FILE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO 211 AUG -4 PM 4: 39

In the Matter of the Application)	PUCO
of Columbus Southern Power)	
Company and Ohio Power Company)	Case No. 10-1072-EL-RDR
to Adjust Their Economic Development)	
Cost Recovery Rider Rates)	

APPLICATION

- 1. Columbus Southern Power Company (CSP) and Ohio Power Company (OP), collectively referred as "the Companies" or "AEP Ohio," are electric light companies, as that term is defined in §§4905.03 and 4928.01 (A) (7), Ohio Rev. Code.
 - 2. In the Companies' Electric Security Plan proceeding (Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO and 08-918-EL-SSO), the Commission authorized an Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider (EDR) for each of the Companies. The EDR is a rate that is determined by multiplying a percentage by the customers' distribution charges. The EDR is to be adjusted periodically to recover economic development amounts authorized by the Commission.
 - 3. On November 13, 2009, AEP Ohio filed an application to adjust the Companies' respective EDR rates to collect foregone revenues from 2009 associated with the contracts the Commission ordered AEP Ohio to enter into in the Ormet Case and the Eramet Case. (In the Matter of the Application of

Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company to Adjust
Their Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rates, Case No. 09-1095EL-RDR ("Initial EDR Case"). AEP Ohio proposed EDR Rider rates that
would fully recover the delta revenue associated with the Ormet and Eramet
contracts; however, in recognition of the Commission's decisions in Case Nos.
09-119-EL-AEC ("Ormet AEC Case") and 09-516-EL-AEC ("Eramet AEC
Case") requiring that the POLR Charge offset be made, AEP Ohio's
application also set forth the lower EDR Rider rates that reflect the POLR
Charge offset. In the Initial EDR Case, the Commission adopted the lower
EDR Rider rates that reflected the POLR Charge offset, consistent with its
prior decisions in the Ormet AEC Case and the Eramet AEC Case. (Opinion
and Order at 10.)

4. On February 8, 2010, AEP Ohio filed an application to update the Companies' respective EDR rates. (In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company to Adjust Their Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to §4901:1-38-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 10-154-EL-RDR ("EDR Update Case"). In the EDR Update Case, the Commission again adopted EDR Rider rates that reflected the POLR Charge offset (10.52455% for CSP and 8.36693% for OP), consistent with its prior decisions in the Ormet AEC Case, the Eramet

¹ The Companies filed for rehearing in the *Ormet AEC Case*, the *Eramet AEC Case* and the *Initial EDR Case*. After rehearing was denied in each of those three cases, the Companies pursued appeals before the Supreme Court of Ohio concerning the compulsory nature of the arrangements and recovery of the full delta revenue (S. Ct. Case Nos. 09-2060, 10-722 and 10-723), which appeals remain pending.

AEC Case and the *Initial EDR Case*. The Companies reserved their rights to continue pursuing the position that they are statutorily entitled to recovery of full delta revenues, without any POLR credit offset. (Application at note 2)

- 5. By this application the Companies propose to update their respective EDR rates approved in the EDR Update Case based on unrecovered costs resulting from the delta revenues under the Ormet AEC Case and the Eramet AEC Case, plus associated carrying costs. In order to continue preserving their position that the Commission cannot require a POLR credit offset to the EDR rate, the Companies' proposed EDR rates do not reflect such a credit. Accordingly, the Companies request recovery of the full delta revenue associated with the Ormet and Eramet contracts without POLR credits of 10.75919% for CSP and 8.52287% for OP (See Schedule 1A). Recognizing, however, that the Commission would likely require that the POLR credit be reflected in this application, the Companies also provide EDR rates which include POLR credits of 10.74420% for CSP and 8.48794% for OP. (See Schedule 1).
- 6. The detailed calculations and supporting data are reflected in the Schedules attached to the application. Schedule 1 is a summary sheet showing each component of the proposed EDR rates that reflects a credit for POLR rider revenues collected for Ormet and Eramet and is supported by calculations found in the remaining schedules. Schedule 1A is a summary sheet showing

² To be clear, all of the rider factors discussed herein apply to only distribution charges, not the entire bill.

each component of the proposed EDR rates that does not reflect a credit for POLR rider revenues collected. Schedule 2 shows the carrying charge calculations reflecting a credit for POLR rider revenues collected. Schedule 2A shows the carrying charge calculation that does not reflect a credit for POLR rider revenues collected. Schedules 3 and 4 show the specific delta revenue calculations for the Ormet and Eramet contracts, respectively. Schedules 5 and 6 show the typical bill impacts of the change in the Economic Development Rider that reflects a credit for POLR rider revenues collected for CSP and OP, respectively. Schedules 5A and 6A show the typical bill impacts of the change in the Economic Development Rider that does not reflect a credit for POLR rider revenues collected.

7. Based on the estimated cost under-recoveries as evidenced by the projected 2011 delta revenues, as well as on the actual and projected delta revenues associated with the Ormet and Eramet contracts, the Companies propose that their respective EDR rate, to be applied to their customers' distribution charges, should be set at 10.75919% for CSP and 8.52287% for OP, effective with the first billing cycle of October 2010.³ (See Schedule 1A). The EDR rate prior to the first billing cycle of October 2010 will remain at 10.52455% for CSP and 8.36693% for OP.

³ To be clear, all of the percentages discussed herein apply to only the distribution portion of the bill, not the entire bill.

- The Companies continue to utilize the levelized rate approach approved by the Commission in the *Initial EDR Case*, including the accrual of a carrying cost at their respective weighted average cost of long-term debt on the under-recovery caused by the levelized EDR rates. In addition, if during any EDR rate period the Companies determine either that the EDR collections are or will be substantially different than anticipated or the unrecovered costs based on delta revenues are or will be substantially different than anticipated (both of which typically would be related to significant changes to customers' load), they will file an application to modify their EDR rates for the remainder of that period in order to avoid unduly substantial over-and under-recovery deferrals. The Companies will also continue their over/under accounting to track the delta revenues and the EDR collections in order to reconcile any difference through subsequent EDR rate adjustments.
- 9. Consistent with OAC 4901:1-38-08 and the Commission's decision in the *Initial EDR Case*, the Companies intend to continue making semiannual adjustments to their EDR rates, to be effective with the first billing cycle of April and of October in each year. The Companies believe that their proposed EDR rates are just and reasonable and, therefore, no hearing is needed. A hearing would result in needless delay in beginning the recovery of the delta revenues and associated carrying costs identified above, thereby resulting in increased carrying costs to the Companies' customers.

