FILE

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

| In the Matter of the 2010 Long-Term |) | Case No. 10-503-EL-FOR |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Forecast Report of Duke Energy |) | |
| Ohio, Inc. |) | |
| |) | |

AND MASS PALIS

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL'S MOTION TO SHORTEN THE RESPONSE TIME FOR DISCOVERY AND REOUEST FOR AN EXPEDITED RULING

I. INTRODUCTION

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio) opposes the Ohio Consumers' Counsel's (OCC) Motion to Shorten the Response time for Discovery and related Request for an Expedited Ruling in this proceeding regarding Duke Energy Ohio's 2010 Long-Term Energy Forecast Report (LTFR) and integrated resource plan (IRP). For the reasons set forth herein, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that this Commission deny OCC's Motion and Request.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Motion to Shorten the Response time for Discovery

OCC contends that expedited discovery is appropriate in this matter because a hearing, if ordered, is to be held within 90 days after the utility files its LTFR. Ohio Admin. Code Section 4901-1-19(A) provides that a party is to respond to discovery requests within twenty days of service. As is, this rule sets a discovery deadline that is already substantially shorter than the Federal or Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure and provides more than sufficient time for OCC to review Duke Energy Ohio's discovery responses before the hearing, which would not occur until mid-September. Moreover, OCC "intends to investigate the far-reaching implications of" the

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of Business.

Technician Date Processed AN 2828

possibility that Duke Energy Ohio might construct a nuclear power plant. Decreasing Duke Energy Ohio's discovery response deadline when anticipating far-reaching discovery requests from OCC will place an unfair burden on Duke Energy Ohio, and, potentially put Duke Energy Ohio in a situation where it will not be able to both accurately and timely respond to OCC's discovery requests.

In addition, OCC has grown accustomed to frequently requesting expedited discovery. This Commission established the twenty day deadline after a full rulemaking procedure. To allow OCC to vary the discovery deadline with increasing frequency disregards the Commission's consideration of the comments submitted during rulemaking and the Commission's determination that a twenty day deadline was appropriate.

Finally, Staff has requested that a public in this matter be held within 90 days of the LTFR's filing, but then be continued to allow sufficient time for examination of the filing and the possibility of settlement discussions.² Staff has also requested than an evidentiary hearing be held sometime after the public hearing to allow for additional examination of the issues raised in the LTFR.³ If the Commission grants Staff's requests, OCC will have more ample time to review Duke Energy Ohio's discovery responses without the need for an expedited discovery schedule. Therefore, this Commission should deny OCC's Motion to Shorten the Response time for Discovery.

In the event this Commission does grant an expedited discovery schedule, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission order that such discovery be conducted pursuant to reasonable parameters and that the response times to all discovery requests be mutual.

¹ In the matter of the 2010 Long-Term Forecast Report of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Case No. 10-503-EL-FOR, OCC's Motion for Hearing and Motion to Shorten the Response Time for Discovery and Request for an Expedited Ruling, at 3.

² Case No. 10-503-EL-FOR, Staff's Motion for a Hearing, at 1 (June 25, 2010).

³ Case No. 10-503-EL-FOR, Staff's Motion for a Hearing, at 3.

Specifically, OCC frequently delivers its discovery requests electronically at or near 5:00 p.m. thereby effectively reducing Duke Energy Ohio's response time even further. Duke Energy Ohio therefore requests that the Commission require parties to this proceeding to electronically serve their discovery requests upon the receiving party no later than 4 p.m. on the day it chooses to serve those requests. Alternatively, discovery issued after 4 p.m. shall be treated as having been served on the following business day. This provision reasonably enables all parties to engage in expedited discovery, without unfairly penalizing the receiving party in those circumstances — over which it has no control — when discovery is submitted at the end of the business day.

B. Expedited Ruling

Duke Energy Ohio objects to OCC's request for an expedited ruling on the instant

Motions. First, OCC has provided no argument why an expedited ruling on these matters is
necessary. Second, as noted above, the first public hearing in this matter will not occur until
mid-September. Thus, the Commission has ample time to rule on OCC's motions and still allow
time for the regular discovery process to be completed before the public hearing occurs.

Moreover, as also noted above, Staff has requested that additional hearings be set in this matter
beyond the initial 90 day public hearing. If the Commission grants Staff's request, there will be
yet additional time for the Commission to issue its ruling on OCC's motion and for the
completion of the discovery process. Therefore, OCC has not demonstrated that it is entitled to
an expedited ruling.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that this Commission deny OCC's Motion to Shorten the Response time for Discovery, and Request for an Expedited Ruling.

Respectfully submitted,

Amy B. Spiller (0047277)

Associate General Counsel

Elizabeth H. Watts (0031092)

Assistant General Counsel

Duke Energy Business Services, LLC

Room 2500 Atrium II

P.O. Box 960

Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960

(513) 419-1810 (telephone)

(513) 419-1846 (facsimile)

e-mail: amy.spiller@duke-energy.com

Attorneys for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing was served via first-class mail delivery, postage prepaid, and/or electronic mail delivery on this the 28th day of June, 2010, to the following persons:

Jeffrey Small
Office of Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad St, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Will Reisinger Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue Columbus, OH 43212

Michael E. Heintz Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Avenue Ste 201 Columbus, OH 43212 Robert Kelter Environmental Law & Policy Center 35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60613

Megan De Lisi Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue Ste 201 Columbus, OH 43212

Amy Spiller Coss 270