

From: "webmaster@puc.state.oh.us"
To: "ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us"

Subject: 54630

Sent: 8/2/2010 4:36:54 PM

Message:

WEB ID: 54630 AT:08-02-2010 at 04:36 PM

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Daniel Boyer

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 2099 Peacock Circle
- Brunswick, Ohio 44212
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: (no home phone provided?)

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: (no e-mail address provided)

INDUSTRY: Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Subject: First Energy's Electric Security Plan (case number 10-388-EL-SSO)

I am concerned about my residential electricity rates. I oppose First Energy's rate plan despite their latest concessions because, as Ohio Consumers' Counsel Janine Migden-Ostrander says, "it could still cost consumers tens of millions in new charges that ought to be hashed out in other cases rather than tucked into this one" - a complex rate case.

Also, I believe that NOPEC has compromised their opposition to First Energy's rate plan and agreed to their recent deal on rate increases with First Energy because the NOPEC board members agreed earlier this year to accept nearly \$16 million in energy-related grants from First Energy. NOPEC will distribute the money to member communities (many of which are led or managed by members of the NOPEC board) for a wide range of energy-related projects - it appears that the board members accepted the grants, then their community, whose budgets they lead or manage, may receive some of the money. The grant money will result in higher residential electricity rates for consumers.

Sincerely, Daniel Boyer

G-6 PH 12: 11