10. The Companies request that at the conclusion of the 20-day comment period prescribed by OAC 4901:1-38 (C), the Commission approve this application for implementation by the start of the first billing cycle of October 2010. If the Commission is unable to conclude this proceeding in time for the EDR rates to be effective with the first billing cycle of October 2010, the Companies request interim authorization to begin collection based on the proposed EDR rates at the start of the first billing cycle of October 2010, with the understanding that the EDR recoveries would be trued-up to the Commission's final order in this matter. Permitting such interim collection will avoid the impact of collecting the unrecovered costs over a shorter period of time.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven T. Nourse

American Electric Power Service Corporation

1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone: (614) 716-1608

Fax: (614) 716-2950

Email: stnourse@aep.com

Counsel for Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company

Columbus Southern Power Company Ohio Power Company August 2010 Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider True-Up Credited for POLR Revenue Collected

Schedule No. 1

PUBLIC VERSION

20	19	ŵ	17	市	क्र	‡	ដ	12	=	Line No	10	ଫ	De	7	· on	ÇM	4	ω	N	٠,	line No
Adjusted Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider	Current Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider	Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Adjustment	Annual Base Distribution Revenue	Total Adjusted Delta Revenue With Carrying Charges per Actuals	Estimated Carrying Costs July-Dec	Actual Carrying Costs Jan-June	Total Adjusted Delta Revenue per Actuals	Adjusted Delta Revenue January - June 2010 Actual	Adjusted Delta Revenue January - June 2010 Original Estimate	Description	Adjusted Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider	Current Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider	Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Adjustment	Annual Base Distribution Revenue	Total Adjusted Delta Revenue With Carrying Charges per Actuals	Estimated Carrying Costs July-Dec	Actual Carrying Costs Jan-June	Total Adjusted Delta Revenue per Actuals	Adjusted Delta Revenue January - June 2010 Actual	Adjusted Delta Revenue January - June 2010 Estimate	Description
8.48794% Line 18 Plus Line 19	8.36693% Per Ohio Power Company's Tariff	0.12 _. 101% Line 16 / Line 17	\$ 315,126,553 From ESP Exhibit DMR 4	\$ 381,322 Line 13 Plus Line 14 Plus Line 15	\$ 226,718 Schedule No. 2 tine 15 (July - December)	\$ 150,685 Schedule No. 2, Line 15 (January - June)	\$ 3,919 Line 12 Minus Line 11	\$ 21,321,870 Schedule 3 Line 14	\$ 21,317,951 Case No. 09-1095 (originally setting up 2010 estimate) Schedule No. 6 Line 14	QPCo Source	10.74420% Line 8 Plus Line 9	10.52455% Per Columbus Southern Power Company's Tariff	0.219650% Line 6 / Line 7	\$325,881,560 From ESP Exhibit DMR 4	\$715,807 Line 3 Plus Line 4 Plus Line 5	\$315,477 Schedule No. 2 line 8 (July - December)	\$366,114 Schedule No. 2, Line 8 (January - June)	\$34,216 Line 2 Minus Line 1	\$21,071,366 Schedule 3 Line 7 Plus Schedule 4 Line 6 (January-June)	\$ 21,037,150 Case No. 09-1095 (originally setting up 2010 estimate) Sch. No. 6 Line 7 Plus Sch. No. 3 Line 6	CSP Source

PUBLIC VERSION Columbus Southern Power Company
Ohio Power Company
August 2010 Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider True-Up With No Credit for POLR Revenue Collected

Schedule No. 1 A

Line No Delta Revenue January - June 2010 Actual Detta Revenue January - June 2010 Estimate Description Total Delta Revenue per Actuals \$24,027,959 Schedule 3 Line 3 Plus Schedule 4 Line 4 (January-June) 24,229,942 Case No. 09-1095 (originally setting up 2010 estimate) Sch. No. 6 Line 3 Plus Sch. No. 3 Line 4 (\$201,982) Line 2 Minus Line 1 Source

Estimated Carrying Costs July-Dec Actual Carrying Costs Jan-June Total Delta Revenue With Carrying Charges per Actuals Annual Base Distribution Revenue \$501,674 Schedule No. 2A line 8 (July - December) \$484,954 Schedule No. 2A, Line 8 (January - June) \$764,646 Line 3 Plus Line 4 Pfus Line 5

Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Adjustment \$325,881,560 From ESP Exhibit DMR 4 0.23464% Line 6 / Line 7

Current Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider 10.52455% Per Columbus Southern Power Company's Tariff

Delta Revenue January - June 2010 Original Estimate Delta Revenue January - June 2010 Actual Description ဝှင် 22,500,000 Schedule 3 Line 10 22,500,000 Case No. 09-1095 (originally setting up 2010 estimate) Schedule No. 6 Line 10 10.75918% Line 8 Plus Line 9 Source

Line No

ô

Adjusted Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider

Line 12 Minus Line 11

190,816 Schedule No. 2A, Line 15 (January - June)

300,578 Schedule No. 2A line 15 (July - December)

491,394 Line 13 Plus Line 14 Plus Line 15

315,126,553 From ESP Exhibit DMR 4

0.15594% Line 16 / Line 17

8.36693% Per Ohio Power Company's Tariff

8,52287% Line 18 Plus Line 19

20 ᇡ 8

Current Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider

Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Adjustment

Adjusted Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider

16

Total Delta Revenue With Carrying Charges per Actuals

햐 4

Estimated Carrying Costs July-Dec

Actual Carrying Costs Jan-June

17

Annual Base Distribution Revenue

ũ

Total Delta Revenue per Actuals

ಭ

Columbus Southern Power Company Child Power Company Child Power Company August 2010 Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider True-Up Calculation of Cumulative Carrying Costs Credited for POLR Revenue Collected

~	. 7	a	u			+ N3		N E
Monthly Carrying Charge Carrying Charge Balance	Manthly Carrying Charge Rate (WAC of LTD)	Cumulative Net (Over)/ Under Collection	Net (Over)/ Linder Conecision	Less: Rider Collections of EDR Revenues			Eramei Adjusted Delta Revenue	Columbus Southern Power
	9	1,241,491	1,241,481		\$1,241,481	31,241,491	sep So	
\$5,928 \$5,928	0.4775%	4,208,118	2,966,627		779,000,24	\$1,241,491 \$2,968,676 \$2,942,121	182.0491 Cci	2008
\$20,084 \$25,022	0.4775%		2,847,788		\$1,241,481 \$2,880,827 \$2,847,768 \$3,042,387	\$2,942,121	85,648	
\$34,169 \$60,191	0.4775%	7,155,886 10,198,273	3,042,387		\$3,04Z,38/	\$3,022,643	519.844	
\$48,897 \$108,888	0.4775%	11,550,008	1,352,334	2,116,843	\$3,469,177	\$3,329,045	Jan 9140 132	
\$55,154 \$164,042	0.4775%	12,304,675	753,967	2,768,379	\$3,920,346	\$3,366,075	Feb 8154 271	
\$58,754 \$222,796	0.4775%	13,209,023	804,446	2,845,048	\$3,549,496	\$3,326,979	Mar \$292 517	
\$63,073 \$285,870	0.4776%	14,146,233	937,200	2,511,040	\$3,448,260	\$3,344,269	Apr 100 ost	
\$67,548 \$363,418	0.4775%	15,264,335	1,118,102	2,424,392	\$3,542,494	\$3,340,126	May	
\$72,887 \$428,305	0.4775%	15,597,407	323,072	3,218,529	\$3,541,601	\$3,355,562	Jun nut	2010
\$74,430 \$500,735	0.4775%	15,926,908	339,502	3,155,998	33,495,500	\$3,331,636	Jej.	
\$78,053 \$676,788	0,4776%	13,197,398	(2,729,510)	3,224,961	3495 151	\$331,289	Aug	į
\$639,803	0.4775%	9,848,145	(3,248,253) (2,821,540)	3,009,685	(\$241,688)	(\$405,631)	Sep	Estimate
\$47,507 \$687,311	0.4775%	7.127,605	(2,621,540)	2,567,060	(\$254,480)	\$163,562 (\$418,342)	합	
\$34,034 \$721,345	0.4775%	4,280,083	(2,847,522)	2 608 474	(\$241,349)	\$163,862 (\$405,211)	Nov	
\$20,437 \$741,782	0.4775%	1,025,527	(3,264,556)	ତ ରାଧିକ ନୟକ	(\$254,871)	\$163,862 (\$418,533)	O	

