#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Certification as an Eligible Ohio Renewable Energy Resource Generating Facility.

Case No. 10-911-EL-REN

## REPLY TO OHIO POWER COMPANY'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE LATE BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

### I. INTRODUCTION

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") files this reply to Ohio Power's ("OP" or "Company") memorandum contra to OCC's and the Buckeye Forest Council's ("BFC") motions to intervene out of time. OP filed an application for certification of its Muskingum River plant as an eligible Ohio renewable energy resource generating facility under R.C. 4928.01(A)(35). Under Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-40-04(F)(1) "any interested person may file a motion to intervene and file comments to any application filed under this rule within twenty days of the date of the filing of the application. The deadline thus was twenty days after OP's application date, or July 19, 2010. OCC filed its motion to intervene on July 27, 2010. OP filed its memorandum contra on August 6, 2010.

# II. THE COMMISSION HAS GOOD CAUSE TO ACCEPT OCC'S LATE FILED MOTION TO INTERVENE.

The Ohio Revised Code allows the Commission discretion to grant an untimely

motion to intervene, for "good cause shown."<sup>1</sup> OP claims inaccurately that OCC has not identified good cause for filing its motion to intervene out of time.<sup>2</sup> Additionally OP wrongly argues that OCC's and BFC's motions to intervene will "most certainly delay the proceedings."<sup>3</sup>

First, R.C. 4903.221(B)(3) directs the Commission to consider whether the applicants intervention will "unduly ... delay the proceedings," and not "certainly delay" as OP's argument implies. The statutes reliance on the phrase "unduly ... delay" recognizes that there are circumstances where delay is appropriate. For example, a delay in the proceeding is appropriate to allow parties "ample" opportunity to conduct discovery.<sup>4</sup>

Secondly, OCC's and BFC's motions for late filed intervention will not unduly delay the proceedings because under Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-40-04(F)(2) the application will be automatically granted after sixty days unless suspended or denied by the Commission. Although OCC's motion to intervene was eight days later than the 20 days granted under the rule, the Commission still has over a month to make a decision as to whether to suspend or deny the application. In any case, OP's certification of the Muskingum plant will be automatic within sixty days, if the Commission does not make a decision.

The OCC clearly meets the criteria for intervention in this case under R.C. 4903.221 because the Commission has granted OCC's intervention in numerous previous

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R.C.4903.221(A)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> OP Memo Contra at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> R.C. 4903.082.

biomass applications.<sup>5</sup> And the Supreme Court of Ohio has held that statutes and rules governing intervention should be "generally liberally constructed in favor of intervention."<sup>6</sup> Moreover, the Commission has granted late-filed motions to intervene in the past.<sup>7</sup> For these reasons, contrary to OP's claims, OCC has identified good cause for the Commission to grant OCC's late filed motion to intervene.

#### **III. CONCLUSION**

The Commission should not grant OP's memorandum contra OCC's motion for leave to intervene late. Contrary to OP's claims OCC has provided the Commission good cause to grant OCC intervention.

Respectfully submitted,

#### JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

<u>/s/ Ann M. Hotz</u> Ann M. Hotz, Counsel of Record Christopher J. Allwein Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: (614) 466-8574 hotz@occ.state.oh.us allwein@occ.state.oh.us

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See PUCO Case Nos. 09-1042-EL-REN, 09-1043-EL-REN, 09-1860-EL-REN, and 09-1940-EL-REN.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm. (2006), 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006 Ohio 5853, 856 N.E.2d 940, at ¶16 (quoting <u>State ex rel. Polo v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. Of Elections (1995), 74 Ohio St.3d. 143, 144).</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>See eg., In the Matter of the Regulation of the Electric Fuel Component Contained within the Rate Schedules of the Dayton Power and Light company and Related Matters, Case No. 89-105-EL-EFC, Entry (December 28, 1989).

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of this Reply to Ohio Power Company's Memorandum Contra Motion for Leave to Intervene Late by the Office of the Ohio Consumers'

*Counsel*, was electronically filed and served on the persons stated below by regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, on this 12th day of August 2010.

<u>/s/ Ann M Hotz</u> Ann M. Hotz Assistant Consumers' Counsel

#### SERVICE LIST

William Wright Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad St., 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 william.wright@puc.state.oh.us Steven T. Nourse AEP Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 <u>stnourse@aep.com</u>

Will Reisinger Nolan Moser Trent A. Dougherty Megan De Lisi Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449 will@theoec.org nolan@theoec.org trent@theoec.org megan@theoec.org Nathan G. Johnson 5474 Foxhound Lane Westerville, Ohio 43081 <u>ngj660@gmail.com</u>

Attorney for Buckeye Forest Council

Attorneys for the OEC

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/12/2010 2:40:37 PM

in

Case No(s). 10-0911-EL-REN

Summary: Reply Reply to Ohio Power Company's Memorandum Contra Motion for Leave to Intervene Late by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Mrs. Mary V. Edwards on behalf of Hotz, Ann M. Ms. and Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel