

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

BEFORE

2010 JUN 10 AM 11:05

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

PUCO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)
Edison Company, The Cleveland)
Illuminating Company and the Toledo)
Edison Company for Authority to)
Establish a Standard Service Offer) Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 in the Form)
Of an Electric Security Plan.)

SUPPLEMENTAL PREPARED TESTIMONY
of
Robert B. Fortney
Rates and Tariffs Division

SUPPLEMENTAL PREPAED TESTIMONY OF ROBERT B. FORTNEY

1. Q. Please state your name and business address.
A. My name is Robert B. Fortney. My business address is 180 E. Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.
2. Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
A. I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) as a Public Utilities Administrator 3 in the Rates and Tariffs Division of the Utilities Department.
3. Q. Are you the same Robert B. Fortney who originally filed written testimony in this proceeding?
A. Yes, I am.
4. Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
A. In its May13, 2010, Entry On Rehearing in this proceeding, the Commission indicated that "based upon the comments received during the local public hearings held in this proceeding, the Commission believes that additional information regarding the impact of the proposed ESP on customer's bills is necessary before we can consider the Joint Stipulation. " Therefore, the Commission directed Staff to present a detailed analysis of the impact of the proposed ESP on customer's bills. Accompanying this filing is that analysis. I have docketed hard copies of the analysis with this testimony. Electronic copies shall be included to those entities on the service list.

5. Q. What key underlying assumptions were included in the development of the typical bills?

A. There were several assumptions included in the various scenarios of typical bills, including the following:

(a) The proposed rates assume that Rider GEN is based on a blended competitive bid price varying from \$45 to \$70 per MWh. The Generation Capacity charges and Generation Energy charges of Rider GEN were developed consistent with the Stipulation. The Generation Capacity charges are based on the most recent FRR auction results.

(b) The proposed rates assume that Rider NMB is based on an estimate of \$3.09 per MWh, excluding distribution losses, which is converted to retail rates pursuant to the methodology described in the Stipulation.

(c) The current rates incorporate the Residential Deferred Distribution Cost Recovery Rider (Rider RDD) and the Non-Residential Deferred Distribution Cost Recovery Rider (Rider NDD) as approved by the Commission in Case No. 09-641-EL-ATA and Case No. 09-642-EL-ATA, respectively.

(d) Reconcilable riders currently in place are included in the current rates and the proposed rates at levels as of January, 2010, where applicable.

(e) For both the current rates and the proposed rates, the Residential Generation Credit applied to certain non-standard residential customers is

consistent with the tariffs filed March 17, 2010 in Case No. 10-176-EL-ATA.

- (f) For both the current rates and the proposed rates, all customers are treated as taking service under the Companies' SSO.
- (g) For both the current rates and the proposed rates, the Deferred Fuel Cost Recovery Rider (Rider DFC) was included based on an estimated balance as of December, 2010 with an adjustment for the over collection of fuel costs in 2008. For the proposed rates, the Deferred Generation Cost Recovery Rider (Rider DGC) was included based on an estimated balance as of May, 2011. The charges for both of these riders are based on the recovery periods approved under the Companies' current ESP.
- (h) For the proposed rates, there is no summer blocking of Rider GEN for Rate Schedule RS.
- (i) For the proposed rates in certain scenarios, the Economic Development Rider (Rider EDR) was modified from the originally filed Stipulation and Recommendation to incorporate a credit associated with the Non-Residential Credit Provision for the lighting schedules (rate schedules STL, POL, and TRF) in the event that the overall Company percent change is negative (See further dicussion in Question 7). For the proposed rates, the Interruptible Credit Provision includes a credit amount of \$5.00 per kW of Curtailable Load. For the proposed rates, the Infrastructure Improvement Provision of Rider EDR recovers \$70M over a 5 year period beginning

June 1, 2011. For the current rates, the credit levels associated with the Residential Non-Standard Credit Provision, the Non-Residential Credit Provision, and the School Credit Provision remain consistent with amounts currently in place. Depending on the assumed CBP price, the Non-Residential Credit and the Standard Charge in Rider EDR were adjusted in accordance with the language included in the Stipulation and Recommendation, other than the aforementioned modification to the cap calculation in certain scenarios.

(j) Rider DCR rates reflect recovery of \$124M for the three Ohio Companies.

(k) For the proposed Rates, there is no reflection of MISO exit fees, PJM integration costs, or RTEP costs.

6. Q. Upon review of the typical bills, does staff have any recommendations regarding the proposed ESP?

A. I recommend that rate schedule TRF (traffic lighting) be responsible for 100% of the allocation of PJM capacity costs associated with the lighting rate schedules' contribution to the coincident peaks in June through September. With this change, rate schedules STL (street lighting) and POL (private outdoor lighting) would be responsible for 0% of the allocated PJM capacity costs. This allocation method is appropriate since traffic lighting tends to be the only lighting schedule that contributes to the coincident peaks in June through September. This allocation has already

been made in the typical bill analysis. This allocation reduces the rate impacts for Schedules STL and POL associated with going from an energy charge to a demand charge for a portion of the generation costs.

7. Q. What modifications do you recommend to the cap calculation discussed in part A.5.i of the companies' stipulation and recommendation?

A. I recommend that in the event of an overall Company average percent decrease, all lighting schedules (rate schedules STL, POL, and TRF) will be limited to a maximum percent increase of 0%. The Companies' Stipulation and Recommendation currently states "If the average percent change by Company is negative, then no cap shall be applied." This is problematic since the lighting rate schedules may potentially receive significant average percent increases while the overall Company average percent change is negative. This could occur because the lighting schedules currently get a credit under Rider EDR and to fully eliminate the credit if the overall Company percent change is negative could create a large percent increase for the lighting schedules.

8. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes, it does. However, I reserve the right to submit additional supplemental testimony as described herein, as new information subsequently becomes available or in response to positions taken by other parties.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Supplemental Prepared Testimony of Robert Fortney submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, was served by electronic mail and/or regular U.S. mail, postage prepaid, upon the following parties of record, this 10th day of June, 2010.

Thomas W. McNamee

Thomas W. McNamee
Assistant Attorney General

PARTIES OF RECORD:

Industrial Energy Users (IEU)

Samuel C. Randazzo
Lisa G. McAlister
Daniel J. Neilsen
Joseph M. Clark
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State St., 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
lmcalister@mwncmh.com
jclark@mwncmh.com

Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

David C. Rinebolt
Colleen L. Mooney
231 West Lima Street
PO Box 1793
Columbus, OH 43215
drinebolt@ohiopartners.org
cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

Nucor Steel Marion, Inc.

Garrett A. Stone
Michael K. Lavanga
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone

Ohio Environmental Council

Barth E. Royer
Nolan Moser
Trent A Dougherty
Bell & Royer, LPA
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, OH 43215
barthroyer@aol.com
nmoser@theoec.org
trent@theoec.org

Ohio Energy Group (OEG)

Michael L. Kurtz
David F. Boehm
Kurt J. Boehm
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
mkurtz@BKLLawfirm.com
dboehm@BKLLawfirm.com

Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Jeffrey L. Small
Gregory J. Poulos
Richard C. Reese

1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007-5201
gas@bbrslaw.com
mkl@bbrslaw.com

Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street
18th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
small@occ.state.oh.us
poulos@occ.state.oh.us
reese@occ.state.oh.us

Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition (NOAC)
Toledo
Leslie A. Kovacik
420 Madison Ave., Suite 100
Toledo, OH 43604-1219
leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov

Kroger Co
John W. Bentine
Mark S. Yurick
Matthew S. White
Chester Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP
65 E. State St., Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215
jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com
mwhite@cwslaw.com

The Ohio Manufacturers' Association
Thomas J. O'Brien
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 S. Third St.
Columbus, OH 43215
tobrien@bricker.com

Constellation Energy Commodities Group
Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys
52 East Gay Street
PO Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008
mhpeticoff@vorys.com

The Ohio Manufacturers' Association
Kevin Schmidt
33 North High Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3005
kschmidt@ohiomfg.com

Constellation Energy Resources, LLC
Cynthia A. Brady
David I. Fein
550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60661

Material Sciences Corporation
Craig I. Smith
2824 Coventry Road
Cleveland, Ohio 44120
wis29@yahoo.com

Citizen Power
Theodore S. Robinson
2121 Murray Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
robinson@citizenpower.com

GEXA Energy – Ohio, LLC
Dane Stinson
Bailey Cavalieri LLC
10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Dane.Stinson@BaileyCavalieri.com

The City of Cleveland
Robert J. Trioletti

Steven L. Beeler
City of Cleveland Dept. of Law
601 Lakeside Ave., Room 106
Cleveland, OH 44114
rtriozzi@city.cleveland.oh.us
sbeeler@city.cleveland.oh.us

Ohio Schools Council
Glenn S. Krassen
Matthew W. Warnock
Bricker & Eckler LLP
1375 E. 9th St., Suite 1500
Cleveland, OH 44114
gkrassen@bricker.com
mwarnock@bricker.com

PJM Power Providers Group
M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys
52 East Gay St.
PO Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008
mhpeticoff@vorys.com
showard@vorys.com

EnerNOC, Inc.
Jacqueline Lake Roberts
Senior Manager
Regulatory Affairs and Public Policy
101 Federal Street, Suite 1100
Boston, MA 02110
jroberts@enernoc.com

City of Akron
Joseph M. Clark
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State St., 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
jclark@mwnmh.com

Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc.
Douglas M. Mancino

Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC
Michael D. Dortch
Kravitz, Brown & Dortch, LLC
63 E. State St., Suite 200
Columbus, OH 43215
mdortch@kravitzllc.com

FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.
Michael Beiting
Morgan Parke
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 S. Main St.
Akron, OH 44308
beitingm@firstenergycorp.com
mparke@firstenergycorp.com

Daniel R. Conway
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur
41 S. High St.
Columbus, OH 43215
dconway@porterwright.com

Environmental Law & Policy Center
Michael E. Heintz
Staff Attorney
1207 Grandview Ave.
Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43212
mheintz@elpc.org

Council of Smaller Enterprises
Eric D. Weldele
Tucker, Ellis & West, LLP
1225 Huntington Center
41 South High Street
Columbus, OH 43215-6197

FirstEnergy
James W. Burk
Senior Attorney
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308

McDermott Will & Emory LLP
2049 Century Park East
Suite 3800
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3218
dmancino@mwe.com

Direct Energy Services, LLC
Teresa Ringenbach
5400 Frantz Rd., Suite 250
Dublin, OH 43016
teresa.ringenbach@directenergy.com

Ohio Hospital Association
Richard L. Sites
155 E. Broad Street, 15th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3620
ricks@ohanet.org

NOPEC
Glenn S. Krassen
Matthew W. Warnock
Bricker & Eckler LLP
1375 E. 9th St., Suite 1500
Cleveland, OH 44114
gkrassen@bricker.com
mwarnock@bricker.com

Gregory K. Lawrence
28 State Street
McDermott Will & Emory LLP
Boston, MA 02109
glawrence@mwe.com

Natural Resources Defense Council
Henry W. Eckhart
50 West Broad Street, #2117
Columbus, Ohio 43215
henryeckhart@aol.com

Direct Energy Services, Inc,
M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys
52 East Gay Street
PO Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com

**Neighborhood Environmental Coalition,
Consumers for Fair Utility Rates,
United Clevelanders Against Poverty,
Cleveland Housing Network,
The Empowerment Center of
Greater Cleveland (Citizens Coalition)**
Joseph P. Meissner
The Legal Aid Society of Cleveland
1223 West 6th Street
Cleveland, OH 44113
jpmmeissn@lasclev.org

Steven Huhman
Morgan Stanley
2000 Westchester Ave.
Purchase, NY 10577
steven.huhman@morganstanley.com

Viridity Energy, Inc.
Allen Freifeld
Samuel A. Wolfe
100 W. Elm Street, Suite 410
Conshohocken, PA 19428

**Association of Independent Colleges
and Universities of Ohio**
Gregory J. Dunn
Christopher Miller
Andre T. Porter
Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA
250 West St.
Columbus, OH 43215
gdunn@szd.com

Duke Energy Ohio
Amy Spiller
Duke Energy Business Services, Inc.
221 E. Fourth St., 25 Fl.
Cincinnati, OH 45202
amy.spiller@duke-energy.com

cmiller@szd.com
aporter@szd.com

Cheri B. Cunningham
Director of Law
161 South High Street
Suite 202
Akron, OH 44308

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 29.05 | \$ (4.29) | -12.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 54.11 | \$ (9.56) | -15.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.83 | \$ 79.14 | \$ (15.69) | -16.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.00 | \$ 104.21 | \$ (21.79) | -17.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 157.16 | \$ 129.28 | \$ (27.88) | -17.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 188.32 | \$ 154.34 | \$ (33.98) | -18.0% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 250.62 | \$ 204.40 | \$ (46.22) | -18.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.72 | \$ 254.29 | \$ (58.43) | -18.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.80 | \$ 304.12 | \$ (70.68) | -18.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 436.91 | \$ 354.01 | \$ (82.90) | -19.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 498.97 | \$ 403.89 | \$ (95.08) | -19.1% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 561.09 | \$ 453.76 | \$ (107.33) | -19.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 623.18 | \$ 503.63 | \$ (119.55) | -19.2% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 685.25 | \$ 553.48 | \$ (131.77) | -19.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 747.36 | \$ 603.36 | \$ (144.00) | -19.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 809.42 | \$ 653.24 | \$ (156.18) | -19.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 871.54 | \$ 703.12 | \$ (168.42) | -19.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 933.63 | \$ 753.00 | \$ (180.63) | -19.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 995.71 | \$ 802.84 | \$ (192.87) | -19.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,057.82 | \$ 852.71 | \$ (205.11) | -19.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,119.88 | \$ 902.59 | \$ (217.29) | -19.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,181.99 | \$ 952.46 | \$ (229.53) | -19.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,244.07 | \$ 1,002.32 | \$ (241.75) | -19.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,306.18 | \$ 1,052.20 | \$ (253.98) | -19.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,368.27 | \$ 1,102.07 | \$ (266.20) | -19.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,430.37 | \$ 1,151.98 | \$ (278.39) | -19.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,492.44 | \$ 1,201.81 | \$ (290.63) | -19.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 22.84 | \$ 18.55 | \$ (4.29) | -18.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 42.67 | \$ 33.11 | \$ (9.56) | -22.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 54.33 | \$ 38.64 | \$ (15.69) | -28.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 66.00 | \$ 44.21 | \$ (21.79) | -33.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 77.66 | \$ 49.78 | \$ (27.88) | -35.9% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 89.32 | \$ 55.34 | \$ (33.98) | -38.0% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 112.62 | \$ 66.40 | \$ (46.22) | -41.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 135.72 | \$ 77.29 | \$ (58.43) | -43.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 158.80 | \$ 88.12 | \$ (70.68) | -44.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 181.91 | \$ 99.01 | \$ (82.90) | -45.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 204.97 | \$ 109.89 | \$ (95.08) | -46.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 228.09 | \$ 120.76 | \$ (107.33) | -47.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 251.18 | \$ 131.63 | \$ (119.55) | -47.6% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 274.25 | \$ 142.48 | \$ (131.77) | -48.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 297.36 | \$ 153.36 | \$ (144.00) | -48.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 320.42 | \$ 164.24 | \$ (156.18) | -48.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 343.54 | \$ 175.12 | \$ (168.42) | -49.0% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 366.63 | \$ 186.00 | \$ (180.63) | -49.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 389.71 | \$ 196.84 | \$ (192.87) | -49.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 412.82 | \$ 207.71 | \$ (205.11) | -49.7% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 435.88 | \$ 218.59 | \$ (217.29) | -49.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 458.99 | \$ 229.46 | \$ (229.53) | -50.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 482.07 | \$ 240.32 | \$ (241.75) | -50.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 505.18 | \$ 251.20 | \$ (253.98) | -50.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 528.27 | \$ 262.07 | \$ (266.20) | -50.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 551.37 | \$ 272.98 | \$ (278.39) | -50.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 574.44 | \$ 283.81 | \$ (290.63) | -50.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 29.05 | \$ (4.29) | -12.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 54.11 | \$ (9.56) | -15.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 89.33 | \$ 73.64 | \$ (15.69) | -17.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 115.00 | \$ 93.21 | \$ (21.79) | -18.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.66 | \$ 112.78 | \$ (27.88) | -19.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 166.32 | \$ 132.34 | \$ (33.98) | -20.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 217.62 | \$ 171.40 | \$ (46.22) | -21.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 268.72 | \$ 210.29 | \$ (58.43) | -21.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 319.80 | \$ 249.12 | \$ (70.68) | -22.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 370.91 | \$ 288.01 | \$ (82.90) | -22.4% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 421.97 | \$ 326.89 | \$ (95.08) | -22.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 473.09 | \$ 365.76 | \$ (107.33) | -22.7% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 524.18 | \$ 404.63 | \$ (119.55) | -22.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 575.25 | \$ 443.48 | \$ (131.77) | -22.9% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 626.36 | \$ 482.36 | \$ (144.00) | -23.0% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 677.42 | \$ 521.24 | \$ (156.18) | -23.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 728.54 | \$ 560.12 | \$ (168.42) | -23.1% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 779.63 | \$ 599.00 | \$ (180.63) | -23.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 830.71 | \$ 637.84 | \$ (192.87) | -23.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 881.82 | \$ 676.71 | \$ (205.11) | -23.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 932.88 | \$ 715.59 | \$ (217.29) | -23.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 983.99 | \$ 754.46 | \$ (229.53) | -23.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,035.07 | \$ 793.32 | \$ (241.75) | -23.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,086.18 | \$ 832.20 | \$ (253.98) | -23.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,137.27 | \$ 871.07 | \$ (266.20) | -23.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,188.37 | \$ 909.98 | \$ (278.39) | -23.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,239.44 | \$ 948.81 | \$ (290.63) | -23.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 30.91 | \$ (1.96) | -6.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 57.81 | \$ (3.93) | -6.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 84.70 | \$ (8.40) | -9.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 111.61 | \$ (12.87) | -10.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 138.54 | \$ (17.30) | -11.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 165.44 | \$ (21.77) | -11.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 219.21 | \$ (30.71) | -12.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 272.80 | \$ (39.64) | -12.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 326.33 | \$ (48.59) | -13.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 379.92 | \$ (57.51) | -13.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 433.50 | \$ (66.41) | -13.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 487.08 | \$ (75.35) | -13.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 540.65 | \$ (84.29) | -13.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 594.20 | \$ (93.21) | -13.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 647.78 | \$ (102.15) | -13.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 701.36 | \$ (111.05) | -13.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 754.95 | \$ (119.98) | -13.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 808.53 | \$ (128.91) | -13.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 862.07 | \$ (137.86) | -13.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 915.64 | \$ (146.79) | -13.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 969.22 | \$ (155.69) | -13.8% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,022.80 | \$ (164.63) | -13.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,076.36 | \$ (173.56) | -13.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,129.94 | \$ (182.50) | -13.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,183.51 | \$ (191.43) | -13.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,237.12 | \$ (200.33) | -13.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,290.66 | \$ (209.27) | -14.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 30.91 | \$ (1.96) | -6.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 57.81 | \$ (3.93) | -6.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 84.70 | \$ (8.40) | -9.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 111.61 | \$ (12.87) | -10.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 138.54 | \$ (17.30) | -11.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 165.44 | \$ (21.77) | -11.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 219.21 | \$ (30.71) | -12.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 272.80 | \$ (39.64) | -12.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 326.33 | \$ (48.59) | -13.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 379.92 | \$ (57.51) | -13.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 433.50 | \$ (66.41) | -13.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 487.08 | \$ (75.35) | -13.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 540.65 | \$ (84.29) | -13.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 594.20 | \$ (93.21) | -13.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 647.78 | \$ (102.15) | -13.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 701.36 | \$ (111.05) | -13.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 754.95 | \$ (119.98) | -13.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 808.53 | \$ (128.91) | -13.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 862.07 | \$ (137.86) | -13.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 915.64 | \$ (146.79) | -13.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 969.22 | \$ (155.69) | -13.8% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,022.80 | \$ (164.63) | -13.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,076.36 | \$ (173.56) | -13.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,129.94 | \$ (182.50) | -13.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,183.51 | \$ (191.43) | -13.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,237.12 | \$ (200.33) | -13.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,290.66 | \$ (209.27) | -14.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 30.91 | \$ (1.96) | -6.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 57.81 | \$ (3.93) | -6.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 84.70 | \$ (8.40) | -9.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 111.61 | \$ (12.87) | -10.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 138.54 | \$ (17.30) | -11.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 165.44 | \$ (21.77) | -11.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 219.21 | \$ (30.71) | -12.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 272.80 | \$ (39.64) | -12.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 326.33 | \$ (48.59) | -13.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 379.92 | \$ (57.51) | -13.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 433.50 | \$ (66.41) | -13.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 487.08 | \$ (75.35) | -13.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 540.65 | \$ (84.29) | -13.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 594.20 | \$ (93.21) | -13.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 647.78 | \$ (102.15) | -13.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 701.36 | \$ (111.05) | -13.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 754.95 | \$ (119.98) | -13.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 808.53 | \$ (128.91) | -13.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 862.07 | \$ (137.86) | -13.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 915.64 | \$ (146.79) | -13.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 969.22 | \$ (155.69) | -13.8% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,022.80 | \$ (164.63) | -13.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,076.36 | \$ (173.56) | -13.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,129.94 | \$ (182.50) | -13.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,183.51 | \$ (191.43) | -13.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,237.12 | \$ (200.33) | -13.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,290.66 | \$ (209.27) | -14.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 150.05 | \$ (16.78) | -10.1% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 216.16 | \$ (47.94) | -18.2% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 281.84 | \$ (79.11) | -21.9% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 347.51 | \$ (110.28) | -24.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 413.20 | \$ (141.45) | -25.5% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 478.88 | \$ (172.59) | -26.5% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 16,564.98 | \$ (1,677.28) | -9.2% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 23,076.37 | \$ (4,793.84) | -17.2% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 29,587.74 | \$ (7,910.42) | -21.1% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 36,099.12 | \$ (11,026.99) | -23.4% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 42,610.49 | \$ (14,143.56) | -24.9% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 49,121.88 | \$ (17,260.13) | -26.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,059.58 | \$ (783.47) | -13.4% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 8,173.33 | \$ (1,600.49) | -16.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 11,287.10 | \$ (2,417.50) | -17.6% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 14,400.84 | \$ (3,234.53) | -18.3% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 17,514.59 | \$ (4,051.55) | -18.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 20,628.35 | \$ (4,868.57) | -19.1% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 49,161.87 | \$ (7,834.69) | -13.7% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 80,068.42 | \$ (16,004.89) | -16.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 110,514.31 | \$ (24,175.09) | -17.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 140,960.20 | \$ (32,345.30) | -18.7% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 171,406.10 | \$ (40,515.49) | -19.1% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 201,852.01 | \$ (48,685.68) | -19.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 8,439.30 | \$ (612.70) | -6.8% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 14,304.47 | \$ (1,823.10) | -11.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 20,169.65 | \$ (3,033.50) | -13.1% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 26,034.83 | \$ (4,243.90) | -14.0% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 31,899.99 | \$ (5,454.31) | -14.6% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 37,765.18 | \$ (6,664.70) | -15.0% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 82,458.07 | \$ (6,127.01) | -6.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 139,726.52 | \$ (18,231.02) | -11.5% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 196,994.98 | \$ (30,335.03) | -13.3% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 254,263.43 | \$ (42,439.05) | -14.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 311,531.90 | \$ (54,543.05) | -14.9% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 368,800.33 | \$ (66,647.07) | -15.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 23,365.18 | \$ (2,975.29) | -11.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 28,885.04 | \$ (7,384.59) | -20.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 34,404.90 | \$ (11,793.88) | -25.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 39,924.76 | \$ (16,203.18) | -28.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 45,213.61 | \$ (20,612.47) | -31.3% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 50,456.81 | \$ (25,021.76) | -33.2% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 229,073.62 | \$ (29,752.94) | -11.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 281,505.62 | \$ (73,845.88) | -20.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 333,937.62 | \$ (117,938.82) | -26.1% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 386,369.62 | \$ (162,031.76) | -29.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 438,801.63 | \$ (206,124.70) | -32.0% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 491,233.63 | \$ (250,217.64) | -33.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.29 | \$ (0.24) | -1.9% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 14.13 | \$ (0.52) | -3.5% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 14.92 | \$ (0.67) | -4.3% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 18.13 | \$ (1.19) | -6.2% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 19.90 | \$ (1.50) | -7.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 24.75 | \$ (2.30) | -8.5% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 27.06 | \$ (2.67) | -9.0% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.45 | \$ (0.24) | -3.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 9.29 | \$ (0.52) | -5.3% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 10.08 | \$ (0.67) | -6.2% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 13.29 | \$ (1.19) | -8.2% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 15.06 | \$ (1.50) | -9.1% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 19.91 | \$ (2.30) | -10.4% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 22.22 | \$ (2.67) | -10.7% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 11.33 | \$ (0.66) | -5.5% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 14.74 | \$ (0.99) | -6.3% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 20.43 | \$ (1.49) | -6.8% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 45.22 | \$ (3.61) | -7.4% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 15.68 | \$ (0.66) | -4.0% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 22.24 | \$ (0.66) | -2.9% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 26.46 | \$ (0.99) | -3.6% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 32.37 | \$ (1.49) | -4.4% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 32.62 | \$ (1.49) | -4.4% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 50.88 | \$ (3.00) | -5.6% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 59.05 | \$ (3.61) | -5.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(\$C)) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.70 | \$ (0.39) | -3.0% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 14.49 | \$ (0.59) | -3.9% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 19.19 | \$ (1.00) | -5.0% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 24.49 | \$ (1.55) | -6.0% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.22 | \$ (0.39) | -2.2% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.26 | \$ (0.39) | -1.6% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 26.30 | \$ (0.59) | -2.2% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 30.84 | \$ (1.00) | -3.1% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 49.43 | \$ (2.00) | -3.9% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 35.95 | \$ (1.55) | -4.1% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 22.69 | \$ (0.39) | -1.7% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 34.72 | \$ (0.39) | -1.1% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.03 | \$ (0.59) | -2.3% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 36.70 | \$ (0.59) | -1.6% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 30.42 | \$ (1.00) | -3.2% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 42.25 | \$ (1.00) | -2.3% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 35.72 | \$ (1.55) | -4.2% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 48.36 | \$ (1.55) | -3.1% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.43 | \$ (0.25) | -14.9% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.85 | \$ (0.47) | -14.2% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 5.17 | \$ (0.70) | -11.9% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 7.74 | \$ (0.94) | -10.8% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 9.66 | \$ (1.19) | -11.0% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 13.10 | \$ (1.43) | -9.8% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 15.65 | \$ (1.66) | -9.6% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 20.16 | \$ (1.89) | -8.6% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 23.01 | \$ (2.12) | -8.4% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.29 | \$ (2.39) | -14.3% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 16.58 | \$ (2.63) | -13.7% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 19.16 | \$ (2.85) | -12.9% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 21.10 | \$ (3.09) | -12.8% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 24.54 | \$ (3.32) | -11.9% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 27.10 | \$ (3.55) | -11.6% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 31.56 | \$ (3.82) | -10.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.43 | \$ (0.25) | -14.9% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.85 | \$ (0.47) | -14.2% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 6.62 | \$ (0.70) | -9.6% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 11.12 | \$ (0.94) | -7.8% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 13.87 | \$ (1.19) | -7.9% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 20.69 | \$ (1.43) | -6.5% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 25.11 | \$ (1.66) | -6.2% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 34.74 | \$ (1.89) | -5.2% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 40.01 | \$ (2.12) | -5.0% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.29 | \$ (2.39) | -14.3% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 18.03 | \$ (2.63) | -12.7% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 22.54 | \$ (2.85) | -11.2% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 25.31 | \$ (3.09) | -10.9% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 32.13 | \$ (3.32) | -9.4% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 36.56 | \$ (3.55) | -8.9% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 46.14 | \$ (3.82) | -7.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 12.15 | \$ (2.20) | -15.3% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 24.10 | \$ (5.01) | -17.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 44.94 | \$ (12.07) | -21.2% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 14.49 | \$ (2.20) | -13.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.06 | \$ (1.33) | -8.1% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 18.39 | \$ (1.97) | -9.7% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 22.14 | \$ (3.34) | -13.1% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 29.26 | \$ (5.18) | -15.0% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 18.14 | \$ (1.33) | -6.8% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 23.79 | \$ (1.97) | -7.6% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 37.19 | \$ (2.80) | -7.0% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 28.88 | \$ (3.34) | -10.4% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 40.62 | \$ (3.34) | -7.6% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 33.58 | \$ (5.18) | -13.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 0.07 | 1.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 0.11 | 1.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 0.16 | 1.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 16.24 | \$ 0.18 | 1.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 20.32 | \$ 0.26 | 1.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 0.30 | 1.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 157.45 | \$ (5.26) | -3.2% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 230.97 | \$ (24.90) | -9.7% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 304.05 | \$ (44.55) | -12.8% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 377.13 | \$ (64.19) | -14.5% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 450.22 | \$ (83.84) | -15.7% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 523.30 | \$ (103.47) | -16.5% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 17,305.38 | \$ (525.18) | -2.9% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 24,557.17 | \$ (2,489.64) | -9.2% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 31,808.94 | \$ (4,454.12) | -12.3% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 39,060.72 | \$ (6,418.59) | -14.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 46,312.49 | \$ (8,383.06) | -15.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 53,564.28 | \$ (10,347.53) | -16.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) (A) | Current Summer Bill (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 5,416.93 | \$ (583.87) | -9.7% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 8,888.03 | \$ (1,551.29) | -14.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 12,359.15 | \$ (2,518.70) | -16.9% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 15,830.24 | \$ (3,486.13) | -18.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 19,301.34 | \$ (4,453.55) | -18.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 22,772.45 | \$ (5,420.97) | -19.2% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 52,735.37 | \$ (5,838.69) | -10.0% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 87,215.42 | \$ (15,512.89) | -15.1% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 121,234.81 | \$ (25,187.09) | -17.2% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 155,254.20 | \$ (34,861.30) | -18.3% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 189,273.60 | \$ (44,535.49) | -19.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 223,293.01 | \$ (54,209.68) | -19.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 9,133.90 | \$ (393.00) | -4.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 15,693.67 | \$ (1,895.70) | -10.8% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 22,253.45 | \$ (3,398.40) | -13.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 28,813.23 | \$ (4,901.10) | -14.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 35,372.99 | \$ (6,403.81) | -15.3% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 41,932.78 | \$ (7,906.50) | -15.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 89,404.07 | \$ (3,930.01) | -4.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 153,618.52 | \$ (18,957.02) | -11.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 217,832.98 | \$ (33,984.03) | -13.5% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 282,047.43 | \$ (49,011.05) | -14.8% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 346,261.90 | \$ (64,038.05) | -15.6% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 410,476.33 | \$ (79,065.07) | -16.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 24,752.98 | \$ (3,479.29) | -12.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 31,660.64 | \$ (8,472.59) | -21.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 38,568.30 | \$ (13,465.88) | -25.9% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 45,475.96 | \$ (18,459.18) | -28.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 52,152.61 | \$ (23,452.47) | -31.0% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 58,783.61 | \$ (28,445.76) | -32.6% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 242,951.62 | \$ (34,792.94) | -12.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 309,261.62 | \$ (84,725.88) | -21.5% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 375,571.62 | \$ (134,658.82) | -26.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 441,881.62 | \$ (184,591.76) | -29.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 508,191.63 | \$ (234,524.70) | -31.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 574,501.63 | \$ (284,457.64) | -33.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.47 | \$ 0.41 | 3.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 14.55 | \$ 1.01 | 7.5% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 15.44 | \$ 1.23 | 8.7% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 19.06 | \$ 2.23 | 13.3% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 2.78 | 15.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 26.55 | \$ 4.30 | 19.3% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 29.14 | \$ 4.99 | 20.7% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 7.63 | \$ 0.41 | 5.7% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 9.71 | \$ 1.01 | 11.6% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 10.60 | \$ 1.23 | 13.1% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 14.22 | \$ 2.23 | 18.6% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 2.78 | 20.7% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 21.71 | \$ 4.30 | 24.7% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 24.30 | \$ 4.99 | 25.8% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 11.84 | \$ 1.21 | 11.4% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 15.51 | \$ 1.84 | 13.5% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 21.60 | \$ 2.81 | 15.0% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 48.04 | \$ 6.73 | 16.3% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 1.21 | 8.1% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 22.75 | \$ 1.21 | 5.6% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 1.84 | 7.2% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 33.54 | \$ 2.81 | 9.1% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 33.79 | \$ 2.81 | 9.1% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 53.22 | \$ 5.59 | 11.7% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 61.87 | \$ 6.73 | 12.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kW/H) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.01 | \$ 0.75 | 6.1% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 14.95 | \$ 1.10 | 7.9% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 19.97 | \$ 1.86 | 10.3% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 25.70 | \$ 2.89 | 12.7% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 17.53 | \$ 0.75 | 4.5% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 0.75 | 3.1% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 26.76 | \$ 1.10 | 4.3% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 1.86 | 6.3% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 50.99 | \$ 3.72 | 7.9% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 37.16 | \$ 2.89 | 8.4% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.00 | \$ 0.75 | 3.4% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.03 | \$ 0.75 | 2.2% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 25.49 | \$ 1.10 | 4.5% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 37.16 | \$ 1.10 | 3.1% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 31.20 | \$ 1.86 | 6.3% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 43.03 | \$ 1.86 | 4.5% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 36.93 | \$ 2.89 | 8.5% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 49.57 | \$ 2.89 | 6.2% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 0.43 | 36.4% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.22 | \$ 0.89 | 38.2% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 5.72 | \$ 1.33 | 30.3% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 8.48 | \$ 1.77 | 26.4% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 10.59 | \$ 2.21 | 26.4% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 2.65 | 22.9% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 16.94 | \$ 3.10 | 22.4% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 21.64 | \$ 3.56 | 19.7% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 24.67 | \$ 4.00 | 19.4% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 16.15 | \$ 4.42 | 37.7% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 4.86 | 35.3% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 21.38 | \$ 5.31 | 33.0% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 23.51 | \$ 5.76 | 32.5% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 27.13 | \$ 6.20 | 29.6% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 29.87 | \$ 6.64 | 28.6% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 34.53 | \$ 7.07 | 25.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 0.43 | 36.4% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.22 | \$ 0.89 | 38.2% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 7.17 | \$ 1.33 | 22.8% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 11.86 | \$ 1.77 | 17.5% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 2.21 | 17.6% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 21.80 | \$ 2.65 | 13.8% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 3.10 | 13.3% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 36.22 | \$ 3.56 | 10.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 41.67 | \$ 4.00 | 10.6% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 16.15 | \$ 4.42 | 37.7% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 20.07 | \$ 4.86 | 32.0% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 5.31 | 27.3% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 27.72 | \$ 5.76 | 26.2% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 34.72 | \$ 6.20 | 21.7% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 39.33 | \$ 6.64 | 20.3% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 49.11 | \$ 7.07 | 16.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 12.66 | \$ (0.31) | -2.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 25.27 | \$ (0.66) | -2.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 47.76 | \$ (1.62) | -3.3% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 15.00 | \$ (0.31) | -2.0% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 15.37 | \$ (0.17) | -1.1% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 18.85 | \$ (0.26) | -1.4% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 22.92 | \$ (0.45) | -1.9% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 30.47 | \$ (0.69) | -2.2% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 18.45 | \$ (0.17) | -0.9% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 24.25 | \$ (0.26) | -1.1% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 37.84 | \$ (0.38) | -1.0% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 29.66 | \$ (0.45) | -1.5% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 41.40 | \$ (0.45) | -1.1% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 34.79 | \$ (0.69) | -1.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 4.81 | \$ (0.14) | -2.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 9.62 | \$ (0.28) | -2.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 14.40 | \$ (0.44) | -3.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 19.21 | \$ (0.60) | -3.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 24.02 | \$ (0.73) | -2.9% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 28.83 | \$ (0.89) | -3.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 29.24 | \$ (2.78) | -8.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 54.51 | \$ (6.56) | -10.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 92.00 | \$ 79.75 | \$ (12.25) | -13.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 122.93 | \$ 105.02 | \$ (17.91) | -14.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 153.86 | \$ 130.28 | \$ (23.58) | -15.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 184.80 | \$ 155.54 | \$ (29.26) | -15.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 246.65 | \$ 206.04 | \$ (40.61) | -16.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 308.31 | \$ 256.34 | \$ (51.97) | -16.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 369.95 | \$ 306.60 | \$ (63.35) | -17.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 431.58 | \$ 356.88 | \$ (74.70) | -17.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 493.20 | \$ 407.16 | \$ (86.04) | -17.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 554.84 | \$ 457.43 | \$ (97.41) | -17.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 616.49 | \$ 507.72 | \$ (108.77) | -17.6% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 678.12 | \$ 557.99 | \$ (120.13) | -17.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 739.74 | \$ 608.26 | \$ (131.48) | -17.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 801.37 | \$ 658.55 | \$ (142.82) | -17.8% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 863.02 | \$ 708.83 | \$ (154.19) | -17.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 924.68 | \$ 759.13 | \$ (165.55) | -17.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 986.30 | \$ 809.38 | \$ (176.92) | -17.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,047.94 | \$ 859.67 | \$ (188.27) | -18.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,109.57 | \$ 909.96 | \$ (199.61) | -18.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,171.20 | \$ 960.23 | \$ (210.97) | -18.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,232.84 | \$ 1,010.50 | \$ (222.34) | -18.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,294.48 | \$ 1,060.77 | \$ (233.71) | -18.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,356.11 | \$ 1,111.06 | \$ (245.05) | -18.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,417.76 | \$ 1,161.36 | \$ (256.40) | -18.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,479.38 | \$ 1,211.63 | \$ (267.75) | -18.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 29.24 | \$ (2.78) | -8.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 54.51 | \$ (6.56) | -10.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.82 | \$ 70.57 | \$ (12.25) | -14.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 104.58 | \$ 86.67 | \$ (17.91) | -17.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 126.33 | \$ 102.75 | \$ (23.58) | -18.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 138.35 | \$ 109.09 | \$ (29.26) | -21.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 162.35 | \$ 121.74 | \$ (40.61) | -25.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 186.16 | \$ 134.19 | \$ (51.97) | -27.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 209.95 | \$ 146.60 | \$ (63.35) | -30.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 233.73 | \$ 159.03 | \$ (74.70) | -32.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 257.50 | \$ 171.46 | \$ (86.04) | -33.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 281.29 | \$ 183.88 | \$ (97.41) | -34.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 305.09 | \$ 196.32 | \$ (108.77) | -35.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 328.87 | \$ 208.74 | \$ (120.13) | -36.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 352.64 | \$ 221.16 | \$ (131.48) | -37.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 376.42 | \$ 233.60 | \$ (142.82) | -37.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 400.22 | \$ 246.03 | \$ (154.19) | -38.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 424.03 | \$ 258.48 | \$ (165.55) | -39.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 447.80 | \$ 270.88 | \$ (176.92) | -39.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 471.59 | \$ 283.32 | \$ (188.27) | -39.9% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 495.37 | \$ 295.76 | \$ (199.61) | -40.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 519.15 | \$ 308.18 | \$ (210.97) | -40.6% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 542.94 | \$ 320.60 | \$ (222.34) | -41.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 566.73 | \$ 333.02 | \$ (233.71) | -41.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 590.51 | \$ 345.46 | \$ (245.05) | -41.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 614.31 | \$ 357.91 | \$ (256.40) | -41.7% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 638.08 | \$ 370.33 | \$ (267.75) | -42.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 29.24 | \$ (2.78) | -8.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 54.51 | \$ (6.56) | -10.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 87.57 | \$ 75.32 | \$ (12.25) | -14.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 114.08 | \$ 96.17 | \$ (17.91) | -15.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.58 | \$ 117.00 | \$ (23.58) | -16.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 167.10 | \$ 137.84 | \$ (29.26) | -17.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 220.10 | \$ 179.49 | \$ (40.61) | -18.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 272.91 | \$ 220.94 | \$ (51.97) | -19.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 325.70 | \$ 262.35 | \$ (63.35) | -19.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 378.48 | \$ 303.78 | \$ (74.70) | -19.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 431.25 | \$ 345.21 | \$ (86.04) | -20.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 484.04 | \$ 386.63 | \$ (97.41) | -20.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 536.84 | \$ 428.07 | \$ (108.77) | -20.3% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 589.62 | \$ 469.49 | \$ (120.13) | -20.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 642.39 | \$ 510.91 | \$ (131.48) | -20.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 695.17 | \$ 552.35 | \$ (142.82) | -20.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 747.97 | \$ 593.78 | \$ (154.19) | -20.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 800.78 | \$ 635.23 | \$ (165.55) | -20.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 853.55 | \$ 676.63 | \$ (176.92) | -20.7% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 906.34 | \$ 718.07 | \$ (188.27) | -20.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 959.12 | \$ 759.51 | \$ (199.61) | -20.8% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,011.90 | \$ 800.93 | \$ (210.97) | -20.8% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,064.69 | \$ 842.35 | \$ (222.34) | -20.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,117.48 | \$ 883.77 | \$ (233.71) | -20.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,170.26 | \$ 925.21 | \$ (245.05) | -20.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,223.06 | \$ 966.66 | \$ (256.40) | -21.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,275.83 | \$ 1,008.08 | \$ (267.75) | -21.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 31.10 | \$ (2.21) | -6.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 58.21 | \$ (4.45) | -7.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 85.31 | \$ (9.17) | -9.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 112.42 | \$ (13.89) | -11.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 139.54 | \$ (18.58) | -11.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 166.64 | \$ (23.32) | -12.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 220.85 | \$ (32.75) | -12.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 274.85 | \$ (42.20) | -13.3% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 328.81 | \$ (51.65) | -13.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 382.79 | \$ (61.08) | -13.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 436.77 | \$ (70.51) | -13.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 490.75 | \$ (79.95) | -14.0% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 544.74 | \$ (89.40) | -14.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 598.71 | \$ (98.84) | -14.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 652.68 | \$ (108.28) | -14.2% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 706.67 | \$ (117.71) | -14.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 760.66 | \$ (127.15) | -14.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 814.66 | \$ (136.60) | -14.4% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 868.61 | \$ (146.05) | -14.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 922.60 | \$ (155.47) | -14.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 976.59 | \$ (164.90) | -14.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,030.57 | \$ (174.34) | -14.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,084.54 | \$ (183.79) | -14.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,138.51 | \$ (193.25) | -14.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,192.50 | \$ (202.68) | -14.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,246.50 | \$ (212.11) | -14.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,300.48 | \$ (221.54) | -14.6% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 31.10 | \$ (2.21) | -6.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 58.21 | \$ (4.45) | -7.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 85.31 | \$ (9.17) | -9.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 112.42 | \$ (13.89) | -11.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 139.54 | \$ (18.58) | -11.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 166.64 | \$ (23.32) | -12.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 220.85 | \$ (32.75) | -12.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 274.85 | \$ (42.20) | -13.3% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 328.81 | \$ (51.65) | -13.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 382.79 | \$ (61.08) | -13.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 436.77 | \$ (70.51) | -13.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 490.75 | \$ (79.95) | -14.0% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 544.74 | \$ (89.40) | -14.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 598.71 | \$ (98.84) | -14.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 652.68 | \$ (108.28) | -14.2% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 706.67 | \$ (117.71) | -14.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 760.66 | \$ (127.15) | -14.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 814.66 | \$ (136.60) | -14.4% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 868.61 | \$ (146.05) | -14.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 922.60 | \$ (155.47) | -14.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 976.59 | \$ (164.90) | -14.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,030.57 | \$ (174.34) | -14.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,084.54 | \$ (183.79) | -14.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,138.51 | \$ (193.25) | -14.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,192.50 | \$ (202.68) | -14.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,246.50 | \$ (212.11) | -14.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,300.48 | \$ (221.54) | -14.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 31.10 | \$ (2.21) | -6.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 58.21 | \$ (4.45) | -7.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 85.31 | \$ (9.17) | -9.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 112.42 | \$ (13.89) | -11.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 139.54 | \$ (18.58) | -11.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 166.64 | \$ (23.32) | -12.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 220.85 | \$ (32.75) | -12.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 274.85 | \$ (42.20) | -13.3% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 328.81 | \$ (51.65) | -13.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 382.79 | \$ (61.08) | -13.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 436.77 | \$ (70.51) | -13.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 490.75 | \$ (79.95) | -14.0% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 544.74 | \$ (89.40) | -14.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 598.71 | \$ (98.84) | -14.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 652.68 | \$ (108.28) | -14.2% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 706.67 | \$ (117.71) | -14.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 760.66 | \$ (127.15) | -14.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 814.66 | \$ (136.60) | -14.4% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 868.61 | \$ (146.05) | -14.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 922.60 | \$ (155.47) | -14.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 976.59 | \$ (164.90) | -14.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,030.57 | \$ (174.34) | -14.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,084.54 | \$ (183.79) | -14.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,138.51 | \$ (193.25) | -14.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,192.50 | \$ (202.68) | -14.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,246.50 | \$ (212.11) | -14.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,300.48 | \$ (221.54) | -14.6% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 130.02 | \$ (13.52) | -9.4% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 194.63 | \$ (36.44) | -15.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 258.83 | \$ (59.36) | -18.7% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 322.97 | \$ (82.29) | -20.3% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 387.15 | \$ (105.20) | -21.4% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 451.31 | \$ (128.11) | -22.1% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 13,652.94 | \$ (1,352.31) | -9.0% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 20,013.60 | \$ (3,644.29) | -15.4% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 26,374.24 | \$ (5,936.30) | -18.4% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 32,734.88 | \$ (8,228.30) | -20.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 39,095.53 | \$ (10,520.30) | -21.2% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 45,456.19 | \$ (12,812.28) | -22.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 5,495.46 | \$ (398.59) | -6.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 8,498.10 | \$ (1,259.49) | -12.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 11,500.74 | \$ (2,120.37) | -15.6% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 14,503.38 | \$ (2,981.27) | -17.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 17,506.01 | \$ (3,842.17) | -18.0% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 20,508.66 | \$ (4,703.07) | -18.7% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 53,520.72 | \$ (3,985.94) | -6.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 83,383.61 | \$ (12,594.88) | -13.1% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 112,920.45 | \$ (21,203.83) | -15.8% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 142,457.28 | \$ (29,812.78) | -17.3% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 171,994.13 | \$ (38,421.72) | -18.3% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 201,530.98 | \$ (47,030.66) | -18.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 8,137.07 | \$ (712.40) | -8.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 13,835.81 | \$ (2,047.30) | -12.9% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 19,534.56 | \$ (3,382.20) | -14.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 25,233.30 | \$ (4,717.10) | -15.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 30,932.04 | \$ (6,052.01) | -16.4% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 36,630.80 | \$ (7,386.90) | -16.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 79,323.26 | \$ (7,124.01) | -8.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 135,331.60 | \$ (20,473.02) | -13.1% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 191,339.95 | \$ (33,822.03) | -15.0% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 247,348.29 | \$ (47,171.05) | -16.0% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 303,356.65 | \$ (60,520.05) | -16.6% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 359,364.98 | \$ (73,869.07) | -17.1% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 25,464.72 | \$ (1,862.69) | -6.8% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 31,985.71 | \$ (5,187.39) | -14.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 38,506.72 | \$ (8,512.08) | -18.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 45,027.71 | \$ (11,836.78) | -20.8% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 51,385.20 | \$ (15,161.47) | -22.8% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 57,710.38 | \$ (18,486.16) | -24.3% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 250,540.70 | \$ (18,626.94) | -6.9% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 313,792.48 | \$ (51,873.88) | -14.2% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 377,044.27 | \$ (85,120.82) | -18.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 440,296.05 | \$ (118,367.76) | -21.2% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 503,547.84 | \$ (151,614.70) | -23.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 566,799.62 | \$ (184,861.64) | -24.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 17.92 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 19.55 | \$ (0.14) | -0.7% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 20.26 | \$ (0.17) | -0.8% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 23.13 | \$ (0.31) | -1.3% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 24.72 | \$ (0.38) | -1.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 29.04 | \$ (0.58) | -2.0% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 31.09 | \$ (0.67) | -2.1% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 7.99 | \$ (0.11) | -1.4% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 8.58 | \$ (0.17) | -1.9% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 10.56 | \$ (0.24) | -2.2% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 13.32 | \$ (0.38) | -2.8% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 20.36 | \$ (0.69) | -3.3% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 24.73 | \$ (0.92) | -3.6% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 15.81 | \$ (0.11) | -0.7% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 16.46 | \$ (0.17) | -1.0% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.38 | \$ (0.24) | -1.2% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 27.76 | \$ (0.50) | -1.8% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 21.56 | \$ (0.38) | -1.7% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 32.91 | \$ (0.76) | -2.3% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 30.12 | \$ (0.69) | -2.2% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 34.61 | \$ (0.92) | -2.6% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 60.16 | \$ (1.82) | -2.9% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 10.83 | \$ (0.11) | -1.0% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 11.95 | \$ (0.17) | -1.4% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 14.98 | \$ (0.24) | -1.6% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.21 | \$ (0.11) | -0.6% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.16 | \$ (0.17) | -0.9% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 23.78 | \$ (0.24) | -1.0% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 26.85 | \$ (0.38) | -1.4% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 52.06 | \$ (0.69) | -1.3% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 56.26 | \$ (0.92) | -1.6% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 80.12 | \$ (1.82) | -2.2% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 11.01 | \$ (0.17) | -1.5% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 13.22 | \$ (0.24) | -1.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 7.86 | \$ (0.08) | -1.0% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.20 | \$ (0.10) | -1.2% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 8.86 | \$ (0.14) | -1.6% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 10.43 | \$ (0.22) | -2.1% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 10.87 | \$ (0.25) | -2.2% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 13.80 | \$ (0.39) | -2.7% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 28.73 | \$ (0.98) | -3.3% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.65 | \$ (0.08) | -0.5% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.03 | \$ (0.10) | -0.6% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 17.73 | \$ (0.14) | -0.8% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 19.22 | \$ (0.22) | -1.1% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 19.67 | \$ (0.25) | -1.3% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 23.61 | \$ (0.39) | -1.6% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 37.70 | \$ (0.98) | -2.5% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 10.96 | \$ (0.08) | -0.7% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 11.64 | \$ (0.10) | -0.9% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.95 | \$ (0.14) | -1.1% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 17.95 | \$ (0.08) | -0.4% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 18.62 | \$ (0.10) | -0.5% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 22.15 | \$ (0.14) | -0.6% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 24.14 | \$ (0.22) | -0.9% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 21.82 | \$ (0.22) | -1.0% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 24.81 | \$ (0.25) | -1.0% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 26.94 | \$ (0.31) | -1.1% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 45.48 | \$ (0.39) | -0.9% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 58.08 | \$ (0.78) | -1.3% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 61.49 | \$ (0.98) | -1.6% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 11.52 | \$ (0.08) | -0.7% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 12.89 | \$ (0.10) | -0.8% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 13.70 | \$ (0.14) | -1.0% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 13.73 | \$ (0.22) | -1.6% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 16.29 | \$ (0.25) | -1.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.37 | \$ (0.05) | -3.5% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 2.70 | \$ (0.12) | -4.3% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 4.09 | \$ (0.16) | -3.8% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 5.43 | \$ (0.24) | -4.2% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 6.79 | \$ (0.29) | -4.1% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 8.12 | \$ (0.37) | -4.4% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 9.51 | \$ (0.41) | -4.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 10.86 | \$ (0.48) | -4.2% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 12.23 | \$ (0.52) | -4.1% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 13.56 | \$ (0.61) | -4.3% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 14.93 | \$ (0.66) | -4.2% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 16.29 | \$ (0.72) | -4.2% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 17.65 | \$ (0.77) | -4.2% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 18.99 | \$ (0.84) | -4.2% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 20.37 | \$ (0.89) | -4.2% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 21.68 | \$ (0.97) | -4.3% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 1.99 | \$ (0.05) | -2.5% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 3.93 | \$ (0.12) | -3.0% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 5.94 | \$ (0.16) | -2.6% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 7.90 | \$ (0.24) | -2.9% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 9.88 | \$ (0.29) | -2.9% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 11.82 | \$ (0.37) | -3.0% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 13.82 | \$ (0.41) | -2.9% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 15.79 | \$ (0.48) | -3.0% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 17.78 | \$ (0.52) | -2.8% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 19.72 | \$ (0.61) | -3.0% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 21.71 | \$ (0.66) | -3.0% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 23.69 | \$ (0.72) | -2.9% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 25.67 | \$ (0.77) | -2.9% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 27.62 | \$ (0.84) | -3.0% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 29.62 | \$ (0.89) | -2.9% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 31.55 | \$ (0.97) | -3.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 2.76 | \$ (0.05) | -1.8% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 5.47 | \$ (0.12) | -2.1% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 8.25 | \$ (0.16) | -1.9% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 10.98 | \$ (0.24) | -2.1% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 13.72 | \$ (0.29) | -2.1% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 16.44 | \$ (0.37) | -2.2% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.21 | \$ (0.41) | -2.1% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 21.94 | \$ (0.48) | -2.1% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 24.70 | \$ (0.52) | -2.1% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 27.42 | \$ (0.61) | -2.2% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 30.17 | \$ (0.66) | -2.1% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 32.92 | \$ (0.72) | -2.1% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 35.66 | \$ (0.77) | -2.1% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 38.39 | \$ (0.84) | -2.1% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 41.16 | \$ (0.89) | -2.1% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 43.85 | \$ (0.97) | -2.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 9.90 | \$ (3.37) | -25.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 16.17 | \$ (7.71) | -32.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 28.39 | \$ (18.56) | -39.5% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 14.30 | \$ (3.37) | -19.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 9.80 | \$ (2.05) | -17.3% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 15.32 | \$ (5.13) | -25.1% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 18.97 | \$ (7.96) | -29.6% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 14.83 | \$ (2.05) | -12.1% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 14.83 | \$ (3.56) | -19.4% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 16.71 | \$ (5.42) | -24.5% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 19.77 | \$ (8.39) | -29.8% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 24.61 | \$ (3.56) | -12.6% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 26.49 | \$ (5.42) | -17.0% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 29.55 | \$ (8.39) | -22.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 6.96 | \$ - | 0.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 13.91 | \$ - | 0.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 20.87 | \$ - | 0.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 27.80 | \$ (0.01) | 0.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 34.77 | \$ (0.01) | 0.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 41.74 | \$ 0.01 | 0.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 137.42 | \$ (11.82) | -7.9% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 209.44 | \$ (33.04) | -13.6% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 281.04 | \$ (54.25) | -16.2% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 352.59 | \$ (75.47) | -17.6% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 424.17 | \$ (96.68) | -18.6% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 495.73 | \$ (117.90) | -19.2% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 14,393.34 | \$ (1,182.01) | -7.6% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 21,494.40 | \$ (3,303.69) | -13.3% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 28,595.44 | \$ (5,425.40) | -15.9% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 35,696.48 | \$ (7,547.10) | -17.5% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 42,797.53 | \$ (9,668.80) | -18.4% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 49,898.59 | \$ (11,790.48) | -19.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 5,852.81 | \$ (108.99) | -1.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 9,212.80 | \$ (1,120.29) | -10.8% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 12,572.79 | \$ (2,131.57) | -14.5% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 15,932.78 | \$ (3,142.87) | -16.5% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 19,292.76 | \$ (4,154.17) | -17.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 22,652.76 | \$ (5,165.47) | -18.6% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 57,094.22 | \$ (1,089.94) | -1.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 90,530.61 | \$ (11,202.88) | -11.0% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 123,640.95 | \$ (21,315.83) | -14.7% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 156,751.28 | \$ (31,428.78) | -16.7% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 189,861.63 | \$ (41,541.72) | -18.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 222,971.98 | \$ (51,654.66) | -18.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 8,831.67 | \$ (553.70) | -5.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 15,225.01 | \$ (2,180.90) | -12.5% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 21,618.36 | \$ (3,808.10) | -15.0% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 28,011.70 | \$ (5,435.30) | -16.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 34,405.04 | \$ (7,062.51) | -17.0% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 40,798.40 | \$ (8,689.70) | -17.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 86,269.26 | \$ (5,537.01) | -6.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 149,223.60 | \$ (21,809.02) | -12.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 212,177.95 | \$ (38,081.03) | -15.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 275,132.29 | \$ (54,353.05) | -16.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 338,086.65 | \$ (70,625.05) | -17.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 401,040.98 | \$ (86,897.07) | -17.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 26,852.52 | \$ (2,028.69) | -7.0% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 34,761.31 | \$ (5,937.39) | -14.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 42,670.12 | \$ (9,846.08) | -18.7% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 50,578.91 | \$ (13,754.78) | -21.4% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 58,324.20 | \$ (17,663.47) | -23.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 66,037.18 | \$ (21,572.16) | -24.6% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 264,418.70 | \$ (20,286.94) | -7.1% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 341,548.48 | \$ (59,373.88) | -14.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 418,678.27 | \$ (98,460.82) | -19.0% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 495,808.05 | \$ (137,547.76) | -21.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 572,937.84 | \$ (176,634.70) | -23.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 650,067.62 | \$ (215,721.64) | -24.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 0.18 | 1.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 19.97 | \$ 0.42 | 2.1% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 20.78 | \$ 0.52 | 2.6% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 24.06 | \$ 0.93 | 4.0% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 25.89 | \$ 1.18 | 4.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 30.84 | \$ 1.82 | 6.3% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 33.17 | \$ 2.10 | 6.8% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 8.31 | \$ 0.32 | 4.0% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 9.09 | \$ 0.51 | 5.9% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 11.33 | \$ 0.79 | 7.5% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 1.18 | 8.9% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 22.49 | \$ 2.15 | 10.6% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 27.55 | \$ 2.83 | 11.4% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 0.32 | 2.0% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 16.97 | \$ 0.51 | 3.1% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 20.15 | \$ 0.79 | 4.1% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 29.30 | \$ 1.55 | 5.6% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 22.73 | \$ 1.18 | 5.5% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 35.25 | \$ 2.35 | 7.1% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 32.25 | \$ 2.15 | 7.1% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 37.43 | \$ 2.83 | 8.2% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 65.78 | \$ 5.68 | 9.5% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.15 | \$ 0.32 | 3.0% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 12.46 | \$ 0.51 | 4.3% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 15.75 | \$ 0.79 | 5.3% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 18.53 | \$ 0.32 | 1.8% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 19.67 | \$ 0.51 | 2.7% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 24.55 | \$ 0.79 | 3.3% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 28.02 | \$ 1.18 | 4.4% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 54.19 | \$ 2.15 | 4.1% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 59.08 | \$ 2.83 | 5.0% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 85.74 | \$ 5.68 | 7.1% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 0.51 | 4.6% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 13.99 | \$ 0.79 | 6.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.07 | \$ 0.21 | 2.7% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 8.51 | \$ 0.32 | 3.9% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 9.32 | \$ 0.48 | 5.4% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 11.09 | \$ 0.66 | 6.3% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 11.65 | \$ 0.79 | 7.3% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 15.01 | \$ 1.23 | 8.9% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 31.77 | \$ 3.07 | 10.7% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 0.21 | 1.3% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 0.32 | 2.0% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 18.19 | \$ 0.48 | 2.7% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.88 | \$ 0.66 | 3.4% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 0.79 | 4.0% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 24.82 | \$ 1.23 | 5.2% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 40.74 | \$ 3.07 | 8.1% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.17 | \$ 0.21 | 1.9% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 0.32 | 2.8% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 13.41 | \$ 0.48 | 3.7% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.16 | \$ 0.21 | 1.2% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 18.93 | \$ 0.32 | 1.7% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 22.61 | \$ 0.48 | 2.2% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 24.79 | \$ 0.65 | 2.7% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 22.48 | \$ 0.66 | 3.0% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 25.59 | \$ 0.79 | 3.2% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 27.89 | \$ 0.95 | 3.5% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 46.69 | \$ 1.23 | 2.7% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 60.49 | \$ 2.43 | 4.2% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 64.53 | \$ 3.07 | 5.0% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 0.21 | 1.8% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 0.32 | 2.5% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 14.16 | \$ 0.48 | 3.5% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 14.39 | \$ 0.66 | 4.8% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 17.07 | \$ 0.79 | 4.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 0.19 | 14.0% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 3.07 | \$ 0.37 | 13.7% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 4.64 | \$ 0.57 | 14.0% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 6.17 | \$ 0.74 | 13.6% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 7.72 | \$ 0.94 | 13.9% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 9.23 | \$ 1.11 | 13.7% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 1.31 | 13.8% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 12.34 | \$ 1.50 | 13.8% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 13.89 | \$ 1.70 | 13.9% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 15.42 | \$ 1.87 | 13.8% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 16.97 | \$ 2.06 | 13.8% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 18.51 | \$ 2.24 | 13.8% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 2.44 | 13.8% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 21.58 | \$ 2.62 | 13.8% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 23.14 | \$ 2.80 | 13.8% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 2.98 | 13.8% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.17 | \$ 0.19 | 9.6% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 4.30 | \$ 0.37 | 9.4% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 6.49 | \$ 0.57 | 9.6% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 8.64 | \$ 0.74 | 9.4% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 10.81 | \$ 0.94 | 9.5% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 1.11 | 9.4% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 1.31 | 9.5% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 17.27 | \$ 1.50 | 9.5% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 1.70 | 9.6% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 21.58 | \$ 1.87 | 9.5% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 2.06 | 9.5% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 25.91 | \$ 2.24 | 9.5% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 28.08 | \$ 2.44 | 9.5% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 30.21 | \$ 2.62 | 9.5% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 32.39 | \$ 2.80 | 9.5% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 34.52 | \$ 2.98 | 9.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 2.94 | \$ 0.19 | 6.9% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 0.37 | 6.8% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 8.80 | \$ 0.57 | 6.9% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 11.72 | \$ 0.74 | 6.7% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 0.94 | 6.9% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 17.55 | \$ 1.11 | 6.8% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 20.50 | \$ 1.31 | 6.8% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 23.42 | \$ 1.50 | 6.8% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 26.36 | \$ 1.70 | 6.9% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 29.28 | \$ 1.87 | 6.8% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 32.21 | \$ 2.06 | 6.8% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 35.14 | \$ 2.24 | 6.8% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 38.07 | \$ 2.44 | 6.8% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 40.98 | \$ 2.62 | 6.8% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 43.93 | \$ 2.80 | 6.8% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 2.98 | 6.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 10.41 | \$ (1.95) | -15.8% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 17.34 | \$ (4.44) | -20.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 31.21 | \$ (10.70) | -25.5% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 14.81 | \$ (1.95) | -11.6% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 10.11 | \$ (1.18) | -10.5% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 16.10 | \$ (2.95) | -15.5% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 20.18 | \$ (4.58) | -18.5% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 15.14 | \$ (1.18) | -7.2% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 15.37 | \$ (2.05) | -11.8% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 17.53 | \$ (3.13) | -15.2% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 21.04 | \$ (4.84) | -18.7% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 25.15 | \$ (2.05) | -7.5% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 27.31 | \$ (3.13) | -10.3% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 30.82 | \$ (4.84) | -13.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 7.70 | \$ - | 0.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 15.39 | \$ 0.01 | 0.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 23.09 | \$ 0.01 | 0.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 30.77 | \$ 0.01 | 0.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 38.47 | \$ 0.01 | 0.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 46.18 | \$ 0.02 | 0.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 30.15 | \$ (3.00) | -9.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 56.33 | \$ (6.01) | -9.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 91.47 | \$ 82.45 | \$ (9.02) | -9.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 120.64 | \$ 108.62 | \$ (12.02) | -10.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 149.80 | \$ 134.79 | \$ (15.01) | -10.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 178.98 | \$ 160.95 | \$ (18.03) | -10.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 237.28 | \$ 213.23 | \$ (24.05) | -10.1% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 295.39 | \$ 265.33 | \$ (30.06) | -10.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 353.48 | \$ 317.40 | \$ (36.08) | -10.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 411.57 | \$ 369.48 | \$ (42.09) | -10.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 469.65 | \$ 421.56 | \$ (48.09) | -10.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 527.75 | \$ 473.63 | \$ (54.12) | -10.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 585.85 | \$ 525.73 | \$ (60.12) | -10.3% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 643.95 | \$ 577.83 | \$ (66.12) | -10.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 702.03 | \$ 629.90 | \$ (72.13) | -10.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 760.12 | \$ 681.99 | \$ (78.13) | -10.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 818.21 | \$ 734.05 | \$ (84.16) | -10.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 876.32 | \$ 786.15 | \$ (90.17) | -10.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 934.41 | \$ 838.23 | \$ (96.18) | -10.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 992.50 | \$ 890.30 | \$ (102.20) | -10.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,050.59 | \$ 942.39 | \$ (108.20) | -10.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,108.68 | \$ 994.46 | \$ (114.22) | -10.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,166.78 | \$ 1,046.54 | \$ (120.24) | -10.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,224.89 | \$ 1,098.63 | \$ (126.26) | -10.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,282.96 | \$ 1,150.70 | \$ (132.26) | -10.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,341.08 | \$ 1,202.81 | \$ (138.27) | -10.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,399.14 | \$ 1,254.85 | \$ (144.29) | -10.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 30.15 | \$ (3.00) | -9.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 56.33 | \$ (6.01) | -9.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.32 | \$ 73.30 | \$ (9.02) | -11.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 102.34 | \$ 90.32 | \$ (12.02) | -11.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 122.35 | \$ 107.34 | \$ (15.01) | -12.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 142.38 | \$ 124.35 | \$ (18.03) | -12.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 182.38 | \$ 158.33 | \$ (24.05) | -13.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 213.19 | \$ 183.13 | \$ (30.06) | -14.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 243.98 | \$ 207.90 | \$ (36.08) | -14.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 274.77 | \$ 232.68 | \$ (42.09) | -15.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 305.55 | \$ 257.46 | \$ (48.09) | -15.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 336.35 | \$ 282.23 | \$ (54.12) | -16.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 367.15 | \$ 307.03 | \$ (60.12) | -16.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 397.95 | \$ 331.83 | \$ (66.12) | -16.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 428.73 | \$ 356.60 | \$ (72.13) | -16.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 459.52 | \$ 381.39 | \$ (78.13) | -17.0% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 490.31 | \$ 406.15 | \$ (84.16) | -17.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 521.12 | \$ 430.95 | \$ (90.17) | -17.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 551.91 | \$ 455.73 | \$ (96.18) | -17.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 582.70 | \$ 480.50 | \$ (102.20) | -17.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 613.49 | \$ 505.29 | \$ (108.20) | -17.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 644.28 | \$ 530.06 | \$ (114.22) | -17.7% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 675.08 | \$ 554.84 | \$ (120.24) | -17.8% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 705.89 | \$ 579.63 | \$ (126.26) | -17.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 736.66 | \$ 604.40 | \$ (132.26) | -18.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 767.48 | \$ 629.21 | \$ (138.27) | -18.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 798.24 | \$ 653.95 | \$ (144.29) | -18.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating Apartment (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 25.40 | \$ 22.40 | \$ (3.00) | -11.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 46.84 | \$ 40.83 | \$ (6.01) | -12.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 59.07 | \$ 50.05 | \$ (9.02) | -15.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 71.34 | \$ 59.32 | \$ (12.02) | -16.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 83.60 | \$ 68.59 | \$ (15.01) | -18.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 95.88 | \$ 77.85 | \$ (18.03) | -18.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 120.38 | \$ 96.33 | \$ (24.05) | -20.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 160.19 | \$ 130.13 | \$ (30.06) | -18.8% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 199.98 | \$ 163.90 | \$ (36.08) | -18.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 239.77 | \$ 197.68 | \$ (42.09) | -17.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 279.55 | \$ 231.46 | \$ (48.09) | -17.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 319.35 | \$ 265.23 | \$ (54.12) | -16.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 359.15 | \$ 299.03 | \$ (60.12) | -16.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 398.95 | \$ 332.83 | \$ (66.12) | -16.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 438.73 | \$ 366.60 | \$ (72.13) | -16.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 478.52 | \$ 400.39 | \$ (78.13) | -16.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 518.31 | \$ 434.15 | \$ (84.16) | -16.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 558.12 | \$ 467.95 | \$ (90.17) | -16.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 597.91 | \$ 501.73 | \$ (96.18) | -16.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 637.70 | \$ 535.50 | \$ (102.20) | -16.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 677.49 | \$ 569.29 | \$ (108.20) | -16.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 717.28 | \$ 603.06 | \$ (114.22) | -15.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 757.08 | \$ 636.84 | \$ (120.24) | -15.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 796.89 | \$ 670.63 | \$ (126.26) | -15.8% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 836.66 | \$ 704.40 | \$ (132.26) | -15.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 876.48 | \$ 738.21 | \$ (138.27) | -15.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 916.24 | \$ 771.95 | \$ (144.29) | -15.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 30.15 | \$ (3.00) | -9.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 56.33 | \$ (6.01) | -9.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 85.82 | \$ 76.80 | \$ (9.02) | -10.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 109.34 | \$ 97.32 | \$ (12.02) | -11.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 132.85 | \$ 117.84 | \$ (15.01) | -11.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 156.38 | \$ 138.35 | \$ (18.03) | -11.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 203.38 | \$ 179.33 | \$ (24.05) | -11.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 250.19 | \$ 220.13 | \$ (30.06) | -12.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 296.98 | \$ 260.90 | \$ (36.08) | -12.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 343.77 | \$ 301.68 | \$ (42.09) | -12.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 390.55 | \$ 342.46 | \$ (48.09) | -12.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 437.35 | \$ 383.23 | \$ (54.12) | -12.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 484.15 | \$ 424.03 | \$ (60.12) | -12.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 530.95 | \$ 464.83 | \$ (66.12) | -12.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 577.73 | \$ 505.60 | \$ (72.13) | -12.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 624.52 | \$ 546.39 | \$ (78.13) | -12.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 671.31 | \$ 587.15 | \$ (84.16) | -12.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 718.12 | \$ 627.95 | \$ (90.17) | -12.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 764.91 | \$ 668.73 | \$ (96.18) | -12.6% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 811.70 | \$ 709.50 | \$ (102.20) | -12.6% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 858.49 | \$ 750.29 | \$ (108.20) | -12.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 905.28 | \$ 791.06 | \$ (114.22) | -12.6% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 952.08 | \$ 831.84 | \$ (120.24) | -12.6% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 998.89 | \$ 872.63 | \$ (126.26) | -12.6% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,045.66 | \$ 913.40 | \$ (132.26) | -12.6% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,092.48 | \$ 954.21 | \$ (138.27) | -12.7% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,139.24 | \$ 994.95 | \$ (144.29) | -12.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 32.01 | \$ (2.13) | -6.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 60.03 | \$ (4.30) | -6.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 88.01 | \$ (8.94) | -9.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 116.02 | \$ (13.60) | -10.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 144.05 | \$ (18.21) | -11.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 172.05 | \$ (22.89) | -11.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 228.04 | \$ (32.19) | -12.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 283.84 | \$ (41.49) | -12.8% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 339.61 | \$ (50.79) | -13.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 395.39 | \$ (60.08) | -13.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 451.17 | \$ (69.37) | -13.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 506.95 | \$ (78.67) | -13.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 562.75 | \$ (87.96) | -13.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 618.55 | \$ (97.24) | -13.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 674.32 | \$ (106.54) | -13.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 730.11 | \$ (115.83) | -13.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 785.88 | \$ (125.13) | -13.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 841.68 | \$ (134.43) | -13.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 897.46 | \$ (143.73) | -13.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 953.23 | \$ (153.02) | -13.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,009.02 | \$ (162.31) | -13.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,064.80 | \$ (171.61) | -13.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,120.58 | \$ (180.91) | -13.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,176.37 | \$ (190.22) | -13.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,232.14 | \$ (199.51) | -13.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,287.95 | \$ (208.80) | -14.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,343.70 | \$ (218.10) | -14.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 32.01 | \$ (2.13) | -6.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 60.03 | \$ (4.30) | -6.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 88.01 | \$ (8.94) | -9.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 116.02 | \$ (13.60) | -10.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 144.05 | \$ (18.21) | -11.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 172.05 | \$ (22.89) | -11.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 228.04 | \$ (32.19) | -12.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 283.84 | \$ (41.49) | -12.8% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 339.61 | \$ (50.79) | -13.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 395.39 | \$ (60.08) | -13.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 451.17 | \$ (69.37) | -13.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 506.95 | \$ (78.67) | -13.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 562.75 | \$ (87.96) | -13.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 618.55 | \$ (97.24) | -13.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 674.32 | \$ (106.54) | -13.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 730.11 | \$ (115.83) | -13.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 785.88 | \$ (125.13) | -13.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 841.68 | \$ (134.43) | -13.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 897.46 | \$ (143.73) | -13.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 953.23 | \$ (153.02) | -13.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,009.02 | \$ (162.31) | -13.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,064.80 | \$ (171.61) | -13.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,120.58 | \$ (180.91) | -13.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,176.37 | \$ (190.22) | -13.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,232.14 | \$ (199.51) | -13.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,287.95 | \$ (208.80) | -14.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,343.70 | \$ (218.10) | -14.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 32.01 | \$ (2.13) | -6.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 60.03 | \$ (4.30) | -6.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 88.01 | \$ (8.94) | -9.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 116.02 | \$ (13.60) | -10.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 144.05 | \$ (18.21) | -11.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 172.05 | \$ (22.89) | -11.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 228.04 | \$ (32.19) | -12.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 283.84 | \$ (41.49) | -12.8% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 339.61 | \$ (50.79) | -13.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 395.39 | \$ (60.08) | -13.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 451.17 | \$ (69.37) | -13.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 506.95 | \$ (78.67) | -13.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 562.75 | \$ (87.96) | -13.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 618.55 | \$ (97.24) | -13.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 674.32 | \$ (106.54) | -13.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 730.11 | \$ (115.83) | -13.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 785.88 | \$ (125.13) | -13.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 841.68 | \$ (134.43) | -13.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 897.46 | \$ (143.73) | -13.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 953.23 | \$ (153.02) | -13.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,009.02 | \$ (162.31) | -13.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,064.80 | \$ (171.61) | -13.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,120.58 | \$ (180.91) | -13.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,176.37 | \$ (190.22) | -13.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,232.14 | \$ (199.51) | -13.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,287.95 | \$ (208.80) | -14.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,343.70 | \$ (218.10) | -14.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 136.10 | \$ (15.65) | -10.3% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 200.02 | \$ (34.26) | -14.6% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 263.48 | \$ (52.88) | -16.7% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 326.93 | \$ (71.50) | -17.9% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 390.39 | \$ (90.13) | -18.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 453.87 | \$ (108.72) | -19.3% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 15,716.12 | \$ (1,564.98) | -9.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 22,006.15 | \$ (3,426.54) | -13.5% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 28,296.16 | \$ (5,288.12) | -15.7% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 34,586.18 | \$ (7,149.69) | -17.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 40,876.20 | \$ (9,011.26) | -18.1% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 47,166.22 | \$ (10,872.83) | -18.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,020.05 | \$ (197.87) | -3.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 8,200.87 | \$ (892.59) | -9.8% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 11,381.71 | \$ (1,587.30) | -12.2% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 14,562.52 | \$ (2,282.03) | -13.5% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 17,743.34 | \$ (2,976.75) | -14.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 20,924.17 | \$ (3,671.47) | -14.9% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 48,766.58 | \$ (1,978.69) | -3.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 80,293.99 | \$ (8,925.89) | -10.0% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 111,261.39 | \$ (15,873.09) | -12.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 142,228.77 | \$ (22,820.30) | -13.8% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 173,196.18 | \$ (29,767.49) | -14.7% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 204,163.58 | \$ (36,714.68) | -15.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 7,413.14 | \$ (422.50) | -5.4% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 12,624.95 | \$ (2,176.20) | -14.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 17,836.77 | \$ (3,929.90) | -18.1% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 23,048.59 | \$ (5,683.60) | -19.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 28,260.40 | \$ (7,437.31) | -20.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 33,472.22 | \$ (9,191.00) | -21.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 71,966.64 | \$ (4,225.01) | -5.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 122,403.09 | \$ (21,762.02) | -15.1% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 172,839.55 | \$ (39,299.03) | -18.5% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 223,276.00 | \$ (56,836.05) | -20.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 273,712.47 | \$ (74,373.05) | -21.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 324,148.91 | \$ (91,910.07) | -22.1% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 25,121.66 | \$ (822.58) | -3.2% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 31,177.00 | \$ (4,119.17) | -11.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 37,232.34 | \$ (7,415.75) | -16.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 43,287.68 | \$ (10,712.34) | -19.8% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 49,062.18 | \$ (14,008.92) | -22.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 54,781.18 | \$ (17,305.50) | -24.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 246,290.19 | \$ (8,225.85) | -3.2% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 303,480.19 | \$ (41,191.69) | -12.0% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 360,670.20 | \$ (74,157.54) | -17.1% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 417,860.20 | \$ (107,123.39) | -20.4% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 475,050.21 | \$ (140,089.24) | -22.8% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 532,240.21 | \$ (173,055.08) | -24.5% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.03 | \$ (0.01) | -0.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 13.48 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 14.12 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 16.63 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 18.02 | \$ (0.08) | -0.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 21.83 | \$ (0.12) | -0.5% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 23.62 | \$ (0.13) | -0.5% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.01 | \$ (0.01) | -0.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 14.46 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 15.10 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 17.61 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 19.00 | \$ (0.08) | -0.4% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 22.81 | \$ (0.12) | -0.5% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 24.60 | \$ (0.13) | -0.5% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.21 | \$ (0.01) | -0.1% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 20.66 | \$ (0.03) | -0.1% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 21.30 | \$ (0.03) | -0.1% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 23.81 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 25.20 | \$ (0.08) | -0.3% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 29.01 | \$ (0.12) | -0.4% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 30.80 | \$ (0.13) | -0.4% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 34.42 | \$ (0.03) | -0.1% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 37.28 | \$ (0.06) | -0.2% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 38.56 | \$ (0.05) | -0.1% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 43.58 | \$ (0.11) | -0.3% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 46.37 | \$ (0.15) | -0.3% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 54.02 | \$ (0.22) | -0.4% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 57.60 | \$ (0.26) | -0.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 18.82 | \$ (0.02) | -0.1% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 21.03 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 22.86 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 28.67 | \$ (0.11) | -0.4% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 17.08 | \$ (0.02) | -0.1% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.29 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 21.12 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 26.93 | \$ (0.11) | -0.4% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.61 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 29.02 | \$ (0.08) | -0.3% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 32.72 | \$ (0.12) | -0.4% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 44.30 | \$ (0.25) | -0.6% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 9.08 | \$ (0.03) | -0.3% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 11.23 | \$ (0.05) | -0.4% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 15.37 | \$ (0.06) | -0.4% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 26.30 | \$ (0.14) | -0.5% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 32.94 | \$ (0.18) | -0.5% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 11.36 | \$ (0.03) | -0.3% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 13.35 | \$ (0.05) | -0.4% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 18.07 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 29.21 | \$ (0.14) | -0.5% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 35.91 | \$ (0.18) | -0.5% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.08 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 17.19 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 21.70 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 31.07 | \$ (0.14) | -0.4% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 37.55 | \$ (0.18) | -0.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 16.37 | \$ (0.06) | -0.4% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 28.74 | \$ (0.16) | -0.6% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 31.40 | \$ (0.16) | -0.5% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 26.87 | \$ (0.09) | -0.3% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 34.67 | \$ (0.16) | -0.5% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 11.04 | \$ (0.02) | -0.2% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 13.16 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 17.16 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 15.09 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 20.93 | \$ (0.07) | -0.3% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 12.89 | \$ (0.02) | -0.2% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 14.48 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.28 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.06 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 24.36 | \$ (0.07) | -0.3% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 16.64 | \$ (0.02) | -0.1% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 27.94 | \$ (0.02) | -0.1% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.49 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.23 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 20.76 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 35.78 | \$ (0.05) | -0.1% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 25.09 | \$ (0.07) | -0.3% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 46.67 | \$ (0.01) | 0.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 21.64 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 24.44 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 30.35 | \$ (0.10) | -0.3% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 22.53 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 24.88 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 31.76 | \$ (0.10) | -0.3% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 26.77 | \$ (0.04) | -0.1% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 31.56 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 38.01 | \$ (0.10) | -0.3% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 37.73 | \$ (0.15) | -0.4% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.13 | \$ (0.01) | -0.9% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.23 | \$ (0.02) | -0.9% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 4.49 | \$ (0.02) | -0.4% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 7.09 | \$ (0.05) | -0.7% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 8.86 | \$ (0.05) | -0.6% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 12.58 | \$ (0.08) | -0.6% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 15.14 | \$ (0.08) | -0.5% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 20.22 | \$ (0.08) | -0.4% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.18 | \$ (0.10) | -0.4% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 11.22 | \$ (0.12) | -1.1% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 13.45 | \$ (0.13) | -1.0% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 16.10 | \$ (0.13) | -0.8% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 17.84 | \$ (0.15) | -0.8% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 21.58 | \$ (0.15) | -0.7% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 24.14 | \$ (0.17) | -0.7% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 29.20 | \$ (0.19) | -0.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 9.12 | \$ (1.99) | -17.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 24.23 | \$ (4.53) | -15.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 40.46 | \$ (10.92) | -21.3% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 15.71 | \$ (1.99) | -11.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 12.60 | \$ (2.54) | -16.8% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 22.56 | \$ (4.69) | -17.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 8.88 | \$ 0.07 | 0.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 17.76 | \$ 0.13 | 0.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 26.66 | \$ 0.20 | 0.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 35.50 | \$ 0.22 | 0.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 44.40 | \$ 0.30 | 0.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 53.27 | \$ 0.37 | 0.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 143.50 | \$ (17.50) | -10.9% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 214.83 | \$ (37.95) | -15.0% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 285.69 | \$ (58.41) | -17.0% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 356.55 | \$ (78.87) | -18.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 427.41 | \$ (99.34) | -18.9% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 498.29 | \$ (119.79) | -19.4% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 16,456.52 | \$ (1,749.38) | -9.6% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 23,486.95 | \$ (3,795.34) | -13.9% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 30,517.36 | \$ (5,841.32) | -16.1% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 37,547.78 | \$ (7,887.29) | -17.4% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 44,578.20 | \$ (9,933.26) | -18.2% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 51,608.62 | \$ (11,979.23) | -18.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 5,377.40 | \$ (270.77) | -4.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 8,915.57 | \$ (1,115.89) | -11.1% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 12,453.76 | \$ (1,961.00) | -13.6% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 15,991.92 | \$ (2,806.13) | -14.9% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 19,530.09 | \$ (3,651.25) | -15.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 23,068.27 | \$ (4,496.37) | -16.3% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 52,340.08 | \$ (2,707.69) | -4.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 87,440.99 | \$ (11,158.89) | -11.3% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 121,981.89 | \$ (19,610.09) | -13.8% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 156,522.77 | \$ (28,061.30) | -15.2% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 191,063.68 | \$ (36,512.49) | -16.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 225,604.58 | \$ (44,963.68) | -16.6% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 8,107.74 | \$ (665.80) | -7.6% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 14,014.15 | \$ (2,711.80) | -16.2% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 19,920.57 | \$ (4,757.80) | -19.3% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 25,826.99 | \$ (6,803.80) | -20.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 31,733.40 | \$ (8,849.81) | -21.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 37,639.82 | \$ (10,895.80) | -22.4% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 78,912.64 | \$ (6,658.01) | -7.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 136,295.09 | \$ (27,118.02) | -16.6% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 193,677.55 | \$ (47,578.03) | -19.7% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 251,060.00 | \$ (68,038.05) | -21.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 308,442.47 | \$ (88,498.05) | -22.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 365,824.91 | \$ (108,958.07) | -22.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 26,509.46 | \$ (1,380.58) | -5.0% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 33,952.60 | \$ (5,261.17) | -13.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 41,395.74 | \$ (9,141.75) | -18.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 48,838.88 | \$ (13,022.34) | -21.1% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 56,001.18 | \$ (16,902.92) | -23.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 63,107.98 | \$ (20,783.50) | -24.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 260,168.19 | \$ (13,805.85) | -5.0% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 331,236.19 | \$ (52,611.69) | -13.7% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 402,304.20 | \$ (91,417.54) | -18.5% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 473,372.20 | \$ (130,223.39) | -21.6% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 544,440.21 | \$ (169,029.24) | -23.7% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 615,508.21 | \$ (207,835.08) | -25.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.21 | \$ 0.03 | 0.2% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 13.90 | \$ 0.08 | 0.6% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 14.64 | \$ 0.10 | 0.7% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 17.56 | \$ 0.17 | 1.0% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 0.22 | 1.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 23.63 | \$ 0.34 | 1.5% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 25.70 | \$ 0.38 | 1.5% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 0.03 | 0.2% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 14.88 | \$ 0.08 | 0.5% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.62 | \$ 0.10 | 0.6% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 18.54 | \$ 0.17 | 0.9% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 20.17 | \$ 0.22 | 1.1% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 24.61 | \$ 0.34 | 1.4% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 26.68 | \$ 0.38 | 1.4% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.39 | \$ 0.03 | 0.2% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 21.08 | \$ 0.08 | 0.4% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 0.10 | 0.5% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 24.74 | \$ 0.17 | 0.7% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 26.37 | \$ 0.22 | 0.8% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 0.34 | 1.1% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 32.88 | \$ 0.38 | 1.2% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 0.07 | 0.2% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 0.15 | 0.4% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 39.59 | \$ 0.20 | 0.5% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 45.44 | \$ 0.35 | 0.8% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 48.69 | \$ 0.43 | 0.9% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 57.60 | \$ 0.66 | 1.2% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 61.78 | \$ 0.79 | 1.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 0.06 | 0.3% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 0.13 | 0.6% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 0.20 | 0.8% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 30.62 | \$ 0.37 | 1.2% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 0.06 | 0.3% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 19.98 | \$ 0.13 | 0.7% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 0.20 | 0.9% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 28.88 | \$ 0.37 | 1.3% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 25.27 | \$ 0.12 | 0.5% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 30.41 | \$ 0.26 | 0.9% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 34.72 | \$ 0.38 | 1.1% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 48.21 | \$ 0.73 | 1.5% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 9.59 | \$ 0.09 | 0.9% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 12.00 | \$ 0.15 | 1.3% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 0.23 | 1.4% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 28.43 | \$ 0.39 | 1.4% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 35.76 | \$ 0.52 | 1.5% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 11.87 | \$ 0.09 | 0.8% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 14.12 | \$ 0.15 | 1.1% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 19.24 | \$ 0.23 | 1.2% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 31.34 | \$ 0.39 | 1.3% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 38.73 | \$ 0.52 | 1.4% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 0.09 | 0.6% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 17.96 | \$ 0.15 | 0.8% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 22.87 | \$ 0.23 | 1.0% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 33.20 | \$ 0.39 | 1.2% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 40.37 | \$ 0.52 | 1.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) | |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 0.19 | 1.1% | |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 31.08 | \$ 0.42 | 1.4% | |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 33.74 | \$ 0.42 | 1.3% | |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 28.41 | \$ 0.29 | 1.0% | |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 37.01 | \$ 0.42 | 1.1% | |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 11.35 | \$ 0.06 | 0.5% | |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 13.62 | \$ 0.09 | 0.7% | |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 0.12 | 0.7% | |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 15.87 | \$ 0.15 | 1.0% | |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 0.24 | 1.1% | |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 0.06 | 0.5% | |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 14.94 | \$ 0.09 | 0.6% | |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 19.93 | \$ 0.12 | 0.6% | |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 19.84 | \$ 0.15 | 0.8% | |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 25.57 | \$ 0.24 | 0.9% | |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 16.95 | \$ 0.06 | 0.4% | |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 28.25 | \$ 0.06 | 0.2% | |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 15.95 | \$ 0.09 | 0.6% | |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 0.12 | 0.5% | |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 0.15 | 0.7% | |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 36.56 | \$ 0.15 | 0.4% | |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 0.24 | 0.9% | |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 46.85 | \$ 0.03 | 0.1% | |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 22.26 | \$ 0.12 | 0.5% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 25.36 | \$ 0.18 | 0.7% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 0.29 | 0.9% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 23.15 | \$ 0.12 | 0.5% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.80 | \$ 0.18 | 0.7% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 0.29 | 0.9% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 27.39 | \$ 0.12 | 0.4% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 32.48 | \$ 0.18 | 0.6% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 39.57 | \$ 0.29 | 0.7% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 40.14 | \$ 0.45 | 1.1% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.31 | \$ 0.03 | 2.3% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 2.60 | \$ 0.07 | 2.8% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 5.04 | \$ 0.11 | 2.2% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 7.83 | \$ 0.13 | 1.7% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 9.79 | \$ 0.18 | 1.9% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 13.69 | \$ 0.19 | 1.4% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 0.24 | 1.5% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 21.70 | \$ 0.29 | 1.4% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 24.84 | \$ 0.32 | 1.3% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 13.08 | \$ 0.35 | 2.7% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.49 | \$ 0.38 | 2.5% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 0.42 | 2.3% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 20.25 | \$ 0.45 | 2.3% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 24.17 | \$ 0.49 | 2.1% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 26.91 | \$ 0.51 | 1.9% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 32.17 | \$ 0.55 | 1.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 9.63 | \$ (1.85) | -16.1% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 25.40 | \$ (4.20) | -14.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 43.28 | \$ (10.13) | -19.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 16.22 | \$ (1.85) | -10.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 13.25 | \$ (2.35) | -15.1% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 23.77 | \$ (4.34) | -15.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 9.62 | \$ (0.20) | -2.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.24 | \$ (0.38) | -1.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 28.88 | \$ (0.58) | -2.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 38.47 | \$ (0.80) | -2.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 48.10 | \$ (0.99) | -2.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 57.71 | \$ (1.18) | -2.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 149.98 | \$ (16.85) | -10.1% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 216.02 | \$ (48.08) | -18.2% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 281.62 | \$ (79.33) | -22.0% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 347.22 | \$ (110.57) | -24.2% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 412.84 | \$ (141.81) | -25.6% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 478.45 | \$ (173.02) | -26.6% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 16,557.82 | \$ (1,684.44) | -9.2% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 23,062.05 | \$ (4,808.16) | -17.3% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 29,566.26 | \$ (7,931.90) | -21.2% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 36,070.49 | \$ (11,055.62) | -23.5% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 42,574.69 | \$ (14,179.36) | -25.0% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 49,078.93 | \$ (17,303.08) | -26.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,056.95 | \$ (786.10) | -13.5% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 8,168.07 | \$ (1,605.75) | -16.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 11,279.21 | \$ (2,425.39) | -17.7% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 14,390.32 | \$ (3,245.05) | -18.4% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 17,501.44 | \$ (4,064.70) | -18.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 20,612.57 | \$ (4,884.35) | -19.2% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 49,135.57 | \$ (7,860.99) | -13.8% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 80,015.83 | \$ (16,057.48) | -16.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 110,435.43 | \$ (24,253.97) | -18.0% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 140,855.02 | \$ (32,450.48) | -18.7% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 171,274.63 | \$ (40,646.96) | -19.2% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 201,694.24 | \$ (48,843.45) | -19.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 8,439.30 | \$ (612.70) | -6.8% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 14,304.47 | \$ (1,823.10) | -11.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 20,169.65 | \$ (3,033.50) | -13.1% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 26,034.83 | \$ (4,243.90) | -14.0% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 31,899.99 | \$ (5,454.31) | -14.6% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 37,765.18 | \$ (6,664.70) | -15.0% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 82,458.07 | \$ (6,127.01) | -6.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 139,726.52 | \$ (18,231.02) | -11.5% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 196,994.98 | \$ (30,335.03) | -13.3% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 254,263.43 | \$ (42,439.05) | -14.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 311,531.90 | \$ (54,543.05) | -14.9% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 368,800.33 | \$ (66,647.07) | -15.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 23,365.18 | \$ (2,975.29) | -11.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 28,885.04 | \$ (7,384.59) | -20.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 34,404.90 | \$ (11,793.88) | -25.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 39,924.76 | \$ (16,203.18) | -28.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 45,213.61 | \$ (20,612.47) | -31.3% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 50,456.81 | \$ (25,021.76) | -33.2% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 229,073.62 | \$ (29,752.94) | -11.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 281,505.62 | \$ (73,845.88) | -20.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 333,937.62 | \$ (117,938.82) | -26.1% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 386,369.62 | \$ (162,031.76) | -29.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 438,801.63 | \$ (206,124.70) | -32.0% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 491,233.63 | \$ (250,217.64) | -33.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.29 | \$ (0.24) | -1.9% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 14.13 | \$ (0.52) | -3.5% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 14.92 | \$ (0.67) | -4.3% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 18.13 | \$ (1.19) | -6.2% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 19.90 | \$ (1.50) | -7.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 24.75 | \$ (2.30) | -8.5% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 27.06 | \$ (2.67) | -9.0% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.45 | \$ (0.24) | -3.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 9.29 | \$ (0.52) | -5.3% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 10.08 | \$ (0.67) | -6.2% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 13.29 | \$ (1.19) | -8.2% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 15.06 | \$ (1.50) | -9.1% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 19.91 | \$ (2.30) | -10.4% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 22.22 | \$ (2.67) | -10.7% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 11.33 | \$ (0.66) | -5.5% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 14.74 | \$ (0.99) | -6.3% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 20.43 | \$ (1.49) | -6.8% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 45.22 | \$ (3.61) | -7.4% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 15.68 | \$ (0.66) | -4.0% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 22.24 | \$ (0.66) | -2.9% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 26.46 | \$ (0.99) | -3.6% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 32.37 | \$ (1.49) | -4.4% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 32.62 | \$ (1.49) | -4.4% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 50.88 | \$ (3.00) | -5.6% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 59.05 | \$ (3.61) | -5.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.70 | \$ (0.39) | -3.0% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 14.49 | \$ (0.59) | -3.9% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 19.19 | \$ (1.00) | -5.0% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 24.49 | \$ (1.55) | -6.0% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.22 | \$ (0.39) | -2.2% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.26 | \$ (0.39) | -1.6% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 26.30 | \$ (0.59) | -2.2% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 30.84 | \$ (1.00) | -3.1% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 49.43 | \$ (2.00) | -3.9% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 35.95 | \$ (1.55) | -4.1% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 22.69 | \$ (0.39) | -1.7% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 34.72 | \$ (0.39) | -1.1% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.03 | \$ (0.59) | -2.3% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 36.70 | \$ (0.59) | -1.6% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 30.42 | \$ (1.00) | -3.2% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 42.25 | \$ (1.00) | -2.3% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 35.72 | \$ (1.55) | -4.2% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 48.36 | \$ (1.55) | -3.1% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.43 | \$ (0.25) | -14.9% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.85 | \$ (0.47) | -14.2% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 5.17 | \$ (0.70) | -11.9% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 7.74 | \$ (0.94) | -10.8% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 9.66 | \$ (1.19) | -11.0% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 13.10 | \$ (1.43) | -9.8% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 15.65 | \$ (1.66) | -9.6% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 20.16 | \$ (1.89) | -8.6% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 23.01 | \$ (2.12) | -8.4% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.29 | \$ (2.39) | -14.3% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 16.58 | \$ (2.63) | -13.7% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 19.16 | \$ (2.85) | -12.9% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 21.10 | \$ (3.09) | -12.8% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 24.54 | \$ (3.32) | -11.9% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 27.10 | \$ (3.55) | -11.6% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 31.56 | \$ (3.82) | -10.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.43 | \$ (0.25) | -14.9% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.85 | \$ (0.47) | -14.2% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 6.62 | \$ (0.70) | -9.6% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 11.12 | \$ (0.94) | -7.8% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 13.87 | \$ (1.19) | -7.9% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 20.69 | \$ (1.43) | -6.5% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 25.11 | \$ (1.66) | -6.2% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 34.74 | \$ (1.89) | -5.2% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 40.01 | \$ (2.12) | -5.0% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.29 | \$ (2.39) | -14.3% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 18.03 | \$ (2.63) | -12.7% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 22.54 | \$ (2.85) | -11.2% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 25.31 | \$ (3.09) | -10.9% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 32.13 | \$ (3.32) | -9.4% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 36.56 | \$ (3.55) | -8.9% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 46.14 | \$ (3.82) | -7.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 12.15 | \$ (2.20) | -15.3% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 24.10 | \$ (5.01) | -17.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 44.94 | \$ (12.07) | -21.2% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 14.49 | \$ (2.20) | -13.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.06 | \$ (1.33) | -8.1% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 18.39 | \$ (1.97) | -9.7% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 22.14 | \$ (3.34) | -13.1% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 29.26 | \$ (5.18) | -15.0% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 18.14 | \$ (1.33) | -6.8% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 23.79 | \$ (1.97) | -7.6% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 37.19 | \$ (2.80) | -7.0% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 28.88 | \$ (3.34) | -10.4% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 40.62 | \$ (3.34) | -7.6% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 33.58 | \$ (5.18) | -13.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 5.71 | \$ 1.71 | 42.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 11.42 | \$ 3.39 | 42.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 5.08 | 42.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 22.80 | \$ 6.74 | 42.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 8.46 | 42.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 34.24 | \$ 10.15 | 42.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 157.38 | \$ (5.33) | -3.3% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 230.83 | \$ (25.04) | -9.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 303.83 | \$ (44.77) | -12.8% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 376.84 | \$ (64.48) | -14.6% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 449.86 | \$ (84.20) | -15.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 522.87 | \$ (103.90) | -16.6% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 17,298.22 | \$ (532.34) | -3.0% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 24,542.85 | \$ (2,503.96) | -9.3% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 31,787.46 | \$ (4,475.60) | -12.3% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 39,032.09 | \$ (6,447.22) | -14.2% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 46,276.69 | \$ (8,418.86) | -15.4% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 53,521.33 | \$ (10,390.48) | -16.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 5,414.30 | \$ (586.50) | -9.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 8,882.77 | \$ (1,556.55) | -14.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 12,351.26 | \$ (2,526.59) | -17.0% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 15,819.72 | \$ (3,496.65) | -18.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 19,288.19 | \$ (4,466.70) | -18.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 22,756.67 | \$ (5,436.75) | -19.3% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 52,709.07 | \$ (5,864.99) | -10.0% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 87,162.83 | \$ (15,565.48) | -15.2% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 121,155.93 | \$ (25,265.97) | -17.3% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 155,149.02 | \$ (34,966.48) | -18.4% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 189,142.13 | \$ (44,666.96) | -19.1% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 223,135.24 | \$ (54,367.45) | -19.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 9,133.90 | \$ (393.00) | -4.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 15,693.67 | \$ (1,895.70) | -10.8% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 22,253.45 | \$ (3,398.40) | -13.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 28,813.23 | \$ (4,901.10) | -14.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 35,372.99 | \$ (6,403.81) | -15.3% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 41,932.78 | \$ (7,906.50) | -15.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 89,404.07 | \$ (3,930.01) | -4.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 153,618.52 | \$ (18,957.02) | -11.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 217,832.98 | \$ (33,984.03) | -13.5% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 282,047.43 | \$ (49,011.05) | -14.8% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 346,261.90 | \$ (64,038.05) | -15.6% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 410,476.33 | \$ (79,065.07) | -16.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 24,752.98 | \$ (3,479.29) | -12.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 31,660.64 | \$ (8,472.59) | -21.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 38,568.30 | \$ (13,465.88) | -25.9% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 45,475.96 | \$ (18,459.18) | -28.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 52,152.61 | \$ (23,452.47) | -31.0% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 58,783.61 | \$ (28,445.76) | -32.6% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 242,951.62 | \$ (34,792.94) | -12.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 309,261.62 | \$ (84,725.88) | -21.5% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 375,571.62 | \$ (134,658.82) | -26.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 441,881.62 | \$ (184,591.76) | -29.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 508,191.63 | \$ (234,524.70) | -31.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 574,501.63 | \$ (284,457.64) | -33.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.47 | \$ 0.41 | 3.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 14.55 | \$ 1.01 | 7.5% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 15.44 | \$ 1.23 | 8.7% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 19.06 | \$ 2.23 | 13.3% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 2.78 | 15.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 26.55 | \$ 4.30 | 19.3% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 29.14 | \$ 4.99 | 20.7% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 7.63 | \$ 0.41 | 5.7% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 9.71 | \$ 1.01 | 11.6% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 10.60 | \$ 1.23 | 13.1% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 14.22 | \$ 2.23 | 18.6% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 2.78 | 20.7% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 21.71 | \$ 4.30 | 24.7% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 24.30 | \$ 4.99 | 25.8% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 11.84 | \$ 1.21 | 11.4% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 15.51 | \$ 1.84 | 13.5% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 21.60 | \$ 2.81 | 15.0% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 48.04 | \$ 6.73 | 16.3% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 1.21 | 8.1% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 22.75 | \$ 1.21 | 5.6% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 1.84 | 7.2% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 33.54 | \$ 2.81 | 9.1% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 33.79 | \$ 2.81 | 9.1% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 53.22 | \$ 5.59 | 11.7% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 61.87 | \$ 6.73 | 12.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.01 | \$ 0.75 | 6.1% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 14.95 | \$ 1.10 | 7.9% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 19.97 | \$ 1.86 | 10.3% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 25.70 | \$ 2.89 | 12.7% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 17.53 | \$ 0.75 | 4.5% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 0.75 | 3.1% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 26.76 | \$ 1.10 | 4.3% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 1.86 | 6.3% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 50.99 | \$ 3.72 | 7.9% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 37.16 | \$ 2.89 | 8.4% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.00 | \$ 0.75 | 3.4% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.03 | \$ 0.75 | 2.2% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 25.49 | \$ 1.10 | 4.5% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 37.16 | \$ 1.10 | 3.1% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 31.20 | \$ 1.86 | 6.3% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 43.03 | \$ 1.86 | 4.5% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 36.93 | \$ 2.89 | 8.5% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 49.57 | \$ 2.89 | 6.2% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 0.43 | 36.4% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.22 | \$ 0.89 | 38.2% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 5.72 | \$ 1.33 | 30.3% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 8.48 | \$ 1.77 | 26.4% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 10.59 | \$ 2.21 | 26.4% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 2.65 | 22.9% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 16.94 | \$ 3.10 | 22.4% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 21.64 | \$ 3.56 | 19.7% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 24.67 | \$ 4.00 | 19.4% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 16.15 | \$ 4.42 | 37.7% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 4.86 | 35.3% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 21.38 | \$ 5.31 | 33.0% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 23.51 | \$ 5.76 | 32.5% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 27.13 | \$ 6.20 | 29.6% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 29.87 | \$ 6.64 | 28.6% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 34.53 | \$ 7.07 | 25.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