15 Monthly Carrying Charge16 Carrying Charge Balance	14 Monthly Cerrying Charge Rate (WAC of LTD)	13 Cumulative Net (Over) Under Collection	12 Net (Oder)/ Chaer Callecton		10 Ormet Adjusted Delta Revenue		Ohlo Power
	ġ	221,834	221,934		\$221,934	S-AP	
\$1,056 \$1,056	0.4750%	718,418	496,484		\$496,484	Og.	2009
\$3,418 \$4,475	0.4756%	1,248,525	630,107		\$530,107	NOV	
\$5,941 \$10,415	0.4758%	1,738,071	489,546		\$489,546	Dec	
\$8,270 \$18,685	0.4758%	3,359,786	1,621,716	1,924,856	\$3,546,572	9	
\$15,986 \$34,871	0.4768%	4,484,271	1,124,485	2,439,883	\$3,584,487	77 00	
\$21,336 \$56,007	0.4768%	5,800,563	1,319,292	2,226,282	\$3,545,574	Mar	
\$27,613 \$83,621	0.4758%	7,318,943	1,515,380	2,038,549	\$3,553,928	Apr	
\$34,824 \$1\$8,444	0.4755%	8,965,279	1,646,336	1,905,591	\$3,551,927	May	
\$42,657 \$161,101	0,4758%	10,448,130	1,482,851	2,076,650	\$3,559,401	, Lin	2010
\$49,712 \$210,813	0.4768%	11,676,532	1,228,403	2,319,422	\$3,559,401 \$3,547,824.95	MC	
\$55,557 \$286,370	0,4758%	9,858,909	(1,817,624)	2,366,280	\$547,668,32	Aug	
\$46,909 \$313,279	0.4768%	7,482,934	(2,395,975)	2,200,001	(\$195,974.04)	Sep	Estimate
\$35,509 \$348,787	0.4758%	8,224,028	(1,817,624) (2,395,975) (2,238,605) (2,244,770) (2,484,328)	2,036,740	3547,858.32 (\$195,974.04) (\$202,185,35) (\$195,819.51) (\$202,257.57)	8	ate
\$24,856 \$373,643	0.4758%	2,979,258	(2,244,770)	2.048.951	(\$195,819.61)	Nov	
\$14,175 \$387,819	0.4758%	494,930	(2,484,328)	2.282 070	(\$202,257.57)	Dec	

Line 1 Actual Examet bills at 2010 ESP rates from January through June, Estimated Ormet bills July through December
Line 2 Actual Comet bills at 2010 ESP rates from January through June, Estimated Ormet bills July through December
Line 3 Line 1 gloud Line 2
Line 4 Actual Economic Development Ridar Revenues through June, Estimated through December
Line 5 Line 3 minus line 4
Line 6 Line 5 gloss line 6 (previous month)
Line 7 Columbus Southern Power Yielighted Average Cost of Long-Term Debt (09-1095-EL-UNC January 7, 2010 O&O page 9, paragraph 25 - Annual Rate of 5,73%)
Line 8 Line 6 gloss line 6 (previous month)
Line 10 Actual Cornet bills at 2010 ESP rates from January through June, Estimated Ormet bills July through December
Line 11 Actual Economic Development Rider Revenues through June, Estimated through December
Line 11 Actual Economic Development Rider Revenues through June, Estimated through December
Line 11 Line 10 Infinits Line 11 (previous month)
Line 14 Ohio Power Weighted Average Cost of Long-Term Debt (09-1095-EL-UNC January 7, 2010 O&O page 9, paragraph 25 - Armusi Rate of 5,71%)
Line 14 Ohio Power Weighted Average Cost of Long-Term Debt (09-1095-EL-UNC January 7, 2010 O&O page 9, paragraph 25 - Armusi Rate of 5,71%)
Line 16 Line 17 gloss line 16 (previous month)

Oble Power Company. August 2010 Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider True-Up Calculation of Cumulative Certying Costs with no Credit for POLR Revenue Collected Columbus Southern Power Company

ᅘᅓ	7	3	ಸ :	: 5				40 OH	7	•	434	-	u	4	_	Z,	
Monthly Carrying Charge Carrying Charge Balance	Monthly Carrying Charge Rate (WAC of LTD)	Cumulative Net (Over)/ Under Collection	Net (Over)/ Under Collection			Ohio Power	120 0-0	Monthly Carrying Charge Carrying Charge Balance	Monthly Carrying Charge Rate (WAC of LTD)	Cumulative Net (Over)/ Under Collection	Net (Over)/ Under Coraction	Less: Rider Collections of EDR Revenues	Total	# Adjusted Delta Revenue	Eramet Adjusted Della Revenue	. Columbus country rower	
	ĝ	332,568	332,568	\$332,568	Sep				9	1,470,732	1,470,732		\$1,470,732		8	,	
\$1,582 \$1,582	0.4755%	1,095,772	763,204	\$783,204	Og.	2009		\$7,023 \$7,023	0.4775%	5,008,851	3,638,119		\$3,638,119	\$3,521,337	\$16,782	cano?	
\$5,214 \$6,797	0.4758%	1,887,879	792,107	3792,107				\$23,917 \$30,946	0.4775%	8,644,629	3,636,778		\$3,636,778	\$3,485,002	\$150,776		
\$8,983 \$15,780	0.4758%	2,649,985	762,106	\$762,106	D B C			\$41,278 \$72,218	0.4775%	12,383,822	3,749,193		\$3,749,193	\$3,587,305	\$161,868		
\$12,809 \$28,388	0,4788%	4,475,128	1,825,144	\$5,750,000	ien.			\$59,780 \$131,399	0.4775%	14,277,544	1,888,722	2,116,843	\$4,000,586	\$3,750,000	\$250,585		
\$21,293 \$49,681	0.4758%	5,785,146	1,310,017	\$3,750,000	71 6 15			\$68,176 \$199,574	0.4775%	15,521,421	1,243,877	2,766,379	\$4,010,256	\$3,750,000	\$260,256		
\$27,526 \$77,207	0.4756%	7,308,864	1,523,718	\$3,760,000	Mar			974,115 \$273,869	0.4775%	16,927,075	1,405,654	2,845,048	\$4,050,702	13,750,000	\$300,702		
\$34,776 \$111,982	0.4758%	9,020,315	1,711,451	\$3,780,000	À			\$80,827 \$354,515	0.4775%	18,334,975	1,407,900	2,511,040	\$2,918,940	\$3,750,000	*168,940		STATE OF THE STATE
\$42,919 \$154,901	0.4758%	10,864,724	1,844,409	\$3,750,000	Мау			\$87,550 \$442,068	0.4775%	19,917,766	1,582,792	2,424,392	\$4,007,184	\$3,750,000	9257,184		
\$51,694 \$206,598	0.4758%	12,536,174	1,673,450		Jun	2010		\$95,107 \$537,172	0.4775%	20,739,549	821,783	3,218,529	\$4,040,312	\$3,750,000	\$295,312	2010	STANDARD STANDARD
\$59,657 \$266,262	0.4768%	13,968,751	1,430,578		Jul.			\$99,03† \$638,204	0,4775%	21,621,875	882,326	3,155,998	\$4,038,324	\$3,750,000	\$288,324		
\$66,463 \$332,715	0.4759%	12,383,472	2,365,280 (1,615,280)		Pu _Q			\$105,244 \$739,448	0.4775%	19,436,587	(2,186,338)	3,224,661	\$1,038,324	\$750,000	\$288,324		
\$58,778 \$391,493	0,4758%	10,153,471	(2,200,001) (2,200,001)	\$0,00	Sep	C-00,000	Estimata	\$92,805 \$832,253	0.4776%	16,717,278	(2,718,281)	3,000,585	\$288,324	- C	Sep \$288.324		Estimate
\$48,310 \$439,803	0.4758%	8.108,349	2,045,122	\$0,00	06			\$79,825 \$912,678	0.4775%	14,434,968	(2,282,318)	2.570,841	\$288,324	8	Oct 5288.324		le .
\$38,580 \$478,383	0.4758%	6,050,966	2,057,383 (2,057,383)	\$0.00	Nov			\$68,927 \$981,003	0.4775%	12,113,472	(2,321,466)	2,609,910	\$288,324	**	1288 324		
\$28,790 \$607,173	0.4758%	3,769,504	2,291,462	\$0,00	Dec			\$67,842 \$1,038,847	0.4778%	9,397,725	(2,715,747)	3.004.070	\$288,324	*0	286 286 200 200		

Line 1 Actual Eramet bits at 2010 ESP rates from January through June, Estimated Ormet bits July through December
Line 2 Actual Direct bits at 2010 ESP rates from January through June, Estimated Ormet bits July through December
Line 3 Line 3 pure Line 2
Line 4 Actual Economic Development Rider Revenues through June, Estimated through December
Line 5 Line 3 minus 8ine 4
Line 6 Character Spite (Previous month)
Line 7 Columbus Bouthern Power Weighted Avierage Cost of Long-Term Debt (09-1095-EL-UNC January 7, 2010 0&0 page 9, paragraph 25 - Annual Rate of 5,73%)
Line 10 Character Spite (Previous month)
Line 11 Actual Commit bits at 2010 ESP rates from January through June, Estimated Ormet bits July through December
Line 11 Actual Economic Development Rider Reviewes through June, Estimated through December
Line 11 Actual Economic Develous month)
Line 10 Drive Line 13 (previous month)
Line 10 Drive Character (Line 13 (previous month))
Line 10 Drive Weighted Average Cost of Long-Term Debt (09-1096-EL-UNC January 7, 2010 0&0 page 9, paragraph 25 - Annual Rate of 5,71%)
Line 14 Ohio Power Weighted Average Cost of Long-Term Debt (09-1096-EL-UNC January 7, 2010 0&0 page 9, paragraph 25 - Annual Rate of 5,71%)
Line 16 Line 18 plus line 16 (previous month)
Line 16 Line 18 plus line 16 (previous month)

Ormet Summary of 2010 Delta Revenue 6 Months Actual 6 Months Estimate

ថ	1 1 1 1 1 0 1 2 1 1 0	ø.	œ	വേഷസന	ю	No.
Cumulative Ornet Delta Revenue not to exceed \$60 million	Ormet Delta Revenue \$3,750,750,750,750,750,750,750,750,750,750	2010 Ormet Discourt Bill Ohia Pawer	Description 2010 ESP Tariff BIII Ohlo Power	Ormet Delte Revenue CSP CSP Less: POLR Adjusted Delta Revenue \$3,750,0 \$420,0 \$3,325,0 \$3,325,0 Total Adjusted Delta Revenue \$3,325,0 \$3,325,0	2010 Ormet Discount Billi CSP	Description 2010 GS4 SSO Revenue CSP
\$7,500,000	\$3,750,000 \$203,428 \$3,546,572 lon Order \$3,546,572	\$1,617,123	Jan 48.	\$3,750,000 \$420,955 \$3,329,045 on Order \$3,329,045	\$2,336,728	Jan \$6,086,728
\$15,000,000	\$3,750,000 \$185,533 \$3,564,467 \$3,564,467	\$1,338,139	Fab \$5,088,139	\$3,750,000 \$383,925 \$3,366,075 \$3,366,075	\$1,922,858	Feb \$5,872,858
\$22,500,000	\$3,750,000 \$204,426 \$3,545,574 \$3,545,574	\$1,646,130	Mar 55,398,130	\$3,750,000 \$423,021 \$3,326,979 \$3,326,979	\$2,368,524	Mar \$6,119,524
\$30,000,000	\$3,750,000 \$196,071 \$3,553,929 \$3,553,929	\$1,557,686	Apr #5,307,686	\$3,750,000 \$405,731 \$3,344,289 \$3,344,269	\$2,201,062	Apr (2.11) (1) (2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3
\$37,500,000	\$3,750,000 \$198,073 \$3,551,927 \$3,551,927	\$1,496,738	May \$5,240,738	\$3,750,000 \$409,874 \$3,340,126 \$3,340,126	\$2,180,632	May \$5,940,832
\$45,000,000	\$3,750,000 \$190,599 \$3,559,401 \$3,559,401	\$1,385,870	<u>Jun</u> \$5,135,870	\$3,750,000 \$394,408 \$3,355,592 \$3,355,592	\$2,042,842	Jun \$5,792,842
\$52,500,000	\$3,750,000 \$202,175 \$3,547,825 \$3,547,825	\$1,539,855	\$5,289,855	\$3,750,000 \$418,382 \$3,331,638 \$3,331,638	\$2,272,649	Jul \$6,022,949
\$60,000,000	\$3,750,000 \$202,344 \$3,547,656 \$ 3,000,000 \$547,656	\$1,544,266	Aug \$5,294,286	\$3,750,000 \$418,711 \$3,331,289 \$3,000,000 \$331,289	\$2,277,657	Aug \$6,027,657
\$60,000,000	\$0 \$195,974 (\$195,974) (\$195,974)	\$5,191,739	Sep Estimate \$5,191,739 \$	\$405,531 (\$405,531) (\$405,531)	\$5,878,394	Sep Estimate \$5,878,394 \$1
\$60,000,000 \$80,000,000	\$0 \$202,185 (\$202,185) (\$202,165)	\$5,289,602	Oct 1ate \$5,289,602	\$418,342 (\$418,342) (\$418,342)	\$6,022,361	<u>Oct</u> late \$6,022,361
\$60,000,000	\$195,820 (\$195,820) (\$195,820)	\$5,187,849	Nov \$5,187,849	\$405,211 (\$405,211) (\$405,211)	\$5,873,777	Nov \$5,873,777
\$60,000,000	\$0 \$202,258 (\$202,256) \$ (\$202,259) \$	\$5,292,014	Dec \$5,292,014	\$418,533 (\$418,533) \$ (\$418,533)	\$6,025,100	Dec \$6,025,100
	30,000,000 2,378,866 \$27,821,134 3,000,000 24,621,134	33,086,821	Total 63,088,821	30,000,000 4,922,605 \$25,077,395 3,000,000 \$22,077,395	41,413,572	<u>Total</u> 71,413,572

Line 1 Actual Ormet bills at 2010 ESP rates from January through June, Estimated Ormet bills July through December Line 2 Line 1 minus Line 3 Maximum Ormet discount per Commission Order

Line 4 Actual POLR charges paid by Ormel January through June 2010, Estimated POLR Charges July through December

Line 5 Line 3 minus Line 4
Line 6 Reduction in Delta revenue pursuant to Commission Order that AEP Ohio collect only \$54Million and defer \$8Million
Line 7 Line 5 minus Line 6

Line 8 Actual Ormet bills at 2010 ESP rates from January through June, Estimated Ormet bills July through December Line 9 Line 8 minus Una 10

Line 10 Maximum Ormet discount per Commission Order Line 11 Actual POLR charges paid by Ormet January through June 2010, Estimated POLR Charges July through Decembar

Line 12 Line 10 minus Line 11

Line 13 Reduction in Delta revenue pursuant to Commission Order that AEP Ohio collect only \$54Million and defer \$6Million Line 14 Line 12 minus Line 13 Line 13 Line 15 Cumulative Ormet Delta Revenue

Columbus Southern Power Company
Actual Eramet Economic Development Revenue from January through June 2010 and Estimated July through December 2010

	20102								
お電光学経営	n Feb Mar Apr May Jun	Jul.	₽.fg	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	2
1 kWh		38,000,000	38,000,000	38,000,000	38,000,000 38,000,000 38,000,000 38,000,000 38,000,000	38,000,000	38,000,000	A Constant	Louis etc.
СSP <u>GS4 SSO Revenue</u> 2 \$		\$1,893,444	\$1,893,444	\$1,893,444	\$1,893,444	\$1,893,444	\$1,893,444		
Eramet Ordered Revenue (L04224/kWh)								·	
3 S		\$1,605,120	\$1,605,120	\$1,606,120	\$1,605,120 \$1,605,120 \$1,605,120 \$1,605,120 \$1,605,120 \$1,605,120	\$1,605,120	\$1,605,120		
Delta Revenue (GS4 SSO Revenue less Eramet Ordered Revenue)	met Ordered Revenue)								-
4 Unadjusted Delta Revenue		\$288,324	\$288,324	\$288,324	\$288,324	\$288,324	\$268,324	\$288,324 \$1,527,959 \$1,729,942	\$1,729,942
5 Less: POLR Credit		\$124,481	\$124,461	\$124,461	\$124,461	\$124,461	\$124,461	\$518,680	\$746,768
6 Adjusted Eramet Della Revenue		\$163,862	\$163,862	\$163,862	\$163,862	\$163,862	\$163,862	\$163,862 \$1,009,280	\$983,173

Line 1 Actual Eramet kWh usage from January 1 through June 30, 2010, Estimated usage of 38,000,000 kWh July 2010 through December 2010.

Line 2 Actual Eramet kWh usage from January 1 through June 30, 2010, Estimated bii July 2010 through December 2010. The estimates assumes monthly usage of 38,000,000 kWh and 64,000 kWa billing demand.

Line 2 Eramet biil calculated at ordered rate of .04224/kWh

Line 3 Example 1 Line 3

Line 4 Line 2 minus Line 3

Line 4 minus Line 5

Columbus Southern Power Company 2010 Typical Bill Comparison - August 2010 Economic Development Rider True-Up Credited for POLR Revenue Collected

					\$	
<u>Tariff</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>KW</u>	Current	Proposed	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>
<u>Residential</u> RR1	100		646 E4	#46 E2	\$0.02	0.10/
IXIX I	250		\$16.51 \$33.28	\$16.53	\$0.02 \$0.02	0.1% 0.1%
	500 500		\$61.23	\$33.30 \$61.27	\$0.02 \$0.04	0.1%
	300		Ψ01.23	Φ01.27	φυ.υ4	U. 170
RR Winter	750		\$96.26	\$96.31	\$0.05	0.1%
	1,000		\$115.49	\$115.56	\$0.07	0.1%
	1,500		\$148.46	\$148.53	\$0.07	0.0%
	2,000		\$181.43	\$181.51	\$0.08	0.0%
RR Summer	750		\$96.26	\$96.31	\$0.05	0.1%
va v Gammor	1,000		\$126.55	\$126.63	\$0.08	0.1%
	1,500		\$187.16	\$187.27	\$0.11	0.1%
	2,000		\$247.78	\$247.92	\$0.14	0.1%
	2,000		Ψ 2- 11.10	Ψ2-11.52	Ψ0.14	0.170
RR Annual	750		\$96.26	\$96.31	\$0.05	0.1%
	1,000		\$119.18	\$119.25	\$0.07	0.1%
	1,500		\$161.36	\$161.44	\$0.08	0.1%
	2,000		\$203.55	\$203.65	\$0.10	0.0%
GS-1						
00-1	375	3	\$57.20	\$57.23	\$0.03	0.1%
	1,000	3	\$139.91	\$139.95	\$0.03 \$0.04	0.1%
	750	6	\$106.83	\$106.87	\$0.04 \$0.04	0.0%
	2,000	6	\$241.12	\$241.20	\$0.04 \$0.08	0.0%
	2,000	0	₽∠41.1 Z	\$241.2U	φυ.υο	0.076
GS-2						
Secondary			•			
	1,500	12	\$219.53	\$219.64	\$0.11	0.1%
	4,000	12	\$465.68	\$465.79	\$0.11	0.0%
	6,000	30	\$753.50	\$753.76	\$0 .26	0.0%
	10,000	30	\$1,146.96	\$1,147.22	\$0.26	0.0%
	10,000	40	\$1,197.58	\$1,197.91	\$0 .33	0.0%
	14,000	40	\$1,591.02	\$1,591.36	\$0.34	0.0%
	12,500	50	\$1,494.09	\$1,494.51	\$0.42	0.0%
	18,000	50	\$2,033,39	\$2,033.81	\$0.42	0.0%
	15,000	75	\$1,866.51	\$1,867.12	\$0.61	0.0%
	30,000	150	\$3,713.14	\$3,714.34	\$1.20	0.0%
	60,000	300	\$7,406.36	\$7,408.75	\$2.39	0.0%
	100,000	500	\$12,330.67	\$12,334.63	\$3.96	0.0%
GS-2						
Primary					•	
•	200,000	1,000	\$23,239.03	\$23,245.13	\$6.10	0.0%

