

Legal Counsel

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

52 East Gay St. PO Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 48216-1008

614.464.6400 | www.vorys.com

2010 JUN 10 PM 5: 03

Founded 1909

PUCO

M. Howard Petricoff Direct Dial (614) 464-5414 Direct Fax (614) 719-4904 Email mhpetricoff@vorys.com

June 10, 2010

Ms. Reneé Jenkins Secretary Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad Street, 11th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Re: Case No. 10-369-EL-BGN (Paulding Wind Farm II LLC) Timber Road II Wind Project

Dear Ms. Jenkins:

Please find attached a letter from the United States Department of Commerce, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, indicating that no federal agencies represented in the Interdepartment Radio Advisory Committee (IRAC) had any concerns regarding blockage of their radio frequency transmissions from the Timber Road II Wind Project in Paulding County, Ohio.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

M. Howard Petricoff Attorneys for Paulding Wind Farm II LLC

MHP/jaw

cc: Steve Reilly Bill Wright Larry Gearhardt

> accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician Date Processed $6 \cdot 6 - 20^{(1)}$



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Telecommunications and Information Administration Washington, D.C. 20230

JUN 3 2010

Mr. Lester Polisky Sr. Principal Engineer COMSEARCH 19700 Janelia Farm Blvd. Ashburn, VA 20147

Re: Timber Road II Wind Project, in Paulding County, OH

Dear Mr. Polisky:

In response to your request on April 13, 2010, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration provided to the federal agencies represented in the Interdepartment Radio Advisory Committee (IRAC) the plans for the Timber Road II Wind Project, located in Paulding County, Ohio.

After a 45 day period of review, no federal agencies identified any concerns regarding blockage of their radio frequency transmissions.

While the IRAC agencies did not identify any concerns regarding radio frequency blockage, this does not eliminate the need for the wind energy facilities to meet any other requirements specified by law related to these agencies. For example, this review by the IRAC does not eliminate any need that may exist to coordinate with the Federal Aviation Administration concerning flight obstruction.

Thank you for the opportunity to review these proposals.

Sincerely,

122

Edward M. Davison Deputy Associate Administrator Office of Spectrum Management