73 Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 0.43 | 36.4% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.22 | \$ 0.89 | 38.2% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 7.17 | \$ 1.33 | 22.8% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 11.86 | \$ 1.77 | 17.5% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 2.21 | 17.6% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 21.80 | \$ 2.65 | 13.8% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 3.10 | 13.3% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 36.22 | \$ 3.56 | 10.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 41.67 | \$ 4.00 | 10.6% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 16.15 | \$ 4.42 | 37.7% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 20.07 | \$ 4.86 | 32.0% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 5.31 | 27.3% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 27.72 | \$ 5.76 | 26.2% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 34.72 | \$ 6.20 | 21.7% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 39.33 | \$ 6.64 | 20.3% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 49.11 | \$ 7.07 | 16.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 12.66 | \$ (0.31) | -2.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 25.27 | \$ (0.66) | -2.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 47.76 | \$ (1.62) | -3.3% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 15.00 | \$ (0.31) | -2.0% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 15.37 | \$ (0.17) | -1.1% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 18.85 | \$ (0.26) | -1.4% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 22.92 | \$ (0.45) | -1.9% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 30.47 | \$ (0.69) | -2.2% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 18.45 | \$ (0.17) | -0.9% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 24.25 | \$ (0.26) | -1.1% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 37.84 | \$ (0.38) | -1.0% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 29.66 | \$ (0.45) | -1.5% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 41.40 | \$ (0.45) | -1.1% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 34.79 | \$ (0.69) | -1.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 6.45 | \$ 1.50 | 30.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 12.90 | \$ 3.00 | 30.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 4.48 | 30.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 25.77 | \$ 5.96 | 30.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 7.47 | 30.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 8.96 | 30.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 129.95 | \$ (13.59) | -9.5% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 194.48 | \$ (36.59) | -15.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 258.61 | \$ (59.58) | -18.7% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 322.68 | \$ (82.58) | -20.4% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 386.78 | \$ (105.57) | -21.4% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 450.86 | \$ (128.56) | -22.2% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 13,645.48 | \$ (1,359.77) | -9.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 19,998.68 | \$ (3,659.21) | -15.5% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 26,351.86 | \$ (5,958.68) | -18.4% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 32,705.05 | \$ (8,258.13) | -20.2% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 39,058.23 | \$ (10,557.60) | -21.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 45,411.44 | \$ (12,857.03) | -22.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 5,492.43 | \$ (401.62) | -6.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 8,492.04 | \$ (1,265.55) | -13.0% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 11,491.65 | \$ (2,129.46) | -15.6% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 14,491.26 | \$ (2,993.39) | -17.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 17,490.86 | \$ (3,857.32) | -18.1% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 20,490.48 | \$ (4,721.25) | -18.7% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 53,490.42 | \$ (4,016.24) | -7.0% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 83,323.02 | \$ (12,655.47) | -13.2% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 112,829.57 | \$ (21,294.71) | -15.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 142,336.10 | \$ (29,933.96) | -17.4% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 171,842.66 | \$ (38,573.19) | -18.3% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 201,349.21 | \$ (47,212.43) | -19.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 8,137.07 | \$ (712.40) | -8.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 13,835.81 | \$ (2,047.30) | -12.9% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 19,534.56 | \$ (3,382.20) | -14.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 25,233.30 | \$ (4,717.10) | -15.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 30,932.04 | \$ (6,052.01) | -16.4% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 36,630.80 | \$ (7,386.90) | -16.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 79,323.26 | \$ (7,124.01) | -8.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 135,331.60 | \$ (20,473.02) | -13.1% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 191,339.95 | \$ (33,822.03) | -15.0% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 247,348.29 | \$ (47,171.05) | -16.0% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 303,356.65 | \$ (60,520.05) | -16.6% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 359,364.98 | \$ (73,869.07) | -17.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 25,464.72 | \$ (1,862.69) | -6.8% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 31,985.71 | \$ (5,187.39) | -14.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 38,506.72 | \$ (8,512.08) | -18.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 45,027.71 | \$ (11,836.78) | -20.8% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 51,385.20 | \$ (15,161.47) | -22.8% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 57,710.38 | \$ (18,486.16) | -24.3% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 250,540.70 | \$ (18,626.94) | -6.9% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 313,792.48 | \$ (51,873.88) | -14.2% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 377,044.27 | \$ (85,120.82) | -18.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 440,296.05 | \$ (118,367.76) | -21.2% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 503,547.84 | \$ (151,614.70) | -23.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 566,799.62 | \$ (184,861.64) | -24.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 18.00 | \$ 0.03 | 0.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 19.74 | \$ 0.05 | 0.3% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 20.50 | \$ 0.07 | 0.3% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 23.56 | \$ 0.12 | 0.5% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 25.25 | \$ 0.15 | 0.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 29.86 | \$ 0.24 | 0.8% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 32.05 | \$ 0.29 | 0.9% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 0.04 | 0.5% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 0.06 | 0.7% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 10.91 | \$ 0.11 | 1.0% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 13.86 | \$ 0.16 | 1.2% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 0.28 | 1.3% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 26.02 | \$ 0.37 | 1.4% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 15.96 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 0.06 | 0.4% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.73 | \$ 0.11 | 0.6% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 28.47 | \$ 0.21 | 0.7% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 22.10 | \$ 0.16 | 0.7% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 33.98 | \$ 0.31 | 0.9% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 31.09 | \$ 0.28 | 0.9% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 35.90 | \$ 0.37 | 1.0% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 62.74 | \$ 0.76 | 1.2% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 0.04 | 0.4% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 0.06 | 0.5% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 15.33 | \$ 0.11 | 0.7% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.36 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.39 | \$ 0.06 | 0.3% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 24.13 | \$ 0.11 | 0.5% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 27.39 | \$ 0.16 | 0.6% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 53.03 | \$ 0.28 | 0.5% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 57.55 | \$ 0.37 | 0.6% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 82.70 | \$ 0.76 | 0.9% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 11.24 | \$ 0.06 | 0.5% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 13.57 | \$ 0.11 | 0.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 7.96 | \$ 0.02 | 0.3% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.34 | \$ 0.04 | 0.5% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 9.07 | \$ 0.07 | 0.8% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 10.73 | \$ 0.08 | 0.8% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 11.23 | \$ 0.11 | 1.0% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 0.16 | 1.1% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 30.12 | \$ 0.41 | 1.4% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.75 | \$ 0.02 | 0.1% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.17 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 17.94 | \$ 0.07 | 0.4% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 19.52 | \$ 0.08 | 0.4% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 20.03 | \$ 0.11 | 0.6% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 24.16 | \$ 0.16 | 0.7% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 39.09 | \$ 0.41 | 1.1% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 0.02 | 0.2% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 0.07 | 0.5% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 18.05 | \$ 0.02 | 0.1% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 18.76 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 22.36 | \$ 0.07 | 0.3% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 24.44 | \$ 0.08 | 0.3% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 0.08 | 0.4% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 25.17 | \$ 0.11 | 0.4% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 27.37 | \$ 0.12 | 0.4% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 46.03 | \$ 0.16 | 0.3% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 59.19 | \$ 0.33 | 0.6% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 62.88 | \$ 0.41 | 0.7% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 11.62 | \$ 0.02 | 0.2% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 13.03 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 0.07 | 0.5% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 14.03 | \$ 0.08 | 0.6% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 16.65 | \$ 0.11 | 0.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.45 | \$ 0.03 | 2.1% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 2.87 | \$ 0.05 | 1.8% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 4.34 | \$ 0.09 | 2.1% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 5.77 | \$ 0.10 | 1.8% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 7.21 | \$ 0.13 | 1.8% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 8.63 | \$ 0.14 | 1.6% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 10.10 | \$ 0.18 | 1.8% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 11.54 | \$ 0.20 | 1.8% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 0.24 | 1.9% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 14.41 | \$ 0.24 | 1.7% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 0.27 | 1.7% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 0.30 | 1.8% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 18.75 | \$ 0.33 | 1.8% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 20.18 | \$ 0.35 | 1.8% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 21.64 | \$ 0.38 | 1.8% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 23.04 | \$ 0.39 | 1.7% |
| 97 Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 2.07 | \$ 0.03 | 1.5% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 4.10 | \$ 0.05 | 1.2% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 6.19 | \$ 0.09 | 1.5% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 8.24 | \$ 0.10 | 1.2% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 10.30 | \$ 0.13 | 1.3% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 12.33 | \$ 0.14 | 1.1% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 14.41 | \$ 0.18 | 1.3% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 16.47 | \$ 0.20 | 1.2% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 18.54 | \$ 0.24 | 1.3% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 20.57 | \$ 0.24 | 1.2% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 0.27 | 1.2% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 0.30 | 1.2% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 0.33 | 1.2% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 28.81 | \$ 0.35 | 1.2% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 30.89 | \$ 0.38 | 1.2% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 32.91 | \$ 0.39 | 1.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 2.84 | \$ 0.03 | 1.1% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 5.64 | \$ 0.05 | 0.9% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 8.50 | \$ 0.09 | 1.1% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 11.32 | \$ 0.10 | 0.9% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 14.14 | \$ 0.13 | 0.9% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 16.95 | \$ 0.14 | 0.8% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 0.18 | 0.9% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 22.62 | \$ 0.20 | 0.9% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 25.46 | \$ 0.24 | 1.0% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 28.27 | \$ 0.24 | 0.9% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 31.10 | \$ 0.27 | 0.9% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 33.94 | \$ 0.30 | 0.9% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 36.76 | \$ 0.33 | 0.9% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 39.58 | \$ 0.35 | 0.9% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 42.43 | \$ 0.38 | 0.9% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 45.21 | \$ 0.39 | 0.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 9.90 | \$ (3.37) | -25.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 16.17 | \$ (7.71) | -32.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 28.39 | \$ (18.56) | -39.5% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 14.30 | \$ (3.37) | -19.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 9.80 | \$ (2.05) | -17.3% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 15.32 | \$ (5.13) | -25.1% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 18.97 | \$ (7.96) | -29.6% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 14.83 | \$ (2.05) | -12.1% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 14.83 | \$ (3.56) | -19.4% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 16.71 | \$ (5.42) | -24.5% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 19.77 | \$ (8.39) | -29.8% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 24.61 | \$ (3.56) | -12.6% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 26.49 | \$ (5.42) | -17.0% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 29.55 | \$ (8.39) | -22.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 0.18 | 2.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 14.28 | \$ 0.37 | 2.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 21.42 | \$ 0.55 | 2.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 28.53 | \$ 0.72 | 2.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 35.69 | \$ 0.91 | 2.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 42.84 | \$ 1.11 | 2.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 137.35 | \$ (11.89) | -8.0% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 209.29 | \$ (33.19) | -13.7% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 280.82 | \$ (54.47) | -16.2% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 352.30 | \$ (75.76) | -17.7% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 423.80 | \$ (97.05) | -18.6% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 495.28 | \$ (118.35) | -19.3% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 14,385.88 | \$ (1,189.47) | -7.6% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 21,479.48 | \$ (3,318.61) | -13.4% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 28,573.06 | \$ (5,447.78) | -16.0% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 35,666.65 | \$ (7,576.93) | -17.5% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 42,760.23 | \$ (9,706.10) | -18.5% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 49,853.84 | \$ (11,835.23) | -19.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 5,849.78 | \$ (112.02) | -1.9% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 9,206.74 | \$ (1,126.35) | -10.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 12,563.70 | \$ (2,140.66) | -14.6% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 15,920.66 | \$ (3,154.99) | -16.5% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 19,277.61 | \$ (4,169.32) | -17.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 22,634.58 | \$ (5,183.65) | -18.6% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 57,063.92 | \$ (1,120.24) | -1.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 90,470.02 | \$ (11,263.47) | -11.1% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 123,550.07 | \$ (21,406.71) | -14.8% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 156,630.10 | \$ (31,549.96) | -16.8% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 189,710.16 | \$ (41,693.19) | -18.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 222,790.21 | \$ (51,836.43) | -18.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 8,831.67 | \$ (553.70) | -5.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 15,225.01 | \$ (2,180.90) | -12.5% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 21,618.36 | \$ (3,808.10) | -15.0% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 28,011.70 | \$ (5,435.30) | -16.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 34,405.04 | \$ (7,062.51) | -17.0% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 40,798.40 | \$ (8,689.70) | -17.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 86,269.26 | \$ (5,537.01) | -6.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 149,223.60 | \$ (21,809.02) | -12.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 212,177.95 | \$ (38,081.03) | -15.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 275,132.29 | \$ (54,353.05) | -16.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 338,086.65 | \$ (70,625.05) | -17.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 401,040.98 | \$ (86,897.07) | -17.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 26,852.52 | \$ (2,028.69) | -7.0% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 34,761.31 | \$ (5,937.39) | -14.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 42,670.12 | \$ (9,846.08) | -18.7% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 50,578.91 | \$ (13,754.78) | -21.4% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 58,324.20 | \$ (17,663.47) | -23.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 66,037.18 | \$ (21,572.16) | -24.6% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 264,418.70 | \$ (20,286.94) | -7.1% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 341,548.48 | \$ (59,373.88) | -14.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 418,678.27 | \$ (98,460.82) | -19.0% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 495,808.05 | \$ (137,547.76) | -21.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 572,937.84 | \$ (176,634.70) | -23.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 650,067.62 | \$ (215,721.64) | -24.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.18 | \$ 0.26 | 1.5% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 20.16 | \$ 0.61 | 3.1% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 21.02 | \$ 0.76 | 3.8% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 1.36 | 5.9% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 26.42 | \$ 1.71 | 6.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 31.66 | \$ 2.64 | 9.1% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 34.13 | \$ 3.06 | 9.8% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 8.46 | \$ 0.47 | 5.9% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 9.32 | \$ 0.74 | 8.6% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 11.68 | \$ 1.14 | 10.8% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 15.03 | \$ 1.72 | 12.9% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 23.46 | \$ 3.12 | 15.3% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 28.84 | \$ 4.12 | 16.7% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 0.47 | 3.0% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 0.74 | 4.5% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 20.50 | \$ 1.14 | 5.9% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 30.01 | \$ 2.26 | 8.1% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 23.27 | \$ 1.72 | 8.0% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 36.32 | \$ 3.42 | 10.4% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 33.22 | \$ 3.12 | 10.4% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 38.72 | \$ 4.12 | 11.9% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 68.36 | \$ 8.26 | 13.7% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.30 | \$ 0.47 | 4.3% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 12.69 | \$ 0.74 | 6.2% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 16.10 | \$ 1.14 | 7.6% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 18.68 | \$ 0.47 | 2.6% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 19.90 | \$ 0.74 | 3.9% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 24.90 | \$ 1.14 | 4.8% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 28.56 | \$ 1.72 | 6.4% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 55.16 | \$ 3.12 | 6.0% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 60.37 | \$ 4.12 | 7.3% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 88.32 | \$ 8.26 | 10.3% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 11.75 | \$ 0.74 | 6.7% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 14.34 | \$ 1.14 | 8.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.17 | \$ 0.31 | 3.9% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 8.65 | \$ 0.46 | 5.6% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 9.53 | \$ 0.69 | 7.8% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 0.96 | 9.2% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 12.01 | \$ 1.15 | 10.6% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 15.56 | \$ 1.78 | 12.9% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 33.16 | \$ 4.46 | 15.5% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 15.96 | \$ 0.31 | 2.0% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 16.48 | \$ 0.46 | 2.9% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 18.40 | \$ 0.69 | 3.9% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 20.18 | \$ 0.96 | 5.0% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 1.15 | 5.8% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 25.37 | \$ 1.78 | 7.5% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 4.46 | 11.8% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.27 | \$ 0.31 | 2.8% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 12.09 | \$ 0.46 | 4.0% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 13.62 | \$ 0.69 | 5.3% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.26 | \$ 0.31 | 1.7% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 19.07 | \$ 0.46 | 2.5% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 22.82 | \$ 0.69 | 3.1% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 25.09 | \$ 0.95 | 3.9% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 22.78 | \$ 0.96 | 4.4% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 25.95 | \$ 1.15 | 4.6% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 28.32 | \$ 1.38 | 5.1% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 47.24 | \$ 1.78 | 3.9% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 61.60 | \$ 3.54 | 6.1% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 65.92 | \$ 4.46 | 7.3% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 11.83 | \$ 0.31 | 2.7% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 13.34 | \$ 0.46 | 3.6% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 14.37 | \$ 0.69 | 5.0% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 14.69 | \$ 0.96 | 7.0% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 17.43 | \$ 1.15 | 7.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.63 | \$ 0.27 | 19.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 3.24 | \$ 0.54 | 20.0% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 4.89 | \$ 0.82 | 20.1% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 6.51 | \$ 1.08 | 19.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 1.36 | 20.1% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 9.74 | \$ 1.62 | 20.0% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 1.90 | 20.0% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 2.18 | 20.1% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 2.46 | 20.2% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 2.72 | 20.1% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 2.99 | 20.1% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 19.53 | \$ 3.26 | 20.0% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 21.16 | \$ 3.54 | 20.1% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 22.77 | \$ 3.81 | 20.1% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 4.07 | 20.0% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 26.01 | \$ 4.34 | 20.0% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 0.27 | 13.6% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 4.47 | \$ 0.54 | 13.7% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 6.74 | \$ 0.82 | 13.9% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 8.98 | \$ 1.08 | 13.7% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 11.23 | \$ 1.36 | 13.8% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 13.44 | \$ 1.62 | 13.7% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 1.90 | 13.8% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 2.18 | 13.8% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 20.20 | \$ 2.46 | 13.9% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 22.43 | \$ 2.72 | 13.8% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 24.68 | \$ 2.99 | 13.8% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 3.26 | 13.8% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 29.18 | \$ 3.54 | 13.8% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 31.40 | \$ 3.81 | 13.8% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 33.66 | \$ 4.07 | 13.8% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 35.88 | \$ 4.34 | 13.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 3.02 | \$ 0.27 | 9.8% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 6.01 | \$ 0.54 | 9.9% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 9.05 | \$ 0.82 | 10.0% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 1.08 | 9.8% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 15.07 | \$ 1.36 | 9.9% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 18.06 | \$ 1.62 | 9.9% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 21.09 | \$ 1.90 | 9.9% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 24.10 | \$ 2.18 | 9.9% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 27.12 | \$ 2.46 | 10.0% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 30.13 | \$ 2.72 | 9.9% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 33.14 | \$ 2.99 | 9.9% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 36.16 | \$ 3.26 | 9.9% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 39.17 | \$ 3.54 | 9.9% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 42.17 | \$ 3.81 | 9.9% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 45.20 | \$ 4.07 | 9.9% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 48.18 | \$ 4.34 | 9.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 10.41 | \$ (1.95) | -15.8% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 17.34 | \$ (4.44) | -20.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 31.21 | \$ (10.70) | -25.5% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 14.81 | \$ (1.95) | -11.6% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 10.11 | \$ (1.18) | -10.5% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 16.10 | \$ (2.95) | -15.5% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 20.18 | \$ (4.58) | -18.5% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 15.14 | \$ (1.18) | -7.2% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 15.37 | \$ (2.05) | -11.8% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 17.53 | \$ (3.13) | -15.2% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 21.04 | \$ (4.84) | -18.7% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 25.15 | \$ (2.05) | -7.5% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 27.31 | \$ (3.13) | -10.3% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 30.82 | \$ (4.84) | -13.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 7.88 | \$ 0.18 | 2.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 15.76 | \$ 0.38 | 2.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 23.64 | \$ 0.56 | 2.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 31.50 | \$ 0.74 | 2.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 0.93 | 2.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 47.28 | \$ 1.12 | 2.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 136.02 | \$ (15.73) | -10.4% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 199.86 | \$ (34.42) | -14.7% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 263.24 | \$ (53.12) | -16.8% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 326.62 | \$ (71.81) | -18.0% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 390.00 | \$ (90.52) | -18.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 453.40 | \$ (109.19) | -19.4% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 15,708.26 | \$ (1,572.84) | -9.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 21,990.43 | \$ (3,442.26) | -13.5% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 28,272.58 | \$ (5,311.70) | -15.8% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 34,554.75 | \$ (7,181.12) | -17.2% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 40,836.90 | \$ (9,050.56) | -18.1% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 47,119.07 | \$ (10,919.98) | -18.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,017.37 | \$ (200.55) | -3.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 8,195.51 | \$ (897.95) | -9.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 11,373.67 | \$ (1,595.34) | -12.3% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 14,551.80 | \$ (2,292.75) | -13.6% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 17,729.94 | \$ (2,990.15) | -14.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 20,908.09 | \$ (3,687.55) | -15.0% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 48,739.78 | \$ (2,005.49) | -4.0% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 80,240.40 | \$ (8,979.48) | -10.1% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 111,181.01 | \$ (15,953.47) | -12.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 142,121.59 | \$ (22,927.48) | -13.9% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 173,062.21 | \$ (29,901.46) | -14.7% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 204,002.81 | \$ (36,875.45) | -15.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 7,413.14 | \$ (422.50) | -5.4% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 12,624.95 | \$ (2,176.20) | -14.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 17,836.77 | \$ (3,929.90) | -18.1% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 23,048.59 | \$ (5,683.60) | -19.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 28,260.40 | \$ (7,437.31) | -20.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 33,472.22 | \$ (9,191.00) | -21.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 71,966.64 | \$ (4,225.01) | -5.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 122,403.09 | \$ (21,762.02) | -15.1% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 172,839.55 | \$ (39,299.03) | -18.5% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 223,276.00 | \$ (56,836.05) | -20.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 273,712.47 | \$ (74,373.05) | -21.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 324,148.91 | \$ (91,910.07) | -22.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 25,121.66 | \$ (822.58) | -3.2% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 31,177.00 | \$ (4,119.17) | -11.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 37,232.34 | \$ (7,415.75) | -16.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 43,287.68 | \$ (10,712.34) | -19.8% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 49,062.18 | \$ (14,008.92) | -22.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 54,781.18 | \$ (17,305.50) | -24.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 246,290.19 | \$ (8,225.85) | -3.2% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 303,480.19 | \$ (41,191.69) | -12.0% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 360,670.20 | \$ (74,157.54) | -17.1% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 417,860.20 | \$ (107,123.39) | -20.4% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 475,050.21 | \$ (140,089.24) | -22.8% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 532,240.21 | \$ (173,055.08) | -24.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 0.22 | 1.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 0.50 | 3.7% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 14.78 | \$ 0.63 | 4.5% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 17.82 | \$ 1.14 | 6.8% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 19.51 | \$ 1.41 | 7.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 24.12 | \$ 2.17 | 9.9% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 26.29 | \$ 2.54 | 10.7% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.24 | \$ 0.22 | 1.7% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 14.99 | \$ 0.50 | 3.5% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 15.76 | \$ 0.63 | 4.2% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 18.80 | \$ 1.14 | 6.5% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 20.49 | \$ 1.41 | 7.4% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 2.17 | 9.5% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 2.54 | 10.3% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 0.22 | 1.1% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 21.19 | \$ 0.50 | 2.4% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 0.63 | 3.0% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 25.00 | \$ 1.14 | 4.8% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 26.69 | \$ 1.41 | 5.6% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 31.30 | \$ 2.17 | 7.4% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 33.47 | \$ 2.54 | 8.2% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 34.87 | \$ 0.42 | 1.2% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 38.34 | \$ 1.00 | 2.7% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 39.88 | \$ 1.27 | 3.3% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 45.96 | \$ 2.27 | 5.2% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 49.34 | \$ 2.82 | 6.1% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 58.60 | \$ 4.36 | 8.0% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 62.94 | \$ 5.08 | 8.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 19.25 | \$ 0.41 | 2.2% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 0.85 | 4.0% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 1.23 | 5.4% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 31.17 | \$ 2.39 | 8.3% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 17.51 | \$ 0.41 | 2.4% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 20.18 | \$ 0.85 | 4.4% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 22.40 | \$ 1.23 | 5.8% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 29.43 | \$ 2.39 | 8.8% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 25.46 | \$ 0.81 | 3.3% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 30.80 | \$ 1.70 | 5.8% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 35.27 | \$ 2.43 | 7.4% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 49.30 | \$ 4.75 | 10.7% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 9.73 | \$ 0.62 | 6.8% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 12.21 | \$ 0.93 | 8.2% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 16.86 | \$ 1.43 | 9.3% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 2.58 | 9.8% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 36.54 | \$ 3.42 | 10.3% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 12.01 | \$ 0.62 | 5.4% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 14.33 | \$ 0.93 | 6.9% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 19.56 | \$ 1.43 | 7.9% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 31.93 | \$ 2.58 | 8.8% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 39.51 | \$ 3.42 | 9.5% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 0.62 | 4.1% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 18.17 | \$ 0.93 | 5.4% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 23.19 | \$ 1.43 | 6.6% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 33.79 | \$ 2.58 | 8.3% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 41.15 | \$ 3.42 | 9.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 17.68 | \$ 1.25 | 7.6% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 31.73 | \$ 2.83 | 9.8% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 34.39 | \$ 2.83 | 9.0% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 28.84 | \$ 1.88 | 7.0% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 37.66 | \$ 2.83 | 8.1% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 11.44 | \$ 0.38 | 3.4% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 13.75 | \$ 0.56 | 4.2% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 0.78 | 4.5% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 16.08 | \$ 0.94 | 6.2% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 22.47 | \$ 1.47 | 7.0% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 13.29 | \$ 0.38 | 2.9% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 15.07 | \$ 0.56 | 3.9% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 20.11 | \$ 0.78 | 4.0% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 20.05 | \$ 0.94 | 4.9% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 25.90 | \$ 1.47 | 6.0% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 17.04 | \$ 0.38 | 2.3% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 28.34 | \$ 0.38 | 1.4% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 16.08 | \$ 0.56 | 3.6% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 24.06 | \$ 0.78 | 3.4% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 21.75 | \$ 0.94 | 4.5% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 36.77 | \$ 0.94 | 2.6% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 26.63 | \$ 1.47 | 5.8% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 46.91 | \$ 0.23 | 0.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 22.43 | \$ 0.75 | 3.5% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 25.61 | \$ 1.12 | 4.6% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 32.34 | \$ 1.89 | 6.2% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 23.32 | \$ 0.75 | 3.3% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 26.05 | \$ 1.12 | 4.5% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 33.75 | \$ 1.89 | 5.9% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 27.56 | \$ 0.75 | 2.8% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 32.73 | \$ 1.12 | 3.5% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 40.00 | \$ 1.89 | 5.0% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 40.81 | \$ 2.93 | 7.7% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 0.23 | 20.2% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 0.45 | 20.0% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 5.20 | \$ 0.69 | 15.3% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 8.04 | \$ 0.90 | 12.6% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 10.04 | \$ 1.13 | 12.7% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 14.00 | \$ 1.34 | 10.6% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 16.80 | \$ 1.58 | 10.4% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 22.11 | \$ 1.81 | 8.9% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 25.31 | \$ 2.03 | 8.7% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 13.59 | \$ 2.25 | 19.8% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 16.05 | \$ 2.47 | 18.2% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 18.94 | \$ 2.71 | 16.7% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 20.92 | \$ 2.93 | 16.3% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 3.16 | 14.5% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 27.69 | \$ 3.38 | 13.9% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 32.98 | \$ 3.59 | 12.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 9.12 | \$ (1.99) | -17.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 24.23 | \$ (4.53) | -15.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 40.46 | \$ (10.92) | -21.3% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 15.71 | \$ (1.99) | -11.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 12.60 | \$ (2.54) | -16.8% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 22.56 | \$ (4.69) | -17.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 9.13 | \$ 0.32 | 3.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 18.26 | \$ 0.63 | 3.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 0.95 | 3.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 36.51 | \$ 1.23 | 3.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 45.66 | \$ 1.56 | 3.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 54.78 | \$ 1.88 | 3.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 143.42 | \$ (17.58) | -10.9% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 214.67 | \$ (38.11) | -15.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 285.45 | \$ (58.65) | -17.0% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 356.24 | \$ (79.18) | -18.2% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 427.02 | \$ (99.73) | -18.9% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 497.82 | \$ (120.26) | -19.5% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 16,448.66 | \$ (1,757.24) | -9.7% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 23,471.23 | \$ (3,811.06) | -14.0% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 30,493.78 | \$ (5,864.90) | -16.1% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 37,516.35 | \$ (7,918.72) | -17.4% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 44,538.90 | \$ (9,972.56) | -18.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 51,561.47 | \$ (12,026.38) | -18.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 5,374.72 | \$ (273.45) | -4.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 8,910.21 | \$ (1,121.25) | -11.2% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 12,445.72 | \$ (1,969.04) | -13.7% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 15,981.20 | \$ (2,816.85) | -15.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 19,516.69 | \$ (3,664.65) | -15.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 23,052.19 | \$ (4,512.45) | -16.4% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 52,313.28 | \$ (2,734.49) | -5.0% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 87,387.40 | \$ (11,212.48) | -11.4% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 121,901.51 | \$ (19,690.47) | -13.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 156,415.59 | \$ (28,168.48) | -15.3% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 190,929.71 | \$ (36,646.46) | -16.1% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 225,443.81 | \$ (45,124.45) | -16.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 8,107.74 | \$ (665.80) | -7.6% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 14,014.15 | \$ (2,711.80) | -16.2% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 19,920.57 | \$ (4,757.80) | -19.3% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 25,826.99 | \$ (6,803.80) | -20.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 31,733.40 | \$ (8,849.81) | -21.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 37,639.82 | \$ (10,895.80) | -22.4% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 78,912.64 | \$ (6,658.01) | -7.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 136,295.09 | \$ (27,118.02) | -16.6% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 193,677.55 | \$ (47,578.03) | -19.7% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 251,060.00 | \$ (68,038.05) | -21.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 308,442.47 | \$ (88,498.05) | -22.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 365,824.91 | \$ (108,958.07) | -22.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 26,509.46 | \$ (1,380.58) | -5.0% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 33,952.60 | \$ (5,261.17) | -13.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 41,395.74 | \$ (9,141.75) | -18.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 48,838.88 | \$ (13,022.34) | -21.1% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 56,001.18 | \$ (16,902.92) | -23.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 63,107.98 | \$ (20,783.50) | -24.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 260,168.19 | \$ (13,805.85) | -5.0% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 331,236.19 | \$ (52,611.69) | -13.7% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 402,304.20 | \$ (91,417.54) | -18.5% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 473,372.20 | \$ (130,223.39) | -21.6% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 544,440.21 | \$ (169,029.24) | -23.7% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 615,508.21 | \$ (207,835.08) | -25.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.44 | \$ 0.26 | 2.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 14.43 | \$ 0.61 | 4.4% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 15.30 | \$ 0.76 | 5.2% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 18.75 | \$ 1.36 | 7.8% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 20.68 | \$ 1.71 | 9.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 25.92 | \$ 2.63 | 11.3% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 28.37 | \$ 3.05 | 12.0% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.42 | \$ 0.26 | 2.0% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 15.41 | \$ 0.61 | 4.1% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 0.76 | 4.9% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 19.73 | \$ 1.36 | 7.4% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 21.66 | \$ 1.71 | 8.6% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 26.90 | \$ 2.63 | 10.8% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 3.05 | 11.6% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 0.26 | 1.3% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 21.61 | \$ 0.61 | 2.9% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 22.48 | \$ 0.76 | 3.5% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 1.36 | 5.5% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 1.71 | 6.5% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 33.10 | \$ 2.63 | 8.6% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 35.55 | \$ 3.05 | 9.4% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 35.23 | \$ 0.52 | 1.5% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 39.17 | \$ 1.21 | 3.2% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 40.91 | \$ 1.52 | 3.9% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 47.82 | \$ 2.73 | 6.1% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 51.66 | \$ 3.40 | 7.0% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 62.18 | \$ 5.24 | 9.2% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 67.12 | \$ 6.13 | 10.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 19.58 | \$ 0.49 | 2.6% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 22.61 | \$ 1.02 | 4.7% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 25.14 | \$ 1.48 | 6.3% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 2.87 | 9.5% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 17.84 | \$ 0.49 | 2.8% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 1.02 | 5.1% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 23.40 | \$ 1.48 | 6.8% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 31.38 | \$ 2.87 | 10.1% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 26.12 | \$ 0.97 | 3.9% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 32.19 | \$ 2.04 | 6.8% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 2.93 | 8.5% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 53.21 | \$ 5.73 | 12.1% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 10.24 | \$ 0.74 | 7.8% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 12.98 | \$ 1.13 | 9.5% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 1.72 | 10.5% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 31.15 | \$ 3.11 | 11.1% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 39.36 | \$ 4.12 | 11.7% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 12.52 | \$ 0.74 | 6.3% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 15.10 | \$ 1.13 | 8.1% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 20.73 | \$ 1.72 | 9.0% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 34.06 | \$ 3.11 | 10.0% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 42.33 | \$ 4.12 | 10.8% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 16.24 | \$ 0.74 | 4.8% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 18.94 | \$ 1.13 | 6.3% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 1.72 | 7.6% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 35.92 | \$ 3.11 | 9.5% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 43.97 | \$ 4.12 | 10.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 18.70 | \$ 1.50 | 8.7% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 34.07 | \$ 3.41 | 11.1% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 36.73 | \$ 3.41 | 10.2% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 30.38 | \$ 2.26 | 8.0% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 40.00 | \$ 3.41 | 9.3% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 11.75 | \$ 0.46 | 4.1% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 0.68 | 5.0% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 18.64 | \$ 0.95 | 5.4% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 16.86 | \$ 1.14 | 7.3% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 1.78 | 8.1% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 13.60 | \$ 0.46 | 3.5% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 15.53 | \$ 0.68 | 4.6% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 20.76 | \$ 0.95 | 4.8% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 20.83 | \$ 1.14 | 5.8% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 27.11 | \$ 1.78 | 7.0% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 0.46 | 2.7% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 28.65 | \$ 0.46 | 1.6% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 0.68 | 4.3% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 0.95 | 4.0% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 22.53 | \$ 1.14 | 5.3% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 37.55 | \$ 1.14 | 3.1% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 27.84 | \$ 1.78 | 6.8% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 47.09 | \$ 0.27 | 0.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 23.05 | \$ 0.91 | 4.1% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 26.53 | \$ 1.35 | 5.4% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 33.90 | \$ 2.28 | 7.2% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 23.94 | \$ 0.91 | 4.0% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 26.97 | \$ 1.35 | 5.3% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 35.31 | \$ 2.28 | 6.9% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 28.18 | \$ 0.91 | 3.3% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 33.65 | \$ 1.35 | 4.2% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 41.56 | \$ 2.28 | 5.8% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 43.22 | \$ 3.53 | 8.9% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 0.27 | 21.1% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 3.07 | \$ 0.54 | 21.3% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 5.75 | \$ 0.82 | 16.6% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 8.78 | \$ 1.08 | 14.0% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 10.97 | \$ 1.36 | 14.2% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 1.61 | 11.9% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 18.09 | \$ 1.90 | 11.7% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 2.18 | 10.2% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 26.97 | \$ 2.45 | 10.0% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 15.45 | \$ 2.72 | 21.4% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 18.09 | \$ 2.98 | 19.7% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 21.16 | \$ 3.26 | 18.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 23.33 | \$ 3.53 | 17.8% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 27.48 | \$ 3.80 | 16.0% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 30.46 | \$ 4.06 | 15.4% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 35.95 | \$ 4.33 | 13.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 9.63 | \$ (1.85) | -16.1% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 25.40 | \$ (4.20) | -14.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 43.28 | \$ (10.13) | -19.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 16.22 | \$ (1.85) | -10.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 13.25 | \$ (2.35) | -15.1% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 23.77 | \$ (4.34) | -15.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 0.05 | 0.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.74 | \$ 0.12 | 0.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 29.63 | \$ 0.17 | 0.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 39.48 | \$ 0.21 | 0.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 49.36 | \$ 0.27 | 0.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 59.22 | \$ 0.33 | 0.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 30.34 | \$ (3.00) | -9.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 56.68 | \$ (6.99) | -11.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.83 | \$ 83.00 | \$ (11.83) | -12.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.00 | \$ 109.35 | \$ (16.65) | -13.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 157.16 | \$ 135.71 | \$ (21.45) | -13.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 188.32 | \$ 162.05 | \$ (26.27) | -13.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 250.62 | \$ 214.69 | \$ (35.93) | -14.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.72 | \$ 267.15 | \$ (45.57) | -14.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.80 | \$ 319.55 | \$ (55.25) | -14.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 436.91 | \$ 372.00 | \$ (64.91) | -14.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 498.97 | \$ 424.45 | \$ (74.52) | -14.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 561.09 | \$ 476.90 | \$ (84.19) | -15.0% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 623.18 | \$ 529.34 | \$ (93.84) | -15.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 685.25 | \$ 581.76 | \$ (103.49) | -15.1% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 747.36 | \$ 634.21 | \$ (113.15) | -15.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 809.42 | \$ 686.66 | \$ (122.76) | -15.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 871.54 | \$ 739.12 | \$ (132.42) | -15.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 933.63 | \$ 791.57 | \$ (142.06) | -15.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 995.71 | \$ 843.98 | \$ (151.73) | -15.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,057.82 | \$ 896.41 | \$ (161.41) | -15.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,119.88 | \$ 948.86 | \$ (171.02) | -15.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,181.99 | \$ 1,001.31 | \$ (180.68) | -15.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,244.07 | \$ 1,053.74 | \$ (190.33) | -15.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,306.18 | \$ 1,106.19 | \$ (199.99) | -15.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,368.27 | \$ 1,158.63 | \$ (209.64) | -15.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,430.37 | \$ 1,211.11 | \$ (219.26) | -15.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,492.44 | \$ 1,263.52 | \$ (228.92) | -15.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 22.84 | \$ 19.84 | \$ (3.00) | -13.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 42.67 | \$ 35.68 | \$ (6.99) | -16.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 54.33 | \$ 42.50 | \$ (11.83) | -21.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 66.00 | \$ 49.35 | \$ (16.65) | -25.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 77.66 | \$ 56.21 | \$ (21.45) | -27.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 89.32 | \$ 63.05 | \$ (26.27) | -29.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 112.62 | \$ 76.69 | \$ (35.93) | -31.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 135.72 | \$ 90.15 | \$ (45.57) | -33.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 158.80 | \$ 103.55 | \$ (55.25) | -34.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 181.91 | \$ 117.00 | \$ (64.91) | -35.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 204.97 | \$ 130.45 | \$ (74.52) | -36.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 228.09 | \$ 143.90 | \$ (84.19) | -36.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 251.18 | \$ 157.34 | \$ (93.84) | -37.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 274.25 | \$ 170.76 | \$ (103.49) | -37.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 297.36 | \$ 184.21 | \$ (113.15) | -38.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 320.42 | \$ 197.66 | \$ (122.76) | -38.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 343.54 | \$ 211.12 | \$ (132.42) | -38.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 366.63 | \$ 224.57 | \$ (142.06) | -38.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 389.71 | \$ 237.98 | \$ (151.73) | -38.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 412.82 | \$ 251.41 | \$ (161.41) | -39.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 435.88 | \$ 264.86 | \$ (171.02) | -39.2% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 458.99 | \$ 278.31 | \$ (180.68) | -39.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 482.07 | \$ 291.74 | \$ (190.33) | -39.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 505.18 | \$ 305.19 | \$ (199.99) | -39.6% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 528.27 | \$ 318.63 | \$ (209.64) | -39.7% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 551.37 | \$ 332.11 | \$ (219.26) | -39.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 574.44 | \$ 345.52 | \$ (228.92) | -39.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 30.34 | \$ (3.00) | -9.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 56.68 | \$ (6.99) | -11.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 89.33 | \$ 77.50 | \$ (11.83) | -13.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 115.00 | \$ 98.35 | \$ (16.65) | -14.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.66 | \$ 119.21 | \$ (21.45) | -15.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 166.32 | \$ 140.05 | \$ (26.27) | -15.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 217.62 | \$ 181.69 | \$ (35.93) | -16.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 268.72 | \$ 223.15 | \$ (45.57) | -17.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 319.80 | \$ 264.55 | \$ (55.25) | -17.3% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 370.91 | \$ 306.00 | \$ (64.91) | -17.5% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 421.97 | \$ 347.45 | \$ (74.52) | -17.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 473.09 | \$ 388.90 | \$ (84.19) | -17.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 524.18 | \$ 430.34 | \$ (93.84) | -17.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 575.25 | \$ 471.76 | \$ (103.49) | -18.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 626.36 | \$ 513.21 | \$ (113.15) | -18.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 677.42 | \$ 554.66 | \$ (122.76) | -18.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 728.54 | \$ 596.12 | \$ (132.42) | -18.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 779.63 | \$ 637.57 | \$ (142.06) | -18.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 830.71 | \$ 678.98 | \$ (151.73) | -18.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 881.82 | \$ 720.41 | \$ (161.41) | -18.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 932.88 | \$ 761.86 | \$ (171.02) | -18.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 983.99 | \$ 803.31 | \$ (180.68) | -18.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,035.07 | \$ 844.74 | \$ (190.33) | -18.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,086.18 | \$ 886.19 | \$ (199.99) | -18.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,137.27 | \$ 927.63 | \$ (209.64) | -18.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,188.37 | \$ 969.11 | \$ (219.26) | -18.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,239.44 | \$ 1,010.52 | \$ (228.92) | -18.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 32.40 | \$ (0.47) | -1.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 60.79 | \$ (0.95) | -1.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 89.17 | \$ (3.93) | -4.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 117.57 | \$ (6.91) | -5.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 145.99 | \$ (9.85) | -6.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 174.39 | \$ (12.82) | -6.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 231.14 | \$ (18.78) | -7.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 287.71 | \$ (24.73) | -7.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 344.22 | \$ (30.70) | -8.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 400.80 | \$ (36.63) | -8.4% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 457.36 | \$ (42.55) | -8.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 513.92 | \$ (48.51) | -8.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 570.47 | \$ (54.47) | -8.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 627.01 | \$ (60.40) | -8.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 683.57 | \$ (66.36) | -8.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 740.13 | \$ (72.28) | -8.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 796.70 | \$ (78.23) | -8.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 853.27 | \$ (84.17) | -9.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 909.79 | \$ (90.14) | -9.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 966.34 | \$ (96.09) | -9.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,022.90 | \$ (102.01) | -9.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,079.47 | \$ (107.96) | -9.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,136.01 | \$ (113.91) | -9.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,192.57 | \$ (119.87) | -9.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,249.12 | \$ (125.82) | -9.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,305.72 | \$ (131.73) | -9.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,362.24 | \$ (137.69) | -9.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 32.40 | \$ (0.47) | -1.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 60.79 | \$ (0.95) | -1.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 89.17 | \$ (3.93) | -4.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 117.57 | \$ (6.91) | -5.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 145.99 | \$ (9.85) | -6.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 174.39 | \$ (12.82) | -6.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 231.14 | \$ (18.78) | -7.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 287.71 | \$ (24.73) | -7.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 344.22 | \$ (30.70) | -8.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 400.80 | \$ (36.63) | -8.4% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 457.36 | \$ (42.55) | -8.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 513.92 | \$ (48.51) | -8.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 570.47 | \$ (54.47) | -8.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 627.01 | \$ (60.40) | -8.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 683.57 | \$ (66.36) | -8.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 740.13 | \$ (72.28) | -8.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 796.70 | \$ (78.23) | -8.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 853.27 | \$ (84.17) | -9.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 909.79 | \$ (90.14) | -9.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 966.34 | \$ (96.09) | -9.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,022.90 | \$ (102.01) | -9.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,079.47 | \$ (107.96) | -9.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,136.01 | \$ (113.91) | -9.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,192.57 | \$ (119.87) | -9.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,249.12 | \$ (125.82) | -9.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,305.72 | \$ (131.73) | -9.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,362.24 | \$ (137.69) | -9.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 32.40 | \$ (0.47) | -1.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 60.79 | \$ (0.95) | -1.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 89.17 | \$ (3.93) | -4.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 117.57 | \$ (6.91) | -5.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 145.99 | \$ (9.85) | -6.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 174.39 | \$ (12.82) | -6.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 231.14 | \$ (18.78) | -7.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 287.71 | \$ (24.73) | -7.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 344.22 | \$ (30.70) | -8.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 400.80 | \$ (36.63) | -8.4% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 457.36 | \$ (42.55) | -8.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 513.92 | \$ (48.51) | -8.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 570.47 | \$ (54.47) | -8.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 627.01 | \$ (60.40) | -8.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 683.57 | \$ (66.36) | -8.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 740.13 | \$ (72.28) | -8.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 796.70 | \$ (78.23) | -8.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 853.27 | \$ (84.17) | -9.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 909.79 | \$ (90.14) | -9.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 966.34 | \$ (96.09) | -9.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,022.90 | \$ (102.01) | -9.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,079.47 | \$ (107.96) | -9.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,136.01 | \$ (113.91) | -9.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,192.57 | \$ (119.87) | -9.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,249.12 | \$ (125.82) | -9.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,305.72 | \$ (131.73) | -9.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,362.24 | \$ (137.69) | -9.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 155.33 | \$ (11.50) | -6.9% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 226.73 | \$ (37.37) | -14.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 297.68 | \$ (63.27) | -17.5% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 368.64 | \$ (89.15) | -19.5% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 439.61 | \$ (115.04) | -20.7% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 510.57 | \$ (140.90) | -21.6% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 17,093.27 | \$ (1,148.99) | -6.3% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 24,132.95 | \$ (3,737.26) | -13.4% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 31,172.61 | \$ (6,325.55) | -16.9% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 38,212.28 | \$ (8,913.83) | -18.9% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 45,251.93 | \$ (11,502.12) | -20.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 52,291.62 | \$ (14,090.39) | -21.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,253.93 | \$ (589.12) | -10.1% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 8,562.02 | \$ (1,211.80) | -12.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 11,870.13 | \$ (1,834.47) | -13.4% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 15,178.22 | \$ (2,457.15) | -13.9% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 18,486.31 | \$ (3,079.83) | -14.3% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 21,794.42 | \$ (3,702.50) | -14.5% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 51,105.31 | \$ (5,891.25) | -10.3% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 83,955.31 | \$ (12,118.00) | -12.6% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 116,344.65 | \$ (18,344.75) | -13.6% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 148,733.99 | \$ (24,571.51) | -14.2% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 181,123.33 | \$ (30,798.26) | -14.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 213,512.69 | \$ (37,025.00) | -14.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 8,921.70 | \$ (130.30) | -1.4% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 15,269.27 | \$ (858.30) | -5.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 21,616.85 | \$ (1,586.30) | -6.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 27,964.43 | \$ (2,314.30) | -7.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 34,311.99 | \$ (3,042.31) | -8.1% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 40,659.58 | \$ (3,770.30) | -8.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 87,282.07 | \$ (1,303.01) | -1.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 149,374.52 | \$ (8,583.02) | -5.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 211,466.98 | \$ (15,863.03) | -7.0% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 273,559.43 | \$ (23,143.05) | -7.8% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 335,651.90 | \$ (30,423.05) | -8.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 397,744.33 | \$ (37,703.07) | -8.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 24,328.98 | \$ (2,011.49) | -7.6% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 30,812.64 | \$ (5,456.99) | -15.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 37,296.30 | \$ (8,902.48) | -19.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 43,779.96 | \$ (12,347.98) | -22.0% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 50,032.61 | \$ (15,793.47) | -24.0% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 56,239.61 | \$ (19,238.96) | -25.5% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 238,711.62 | \$ (20,114.94) | -7.8% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 300,781.62 | \$ (54,569.88) | -15.4% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 362,851.62 | \$ (89,024.82) | -19.7% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 424,921.62 | \$ (123,479.76) | -22.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 486,991.63 | \$ (157,934.70) | -24.5% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 549,061.63 | \$ (192,389.64) | -25.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.35 | \$ (0.18) | -1.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 14.27 | \$ (0.38) | -2.6% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 15.09 | \$ (0.50) | -3.2% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 18.45 | \$ (0.87) | -4.5% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 20.29 | \$ (1.11) | -5.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 25.36 | \$ (1.69) | -6.2% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 27.76 | \$ (1.97) | -6.6% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.51 | \$ (0.18) | -2.3% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 9.43 | \$ (0.38) | -3.9% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 10.25 | \$ (0.50) | -4.7% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 13.61 | \$ (0.87) | -6.0% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 15.45 | \$ (1.11) | -6.7% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 20.52 | \$ (1.69) | -7.6% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 22.92 | \$ (1.97) | -7.9% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 11.50 | \$ (0.49) | -4.1% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.00 | \$ (0.73) | -4.6% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 20.82 | \$ (1.10) | -5.0% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 46.17 | \$ (2.66) | -5.4% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 15.85 | \$ (0.49) | -3.0% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 22.41 | \$ (0.49) | -2.1% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 26.72 | \$ (0.73) | -2.7% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 32.76 | \$ (1.10) | -3.2% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 33.01 | \$ (1.10) | -3.2% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 51.67 | \$ (2.21) | -4.1% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 60.00 | \$ (2.66) | -4.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.80 | \$ (0.29) | -2.2% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 14.65 | \$ (0.43) | -2.9% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 19.45 | \$ (0.74) | -3.7% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 24.90 | \$ (1.14) | -4.4% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.32 | \$ (0.29) | -1.6% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.36 | \$ (0.29) | -1.2% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 26.46 | \$ (0.43) | -1.6% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 31.10 | \$ (0.74) | -2.3% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 49.95 | \$ (1.48) | -2.9% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 36.36 | \$ (1.14) | -3.0% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 22.79 | \$ (0.29) | -1.3% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 34.82 | \$ (0.29) | -0.8% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.19 | \$ (0.43) | -1.7% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 36.86 | \$ (0.43) | -1.2% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 30.68 | \$ (0.74) | -2.4% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 42.51 | \$ (0.74) | -1.7% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 36.13 | \$ (1.14) | -3.1% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 48.77 | \$ (1.14) | -2.3% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.49 | \$ (0.19) | -11.3% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.97 | \$ (0.35) | -10.5% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 5.35 | \$ (0.52) | -8.9% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 7.99 | \$ (0.69) | -7.9% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 9.97 | \$ (0.88) | -8.1% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 13.47 | \$ (1.06) | -7.3% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 16.09 | \$ (1.22) | -7.0% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 20.66 | \$ (1.39) | -6.3% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 23.56 | \$ (1.57) | -6.2% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.92 | \$ (1.76) | -10.6% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 17.27 | \$ (1.94) | -10.1% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 19.90 | \$ (2.11) | -9.6% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 21.91 | \$ (2.28) | -9.4% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 25.41 | \$ (2.45) | -8.8% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 28.03 | \$ (2.62) | -8.5% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 32.56 | \$ (2.82) | -8.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.49 | \$ (0.19) | -11.3% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.97 | \$ (0.35) | -10.5% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 6.80 | \$ (0.52) | -7.1% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 11.37 | \$ (0.69) | -5.7% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 14.18 | \$ (0.88) | -5.8% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 21.06 | \$ (1.06) | -4.8% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 25.55 | \$ (1.22) | -4.6% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 35.24 | \$ (1.39) | -3.8% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 40.56 | \$ (1.57) | -3.7% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.92 | \$ (1.76) | -10.6% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 18.72 | \$ (1.94) | -9.4% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 23.28 | \$ (2.11) | -8.3% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 26.12 | \$ (2.28) | -8.0% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 33.00 | \$ (2.45) | -6.9% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 37.49 | \$ (2.62) | -6.5% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 47.14 | \$ (2.82) | -5.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 12.50 | \$ (1.85) | -12.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 24.91 | \$ (4.20) | -14.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 46.90 | \$ (10.11) | -17.7% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 14.84 | \$ (1.85) | -11.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.27 | \$ (1.12) | -6.8% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 18.71 | \$ (1.65) | -8.1% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 22.68 | \$ (2.80) | -11.0% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 30.10 | \$ (4.34) | -12.6% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 18.35 | \$ (1.12) | -5.8% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 24.11 | \$ (1.65) | -6.4% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 37.65 | \$ (2.34) | -5.9% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 29.42 | \$ (2.80) | -8.7% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 41.16 | \$ (2.80) | -6.4% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 34.42 | \$ (4.34) | -11.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 0.05 | 1.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 0.07 | 0.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 12.11 | \$ 0.09 | 0.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 16.16 | \$ 0.10 | 0.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 20.21 | \$ 0.15 | 0.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 24.28 | \$ 0.19 | 0.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 163.56 | \$ 0.85 | 0.5% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 243.18 | \$ (12.69) | -5.0% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 322.37 | \$ (26.23) | -7.5% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 401.55 | \$ (39.77) | -9.0% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 480.75 | \$ (53.31) | -10.0% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 559.93 | \$ (66.84) | -10.7% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 17,915.97 | \$ 85.41 | 0.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 25,778.35 | \$ (1,268.46) | -4.7% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 33,640.71 | \$ (2,622.35) | -7.2% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 41,503.08 | \$ (3,976.23) | -8.7% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 49,365.43 | \$ (5,330.12) | -9.7% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 57,227.82 | \$ (6,683.99) | -10.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) (A) | Current Summer Bill (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 5,650.98 | \$ (349.82) | -5.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 9,356.12 | \$ (1,083.20) | -10.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 13,061.28 | \$ (1,816.57) | -12.2% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 16,766.42 | \$ (2,549.95) | -13.2% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 20,471.56 | \$ (3,283.33) | -13.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 24,176.72 | \$ (4,016.70) | -14.2% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 55,075.81 | \$ (3,498.25) | -6.0% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 91,896.31 | \$ (10,832.00) | -10.5% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 128,256.15 | \$ (18,165.75) | -12.4% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 164,615.99 | \$ (25,499.51) | -13.4% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 200,975.83 | \$ (32,833.26) | -14.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 237,335.69 | \$ (40,167.00) | -14.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 9,693.50 | \$ 166.60 | 1.7% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 16,812.87 | \$ (776.50) | -4.4% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 23,932.25 | \$ (1,719.60) | -6.7% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 31,051.63 | \$ (2,662.70) | -7.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 38,170.99 | \$ (3,605.81) | -8.6% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 45,290.38 | \$ (4,548.90) | -9.1% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 95,000.07 | \$ 1,665.99 | 1.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 164,810.52 | \$ (7,765.02) | -4.5% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 234,620.98 | \$ (17,196.03) | -6.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 304,431.43 | \$ (26,627.05) | -8.0% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 374,241.90 | \$ (36,058.05) | -8.8% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 444,052.33 | \$ (45,489.07) | -9.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 25,870.98 | \$ (2,361.29) | -8.4% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 33,896.64 | \$ (6,236.59) | -15.5% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 41,922.30 | \$ (10,111.88) | -19.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 49,947.96 | \$ (13,987.18) | -21.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 57,742.61 | \$ (17,862.47) | -23.6% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 65,491.61 | \$ (21,737.76) | -24.9% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 254,131.62 | \$ (23,612.94) | -8.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 331,621.62 | \$ (62,365.88) | -15.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 409,111.62 | \$ (101,118.82) | -19.8% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 486,601.62 | \$ (139,871.76) | -22.3% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 564,091.63 | \$ (178,624.70) | -24.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 641,581.63 | \$ (217,377.64) | -25.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.55 | \$ 0.49 | 4.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 14.73 | \$ 1.19 | 8.8% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 15.66 | \$ 1.45 | 10.2% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 19.48 | \$ 2.65 | 15.7% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 21.58 | \$ 3.29 | 18.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 27.35 | \$ 5.10 | 22.9% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 30.08 | \$ 5.93 | 24.6% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 7.71 | \$ 0.49 | 6.8% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 9.89 | \$ 1.19 | 13.7% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 10.82 | \$ 1.45 | 15.5% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 14.64 | \$ 2.65 | 22.1% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 16.74 | \$ 3.29 | 24.5% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 22.51 | \$ 5.10 | 29.3% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 25.24 | \$ 5.93 | 30.7% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 12.07 | \$ 1.44 | 13.5% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 15.85 | \$ 2.18 | 15.9% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 3.33 | 17.7% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 49.29 | \$ 7.98 | 19.3% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 16.42 | \$ 1.44 | 9.6% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 22.98 | \$ 1.44 | 6.7% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 27.57 | \$ 2.18 | 8.6% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 34.06 | \$ 3.33 | 10.8% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 34.31 | \$ 3.33 | 10.7% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 54.27 | \$ 6.64 | 13.9% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 63.12 | \$ 7.98 | 14.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.15 | \$ 0.89 | 7.3% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 15.16 | \$ 1.31 | 9.5% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 20.32 | \$ 2.21 | 12.2% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 26.24 | \$ 3.43 | 15.0% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 0.89 | 5.3% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 0.89 | 3.7% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 26.97 | \$ 1.31 | 5.1% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 31.97 | \$ 2.21 | 7.4% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 51.68 | \$ 4.41 | 9.3% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 37.70 | \$ 3.43 | 10.0% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.14 | \$ 0.89 | 4.0% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.17 | \$ 0.89 | 2.6% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 25.70 | \$ 1.31 | 5.4% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 37.37 | \$ 1.31 | 3.6% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 31.55 | \$ 2.21 | 7.5% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 43.38 | \$ 2.21 | 5.4% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 37.47 | \$ 3.43 | 10.1% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 50.11 | \$ 3.43 | 7.3% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.69 | \$ 0.51 | 43.2% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.39 | \$ 1.06 | 45.5% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 5.97 | \$ 1.58 | 36.0% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 2.10 | 31.3% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 11.00 | \$ 2.62 | 31.3% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 14.71 | \$ 3.15 | 27.2% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 17.53 | \$ 3.69 | 26.7% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 22.30 | \$ 4.22 | 23.3% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 25.41 | \$ 4.74 | 22.9% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 16.98 | \$ 5.25 | 44.8% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 19.53 | \$ 5.77 | 41.9% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 6.30 | 39.2% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 24.58 | \$ 6.83 | 38.5% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 28.29 | \$ 7.36 | 35.2% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 31.12 | \$ 7.89 | 34.0% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 35.85 | \$ 8.39 | 30.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or (A) Watts) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