Columbus Southern Power Company 2010 Typical Bill Comparison - August 2010 Economic Development Rider True-Up Credited for POLR Revenue Collected

					\$	
<u>Tariff</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>KW</u>	Current	Proposed	Difference	Difference
GS-3						
Secondary						
,	30,000	75	\$2,779.85	\$2,780.68	\$0.83	0.0%
	50,000	75	\$3,772.55	\$3,773.39	\$0.84	0.0%
	30,000	100	\$3,163.98	\$3,164.99	\$1.01	0.0%
	36,000	100	\$3,461.76	\$3,462.78	\$1.02	0.0%
	60,000	150	\$5,416.26	\$5,417.66	\$1.40	0.0%
	100,000	150	\$7,401.63	\$7,403.07	\$1.44	0.0%
	90,000	300	\$ 9,1 96.11	\$9,198.63	\$2.52	0.0%
	120,000	300	\$10,685.14	\$10,687.68	\$2.54	0.0%
	150,000	300	\$12,174.20	\$12,176.77	\$2.57	0.0%
	200,000	300	\$14,655.89	\$14,658.51	\$2.62	0.0%
	150,000	500	\$15,228.28	\$15,232.30	\$4.02	0.0%
	180,000	500	\$16,717.29	\$16,721.34	\$4.05	0.0%
	200,000	500	\$17,709.98	\$17,714.05	\$4.07	0.0%
	325,000	500	\$23,914.29	\$23,918.46	\$4.17	0.0%
GS-3			-			
Primary						
	300,000	1,000	\$28,846.07	\$28,852.44	\$6.37	0.0%
	360,000	1,000	\$31,756.42	\$31,762.83	\$6.41	0.0%
	400,000	1,000	\$33,696.65	\$33,703.10	\$6.45	0.0%
	650,000	1,000	\$45,823.10	\$45,829.76	\$6.66	0.0%
GS-4						
GG-4	1,500,000	5,000	\$125,373.76	\$125,385.18	\$11.42	0.0%
	2,500,000	5,000	\$166,285.07	\$166,297.32	\$12.25	0.0%
	3,250,000	5,000	\$196,968.58	\$196,981.46	\$12.88	0.0%
	3,000,000	10,000	\$231,020.70	\$231,041.96	\$21.26	0.0%
	5,000,000	10,000	\$312,843.35	\$312,866.28	\$22.93	0.0%
	6,500,000	10,000	\$374,210.32	\$374,234.51	\$24.19	0.0%
	6,000,000	20,000	\$442,299.53	\$442,340.48	\$40.95	0.0%
	10,000,000	20,000	\$605,944.80	\$605,989.09	\$44.29	0.0%
	13,000,000	20,000	\$728,678.74	\$728,725.54	\$46.80	0.0%
	15,000,000	50,000	\$1,076,158.56	\$1,076,258.59	\$100.03	0.0%
	25,000,000	50,000	\$1,485,271.73	\$1,485,380.11	\$108.38	0.0%
	32,500,000	50,000	\$1,792,106.61	\$1,792,221.27	\$114.66	0.0%

Columbus Southern Power Company
2010 Typical Bill Comparison - August 2010 Economic Development Rider
True-Up with no Credit for POLR Revenue Collected

<u>Tariff</u>	<u>kV</u> Vh	KW	Current	Proposed	\$ <u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>
1200	VAAII	IVVV	<u>Current</u>	Proposed	Dillelelice	<u>Dillelelice</u>
Residential						
RR1	100		\$16.51	\$16.53	\$0.02	0.1%
	250		\$33.28	\$33.30	\$0.02	0.1%
	500		\$61.23	\$61.27	\$0.04	0.1%
RR Winter	750		\$96.26	\$96.32	\$0.06	0.1%
	1,000		\$115.49	\$115. 56	\$0.07	0.1%
	1,500		\$148.46	\$148.54	\$0.08	0.1%
	2,000		\$181.43	\$181.51	\$0.08	0.0%
RR Summer	750		\$96.26	\$96.32	\$0 .06	0.1%
	1,000		\$126.55	\$126.63	\$0 .08	0.1%
	1,500		\$187.16	\$187.28	\$0.12	0.1%
	2,000		\$247.78	\$247.93	\$0.15	0.1%
RR Annual	750		\$96.26	\$96.32	\$0.06	0.1%
	1,000		\$119.18	\$119.25	\$0 .07	0.1%
	1,500		\$161.36	\$161.45	\$0.09	0.1%
	2,000		\$203.55	\$203.65	\$0.10	0.1%
GS-1						
3 5 .	375	3	\$57.20	\$57.23	\$0.03	0.1%
	1,000	3	\$139.91	\$139.96	\$0.05	0.0%
	750	6	\$106.83	\$106.87	\$D.04	0.0%
	2,000	6	\$241.12	\$241.20	\$0.08	0.0%
GS-2						•
Secondary			•			
•	1,500	12	\$219.53	\$219.65	\$0.12	0.1%
	4,000	12	\$465.68	\$465.80	\$0.12	0.0%
	6,000	30	\$753.50	\$753.78	\$0.28	0.0%
	10,000	30	\$1,146.96	\$1,147.24	\$0.28	0.0%
•	10,000	40	\$1,197.58	\$1,197.94	\$0.36	0.0%
	14,000	40	\$1,591.02	\$1,591.38	\$0.36	0.0%
	12,500	50	\$1,494.09	\$1,494.54	\$0.45	0.0%
	18,000	50	\$2,033.39	\$2,033.84	\$0.45	0.0%
	15,000	75	\$1,866.51	\$1,867.16	\$0.65	0.0%
	30,000	150	\$3,713.14	\$3,714.42	\$1.28	0.0%
	60,000	300	\$7,406.36	\$7,408.91	\$2.55	0.0%
	100,000	500	\$12,330.67	\$12,334.90	\$4.23	0.0%
GS-2						
Primary						
	200,000	1,000	\$23,239.03	\$23,245.55	\$6.52	- 0.0%

Columbus Southern Power Company 2010 Typical Bill Comparison - August 2010 Economic Development Rider True-Up with no Credit for POLR Revenue Collected

