

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of Conjunctive Electric Service)	
Guidelines Proposed by Participants of the)	
Commission Roundtable on Competition)	Case No. 96-406-EL-COI
in the Electric Industry.)	
In the Matter of the Application of The)	
Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company)	
for Authority to Amend Its Tariffs to)	Case No. 97-358-EL-ATA
Include Conjunctive Electric Service.)	
In the Matter of the Application of The)	
Toledo Edison Company for Authority to)	
Amend Its Tariffs to Include Conjunctive)	Case No. 97-359-EL-ATA
Electric Service.)	
In the Matter of the Investigation of The)	
Cleveland Illuminating Company)	Case No. 97-1146-EL-COI
Regarding the Adequacy of the Service it)	
Provides.)	
In the Matter of the Investigation of The)	
Toledo Edison Company Regarding the)	Case No. 97-1147-EL-COI
Adequacy of the Service it Provides.)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
FirstEnergy Corp. On Behalf of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo)	
Edison Company for Authority to Continue)	Case No. 96-1211-EL-UNC
and Modify Certain Regulatory Accounting)	
Practices and Procedures, to Transfer)	
Jurisdictional Assets, to Establish Fuel)	
Efficiency Procedures, to Freeze and Reduce)	
Electric Rates and to File and Implement)	
Tariffs Not for an Increase in Rates, All in)	
Connection with and Subject to the Merger)	
of Ohio Edison Company and Centerior)	
Energy Corporation.)	

ENTRY

The Attorney Examiner finds:

- (1) The Commission issued an Entry in these cases dated November 25, 1997, in which it scheduled hearings to determine whether the conjunctive electric service (CES) tariff applications of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and Toledo Edison filed on March 31, 1997, in Case Nos. 96-406-EL-COI, 97-358-EL-ATA, and 97-359-EL-ATA are just and reasonable as required by Section 4909.18, Revised Code; whether the service that Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and Toledo Edison Company provide, absent a Conjunctive Electric Service tariff, is adequate service within the meaning of Section 4905.22, Revised Code; and, whether Ohio Edison Company is in violation of the rate plan approved by the Commission in Case No. 95-830-EL-UNC and whether The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and Toledo Edison Company are in violation of the rate plan approved by the Commission in Case No. 96-1211-EL-UNC.
- (2) On January 6, 1998, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and Toledo Edison Company filed a notice of withdrawal and substitution of tariff applications.
- (3) The January 6, 1998 request of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and Toledo Edison Company to substitute the CES tariff applications the companies filed on March 31, 1997, with their January 6, 1998, filings should be granted.
- (4) On January 13, 1998, Enron Capital & Trade Resources Corp., Volunteer Energy Services, Inc., Ohio Council of Retail Merchants, and The Greater Cleveland Growth Association (Intervenors) filed a motion to reschedule the hearings in these proceedings from January 27, 1998, to February 23, 1998, and to reschedule the date on which the parties are required to file testimony concerning the conjunctive electric service tariffs filed by Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and Toledo Edison Company and to file revisions to existing testimony filed in these proceedings to February 10, 1998.
- (5) In support of its motion, Intervenors cite the fact that the substituted tariffs are substantially different from the tariffs the companies filed on March 31, 1997, and about which Intervenors filed direct testimony on January 6, 1998. Intervenors contend that the tariffs filed on January 6, 1998, which purport

in part to be conjunctive electric service tariffs for residential and small commercial customers, offer a new service which the Intervenor and Commission Staff have not had sufficient time to evaluate. Intervenor note in support of their motion that none of the parties object to their motion.

- (6) Intervenor's motion to reschedule the hearings in these proceedings from January 27, 1998, to February 23, 1998, and to reschedule the date on which the parties are required to file testimony concerning the conjunctive electric service tariffs filed by Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and Toledo Edison Company and to file revisions to existing testimony filed in these proceedings to February 10, 1998, is reasonable and should be granted.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the January 6, 1998, request of The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and Toledo Edison Company to substitute the CES tariff applications the companies filed on March 31, 1997, with their January 6, 1998, filings be granted. It is, further,

ORDERED, That Intervenor's motion of January 13, 1998, to reschedule the hearings in these proceedings from January 27, 1998, to February 23, 1998, and to reschedule the date on which the parties are required to file testimony concerning the conjunctive electric service tariffs filed by Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and Toledo Edison Company and to file revisions to existing testimony filed in these proceedings to February 10, 1998, be granted. It is further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO



By: Steven J. Deerwester
Attorney Examiner

727
/vrh

Entered in the Journal

JAN 16 1998

A True Copy



Gary E. Vigorito
Secretary