Duke Energy properly estimates, examines, and captures all the cost effective energy efficiency options available before looking to expensive, new alternatives generation.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Dr. Enea Micheli  
6, Via Borgo San Giacomo  
Villachiarà (BS), 25030

**Wright, Mariruth**

---

**From:** Site Administrator [OEC@theOEC.org] on behalf of Nancy Nerny [nnerny@woh.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2010 9:36 AM  
**To:** Docketing  
**Subject:** We Want Cost-Effective Energy Efficiency 10-503-EL-FOR

Sep 11, 2010

Public Utilities Commission

Please protect my electricity rates and Ohio's future!

Duke Energy is proposing to build an expensive nuclear power facility; yet the company fails to properly estimate the amount of low-cost energy efficiency in Ohio.

Unless and until Duke Energy seriously achieves all economically available energy efficiency and renewable energy, a new, expensive nuclear facility should be completely off limits.

Energy efficiency costs on average 3 cents per kwh; while nuclear energy will cost upwards of 15 cents per kwh; we can't afford to leave any low cost energy efficiency on the table, unutilized.

Please ensure that Duke Energy properly estimates, examines, and captures all the cost effective energy efficiency options available before looking to expensive, new alternatives generation.

I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Nerny  
482 Shiloh Dr  
Dayton, OH 45415-3447  
(937) 278-4022