<u>Tariff</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>KW</u>	<u>Current</u>	Proposed	\$ <u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>
GS-3						
Secondary						
,	30,000	75	\$2,779.85	\$2,780.73	\$0.88	0.0%
	50,000	75	\$3,772.55	\$3,773.45	\$0 .90	0.0%
	30,000	100	\$3,163.98	\$3,165.06	\$1.08	0.0%
	36,000	100	\$3,461.76	\$3,462.85	\$1.09	0.0%
	60,000	150	\$5,416.26	\$5,417.76	\$1.50	0.0%
	100,000	150	\$7,401.63	\$7,403.17	\$1.54	0.0%
	90,000	300	\$9,196.11	\$9,198.80	\$2.69	0.0%
	120,000	300	\$10,685.14	\$10,687.86	\$2.72	0.0%
	150,000	300	\$12,174.20	\$12,176.95	\$2.75	0.0%
	200,000	300	\$14,655.89	\$14,658.68	\$2.79	
	150,000	500	\$15,228.28	\$15,232.58	\$4.30	
	180,000	500	\$ 16,717.29	\$16,721.62	\$4.33	0.0%
	200,000	500	\$17,709.98	\$17,714.33	\$4.35	0.0%
	325,000	500	\$23,914.29	\$23,918.74	\$4.45	0.0%
GS-3						
Primary						
,,	300,000	1,000	\$28,846.07	\$28,852.88	\$6.81	0.0%
	360,000	1,000	\$31,756.42	\$31,763.27	\$6.85	0.0%
	400,000	1,000	\$33,696.65	\$33,703.54	\$6.89	0.0%
	650,000	1,000	\$45,823.10	\$45,830.21	\$7.11	0.0%
GS-4						
G5-4	1,500,000	5,000	\$125,373.76	\$125,385.95	\$12.19	0.0%
	2,500,000	5,000	\$166,285.07	\$166,298.15	\$13.08	0.0%
	3,250,000	5,000	\$196,968.58	\$196,982.34	\$13.76	0.0%
	3,000,000	10,000	\$231,020.70	\$231,043.41	\$22.71	0.0%
	5,000,000	10,000	\$312,843.35	\$312,867.84	\$24.49	0.0%
	6,500,000	10,000	\$374,210.32	\$374,236.16	\$25.84	0.0%
	6,000,000	20,000	\$442,299.53	\$442,343.28	\$43.75	0.0%
	10,000,000	20,000	\$605,944.80	\$605,992.11	\$47.31	0.0%
	13,000,000	20,000	\$728,678.74	\$728,728.74	\$50.00	0.0%
	15,000,000	50,000	\$1,076,158.56	\$1,076,265.41	\$106.85	0.0%
	25,000,000	50,000	\$1,485,271.73	\$1,485,387.51	\$115.78	0.0%
	32,500,000	50,000	\$1,792,106.61	\$1,792,229.09	\$122.48	0.0%

Ohio Power
2010 Typical Bill Comparison - August 2010 Economic Development Rider True-Up
Credited for POLR Revenue Collected

Ohio	Power	Com	panv
------	-------	-----	------

Onio Power Company							
<u>Tariff</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>kw</u>	Current	Proposed	\$ <u>Difference</u> Di	fference	
Residential							
. voordaniidi	100		\$14.23	\$14.23	\$0.00	0.0%	
	250		\$28.91	\$28.92	\$0.01	0.0%	
	500		\$53.38	\$53.39	\$0.01	0.0%	
	750		\$77.86	\$77.88	\$0.02	0.0%	
	1,000		\$99.97	\$100.00	\$0.03	0.0%	
	1,500		\$143.01	\$143.05	\$0.04	0.0%	
	2,000		\$186.04	\$186.09	\$0.05	0.0%	
GS-1							
Secondary							
	375	3	\$45.55	\$45.57	\$0.02	0.0%	
	1,000	3	\$96.32	\$96.34	\$0.02	0.0%	
	750	6	\$76.01	\$76.03	\$0.02	0.0%	
	2,000	6	\$177.51	\$177.53	\$0.02	0.0%	
GS-2							
Secondary				_			
	1,500	12	\$177.75	\$177.82	\$0.07	0.0%	
	4,000	12	\$350.23	\$350.29	\$0.06	0.0%	
	6,000	30	\$559.33	\$559.46	\$0.13	0.0%	
	10,000	30	\$834.91	\$835.05	\$0.14	0.0%	
	10,000	40	\$874.52	\$874.69	\$0.17	0.0%	
	14,000	40	\$1,150.12	\$1,150.29	\$0.17	0.0%	
	12,500	50 50	\$1,086.40	\$1,086.60	\$0.20	0.0%	
	18,000	50	\$1,463.66	\$1,463.86	\$0.20	0.0%	
	15,000	75	\$1,357.68	\$1,357.97	\$0.29	0.0%	
	30,000	100	\$2,494.84	\$2,495.22	\$0.38	0.0%	
	36,000	100	\$2,904.85	\$2,905.24	\$0.39	0.0%	
	30,000	150	\$2,697.25	\$2,697.81	\$0.56	0.0%	
	60,000	300	\$5,359.00	\$5,360.09	\$1.09	0.0%	
	90,000	300	\$7,409.18	\$7,410.28	\$1.10 64.70	0.0%	
	100,000	500 500	\$8,906.56	\$8,908.35	\$1.79	0.0%	
	150,000 180,000	500	\$12,323.51 \$14,373.65	\$12,325.33	\$1.82	0.0%	
	100,000	500	\$14,373.65	\$14,375.47	\$1.82	0.0%	
GS-3							
Secondary	10.000	E0	ሰ ፊ ለበበ በብ	## #00 OT	ድር ጋር	0.007	
	18,000	50 75	\$1,490.38	\$1,490.67	\$0.29	0.0%	
	30,000	75 75	\$2,329.39	\$2,329.80	\$0.41	0.0%	
	50,000	75 100	\$3,073.01	\$3,073.44	\$0.43	0.0%	
	36,000 30,000	100 150	\$2,958.33	\$2,958.89 \$3,536.04	\$0.56	0.0%	
	30,000	150 150	\$3,525.23	\$3,526.04 \$4,641.47	\$0.81	0.0%	
	60,000 100,000	150 150	\$4,640.65 \$6,127.00	\$4,641.47 \$6.139.74	\$0.82	0.0%	
	120,000	300	\$6,127.90 \$9,245.82	\$6,128.74 \$9,247.43	\$0.84 \$1.61	0.0% 0.0%	
	150,000	300	\$10,361.26	\$9,247.43 \$10,362.89	\$1.63	0.0%	
	100,000	300	ψ10,301.20	#10,00Z.03	\$1.00	0.070	

Ohio Power
2010 Typical Bill Comparison - August 2010 Economic Development Rider True-Up
Credited for POLR Revenue Collected

Ohio Power Company						
<u>Tariff</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>KW</u>	Current	Proposed	Difference	Difference
	200,000	300	\$12,220.30	\$12,221.95	\$1.65	0.0%
	180,000	500	\$14,640.95	\$14,643.61	\$2.66	0.0%
	200,000	500	\$15,384.58	\$15,387.25	\$2.67	0.0%
	325,000	500	\$20,032.22	\$20,034.94	\$2.72	0.0%
GS-2						
Primary						
	200,000	1,000	\$16,679.94	\$16,682.66	\$2.72	
	300,000	1,000	\$23,377.04	\$23,379.80	\$2.76	0.0%
GS-3						
Primary						
	360,000	1,000	\$27,909.26	\$27,913.66	\$4.40	
	400,000	1,000	\$29,383.62	\$29,388.03	\$4.41	0.0%
	650,000	1,000	\$38,598.31	\$38,602.83	\$4.52	0.0%
GS-2						
Subtransmission	on					•
	1,500,000	5,000	\$112,083.62	\$112,094.87	\$11.25	0.0%
GS-3						•
Subtransmission						
	2,500,000	5,000	\$158,475.79	\$158,495.52	\$19.73	0.0%
	3,250,000	5,000	\$184,866.51	\$184,886.56	\$20.05	0.0%
GS-4						•
Subtransmissio						
	3,000,000	10,000	\$218,100.68	\$218,117.09	\$16.41	0.0%
	5,000,000	10,000	\$276,681.47	\$276,698.73	\$17.26	0.0%
	6,500,000	10,000	\$320,617.07	\$320,634.96	\$17.89	0.0%
	10,000,000	20,000	\$551,682.70	\$551,716.70	\$34.00	0.0%
	13,000,000	20,000	\$639,553.89	\$639,589.15	\$35.26	0.0%
GS-4 Transmission						
i di isimssion	25,000,000	50,000	\$1 326 283 42	\$1,326,324.19	\$40.77	0.0%
	32,500,000	50,000		\$1,545,696.33	\$43.95	0.0%
	52,000,000	50,000	Ψ1,040,002.00	Ψ1,070,000.00	ψ-0.50	U.U /0

Ohio Power
2010 Typical Bill Comparison - August 2010 Economic Development Rider True-Up with
no Credit for POLR Revenue Collected

Ohio Power Company

Onlo Power Company						
<u>Tariff</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>KW</u>	<u>Current</u>	Proposed	Difference	Difference
Residential			•			
100,001,00	100		\$14.23	\$14.24	\$0.01	0.1%
	250		\$28.91	\$28.93	\$0.02	0.1%
	500		\$53.38	\$53.40	\$0.02	0.0%
	750		\$77.86	\$77.89	\$0.03	0.0%
	1,000		\$99.97	\$100.01	\$0.04	0.0%
	1,500		\$143.01	\$143.06	\$0.05	0.0%
	2,000		\$186.04	\$186.10	\$0.06	0.0%
GS-1						*
Secondary						
	375	3	\$45.55	\$45.57	\$0.02	0.0%
	1,000	3	\$96.32	\$96.34	\$0.02	0.0%
	750	6	\$76.01	\$76.03	\$0.02	0.0%
	2,000	6	\$177.51	\$177.54	\$0.03	0.0%
GS-2						
Secondary						
	1,500	12	\$177.75	\$177.84	\$0.09	0.1%
	4,000	12	\$350.23	\$350.31	\$0.08	0.0%
	6,000	30	\$559.33	\$559.49	\$0.16	0.0%
	10,000	30	\$834.91	\$835.08	\$0.17	0.0%
	10,000	40	\$874.52	\$874.74	\$0.22	0.0%
	14,000	40	\$1,150.12	\$1,150.34	\$0.22	0.0%
	12,500	50	\$1,086.40	\$1,086.66	\$0.26	0.0%
	18,000	50	\$1,463.66	\$1,463.92	\$0.26	0.0%
	15,000	75	\$1,357.68	\$1,358.05	\$0.37	0.0%
	30,000	100	\$2,494.84	\$2,495.34	\$0.50	0.0%
	36,000	100	\$2,904.85	\$2,905.35	\$0.50	0.0%
	30,000 60,000	150 300	\$2,697.25	\$2,697.97 \$6,360.44	\$0.72	0.0%
	90,000	300	\$5,359.00 \$7,409.18	\$5,360.41 \$7,410.59	\$1.41 \$1.41	0.0% 0.0%
	100,000	500	\$8,906.56	\$8,908.87	\$1.41 \$2.31	0.0%
	150,000	500	\$12,323.51	\$12,325.85	\$2.34	0.0%
	180,000	500	\$14,373.65	\$14,376.00	\$2.35	0.0%
GS-3						
Secondary						
ŕ	18,000	50	\$1,490.38	\$1,490.75	\$0.37	0.0%
	30,000	75	\$2,329.39	\$2,329.92	\$0.53	0.0%
	50,000	75	\$3,073.01	\$3,073.56	\$0.55	0.0%
	36,000	100	\$2,958.33	\$2,959.05	\$0.72	0.0%
	30,000	150	\$3,525.23	\$3,526.27	\$1.04	0.0%
	60,000	150	\$4,640.65	\$4,641.71	\$1.06	0.0%
	100,000	150	\$6,127.90	\$6,128.98	\$1.08	0.0%
	120,000	300	\$9,245.82	\$9,247.90	\$2.08	0.0%
	150,000	300	\$10,361.26	\$10,363.36	\$2.10	0.0%

Ohio Power
2010 Typical Bill Comparison - August 2010 Economic Development Rider True-Up with
no Credit for POLR Revenue Collected

Ohio Power Company						
Tariff	<u>kWh</u>	<u>KW</u>	<u>Current</u>	Proposed	Difference	<u>Difference</u>
	200,000	300	\$12,220.30	\$12,222.42	\$2.12	0.0%
	180,000	500	\$ 14,6 4 0.95	\$14 ,644. 38	\$3.43	0.0%
	200,000	500	\$15,384.58	\$15,388.02	\$3.44	0.0%
	325,000	500	\$20,032.22	\$20,035.73	\$3.51	0.0%
GS-2						
Primary	222 222	4.000	***	******		D 00/
	200,000	1,000	\$16,679.94	\$16,683.44	\$3.50	0.0%
	300,000	1,000	\$23,377.04	\$23,380.59	\$3.55	0.0%
GS-3						
Primary						
	360,000	1,000	\$27,909.26	\$27,914.92	\$5.66	0.0%
	400,000	1,000	\$29,383.62	\$29,389.30	\$5.68	0.0%
	650,000	1,000	\$38,598.31	\$38 ,604.13	\$5.82	0.0%
GS-2						
Subtransmissio						
	1,500,000	5,000	\$112,083.62	\$112,098.12	\$14.50	0.0%
GS-3						
Subtransmissio						
	2,500,000	5,000	\$158,475.79	\$158,501.22	\$25.43	0.0%
	3,250,000	5,000	\$184,866.51	\$184,892.35	\$25.84	0.0%
GS-4						
Subtransmissio	-					
	3,000,000	10,000	\$218,100.68	\$218,121.82	\$21.14	0.0%
	5,000,000	10,000	\$276,681.47	\$276,703.71	\$22.24	0.0%
	6,500,000	10,000	\$320,617.07	\$320,640.13	\$23.06	0.0%
	10,000,000	20,000	\$551,682.70	\$551,726.51	\$43.81	0.0%
	13,000,000	20,000	\$639,553.89	\$639,599.34	\$45.45	0.0%
GS-4						
Transmission	AF 886 555				.	,
	25,000,000	50,000		\$1,326,335.96	\$52.54	0.0%
	32,500,000	50,000	\$1,545,652.38	\$1,545,709.02	\$56.64	0.0%