73 Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.69 | \$ 0.51 | 43.2% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.39 | \$ 1.06 | 45.5% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 7.42 | \$ 1.58 | 27.1% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 2.10 | 20.8% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 2.62 | 20.8% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 22.30 | \$ 3.15 | 16.4% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 26.99 | \$ 3.69 | 15.8% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 36.88 | \$ 4.22 | 12.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 42.41 | \$ 4.74 | 12.6% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 16.98 | \$ 5.25 | 44.8% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 20.98 | \$ 5.77 | 37.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 25.75 | \$ 6.30 | 32.4% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 28.79 | \$ 6.83 | 31.1% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 35.88 | \$ 7.36 | 25.8% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 40.58 | \$ 7.89 | 24.1% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 50.43 | \$ 8.39 | 20.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 13.07 | \$ 0.10 | 0.8% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 26.21 | \$ 0.28 | 1.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 50.02 | \$ 0.64 | 1.3% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 15.41 | \$ 0.10 | 0.7% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 15.62 | \$ 0.08 | 0.5% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 0.11 | 0.6% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 23.55 | \$ 0.18 | 0.8% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 31.44 | \$ 0.28 | 0.9% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 18.70 | \$ 0.08 | 0.4% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 24.62 | \$ 0.11 | 0.4% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 38.37 | \$ 0.15 | 0.4% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 30.29 | \$ 0.18 | 0.6% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 42.03 | \$ 0.18 | 0.4% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 35.76 | \$ 0.28 | 0.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 4.87 | \$ (0.08) | -1.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 9.74 | \$ (0.16) | -1.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 14.58 | \$ (0.26) | -1.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 19.45 | \$ (0.36) | -1.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 24.32 | \$ (0.43) | -1.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 29.21 | \$ (0.51) | -1.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 30.53 | \$ (1.49) | -4.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 57.08 | \$ (3.99) | -6.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 92.00 | \$ 83.61 | \$ (8.39) | -9.1% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 122.93 | \$ 110.16 | \$ (12.77) | -10.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 153.86 | \$ 136.71 | \$ (17.15) | -11.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 184.80 | \$ 163.25 | \$ (21.55) | -11.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 246.65 | \$ 216.33 | \$ (30.32) | -12.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 308.31 | \$ 269.20 | \$ (39.11) | -12.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 369.95 | \$ 322.03 | \$ (47.92) | -13.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 431.58 | \$ 374.87 | \$ (56.71) | -13.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 493.20 | \$ 427.72 | \$ (65.48) | -13.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 554.84 | \$ 480.57 | \$ (74.27) | -13.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 616.49 | \$ 533.43 | \$ (83.06) | -13.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 678.12 | \$ 586.27 | \$ (91.85) | -13.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 739.74 | \$ 639.11 | \$ (100.63) | -13.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 801.37 | \$ 691.97 | \$ (109.40) | -13.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 863.02 | \$ 744.83 | \$ (118.19) | -13.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 924.68 | \$ 797.70 | \$ (126.98) | -13.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 986.30 | \$ 850.52 | \$ (135.78) | -13.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,047.94 | \$ 903.37 | \$ (144.57) | -13.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,109.57 | \$ 956.23 | \$ (153.34) | -13.8% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,171.20 | \$ 1,009.08 | \$ (162.12) | -13.8% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,232.84 | \$ 1,061.92 | \$ (170.92) | -13.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,294.48 | \$ 1,114.76 | \$ (179.72) | -13.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,356.11 | \$ 1,167.62 | \$ (188.49) | -13.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,417.76 | \$ 1,220.49 | \$ (197.27) | -13.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,479.38 | \$ 1,273.34 | \$ (206.04) | -13.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 30.53 | \$ (1.49) | -4.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 57.08 | \$ (3.99) | -6.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.82 | \$ 74.43 | \$ (8.39) | -10.1% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 104.58 | \$ 91.81 | \$ (12.77) | -12.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 126.33 | \$ 109.18 | \$ (17.15) | -13.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 138.35 | \$ 116.80 | \$ (21.55) | -15.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 162.35 | \$ 132.03 | \$ (30.32) | -18.7% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 186.16 | \$ 147.05 | \$ (39.11) | -21.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 209.95 | \$ 162.03 | \$ (47.92) | -22.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 233.73 | \$ 177.02 | \$ (56.71) | -24.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 257.50 | \$ 192.02 | \$ (65.48) | -25.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 281.29 | \$ 207.02 | \$ (74.27) | -26.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 305.09 | \$ 222.03 | \$ (83.06) | -27.2% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 328.87 | \$ 237.02 | \$ (91.85) | -27.9% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 352.64 | \$ 252.01 | \$ (100.63) | -28.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 376.42 | \$ 267.02 | \$ (109.40) | -29.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 400.22 | \$ 282.03 | \$ (118.19) | -29.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 424.03 | \$ 297.05 | \$ (126.98) | -29.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 447.80 | \$ 312.02 | \$ (135.78) | -30.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 471.59 | \$ 327.02 | \$ (144.57) | -30.7% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 495.37 | \$ 342.03 | \$ (153.34) | -31.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 519.15 | \$ 357.03 | \$ (162.12) | -31.2% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 542.94 | \$ 372.02 | \$ (170.92) | -31.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 566.73 | \$ 387.01 | \$ (179.72) | -31.7% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 590.51 | \$ 402.02 | \$ (188.49) | -31.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 614.31 | \$ 417.04 | \$ (197.27) | -32.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 638.08 | \$ 432.04 | \$ (206.04) | -32.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 30.53 | \$ (1.49) | -4.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 57.08 | \$ (3.99) | -6.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 87.57 | \$ 79.18 | \$ (8.39) | -9.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 114.08 | \$ 101.31 | \$ (12.77) | -11.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.58 | \$ 123.43 | \$ (17.15) | -12.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 167.10 | \$ 145.55 | \$ (21.55) | -12.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 220.10 | \$ 189.78 | \$ (30.32) | -13.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 272.91 | \$ 233.80 | \$ (39.11) | -14.3% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 325.70 | \$ 277.78 | \$ (47.92) | -14.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 378.48 | \$ 321.77 | \$ (56.71) | -15.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 431.25 | \$ 365.77 | \$ (65.48) | -15.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 484.04 | \$ 409.77 | \$ (74.27) | -15.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 536.84 | \$ 453.78 | \$ (83.06) | -15.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 589.62 | \$ 497.77 | \$ (91.85) | -15.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 642.39 | \$ 541.76 | \$ (100.63) | -15.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 695.17 | \$ 585.77 | \$ (109.40) | -15.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 747.97 | \$ 629.78 | \$ (118.19) | -15.8% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 800.78 | \$ 673.80 | \$ (126.98) | -15.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 853.55 | \$ 717.77 | \$ (135.78) | -15.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 906.34 | \$ 761.77 | \$ (144.57) | -16.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 959.12 | \$ 805.78 | \$ (153.34) | -16.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,011.90 | \$ 849.78 | \$ (162.12) | -16.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,064.69 | \$ 893.77 | \$ (170.92) | -16.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,117.48 | \$ 937.76 | \$ (179.72) | -16.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,170.26 | \$ 981.77 | \$ (188.49) | -16.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,223.06 | \$ 1,025.79 | \$ (197.27) | -16.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,275.83 | \$ 1,069.79 | \$ (206.04) | -16.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 32.59 | \$ (0.72) | -2.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 61.19 | \$ (1.47) | -2.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 89.78 | \$ (4.70) | -5.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 118.38 | \$ (7.93) | -6.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 146.99 | \$ (11.13) | -7.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 175.59 | \$ (14.37) | -7.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 232.78 | \$ (20.82) | -8.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 289.76 | \$ (27.29) | -8.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 346.70 | \$ (33.76) | -8.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 403.67 | \$ (40.20) | -9.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 460.63 | \$ (46.65) | -9.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 517.59 | \$ (53.11) | -9.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 574.56 | \$ (59.58) | -9.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 631.52 | \$ (66.03) | -9.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 688.47 | \$ (72.49) | -9.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 745.44 | \$ (78.94) | -9.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 802.41 | \$ (85.40) | -9.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 859.40 | \$ (91.86) | -9.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 916.33 | \$ (98.33) | -9.7% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 973.30 | \$ (104.77) | -9.7% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,030.27 | \$ (111.22) | -9.7% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,087.24 | \$ (117.67) | -9.8% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,144.19 | \$ (124.14) | -9.8% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,201.14 | \$ (130.62) | -9.8% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,258.11 | \$ (137.07) | -9.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,315.10 | \$ (143.51) | -9.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,372.06 | \$ (149.96) | -9.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 32.59 | \$ (0.72) | -2.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 61.19 | \$ (1.47) | -2.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 89.78 | \$ (4.70) | -5.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 118.38 | \$ (7.93) | -6.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 146.99 | \$ (11.13) | -7.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 175.59 | \$ (14.37) | -7.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 232.78 | \$ (20.82) | -8.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 289.76 | \$ (27.29) | -8.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 346.70 | \$ (33.76) | -8.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 403.67 | \$ (40.20) | -9.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 460.63 | \$ (46.65) | -9.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 517.59 | \$ (53.11) | -9.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 574.56 | \$ (59.58) | -9.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 631.52 | \$ (66.03) | -9.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 688.47 | \$ (72.49) | -9.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 745.44 | \$ (78.94) | -9.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 802.41 | \$ (85.40) | -9.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 859.40 | \$ (91.86) | -9.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 916.33 | \$ (98.33) | -9.7% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 973.30 | \$ (104.77) | -9.7% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,030.27 | \$ (111.22) | -9.7% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,087.24 | \$ (117.67) | -9.8% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,144.19 | \$ (124.14) | -9.8% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,201.14 | \$ (130.62) | -9.8% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,258.11 | \$ (137.07) | -9.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,315.10 | \$ (143.51) | -9.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,372.06 | \$ (149.96) | -9.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 32.59 | \$ (0.72) | -2.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 61.19 | \$ (1.47) | -2.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 89.78 | \$ (4.70) | -5.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 118.38 | \$ (7.93) | -6.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 146.99 | \$ (11.13) | -7.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 175.59 | \$ (14.37) | -7.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 232.78 | \$ (20.82) | -8.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 289.76 | \$ (27.29) | -8.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 346.70 | \$ (33.76) | -8.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 403.67 | \$ (40.20) | -9.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 460.63 | \$ (46.65) | -9.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 517.59 | \$ (53.11) | -9.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 574.56 | \$ (59.58) | -9.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 631.52 | \$ (66.03) | -9.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 688.47 | \$ (72.49) | -9.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 745.44 | \$ (78.94) | -9.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 802.41 | \$ (85.40) | -9.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 859.40 | \$ (91.86) | -9.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 916.33 | \$ (98.33) | -9.7% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 973.30 | \$ (104.77) | -9.7% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,030.27 | \$ (111.22) | -9.7% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,087.24 | \$ (117.67) | -9.8% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,144.19 | \$ (124.14) | -9.8% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,201.14 | \$ (130.62) | -9.8% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,258.11 | \$ (137.07) | -9.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,315.10 | \$ (143.51) | -9.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,372.06 | \$ (149.96) | -9.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 135.53 | \$ (8.01) | -5.6% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 205.66 | \$ (25.41) | -11.0% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 275.36 | \$ (42.83) | -13.5% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 345.02 | \$ (60.24) | -14.9% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 414.70 | \$ (77.65) | -15.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 484.38 | \$ (95.04) | -16.4% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 14,204.06 | \$ (801.19) | -5.3% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 21,115.83 | \$ (2,542.06) | -10.7% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 28,027.59 | \$ (4,282.95) | -13.3% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 34,939.35 | \$ (6,023.83) | -14.7% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 41,851.11 | \$ (7,764.72) | -15.6% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 48,762.89 | \$ (9,505.58) | -16.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) (A) | Current Winter Bill (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 5,717.88 | \$ (176.17) | -3.0% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 8,942.94 | \$ (814.65) | -8.3% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 12,167.99 | \$ (1,453.12) | -10.7% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 15,393.05 | \$ (2,091.60) | -12.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 18,618.11 | \$ (2,730.07) | -12.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 21,843.18 | \$ (3,368.55) | -13.4% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 55,744.91 | \$ (1,761.75) | -3.1% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 87,831.99 | \$ (8,146.50) | -8.5% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 119,593.03 | \$ (14,531.25) | -10.8% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 151,354.05 | \$ (20,916.01) | -12.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 183,115.09 | \$ (27,300.76) | -13.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 214,876.13 | \$ (33,685.51) | -13.6% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 8,619.47 | \$ (230.00) | -2.6% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 14,800.61 | \$ (1,082.50) | -6.8% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 20,981.76 | \$ (1,935.00) | -8.4% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 27,162.90 | \$ (2,787.50) | -9.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 33,344.04 | \$ (3,640.01) | -9.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 39,525.20 | \$ (4,492.50) | -10.2% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 84,147.26 | \$ (2,300.01) | -2.7% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 144,979.60 | \$ (10,825.02) | -6.9% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 205,811.95 | \$ (19,350.03) | -8.6% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 266,644.29 | \$ (27,875.05) | -9.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 327,476.65 | \$ (36,400.05) | -10.0% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 388,308.98 | \$ (44,925.07) | -10.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 26,428.52 | \$ (898.89) | -3.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 33,913.31 | \$ (3,259.79) | -8.8% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 41,398.12 | \$ (5,620.68) | -12.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 48,882.91 | \$ (7,981.58) | -14.0% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 56,204.20 | \$ (10,342.47) | -15.5% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 63,493.18 | \$ (12,703.36) | -16.7% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 260,178.70 | \$ (8,988.94) | -3.3% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 333,068.48 | \$ (32,597.88) | -8.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 405,958.27 | \$ (56,206.82) | -12.2% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 478,848.05 | \$ (79,815.76) | -14.3% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 551,737.84 | \$ (103,424.70) | -15.8% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 624,627.62 | \$ (127,033.64) | -16.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 17.91 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 19.54 | \$ (0.15) | -0.8% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 20.25 | \$ (0.18) | -0.9% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 23.11 | \$ (0.33) | -1.4% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 24.69 | \$ (0.41) | -1.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 29.00 | \$ (0.62) | -2.1% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 31.04 | \$ (0.72) | -2.3% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 7.99 | \$ (0.11) | -1.4% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 8.56 | \$ (0.19) | -2.2% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 10.54 | \$ (0.26) | -2.4% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 13.29 | \$ (0.41) | -3.0% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 20.30 | \$ (0.75) | -3.6% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 24.66 | \$ (0.99) | -3.9% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 15.81 | \$ (0.11) | -0.7% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 16.44 | \$ (0.19) | -1.1% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.36 | \$ (0.26) | -1.3% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 27.72 | \$ (0.54) | -1.9% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 21.53 | \$ (0.41) | -1.9% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 32.85 | \$ (0.82) | -2.4% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 30.06 | \$ (0.75) | -2.4% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 34.54 | \$ (0.99) | -2.8% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 60.01 | \$ (1.97) | -3.2% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 10.83 | \$ (0.11) | -1.0% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 11.93 | \$ (0.19) | -1.6% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 14.96 | \$ (0.26) | -1.7% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.21 | \$ (0.11) | -0.6% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.14 | \$ (0.19) | -1.0% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 23.76 | \$ (0.26) | -1.1% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 26.82 | \$ (0.41) | -1.5% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 52.00 | \$ (0.75) | -1.4% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 56.19 | \$ (0.99) | -1.7% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 79.97 | \$ (1.97) | -2.4% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 10.99 | \$ (0.19) | -1.7% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 13.20 | \$ (0.26) | -1.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 7.86 | \$ (0.08) | -1.0% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.18 | \$ (0.12) | -1.4% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 8.85 | \$ (0.15) | -1.7% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 10.41 | \$ (0.24) | -2.3% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 10.85 | \$ (0.27) | -2.4% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 13.77 | \$ (0.42) | -3.0% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 28.65 | \$ (1.06) | -3.6% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.65 | \$ (0.08) | -0.5% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.01 | \$ (0.12) | -0.7% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 17.72 | \$ (0.15) | -0.8% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 19.20 | \$ (0.24) | -1.2% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 19.65 | \$ (0.27) | -1.4% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 23.58 | \$ (0.42) | -1.8% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 37.62 | \$ (1.06) | -2.7% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 10.96 | \$ (0.08) | -0.7% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 11.62 | \$ (0.12) | -1.0% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.94 | \$ (0.15) | -1.1% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 17.95 | \$ (0.08) | -0.4% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 18.60 | \$ (0.12) | -0.6% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 22.14 | \$ (0.15) | -0.7% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 24.13 | \$ (0.23) | -0.9% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 21.80 | \$ (0.24) | -1.1% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 24.79 | \$ (0.27) | -1.1% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 26.91 | \$ (0.34) | -1.2% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 45.45 | \$ (0.42) | -0.9% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 58.01 | \$ (0.85) | -1.4% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 61.41 | \$ (1.06) | -1.7% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 11.52 | \$ (0.08) | -0.7% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 12.87 | \$ (0.12) | -0.9% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 13.69 | \$ (0.15) | -1.1% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 13.71 | \$ (0.24) | -1.7% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 16.27 | \$ (0.27) | -1.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.36 | \$ (0.06) | -4.2% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 2.68 | \$ (0.14) | -5.0% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 4.06 | \$ (0.19) | -4.5% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 5.42 | \$ (0.25) | -4.4% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 6.76 | \$ (0.32) | -4.5% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 8.09 | \$ (0.40) | -4.7% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 9.47 | \$ (0.45) | -4.5% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 10.82 | \$ (0.52) | -4.6% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 12.17 | \$ (0.58) | -4.5% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 13.52 | \$ (0.65) | -4.6% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 14.88 | \$ (0.71) | -4.6% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 16.23 | \$ (0.78) | -4.6% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 17.58 | \$ (0.84) | -4.6% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 18.92 | \$ (0.91) | -4.6% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 20.28 | \$ (0.98) | -4.6% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 21.61 | \$ (1.04) | -4.6% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 1.98 | \$ (0.06) | -2.9% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 3.91 | \$ (0.14) | -3.5% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 5.91 | \$ (0.19) | -3.1% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 7.89 | \$ (0.25) | -3.1% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 9.85 | \$ (0.32) | -3.1% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 11.79 | \$ (0.40) | -3.3% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 13.78 | \$ (0.45) | -3.2% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 15.75 | \$ (0.52) | -3.2% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 17.72 | \$ (0.58) | -3.2% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 19.68 | \$ (0.65) | -3.2% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 21.66 | \$ (0.71) | -3.2% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 23.63 | \$ (0.78) | -3.2% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 25.60 | \$ (0.84) | -3.2% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 27.55 | \$ (0.91) | -3.2% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 29.53 | \$ (0.98) | -3.2% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 31.48 | \$ (1.04) | -3.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 2.75 | \$ (0.06) | -2.1% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 5.45 | \$ (0.14) | -2.5% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 8.22 | \$ (0.19) | -2.3% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 10.97 | \$ (0.25) | -2.2% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 13.69 | \$ (0.32) | -2.3% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 16.41 | \$ (0.40) | -2.4% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.17 | \$ (0.45) | -2.3% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 21.90 | \$ (0.52) | -2.3% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 24.64 | \$ (0.58) | -2.3% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 27.38 | \$ (0.65) | -2.3% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 30.12 | \$ (0.71) | -2.3% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 32.86 | \$ (0.78) | -2.3% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 35.59 | \$ (0.84) | -2.3% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 38.32 | \$ (0.91) | -2.3% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 41.07 | \$ (0.98) | -2.3% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 43.78 | \$ (1.04) | -2.3% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 10.25 | \$ (3.02) | -22.8% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 16.98 | \$ (6.90) | -28.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 30.35 | \$ (16.60) | -35.4% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 14.65 | \$ (3.02) | -17.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 10.01 | \$ (1.84) | -15.5% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 15.86 | \$ (4.59) | -22.4% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 19.81 | \$ (7.12) | -26.4% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 15.04 | \$ (1.84) | -10.9% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 15.21 | \$ (3.18) | -17.3% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 17.28 | \$ (4.85) | -21.9% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 20.65 | \$ (7.51) | -26.7% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 24.99 | \$ (3.18) | -11.3% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 27.06 | \$ (4.85) | -15.2% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 30.43 | \$ (7.51) | -19.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 6.94 | \$ (0.02) | -0.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 13.87 | \$ (0.04) | -0.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 20.81 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 27.72 | \$ (0.09) | -0.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 34.67 | \$ (0.11) | -0.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 41.62 | \$ (0.11) | -0.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 143.76 | \$ (5.48) | -3.7% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 222.11 | \$ (20.37) | -8.4% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 300.05 | \$ (35.24) | -10.5% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 377.93 | \$ (50.13) | -11.7% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 455.84 | \$ (65.01) | -12.5% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 533.74 | \$ (79.89) | -13.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 15,026.76 | \$ (548.59) | -3.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 22,761.23 | \$ (2,036.86) | -8.2% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 30,495.69 | \$ (3,525.15) | -10.4% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 38,230.15 | \$ (5,013.43) | -11.6% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 45,964.61 | \$ (6,501.72) | -12.4% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 53,699.09 | \$ (7,989.98) | -13.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 6,114.93 | \$ 153.13 | 2.6% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 9,737.04 | \$ (596.05) | -5.8% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 13,359.14 | \$ (1,345.22) | -9.1% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 16,981.25 | \$ (2,094.40) | -11.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 20,603.36 | \$ (2,843.57) | -12.1% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 24,225.48 | \$ (3,592.75) | -12.9% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 59,715.41 | \$ 1,531.25 | 2.6% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 95,772.99 | \$ (5,960.50) | -5.9% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 131,504.53 | \$ (13,452.25) | -9.3% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 167,236.05 | \$ (20,944.01) | -11.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 202,967.59 | \$ (28,435.76) | -12.3% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 238,699.13 | \$ (35,927.51) | -13.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 9,391.27 | \$ 5.90 | 0.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 16,344.21 | \$ (1,061.70) | -6.1% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 23,297.16 | \$ (2,129.30) | -8.4% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 30,250.10 | \$ (3,196.90) | -9.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 37,203.04 | \$ (4,264.51) | -10.3% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 44,156.00 | \$ (5,332.10) | -10.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 91,865.26 | \$ 58.99 | 0.1% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 160,415.60 | \$ (10,617.02) | -6.2% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 228,965.95 | \$ (21,293.03) | -8.5% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 297,516.29 | \$ (31,969.05) | -9.7% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 366,066.65 | \$ (42,645.05) | -10.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 434,616.98 | \$ (53,321.07) | -10.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 27,970.52 | \$ (910.69) | -3.2% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 36,997.31 | \$ (3,701.39) | -9.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 46,024.12 | \$ (6,492.08) | -12.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 55,050.91 | \$ (9,282.78) | -14.4% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 63,914.20 | \$ (12,073.47) | -15.9% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 72,745.18 | \$ (14,864.16) | -17.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 275,598.70 | \$ (9,106.94) | -3.2% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 363,908.48 | \$ (37,013.88) | -9.2% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 452,218.27 | \$ (64,920.82) | -12.6% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 540,528.05 | \$ (92,827.76) | -14.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 628,837.84 | \$ (120,734.70) | -16.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 717,147.62 | \$ (148,641.64) | -17.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 0.19 | 1.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 20.00 | \$ 0.45 | 2.3% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 20.82 | \$ 0.56 | 2.8% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 1.01 | 4.4% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 25.98 | \$ 1.27 | 5.1% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 30.99 | \$ 1.97 | 6.8% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 33.36 | \$ 2.29 | 7.4% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 8.34 | \$ 0.35 | 4.4% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 9.13 | \$ 0.55 | 6.4% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 0.85 | 8.1% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 14.59 | \$ 1.28 | 9.6% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 22.66 | \$ 2.32 | 11.4% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 27.78 | \$ 3.06 | 12.4% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.16 | \$ 0.35 | 2.2% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 0.55 | 3.3% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 20.21 | \$ 0.85 | 4.4% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 29.43 | \$ 1.68 | 6.1% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 22.83 | \$ 1.28 | 5.9% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 2.55 | 7.8% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 32.42 | \$ 2.32 | 7.7% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 37.66 | \$ 3.06 | 8.8% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 66.26 | \$ 6.16 | 10.2% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 0.35 | 3.2% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 12.50 | \$ 0.55 | 4.6% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 0.85 | 5.7% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 18.56 | \$ 0.35 | 1.9% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 0.55 | 2.9% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 24.61 | \$ 0.85 | 3.6% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 1.28 | 4.8% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 54.36 | \$ 2.32 | 4.5% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 59.31 | \$ 3.06 | 5.4% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 86.22 | \$ 6.16 | 7.7% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 0.55 | 5.0% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 14.05 | \$ 0.85 | 6.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 0.24 | 3.1% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 8.53 | \$ 0.34 | 4.2% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 9.36 | \$ 0.52 | 5.9% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 11.14 | \$ 0.71 | 6.8% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 11.72 | \$ 0.86 | 7.9% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 1.33 | 9.7% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 3.32 | 11.6% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 15.89 | \$ 0.24 | 1.5% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 16.36 | \$ 0.34 | 2.1% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 18.23 | \$ 0.52 | 2.9% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.93 | \$ 0.71 | 3.7% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 20.52 | \$ 0.86 | 4.4% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 24.92 | \$ 1.33 | 5.6% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 40.99 | \$ 3.32 | 8.8% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.20 | \$ 0.24 | 2.2% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 11.97 | \$ 0.34 | 2.9% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 0.52 | 4.0% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.19 | \$ 0.24 | 1.3% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 18.95 | \$ 0.34 | 1.8% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 0.52 | 2.3% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 24.85 | \$ 0.71 | 2.9% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 22.53 | \$ 0.71 | 3.3% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 0.86 | 3.5% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 27.97 | \$ 1.03 | 3.8% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 46.79 | \$ 1.33 | 2.9% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 60.70 | \$ 2.64 | 4.5% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 64.78 | \$ 3.32 | 5.4% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 11.76 | \$ 0.24 | 2.1% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 13.22 | \$ 0.34 | 2.6% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 14.20 | \$ 0.52 | 3.8% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 14.44 | \$ 0.71 | 5.2% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 17.14 | \$ 0.86 | 5.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(\$C)) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.56 | \$ 0.20 | 14.7% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 3.10 | \$ 0.40 | 14.8% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 4.68 | \$ 0.61 | 15.0% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 6.24 | \$ 0.81 | 14.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 7.79 | \$ 1.01 | 14.9% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 9.33 | \$ 1.21 | 14.9% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 10.91 | \$ 1.42 | 15.0% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 12.46 | \$ 1.62 | 14.9% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 14.02 | \$ 1.83 | 15.0% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 15.58 | \$ 2.03 | 15.0% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 17.14 | \$ 2.23 | 15.0% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 18.70 | \$ 2.43 | 14.9% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 20.25 | \$ 2.63 | 14.9% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 21.80 | \$ 2.84 | 15.0% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 3.03 | 14.9% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 24.90 | \$ 3.23 | 14.9% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.18 | \$ 0.20 | 10.1% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 4.33 | \$ 0.40 | 10.2% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 6.53 | \$ 0.61 | 10.3% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 8.71 | \$ 0.81 | 10.3% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 10.88 | \$ 1.01 | 10.2% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 13.03 | \$ 1.21 | 10.2% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 1.42 | 10.3% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 1.62 | 10.3% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 19.57 | \$ 1.83 | 10.3% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 21.74 | \$ 2.03 | 10.3% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 23.92 | \$ 2.23 | 10.3% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 26.10 | \$ 2.43 | 10.3% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 28.27 | \$ 2.63 | 10.3% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 30.43 | \$ 2.84 | 10.3% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 32.62 | \$ 3.03 | 10.2% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 34.77 | \$ 3.23 | 10.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 2.95 | \$ 0.20 | 7.3% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 0.40 | 7.3% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 0.61 | 7.4% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 11.79 | \$ 0.81 | 7.4% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 14.72 | \$ 1.01 | 7.4% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 17.65 | \$ 1.21 | 7.4% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 20.61 | \$ 1.42 | 7.4% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 23.54 | \$ 1.62 | 7.4% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 26.49 | \$ 1.83 | 7.4% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 29.44 | \$ 2.03 | 7.4% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 32.38 | \$ 2.23 | 7.4% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 35.33 | \$ 2.43 | 7.4% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 38.26 | \$ 2.63 | 7.4% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 41.20 | \$ 2.84 | 7.4% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 44.16 | \$ 3.03 | 7.4% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 47.07 | \$ 3.23 | 7.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 10.82 | \$ (1.54) | -12.5% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 18.28 | \$ (3.50) | -16.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 33.47 | \$ (8.44) | -20.1% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 15.22 | \$ (1.54) | -9.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 10.36 | \$ (0.93) | -8.2% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 16.73 | \$ (2.32) | -12.2% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 21.15 | \$ (3.61) | -14.6% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 15.39 | \$ (0.93) | -5.7% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 15.81 | \$ (1.61) | -9.2% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 18.19 | \$ (2.47) | -12.0% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 22.07 | \$ (3.81) | -14.7% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 25.59 | \$ (1.61) | -5.9% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 27.97 | \$ (2.47) | -8.1% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 31.85 | \$ (3.81) | -10.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 7.76 | \$ 0.06 | 0.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 15.51 | \$ 0.13 | 0.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 0.20 | 0.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 31.01 | \$ 0.25 | 0.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 38.78 | \$ 0.32 | 0.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 46.55 | \$ 0.39 | 0.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 31.44 | \$ (1.71) | -5.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 58.90 | \$ (3.44) | -5.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 91.47 | \$ 86.31 | \$ (5.16) | -5.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 120.64 | \$ 113.76 | \$ (6.88) | -5.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 149.80 | \$ 141.22 | \$ (8.58) | -5.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 178.98 | \$ 168.66 | \$ (10.32) | -5.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 237.28 | \$ 223.52 | \$ (13.76) | -5.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 295.39 | \$ 278.19 | \$ (17.20) | -5.8% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 353.48 | \$ 332.83 | \$ (20.65) | -5.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 411.57 | \$ 387.47 | \$ (24.10) | -5.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 469.65 | \$ 442.12 | \$ (27.53) | -5.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 527.75 | \$ 496.77 | \$ (30.98) | -5.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 585.85 | \$ 551.44 | \$ (34.41) | -5.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 643.95 | \$ 606.11 | \$ (37.84) | -5.9% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 702.03 | \$ 660.75 | \$ (41.28) | -5.9% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 760.12 | \$ 715.41 | \$ (44.71) | -5.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 818.21 | \$ 770.05 | \$ (48.16) | -5.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 876.32 | \$ 824.72 | \$ (51.60) | -5.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 934.41 | \$ 879.37 | \$ (55.04) | -5.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 992.50 | \$ 934.00 | \$ (58.50) | -5.9% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,050.59 | \$ 988.66 | \$ (61.93) | -5.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,108.68 | \$ 1,043.31 | \$ (65.37) | -5.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,166.78 | \$ 1,097.96 | \$ (68.82) | -5.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,224.89 | \$ 1,152.62 | \$ (72.27) | -5.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,282.96 | \$ 1,207.26 | \$ (75.70) | -5.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,341.08 | \$ 1,261.94 | \$ (79.14) | -5.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,399.14 | \$ 1,316.56 | \$ (82.58) | -5.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 31.44 | \$ (1.71) | -5.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 58.90 | \$ (3.44) | -5.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.32 | \$ 77.16 | \$ (5.16) | -6.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 102.34 | \$ 95.46 | \$ (6.88) | -6.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 122.35 | \$ 113.77 | \$ (8.58) | -7.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 142.38 | \$ 132.06 | \$ (10.32) | -7.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 182.38 | \$ 168.62 | \$ (13.76) | -7.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 213.19 | \$ 195.99 | \$ (17.20) | -8.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 243.98 | \$ 223.33 | \$ (20.65) | -8.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 274.77 | \$ 250.67 | \$ (24.10) | -8.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 305.55 | \$ 278.02 | \$ (27.53) | -9.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 336.35 | \$ 305.37 | \$ (30.98) | -9.2% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 367.15 | \$ 332.74 | \$ (34.41) | -9.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 397.95 | \$ 360.11 | \$ (37.84) | -9.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 428.73 | \$ 387.45 | \$ (41.28) | -9.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 459.52 | \$ 414.81 | \$ (44.71) | -9.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 490.31 | \$ 442.15 | \$ (48.16) | -9.8% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 521.12 | \$ 469.52 | \$ (51.60) | -9.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 551.91 | \$ 496.87 | \$ (55.04) | -10.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 582.70 | \$ 524.20 | \$ (58.50) | -10.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 613.49 | \$ 551.56 | \$ (61.93) | -10.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 644.28 | \$ 578.91 | \$ (65.37) | -10.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 675.08 | \$ 606.26 | \$ (68.82) | -10.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 705.89 | \$ 633.62 | \$ (72.27) | -10.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 736.66 | \$ 660.96 | \$ (75.70) | -10.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 767.48 | \$ 688.34 | \$ (79.14) | -10.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 798.24 | \$ 715.66 | \$ (82.58) | -10.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating Apartment (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 25.40 | \$ 23.69 | \$ (1.71) | -6.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 46.84 | \$ 43.40 | \$ (3.44) | -7.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 59.07 | \$ 53.91 | \$ (5.16) | -8.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 71.34 | \$ 64.46 | \$ (6.88) | -9.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 83.60 | \$ 75.02 | \$ (8.58) | -10.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 95.88 | \$ 85.56 | \$ (10.32) | -10.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 120.38 | \$ 106.62 | \$ (13.76) | -11.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 160.19 | \$ 142.99 | \$ (17.20) | -10.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 199.98 | \$ 179.33 | \$ (20.65) | -10.3% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 239.77 | \$ 215.67 | \$ (24.10) | -10.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 279.55 | \$ 252.02 | \$ (27.53) | -9.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 319.35 | \$ 288.37 | \$ (30.98) | -9.7% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 359.15 | \$ 324.74 | \$ (34.41) | -9.6% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 398.95 | \$ 361.11 | \$ (37.84) | -9.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 438.73 | \$ 397.45 | \$ (41.28) | -9.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 478.52 | \$ 433.81 | \$ (44.71) | -9.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 518.31 | \$ 470.15 | \$ (48.16) | -9.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 558.12 | \$ 506.52 | \$ (51.60) | -9.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 597.91 | \$ 542.87 | \$ (55.04) | -9.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 637.70 | \$ 579.20 | \$ (58.50) | -9.2% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 677.49 | \$ 615.56 | \$ (61.93) | -9.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 717.28 | \$ 651.91 | \$ (65.37) | -9.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 757.08 | \$ 688.26 | \$ (68.82) | -9.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 796.89 | \$ 724.62 | \$ (72.27) | -9.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 836.66 | \$ 760.96 | \$ (75.70) | -9.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 876.48 | \$ 797.34 | \$ (79.14) | -9.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 916.24 | \$ 833.66 | \$ (82.58) | -9.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 31.44 | \$ (1.71) | -5.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 58.90 | \$ (3.44) | -5.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 85.82 | \$ 80.66 | \$ (5.16) | -6.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 109.34 | \$ 102.46 | \$ (6.88) | -6.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 132.85 | \$ 124.27 | \$ (8.58) | -6.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 156.38 | \$ 146.06 | \$ (10.32) | -6.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 203.38 | \$ 189.62 | \$ (13.76) | -6.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 250.19 | \$ 232.99 | \$ (17.20) | -6.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 296.98 | \$ 276.33 | \$ (20.65) | -7.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 343.77 | \$ 319.67 | \$ (24.10) | -7.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 390.55 | \$ 363.02 | \$ (27.53) | -7.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 437.35 | \$ 406.37 | \$ (30.98) | -7.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 484.15 | \$ 449.74 | \$ (34.41) | -7.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 530.95 | \$ 493.11 | \$ (37.84) | -7.1% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 577.73 | \$ 536.45 | \$ (41.28) | -7.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 624.52 | \$ 579.81 | \$ (44.71) | -7.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 671.31 | \$ 623.15 | \$ (48.16) | -7.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 718.12 | \$ 666.52 | \$ (51.60) | -7.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 764.91 | \$ 709.87 | \$ (55.04) | -7.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 811.70 | \$ 753.20 | \$ (58.50) | -7.2% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 858.49 | \$ 796.56 | \$ (61.93) | -7.2% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 905.28 | \$ 839.91 | \$ (65.37) | -7.2% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 952.08 | \$ 883.26 | \$ (68.82) | -7.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 998.89 | \$ 926.62 | \$ (72.27) | -7.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,045.66 | \$ 969.96 | \$ (75.70) | -7.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,092.48 | \$ 1,013.34 | \$ (79.14) | -7.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,139.24 | \$ 1,056.66 | \$ (82.58) | -7.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 33.50 | \$ (0.64) | -1.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 63.01 | \$ (1.32) | -2.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 92.48 | \$ (4.47) | -4.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 121.98 | \$ (7.64) | -5.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 151.50 | \$ (10.76) | -6.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 181.00 | \$ (13.94) | -7.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 239.97 | \$ (20.26) | -7.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 298.75 | \$ (26.58) | -8.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 357.50 | \$ (32.90) | -8.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 416.27 | \$ (39.20) | -8.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 475.03 | \$ (45.51) | -8.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 533.79 | \$ (51.83) | -8.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 592.57 | \$ (58.14) | -8.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 651.36 | \$ (64.43) | -9.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 710.11 | \$ (70.75) | -9.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 768.88 | \$ (77.06) | -9.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 827.63 | \$ (83.38) | -9.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 886.42 | \$ (89.69) | -9.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 945.18 | \$ (96.01) | -9.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,003.93 | \$ (102.32) | -9.2% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,062.70 | \$ (108.63) | -9.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,121.47 | \$ (114.94) | -9.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,180.23 | \$ (121.26) | -9.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,239.00 | \$ (127.59) | -9.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,297.75 | \$ (133.90) | -9.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,356.55 | \$ (140.20) | -9.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,415.28 | \$ (146.52) | -9.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 33.50 | \$ (0.64) | -1.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 63.01 | \$ (1.32) | -2.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 92.48 | \$ (4.47) | -4.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 121.98 | \$ (7.64) | -5.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 151.50 | \$ (10.76) | -6.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 181.00 | \$ (13.94) | -7.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 239.97 | \$ (20.26) | -7.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 298.75 | \$ (26.58) | -8.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 357.50 | \$ (32.90) | -8.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 416.27 | \$ (39.20) | -8.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 475.03 | \$ (45.51) | -8.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 533.79 | \$ (51.83) | -8.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 592.57 | \$ (58.14) | -8.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 651.36 | \$ (64.43) | -9.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 710.11 | \$ (70.75) | -9.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 768.88 | \$ (77.06) | -9.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 827.63 | \$ (83.38) | -9.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 886.42 | \$ (89.69) | -9.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 945.18 | \$ (96.01) | -9.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,003.93 | \$ (102.32) | -9.2% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,062.70 | \$ (108.63) | -9.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,121.47 | \$ (114.94) | -9.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,180.23 | \$ (121.26) | -9.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,239.00 | \$ (127.59) | -9.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,297.75 | \$ (133.90) | -9.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,356.55 | \$ (140.20) | -9.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,415.28 | \$ (146.52) | -9.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 33.50 | \$ (0.64) | -1.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 63.01 | \$ (1.32) | -2.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 92.48 | \$ (4.47) | -4.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 121.98 | \$ (7.64) | -5.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 151.50 | \$ (10.76) | -6.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 181.00 | \$ (13.94) | -7.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 239.97 | \$ (20.26) | -7.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 298.75 | \$ (26.58) | -8.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 357.50 | \$ (32.90) | -8.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 416.27 | \$ (39.20) | -8.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 475.03 | \$ (45.51) | -8.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 533.79 | \$ (51.83) | -8.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 592.57 | \$ (58.14) | -8.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 651.36 | \$ (64.43) | -9.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 710.11 | \$ (70.75) | -9.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 768.88 | \$ (77.06) | -9.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 827.63 | \$ (83.38) | -9.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 886.42 | \$ (89.69) | -9.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 945.18 | \$ (96.01) | -9.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,003.93 | \$ (102.32) | -9.2% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,062.70 | \$ (108.63) | -9.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,121.47 | \$ (114.94) | -9.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,180.23 | \$ (121.26) | -9.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,239.00 | \$ (127.59) | -9.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,297.75 | \$ (133.90) | -9.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,356.55 | \$ (140.20) | -9.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,415.28 | \$ (146.52) | -9.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 141.83 | \$ (9.92) | -6.5% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 211.49 | \$ (22.79) | -9.7% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 280.67 | \$ (35.69) | -11.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 349.88 | \$ (48.55) | -12.2% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 419.07 | \$ (61.45) | -12.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 488.28 | \$ (74.31) | -13.2% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 16,289.61 | \$ (991.49) | -5.7% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 23,153.13 | \$ (2,279.56) | -9.0% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 30,016.63 | \$ (3,567.65) | -10.6% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 36,880.14 | \$ (4,855.73) | -11.6% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 43,743.64 | \$ (6,143.82) | -12.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 50,607.16 | \$ (7,431.89) | -12.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,213.95 | \$ (3.97) | -0.1% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 8,588.66 | \$ (504.80) | -5.6% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 11,963.39 | \$ (1,005.62) | -7.8% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 15,338.10 | \$ (1,506.45) | -8.9% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 18,712.81 | \$ (2,007.28) | -9.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 22,087.54 | \$ (2,508.10) | -10.2% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 50,705.52 | \$ (39.75) | -0.1% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 84,171.88 | \$ (5,048.00) | -5.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 117,078.23 | \$ (10,056.25) | -7.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 149,984.56 | \$ (15,064.51) | -9.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 182,890.91 | \$ (20,072.76) | -9.9% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 215,797.26 | \$ (25,081.00) | -10.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 7,895.54 | \$ 59.90 | 0.8% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 13,589.75 | \$ (1,211.40) | -8.2% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 19,283.97 | \$ (2,482.70) | -11.4% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 24,978.19 | \$ (3,754.00) | -13.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 30,672.40 | \$ (5,025.31) | -14.1% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 36,366.62 | \$ (6,296.60) | -14.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 76,790.64 | \$ 598.99 | 0.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 132,051.09 | \$ (12,114.02) | -8.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 187,311.55 | \$ (24,827.03) | -11.7% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 242,572.00 | \$ (37,540.05) | -13.4% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 297,832.47 | \$ (50,253.05) | -14.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 353,092.91 | \$ (62,966.07) | -15.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 26,085.46 | \$ 141.22 | 0.5% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 33,104.60 | \$ (2,191.57) | -6.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 40,123.74 | \$ (4,524.35) | -10.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 47,142.88 | \$ (6,857.14) | -12.7% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 53,881.18 | \$ (9,189.92) | -14.6% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 60,563.98 | \$ (11,522.70) | -16.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 255,928.19 | \$ 1,412.15 | 0.6% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 322,756.19 | \$ (21,915.69) | -6.4% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 389,584.20 | \$ (45,243.54) | -10.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 456,412.20 | \$ (68,571.39) | -13.1% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 523,240.21 | \$ (91,899.24) | -14.9% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 590,068.21 | \$ (115,227.08) | -16.3% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.02 | \$ (0.02) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 13.47 | \$ (0.04) | -0.3% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 14.10 | \$ (0.05) | -0.4% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 16.60 | \$ (0.08) | -0.5% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 17.99 | \$ (0.11) | -0.6% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 21.79 | \$ (0.16) | -0.7% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 23.56 | \$ (0.19) | -0.8% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.00 | \$ (0.02) | -0.2% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 14.45 | \$ (0.04) | -0.3% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 15.08 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 17.58 | \$ (0.08) | -0.5% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 18.97 | \$ (0.11) | -0.6% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 22.77 | \$ (0.16) | -0.7% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 24.54 | \$ (0.19) | -0.8% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.20 | \$ (0.02) | -0.1% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 20.65 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 21.28 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 23.78 | \$ (0.08) | -0.3% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 25.17 | \$ (0.11) | -0.4% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 28.97 | \$ (0.16) | -0.5% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 30.74 | \$ (0.19) | -0.6% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 34.41 | \$ (0.04) | -0.1% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 37.26 | \$ (0.08) | -0.2% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 38.52 | \$ (0.09) | -0.2% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 43.52 | \$ (0.17) | -0.4% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 46.30 | \$ (0.22) | -0.5% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 53.92 | \$ (0.32) | -0.6% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 57.49 | \$ (0.37) | -0.6% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 18.81 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 21.01 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 22.83 | \$ (0.08) | -0.3% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 28.62 | \$ (0.16) | -0.6% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 17.07 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.27 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 21.09 | \$ (0.08) | -0.4% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 26.88 | \$ (0.16) | -0.6% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.59 | \$ (0.06) | -0.2% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 28.98 | \$ (0.12) | -0.4% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 32.66 | \$ (0.18) | -0.5% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 44.19 | \$ (0.36) | -0.8% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 9.06 | \$ (0.05) | -0.5% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 11.21 | \$ (0.07) | -0.6% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 15.33 | \$ (0.10) | -0.6% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 26.25 | \$ (0.19) | -0.7% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 32.87 | \$ (0.25) | -0.8% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 11.34 | \$ (0.05) | -0.4% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 13.33 | \$ (0.07) | -0.5% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 18.03 | \$ (0.10) | -0.6% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 29.16 | \$ (0.19) | -0.6% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 35.84 | \$ (0.25) | -0.7% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.06 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 17.17 | \$ (0.07) | -0.4% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 21.66 | \$ (0.10) | -0.5% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 31.02 | \$ (0.19) | -0.6% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 37.48 | \$ (0.25) | -0.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 16.35 | \$ (0.08) | -0.5% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 28.68 | \$ (0.22) | -0.8% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 31.34 | \$ (0.22) | -0.7% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 26.83 | \$ (0.13) | -0.5% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 34.61 | \$ (0.22) | -0.6% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 11.03 | \$ (0.03) | -0.3% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 13.15 | \$ (0.04) | -0.3% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 17.15 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 15.06 | \$ (0.08) | -0.5% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 20.90 | \$ (0.10) | -0.5% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 12.88 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 14.47 | \$ (0.04) | -0.3% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.27 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.03 | \$ (0.08) | -0.4% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 24.33 | \$ (0.10) | -0.4% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 16.63 | \$ (0.03) | -0.2% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 27.93 | \$ (0.03) | -0.1% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.48 | \$ (0.04) | -0.3% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.22 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 20.73 | \$ (0.08) | -0.4% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 35.75 | \$ (0.08) | -0.2% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 25.06 | \$ (0.10) | -0.4% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 46.67 | \$ (0.01) | 0.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 21.62 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 24.41 | \$ (0.08) | -0.3% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 30.31 | \$ (0.14) | -0.5% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 22.51 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 24.85 | \$ (0.08) | -0.3% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 31.72 | \$ (0.14) | -0.4% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 26.75 | \$ (0.06) | -0.2% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 31.53 | \$ (0.08) | -0.3% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 37.97 | \$ (0.14) | -0.4% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 37.65 | \$ (0.23) | -0.6% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.13 | \$ (0.01) | -0.9% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.21 | \$ (0.04) | -1.8% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 4.47 | \$ (0.04) | -0.9% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 7.08 | \$ (0.06) | -0.8% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 8.83 | \$ (0.08) | -0.9% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 12.55 | \$ (0.11) | -0.9% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 15.11 | \$ (0.11) | -0.7% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 20.18 | \$ (0.12) | -0.6% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.13 | \$ (0.15) | -0.6% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 11.18 | \$ (0.16) | -1.4% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 13.40 | \$ (0.18) | -1.3% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 16.04 | \$ (0.19) | -1.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 17.78 | \$ (0.21) | -1.2% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 21.51 | \$ (0.22) | -1.0% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 24.06 | \$ (0.25) | -1.0% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 29.12 | \$ (0.27) | -0.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 9.47 | \$ (1.64) | -14.8% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 25.04 | \$ (3.72) | -12.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 42.42 | \$ (8.96) | -17.4% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 16.06 | \$ (1.64) | -9.3% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 13.06 | \$ (2.08) | -13.7% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 23.40 | \$ (3.85) | -14.1% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 8.87 | \$ 0.06 | 0.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 17.72 | \$ 0.09 | 0.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 26.60 | \$ 0.14 | 0.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 35.43 | \$ 0.15 | 0.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 44.31 | \$ 0.21 | 0.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 53.16 | \$ 0.26 | 0.5% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 150.06 | \$ (10.94) | -6.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 227.94 | \$ (24.84) | -9.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 305.36 | \$ (38.74) | -11.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 382.79 | \$ (52.63) | -12.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 460.21 | \$ (66.54) | -12.6% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 537.64 | \$ (80.44) | -13.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 17,112.31 | \$ (1,093.59) | -6.0% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 24,798.53 | \$ (2,483.76) | -9.1% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 32,484.73 | \$ (3,873.95) | -10.7% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 40,170.94 | \$ (5,264.13) | -11.6% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 47,857.14 | \$ (6,654.32) | -12.2% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 55,543.36 | \$ (8,044.49) | -12.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 5,611.00 | \$ (37.17) | -0.7% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 9,382.76 | \$ (648.70) | -6.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 13,154.54 | \$ (1,260.22) | -8.7% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 16,926.30 | \$ (1,871.75) | -10.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 20,698.06 | \$ (2,483.28) | -10.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 24,469.84 | \$ (3,094.80) | -11.2% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 54,676.02 | \$ (371.75) | -0.7% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 92,112.88 | \$ (6,487.00) | -6.6% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 128,989.73 | \$ (12,602.25) | -8.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 165,866.56 | \$ (18,717.51) | -10.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 202,743.41 | \$ (24,832.76) | -10.9% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 239,620.26 | \$ (30,948.00) | -11.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 8,667.34 | \$ (106.20) | -1.2% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 15,133.35 | \$ (1,592.60) | -9.5% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 21,599.37 | \$ (3,079.00) | -12.5% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 28,065.39 | \$ (4,565.40) | -14.0% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 34,531.40 | \$ (6,051.81) | -14.9% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 40,997.42 | \$ (7,538.20) | -15.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 84,508.64 | \$ (1,062.01) | -1.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 147,487.09 | \$ (15,926.02) | -9.7% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 210,465.55 | \$ (30,790.03) | -12.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 273,444.00 | \$ (45,654.05) | -14.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 336,422.47 | \$ (60,518.05) | -15.2% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 399,400.91 | \$ (75,382.07) | -15.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 27,627.46 | \$ (262.58) | -0.9% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 36,188.60 | \$ (3,025.17) | -7.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 44,749.74 | \$ (5,787.75) | -11.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 53,310.88 | \$ (8,550.34) | -13.8% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 61,591.18 | \$ (11,312.92) | -15.5% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 69,815.98 | \$ (14,075.50) | -16.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 271,348.19 | \$ (2,625.85) | -1.0% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 353,596.19 | \$ (30,251.69) | -7.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 435,844.20 | \$ (57,877.54) | -11.7% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 518,092.20 | \$ (85,503.39) | -14.2% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 600,340.21 | \$ (113,129.24) | -15.9% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 682,588.21 | \$ (140,755.08) | -17.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.22 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 13.93 | \$ 0.11 | 0.8% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 14.67 | \$ 0.13 | 0.9% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 0.24 | 1.4% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 19.28 | \$ 0.31 | 1.6% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 23.78 | \$ 0.49 | 2.1% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 0.56 | 2.2% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 0.11 | 0.7% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 0.13 | 0.8% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 0.24 | 1.3% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 0.31 | 1.6% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 0.49 | 2.0% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 26.86 | \$ 0.56 | 2.1% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.40 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 21.11 | \$ 0.11 | 0.5% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 21.85 | \$ 0.13 | 0.6% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 24.81 | \$ 0.24 | 1.0% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 0.31 | 1.2% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 30.96 | \$ 0.49 | 1.6% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 33.06 | \$ 0.56 | 1.7% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 34.80 | \$ 0.09 | 0.3% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 38.18 | \$ 0.22 | 0.6% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 39.68 | \$ 0.29 | 0.7% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 45.60 | \$ 0.51 | 1.1% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 48.88 | \$ 0.62 | 1.3% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 57.90 | \$ 0.96 | 1.7% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 62.13 | \$ 1.14 | 1.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 19.18 | \$ 0.09 | 0.5% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 21.79 | \$ 0.20 | 0.9% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 23.94 | \$ 0.28 | 1.2% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 30.79 | \$ 0.54 | 1.8% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 17.44 | \$ 0.09 | 0.5% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 20.05 | \$ 0.20 | 1.0% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 22.20 | \$ 0.28 | 1.3% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 29.05 | \$ 0.54 | 1.9% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 0.18 | 0.7% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 30.53 | \$ 0.38 | 1.3% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 34.88 | \$ 0.54 | 1.6% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 48.54 | \$ 1.06 | 2.2% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 9.63 | \$ 0.13 | 1.4% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 0.21 | 1.8% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 0.32 | 2.0% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 28.61 | \$ 0.57 | 2.0% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 35.99 | \$ 0.75 | 2.1% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 11.91 | \$ 0.13 | 1.1% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 14.18 | \$ 0.21 | 1.5% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 0.32 | 1.7% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 31.52 | \$ 0.57 | 1.8% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 38.96 | \$ 0.75 | 2.0% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 15.63 | \$ 0.13 | 0.8% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 18.02 | \$ 0.21 | 1.2% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 22.96 | \$ 0.32 | 1.4% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 33.38 | \$ 0.57 | 1.7% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 40.60 | \$ 0.75 | 1.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 17.48 | \$ 0.28 | 1.6% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 31.28 | \$ 0.62 | 2.0% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 33.94 | \$ 0.62 | 1.9% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 28.54 | \$ 0.42 | 1.5% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 37.21 | \$ 0.62 | 1.7% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 11.38 | \$ 0.09 | 0.8% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 13.66 | \$ 0.13 | 1.0% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 0.18 | 1.0% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 15.93 | \$ 0.21 | 1.3% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 22.24 | \$ 0.34 | 1.6% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 13.23 | \$ 0.09 | 0.7% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 0.13 | 0.9% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 19.99 | \$ 0.18 | 0.9% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 19.90 | \$ 0.21 | 1.1% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 25.67 | \$ 0.34 | 1.3% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 16.98 | \$ 0.09 | 0.5% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 28.28 | \$ 0.09 | 0.3% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 15.99 | \$ 0.13 | 0.8% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 23.94 | \$ 0.18 | 0.8% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 21.60 | \$ 0.21 | 1.0% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 36.62 | \$ 0.21 | 0.6% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 0.34 | 1.3% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 46.87 | \$ 0.05 | 0.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 22.32 | \$ 0.18 | 0.8% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 25.43 | \$ 0.25 | 1.0% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 32.04 | \$ 0.42 | 1.3% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 23.21 | \$ 0.18 | 0.8% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.87 | \$ 0.25 | 1.0% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 33.45 | \$ 0.42 | 1.3% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 0.18 | 0.7% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 32.55 | \$ 0.25 | 0.8% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 39.70 | \$ 0.42 | 1.1% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 40.34 | \$ 0.65 | 1.6% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.33 | \$ 0.05 | 3.9% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 2.63 | \$ 0.10 | 4.0% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 5.09 | \$ 0.16 | 3.2% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 0.20 | 2.6% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 9.86 | \$ 0.25 | 2.6% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 13.79 | \$ 0.29 | 2.1% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 16.55 | \$ 0.36 | 2.2% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 0.41 | 1.9% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 24.98 | \$ 0.46 | 1.9% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 13.24 | \$ 0.51 | 4.0% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.66 | \$ 0.55 | 3.6% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 18.51 | \$ 0.61 | 3.4% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 0.65 | 3.3% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 0.71 | 3.0% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 27.15 | \$ 0.75 | 2.8% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 32.41 | \$ 0.79 | 2.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 10.04 | \$ (1.44) | -12.5% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 26.34 | \$ (3.26) | -11.0% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 45.54 | \$ (7.87) | -14.7% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 16.63 | \$ (1.44) | -8.0% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 13.78 | \$ (1.82) | -11.7% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 24.74 | \$ (3.37) | -12.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 9.69 | \$ (0.13) | -1.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.36 | \$ (0.26) | -1.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 29.07 | \$ (0.39) | -1.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 38.72 | \$ (0.55) | -1.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 48.42 | \$ (0.67) | -1.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 58.09 | \$ (0.80) | -1.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 155.21 | \$ (11.62) | -7.0% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 226.50 | \$ (37.60) | -14.2% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 297.33 | \$ (63.62) | -17.6% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 368.18 | \$ (89.61) | -19.6% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 439.03 | \$ (115.62) | -20.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 509.88 | \$ (141.59) | -21.7% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 17,081.68 | \$ (1,160.58) | -6.4% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 24,109.75 | \$ (3,760.46) | -13.5% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 31,137.82 | \$ (6,360.34) | -17.0% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 38,165.90 | \$ (8,960.21) | -19.0% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 45,193.96 | \$ (11,560.09) | -20.4% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 52,222.04 | \$ (14,159.97) | -21.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,249.63 | \$ (593.42) | -10.2% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 8,553.43 | \$ (1,220.39) | -12.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 11,857.24 | \$ (1,847.36) | -13.5% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 15,161.02 | \$ (2,474.35) | -14.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 18,464.82 | \$ (3,101.32) | -14.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 21,768.63 | \$ (3,728.29) | -14.6% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 51,062.34 | \$ (5,934.22) | -10.4% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 83,869.35 | \$ (12,203.96) | -12.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 116,215.72 | \$ (18,473.68) | -13.7% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 148,562.07 | \$ (24,743.43) | -14.3% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 180,908.44 | \$ (31,013.15) | -14.6% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 213,254.81 | \$ (37,282.88) | -14.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 8,921.70 | \$ (130.30) | -1.4% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 15,269.27 | \$ (858.30) | -5.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 21,616.85 | \$ (1,586.30) | -6.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 27,964.43 | \$ (2,314.30) | -7.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 34,311.99 | \$ (3,042.31) | -8.1% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 40,659.58 | \$ (3,770.30) | -8.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 87,282.07 | \$ (1,303.01) | -1.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 149,374.52 | \$ (8,583.02) | -5.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 211,466.98 | \$ (15,863.03) | -7.0% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 273,559.43 | \$ (23,143.05) | -7.8% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 335,651.90 | \$ (30,423.05) | -8.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 397,744.33 | \$ (37,703.07) | -8.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 24,328.98 | \$ (2,011.49) | -7.6% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 30,812.64 | \$ (5,456.99) | -15.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 37,296.30 | \$ (8,902.48) | -19.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 43,779.96 | \$ (12,347.98) | -22.0% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 50,032.61 | \$ (15,793.47) | -24.0% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 56,239.61 | \$ (19,238.96) | -25.5% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 238,711.62 | \$ (20,114.94) | -7.8% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 300,781.62 | \$ (54,569.88) | -15.4% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 362,851.62 | \$ (89,024.82) | -19.7% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 424,921.62 | \$ (123,479.76) | -22.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 486,991.63 | \$ (157,934.70) | -24.5% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 549,061.63 | \$ (192,389.64) | -25.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.41 | \$ (0.12) | -1.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 14.42 | \$ (0.23) | -1.6% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 15.28 | \$ (0.31) | -2.0% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 18.78 | \$ (0.54) | -2.8% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 20.71 | \$ (0.69) | -3.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 26.00 | \$ (1.05) | -3.9% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 28.51 | \$ (1.22) | -4.1% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.57 | \$ (0.12) | -1.6% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 9.58 | \$ (0.23) | -2.3% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 10.44 | \$ (0.31) | -2.9% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 13.94 | \$ (0.54) | -3.7% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 15.87 | \$ (0.69) | -4.2% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 21.16 | \$ (1.05) | -4.7% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 23.67 | \$ (1.22) | -4.9% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 11.68 | \$ (0.31) | -2.6% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.28 | \$ (0.45) | -2.9% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 21.24 | \$ (0.68) | -3.1% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 47.18 | \$ (1.65) | -3.4% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 16.03 | \$ (0.31) | -1.9% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 22.59 | \$ (0.31) | -1.4% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 27.00 | \$ (0.45) | -1.6% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 33.18 | \$ (0.68) | -2.0% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 33.43 | \$ (0.68) | -2.0% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 52.51 | \$ (1.37) | -2.5% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 61.01 | \$ (1.65) | -2.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.91 | \$ (0.18) | -1.4% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 14.81 | \$ (0.27) | -1.8% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 19.73 | \$ (0.46) | -2.3% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 25.33 | \$ (0.71) | -2.7% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.43 | \$ (0.18) | -1.0% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.47 | \$ (0.18) | -0.7% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 26.62 | \$ (0.27) | -1.0% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 31.38 | \$ (0.46) | -1.4% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 50.51 | \$ (0.92) | -1.8% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 36.79 | \$ (0.71) | -1.9% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 22.90 | \$ (0.18) | -0.8% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 34.93 | \$ (0.18) | -0.5% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.35 | \$ (0.27) | -1.1% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 37.02 | \$ (0.27) | -0.7% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 30.96 | \$ (0.46) | -1.5% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 42.79 | \$ (0.46) | -1.1% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 36.56 | \$ (0.71) | -1.9% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 49.20 | \$ (0.71) | -1.4% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.56 | \$ (0.12) | -7.1% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.10 | \$ (0.22) | -6.6% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 5.55 | \$ (0.32) | -5.5% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 8.26 | \$ (0.42) | -4.8% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 10.30 | \$ (0.55) | -5.1% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 13.87 | \$ (0.66) | -4.5% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 16.55 | \$ (0.76) | -4.4% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 21.19 | \$ (0.86) | -3.9% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 24.16 | \$ (0.97) | -3.9% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 15.58 | \$ (1.10) | -6.6% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 18.00 | \$ (1.21) | -6.3% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 20.70 | \$ (1.31) | -6.0% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 22.77 | \$ (1.42) | -5.9% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 26.34 | \$ (1.52) | -5.5% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 29.02 | \$ (1.63) | -5.3% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 33.62 | \$ (1.76) | -5.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.56 | \$ (0.12) | -7.1% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.10 | \$ (0.22) | -6.6% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 7.00 | \$ (0.32) | -4.4% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 11.64 | \$ (0.42) | -3.5% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 14.51 | \$ (0.55) | -3.7% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 21.46 | \$ (0.66) | -3.0% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 26.01 | \$ (0.76) | -2.8% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 35.77 | \$ (0.86) | -2.3% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 41.16 | \$ (0.97) | -2.3% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 15.58 | \$ (1.10) | -6.6% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 19.45 | \$ (1.21) | -5.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 24.08 | \$ (1.31) | -5.2% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 26.98 | \$ (1.42) | -5.0% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 33.93 | \$ (1.52) | -4.3% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 38.48 | \$ (1.63) | -4.1% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 48.20 | \$ (1.76) | -3.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 12.50 | \$ (1.85) | -12.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 24.91 | \$ (4.20) | -14.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 46.90 | \$ (10.11) | -17.7% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 14.84 | \$ (1.85) | -11.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.27 | \$ (1.12) | -6.8% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 18.71 | \$ (1.65) | -8.1% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 22.68 | \$ (2.80) | -11.0% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 30.10 | \$ (4.34) | -12.6% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 18.35 | \$ (1.12) | -5.8% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 24.11 | \$ (1.65) | -6.4% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 37.65 | \$ (2.34) | -5.9% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 29.42 | \$ (2.80) | -8.7% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 41.16 | \$ (2.80) | -6.4% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 34.42 | \$ (4.34) | -11.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 6.23 | \$ 2.23 | 55.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 12.45 | \$ 4.42 | 55.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 18.64 | \$ 6.62 | 55.1% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 24.86 | \$ 8.80 | 54.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 31.09 | \$ 11.03 | 55.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 37.33 | \$ 13.24 | 55.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 163.44 | \$ 0.73 | 0.4% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 242.95 | \$ (12.92) | -5.0% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 322.02 | \$ (26.58) | -7.6% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 401.09 | \$ (40.23) | -9.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 480.17 | \$ (53.89) | -10.1% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 559.24 | \$ (67.53) | -10.8% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 17,904.38 | \$ 73.82 | 0.4% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 25,755.15 | \$ (1,291.66) | -4.8% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 33,605.92 | \$ (2,657.14) | -7.3% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 41,456.70 | \$ (4,022.61) | -8.8% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 49,307.46 | \$ (5,388.09) | -9.9% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 57,158.24 | \$ (6,753.57) | -10.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 5,646.68 | \$ (354.12) | -5.9% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 9,347.53 | \$ (1,091.79) | -10.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 13,048.39 | \$ (1,829.46) | -12.3% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 16,749.22 | \$ (2,567.15) | -13.3% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 20,450.07 | \$ (3,304.82) | -13.9% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 24,150.93 | \$ (4,042.49) | -14.3% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 55,032.84 | \$ (3,541.22) | -6.0% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 91,810.35 | \$ (10,917.96) | -10.6% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 128,127.22 | \$ (18,294.68) | -12.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 164,444.07 | \$ (25,671.43) | -13.5% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 200,760.94 | \$ (33,048.15) | -14.1% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 237,077.81 | \$ (40,424.88) | -14.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 9,693.50 | \$ 166.60 | 1.7% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 16,812.87 | \$ (776.50) | -4.4% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 23,932.25 | \$ (1,719.60) | -6.7% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 31,051.63 | \$ (2,662.70) | -7.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 38,170.99 | \$ (3,605.81) | -8.6% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 45,290.38 | \$ (4,548.90) | -9.1% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 95,000.07 | \$ 1,665.99 | 1.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 164,810.52 | \$ (7,765.02) | -4.5% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 234,620.98 | \$ (17,196.03) | -6.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 304,431.43 | \$ (26,627.05) | -8.0% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 374,241.90 | \$ (36,058.05) | -8.8% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 444,052.33 | \$ (45,489.07) | -9.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 25,870.98 | \$ (2,361.29) | -8.4% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 33,896.64 | \$ (6,236.59) | -15.5% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 41,922.30 | \$ (10,111.88) | -19.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 49,947.96 | \$ (13,987.18) | -21.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 57,742.61 | \$ (17,862.47) | -23.6% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 65,491.61 | \$ (21,737.76) | -24.9% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 254,131.62 | \$ (23,612.94) | -8.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 331,621.62 | \$ (62,365.88) | -15.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 409,111.62 | \$ (101,118.82) | -19.8% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 486,601.62 | \$ (139,871.76) | -22.3% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 564,091.63 | \$ (178,624.70) | -24.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 641,581.63 | \$ (217,377.64) | -25.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.61 | \$ 0.55 | 4.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 14.88 | \$ 1.34 | 9.9% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 15.85 | \$ 1.64 | 11.5% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 2.98 | 17.7% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 22.00 | \$ 3.71 | 20.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 27.99 | \$ 5.74 | 25.8% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 6.68 | 27.7% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 7.77 | \$ 0.55 | 7.6% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 10.04 | \$ 1.34 | 15.4% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 1.64 | 17.5% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 14.97 | \$ 2.98 | 24.9% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 17.16 | \$ 3.71 | 27.6% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 23.15 | \$ 5.74 | 33.0% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 25.99 | \$ 6.68 | 34.6% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 12.25 | \$ 1.62 | 15.2% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 2.46 | 18.0% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 22.54 | \$ 3.75 | 20.0% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 50.30 | \$ 8.99 | 21.8% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 16.60 | \$ 1.62 | 10.8% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 23.16 | \$ 1.62 | 7.5% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 27.85 | \$ 2.46 | 9.7% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 34.48 | \$ 3.75 | 12.2% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 34.73 | \$ 3.75 | 12.1% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 55.11 | \$ 7.48 | 15.7% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 64.13 | \$ 8.99 | 16.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

Bill Data

| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.26 | \$ 1.00 | 8.2% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 15.32 | \$ 1.47 | 10.6% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 20.60 | \$ 2.49 | 13.7% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 26.67 | \$ 3.86 | 16.9% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 17.78 | \$ 1.00 | 6.0% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 24.82 | \$ 1.00 | 4.2% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 27.13 | \$ 1.47 | 5.7% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 32.25 | \$ 2.49 | 8.4% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 52.24 | \$ 4.97 | 10.5% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 38.13 | \$ 3.86 | 11.3% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.25 | \$ 1.00 | 4.5% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 1.00 | 2.9% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 25.86 | \$ 1.47 | 6.0% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 37.53 | \$ 1.47 | 4.1% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 31.83 | \$ 2.49 | 8.5% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 43.66 | \$ 2.49 | 6.0% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 37.90 | \$ 3.86 | 11.3% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 50.54 | \$ 3.86 | 8.3% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.76 | \$ 0.58 | 49.2% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.52 | \$ 1.19 | 51.1% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 6.17 | \$ 1.78 | 40.5% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 9.08 | \$ 2.37 | 35.3% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 11.33 | \$ 2.95 | 35.2% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 3.55 | 30.7% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 4.15 | 30.0% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 22.83 | \$ 4.75 | 26.3% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 26.01 | \$ 5.34 | 25.8% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 17.64 | \$ 5.91 | 50.4% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 6.50 | 47.2% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 23.17 | \$ 7.10 | 44.2% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 25.44 | \$ 7.69 | 43.3% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 29.22 | \$ 8.29 | 39.6% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 32.11 | \$ 8.88 | 38.2% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 36.91 | \$ 9.45 | 34.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

73 Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.76 | \$ 0.58 | 49.2% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.52 | \$ 1.19 | 51.1% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 7.62 | \$ 1.78 | 30.5% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 12.46 | \$ 2.37 | 23.5% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 2.95 | 23.4% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 22.70 | \$ 3.55 | 18.5% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 4.15 | 17.8% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 37.41 | \$ 4.75 | 14.5% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 43.01 | \$ 5.34 | 14.2% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 17.64 | \$ 5.91 | 50.4% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 21.71 | \$ 6.50 | 42.7% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 26.55 | \$ 7.10 | 36.5% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 29.65 | \$ 7.69 | 35.0% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 36.81 | \$ 8.29 | 29.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 41.57 | \$ 8.88 | 27.2% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 51.49 | \$ 9.45 | 22.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 13.07 | \$ 0.10 | 0.8% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 26.21 | \$ 0.28 | 1.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 50.02 | \$ 0.64 | 1.3% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 15.41 | \$ 0.10 | 0.7% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 15.62 | \$ 0.08 | 0.5% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 0.11 | 0.6% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 23.55 | \$ 0.18 | 0.8% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 31.44 | \$ 0.28 | 0.9% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 18.70 | \$ 0.08 | 0.4% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 24.62 | \$ 0.11 | 0.4% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 38.37 | \$ 0.15 | 0.4% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 30.29 | \$ 0.18 | 0.6% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 42.03 | \$ 0.18 | 0.4% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 35.76 | \$ 0.28 | 0.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 7.05 | \$ 2.10 | 42.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 14.09 | \$ 4.19 | 42.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 21.11 | \$ 6.27 | 42.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 28.15 | \$ 8.34 | 42.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 35.20 | \$ 10.45 | 42.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 42.26 | \$ 12.54 | 42.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 135.33 | \$ (8.21) | -5.7% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 205.25 | \$ (25.82) | -11.2% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 274.75 | \$ (43.44) | -13.7% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 344.21 | \$ (61.05) | -15.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 413.69 | \$ (78.66) | -16.0% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 483.16 | \$ (96.26) | -16.6% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 14,183.74 | \$ (821.51) | -5.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 21,075.18 | \$ (2,582.71) | -10.9% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 27,966.62 | \$ (4,343.92) | -13.4% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 34,858.06 | \$ (6,105.12) | -14.9% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 41,749.50 | \$ (7,866.33) | -15.9% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 48,640.95 | \$ (9,627.52) | -16.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 5,709.66 | \$ (184.39) | -3.1% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 8,926.50 | \$ (831.09) | -8.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 12,143.33 | \$ (1,477.78) | -10.8% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 15,360.16 | \$ (2,124.49) | -12.2% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 18,576.99 | \$ (2,771.19) | -13.0% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 21,793.84 | \$ (3,417.89) | -13.6% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 55,662.69 | \$ (1,843.97) | -3.2% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 87,667.54 | \$ (8,310.95) | -8.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 119,346.36 | \$ (14,777.92) | -11.0% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 151,025.15 | \$ (21,244.91) | -12.3% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 182,703.97 | \$ (27,711.88) | -13.2% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 214,382.78 | \$ (34,178.86) | -13.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 8,619.47 | \$ (230.00) | -2.6% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 14,800.61 | \$ (1,082.50) | -6.8% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 20,981.76 | \$ (1,935.00) | -8.4% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 27,162.90 | \$ (2,787.50) | -9.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 33,344.04 | \$ (3,640.01) | -9.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 39,525.20 | \$ (4,492.50) | -10.2% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 84,147.26 | \$ (2,300.01) | -2.7% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 144,979.60 | \$ (10,825.02) | -6.9% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 205,811.95 | \$ (19,350.03) | -8.6% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 266,644.29 | \$ (27,875.05) | -9.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 327,476.65 | \$ (36,400.05) | -10.0% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 388,308.98 | \$ (44,925.07) | -10.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 26,428.52 | \$ (898.89) | -3.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 33,913.31 | \$ (3,259.79) | -8.8% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 41,398.12 | \$ (5,620.68) | -12.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 48,882.91 | \$ (7,981.58) | -14.0% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 56,204.20 | \$ (10,342.47) | -15.5% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 63,493.18 | \$ (12,703.36) | -16.7% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 260,178.70 | \$ (8,988.94) | -3.3% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 333,068.48 | \$ (32,597.88) | -8.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 405,958.27 | \$ (56,206.82) | -12.2% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 478,848.05 | \$ (79,815.76) | -14.3% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 551,737.84 | \$ (103,424.70) | -15.8% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 624,627.62 | \$ (127,033.64) | -16.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 18.12 | \$ 0.15 | 0.8% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 20.03 | \$ 0.34 | 1.7% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 20.86 | \$ 0.43 | 2.1% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 24.21 | \$ 0.77 | 3.3% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 0.96 | 3.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 31.11 | \$ 1.49 | 5.0% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 33.50 | \$ 1.74 | 5.5% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 8.37 | \$ 0.27 | 3.3% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 9.16 | \$ 0.41 | 4.7% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 11.45 | \$ 0.65 | 6.0% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 14.67 | \$ 0.97 | 7.1% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 1.76 | 8.4% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 27.98 | \$ 2.33 | 9.1% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 0.27 | 1.7% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 17.04 | \$ 0.41 | 2.5% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 20.27 | \$ 0.65 | 3.3% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 29.54 | \$ 1.28 | 4.5% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 0.97 | 4.4% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 35.61 | \$ 1.94 | 5.8% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 32.57 | \$ 1.76 | 5.7% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 37.86 | \$ 2.33 | 6.6% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 66.65 | \$ 4.67 | 7.5% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 11.21 | \$ 0.27 | 2.5% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 0.41 | 3.4% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 15.87 | \$ 0.65 | 4.3% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.59 | \$ 0.27 | 1.5% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.74 | \$ 0.41 | 2.1% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 24.67 | \$ 0.65 | 2.7% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 28.20 | \$ 0.97 | 3.6% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 54.51 | \$ 1.76 | 3.3% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 59.51 | \$ 2.33 | 4.1% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 86.61 | \$ 4.67 | 5.7% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 11.59 | \$ 0.41 | 3.7% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 14.11 | \$ 0.65 | 4.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 8.11 | \$ 0.17 | 2.1% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.55 | \$ 0.25 | 3.0% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 9.39 | \$ 0.39 | 4.3% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 11.19 | \$ 0.54 | 5.1% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 11.77 | \$ 0.65 | 5.8% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 15.19 | \$ 1.00 | 7.0% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 32.23 | \$ 2.52 | 8.5% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.90 | \$ 0.17 | 1.1% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.38 | \$ 0.25 | 1.5% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 18.26 | \$ 0.39 | 2.2% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 19.98 | \$ 0.54 | 2.8% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 20.57 | \$ 0.65 | 3.3% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 25.00 | \$ 1.00 | 4.2% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 41.20 | \$ 2.52 | 6.5% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 11.21 | \$ 0.17 | 1.5% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 0.25 | 2.1% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.48 | \$ 0.39 | 3.0% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 18.20 | \$ 0.17 | 0.9% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 0.25 | 1.3% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 22.68 | \$ 0.39 | 1.7% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 24.90 | \$ 0.54 | 2.2% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 22.58 | \$ 0.54 | 2.5% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 25.71 | \$ 0.65 | 2.6% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 0.78 | 2.9% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 46.87 | \$ 1.00 | 2.2% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 60.86 | \$ 2.00 | 3.4% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 64.99 | \$ 2.52 | 4.0% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 11.77 | \$ 0.17 | 1.5% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 13.24 | \$ 0.25 | 1.9% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 0.39 | 2.8% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 0.54 | 3.9% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 17.19 | \$ 0.65 | 3.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

Bill Data

| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.58 | \$ 0.16 | 11.3% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 3.12 | \$ 0.30 | 10.6% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 4.72 | \$ 0.47 | 11.1% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 6.29 | \$ 0.62 | 10.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 7.85 | \$ 0.77 | 10.9% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 9.40 | \$ 0.91 | 10.7% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 11.00 | \$ 1.08 | 10.9% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 12.57 | \$ 1.23 | 10.8% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 14.14 | \$ 1.39 | 10.9% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 1.53 | 10.8% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 17.28 | \$ 1.69 | 10.8% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 18.85 | \$ 1.84 | 10.8% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 20.42 | \$ 2.00 | 10.9% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 21.98 | \$ 2.15 | 10.8% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 23.56 | \$ 2.30 | 10.8% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 2.45 | 10.8% |
| 97 Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 2.20 | \$ 0.16 | 7.8% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 4.35 | \$ 0.30 | 7.4% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 6.57 | \$ 0.47 | 7.7% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 8.76 | \$ 0.62 | 7.6% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 0.77 | 7.6% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 13.10 | \$ 0.91 | 7.5% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 1.08 | 7.6% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 17.50 | \$ 1.23 | 7.6% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 1.39 | 7.6% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 21.86 | \$ 1.53 | 7.5% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 24.06 | \$ 1.69 | 7.6% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 26.25 | \$ 1.84 | 7.5% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 28.44 | \$ 2.00 | 7.6% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 30.61 | \$ 2.15 | 7.6% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 2.30 | 7.5% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 34.97 | \$ 2.45 | 7.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 2.97 | \$ 0.16 | 5.7% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 5.89 | \$ 0.30 | 5.4% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 8.88 | \$ 0.47 | 5.6% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 11.84 | \$ 0.62 | 5.5% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 14.78 | \$ 0.77 | 5.5% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 17.72 | \$ 0.91 | 5.4% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 20.70 | \$ 1.08 | 5.5% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 23.65 | \$ 1.23 | 5.5% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 26.61 | \$ 1.39 | 5.5% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 29.56 | \$ 1.53 | 5.5% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 1.69 | 5.5% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 1.84 | 5.5% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 38.43 | \$ 2.00 | 5.5% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 41.38 | \$ 2.15 | 5.5% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 44.35 | \$ 2.30 | 5.5% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 2.45 | 5.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 10.25 | \$ (3.02) | -22.8% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 16.98 | \$ (6.90) | -28.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 30.35 | \$ (16.60) | -35.4% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 14.65 | \$ (3.02) | -17.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 10.01 | \$ (1.84) | -15.5% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 15.86 | \$ (4.59) | -22.4% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 19.81 | \$ (7.12) | -26.4% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 15.04 | \$ (1.84) | -10.9% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 15.21 | \$ (3.18) | -17.3% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 17.28 | \$ (4.85) | -21.9% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 20.65 | \$ (7.51) | -26.7% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 24.99 | \$ (3.18) | -11.3% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 27.06 | \$ (4.85) | -15.2% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 30.43 | \$ (7.51) | -19.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 7.66 | \$ 0.70 | 10.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 1.40 | 10.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 22.96 | \$ 2.09 | 10.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 30.59 | \$ 2.78 | 10.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 38.26 | \$ 3.48 | 10.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 45.93 | \$ 4.20 | 10.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 143.56 | \$ (5.68) | -3.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 221.70 | \$ (20.78) | -8.6% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 299.44 | \$ (35.85) | -10.7% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 377.12 | \$ (50.94) | -11.9% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 454.83 | \$ (66.02) | -12.7% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 532.52 | \$ (81.11) | -13.2% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 15,006.44 | \$ (568.91) | -3.7% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 22,720.58 | \$ (2,077.51) | -8.4% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 30,434.72 | \$ (3,586.12) | -10.5% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 38,148.86 | \$ (5,094.72) | -11.8% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 45,863.00 | \$ (6,603.33) | -12.6% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 53,577.15 | \$ (8,111.92) | -13.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 6,106.71 | \$ 144.91 | 2.4% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 9,720.60 | \$ (612.49) | -5.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 13,334.48 | \$ (1,369.88) | -9.3% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 16,948.36 | \$ (2,127.29) | -11.2% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 20,562.24 | \$ (2,884.69) | -12.3% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 24,176.14 | \$ (3,642.09) | -13.1% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 59,633.19 | \$ 1,449.03 | 2.5% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 95,608.54 | \$ (6,124.95) | -6.0% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 131,257.86 | \$ (13,698.92) | -9.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 166,907.15 | \$ (21,272.91) | -11.3% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 202,556.47 | \$ (28,846.88) | -12.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 238,205.78 | \$ (36,420.86) | -13.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 9,391.27 | \$ 5.90 | 0.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 16,344.21 | \$ (1,061.70) | -6.1% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 23,297.16 | \$ (2,129.30) | -8.4% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 30,250.10 | \$ (3,196.90) | -9.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 37,203.04 | \$ (4,264.51) | -10.3% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 44,156.00 | \$ (5,332.10) | -10.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 91,865.26 | \$ 58.99 | 0.1% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 160,415.60 | \$ (10,617.02) | -6.2% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 228,965.95 | \$ (21,293.03) | -8.5% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 297,516.29 | \$ (31,969.05) | -9.7% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 366,066.65 | \$ (42,645.05) | -10.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 434,616.98 | \$ (53,321.07) | -10.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 27,970.52 | \$ (910.69) | -3.2% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 36,997.31 | \$ (3,701.39) | -9.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 46,024.12 | \$ (6,492.08) | -12.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 55,050.91 | \$ (9,282.78) | -14.4% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 63,914.20 | \$ (12,073.47) | -15.9% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 72,745.18 | \$ (14,864.16) | -17.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 275,598.70 | \$ (9,106.94) | -3.2% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 363,908.48 | \$ (37,013.88) | -9.2% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 452,218.27 | \$ (64,920.82) | -12.6% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 540,528.05 | \$ (92,827.76) | -14.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 628,837.84 | \$ (120,734.70) | -16.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 717,147.62 | \$ (148,641.64) | -17.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 0.40 | 2.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 20.49 | \$ 0.94 | 4.8% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 21.43 | \$ 1.17 | 5.8% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 25.24 | \$ 2.11 | 9.1% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 27.35 | \$ 2.64 | 10.7% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 33.10 | \$ 4.08 | 14.1% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 35.82 | \$ 4.75 | 15.3% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 8.72 | \$ 0.73 | 9.1% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 9.73 | \$ 1.15 | 13.4% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 12.30 | \$ 1.76 | 16.7% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 15.97 | \$ 2.66 | 20.0% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 25.17 | \$ 4.83 | 23.7% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 31.10 | \$ 6.38 | 25.8% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 0.73 | 4.6% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 1.15 | 7.0% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 21.12 | \$ 1.76 | 9.1% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 31.25 | \$ 3.50 | 12.6% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 24.21 | \$ 2.66 | 12.3% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 5.31 | 16.1% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 34.93 | \$ 4.83 | 16.0% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 40.98 | \$ 6.38 | 18.4% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 72.90 | \$ 12.80 | 21.3% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 0.73 | 6.7% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 13.10 | \$ 1.15 | 9.6% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 16.72 | \$ 1.76 | 11.8% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 18.94 | \$ 0.73 | 4.0% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 20.31 | \$ 1.15 | 6.0% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 25.52 | \$ 1.76 | 7.4% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 29.50 | \$ 2.66 | 9.9% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 56.87 | \$ 4.83 | 9.3% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 62.63 | \$ 6.38 | 11.3% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 92.86 | \$ 12.80 | 16.0% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 12.16 | \$ 1.15 | 10.4% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 1.76 | 13.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.35 | \$ 0.49 | 6.2% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 8.90 | \$ 0.71 | 8.7% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 1.06 | 12.0% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 11.92 | \$ 1.49 | 14.3% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 12.64 | \$ 1.78 | 16.4% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 16.53 | \$ 2.75 | 20.0% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 35.60 | \$ 6.90 | 24.0% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 16.14 | \$ 0.49 | 3.1% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 16.73 | \$ 0.71 | 4.4% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 18.77 | \$ 1.06 | 6.0% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 20.71 | \$ 1.49 | 7.8% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 21.44 | \$ 1.78 | 9.1% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 26.34 | \$ 2.75 | 11.7% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 44.57 | \$ 6.90 | 18.3% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.45 | \$ 0.49 | 4.5% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 12.34 | \$ 0.71 | 6.1% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 13.99 | \$ 1.06 | 8.2% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.44 | \$ 0.49 | 2.7% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 0.71 | 3.8% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 23.19 | \$ 1.06 | 4.8% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 1.48 | 6.1% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 23.31 | \$ 1.49 | 6.8% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 26.58 | \$ 1.78 | 7.2% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 29.09 | \$ 2.15 | 8.0% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 48.21 | \$ 2.75 | 6.0% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 63.55 | \$ 5.49 | 9.5% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 68.36 | \$ 6.90 | 11.2% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 12.01 | \$ 0.49 | 4.3% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 13.59 | \$ 0.71 | 5.5% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 14.74 | \$ 1.06 | 7.7% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 1.49 | 10.9% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 18.06 | \$ 1.78 | 10.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.78 | \$ 0.42 | 30.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 3.54 | \$ 0.84 | 31.1% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 5.34 | \$ 1.27 | 31.2% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 7.11 | \$ 1.68 | 30.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 8.88 | \$ 2.10 | 31.0% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 10.64 | \$ 2.52 | 31.0% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 12.44 | \$ 2.95 | 31.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 3.37 | 31.1% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 15.99 | \$ 3.80 | 31.2% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 17.76 | \$ 4.21 | 31.1% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 19.54 | \$ 4.63 | 31.1% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 21.32 | \$ 5.05 | 31.0% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 23.09 | \$ 5.47 | 31.0% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 24.86 | \$ 5.90 | 31.1% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 26.65 | \$ 6.31 | 31.0% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 28.39 | \$ 6.72 | 31.0% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.40 | \$ 0.42 | 21.2% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 4.77 | \$ 0.84 | 21.4% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 7.19 | \$ 1.27 | 21.5% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 9.58 | \$ 1.68 | 21.3% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 11.97 | \$ 2.10 | 21.3% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 14.34 | \$ 2.52 | 21.3% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 16.75 | \$ 2.95 | 21.4% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 19.14 | \$ 3.37 | 21.4% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 3.80 | 21.4% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 23.92 | \$ 4.21 | 21.4% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 26.32 | \$ 4.63 | 21.3% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 28.72 | \$ 5.05 | 21.3% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 31.11 | \$ 5.47 | 21.3% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 33.49 | \$ 5.90 | 21.4% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 35.90 | \$ 6.31 | 21.3% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 38.26 | \$ 6.72 | 21.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 3.17 | \$ 0.42 | 15.3% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 6.31 | \$ 0.84 | 15.4% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 1.27 | 15.4% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 1.68 | 15.3% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 2.10 | 15.3% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 2.52 | 15.3% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 2.95 | 15.4% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 25.29 | \$ 3.37 | 15.4% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 3.80 | 15.4% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 4.21 | 15.4% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 4.63 | 15.4% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 37.95 | \$ 5.05 | 15.3% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 41.10 | \$ 5.47 | 15.4% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 44.26 | \$ 5.90 | 15.4% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 47.44 | \$ 6.31 | 15.3% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 50.56 | \$ 6.72 | 15.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 10.82 | \$ (1.54) | -12.5% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 18.28 | \$ (3.50) | -16.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 33.47 | \$ (8.44) | -20.1% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 15.22 | \$ (1.54) | -9.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 10.36 | \$ (0.93) | -8.2% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 16.73 | \$ (2.32) | -12.2% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 21.15 | \$ (3.61) | -14.6% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 15.39 | \$ (0.93) | -5.7% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 15.81 | \$ (1.61) | -9.2% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 18.19 | \$ (2.47) | -12.0% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 22.07 | \$ (3.81) | -14.7% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 25.59 | \$ (1.61) | -5.9% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 27.97 | \$ (2.47) | -8.1% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 31.85 | \$ (3.81) | -10.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 8.48 | \$ 0.78 | 10.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 16.95 | \$ 1.57 | 10.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 25.43 | \$ 2.35 | 10.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 33.88 | \$ 3.12 | 10.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 42.37 | \$ 3.91 | 10.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 50.86 | \$ 4.70 | 10.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 141.71 | \$ (10.04) | -6.6% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 211.24 | \$ (23.04) | -9.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 280.30 | \$ (36.06) | -11.4% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 349.37 | \$ (49.06) | -12.3% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 418.44 | \$ (62.08) | -12.9% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 487.52 | \$ (75.07) | -13.3% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 16,277.02 | \$ (1,004.08) | -5.8% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 23,127.93 | \$ (2,304.76) | -9.1% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 29,978.84 | \$ (3,605.44) | -10.7% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 36,829.76 | \$ (4,906.11) | -11.8% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 43,680.67 | \$ (6,206.79) | -12.4% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 50,531.58 | \$ (7,507.47) | -12.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,209.65 | \$ (8.27) | -0.2% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 8,580.07 | \$ (513.39) | -5.6% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 11,950.50 | \$ (1,018.51) | -7.9% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 15,320.90 | \$ (1,523.65) | -9.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 18,691.32 | \$ (2,028.77) | -9.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 22,061.75 | \$ (2,533.89) | -10.3% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 50,662.55 | \$ (82.72) | -0.2% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 84,085.92 | \$ (5,133.96) | -5.8% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 116,949.30 | \$ (10,185.18) | -8.0% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 149,812.64 | \$ (15,236.43) | -9.2% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 182,676.02 | \$ (20,287.65) | -10.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 215,539.38 | \$ (25,338.88) | -10.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 7,895.54 | \$ 59.90 | 0.8% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 13,589.75 | \$ (1,211.40) | -8.2% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 19,283.97 | \$ (2,482.70) | -11.4% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 24,978.19 | \$ (3,754.00) | -13.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 30,672.40 | \$ (5,025.31) | -14.1% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 36,366.62 | \$ (6,296.60) | -14.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 76,790.64 | \$ 598.99 | 0.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 132,051.09 | \$ (12,114.02) | -8.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 187,311.55 | \$ (24,827.03) | -11.7% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 242,572.00 | \$ (37,540.05) | -13.4% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 297,832.47 | \$ (50,253.05) | -14.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 353,092.91 | \$ (62,966.07) | -15.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 26,085.46 | \$ 141.22 | 0.5% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 33,104.60 | \$ (2,191.57) | -6.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 40,123.74 | \$ (4,524.35) | -10.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 47,142.88 | \$ (6,857.14) | -12.7% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 53,881.18 | \$ (9,189.92) | -14.6% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 60,563.98 | \$ (11,522.70) | -16.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 255,928.19 | \$ 1,412.15 | 0.6% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 322,756.19 | \$ (21,915.69) | -6.4% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 389,584.20 | \$ (45,243.54) | -10.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 456,412.20 | \$ (68,571.39) | -13.1% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 523,240.21 | \$ (91,899.24) | -14.9% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 590,068.21 | \$ (115,227.08) | -16.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.38 | \$ 0.34 | 2.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 14.30 | \$ 0.79 | 5.8% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 0.99 | 7.0% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 18.47 | \$ 1.79 | 10.7% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 20.32 | \$ 2.22 | 12.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 25.37 | \$ 3.42 | 15.6% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 27.74 | \$ 3.99 | 16.8% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.36 | \$ 0.34 | 2.6% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 15.28 | \$ 0.79 | 5.5% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 16.12 | \$ 0.99 | 6.5% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 1.79 | 10.1% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 21.30 | \$ 2.22 | 11.6% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 26.35 | \$ 3.42 | 14.9% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 28.72 | \$ 3.99 | 16.1% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.56 | \$ 0.34 | 1.8% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 21.48 | \$ 0.79 | 3.8% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 22.32 | \$ 0.99 | 4.6% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 1.79 | 7.5% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 27.50 | \$ 2.22 | 8.8% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 32.55 | \$ 3.42 | 11.7% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 34.92 | \$ 3.99 | 12.9% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 35.12 | \$ 0.67 | 1.9% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 38.92 | \$ 1.58 | 4.2% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 40.59 | \$ 1.98 | 5.1% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 47.25 | \$ 3.56 | 8.1% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 50.95 | \$ 4.43 | 9.5% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 61.09 | \$ 6.85 | 12.6% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 65.84 | \$ 7.98 | 13.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 19.48 | \$ 0.64 | 3.4% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 22.40 | \$ 1.33 | 6.3% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 24.83 | \$ 1.92 | 8.4% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 32.53 | \$ 3.75 | 13.0% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 0.64 | 3.7% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 1.33 | 6.9% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 23.09 | \$ 1.92 | 9.1% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 30.79 | \$ 3.75 | 13.9% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 25.92 | \$ 1.27 | 5.2% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 2.66 | 9.1% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 36.66 | \$ 3.82 | 11.6% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 52.01 | \$ 7.46 | 16.7% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 10.08 | \$ 0.97 | 10.6% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 1.47 | 13.0% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 2.24 | 14.5% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 30.50 | \$ 4.06 | 15.4% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 38.50 | \$ 5.38 | 16.2% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 0.97 | 8.5% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 14.87 | \$ 1.47 | 11.0% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 20.37 | \$ 2.24 | 12.4% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 33.41 | \$ 4.06 | 13.8% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 41.47 | \$ 5.38 | 14.9% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 16.08 | \$ 0.97 | 6.4% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 18.71 | \$ 1.47 | 8.5% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 2.24 | 10.3% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 35.27 | \$ 4.06 | 13.0% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 43.11 | \$ 5.38 | 14.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 1.96 | 11.9% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 33.36 | \$ 4.46 | 15.4% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 36.02 | \$ 4.46 | 14.1% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 29.91 | \$ 2.95 | 10.9% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 39.29 | \$ 4.46 | 12.8% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 11.65 | \$ 0.59 | 5.3% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 14.07 | \$ 0.88 | 6.7% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 18.45 | \$ 1.24 | 7.2% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 16.62 | \$ 1.48 | 9.8% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 23.31 | \$ 2.31 | 11.0% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 0.59 | 4.6% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 15.39 | \$ 0.88 | 6.1% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 20.57 | \$ 1.24 | 6.4% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 20.59 | \$ 1.48 | 7.7% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 26.74 | \$ 2.31 | 9.5% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 17.25 | \$ 0.59 | 3.5% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 28.55 | \$ 0.59 | 2.1% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 16.40 | \$ 0.88 | 5.7% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 1.24 | 5.3% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 1.48 | 7.1% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 37.31 | \$ 1.48 | 4.1% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 27.47 | \$ 2.31 | 9.2% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 47.04 | \$ 0.36 | 0.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 22.86 | \$ 1.18 | 5.4% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 26.25 | \$ 1.76 | 7.2% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 33.42 | \$ 2.97 | 9.8% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 1.18 | 5.2% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 26.69 | \$ 1.76 | 7.1% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 2.97 | 9.3% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 27.99 | \$ 1.18 | 4.4% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 33.37 | \$ 1.76 | 5.6% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 41.08 | \$ 2.97 | 7.8% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 42.48 | \$ 4.60 | 12.1% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.50 | \$ 0.36 | 31.6% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.95 | \$ 0.70 | 31.1% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 5.58 | \$ 1.07 | 23.7% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 8.56 | \$ 1.42 | 19.9% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 10.68 | \$ 1.77 | 19.9% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 14.77 | \$ 2.11 | 16.7% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 2.48 | 16.3% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 23.14 | \$ 2.84 | 14.0% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 3.18 | 13.7% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 14.88 | \$ 3.54 | 31.2% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 17.47 | \$ 3.89 | 28.6% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 20.48 | \$ 4.25 | 26.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 22.59 | \$ 4.60 | 25.6% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 26.69 | \$ 4.96 | 22.8% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 29.61 | \$ 5.30 | 21.8% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 35.04 | \$ 5.65 | 19.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 9.47 | \$ (1.64) | -14.8% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 25.04 | \$ (3.72) | -12.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 42.42 | \$ (8.96) | -17.4% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 16.06 | \$ (1.64) | -9.3% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 13.06 | \$ (2.08) | -13.7% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 23.40 | \$ (3.85) | -14.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 9.65 | \$ 0.84 | 9.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 19.29 | \$ 1.66 | 9.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 28.95 | \$ 2.49 | 9.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 38.57 | \$ 3.29 | 9.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 48.23 | \$ 4.13 | 9.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 57.87 | \$ 4.97 | 9.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 149.94 | \$ (11.06) | -6.9% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 227.69 | \$ (25.09) | -9.9% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 304.99 | \$ (39.11) | -11.4% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 382.28 | \$ (53.14) | -12.2% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 459.58 | \$ (67.17) | -12.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 536.88 | \$ (81.20) | -13.1% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 17,099.72 | \$ (1,106.18) | -6.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 24,773.33 | \$ (2,508.96) | -9.2% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 32,446.94 | \$ (3,911.74) | -10.8% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 40,120.56 | \$ (5,314.51) | -11.7% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 47,794.17 | \$ (6,717.29) | -12.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 55,467.78 | \$ (8,120.07) | -12.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 5,606.70 | \$ (41.47) | -0.7% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 9,374.17 | \$ (657.29) | -6.6% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 13,141.65 | \$ (1,273.11) | -8.8% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 16,909.10 | \$ (1,888.95) | -10.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 20,676.57 | \$ (2,504.77) | -10.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 24,444.05 | \$ (3,120.59) | -11.3% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 54,633.05 | \$ (414.72) | -0.8% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 92,026.92 | \$ (6,572.96) | -6.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 128,860.80 | \$ (12,731.18) | -9.0% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 165,694.64 | \$ (18,889.43) | -10.2% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 202,528.52 | \$ (25,047.65) | -11.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 239,362.38 | \$ (31,205.88) | -11.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 8,667.34 | \$ (106.20) | -1.2% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 15,133.35 | \$ (1,592.60) | -9.5% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 21,599.37 | \$ (3,079.00) | -12.5% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 28,065.39 | \$ (4,565.40) | -14.0% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 34,531.40 | \$ (6,051.81) | -14.9% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 40,997.42 | \$ (7,538.20) | -15.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 84,508.64 | \$ (1,062.01) | -1.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 147,487.09 | \$ (15,926.02) | -9.7% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 210,465.55 | \$ (30,790.03) | -12.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 273,444.00 | \$ (45,654.05) | -14.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 336,422.47 | \$ (60,518.05) | -15.2% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 399,400.91 | \$ (75,382.07) | -15.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 27,627.46 | \$ (262.58) | -0.9% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 36,188.60 | \$ (3,025.17) | -7.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 44,749.74 | \$ (5,787.75) | -11.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 53,310.88 | \$ (8,550.34) | -13.8% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 61,591.18 | \$ (11,312.92) | -15.5% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 69,815.98 | \$ (14,075.50) | -16.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 271,348.19 | \$ (2,625.85) | -1.0% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 353,596.19 | \$ (30,251.69) | -7.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 435,844.20 | \$ (57,877.54) | -11.7% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 518,092.20 | \$ (85,503.39) | -14.2% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 600,340.21 | \$ (113,129.24) | -15.9% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 682,588.21 | \$ (140,755.08) | -17.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.58 | \$ 0.40 | 3.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 14.76 | \$ 0.94 | 6.8% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 15.71 | \$ 1.17 | 8.0% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 2.11 | 12.1% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 21.61 | \$ 2.64 | 13.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 27.36 | \$ 4.07 | 17.5% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 30.06 | \$ 4.74 | 18.7% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.56 | \$ 0.40 | 3.0% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 15.74 | \$ 0.94 | 6.4% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 1.17 | 7.5% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 20.48 | \$ 2.11 | 11.5% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 22.59 | \$ 2.64 | 13.2% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 28.34 | \$ 4.07 | 16.8% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 31.04 | \$ 4.74 | 18.0% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.76 | \$ 0.40 | 2.1% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 0.94 | 4.5% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 22.89 | \$ 1.17 | 5.4% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 26.68 | \$ 2.11 | 8.6% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 28.79 | \$ 2.64 | 10.1% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 34.54 | \$ 4.07 | 13.4% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 37.24 | \$ 4.74 | 14.6% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 35.51 | \$ 0.80 | 2.3% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 39.84 | \$ 1.88 | 5.0% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 41.75 | \$ 2.36 | 6.0% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 49.33 | \$ 4.24 | 9.4% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 53.53 | \$ 5.27 | 10.9% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 65.07 | \$ 8.13 | 14.3% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 70.48 | \$ 9.49 | 15.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 0.76 | 4.0% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 23.18 | \$ 1.59 | 7.4% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 25.94 | \$ 2.28 | 9.6% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 34.70 | \$ 4.45 | 14.7% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 0.76 | 4.4% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 21.44 | \$ 1.59 | 8.0% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 24.20 | \$ 2.28 | 10.4% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 32.96 | \$ 4.45 | 15.6% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 26.66 | \$ 1.51 | 6.0% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 3.16 | 10.5% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 38.88 | \$ 4.54 | 13.2% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 56.36 | \$ 8.88 | 18.7% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 1.15 | 12.1% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 13.60 | \$ 1.75 | 14.8% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 2.66 | 16.3% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 32.86 | \$ 4.82 | 17.2% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 41.62 | \$ 6.38 | 18.1% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 1.15 | 9.8% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 1.75 | 12.5% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 2.66 | 14.0% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 35.77 | \$ 4.82 | 15.6% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 44.59 | \$ 6.38 | 16.7% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 16.65 | \$ 1.15 | 7.4% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 19.56 | \$ 1.75 | 9.8% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 25.30 | \$ 2.66 | 11.7% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 37.63 | \$ 4.82 | 14.7% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 46.23 | \$ 6.38 | 16.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) | |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 19.52 | \$ 2.32 | 13.5% | |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 35.96 | \$ 5.30 | 17.3% | |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 38.62 | \$ 5.30 | 15.9% | |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 3.50 | 12.4% | |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 41.89 | \$ 5.30 | 14.5% | |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 12.00 | \$ 0.71 | 6.3% | |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 14.58 | \$ 1.05 | 7.8% | |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 19.17 | \$ 1.48 | 8.4% | |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 17.49 | \$ 1.77 | 11.3% | |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 2.75 | 12.6% | |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 0.71 | 5.4% | |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 15.90 | \$ 1.05 | 7.1% | |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 21.29 | \$ 1.48 | 7.5% | |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 21.46 | \$ 1.77 | 9.0% | |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 28.08 | \$ 2.75 | 10.9% | |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 17.60 | \$ 0.71 | 4.2% | |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 0.71 | 2.5% | |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 16.91 | \$ 1.05 | 6.6% | |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 25.24 | \$ 1.48 | 6.2% | |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 23.16 | \$ 1.77 | 8.3% | |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 38.18 | \$ 1.77 | 4.9% | |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 28.81 | \$ 2.75 | 10.6% | |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 47.24 | \$ 0.42 | 0.9% | |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 23.56 | \$ 1.42 | 6.4% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 2.09 | 8.3% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 35.15 | \$ 3.53 | 11.2% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 24.45 | \$ 1.42 | 6.2% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 27.71 | \$ 2.09 | 8.2% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 36.56 | \$ 3.53 | 10.7% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 28.69 | \$ 1.42 | 5.2% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 34.39 | \$ 2.09 | 6.5% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 42.81 | \$ 3.53 | 9.0% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 45.17 | \$ 5.48 | 13.8% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.70 | \$ 0.42 | 32.8% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 3.37 | \$ 0.84 | 33.2% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 6.20 | \$ 1.27 | 25.8% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 9.38 | \$ 1.68 | 21.8% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 11.71 | \$ 2.10 | 21.9% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 16.01 | \$ 2.51 | 18.6% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 19.14 | \$ 2.95 | 18.2% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 24.78 | \$ 3.37 | 15.7% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 28.31 | \$ 3.79 | 15.5% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 16.94 | \$ 4.21 | 33.1% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 19.73 | \$ 4.62 | 30.6% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 22.95 | \$ 5.05 | 28.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 25.26 | \$ 5.46 | 27.6% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 29.57 | \$ 5.89 | 24.9% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 32.70 | \$ 6.30 | 23.9% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 38.33 | \$ 6.71 | 21.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 10.04 | \$ (1.44) | -12.5% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 26.34 | \$ (3.26) | -11.0% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 45.54 | \$ (7.87) | -14.7% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 16.63 | \$ (1.44) | -8.0% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 13.78 | \$ (1.82) | -11.7% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 24.74 | \$ (3.37) | -12.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 10.47 | \$ 0.65 | 6.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 1.31 | 6.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 1.96 | 6.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 41.86 | \$ 2.59 | 6.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 52.34 | \$ 3.25 | 6.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 62.80 | \$ 3.91 | 6.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 31.63 | \$ (1.71) | -5.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 59.25 | \$ (4.42) | -6.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.83 | \$ 86.86 | \$ (7.97) | -8.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.00 | \$ 114.49 | \$ (11.51) | -9.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 157.16 | \$ 142.14 | \$ (15.02) | -9.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 188.32 | \$ 169.76 | \$ (18.56) | -9.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 250.62 | \$ 224.97 | \$ (25.65) | -10.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.72 | \$ 280.00 | \$ (32.72) | -10.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.80 | \$ 334.97 | \$ (39.83) | -10.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 436.91 | \$ 390.00 | \$ (46.91) | -10.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 498.97 | \$ 445.02 | \$ (53.95) | -10.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 561.09 | \$ 500.04 | \$ (61.05) | -10.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 623.18 | \$ 555.05 | \$ (68.13) | -10.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 685.25 | \$ 610.04 | \$ (75.21) | -11.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 747.36 | \$ 665.06 | \$ (82.30) | -11.0% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 809.42 | \$ 720.08 | \$ (89.34) | -11.0% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 871.54 | \$ 775.11 | \$ (96.43) | -11.1% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 933.63 | \$ 830.13 | \$ (103.50) | -11.1% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 995.71 | \$ 885.11 | \$ (110.60) | -11.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,057.82 | \$ 940.12 | \$ (117.70) | -11.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,119.88 | \$ 995.14 | \$ (124.74) | -11.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,181.99 | \$ 1,050.16 | \$ (131.83) | -11.2% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,244.07 | \$ 1,105.16 | \$ (138.91) | -11.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,306.18 | \$ 1,160.18 | \$ (146.00) | -11.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,368.27 | \$ 1,215.19 | \$ (153.08) | -11.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,430.37 | \$ 1,270.24 | \$ (160.13) | -11.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,492.44 | \$ 1,325.22 | \$ (167.22) | -11.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 22.84 | \$ 21.13 | \$ (1.71) | -7.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 42.67 | \$ 38.25 | \$ (4.42) | -10.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 54.33 | \$ 46.36 | \$ (7.97) | -14.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 66.00 | \$ 54.49 | \$ (11.51) | -17.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 77.66 | \$ 62.64 | \$ (15.02) | -19.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 89.32 | \$ 70.76 | \$ (18.56) | -20.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 112.62 | \$ 86.97 | \$ (25.65) | -22.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 135.72 | \$ 103.00 | \$ (32.72) | -24.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 158.80 | \$ 118.97 | \$ (39.83) | -25.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 181.91 | \$ 135.00 | \$ (46.91) | -25.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 204.97 | \$ 151.02 | \$ (53.95) | -26.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 228.09 | \$ 167.04 | \$ (61.05) | -26.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 251.18 | \$ 183.05 | \$ (68.13) | -27.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 274.25 | \$ 199.04 | \$ (75.21) | -27.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 297.36 | \$ 215.06 | \$ (82.30) | -27.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 320.42 | \$ 231.08 | \$ (89.34) | -27.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 343.54 | \$ 247.11 | \$ (96.43) | -28.1% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 366.63 | \$ 263.13 | \$ (103.50) | -28.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 389.71 | \$ 279.11 | \$ (110.60) | -28.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 412.82 | \$ 295.12 | \$ (117.70) | -28.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 435.88 | \$ 311.14 | \$ (124.74) | -28.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 458.99 | \$ 327.16 | \$ (131.83) | -28.7% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 482.07 | \$ 343.16 | \$ (138.91) | -28.8% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 505.18 | \$ 359.18 | \$ (146.00) | -28.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 528.27 | \$ 375.19 | \$ (153.08) | -29.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 551.37 | \$ 391.24 | \$ (160.13) | -29.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 574.44 | \$ 407.22 | \$ (167.22) | -29.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 31.63 | \$ (1.71) | -5.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 59.25 | \$ (4.42) | -6.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 89.33 | \$ 81.36 | \$ (7.97) | -8.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 115.00 | \$ 103.49 | \$ (11.51) | -10.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.66 | \$ 125.64 | \$ (15.02) | -10.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 166.32 | \$ 147.76 | \$ (18.56) | -11.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 217.62 | \$ 191.97 | \$ (25.65) | -11.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 268.72 | \$ 236.00 | \$ (32.72) | -12.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 319.80 | \$ 279.97 | \$ (39.83) | -12.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 370.91 | \$ 324.00 | \$ (46.91) | -12.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 421.97 | \$ 368.02 | \$ (53.95) | -12.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 473.09 | \$ 412.04 | \$ (61.05) | -12.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 524.18 | \$ 456.05 | \$ (68.13) | -13.0% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 575.25 | \$ 500.04 | \$ (75.21) | -13.1% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 626.36 | \$ 544.06 | \$ (82.30) | -13.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 677.42 | \$ 588.08 | \$ (89.34) | -13.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 728.54 | \$ 632.11 | \$ (96.43) | -13.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 779.63 | \$ 676.13 | \$ (103.50) | -13.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 830.71 | \$ 720.11 | \$ (110.60) | -13.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 881.82 | \$ 764.12 | \$ (117.70) | -13.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 932.88 | \$ 808.14 | \$ (124.74) | -13.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 983.99 | \$ 852.16 | \$ (131.83) | -13.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,035.07 | \$ 896.16 | \$ (138.91) | -13.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,086.18 | \$ 940.18 | \$ (146.00) | -13.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,137.27 | \$ 984.19 | \$ (153.08) | -13.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,188.37 | \$ 1,028.24 | \$ (160.13) | -13.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,239.44 | \$ 1,072.22 | \$ (167.22) | -13.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 33.89 | \$ 1.02 | 3.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 63.77 | \$ 2.03 | 3.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 93.64 | \$ 0.54 | 0.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 123.53 | \$ (0.95) | -0.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 153.44 | \$ (2.40) | -1.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 183.33 | \$ (3.88) | -2.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 243.06 | \$ (6.86) | -2.7% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 302.62 | \$ (9.82) | -3.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 362.11 | \$ (12.81) | -3.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 421.67 | \$ (15.76) | -3.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 481.21 | \$ (18.70) | -3.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 540.76 | \$ (21.67) | -3.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 600.29 | \$ (24.65) | -3.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 659.81 | \$ (27.60) | -4.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 719.35 | \$ (30.58) | -4.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 778.89 | \$ (33.52) | -4.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 838.45 | \$ (36.48) | -4.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 897.99 | \$ (39.45) | -4.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 957.50 | \$ (42.43) | -4.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,017.03 | \$ (45.40) | -4.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,076.58 | \$ (48.33) | -4.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,136.12 | \$ (51.31) | -4.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,195.65 | \$ (54.27) | -4.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,255.19 | \$ (57.25) | -4.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,314.72 | \$ (60.22) | -4.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,374.30 | \$ (63.15) | -4.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,433.80 | \$ (66.13) | -4.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 33.89 | \$ 1.02 | 3.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 63.77 | \$ 2.03 | 3.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 93.64 | \$ 0.54 | 0.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 123.53 | \$ (0.95) | -0.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 153.44 | \$ (2.40) | -1.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 183.33 | \$ (3.88) | -2.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 243.06 | \$ (6.86) | -2.7% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 302.62 | \$ (9.82) | -3.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 362.11 | \$ (12.81) | -3.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 421.67 | \$ (15.76) | -3.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 481.21 | \$ (18.70) | -3.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 540.76 | \$ (21.67) | -3.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 600.29 | \$ (24.65) | -3.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 659.81 | \$ (27.60) | -4.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 719.35 | \$ (30.58) | -4.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 778.89 | \$ (33.52) | -4.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 838.45 | \$ (36.48) | -4.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 897.99 | \$ (39.45) | -4.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 957.50 | \$ (42.43) | -4.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,017.03 | \$ (45.40) | -4.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,076.58 | \$ (48.33) | -4.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,136.12 | \$ (51.31) | -4.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,195.65 | \$ (54.27) | -4.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,255.19 | \$ (57.25) | -4.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,314.72 | \$ (60.22) | -4.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,374.30 | \$ (63.15) | -4.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,433.80 | \$ (66.13) | -4.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 33.89 | \$ 1.02 | 3.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 63.77 | \$ 2.03 | 3.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 93.64 | \$ 0.54 | 0.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 123.53 | \$ (0.95) | -0.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 153.44 | \$ (2.40) | -1.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 183.33 | \$ (3.88) | -2.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 243.06 | \$ (6.86) | -2.7% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 302.62 | \$ (9.82) | -3.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 362.11 | \$ (12.81) | -3.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 421.67 | \$ (15.76) | -3.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 481.21 | \$ (18.70) | -3.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 540.76 | \$ (21.67) | -3.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 600.29 | \$ (24.65) | -3.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 659.81 | \$ (27.60) | -4.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 719.35 | \$ (30.58) | -4.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 778.89 | \$ (33.52) | -4.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 838.45 | \$ (36.48) | -4.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 897.99 | \$ (39.45) | -4.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 957.50 | \$ (42.43) | -4.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,017.03 | \$ (45.40) | -4.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,076.58 | \$ (48.33) | -4.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,136.12 | \$ (51.31) | -4.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,195.65 | \$ (54.27) | -4.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,255.19 | \$ (57.25) | -4.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,314.72 | \$ (60.22) | -4.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,374.30 | \$ (63.15) | -4.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,433.80 | \$ (66.13) | -4.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 160.67 | \$ (6.16) | -3.7% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 237.40 | \$ (26.70) | -10.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 313.70 | \$ (47.25) | -13.1% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 390.00 | \$ (67.79) | -14.8% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 466.31 | \$ (88.34) | -15.9% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 542.60 | \$ (108.87) | -16.7% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 17,627.12 | \$ (615.14) | -3.4% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 25,200.64 | \$ (2,669.57) | -9.6% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 32,774.15 | \$ (4,724.01) | -12.6% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 40,347.67 | \$ (6,778.44) | -14.4% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 47,921.17 | \$ (8,832.88) | -15.6% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 55,494.70 | \$ (10,887.31) | -16.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,450.30 | \$ (392.75) | -6.7% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 8,954.77 | \$ (819.05) | -8.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 12,459.25 | \$ (1,245.35) | -9.1% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 15,963.71 | \$ (1,671.66) | -9.5% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 19,468.18 | \$ (2,097.96) | -9.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 22,972.66 | \$ (2,524.26) | -9.9% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 53,069.05 | \$ (3,927.51) | -6.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 87,882.78 | \$ (8,190.53) | -8.5% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 122,235.86 | \$ (12,453.54) | -9.2% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 156,588.93 | \$ (16,716.57) | -9.6% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 190,942.01 | \$ (20,979.58) | -9.9% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 225,295.10 | \$ (25,242.59) | -10.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 9,404.10 | \$ 352.10 | 3.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 16,234.07 | \$ 106.50 | 0.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 23,064.05 | \$ (139.10) | -0.6% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 29,894.03 | \$ (384.70) | -1.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 36,723.99 | \$ (630.31) | -1.7% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 43,553.98 | \$ (875.90) | -2.0% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 92,106.07 | \$ 3,520.99 | 4.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 159,022.52 | \$ 1,064.98 | 0.7% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 225,938.98 | \$ (1,391.03) | -0.6% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 292,855.43 | \$ (3,847.05) | -1.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 359,771.90 | \$ (6,303.05) | -1.7% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 426,688.33 | \$ (8,759.07) | -2.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 25,292.78 | \$ (1,047.69) | -4.0% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 32,740.24 | \$ (3,529.39) | -9.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 40,187.70 | \$ (6,011.08) | -13.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 47,635.16 | \$ (8,492.78) | -15.1% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 54,851.61 | \$ (10,974.47) | -16.7% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 62,022.41 | \$ (13,456.16) | -17.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 248,349.62 | \$ (10,476.94) | -4.0% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 320,057.62 | \$ (35,293.88) | -9.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 391,765.62 | \$ (60,110.82) | -13.3% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 463,473.62 | \$ (84,927.76) | -15.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 535,181.63 | \$ (109,744.70) | -17.0% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 606,889.63 | \$ (134,561.64) | -18.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.35 | \$ (0.18) | -1.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 14.26 | \$ (0.39) | -2.7% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 15.08 | \$ (0.51) | -3.3% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 18.42 | \$ (0.90) | -4.7% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 20.26 | \$ (1.14) | -5.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 25.30 | \$ (1.75) | -6.5% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 27.70 | \$ (2.03) | -6.8% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.51 | \$ (0.18) | -2.3% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 9.42 | \$ (0.39) | -4.0% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 10.24 | \$ (0.51) | -4.7% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 13.58 | \$ (0.90) | -6.2% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 15.42 | \$ (1.14) | -6.9% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 20.46 | \$ (1.75) | -7.9% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 22.86 | \$ (2.03) | -8.2% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 11.49 | \$ (0.50) | -4.2% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 14.98 | \$ (0.75) | -4.8% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 20.80 | \$ (1.12) | -5.1% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 46.09 | \$ (2.74) | -5.6% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 15.84 | \$ (0.50) | -3.1% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 22.40 | \$ (0.50) | -2.2% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 26.70 | \$ (0.75) | -2.7% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 32.74 | \$ (1.12) | -3.3% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 32.99 | \$ (1.12) | -3.3% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 51.60 | \$ (2.28) | -4.2% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 59.92 | \$ (2.74) | -4.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(\$C)) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.79 | \$ (0.30) | -2.3% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 14.62 | \$ (0.46) | -3.1% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 19.43 | \$ (0.76) | -3.8% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 24.86 | \$ (1.18) | -4.5% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.31 | \$ (0.30) | -1.7% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.35 | \$ (0.30) | -1.2% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 26.43 | \$ (0.46) | -1.7% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 31.08 | \$ (0.76) | -2.4% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 49.91 | \$ (1.52) | -3.0% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 36.32 | \$ (1.18) | -3.1% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 22.78 | \$ (0.30) | -1.3% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 34.81 | \$ (0.30) | -0.9% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.16 | \$ (0.46) | -1.8% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 36.83 | \$ (0.46) | -1.2% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 30.66 | \$ (0.76) | -2.4% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 42.49 | \$ (0.76) | -1.8% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 36.09 | \$ (1.18) | -3.2% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 48.73 | \$ (1.18) | -2.4% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.49 | \$ (0.19) | -11.3% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.96 | \$ (0.36) | -10.8% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 5.34 | \$ (0.53) | -9.0% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 7.97 | \$ (0.71) | -8.2% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 9.95 | \$ (0.90) | -8.3% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 13.44 | \$ (1.09) | -7.5% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 16.05 | \$ (1.26) | -7.3% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 20.61 | \$ (1.44) | -6.5% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 23.52 | \$ (1.61) | -6.4% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.87 | \$ (1.81) | -10.9% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 17.21 | \$ (2.00) | -10.4% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 19.85 | \$ (2.16) | -9.8% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 21.84 | \$ (2.35) | -9.7% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 25.34 | \$ (2.52) | -9.0% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 27.95 | \$ (2.70) | -8.8% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 32.48 | \$ (2.90) | -8.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or (A) Watts) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.49 | \$ (0.19) | -11.3% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.96 | \$ (0.36) | -10.8% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 6.79 | \$ (0.53) | -7.2% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 11.35 | \$ (0.71) | -5.9% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 14.16 | \$ (0.90) | -6.0% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 21.03 | \$ (1.09) | -4.9% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 25.51 | \$ (1.26) | -4.7% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 35.19 | \$ (1.44) | -3.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 40.52 | \$ (1.61) | -3.8% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.87 | \$ (1.81) | -10.9% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 18.66 | \$ (2.00) | -9.7% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 23.23 | \$ (2.16) | -8.5% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 26.05 | \$ (2.35) | -8.3% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 32.93 | \$ (2.52) | -7.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 37.41 | \$ (2.70) | -6.7% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 47.06 | \$ (2.90) | -5.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 12.86 | \$ (1.49) | -10.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 25.73 | \$ (3.38) | -11.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 48.85 | \$ (8.16) | -14.3% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 15.20 | \$ (1.49) | -8.9% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.49 | \$ (0.90) | -5.5% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 19.02 | \$ (1.34) | -6.6% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 23.22 | \$ (2.26) | -8.9% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 30.93 | \$ (3.51) | -10.2% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 18.57 | \$ (0.90) | -4.6% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 24.42 | \$ (1.34) | -5.2% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 38.10 | \$ (1.89) | -4.7% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 29.96 | \$ (2.26) | -7.0% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 41.70 | \$ (2.26) | -5.1% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 35.25 | \$ (3.51) | -9.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 4.03 | \$ 0.03 | 0.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 8.05 | \$ 0.02 | 0.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 16.08 | \$ 0.02 | 0.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 20.11 | \$ 0.05 | 0.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 0.06 | 0.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 169.72 | \$ 7.01 | 4.3% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 255.50 | \$ (0.37) | -0.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 340.85 | \$ (7.75) | -2.2% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 426.20 | \$ (15.12) | -3.4% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 511.56 | \$ (22.50) | -4.2% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 596.89 | \$ (29.88) | -4.8% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 18,532.02 | \$ 701.46 | 3.9% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 27,010.44 | \$ (36.37) | -0.1% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 35,488.85 | \$ (774.21) | -2.1% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 43,967.27 | \$ (1,512.04) | -3.3% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 52,445.67 | \$ (2,249.88) | -4.1% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 60,924.10 | \$ (2,987.71) | -4.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 5,887.10 | \$ (113.70) | -1.9% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 9,828.37 | \$ (610.95) | -5.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 13,769.65 | \$ (1,108.20) | -7.4% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 17,710.91 | \$ (1,605.46) | -8.3% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 21,652.18 | \$ (2,102.71) | -8.9% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 25,593.46 | \$ (2,599.96) | -9.2% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 57,437.05 | \$ (1,137.01) | -1.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 96,618.78 | \$ (6,109.53) | -5.9% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 135,339.86 | \$ (11,082.04) | -7.6% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 174,060.93 | \$ (16,054.57) | -8.4% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 212,782.01 | \$ (21,027.08) | -9.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 251,503.10 | \$ (25,999.59) | -9.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 10,253.00 | \$ 726.10 | 7.6% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 17,931.87 | \$ 342.50 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 25,610.75 | \$ (41.10) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 33,289.63 | \$ (424.70) | -1.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 40,968.49 | \$ (808.31) | -1.9% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 48,647.38 | \$ (1,191.90) | -2.4% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 100,595.07 | \$ 7,260.99 | 7.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 176,000.52 | \$ 3,424.98 | 2.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 251,405.98 | \$ (411.03) | -0.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 326,811.43 | \$ (4,247.05) | -1.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 402,216.90 | \$ (8,083.05) | -2.0% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 477,622.33 | \$ (11,919.07) | -2.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 26,988.98 | \$ (1,243.29) | -4.4% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 36,132.64 | \$ (4,000.59) | -10.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 45,276.30 | \$ (6,757.88) | -13.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 54,419.96 | \$ (9,515.18) | -14.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 63,332.61 | \$ (12,272.47) | -16.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 72,199.61 | \$ (15,029.76) | -17.2% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 265,311.62 | \$ (12,432.94) | -4.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 353,981.62 | \$ (40,005.88) | -10.2% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 442,651.62 | \$ (67,578.82) | -13.2% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 531,321.62 | \$ (95,151.76) | -15.2% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 619,991.63 | \$ (122,724.70) | -16.5% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 708,661.63 | \$ (150,297.64) | -17.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.56 | \$ 0.50 | 4.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 14.76 | \$ 1.22 | 9.0% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 15.71 | \$ 1.50 | 10.6% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 19.56 | \$ 2.73 | 16.2% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 3.39 | 18.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 27.49 | \$ 5.24 | 23.6% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 6.10 | 25.3% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 7.72 | \$ 0.50 | 6.9% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 1.22 | 14.0% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 10.87 | \$ 1.50 | 16.0% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 14.72 | \$ 2.73 | 22.8% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 16.84 | \$ 3.39 | 25.2% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 5.24 | 30.1% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 25.41 | \$ 6.10 | 31.6% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 12.11 | \$ 1.48 | 13.9% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 2.25 | 16.5% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 22.23 | \$ 3.44 | 18.3% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 49.53 | \$ 8.22 | 19.9% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 1.48 | 9.9% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 23.02 | \$ 1.48 | 6.9% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 27.64 | \$ 2.25 | 8.9% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 34.17 | \$ 3.44 | 11.2% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 34.42 | \$ 3.44 | 11.1% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 54.46 | \$ 6.83 | 14.3% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 63.36 | \$ 8.22 | 14.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

Bill Data

| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.17 | \$ 0.91 | 7.4% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 15.19 | \$ 1.34 | 9.7% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 20.38 | \$ 2.27 | 12.5% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 26.34 | \$ 3.53 | 15.5% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 0.91 | 5.4% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 0.91 | 3.8% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 27.00 | \$ 1.34 | 5.2% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 32.03 | \$ 2.27 | 7.6% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 51.81 | \$ 4.54 | 9.6% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 37.80 | \$ 3.53 | 10.3% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.16 | \$ 0.91 | 4.1% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.19 | \$ 0.91 | 2.7% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 25.73 | \$ 1.34 | 5.5% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 37.40 | \$ 1.34 | 3.7% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 2.27 | 7.7% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 43.44 | \$ 2.27 | 5.5% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 37.57 | \$ 3.53 | 10.4% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 50.21 | \$ 3.53 | 7.6% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.71 | \$ 0.53 | 44.9% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.41 | \$ 1.08 | 46.4% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 6.02 | \$ 1.63 | 37.1% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 8.88 | \$ 2.17 | 32.3% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 11.08 | \$ 2.70 | 32.2% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 3.24 | 28.0% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 3.79 | 27.4% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 4.34 | 24.0% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 25.56 | \$ 4.89 | 23.7% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 17.13 | \$ 5.40 | 46.0% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 19.70 | \$ 5.94 | 43.2% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 22.56 | \$ 6.49 | 40.4% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 24.78 | \$ 7.03 | 39.6% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 28.50 | \$ 7.57 | 36.2% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 31.35 | \$ 8.12 | 35.0% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 36.10 | \$ 8.64 | 31.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.71 | \$ 0.53 | 44.9% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.41 | \$ 1.08 | 46.4% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 7.47 | \$ 1.63 | 27.9% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 2.17 | 21.5% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 15.29 | \$ 2.70 | 21.4% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 22.39 | \$ 3.24 | 16.9% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 27.09 | \$ 3.79 | 16.3% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 37.00 | \$ 4.34 | 13.3% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 42.56 | \$ 4.89 | 13.0% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 17.13 | \$ 5.40 | 46.0% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 21.15 | \$ 5.94 | 39.1% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 25.94 | \$ 6.49 | 33.4% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 28.99 | \$ 7.03 | 32.0% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 7.57 | 26.5% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 40.81 | \$ 8.12 | 24.8% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 50.68 | \$ 8.64 | 20.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 13.48 | \$ 0.51 | 3.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 27.16 | \$ 1.23 | 4.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 52.29 | \$ 2.91 | 5.9% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 15.82 | \$ 0.51 | 3.3% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 15.87 | \$ 0.33 | 2.1% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.59 | \$ 0.48 | 2.5% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 24.17 | \$ 0.80 | 3.4% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 32.41 | \$ 1.25 | 4.0% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 18.95 | \$ 0.33 | 1.8% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 24.99 | \$ 0.48 | 2.0% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 38.89 | \$ 0.67 | 1.8% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 30.91 | \$ 0.80 | 2.7% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 42.65 | \$ 0.80 | 1.9% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 36.73 | \$ 1.25 | 3.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 4.94 | \$ (0.01) | -0.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 9.86 | \$ (0.04) | -0.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 14.77 | \$ (0.07) | -0.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 19.70 | \$ (0.11) | -0.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 24.63 | \$ (0.12) | -0.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 29.58 | \$ (0.14) | -0.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 31.82 | \$ (0.20) | -0.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 59.65 | \$ (1.42) | -2.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 92.00 | \$ 87.47 | \$ (4.53) | -4.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 122.93 | \$ 115.30 | \$ (7.63) | -6.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 153.86 | \$ 143.14 | \$ (10.72) | -7.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 184.80 | \$ 170.96 | \$ (13.84) | -7.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 246.65 | \$ 226.61 | \$ (20.04) | -8.1% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 308.31 | \$ 282.05 | \$ (26.26) | -8.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 369.95 | \$ 337.45 | \$ (32.50) | -8.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 431.58 | \$ 392.87 | \$ (38.71) | -9.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 493.20 | \$ 448.29 | \$ (44.91) | -9.1% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 554.84 | \$ 503.71 | \$ (51.13) | -9.2% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 616.49 | \$ 559.14 | \$ (57.35) | -9.3% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 678.12 | \$ 614.55 | \$ (63.57) | -9.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 739.74 | \$ 669.96 | \$ (69.78) | -9.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 801.37 | \$ 725.39 | \$ (75.98) | -9.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 863.02 | \$ 780.82 | \$ (82.20) | -9.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 924.68 | \$ 836.26 | \$ (88.42) | -9.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 986.30 | \$ 891.65 | \$ (94.65) | -9.6% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,047.94 | \$ 947.08 | \$ (100.86) | -9.6% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,109.57 | \$ 1,002.51 | \$ (107.06) | -9.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,171.20 | \$ 1,057.93 | \$ (113.27) | -9.7% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,232.84 | \$ 1,113.34 | \$ (119.50) | -9.7% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,294.48 | \$ 1,168.75 | \$ (125.73) | -9.7% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,356.11 | \$ 1,224.18 | \$ (131.93) | -9.7% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,417.76 | \$ 1,279.62 | \$ (138.14) | -9.7% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,479.38 | \$ 1,335.04 | \$ (144.34) | -9.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 31.82 | \$ (0.20) | -0.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 59.65 | \$ (1.42) | -2.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.82 | \$ 78.29 | \$ (4.53) | -5.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 104.58 | \$ 96.95 | \$ (7.63) | -7.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 126.33 | \$ 115.61 | \$ (10.72) | -8.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 138.35 | \$ 124.51 | \$ (13.84) | -10.0% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 162.35 | \$ 142.31 | \$ (20.04) | -12.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 186.16 | \$ 159.90 | \$ (26.26) | -14.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 209.95 | \$ 177.45 | \$ (32.50) | -15.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 233.73 | \$ 195.02 | \$ (38.71) | -16.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 257.50 | \$ 212.59 | \$ (44.91) | -17.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 281.29 | \$ 230.16 | \$ (51.13) | -18.2% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 305.09 | \$ 247.74 | \$ (57.35) | -18.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 328.87 | \$ 265.30 | \$ (63.57) | -19.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 352.64 | \$ 282.86 | \$ (69.78) | -19.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 376.42 | \$ 300.44 | \$ (75.98) | -20.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 400.22 | \$ 318.02 | \$ (82.20) | -20.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 424.03 | \$ 335.61 | \$ (88.42) | -20.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 447.80 | \$ 353.15 | \$ (94.65) | -21.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 471.59 | \$ 370.73 | \$ (100.86) | -21.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 495.37 | \$ 388.31 | \$ (107.06) | -21.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 519.15 | \$ 405.88 | \$ (113.27) | -21.8% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 542.94 | \$ 423.44 | \$ (119.50) | -22.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 566.73 | \$ 441.00 | \$ (125.73) | -22.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 590.51 | \$ 458.58 | \$ (131.93) | -22.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 614.31 | \$ 476.17 | \$ (138.14) | -22.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 638.08 | \$ 493.74 | \$ (144.34) | -22.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 31.82 | \$ (0.20) | -0.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 59.65 | \$ (1.42) | -2.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 87.57 | \$ 83.04 | \$ (4.53) | -5.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 114.08 | \$ 106.45 | \$ (7.63) | -6.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.58 | \$ 129.86 | \$ (10.72) | -7.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 167.10 | \$ 153.26 | \$ (13.84) | -8.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 220.10 | \$ 200.06 | \$ (20.04) | -9.1% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 272.91 | \$ 246.65 | \$ (26.26) | -9.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 325.70 | \$ 293.20 | \$ (32.50) | -10.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 378.48 | \$ 339.77 | \$ (38.71) | -10.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 431.25 | \$ 386.34 | \$ (44.91) | -10.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 484.04 | \$ 432.91 | \$ (51.13) | -10.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 536.84 | \$ 479.49 | \$ (57.35) | -10.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 589.62 | \$ 526.05 | \$ (63.57) | -10.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 642.39 | \$ 572.61 | \$ (69.78) | -10.9% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 695.17 | \$ 619.19 | \$ (75.98) | -10.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 747.97 | \$ 665.77 | \$ (82.20) | -11.0% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 800.78 | \$ 712.36 | \$ (88.42) | -11.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 853.55 | \$ 758.90 | \$ (94.65) | -11.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 906.34 | \$ 805.48 | \$ (100.86) | -11.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 959.12 | \$ 852.06 | \$ (107.06) | -11.2% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,011.90 | \$ 898.63 | \$ (113.27) | -11.2% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,064.69 | \$ 945.19 | \$ (119.50) | -11.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,117.48 | \$ 991.75 | \$ (125.73) | -11.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,170.26 | \$ 1,038.33 | \$ (131.93) | -11.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,223.06 | \$ 1,084.92 | \$ (138.14) | -11.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,275.83 | \$ 1,131.49 | \$ (144.34) | -11.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 34.08 | \$ 0.77 | 2.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 64.17 | \$ 1.51 | 2.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 94.25 | \$ (0.23) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 124.34 | \$ (1.97) | -1.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 154.44 | \$ (3.68) | -2.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 184.53 | \$ (5.43) | -2.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 244.70 | \$ (8.90) | -3.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 304.67 | \$ (12.38) | -3.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 364.59 | \$ (15.87) | -4.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 424.54 | \$ (19.33) | -4.4% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 484.48 | \$ (22.80) | -4.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 544.43 | \$ (26.27) | -4.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 604.38 | \$ (29.76) | -4.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 664.32 | \$ (33.23) | -4.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 724.25 | \$ (36.71) | -4.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 784.20 | \$ (40.18) | -4.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 844.16 | \$ (43.65) | -4.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 904.12 | \$ (47.14) | -5.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 964.04 | \$ (50.62) | -5.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,023.99 | \$ (54.08) | -5.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,083.95 | \$ (57.54) | -5.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,143.89 | \$ (61.02) | -5.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,203.83 | \$ (64.50) | -5.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,263.76 | \$ (68.00) | -5.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,323.71 | \$ (71.47) | -5.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,383.68 | \$ (74.93) | -5.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,443.62 | \$ (78.40) | -5.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 34.08 | \$ 0.77 | 2.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 64.17 | \$ 1.51 | 2.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 94.25 | \$ (0.23) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 124.34 | \$ (1.97) | -1.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 154.44 | \$ (3.68) | -2.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 184.53 | \$ (5.43) | -2.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 244.70 | \$ (8.90) | -3.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 304.67 | \$ (12.38) | -3.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 364.59 | \$ (15.87) | -4.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 424.54 | \$ (19.33) | -4.4% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 484.48 | \$ (22.80) | -4.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 544.43 | \$ (26.27) | -4.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 604.38 | \$ (29.76) | -4.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 664.32 | \$ (33.23) | -4.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 724.25 | \$ (36.71) | -4.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 784.20 | \$ (40.18) | -4.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 844.16 | \$ (43.65) | -4.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 904.12 | \$ (47.14) | -5.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 964.04 | \$ (50.62) | -5.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,023.99 | \$ (54.08) | -5.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,083.95 | \$ (57.54) | -5.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,143.89 | \$ (61.02) | -5.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,203.83 | \$ (64.50) | -5.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,263.76 | \$ (68.00) | -5.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,323.71 | \$ (71.47) | -5.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,383.68 | \$ (74.93) | -5.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,443.62 | \$ (78.40) | -5.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 34.08 | \$ 0.77 | 2.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 64.17 | \$ 1.51 | 2.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 94.25 | \$ (0.23) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 124.34 | \$ (1.97) | -1.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 154.44 | \$ (3.68) | -2.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 184.53 | \$ (5.43) | -2.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 244.70 | \$ (8.90) | -3.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 304.67 | \$ (12.38) | -3.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 364.59 | \$ (15.87) | -4.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 424.54 | \$ (19.33) | -4.4% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 484.48 | \$ (22.80) | -4.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 544.43 | \$ (26.27) | -4.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 604.38 | \$ (29.76) | -4.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 664.32 | \$ (33.23) | -4.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 724.25 | \$ (36.71) | -4.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 784.20 | \$ (40.18) | -4.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 844.16 | \$ (43.65) | -4.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 904.12 | \$ (47.14) | -5.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 964.04 | \$ (50.62) | -5.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,023.99 | \$ (54.08) | -5.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,083.95 | \$ (57.54) | -5.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,143.89 | \$ (61.02) | -5.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,203.83 | \$ (64.50) | -5.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,263.76 | \$ (68.00) | -5.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,323.71 | \$ (71.47) | -5.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,383.68 | \$ (74.93) | -5.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,443.62 | \$ (78.40) | -5.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 141.02 | \$ (2.52) | -1.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 216.62 | \$ (14.45) | -6.3% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 291.82 | \$ (26.37) | -8.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 366.97 | \$ (38.29) | -9.4% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 442.14 | \$ (50.21) | -10.2% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 517.29 | \$ (62.13) | -10.7% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 14,752.71 | \$ (252.54) | -1.7% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 22,213.12 | \$ (1,444.77) | -6.1% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 29,673.53 | \$ (2,637.01) | -8.2% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 37,133.94 | \$ (3,829.24) | -9.3% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 44,594.34 | \$ (5,021.49) | -10.1% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 52,054.77 | \$ (6,213.70) | -10.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 5,939.30 | \$ 45.25 | 0.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 9,385.79 | \$ (371.80) | -3.8% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 12,832.26 | \$ (788.85) | -5.8% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 16,278.75 | \$ (1,205.90) | -6.9% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 19,725.22 | \$ (1,622.96) | -7.6% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 23,171.72 | \$ (2,040.01) | -8.1% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 57,959.15 | \$ 452.49 | 0.8% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 92,260.46 | \$ (3,718.03) | -3.9% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 126,235.74 | \$ (7,888.54) | -5.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 160,210.99 | \$ (12,059.07) | -7.0% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 194,186.27 | \$ (16,229.58) | -7.7% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 228,161.55 | \$ (20,400.09) | -8.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 9,101.87 | \$ 252.40 | 2.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 15,765.41 | \$ (117.70) | -0.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 22,428.96 | \$ (487.80) | -2.1% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 29,092.50 | \$ (857.90) | -2.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 35,756.04 | \$ (1,228.01) | -3.3% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 42,419.60 | \$ (1,598.10) | -3.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 88,971.26 | \$ 2,523.99 | 2.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 154,627.60 | \$ (1,177.02) | -0.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 220,283.95 | \$ (4,878.03) | -2.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 285,940.29 | \$ (8,579.05) | -2.9% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 351,596.65 | \$ (12,280.05) | -3.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 417,252.98 | \$ (15,981.07) | -3.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 27,392.32 | \$ 64.91 | 0.2% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 35,840.91 | \$ (1,332.19) | -3.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 44,289.52 | \$ (2,729.28) | -5.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 52,738.11 | \$ (4,126.38) | -7.3% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 61,023.20 | \$ (5,523.47) | -8.3% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 69,275.98 | \$ (6,920.56) | -9.1% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 269,816.70 | \$ 649.06 | 0.2% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 352,344.48 | \$ (13,321.88) | -3.6% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 434,872.27 | \$ (27,292.82) | -5.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 517,400.05 | \$ (41,263.76) | -7.4% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 599,927.84 | \$ (55,234.70) | -8.4% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 682,455.62 | \$ (69,205.64) | -9.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 17.91 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 19.53 | \$ (0.16) | -0.8% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 20.23 | \$ (0.20) | -1.0% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 23.09 | \$ (0.35) | -1.5% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 24.66 | \$ (0.44) | -1.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 28.94 | \$ (0.68) | -2.3% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 30.98 | \$ (0.78) | -2.5% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 7.98 | \$ (0.12) | -1.5% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 8.55 | \$ (0.20) | -2.3% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 10.52 | \$ (0.28) | -2.6% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 13.27 | \$ (0.43) | -3.1% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 20.24 | \$ (0.81) | -3.8% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 24.58 | \$ (1.07) | -4.2% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 15.80 | \$ (0.12) | -0.8% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 16.43 | \$ (0.20) | -1.2% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.34 | \$ (0.28) | -1.4% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 27.68 | \$ (0.58) | -2.1% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 21.51 | \$ (0.43) | -2.0% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 32.78 | \$ (0.89) | -2.6% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 30.00 | \$ (0.81) | -2.6% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 34.46 | \$ (1.07) | -3.0% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 59.85 | \$ (2.13) | -3.4% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 10.82 | \$ (0.12) | -1.1% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 11.92 | \$ (0.20) | -1.7% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 14.94 | \$ (0.28) | -1.8% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.20 | \$ (0.12) | -0.7% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.13 | \$ (0.20) | -1.0% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 23.74 | \$ (0.28) | -1.2% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 26.80 | \$ (0.43) | -1.6% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 51.94 | \$ (0.81) | -1.5% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 56.11 | \$ (1.07) | -1.9% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 79.81 | \$ (2.13) | -2.6% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 10.98 | \$ (0.20) | -1.8% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 13.18 | \$ (0.28) | -2.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 7.85 | \$ (0.09) | -1.1% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.18 | \$ (0.12) | -1.4% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 8.83 | \$ (0.17) | -1.9% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 10.40 | \$ (0.25) | -2.3% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 10.83 | \$ (0.29) | -2.6% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 13.73 | \$ (0.46) | -3.2% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 28.57 | \$ (1.14) | -3.8% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.64 | \$ (0.09) | -0.6% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.01 | \$ (0.12) | -0.7% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 17.70 | \$ (0.17) | -1.0% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 19.19 | \$ (0.25) | -1.3% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 19.63 | \$ (0.29) | -1.5% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 23.54 | \$ (0.46) | -1.9% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 37.54 | \$ (1.14) | -2.9% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 10.95 | \$ (0.09) | -0.8% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 11.62 | \$ (0.12) | -1.0% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.92 | \$ (0.17) | -1.3% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 17.94 | \$ (0.09) | -0.5% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 18.60 | \$ (0.12) | -0.6% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 22.12 | \$ (0.17) | -0.8% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 24.11 | \$ (0.25) | -1.0% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 21.79 | \$ (0.25) | -1.1% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 24.77 | \$ (0.29) | -1.2% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 26.89 | \$ (0.36) | -1.3% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 45.41 | \$ (0.46) | -1.0% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 57.95 | \$ (0.91) | -1.5% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 61.33 | \$ (1.14) | -1.8% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 11.51 | \$ (0.09) | -0.8% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 12.87 | \$ (0.12) | -0.9% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 13.67 | \$ (0.17) | -1.2% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 13.70 | \$ (0.25) | -1.8% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 16.25 | \$ (0.29) | -1.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.36 | \$ (0.06) | -4.2% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 2.68 | \$ (0.14) | -5.0% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 4.05 | \$ (0.20) | -4.7% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 5.39 | \$ (0.28) | -4.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 6.74 | \$ (0.34) | -4.8% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 8.06 | \$ (0.43) | -5.1% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 9.44 | \$ (0.48) | -4.8% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 10.77 | \$ (0.57) | -5.0% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 12.13 | \$ (0.62) | -4.9% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 13.47 | \$ (0.70) | -4.9% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 14.82 | \$ (0.77) | -4.9% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 16.18 | \$ (0.83) | -4.9% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 17.51 | \$ (0.91) | -4.9% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 18.85 | \$ (0.98) | -4.9% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 20.21 | \$ (1.05) | -4.9% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 21.53 | \$ (1.12) | -4.9% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 1.98 | \$ (0.06) | -2.9% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 3.91 | \$ (0.14) | -3.5% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 5.90 | \$ (0.20) | -3.3% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 7.86 | \$ (0.28) | -3.4% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 9.83 | \$ (0.34) | -3.3% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 11.76 | \$ (0.43) | -3.5% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 13.75 | \$ (0.48) | -3.4% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 15.70 | \$ (0.57) | -3.5% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 17.68 | \$ (0.62) | -3.4% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 19.63 | \$ (0.70) | -3.4% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 21.60 | \$ (0.77) | -3.4% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 23.58 | \$ (0.83) | -3.4% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 25.53 | \$ (0.91) | -3.4% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 27.48 | \$ (0.98) | -3.4% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 29.46 | \$ (1.05) | -3.4% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 31.40 | \$ (1.12) | -3.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 2.75 | \$ (0.06) | -2.1% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 5.45 | \$ (0.14) | -2.5% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 8.21 | \$ (0.20) | -2.4% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 10.94 | \$ (0.28) | -2.5% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 13.67 | \$ (0.34) | -2.4% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 16.38 | \$ (0.43) | -2.6% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.14 | \$ (0.48) | -2.4% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 21.85 | \$ (0.57) | -2.5% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 24.60 | \$ (0.62) | -2.5% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 27.33 | \$ (0.70) | -2.5% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 30.06 | \$ (0.77) | -2.5% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 32.81 | \$ (0.83) | -2.5% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 35.52 | \$ (0.91) | -2.5% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 38.25 | \$ (0.98) | -2.5% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 41.00 | \$ (1.05) | -2.5% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 43.70 | \$ (1.12) | -2.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 10.61 | \$ (2.66) | -20.0% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 17.80 | \$ (6.08) | -25.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 32.30 | \$ (14.65) | -31.2% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 15.01 | \$ (2.66) | -15.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 10.23 | \$ (1.62) | -13.7% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 16.40 | \$ (4.05) | -19.8% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 20.64 | \$ (6.29) | -23.4% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 15.26 | \$ (1.62) | -9.6% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 15.58 | \$ (2.81) | -15.3% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 17.85 | \$ (4.28) | -19.3% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 21.54 | \$ (6.62) | -23.5% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 25.36 | \$ (2.81) | -10.0% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 27.63 | \$ (4.28) | -13.4% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 31.32 | \$ (6.62) | -17.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 6.92 | \$ (0.04) | -0.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 13.82 | \$ (0.09) | -0.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 20.75 | \$ (0.12) | -0.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 27.64 | \$ (0.17) | -0.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 34.56 | \$ (0.22) | -0.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 41.49 | \$ (0.24) | -0.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 150.07 | \$ 0.83 | 0.6% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 234.72 | \$ (7.76) | -3.2% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 318.97 | \$ (16.32) | -4.9% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 403.17 | \$ (24.89) | -5.8% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 487.39 | \$ (33.46) | -6.4% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 571.58 | \$ (42.05) | -6.9% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 15,657.61 | \$ 82.26 | 0.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 24,022.92 | \$ (775.17) | -3.1% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 32,388.23 | \$ (1,632.61) | -4.8% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 40,753.54 | \$ (2,490.04) | -5.8% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 49,118.84 | \$ (3,347.49) | -6.4% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 57,484.17 | \$ (4,204.90) | -6.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 6,376.10 | \$ 414.30 | 6.9% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 10,259.39 | \$ (73.70) | -0.7% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 14,142.66 | \$ (561.70) | -3.8% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 18,025.95 | \$ (1,049.70) | -5.5% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 21,909.22 | \$ (1,537.71) | -6.6% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 25,792.52 | \$ (2,025.71) | -7.3% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 62,327.15 | \$ 4,142.99 | 7.1% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 100,996.46 | \$ (737.03) | -0.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 139,339.74 | \$ (5,617.04) | -3.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 177,682.99 | \$ (10,497.07) | -5.6% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 216,026.27 | \$ (15,377.08) | -6.6% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 254,369.55 | \$ (20,257.09) | -7.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (%)(E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 9,950.77 | \$ 565.40 | 6.0% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 17,463.21 | \$ 57.30 | 0.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 24,975.66 | \$ (450.80) | -1.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 32,488.10 | \$ (958.90) | -2.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 40,000.54 | \$ (1,467.01) | -3.5% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 47,513.00 | \$ (1,975.10) | -4.0% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 97,460.26 | \$ 5,653.99 | 6.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 171,605.60 | \$ 572.98 | 0.3% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 245,750.95 | \$ (4,508.03) | -1.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 319,896.29 | \$ (9,589.05) | -2.9% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 394,041.65 | \$ (14,670.05) | -3.6% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 468,186.98 | \$ (19,751.07) | -4.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 29,088.52 | \$ 207.31 | 0.7% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 39,233.31 | \$ (1,465.39) | -3.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 49,378.12 | \$ (3,138.08) | -6.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 59,522.91 | \$ (4,810.78) | -7.5% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 69,504.20 | \$ (6,483.47) | -8.5% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 79,453.18 | \$ (8,156.16) | -9.3% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 286,778.70 | \$ 2,073.06 | 0.7% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 386,268.48 | \$ (14,653.88) | -3.7% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 485,758.27 | \$ (31,380.82) | -6.1% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 585,248.05 | \$ (48,107.76) | -7.6% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 684,737.84 | \$ (64,834.70) | -8.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 784,227.62 | \$ (81,561.64) | -9.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.12 | \$ 0.20 | 1.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 20.03 | \$ 0.48 | 2.5% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 20.86 | \$ 0.60 | 3.0% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 24.23 | \$ 1.10 | 4.8% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 26.08 | \$ 1.37 | 5.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 31.13 | \$ 2.11 | 7.3% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 33.53 | \$ 2.46 | 7.9% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 8.37 | \$ 0.38 | 4.8% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 9.17 | \$ 0.59 | 6.9% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 11.46 | \$ 0.92 | 8.7% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 14.70 | \$ 1.39 | 10.4% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 22.84 | \$ 2.50 | 12.3% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 28.02 | \$ 3.30 | 13.3% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 0.38 | 2.4% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 17.05 | \$ 0.59 | 3.6% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 20.28 | \$ 0.92 | 4.8% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 29.56 | \$ 1.81 | 6.5% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 22.94 | \$ 1.39 | 6.5% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 35.64 | \$ 2.74 | 8.3% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 32.60 | \$ 2.50 | 8.3% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 37.90 | \$ 3.30 | 9.5% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 66.73 | \$ 6.63 | 11.0% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.21 | \$ 0.38 | 3.5% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 12.54 | \$ 0.59 | 4.9% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 15.88 | \$ 0.92 | 6.1% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 18.59 | \$ 0.38 | 2.1% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 19.75 | \$ 0.59 | 3.1% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 24.68 | \$ 0.92 | 3.9% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 28.23 | \$ 1.39 | 5.2% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 54.54 | \$ 2.50 | 4.8% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 59.55 | \$ 3.30 | 5.9% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 86.69 | \$ 6.63 | 8.3% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 0.59 | 5.4% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 14.12 | \$ 0.92 | 7.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.11 | \$ 0.25 | 3.2% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 8.56 | \$ 0.37 | 4.5% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 9.40 | \$ 0.56 | 6.3% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 11.20 | \$ 0.77 | 7.4% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 0.92 | 8.5% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 1.43 | 10.4% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 32.28 | \$ 3.58 | 12.5% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 15.90 | \$ 0.25 | 1.6% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 0.37 | 2.3% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 18.27 | \$ 0.56 | 3.2% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.99 | \$ 0.77 | 4.0% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 20.58 | \$ 0.92 | 4.7% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 25.02 | \$ 1.43 | 6.1% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 3.58 | 9.5% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.21 | \$ 0.25 | 2.3% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 12.00 | \$ 0.37 | 3.2% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 13.49 | \$ 0.56 | 4.3% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.20 | \$ 0.25 | 1.4% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 18.98 | \$ 0.37 | 2.0% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 22.69 | \$ 0.56 | 2.5% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 24.90 | \$ 0.76 | 3.1% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 22.59 | \$ 0.77 | 3.5% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 25.72 | \$ 0.92 | 3.7% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 28.05 | \$ 1.11 | 4.1% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 46.89 | \$ 1.43 | 3.1% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 60.90 | \$ 2.84 | 4.9% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 65.04 | \$ 3.58 | 5.8% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 11.77 | \$ 0.25 | 2.2% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 13.25 | \$ 0.37 | 2.9% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 14.24 | \$ 0.56 | 4.1% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 14.50 | \$ 0.77 | 5.6% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 0.92 | 5.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.58 | \$ 0.22 | 16.2% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 3.13 | \$ 0.43 | 15.9% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 4.73 | \$ 0.66 | 16.2% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 6.30 | \$ 0.87 | 16.0% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 7.87 | \$ 1.09 | 16.1% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 9.42 | \$ 1.30 | 16.0% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 11.02 | \$ 1.53 | 16.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 12.58 | \$ 1.74 | 16.1% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 1.98 | 16.2% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 2.18 | 16.1% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 2.40 | 16.1% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 18.89 | \$ 2.62 | 16.1% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 2.83 | 16.1% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 3.05 | 16.1% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 23.61 | \$ 3.27 | 16.1% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 3.48 | 16.1% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.20 | \$ 0.22 | 11.1% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 4.36 | \$ 0.43 | 10.9% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 6.58 | \$ 0.66 | 11.1% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 8.77 | \$ 0.87 | 11.0% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 1.09 | 11.0% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 13.12 | \$ 1.30 | 11.0% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 15.33 | \$ 1.53 | 11.1% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 17.51 | \$ 1.74 | 11.0% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 19.72 | \$ 1.98 | 11.2% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 21.89 | \$ 2.18 | 11.1% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 2.40 | 11.1% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 26.29 | \$ 2.62 | 11.1% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 28.47 | \$ 2.83 | 11.0% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 30.64 | \$ 3.05 | 11.1% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 32.86 | \$ 3.27 | 11.1% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 35.02 | \$ 3.48 | 11.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 2.97 | \$ 0.22 | 8.0% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 5.90 | \$ 0.43 | 7.9% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 8.89 | \$ 0.66 | 8.0% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 0.87 | 7.9% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 1.09 | 8.0% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 1.30 | 7.9% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 20.72 | \$ 1.53 | 8.0% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 1.74 | 7.9% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 26.64 | \$ 1.98 | 8.0% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 2.18 | 8.0% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 32.55 | \$ 2.40 | 8.0% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 35.52 | \$ 2.62 | 8.0% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 2.83 | 7.9% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 41.41 | \$ 3.05 | 8.0% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 44.40 | \$ 3.27 | 8.0% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 47.32 | \$ 3.48 | 7.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 11.23 | \$ (1.13) | -9.1% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 19.23 | \$ (2.55) | -11.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 35.74 | \$ (6.17) | -14.7% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 15.63 | \$ (1.13) | -6.7% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 10.61 | \$ (0.68) | -6.0% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 17.35 | \$ (1.70) | -8.9% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 22.12 | \$ (2.64) | -10.7% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 15.64 | \$ (0.68) | -4.2% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 16.24 | \$ (1.18) | -6.8% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 18.85 | \$ (1.81) | -8.8% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 23.09 | \$ (2.79) | -10.8% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 26.02 | \$ (1.18) | -4.3% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 28.63 | \$ (1.81) | -5.9% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 32.87 | \$ (2.79) | -7.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 7.83 | \$ 0.13 | 1.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 15.63 | \$ 0.25 | 1.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 23.46 | \$ 0.38 | 1.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 31.26 | \$ 0.50 | 1.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 39.08 | \$ 0.62 | 1.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 46.92 | \$ 0.76 | 1.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 32.73 | \$ (0.42) | -1.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 61.47 | \$ (0.87) | -1.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 91.47 | \$ 90.17 | \$ (1.30) | -1.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 120.64 | \$ 118.90 | \$ (1.74) | -1.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 149.80 | \$ 147.65 | \$ (2.15) | -1.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 178.98 | \$ 176.37 | \$ (2.61) | -1.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 237.28 | \$ 233.80 | \$ (3.48) | -1.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 295.39 | \$ 291.04 | \$ (4.35) | -1.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 353.48 | \$ 348.25 | \$ (5.23) | -1.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 411.57 | \$ 405.47 | \$ (6.10) | -1.5% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 469.65 | \$ 462.69 | \$ (6.96) | -1.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 527.75 | \$ 519.91 | \$ (7.84) | -1.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 585.85 | \$ 577.15 | \$ (8.70) | -1.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 643.95 | \$ 634.39 | \$ (9.56) | -1.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 702.03 | \$ 691.60 | \$ (10.43) | -1.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 760.12 | \$ 748.83 | \$ (11.29) | -1.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 818.21 | \$ 806.04 | \$ (12.17) | -1.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 876.32 | \$ 863.28 | \$ (13.04) | -1.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 934.41 | \$ 920.50 | \$ (13.91) | -1.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 992.50 | \$ 977.71 | \$ (14.79) | -1.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,050.59 | \$ 1,034.94 | \$ (15.65) | -1.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,108.68 | \$ 1,092.16 | \$ (16.52) | -1.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,166.78 | \$ 1,149.38 | \$ (17.40) | -1.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,224.89 | \$ 1,206.61 | \$ (18.28) | -1.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,282.96 | \$ 1,263.82 | \$ (19.14) | -1.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,341.08 | \$ 1,321.07 | \$ (20.01) | -1.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,399.14 | \$ 1,378.26 | \$ (20.88) | -1.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 32.73 | \$ (0.42) | -1.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 61.47 | \$ (0.87) | -1.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.32 | \$ 81.02 | \$ (1.30) | -1.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 102.34 | \$ 100.60 | \$ (1.74) | -1.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 122.35 | \$ 120.20 | \$ (2.15) | -1.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 142.38 | \$ 139.77 | \$ (2.61) | -1.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 182.38 | \$ 178.90 | \$ (3.48) | -1.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 213.19 | \$ 208.84 | \$ (4.35) | -2.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 243.98 | \$ 238.75 | \$ (5.23) | -2.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 274.77 | \$ 268.67 | \$ (6.10) | -2.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 305.55 | \$ 298.59 | \$ (6.96) | -2.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 336.35 | \$ 328.51 | \$ (7.84) | -2.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 367.15 | \$ 358.45 | \$ (8.70) | -2.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 397.95 | \$ 388.39 | \$ (9.56) | -2.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 428.73 | \$ 418.30 | \$ (10.43) | -2.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 459.52 | \$ 448.23 | \$ (11.29) | -2.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 490.31 | \$ 478.14 | \$ (12.17) | -2.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 521.12 | \$ 508.08 | \$ (13.04) | -2.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 551.91 | \$ 538.00 | \$ (13.91) | -2.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 582.70 | \$ 567.91 | \$ (14.79) | -2.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 613.49 | \$ 597.84 | \$ (15.65) | -2.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 644.28 | \$ 627.76 | \$ (16.52) | -2.6% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 675.08 | \$ 657.68 | \$ (17.40) | -2.6% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 705.89 | \$ 687.61 | \$ (18.28) | -2.6% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 736.66 | \$ 717.52 | \$ (19.14) | -2.6% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 767.48 | \$ 747.47 | \$ (20.01) | -2.6% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 798.24 | \$ 777.36 | \$ (20.88) | -2.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating Apartment (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 25.40 | \$ 24.98 | \$ (0.42) | -1.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 46.84 | \$ 45.97 | \$ (0.87) | -1.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 59.07 | \$ 57.77 | \$ (1.30) | -2.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 71.34 | \$ 69.60 | \$ (1.74) | -2.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 83.60 | \$ 81.45 | \$ (2.15) | -2.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 95.88 | \$ 93.27 | \$ (2.61) | -2.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 120.38 | \$ 116.90 | \$ (3.48) | -2.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 160.19 | \$ 155.84 | \$ (4.35) | -2.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 199.98 | \$ 194.75 | \$ (5.23) | -2.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 239.77 | \$ 233.67 | \$ (6.10) | -2.5% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 279.55 | \$ 272.59 | \$ (6.96) | -2.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 319.35 | \$ 311.51 | \$ (7.84) | -2.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 359.15 | \$ 350.45 | \$ (8.70) | -2.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 398.95 | \$ 389.39 | \$ (9.56) | -2.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 438.73 | \$ 428.30 | \$ (10.43) | -2.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 478.52 | \$ 467.23 | \$ (11.29) | -2.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 518.31 | \$ 506.14 | \$ (12.17) | -2.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 558.12 | \$ 545.08 | \$ (13.04) | -2.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 597.91 | \$ 584.00 | \$ (13.91) | -2.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 637.70 | \$ 622.91 | \$ (14.79) | -2.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 677.49 | \$ 661.84 | \$ (15.65) | -2.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 717.28 | \$ 700.76 | \$ (16.52) | -2.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 757.08 | \$ 739.68 | \$ (17.40) | -2.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 796.89 | \$ 778.61 | \$ (18.28) | -2.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 836.66 | \$ 817.52 | \$ (19.14) | -2.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 876.48 | \$ 856.47 | \$ (20.01) | -2.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 916.24 | \$ 895.36 | \$ (20.88) | -2.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 32.73 | \$ (0.42) | -1.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 61.47 | \$ (0.87) | -1.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 85.82 | \$ 84.52 | \$ (1.30) | -1.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 109.34 | \$ 107.60 | \$ (1.74) | -1.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 132.85 | \$ 130.70 | \$ (2.15) | -1.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 156.38 | \$ 153.77 | \$ (2.61) | -1.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 203.38 | \$ 199.90 | \$ (3.48) | -1.7% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 250.19 | \$ 245.84 | \$ (4.35) | -1.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 296.98 | \$ 291.75 | \$ (5.23) | -1.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 343.77 | \$ 337.67 | \$ (6.10) | -1.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 390.55 | \$ 383.59 | \$ (6.96) | -1.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 437.35 | \$ 429.51 | \$ (7.84) | -1.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 484.15 | \$ 475.45 | \$ (8.70) | -1.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 530.95 | \$ 521.39 | \$ (9.56) | -1.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 577.73 | \$ 567.30 | \$ (10.43) | -1.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 624.52 | \$ 613.23 | \$ (11.29) | -1.8% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 671.31 | \$ 659.14 | \$ (12.17) | -1.8% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 718.12 | \$ 705.08 | \$ (13.04) | -1.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 764.91 | \$ 751.00 | \$ (13.91) | -1.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 811.70 | \$ 796.91 | \$ (14.79) | -1.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 858.49 | \$ 842.84 | \$ (15.65) | -1.8% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 905.28 | \$ 888.76 | \$ (16.52) | -1.8% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 952.08 | \$ 934.68 | \$ (17.40) | -1.8% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 998.89 | \$ 980.61 | \$ (18.28) | -1.8% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,045.66 | \$ 1,026.52 | \$ (19.14) | -1.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,092.48 | \$ 1,072.47 | \$ (20.01) | -1.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,139.24 | \$ 1,118.36 | \$ (20.88) | -1.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 34.99 | \$ 0.85 | 2.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 65.99 | \$ 1.66 | 2.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 96.95 | \$ - | 0.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 127.94 | \$ (1.68) | -1.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 158.95 | \$ (3.31) | -2.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 189.94 | \$ (5.00) | -2.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 251.89 | \$ (8.34) | -3.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 313.66 | \$ (11.67) | -3.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 375.39 | \$ (15.01) | -3.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 437.14 | \$ (18.33) | -4.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 498.88 | \$ (21.66) | -4.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 560.63 | \$ (24.99) | -4.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 622.39 | \$ (28.32) | -4.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 684.16 | \$ (31.63) | -4.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 745.89 | \$ (34.97) | -4.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 807.64 | \$ (38.30) | -4.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 869.38 | \$ (41.63) | -4.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 931.14 | \$ (44.97) | -4.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 992.89 | \$ (48.30) | -4.6% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,054.62 | \$ (51.63) | -4.7% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,116.38 | \$ (54.95) | -4.7% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,178.12 | \$ (58.29) | -4.7% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,239.87 | \$ (61.62) | -4.7% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,301.62 | \$ (64.97) | -4.8% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,363.35 | \$ (68.30) | -4.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,425.13 | \$ (71.62) | -4.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,486.84 | \$ (74.96) | -4.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 34.99 | \$ 0.85 | 2.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 65.99 | \$ 1.66 | 2.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 96.95 | \$ - | 0.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 127.94 | \$ (1.68) | -1.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 158.95 | \$ (3.31) | -2.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 189.94 | \$ (5.00) | -2.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 251.89 | \$ (8.34) | -3.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 313.66 | \$ (11.67) | -3.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 375.39 | \$ (15.01) | -3.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 437.14 | \$ (18.33) | -4.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 498.88 | \$ (21.66) | -4.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 560.63 | \$ (24.99) | -4.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 622.39 | \$ (28.32) | -4.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 684.16 | \$ (31.63) | -4.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 745.89 | \$ (34.97) | -4.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 807.64 | \$ (38.30) | -4.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 869.38 | \$ (41.63) | -4.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 931.14 | \$ (44.97) | -4.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 992.89 | \$ (48.30) | -4.6% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,054.62 | \$ (51.63) | -4.7% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,116.38 | \$ (54.95) | -4.7% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,178.12 | \$ (58.29) | -4.7% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,239.87 | \$ (61.62) | -4.7% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,301.62 | \$ (64.97) | -4.8% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,363.35 | \$ (68.30) | -4.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,425.13 | \$ (71.62) | -4.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,486.84 | \$ (74.96) | -4.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 34.99 | \$ 0.85 | 2.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 65.99 | \$ 1.66 | 2.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 96.95 | \$ - | 0.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 127.94 | \$ (1.68) | -1.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 158.95 | \$ (3.31) | -2.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 189.94 | \$ (5.00) | -2.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 251.89 | \$ (8.34) | -3.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 313.66 | \$ (11.67) | -3.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 375.39 | \$ (15.01) | -3.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 437.14 | \$ (18.33) | -4.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 498.88 | \$ (21.66) | -4.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 560.63 | \$ (24.99) | -4.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 622.39 | \$ (28.32) | -4.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 684.16 | \$ (31.63) | -4.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 745.89 | \$ (34.97) | -4.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 807.64 | \$ (38.30) | -4.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 869.38 | \$ (41.63) | -4.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 931.14 | \$ (44.97) | -4.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 992.89 | \$ (48.30) | -4.6% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,054.62 | \$ (51.63) | -4.7% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,116.38 | \$ (54.95) | -4.7% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,178.12 | \$ (58.29) | -4.7% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,239.87 | \$ (61.62) | -4.7% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,301.62 | \$ (64.97) | -4.8% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,363.35 | \$ (68.30) | -4.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,425.13 | \$ (71.62) | -4.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,486.84 | \$ (74.96) | -4.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 147.63 | \$ (4.12) | -2.7% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 223.08 | \$ (11.20) | -4.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 298.07 | \$ (18.29) | -5.8% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 373.07 | \$ (25.36) | -6.4% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 448.06 | \$ (32.46) | -6.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 523.06 | \$ (39.53) | -7.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 16,869.36 | \$ (411.74) | -2.4% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 24,312.62 | \$ (1,120.07) | -4.4% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 31,755.87 | \$ (1,828.41) | -5.4% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 39,199.13 | \$ (2,536.74) | -6.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 46,642.38 | \$ (3,245.08) | -6.5% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 54,085.64 | \$ (3,953.41) | -6.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,409.97 | \$ 192.05 | 3.7% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 8,980.71 | \$ (112.75) | -1.2% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 12,551.46 | \$ (417.55) | -3.2% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 16,122.19 | \$ (722.36) | -4.3% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 19,692.93 | \$ (1,027.16) | -5.0% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 23,263.68 | \$ (1,331.96) | -5.4% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 52,665.76 | \$ 1,920.49 | 3.8% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 88,092.35 | \$ (1,127.53) | -1.3% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 122,958.94 | \$ (4,175.54) | -3.3% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 157,825.50 | \$ (7,223.57) | -4.4% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 192,692.09 | \$ (10,271.58) | -5.1% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 227,558.67 | \$ (13,319.59) | -5.5% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 8,377.94 | \$ 542.30 | 6.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 14,554.55 | \$ (246.60) | -1.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 20,731.17 | \$ (1,035.50) | -4.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 26,907.79 | \$ (1,824.40) | -6.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 33,084.40 | \$ (2,613.31) | -7.3% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 39,261.02 | \$ (3,402.20) | -8.0% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 81,614.64 | \$ 5,422.99 | 7.1% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 141,699.09 | \$ (2,466.02) | -1.7% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 201,783.55 | \$ (10,355.03) | -4.9% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 261,868.00 | \$ (18,244.05) | -6.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 321,952.47 | \$ (26,133.05) | -7.5% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 382,036.91 | \$ (34,022.07) | -8.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 27,049.26 | \$ 1,105.02 | 4.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 35,032.20 | \$ (263.97) | -0.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 43,015.14 | \$ (1,632.95) | -3.7% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 50,998.08 | \$ (3,001.94) | -5.6% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 58,700.18 | \$ (4,370.92) | -6.9% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 66,346.78 | \$ (5,739.90) | -8.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 265,566.19 | \$ 11,050.15 | 4.3% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 342,032.19 | \$ (2,639.69) | -0.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 418,498.20 | \$ (16,329.54) | -3.8% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 494,964.20 | \$ (30,019.39) | -5.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 571,430.21 | \$ (43,709.24) | -7.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 647,896.21 | \$ (57,399.08) | -8.1% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.03 | \$ (0.01) | -0.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 13.46 | \$ (0.05) | -0.4% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 14.09 | \$ (0.06) | -0.4% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 16.58 | \$ (0.10) | -0.6% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 17.97 | \$ (0.13) | -0.7% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 21.73 | \$ (0.22) | -1.0% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 23.51 | \$ (0.24) | -1.0% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.01 | \$ (0.01) | -0.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 14.44 | \$ (0.05) | -0.3% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 15.07 | \$ (0.06) | -0.4% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 17.56 | \$ (0.10) | -0.6% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 18.95 | \$ (0.13) | -0.7% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 22.71 | \$ (0.22) | -1.0% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 24.49 | \$ (0.24) | -1.0% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.21 | \$ (0.01) | -0.1% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 20.64 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 21.27 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 23.76 | \$ (0.10) | -0.4% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 25.15 | \$ (0.13) | -0.5% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 28.91 | \$ (0.22) | -0.8% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 30.69 | \$ (0.24) | -0.8% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 34.40 | \$ (0.05) | -0.1% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 37.24 | \$ (0.10) | -0.3% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 38.49 | \$ (0.12) | -0.3% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 43.47 | \$ (0.22) | -0.5% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 46.24 | \$ (0.28) | -0.6% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 53.81 | \$ (0.43) | -0.8% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 57.37 | \$ (0.49) | -0.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 18.80 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 21.00 | \$ (0.07) | -0.3% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 22.81 | \$ (0.10) | -0.4% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 28.56 | \$ (0.22) | -0.8% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 17.06 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.26 | \$ (0.07) | -0.4% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 21.07 | \$ (0.10) | -0.5% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 26.82 | \$ (0.22) | -0.8% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.57 | \$ (0.08) | -0.3% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 28.94 | \$ (0.16) | -0.5% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 32.61 | \$ (0.23) | -0.7% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 44.09 | \$ (0.46) | -1.0% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 9.05 | \$ (0.06) | -0.7% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 11.18 | \$ (0.10) | -0.9% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 15.31 | \$ (0.12) | -0.8% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 26.18 | \$ (0.26) | -1.0% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 32.79 | \$ (0.33) | -1.0% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 11.33 | \$ (0.06) | -0.5% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 13.30 | \$ (0.10) | -0.7% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 18.01 | \$ (0.12) | -0.7% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 29.09 | \$ (0.26) | -0.9% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 35.76 | \$ (0.33) | -0.9% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.05 | \$ (0.06) | -0.4% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 17.14 | \$ (0.10) | -0.6% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 21.64 | \$ (0.12) | -0.6% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 30.95 | \$ (0.26) | -0.8% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 37.40 | \$ (0.33) | -0.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 16.32 | \$ (0.11) | -0.7% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 28.61 | \$ (0.29) | -1.0% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 31.27 | \$ (0.29) | -0.9% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 26.79 | \$ (0.17) | -0.6% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 34.54 | \$ (0.29) | -0.8% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 11.02 | \$ (0.04) | -0.4% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 13.13 | \$ (0.06) | -0.5% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 17.13 | \$ (0.08) | -0.5% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 15.04 | \$ (0.10) | -0.7% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 20.85 | \$ (0.15) | -0.7% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 12.87 | \$ (0.04) | -0.3% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 14.45 | \$ (0.06) | -0.4% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.25 | \$ (0.08) | -0.4% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.01 | \$ (0.10) | -0.5% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 24.28 | \$ (0.15) | -0.6% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 16.62 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 27.92 | \$ (0.04) | -0.1% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.46 | \$ (0.06) | -0.4% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.20 | \$ (0.08) | -0.3% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 20.71 | \$ (0.10) | -0.5% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 35.73 | \$ (0.10) | -0.3% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 25.01 | \$ (0.15) | -0.6% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 46.67 | \$ (0.01) | 0.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 21.61 | \$ (0.07) | -0.3% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 24.39 | \$ (0.10) | -0.4% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 30.27 | \$ (0.18) | -0.6% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 22.50 | \$ (0.07) | -0.3% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 24.83 | \$ (0.10) | -0.4% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 31.68 | \$ (0.18) | -0.6% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 26.74 | \$ (0.07) | -0.3% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 31.51 | \$ (0.10) | -0.3% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 37.93 | \$ (0.18) | -0.5% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 37.59 | \$ (0.29) | -0.8% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.13 | \$ (0.01) | -0.9% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.20 | \$ (0.05) | -2.2% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 4.46 | \$ (0.05) | -1.1% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 7.05 | \$ (0.09) | -1.3% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 8.81 | \$ (0.10) | -1.1% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 12.52 | \$ (0.14) | -1.1% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 15.07 | \$ (0.15) | -1.0% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 20.13 | \$ (0.17) | -0.8% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.08 | \$ (0.20) | -0.9% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 11.13 | \$ (0.21) | -1.9% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 13.34 | \$ (0.24) | -1.8% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 15.98 | \$ (0.25) | -1.5% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 17.71 | \$ (0.28) | -1.6% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 21.43 | \$ (0.30) | -1.4% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 23.98 | \$ (0.33) | -1.4% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 29.04 | \$ (0.35) | -1.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 9.83 | \$ (1.28) | -11.5% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 25.86 | \$ (2.90) | -10.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 44.37 | \$ (7.01) | -13.6% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 16.42 | \$ (1.28) | -7.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 13.51 | \$ (1.63) | -10.8% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 24.23 | \$ (3.02) | -11.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 0.03 | 0.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 26.54 | \$ 0.08 | 0.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 35.36 | \$ 0.08 | 0.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 44.21 | \$ 0.11 | 0.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 53.04 | \$ 0.14 | 0.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 156.68 | \$ (4.32) | -2.7% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 241.18 | \$ (11.60) | -4.6% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 325.22 | \$ (18.88) | -5.5% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 409.27 | \$ (26.15) | -6.0% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 493.31 | \$ (33.44) | -6.3% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 577.35 | \$ (40.73) | -6.6% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 17,774.26 | \$ (431.64) | -2.4% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 26,122.42 | \$ (1,159.87) | -4.3% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 34,470.57 | \$ (1,888.11) | -5.2% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 42,818.73 | \$ (2,616.34) | -5.8% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 51,166.88 | \$ (3,344.58) | -6.1% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 59,515.04 | \$ (4,072.81) | -6.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 5,846.77 | \$ 198.60 | 3.5% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 9,854.31 | \$ (177.15) | -1.8% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 13,861.86 | \$ (552.90) | -3.8% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 17,869.39 | \$ (928.66) | -4.9% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 21,876.93 | \$ (1,304.41) | -5.6% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 25,884.48 | \$ (1,680.16) | -6.1% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 57,033.76 | \$ 1,985.99 | 3.6% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 96,828.35 | \$ (1,771.53) | -1.8% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 136,062.94 | \$ (5,529.04) | -3.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 175,297.50 | \$ (9,286.57) | -5.0% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 214,532.09 | \$ (13,044.08) | -5.7% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 253,766.67 | \$ (16,801.59) | -6.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 9,226.84 | \$ 453.30 | 5.2% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 16,252.35 | \$ (473.60) | -2.8% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 23,277.87 | \$ (1,400.50) | -5.7% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 30,303.39 | \$ (2,327.40) | -7.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 37,328.90 | \$ (3,254.31) | -8.0% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 44,354.42 | \$ (4,181.20) | -8.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 90,103.64 | \$ 4,532.99 | 5.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 158,677.09 | \$ (4,736.02) | -2.9% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 227,250.55 | \$ (14,005.03) | -5.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 295,824.00 | \$ (23,274.05) | -7.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 364,397.47 | \$ (32,543.05) | -8.2% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 432,970.91 | \$ (41,812.07) | -8.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 28,745.46 | \$ 855.42 | 3.1% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 38,424.60 | \$ (789.17) | -2.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 48,103.74 | \$ (2,433.75) | -4.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 57,782.88 | \$ (4,078.34) | -6.6% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 67,181.18 | \$ (5,722.92) | -7.8% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 76,523.98 | \$ (7,367.50) | -8.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 282,528.19 | \$ 8,554.15 | 3.1% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 375,956.19 | \$ (7,891.69) | -2.1% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 469,384.20 | \$ (24,337.54) | -4.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 562,812.20 | \$ (40,783.39) | -6.8% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 656,240.21 | \$ (57,229.24) | -8.0% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 749,668.21 | \$ (73,675.08) | -8.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.24 | \$ 0.06 | 0.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 13.96 | \$ 0.14 | 1.0% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 14.72 | \$ 0.18 | 1.2% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 17.72 | \$ 0.33 | 1.9% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 19.39 | \$ 0.42 | 2.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 23.92 | \$ 0.63 | 2.7% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 0.74 | 2.9% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.22 | \$ 0.06 | 0.5% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 14.94 | \$ 0.14 | 0.9% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 0.18 | 1.2% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 18.70 | \$ 0.33 | 1.8% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 20.37 | \$ 0.42 | 2.1% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 24.90 | \$ 0.63 | 2.6% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 0.74 | 2.8% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.42 | \$ 0.06 | 0.3% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 21.14 | \$ 0.14 | 0.7% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 0.18 | 0.8% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 24.90 | \$ 0.33 | 1.3% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 26.57 | \$ 0.42 | 1.6% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 31.10 | \$ 0.63 | 2.1% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 33.24 | \$ 0.74 | 2.3% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 0.12 | 0.3% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 38.25 | \$ 0.29 | 0.8% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 39.76 | \$ 0.37 | 0.9% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 45.75 | \$ 0.66 | 1.5% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 49.08 | \$ 0.82 | 1.7% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 58.19 | \$ 1.25 | 2.2% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 1.48 | 2.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 0.12 | 0.6% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 21.85 | \$ 0.26 | 1.2% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 24.03 | \$ 0.37 | 1.6% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 0.70 | 2.3% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 17.47 | \$ 0.12 | 0.7% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 20.11 | \$ 0.26 | 1.3% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 0.37 | 1.7% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 29.21 | \$ 0.70 | 2.5% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 0.24 | 1.0% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 30.64 | \$ 0.49 | 1.6% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 35.05 | \$ 0.71 | 2.1% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 48.87 | \$ 1.39 | 2.9% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 9.67 | \$ 0.17 | 1.8% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 0.27 | 2.3% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 16.74 | \$ 0.43 | 2.6% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 0.74 | 2.6% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 36.23 | \$ 0.99 | 2.8% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 0.17 | 1.4% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 14.24 | \$ 0.27 | 1.9% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 0.43 | 2.3% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 31.69 | \$ 0.74 | 2.4% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 39.20 | \$ 0.99 | 2.6% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 15.67 | \$ 0.17 | 1.1% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 0.27 | 1.5% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 23.07 | \$ 0.43 | 1.9% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 33.55 | \$ 0.74 | 2.3% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 40.84 | \$ 0.99 | 2.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 17.57 | \$ 0.37 | 2.2% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 31.47 | \$ 0.81 | 2.6% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 34.13 | \$ 0.81 | 2.4% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 28.67 | \$ 0.55 | 2.0% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 37.40 | \$ 0.81 | 2.2% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 11.40 | \$ 0.11 | 1.0% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 0.17 | 1.3% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 0.23 | 1.3% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 15.99 | \$ 0.27 | 1.7% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 22.33 | \$ 0.43 | 2.0% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 13.25 | \$ 0.11 | 0.8% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 15.02 | \$ 0.17 | 1.1% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 20.04 | \$ 0.23 | 1.2% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 19.96 | \$ 0.27 | 1.4% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 0.43 | 1.7% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 17.00 | \$ 0.11 | 0.7% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 28.30 | \$ 0.11 | 0.4% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 16.03 | \$ 0.17 | 1.1% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 23.99 | \$ 0.23 | 1.0% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 21.66 | \$ 0.27 | 1.3% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 36.68 | \$ 0.27 | 0.7% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 26.49 | \$ 0.43 | 1.7% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 46.89 | \$ 0.07 | 0.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 0.23 | 1.0% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 25.51 | \$ 0.33 | 1.3% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 32.17 | \$ 0.55 | 1.7% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 23.26 | \$ 0.23 | 1.0% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.95 | \$ 0.33 | 1.3% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 33.58 | \$ 0.55 | 1.7% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 27.50 | \$ 0.23 | 0.8% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 32.63 | \$ 0.33 | 1.0% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 39.83 | \$ 0.55 | 1.4% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 40.54 | \$ 0.85 | 2.1% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.35 | \$ 0.07 | 5.5% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 2.65 | \$ 0.12 | 4.7% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 5.14 | \$ 0.21 | 4.3% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 7.96 | \$ 0.26 | 3.4% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 9.94 | \$ 0.33 | 3.4% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 13.88 | \$ 0.38 | 2.8% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 16.65 | \$ 0.46 | 2.8% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 0.53 | 2.5% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 25.12 | \$ 0.60 | 2.4% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 13.39 | \$ 0.66 | 5.2% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.83 | \$ 0.72 | 4.8% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 18.69 | \$ 0.79 | 4.4% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 20.65 | \$ 0.85 | 4.3% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 24.59 | \$ 0.91 | 3.8% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 27.38 | \$ 0.98 | 3.7% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 1.04 | 3.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 10.45 | \$ (1.03) | -9.0% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 27.29 | \$ (2.31) | -7.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 47.81 | \$ (5.60) | -10.5% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 17.04 | \$ (1.03) | -5.7% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 14.30 | \$ (1.30) | -8.3% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 25.71 | \$ (2.40) | -8.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 9.75 | \$ (0.07) | -0.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.48 | \$ (0.14) | -0.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 29.25 | \$ (0.21) | -0.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 38.98 | \$ (0.29) | -0.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 48.73 | \$ (0.36) | -0.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 58.47 | \$ (0.42) | -0.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 160.45 | \$ (6.38) | -3.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 236.97 | \$ (27.13) | -10.3% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 313.05 | \$ (47.90) | -13.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 389.13 | \$ (68.66) | -15.0% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 465.23 | \$ (89.42) | -16.1% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 541.31 | \$ (110.16) | -16.9% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 17,605.53 | \$ (636.73) | -3.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 25,157.46 | \$ (2,712.75) | -9.7% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 32,709.38 | \$ (4,788.78) | -12.8% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 40,261.31 | \$ (6,864.80) | -14.6% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 47,813.22 | \$ (8,940.83) | -15.8% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 55,365.16 | \$ (11,016.85) | -16.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (%E)/(%C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,442.25 | \$ (400.80) | -6.9% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 8,938.68 | \$ (835.14) | -8.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 12,435.11 | \$ (1,269.49) | -9.3% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 15,931.53 | \$ (1,703.84) | -9.7% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 19,427.95 | \$ (2,138.19) | -9.9% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 22,924.39 | \$ (2,572.53) | -10.1% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 52,988.60 | \$ (4,007.96) | -7.0% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 87,721.87 | \$ (8,351.44) | -8.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 121,994.50 | \$ (12,694.90) | -9.4% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 156,267.12 | \$ (17,038.38) | -9.8% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 190,539.74 | \$ (21,381.85) | -10.1% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 224,812.38 | \$ (25,725.31) | -10.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 9,404.10 | \$ 352.10 | 3.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 16,234.07 | \$ 106.50 | 0.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 23,064.05 | \$ (139.10) | -0.6% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 29,894.03 | \$ (384.70) | -1.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 36,723.99 | \$ (630.31) | -1.7% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 43,553.98 | \$ (875.90) | -2.0% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 92,106.07 | \$ 3,520.99 | 4.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 159,022.52 | \$ 1,064.98 | 0.7% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 225,938.98 | \$ (1,391.03) | -0.6% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 292,855.43 | \$ (3,847.05) | -1.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 359,771.90 | \$ (6,303.05) | -1.7% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 426,688.33 | \$ (8,759.07) | -2.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 25,292.78 | \$ (1,047.69) | -4.0% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 32,740.24 | \$ (3,529.39) | -9.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 40,187.70 | \$ (6,011.08) | -13.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 47,635.16 | \$ (8,492.78) | -15.1% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 54,851.61 | \$ (10,974.47) | -16.7% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 62,022.41 | \$ (13,456.16) | -17.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 248,349.62 | \$ (10,476.94) | -4.0% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 320,057.62 | \$ (35,293.88) | -9.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 391,765.62 | \$ (60,110.82) | -13.3% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 463,473.62 | \$ (84,927.76) | -15.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 535,181.63 | \$ (109,744.70) | -17.0% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 606,889.63 | \$ (134,561.64) | -18.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.54 | \$ 0.01 | 0.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 14.71 | \$ 0.06 | 0.4% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 15.64 | \$ 0.05 | 0.3% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 19.43 | \$ 0.11 | 0.6% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 21.52 | \$ 0.12 | 0.6% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 27.24 | \$ 0.19 | 0.7% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 29.96 | \$ 0.23 | 0.8% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 0.01 | 0.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 0.06 | 0.6% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 0.05 | 0.5% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 14.59 | \$ 0.11 | 0.8% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 0.12 | 0.7% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 22.40 | \$ 0.19 | 0.9% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 25.12 | \$ 0.23 | 0.9% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 0.05 | 0.4% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 0.08 | 0.5% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 22.06 | \$ 0.14 | 0.6% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 49.13 | \$ 0.30 | 0.6% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 0.05 | 0.3% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 22.95 | \$ 0.05 | 0.2% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 27.53 | \$ 0.08 | 0.3% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 34.00 | \$ 0.14 | 0.4% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 34.25 | \$ 0.14 | 0.4% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 54.13 | \$ 0.25 | 0.5% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 62.96 | \$ 0.30 | 0.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

Bill Data

| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.13 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 15.12 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 20.27 | \$ 0.08 | 0.4% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 26.16 | \$ 0.12 | 0.5% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.65 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.69 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 0.04 | 0.1% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 31.92 | \$ 0.08 | 0.3% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 51.59 | \$ 0.16 | 0.3% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 37.62 | \$ 0.12 | 0.3% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 23.12 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 35.15 | \$ 0.04 | 0.1% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 37.33 | \$ 0.04 | 0.1% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 31.50 | \$ 0.08 | 0.3% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 43.33 | \$ 0.08 | 0.2% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 37.39 | \$ 0.12 | 0.3% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 50.03 | \$ 0.12 | 0.2% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.69 | \$ 0.01 | 0.6% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.36 | \$ 0.04 | 1.2% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 5.94 | \$ 0.07 | 1.2% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 8.77 | \$ 0.09 | 1.0% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 10.95 | \$ 0.10 | 0.9% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 14.64 | \$ 0.11 | 0.8% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 17.45 | \$ 0.14 | 0.8% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 0.16 | 0.7% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 0.19 | 0.8% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 16.87 | \$ 0.19 | 1.1% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 19.41 | \$ 0.20 | 1.0% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 0.24 | 1.1% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 24.44 | \$ 0.25 | 1.0% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 28.14 | \$ 0.28 | 1.0% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 0.30 | 1.0% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 35.68 | \$ 0.30 | 0.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

73 Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.69 | \$ 0.01 | 0.6% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.36 | \$ 0.04 | 1.2% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 7.39 | \$ 0.07 | 1.0% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.15 | \$ 0.09 | 0.7% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 15.16 | \$ 0.10 | 0.7% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 22.23 | \$ 0.11 | 0.5% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 26.91 | \$ 0.14 | 0.5% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 36.79 | \$ 0.16 | 0.4% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 42.32 | \$ 0.19 | 0.5% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 16.87 | \$ 0.19 | 1.1% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 20.86 | \$ 0.20 | 1.0% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 25.63 | \$ 0.24 | 0.9% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 28.65 | \$ 0.25 | 0.9% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 35.73 | \$ 0.28 | 0.8% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 40.41 | \$ 0.30 | 0.7% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 50.26 | \$ 0.30 | 0.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 12.86 | \$ (1.49) | -10.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 25.73 | \$ (3.38) | -11.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 48.85 | \$ (8.16) | -14.3% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 15.20 | \$ (1.49) | -8.9% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.49 | \$ (0.90) | -5.5% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 19.02 | \$ (1.34) | -6.6% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 23.22 | \$ (2.26) | -8.9% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 30.93 | \$ (3.51) | -10.2% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 18.57 | \$ (0.90) | -4.6% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 24.42 | \$ (1.34) | -5.2% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 38.10 | \$ (1.89) | -4.7% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 29.96 | \$ (2.26) | -7.0% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 41.70 | \$ (2.26) | -5.1% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 35.25 | \$ (3.51) | -9.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 6.74 | \$ 2.74 | 68.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 13.47 | \$ 5.44 | 67.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 8.17 | 68.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 26.92 | \$ 10.86 | 67.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 33.66 | \$ 13.60 | 67.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 40.41 | \$ 16.32 | 67.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 169.50 | \$ 6.79 | 4.2% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 255.07 | \$ (0.80) | -0.3% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 340.20 | \$ (8.40) | -2.4% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 425.33 | \$ (15.99) | -3.6% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 510.48 | \$ (23.58) | -4.4% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 595.60 | \$ (31.17) | -5.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 18,510.43 | \$ 679.87 | 3.8% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 26,967.26 | \$ (79.55) | -0.3% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 35,424.08 | \$ (838.98) | -2.3% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 43,880.91 | \$ (1,598.40) | -3.5% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 52,337.72 | \$ (2,357.83) | -4.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 60,794.56 | \$ (3,117.25) | -4.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 5,879.05 | \$ (121.75) | -2.0% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 9,812.28 | \$ (627.04) | -6.0% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 13,745.51 | \$ (1,132.34) | -7.6% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 17,678.73 | \$ (1,637.64) | -8.5% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 21,611.95 | \$ (2,142.94) | -9.0% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 25,545.19 | \$ (2,648.23) | -9.4% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 57,356.60 | \$ (1,217.46) | -2.1% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 96,457.87 | \$ (6,270.44) | -6.1% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 135,098.50 | \$ (11,323.40) | -7.7% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 173,739.12 | \$ (16,376.38) | -8.6% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 212,379.74 | \$ (21,429.35) | -9.2% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 251,020.38 | \$ (26,482.31) | -9.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 10,253.00 | \$ 726.10 | 7.6% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 17,931.87 | \$ 342.50 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 25,610.75 | \$ (41.10) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 33,289.63 | \$ (424.70) | -1.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 40,968.49 | \$ (808.31) | -1.9% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 48,647.38 | \$ (1,191.90) | -2.4% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 100,595.07 | \$ 7,260.99 | 7.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 176,000.52 | \$ 3,424.98 | 2.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 251,405.98 | \$ (411.03) | -0.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 326,811.43 | \$ (4,247.05) | -1.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 402,216.90 | \$ (8,083.05) | -2.0% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 477,622.33 | \$ (11,919.07) | -2.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 26,988.98 | \$ (1,243.29) | -4.4% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 36,132.64 | \$ (4,000.59) | -10.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 45,276.30 | \$ (6,757.88) | -13.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 54,419.96 | \$ (9,515.18) | -14.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 63,332.61 | \$ (12,272.47) | -16.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 72,199.61 | \$ (15,029.76) | -17.2% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 265,311.62 | \$ (12,432.94) | -4.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 353,981.62 | \$ (40,005.88) | -10.2% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 442,651.62 | \$ (67,578.82) | -13.2% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 531,321.62 | \$ (95,151.76) | -15.2% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 619,991.63 | \$ (122,724.70) | -16.5% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 708,661.63 | \$ (150,297.64) | -17.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 0.69 | 5.7% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 1.67 | 12.3% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 2.06 | 14.5% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 20.57 | \$ 3.74 | 22.2% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 22.94 | \$ 4.65 | 25.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 29.43 | \$ 7.18 | 32.3% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 32.51 | \$ 8.36 | 34.6% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 7.91 | \$ 0.69 | 9.6% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 10.37 | \$ 1.67 | 19.2% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 11.43 | \$ 2.06 | 22.0% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 3.74 | 31.2% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 4.65 | 34.6% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 24.59 | \$ 7.18 | 41.2% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 27.67 | \$ 8.36 | 43.3% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 2.03 | 19.1% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 16.75 | \$ 3.08 | 22.5% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 23.49 | \$ 4.70 | 25.0% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 52.57 | \$ 11.26 | 27.3% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 2.03 | 13.6% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 23.57 | \$ 2.03 | 9.4% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 28.47 | \$ 3.08 | 12.1% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 35.43 | \$ 4.70 | 15.3% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 35.68 | \$ 4.70 | 15.2% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 56.99 | \$ 9.36 | 19.7% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 66.40 | \$ 11.26 | 20.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

Bill Data

| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 1.25 | 10.2% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 15.69 | \$ 1.84 | 13.3% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 21.22 | \$ 3.11 | 17.2% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 27.64 | \$ 4.83 | 21.2% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 1.25 | 7.4% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 25.07 | \$ 1.25 | 5.2% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 27.50 | \$ 1.84 | 7.2% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 3.11 | 10.5% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 53.49 | \$ 6.22 | 13.2% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 39.10 | \$ 4.83 | 14.1% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.50 | \$ 1.25 | 5.6% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 1.25 | 3.6% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 26.23 | \$ 1.84 | 7.5% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 37.90 | \$ 1.84 | 5.1% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 32.45 | \$ 3.11 | 10.6% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 44.28 | \$ 3.11 | 7.6% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 38.87 | \$ 4.83 | 14.2% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 51.51 | \$ 4.83 | 10.3% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.91 | \$ 0.73 | 61.9% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.81 | \$ 1.48 | 63.5% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 6.62 | \$ 2.23 | 50.8% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 9.68 | \$ 2.97 | 44.3% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 12.08 | \$ 3.70 | 44.2% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 16.00 | \$ 4.44 | 38.4% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 19.03 | \$ 5.19 | 37.5% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 5.94 | 32.9% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 27.36 | \$ 6.69 | 32.4% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 19.13 | \$ 7.40 | 63.1% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 8.14 | 59.2% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 24.96 | \$ 8.89 | 55.3% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 27.38 | \$ 9.63 | 54.3% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 31.30 | \$ 10.37 | 49.5% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 34.35 | \$ 11.12 | 47.9% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 39.30 | \$ 11.84 | 43.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.91 | \$ 0.73 | 61.9% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.81 | \$ 1.48 | 63.5% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 8.07 | \$ 2.23 | 38.2% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 13.06 | \$ 2.97 | 29.4% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 16.29 | \$ 3.70 | 29.4% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 4.44 | 23.2% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 28.49 | \$ 5.19 | 22.3% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 38.60 | \$ 5.94 | 18.2% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 44.36 | \$ 6.69 | 17.8% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 19.13 | \$ 7.40 | 63.1% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 23.35 | \$ 8.14 | 53.5% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 28.34 | \$ 8.89 | 45.7% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 31.59 | \$ 9.63 | 43.9% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 38.89 | \$ 10.37 | 36.4% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 43.81 | \$ 11.12 | 34.0% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 11.84 | 28.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 13.48 | \$ 0.51 | 3.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 27.16 | \$ 1.23 | 4.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 52.29 | \$ 2.91 | 5.9% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 15.82 | \$ 0.51 | 3.3% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 15.87 | \$ 0.33 | 2.1% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.59 | \$ 0.48 | 2.5% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 24.17 | \$ 0.80 | 3.4% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 32.41 | \$ 1.25 | 4.0% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 18.95 | \$ 0.33 | 1.8% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 24.99 | \$ 0.48 | 2.0% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 38.89 | \$ 0.67 | 1.8% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 30.91 | \$ 0.80 | 2.7% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 42.65 | \$ 0.80 | 1.9% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 36.73 | \$ 1.25 | 3.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 7.65 | \$ 2.70 | 54.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 15.28 | \$ 5.38 | 54.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 8.06 | 54.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 30.54 | \$ 10.73 | 54.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 38.18 | \$ 13.43 | 54.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 45.84 | \$ 16.12 | 54.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 140.71 | \$ (2.83) | -2.0% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 216.01 | \$ (15.06) | -6.5% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 290.90 | \$ (27.29) | -8.6% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 365.74 | \$ (39.52) | -9.8% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 440.60 | \$ (51.75) | -10.5% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 515.45 | \$ (63.97) | -11.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 14,721.99 | \$ (283.26) | -1.9% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 22,151.69 | \$ (1,506.20) | -6.4% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 29,581.38 | \$ (2,729.16) | -8.4% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 37,011.07 | \$ (3,952.11) | -9.6% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 44,440.76 | \$ (5,175.07) | -10.4% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 51,870.47 | \$ (6,398.00) | -11.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 5,926.83 | \$ 32.78 | 0.6% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 9,360.85 | \$ (396.74) | -4.1% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 12,794.85 | \$ (826.26) | -6.1% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 16,228.87 | \$ (1,255.78) | -7.2% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 19,662.87 | \$ (1,685.31) | -7.9% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 23,096.90 | \$ (2,114.83) | -8.4% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 57,834.45 | \$ 327.79 | 0.6% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 92,011.06 | \$ (3,967.43) | -4.1% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 125,861.64 | \$ (8,262.64) | -6.2% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 159,712.20 | \$ (12,557.86) | -7.3% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 193,562.77 | \$ (16,853.08) | -8.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 227,413.35 | \$ (21,148.29) | -8.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 9,101.87 | \$ 252.40 | 2.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 15,765.41 | \$ (117.70) | -0.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 22,428.96 | \$ (487.80) | -2.1% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 29,092.50 | \$ (857.90) | -2.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 35,756.04 | \$ (1,228.01) | -3.3% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 42,419.60 | \$ (1,598.10) | -3.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 88,971.26 | \$ 2,523.99 | 2.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 154,627.60 | \$ (1,177.02) | -0.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 220,283.95 | \$ (4,878.03) | -2.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 285,940.29 | \$ (8,579.05) | -2.9% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 351,596.65 | \$ (12,280.05) | -3.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 417,252.98 | \$ (15,981.07) | -3.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 27,392.32 | \$ 64.91 | 0.2% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 35,840.91 | \$ (1,332.19) | -3.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 44,289.52 | \$ (2,729.28) | -5.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 52,738.11 | \$ (4,126.38) | -7.3% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 61,023.20 | \$ (5,523.47) | -8.3% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 69,275.98 | \$ (6,920.56) | -9.1% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 269,816.70 | \$ 649.06 | 0.2% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 352,344.48 | \$ (13,321.88) | -3.6% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 434,872.27 | \$ (27,292.82) | -5.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 517,400.05 | \$ (41,263.76) | -7.4% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 599,927.84 | \$ (55,234.70) | -8.4% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 682,455.62 | \$ (69,205.64) | -9.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 18.25 | \$ 0.28 | 1.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 20.32 | \$ 0.63 | 3.2% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 21.22 | \$ 0.79 | 3.9% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 24.86 | \$ 1.42 | 6.1% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 26.87 | \$ 1.77 | 7.1% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 32.35 | \$ 2.73 | 9.2% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 34.95 | \$ 3.19 | 10.0% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 8.59 | \$ 0.49 | 6.0% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 9.52 | \$ 0.77 | 8.8% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 11.98 | \$ 1.18 | 10.9% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 15.49 | \$ 1.79 | 13.1% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 24.28 | \$ 3.23 | 15.3% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 29.93 | \$ 4.28 | 16.7% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 16.41 | \$ 0.49 | 3.1% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 17.40 | \$ 0.77 | 4.6% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 20.80 | \$ 1.18 | 6.0% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 30.61 | \$ 2.35 | 8.3% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 23.73 | \$ 1.79 | 8.2% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 37.23 | \$ 3.56 | 10.6% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 3.23 | 10.5% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 39.81 | \$ 4.28 | 12.0% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 70.55 | \$ 8.57 | 13.8% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 11.43 | \$ 0.49 | 4.5% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 12.89 | \$ 0.77 | 6.4% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 16.40 | \$ 1.18 | 7.8% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.81 | \$ 0.49 | 2.7% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 20.10 | \$ 0.77 | 4.0% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 25.20 | \$ 1.18 | 4.9% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 1.79 | 6.6% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 55.98 | \$ 3.23 | 6.1% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 4.28 | 7.5% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 90.51 | \$ 8.57 | 10.5% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 0.77 | 6.9% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 14.64 | \$ 1.18 | 8.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 8.26 | \$ 0.32 | 4.0% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.77 | \$ 0.47 | 5.7% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 9.70 | \$ 0.70 | 7.8% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 11.65 | \$ 1.00 | 9.4% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 12.31 | \$ 1.19 | 10.7% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 1.83 | 12.9% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 4.63 | 15.6% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 16.05 | \$ 0.32 | 2.0% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.60 | \$ 0.47 | 2.9% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 18.57 | \$ 0.70 | 3.9% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 20.44 | \$ 1.00 | 5.1% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 21.11 | \$ 1.19 | 6.0% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 25.83 | \$ 1.83 | 7.6% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 43.31 | \$ 4.63 | 12.0% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 11.36 | \$ 0.32 | 2.9% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 12.21 | \$ 0.47 | 4.0% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.79 | \$ 0.70 | 5.3% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 18.35 | \$ 0.32 | 1.8% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 0.47 | 2.5% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 22.99 | \$ 0.70 | 3.1% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 25.35 | \$ 0.99 | 4.1% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 23.04 | \$ 1.00 | 4.5% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 26.25 | \$ 1.19 | 4.7% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 28.69 | \$ 1.44 | 5.3% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 47.70 | \$ 1.83 | 4.0% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 62.54 | \$ 3.68 | 6.3% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 67.10 | \$ 4.63 | 7.4% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 11.92 | \$ 0.32 | 2.8% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 0.47 | 3.6% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 0.70 | 5.1% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 14.95 | \$ 1.00 | 7.2% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 17.73 | \$ 1.19 | 7.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.71 | \$ 0.29 | 20.4% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 3.38 | \$ 0.56 | 19.9% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 5.11 | \$ 0.86 | 20.2% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 6.80 | \$ 1.13 | 19.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 8.50 | \$ 1.42 | 20.1% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 1.68 | 19.8% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 11.90 | \$ 1.98 | 20.0% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 13.59 | \$ 2.25 | 19.8% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 15.30 | \$ 2.55 | 20.0% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 16.99 | \$ 2.82 | 19.9% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 18.69 | \$ 3.10 | 19.9% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 20.40 | \$ 3.39 | 19.9% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 22.09 | \$ 3.67 | 19.9% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 23.78 | \$ 3.95 | 19.9% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 25.49 | \$ 4.23 | 19.9% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 27.16 | \$ 4.51 | 19.9% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 0.29 | 14.2% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 4.61 | \$ 0.56 | 13.8% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 0.86 | 14.1% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 9.27 | \$ 1.13 | 13.9% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 11.59 | \$ 1.42 | 14.0% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 13.87 | \$ 1.68 | 13.8% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 16.21 | \$ 1.98 | 13.9% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 18.52 | \$ 2.25 | 13.8% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 20.85 | \$ 2.55 | 13.9% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 23.15 | \$ 2.82 | 13.9% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 25.47 | \$ 3.10 | 13.9% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 27.80 | \$ 3.39 | 13.9% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 3.67 | 13.9% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 32.41 | \$ 3.95 | 13.9% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 34.74 | \$ 4.23 | 13.9% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 37.03 | \$ 4.51 | 13.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 3.10 | \$ 0.29 | 10.3% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 6.15 | \$ 0.56 | 10.0% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 9.27 | \$ 0.86 | 10.2% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 12.35 | \$ 1.13 | 10.1% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 1.42 | 10.1% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 18.49 | \$ 1.68 | 10.0% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 21.60 | \$ 1.98 | 10.1% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 24.67 | \$ 2.25 | 10.0% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 27.77 | \$ 2.55 | 10.1% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 30.85 | \$ 2.82 | 10.1% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 33.93 | \$ 3.10 | 10.1% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 37.03 | \$ 3.39 | 10.1% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 40.10 | \$ 3.67 | 10.1% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 43.18 | \$ 3.95 | 10.1% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 46.28 | \$ 4.23 | 10.1% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 49.33 | \$ 4.51 | 10.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 10.61 | \$ (2.66) | -20.0% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 17.80 | \$ (6.08) | -25.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 32.30 | \$ (14.65) | -31.2% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 15.01 | \$ (2.66) | -15.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 10.23 | \$ (1.62) | -13.7% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 16.40 | \$ (4.05) | -19.8% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 20.64 | \$ (6.29) | -23.4% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 15.26 | \$ (1.62) | -9.6% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 15.58 | \$ (2.81) | -15.3% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 17.85 | \$ (4.28) | -19.3% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 21.54 | \$ (6.62) | -23.5% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 25.36 | \$ (2.81) | -10.0% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 27.63 | \$ (4.28) | -13.4% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 31.32 | \$ (6.62) | -17.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 8.17 | \$ 1.21 | 17.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 16.33 | \$ 2.42 | 17.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 3.64 | 17.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 32.65 | \$ 4.84 | 17.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 40.83 | \$ 6.05 | 17.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 49.01 | \$ 7.28 | 17.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 149.76 | \$ 0.52 | 0.3% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 234.11 | \$ (8.37) | -3.5% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 318.05 | \$ (17.24) | -5.1% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 401.94 | \$ (26.12) | -6.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 485.85 | \$ (35.00) | -6.7% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 569.74 | \$ (43.89) | -7.2% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 15,626.89 | \$ 51.54 | 0.3% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 23,961.49 | \$ (836.60) | -3.4% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 32,296.08 | \$ (1,724.76) | -5.1% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 40,630.67 | \$ (2,612.91) | -6.0% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 48,965.26 | \$ (3,501.07) | -6.7% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 57,299.87 | \$ (4,389.20) | -7.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 6,363.63 | \$ 401.83 | 6.7% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 10,234.45 | \$ (98.64) | -1.0% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 14,105.25 | \$ (599.11) | -4.1% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 17,976.07 | \$ (1,099.58) | -5.8% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 21,846.87 | \$ (1,600.06) | -6.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 25,717.70 | \$ (2,100.53) | -7.6% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 62,202.45 | \$ 4,018.29 | 6.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 100,747.06 | \$ (986.43) | -1.0% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 138,965.64 | \$ (5,991.14) | -4.1% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 177,184.20 | \$ (10,995.86) | -5.8% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 215,402.77 | \$ (16,000.58) | -6.9% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 253,621.35 | \$ (21,005.29) | -7.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 9,950.77 | \$ 565.40 | 6.0% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 17,463.21 | \$ 57.30 | 0.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 24,975.66 | \$ (450.80) | -1.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 32,488.10 | \$ (958.90) | -2.9% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 40,000.54 | \$ (1,467.01) | -3.5% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 47,513.00 | \$ (1,975.10) | -4.0% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 97,460.26 | \$ 5,653.99 | 6.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 171,605.60 | \$ 572.98 | 0.3% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 245,750.95 | \$ (4,508.03) | -1.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 319,896.29 | \$ (9,589.05) | -2.9% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 394,041.65 | \$ (14,670.05) | -3.6% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 468,186.98 | \$ (19,751.07) | -4.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 29,088.52 | \$ 207.31 | 0.7% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 39,233.31 | \$ (1,465.39) | -3.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 49,378.12 | \$ (3,138.08) | -6.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 59,522.91 | \$ (4,810.78) | -7.5% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 69,504.20 | \$ (6,483.47) | -8.5% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 79,453.18 | \$ (8,156.16) | -9.3% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 286,778.70 | \$ 2,073.06 | 0.7% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 386,268.48 | \$ (14,653.88) | -3.7% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 485,758.27 | \$ (31,380.82) | -6.1% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 585,248.05 | \$ (48,107.76) | -7.6% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 684,737.84 | \$ (64,834.70) | -8.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 784,227.62 | \$ (81,561.64) | -9.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.46 | \$ 0.54 | 3.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 20.82 | \$ 1.27 | 6.5% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 21.85 | \$ 1.59 | 7.8% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 26.00 | \$ 2.87 | 12.4% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 28.29 | \$ 3.58 | 14.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 34.54 | \$ 5.52 | 19.0% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 6.43 | 20.7% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 8.98 | \$ 0.99 | 12.4% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 10.14 | \$ 1.56 | 18.2% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 12.92 | \$ 2.38 | 22.6% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 16.92 | \$ 3.61 | 27.1% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 26.88 | \$ 6.54 | 32.2% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 33.37 | \$ 8.65 | 35.0% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.80 | \$ 0.99 | 6.3% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 18.02 | \$ 1.56 | 9.5% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 21.74 | \$ 2.38 | 12.3% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 32.49 | \$ 4.74 | 17.1% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 3.61 | 16.8% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 40.09 | \$ 7.19 | 21.9% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 36.64 | \$ 6.54 | 21.7% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 8.65 | 25.0% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 77.43 | \$ 17.33 | 28.8% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 0.99 | 9.1% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 1.56 | 13.1% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 17.34 | \$ 2.38 | 15.9% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 19.20 | \$ 0.99 | 5.4% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 20.72 | \$ 1.56 | 8.1% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 26.14 | \$ 2.38 | 10.0% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 3.61 | 13.5% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 58.58 | \$ 6.54 | 12.6% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 64.90 | \$ 8.65 | 15.4% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 97.39 | \$ 17.33 | 21.6% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 12.57 | \$ 1.56 | 14.2% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 15.58 | \$ 2.38 | 18.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.52 | \$ 0.66 | 8.4% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 9.15 | \$ 0.96 | 11.7% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 10.27 | \$ 1.43 | 16.2% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 12.45 | \$ 2.02 | 19.4% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 13.26 | \$ 2.40 | 22.1% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 17.50 | \$ 3.72 | 27.0% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 38.05 | \$ 9.35 | 32.6% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 0.66 | 4.2% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 16.98 | \$ 0.96 | 6.0% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 19.14 | \$ 1.43 | 8.1% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 21.24 | \$ 2.02 | 10.5% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 22.06 | \$ 2.40 | 12.2% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 27.31 | \$ 3.72 | 15.8% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 47.02 | \$ 9.35 | 24.8% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.62 | \$ 0.66 | 6.0% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 0.96 | 8.3% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 14.36 | \$ 1.43 | 11.1% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 0.66 | 3.7% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 19.57 | \$ 0.96 | 5.2% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 23.56 | \$ 1.43 | 6.5% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 26.14 | \$ 2.00 | 8.3% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 23.84 | \$ 2.02 | 9.3% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 2.40 | 9.7% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 29.85 | \$ 2.91 | 10.8% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 49.18 | \$ 3.72 | 8.2% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 65.49 | \$ 7.43 | 12.8% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 70.81 | \$ 9.35 | 15.2% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 0.66 | 5.7% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 0.96 | 7.5% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 1.43 | 10.5% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 15.75 | \$ 2.02 | 14.7% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 18.68 | \$ 2.40 | 14.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.93 | \$ 0.57 | 41.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 3.83 | \$ 1.13 | 41.9% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 5.79 | \$ 1.72 | 42.3% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 7.71 | \$ 2.28 | 42.0% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 9.63 | \$ 2.85 | 42.0% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 11.53 | \$ 3.41 | 42.0% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 13.48 | \$ 3.99 | 42.0% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 15.40 | \$ 4.56 | 42.1% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 17.34 | \$ 5.15 | 42.2% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 19.25 | \$ 5.70 | 42.1% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 21.18 | \$ 6.27 | 42.1% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 23.11 | \$ 6.84 | 42.0% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 25.03 | \$ 7.41 | 42.1% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 7.98 | 42.1% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 28.89 | \$ 8.55 | 42.0% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 30.78 | \$ 9.11 | 42.0% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.55 | \$ 0.57 | 28.8% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 5.06 | \$ 1.13 | 28.8% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 7.64 | \$ 1.72 | 29.1% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 10.18 | \$ 2.28 | 28.9% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 12.72 | \$ 2.85 | 28.9% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 15.23 | \$ 3.41 | 28.8% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 17.79 | \$ 3.99 | 28.9% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 4.56 | 28.9% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 22.89 | \$ 5.15 | 29.0% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 25.41 | \$ 5.70 | 28.9% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 6.27 | 28.9% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 6.84 | 28.9% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 33.05 | \$ 7.41 | 28.9% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 35.57 | \$ 7.98 | 28.9% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 38.14 | \$ 8.55 | 28.9% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 40.65 | \$ 9.11 | 28.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 0.57 | 20.7% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 6.60 | \$ 1.13 | 20.7% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 9.95 | \$ 1.72 | 20.9% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 13.26 | \$ 2.28 | 20.8% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 2.85 | 20.8% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 3.41 | 20.7% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 23.18 | \$ 3.99 | 20.8% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 26.48 | \$ 4.56 | 20.8% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 29.81 | \$ 5.15 | 20.9% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 33.11 | \$ 5.70 | 20.8% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 36.42 | \$ 6.27 | 20.8% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 39.74 | \$ 6.84 | 20.8% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 43.04 | \$ 7.41 | 20.8% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 46.34 | \$ 7.98 | 20.8% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 49.68 | \$ 8.55 | 20.8% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 52.95 | \$ 9.11 | 20.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 11.23 | \$ (1.13) | -9.1% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 19.23 | \$ (2.55) | -11.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 35.74 | \$ (6.17) | -14.7% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 15.63 | \$ (1.13) | -6.7% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 10.61 | \$ (0.68) | -6.0% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 17.35 | \$ (1.70) | -8.9% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 22.12 | \$ (2.64) | -10.7% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 15.64 | \$ (0.68) | -4.2% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 16.24 | \$ (1.18) | -6.8% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 18.85 | \$ (1.81) | -8.8% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 23.09 | \$ (2.79) | -10.8% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 26.02 | \$ (1.18) | -4.3% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 28.63 | \$ (1.81) | -5.9% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 32.87 | \$ (2.79) | -7.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 9.08 | \$ 1.38 | 17.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 18.14 | \$ 2.76 | 17.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 27.22 | \$ 4.14 | 17.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 36.27 | \$ 5.51 | 17.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 45.35 | \$ 6.89 | 17.9% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 54.44 | \$ 8.28 | 17.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 147.39 | \$ (4.36) | -2.9% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 222.61 | \$ (11.67) | -5.0% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 297.36 | \$ (19.00) | -6.0% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 372.12 | \$ (26.31) | -6.6% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 446.87 | \$ (33.65) | -7.0% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 521.64 | \$ (40.95) | -7.3% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 16,845.67 | \$ (435.43) | -2.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 24,265.24 | \$ (1,167.45) | -4.6% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 31,684.80 | \$ (1,899.48) | -5.7% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 39,104.37 | \$ (2,631.50) | -6.3% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 46,523.93 | \$ (3,363.53) | -6.7% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 53,943.50 | \$ (4,095.55) | -7.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (%E)/(%C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,401.87 | \$ 183.95 | 3.5% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 8,964.52 | \$ (128.94) | -1.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 12,527.17 | \$ (441.84) | -3.4% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 16,089.81 | \$ (754.74) | -4.5% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 19,652.45 | \$ (1,067.64) | -5.2% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 23,215.11 | \$ (1,380.53) | -5.6% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 52,584.81 | \$ 1,839.54 | 3.6% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 87,930.44 | \$ (1,289.44) | -1.4% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 122,716.08 | \$ (4,418.40) | -3.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 157,501.69 | \$ (7,547.38) | -4.6% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 192,287.32 | \$ (10,676.35) | -5.3% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 227,072.95 | \$ (13,805.31) | -5.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 8,377.94 | \$ 542.30 | 6.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 14,554.55 | \$ (246.60) | -1.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 20,731.17 | \$ (1,035.50) | -4.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 26,907.79 | \$ (1,824.40) | -6.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 33,084.40 | \$ (2,613.31) | -7.3% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 39,261.02 | \$ (3,402.20) | -8.0% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 81,614.64 | \$ 5,422.99 | 7.1% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 141,699.09 | \$ (2,466.02) | -1.7% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 201,783.55 | \$ (10,355.03) | -4.9% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 261,868.00 | \$ (18,244.05) | -6.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 321,952.47 | \$ (26,133.05) | -7.5% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 382,036.91 | \$ (34,022.07) | -8.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 27,049.26 | \$ 1,105.02 | 4.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 35,032.20 | \$ (263.97) | -0.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 43,015.14 | \$ (1,632.95) | -3.7% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 50,998.08 | \$ (3,001.94) | -5.6% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 58,700.18 | \$ (4,370.92) | -6.9% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 66,346.78 | \$ (5,739.90) | -8.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 265,566.19 | \$ 11,050.15 | 4.3% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 342,032.19 | \$ (2,639.69) | -0.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 418,498.20 | \$ (16,329.54) | -3.8% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 494,964.20 | \$ (30,019.39) | -5.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 571,430.21 | \$ (43,709.24) | -7.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 647,896.21 | \$ (57,399.08) | -8.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.51 | \$ 0.47 | 3.9% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 14.59 | \$ 1.08 | 8.0% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 1.35 | 9.5% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 19.12 | \$ 2.44 | 14.6% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 21.13 | \$ 3.03 | 16.7% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 26.61 | \$ 4.66 | 21.2% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 29.19 | \$ 5.44 | 22.9% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.49 | \$ 0.47 | 3.6% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 15.57 | \$ 1.08 | 7.5% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 16.48 | \$ 1.35 | 8.9% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 20.10 | \$ 2.44 | 13.8% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 22.11 | \$ 3.03 | 15.9% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 4.66 | 20.3% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 30.17 | \$ 5.44 | 22.0% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 0.47 | 2.4% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 21.77 | \$ 1.08 | 5.2% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 22.68 | \$ 1.35 | 6.3% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 2.44 | 10.2% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 28.31 | \$ 3.03 | 12.0% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 33.79 | \$ 4.66 | 16.0% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 36.37 | \$ 5.44 | 17.6% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 35.37 | \$ 0.92 | 2.7% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 39.50 | \$ 2.16 | 5.8% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 2.70 | 7.0% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 48.55 | \$ 4.86 | 11.1% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 52.57 | \$ 6.05 | 13.0% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 63.57 | \$ 9.33 | 17.2% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 68.74 | \$ 10.88 | 18.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 0.87 | 4.6% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 22.89 | \$ 1.82 | 8.6% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 25.53 | \$ 2.62 | 11.4% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 33.88 | \$ 5.10 | 17.7% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 0.87 | 5.1% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 21.15 | \$ 1.82 | 9.4% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 23.79 | \$ 2.62 | 12.4% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 32.14 | \$ 5.10 | 18.9% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 26.38 | \$ 1.73 | 7.0% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 32.73 | \$ 3.63 | 12.5% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 38.05 | \$ 5.21 | 15.9% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 54.73 | \$ 10.18 | 22.9% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 10.44 | \$ 1.33 | 14.6% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 13.28 | \$ 2.00 | 17.7% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 18.49 | \$ 3.06 | 19.8% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 31.97 | \$ 5.53 | 20.9% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 40.45 | \$ 7.33 | 22.1% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 12.72 | \$ 1.33 | 11.7% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 15.40 | \$ 2.00 | 14.9% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 21.19 | \$ 3.06 | 16.9% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 34.88 | \$ 5.53 | 18.8% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 43.42 | \$ 7.33 | 20.3% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 1.33 | 8.8% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 19.24 | \$ 2.00 | 11.6% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 24.82 | \$ 3.06 | 14.1% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 36.74 | \$ 5.53 | 17.7% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 45.06 | \$ 7.33 | 19.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) | |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 19.10 | \$ 2.67 | 16.3% | |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 34.98 | \$ 6.08 | 21.0% | |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 37.64 | \$ 6.08 | 19.3% | |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 4.02 | 14.9% | |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 40.91 | \$ 6.08 | 17.5% | |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 11.87 | \$ 0.81 | 7.3% | |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 14.38 | \$ 1.19 | 9.0% | |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 18.90 | \$ 1.69 | 9.8% | |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 17.16 | \$ 2.02 | 13.3% | |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 3.14 | 15.0% | |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 13.72 | \$ 0.81 | 6.3% | |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 1.19 | 8.2% | |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 21.02 | \$ 1.69 | 8.7% | |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 21.13 | \$ 2.02 | 10.6% | |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 27.57 | \$ 3.14 | 12.9% | |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 17.47 | \$ 0.81 | 4.9% | |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 28.77 | \$ 0.81 | 2.9% | |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 16.71 | \$ 1.19 | 7.7% | |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 24.97 | \$ 1.69 | 7.3% | |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 22.83 | \$ 2.02 | 9.7% | |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 37.85 | \$ 2.02 | 5.6% | |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 28.30 | \$ 3.14 | 12.5% | |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 47.17 | \$ 0.49 | 1.0% | |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

Bill Data

| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 1.62 | 7.5% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 2.40 | 9.8% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 34.50 | \$ 4.05 | 13.3% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 1.62 | 7.2% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 27.33 | \$ 2.40 | 9.6% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 35.91 | \$ 4.05 | 12.7% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 28.43 | \$ 1.62 | 6.0% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 34.01 | \$ 2.40 | 7.6% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 42.16 | \$ 4.05 | 10.6% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 44.16 | \$ 6.28 | 16.6% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.63 | \$ 0.49 | 43.0% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 3.21 | \$ 0.96 | 42.7% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 5.97 | \$ 1.46 | 32.4% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 9.07 | \$ 1.93 | 27.0% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 11.33 | \$ 2.42 | 27.2% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 2.88 | 22.7% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 18.60 | \$ 3.38 | 22.2% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 24.16 | \$ 3.86 | 19.0% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 27.62 | \$ 4.34 | 18.6% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 16.17 | \$ 4.83 | 42.6% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 18.88 | \$ 5.30 | 39.0% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 22.03 | \$ 5.80 | 35.7% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 24.26 | \$ 6.27 | 34.9% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 28.49 | \$ 6.76 | 31.1% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 7.23 | 29.7% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 37.10 | \$ 7.71 | 26.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 9.83 | \$ (1.28) | -11.5% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 25.86 | \$ (2.90) | -10.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 44.37 | \$ (7.01) | -13.6% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 16.42 | \$ (1.28) | -7.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 13.51 | \$ (1.63) | -10.8% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 24.23 | \$ (3.02) | -11.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 10.16 | \$ 1.35 | 15.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 20.31 | \$ 2.68 | 15.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 30.50 | \$ 4.04 | 15.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 40.63 | \$ 5.35 | 15.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 50.80 | \$ 6.70 | 15.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 60.95 | \$ 8.05 | 15.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 156.44 | \$ (4.56) | -2.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 240.71 | \$ (12.07) | -4.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 324.51 | \$ (19.59) | -5.7% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 408.32 | \$ (27.10) | -6.2% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 492.12 | \$ (34.63) | -6.6% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 575.93 | \$ (42.15) | -6.8% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 17,750.57 | \$ (455.33) | -2.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 26,075.04 | \$ (1,207.25) | -4.4% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 34,399.50 | \$ (1,959.18) | -5.4% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 42,723.97 | \$ (2,711.10) | -6.0% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 51,048.43 | \$ (3,463.03) | -6.4% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 59,372.90 | \$ (4,214.95) | -6.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 5,838.67 | \$ 190.50 | 3.4% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 9,838.12 | \$ (193.34) | -1.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 13,837.57 | \$ (577.19) | -4.0% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 17,837.01 | \$ (961.04) | -5.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 21,836.45 | \$ (1,344.89) | -5.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 25,835.91 | \$ (1,728.73) | -6.3% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 56,952.81 | \$ 1,905.04 | 3.5% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 96,666.44 | \$ (1,933.44) | -2.0% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 135,820.08 | \$ (5,771.90) | -4.1% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 174,973.69 | \$ (9,610.38) | -5.2% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 214,127.32 | \$ (13,448.85) | -5.9% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 253,280.95 | \$ (17,287.31) | -6.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 9,226.84 | \$ 453.30 | 5.2% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 16,252.35 | \$ (473.60) | -2.8% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 23,277.87 | \$ (1,400.50) | -5.7% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 30,303.39 | \$ (2,327.40) | -7.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 37,328.90 | \$ (3,254.31) | -8.0% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 44,354.42 | \$ (4,181.20) | -8.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 90,103.64 | \$ 4,532.99 | 5.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 158,677.09 | \$ (4,736.02) | -2.9% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 227,250.55 | \$ (14,005.03) | -5.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 295,824.00 | \$ (23,274.05) | -7.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 364,397.47 | \$ (32,543.05) | -8.2% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 432,970.91 | \$ (41,812.07) | -8.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 28,745.46 | \$ 855.42 | 3.1% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 38,424.60 | \$ (789.17) | -2.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 48,103.74 | \$ (2,433.75) | -4.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 57,782.88 | \$ (4,078.34) | -6.6% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 67,181.18 | \$ (5,722.92) | -7.8% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 76,523.98 | \$ (7,367.50) | -8.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 282,528.19 | \$ 8,554.15 | 3.1% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 375,956.19 | \$ (7,891.69) | -2.1% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 469,384.20 | \$ (24,337.54) | -4.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 562,812.20 | \$ (40,783.39) | -6.8% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 656,240.21 | \$ (57,229.24) | -8.0% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 749,668.21 | \$ (73,675.08) | -8.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.72 | \$ 0.54 | 4.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 15.09 | \$ 1.27 | 9.2% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 1.59 | 10.9% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 2.87 | 16.5% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 22.55 | \$ 3.58 | 18.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 28.80 | \$ 5.51 | 23.7% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 31.74 | \$ 6.42 | 25.4% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 0.54 | 4.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 1.27 | 8.6% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 17.11 | \$ 1.59 | 10.2% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 21.24 | \$ 2.87 | 15.6% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 23.53 | \$ 3.58 | 17.9% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 29.78 | \$ 5.51 | 22.7% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 32.72 | \$ 6.42 | 24.4% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.90 | \$ 0.54 | 2.8% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 22.27 | \$ 1.27 | 6.0% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 23.31 | \$ 1.59 | 7.3% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 27.44 | \$ 2.87 | 11.7% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 3.58 | 13.7% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 35.98 | \$ 5.51 | 18.1% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 38.92 | \$ 6.42 | 19.8% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 35.80 | \$ 1.09 | 3.1% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 40.51 | \$ 2.55 | 6.7% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 42.58 | \$ 3.19 | 8.1% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 50.83 | \$ 5.74 | 12.7% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 55.41 | \$ 7.15 | 14.8% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 67.95 | \$ 11.01 | 19.3% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 73.84 | \$ 12.85 | 21.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 20.12 | \$ 1.03 | 5.4% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 23.74 | \$ 2.15 | 10.0% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 26.75 | \$ 3.09 | 13.1% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 36.27 | \$ 6.02 | 19.9% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 18.38 | \$ 1.03 | 5.9% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 22.00 | \$ 2.15 | 10.8% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 25.01 | \$ 3.09 | 14.1% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 34.53 | \$ 6.02 | 21.1% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 2.05 | 8.2% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 34.43 | \$ 4.28 | 14.2% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 40.49 | \$ 6.15 | 17.9% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 59.51 | \$ 12.03 | 25.3% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 1.56 | 16.4% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 14.22 | \$ 2.37 | 20.0% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 3.61 | 22.1% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 34.57 | \$ 6.53 | 23.3% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 43.89 | \$ 8.65 | 24.5% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 13.34 | \$ 1.56 | 13.2% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 2.37 | 17.0% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 22.62 | \$ 3.61 | 19.0% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 37.48 | \$ 6.53 | 21.1% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 46.86 | \$ 8.65 | 22.6% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 17.06 | \$ 1.56 | 10.1% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 20.18 | \$ 2.37 | 13.3% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 26.25 | \$ 3.61 | 15.9% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 39.34 | \$ 6.53 | 19.9% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 48.50 | \$ 8.65 | 21.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) | |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

| | | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|--|
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 20.35 | \$ 3.15 | 18.3% | |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 37.84 | \$ 7.18 | 23.4% | |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 40.50 | \$ 7.18 | 21.5% | |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 32.86 | \$ 4.74 | 16.9% | |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 43.77 | \$ 7.18 | 19.6% | |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 12.25 | \$ 0.96 | 8.5% | |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 14.95 | \$ 1.42 | 10.5% | |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 2.00 | 11.3% | |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 2.39 | 15.2% | |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 3.72 | 17.0% | |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 14.10 | \$ 0.96 | 7.3% | |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 1.42 | 9.6% | |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 21.81 | \$ 2.00 | 10.1% | |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 22.08 | \$ 2.39 | 12.1% | |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 29.05 | \$ 3.72 | 14.7% | |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 17.85 | \$ 0.96 | 5.7% | |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 29.15 | \$ 0.96 | 3.4% | |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 17.28 | \$ 1.42 | 9.0% | |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 2.00 | 8.4% | |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 23.78 | \$ 2.39 | 11.2% | |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 38.80 | \$ 2.39 | 6.6% | |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 29.78 | \$ 3.72 | 14.3% | |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 47.39 | \$ 0.57 | 1.2% | |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 24.06 | \$ 1.92 | 8.7% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 28.01 | \$ 2.83 | 11.2% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 36.40 | \$ 4.78 | 15.1% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 24.95 | \$ 1.92 | 8.3% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 28.45 | \$ 2.83 | 11.0% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 37.81 | \$ 4.78 | 14.5% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 29.19 | \$ 1.92 | 7.0% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 35.13 | \$ 2.83 | 8.8% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 44.06 | \$ 4.78 | 12.2% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 47.11 | \$ 7.42 | 18.7% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.85 | \$ 0.57 | 44.5% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 3.66 | \$ 1.13 | 44.7% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 6.65 | \$ 1.72 | 34.9% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 9.98 | \$ 2.28 | 29.6% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 12.46 | \$ 2.85 | 29.7% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 16.90 | \$ 3.40 | 25.2% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 20.18 | \$ 3.99 | 24.6% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 25.97 | \$ 4.56 | 21.3% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 29.66 | \$ 5.14 | 21.0% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 18.43 | \$ 5.70 | 44.8% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 21.37 | \$ 6.26 | 41.4% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 24.74 | \$ 6.84 | 38.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 7.40 | 37.4% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 31.65 | \$ 7.97 | 33.7% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 34.94 | \$ 8.54 | 32.3% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 40.72 | \$ 9.10 | 28.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 10.45 | \$ (1.03) | -9.0% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 27.29 | \$ (2.31) | -7.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 47.81 | \$ (5.60) | -10.5% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 17.04 | \$ (1.03) | -5.7% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 14.30 | \$ (1.30) | -8.3% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 25.71 | \$ (2.40) | -8.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 11.07 | \$ 1.25 | 12.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 2.50 | 12.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 33.21 | \$ 3.75 | 12.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 44.25 | \$ 4.98 | 12.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 55.32 | \$ 6.23 | 12.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 66.38 | \$ 7.49 | 12.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 32.50 | \$ (0.84) | -2.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 61.00 | \$ (2.67) | -4.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.83 | \$ 89.48 | \$ (5.35) | -5.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.00 | \$ 117.99 | \$ (8.01) | -6.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 157.16 | \$ 146.52 | \$ (10.64) | -6.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 188.32 | \$ 175.02 | \$ (13.30) | -7.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 250.62 | \$ 231.98 | \$ (18.64) | -7.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.72 | \$ 288.76 | \$ (23.96) | -7.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.80 | \$ 345.49 | \$ (29.31) | -7.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 436.91 | \$ 402.27 | \$ (34.64) | -7.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 498.97 | \$ 459.04 | \$ (39.93) | -8.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 561.09 | \$ 515.82 | \$ (45.27) | -8.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 623.18 | \$ 572.58 | \$ (50.60) | -8.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 685.25 | \$ 629.33 | \$ (55.92) | -8.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 747.36 | \$ 686.10 | \$ (61.26) | -8.2% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 809.42 | \$ 742.87 | \$ (66.55) | -8.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 871.54 | \$ 799.66 | \$ (71.88) | -8.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 933.63 | \$ 856.43 | \$ (77.20) | -8.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 995.71 | \$ 913.16 | \$ (82.55) | -8.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,057.82 | \$ 969.93 | \$ (87.89) | -8.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,119.88 | \$ 1,026.70 | \$ (93.18) | -8.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,181.99 | \$ 1,083.47 | \$ (98.52) | -8.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,244.07 | \$ 1,140.23 | \$ (103.84) | -8.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,306.18 | \$ 1,197.00 | \$ (109.18) | -8.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,368.27 | \$ 1,253.76 | \$ (114.51) | -8.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,430.37 | \$ 1,310.57 | \$ (119.80) | -8.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,492.44 | \$ 1,367.30 | \$ (125.14) | -8.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 22.84 | \$ 22.00 | \$ (0.84) | -3.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 42.67 | \$ 40.00 | \$ (2.67) | -6.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 54.33 | \$ 48.98 | \$ (5.35) | -9.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 66.00 | \$ 57.99 | \$ (8.01) | -12.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 77.66 | \$ 67.02 | \$ (10.64) | -13.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 89.32 | \$ 76.02 | \$ (13.30) | -14.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 112.62 | \$ 93.98 | \$ (18.64) | -16.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 135.72 | \$ 111.76 | \$ (23.96) | -17.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 158.80 | \$ 129.49 | \$ (29.31) | -18.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 181.91 | \$ 147.27 | \$ (34.64) | -19.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 204.97 | \$ 165.04 | \$ (39.93) | -19.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 228.09 | \$ 182.82 | \$ (45.27) | -19.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 251.18 | \$ 200.58 | \$ (50.60) | -20.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 274.25 | \$ 218.33 | \$ (55.92) | -20.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 297.36 | \$ 236.10 | \$ (61.26) | -20.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 320.42 | \$ 253.87 | \$ (66.55) | -20.8% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 343.54 | \$ 271.66 | \$ (71.88) | -20.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 366.63 | \$ 289.43 | \$ (77.20) | -21.1% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 389.71 | \$ 307.16 | \$ (82.55) | -21.2% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 412.82 | \$ 324.93 | \$ (87.89) | -21.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 435.88 | \$ 342.70 | \$ (93.18) | -21.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 458.99 | \$ 360.47 | \$ (98.52) | -21.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 482.07 | \$ 378.23 | \$ (103.84) | -21.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 505.18 | \$ 396.00 | \$ (109.18) | -21.6% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 528.27 | \$ 413.76 | \$ (114.51) | -21.7% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 551.37 | \$ 431.57 | \$ (119.80) | -21.7% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 574.44 | \$ 449.30 | \$ (125.14) | -21.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 32.50 | \$ (0.84) | -2.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 61.00 | \$ (2.67) | -4.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 89.33 | \$ 83.98 | \$ (5.35) | -6.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 115.00 | \$ 106.99 | \$ (8.01) | -7.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.66 | \$ 130.02 | \$ (10.64) | -7.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 166.32 | \$ 153.02 | \$ (13.30) | -8.0% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 217.62 | \$ 198.98 | \$ (18.64) | -8.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 268.72 | \$ 244.76 | \$ (23.96) | -8.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 319.80 | \$ 290.49 | \$ (29.31) | -9.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 370.91 | \$ 336.27 | \$ (34.64) | -9.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 421.97 | \$ 382.04 | \$ (39.93) | -9.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 473.09 | \$ 427.82 | \$ (45.27) | -9.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 524.18 | \$ 473.58 | \$ (50.60) | -9.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 575.25 | \$ 519.33 | \$ (55.92) | -9.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 626.36 | \$ 565.10 | \$ (61.26) | -9.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 677.42 | \$ 610.87 | \$ (66.55) | -9.8% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 728.54 | \$ 656.66 | \$ (71.88) | -9.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 779.63 | \$ 702.43 | \$ (77.20) | -9.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 830.71 | \$ 748.16 | \$ (82.55) | -9.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 881.82 | \$ 793.93 | \$ (87.89) | -10.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 932.88 | \$ 839.70 | \$ (93.18) | -10.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 983.99 | \$ 885.47 | \$ (98.52) | -10.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,035.07 | \$ 931.23 | \$ (103.84) | -10.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,086.18 | \$ 977.00 | \$ (109.18) | -10.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,137.27 | \$ 1,022.76 | \$ (114.51) | -10.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,188.37 | \$ 1,068.57 | \$ (119.80) | -10.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,239.44 | \$ 1,114.30 | \$ (125.14) | -10.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 34.90 | \$ 2.03 | 6.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 65.81 | \$ 4.07 | 6.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 96.69 | \$ 3.59 | 3.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 127.61 | \$ 3.13 | 2.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 158.53 | \$ 2.69 | 1.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 189.44 | \$ 2.23 | 1.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 251.21 | \$ 1.29 | 0.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 312.79 | \$ 0.35 | 0.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 374.32 | \$ (0.60) | -0.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 435.91 | \$ (1.52) | -0.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 497.49 | \$ (2.42) | -0.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 559.07 | \$ (3.36) | -0.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 620.64 | \$ (4.30) | -0.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 682.19 | \$ (5.22) | -0.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 743.76 | \$ (6.17) | -0.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 805.34 | \$ (7.07) | -0.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 866.93 | \$ (8.00) | -0.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 928.51 | \$ (8.93) | -1.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 990.05 | \$ (9.88) | -1.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,051.62 | \$ (10.81) | -1.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,113.19 | \$ (11.72) | -1.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,174.77 | \$ (12.66) | -1.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,236.33 | \$ (13.59) | -1.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,297.91 | \$ (14.53) | -1.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,359.48 | \$ (15.46) | -1.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,421.09 | \$ (16.36) | -1.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,482.63 | \$ (17.30) | -1.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 34.90 | \$ 2.03 | 6.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 65.81 | \$ 4.07 | 6.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 96.69 | \$ 3.59 | 3.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 127.61 | \$ 3.13 | 2.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 158.53 | \$ 2.69 | 1.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 189.44 | \$ 2.23 | 1.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 251.21 | \$ 1.29 | 0.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 312.79 | \$ 0.35 | 0.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 374.32 | \$ (0.60) | -0.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 435.91 | \$ (1.52) | -0.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 497.49 | \$ (2.42) | -0.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 559.07 | \$ (3.36) | -0.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 620.64 | \$ (4.30) | -0.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 682.19 | \$ (5.22) | -0.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 743.76 | \$ (6.17) | -0.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 805.34 | \$ (7.07) | -0.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 866.93 | \$ (8.00) | -0.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 928.51 | \$ (8.93) | -1.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 990.05 | \$ (9.88) | -1.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,051.62 | \$ (10.81) | -1.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,113.19 | \$ (11.72) | -1.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,174.77 | \$ (12.66) | -1.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,236.33 | \$ (13.59) | -1.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,297.91 | \$ (14.53) | -1.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,359.48 | \$ (15.46) | -1.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,421.09 | \$ (16.36) | -1.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,482.63 | \$ (17.30) | -1.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 34.90 | \$ 2.03 | 6.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 65.81 | \$ 4.07 | 6.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 96.69 | \$ 3.59 | 3.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 127.61 | \$ 3.13 | 2.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 158.53 | \$ 2.69 | 1.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 189.44 | \$ 2.23 | 1.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 251.21 | \$ 1.29 | 0.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 312.79 | \$ 0.35 | 0.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 374.32 | \$ (0.60) | -0.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 435.91 | \$ (1.52) | -0.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 497.49 | \$ (2.42) | -0.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 559.07 | \$ (3.36) | -0.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 620.64 | \$ (4.30) | -0.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 682.19 | \$ (5.22) | -0.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 743.76 | \$ (6.17) | -0.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 805.34 | \$ (7.07) | -0.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 866.93 | \$ (8.00) | -0.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 928.51 | \$ (8.93) | -1.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 990.05 | \$ (9.88) | -1.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,051.62 | \$ (10.81) | -1.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,113.19 | \$ (11.72) | -1.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,174.77 | \$ (12.66) | -1.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,236.33 | \$ (13.59) | -1.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,297.91 | \$ (14.53) | -1.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,359.48 | \$ (15.46) | -1.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,421.09 | \$ (16.36) | -1.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,482.63 | \$ (17.30) | -1.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 164.35 | \$ (2.48) | -1.5% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 244.76 | \$ (19.34) | -7.3% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 324.74 | \$ (36.21) | -10.0% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 404.72 | \$ (53.07) | -11.6% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 484.71 | \$ (69.94) | -12.6% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 564.68 | \$ (86.79) | -13.3% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 17,995.13 | \$ (247.13) | -1.4% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 25,936.67 | \$ (1,933.54) | -6.9% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 33,878.19 | \$ (3,619.97) | -9.7% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 41,819.73 | \$ (5,306.38) | -11.3% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 49,761.24 | \$ (6,992.81) | -12.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 57,702.79 | \$ (8,679.22) | -13.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,585.71 | \$ (257.34) | -4.4% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 9,225.58 | \$ (548.24) | -5.6% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 12,865.47 | \$ (839.13) | -6.1% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 16,505.34 | \$ (1,130.03) | -6.4% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 20,145.22 | \$ (1,420.92) | -6.6% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 23,785.10 | \$ (1,711.82) | -6.7% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 54,423.12 | \$ (2,573.44) | -4.5% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 90,590.93 | \$ (5,482.38) | -5.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 126,298.08 | \$ (8,391.32) | -6.2% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 162,005.23 | \$ (11,300.27) | -6.5% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 197,712.38 | \$ (14,209.21) | -6.7% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 233,419.55 | \$ (17,118.14) | -6.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 9,733.10 | \$ 681.10 | 7.5% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 16,892.07 | \$ 764.50 | 4.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 24,051.05 | \$ 847.90 | 3.7% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 31,210.03 | \$ 931.30 | 3.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 38,368.99 | \$ 1,014.69 | 2.7% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 45,527.98 | \$ 1,098.10 | 2.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 95,396.07 | \$ 6,810.99 | 7.7% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 165,602.52 | \$ 7,644.98 | 4.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 235,808.98 | \$ 8,478.97 | 3.7% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 306,015.43 | \$ 9,312.95 | 3.1% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 376,221.90 | \$ 10,146.95 | 2.8% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 446,428.33 | \$ 10,980.93 | 2.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 25,950.18 | \$ (390.29) | -1.5% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 34,055.04 | \$ (2,214.59) | -6.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 42,159.90 | \$ (4,038.88) | -8.7% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 50,264.76 | \$ (5,863.18) | -10.4% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 58,138.61 | \$ (7,687.47) | -11.7% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 65,966.81 | \$ (9,511.76) | -12.6% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 254,923.62 | \$ (3,902.94) | -1.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 333,205.62 | \$ (22,145.88) | -6.2% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 411,487.62 | \$ (40,388.82) | -8.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 489,769.62 | \$ (58,631.76) | -10.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 568,051.63 | \$ (76,874.70) | -11.9% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 646,333.63 | \$ (95,117.64) | -12.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.34 | \$ (0.19) | -1.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 14.25 | \$ (0.40) | -2.7% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 15.06 | \$ (0.53) | -3.4% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 18.40 | \$ (0.92) | -4.8% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 20.24 | \$ (1.16) | -5.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 25.27 | \$ (1.78) | -6.6% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 27.66 | \$ (2.07) | -7.0% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.50 | \$ (0.19) | -2.5% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 9.41 | \$ (0.40) | -4.1% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 10.22 | \$ (0.53) | -4.9% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 13.56 | \$ (0.92) | -6.4% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 15.40 | \$ (1.16) | -7.0% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 20.43 | \$ (1.78) | -8.0% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 22.82 | \$ (2.07) | -8.3% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 11.48 | \$ (0.51) | -4.3% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 14.97 | \$ (0.76) | -4.8% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 20.77 | \$ (1.15) | -5.2% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 46.03 | \$ (2.80) | -5.7% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 15.83 | \$ (0.51) | -3.1% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 22.39 | \$ (0.51) | -2.2% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 26.69 | \$ (0.76) | -2.8% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 32.71 | \$ (1.15) | -3.4% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 32.96 | \$ (1.15) | -3.4% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 51.56 | \$ (2.32) | -4.3% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 59.86 | \$ (2.80) | -4.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.79 | \$ (0.30) | -2.3% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 14.62 | \$ (0.46) | -3.1% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 19.42 | \$ (0.77) | -3.8% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 24.84 | \$ (1.20) | -4.6% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.31 | \$ (0.30) | -1.7% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.35 | \$ (0.30) | -1.2% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 26.43 | \$ (0.46) | -1.7% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 31.07 | \$ (0.77) | -2.4% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 49.88 | \$ (1.55) | -3.0% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 36.30 | \$ (1.20) | -3.2% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 22.78 | \$ (0.30) | -1.3% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 34.81 | \$ (0.30) | -0.9% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.16 | \$ (0.46) | -1.8% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 36.83 | \$ (0.46) | -1.2% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 30.65 | \$ (0.77) | -2.5% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 42.48 | \$ (0.77) | -1.8% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 36.07 | \$ (1.20) | -3.2% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 48.71 | \$ (1.20) | -2.4% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.48 | \$ (0.20) | -11.9% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.96 | \$ (0.36) | -10.8% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 5.33 | \$ (0.54) | -9.2% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 7.96 | \$ (0.72) | -8.3% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 9.93 | \$ (0.92) | -8.5% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 13.42 | \$ (1.11) | -7.6% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 16.02 | \$ (1.29) | -7.5% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 20.59 | \$ (1.46) | -6.6% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 23.49 | \$ (1.64) | -6.5% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.83 | \$ (1.85) | -11.1% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 17.17 | \$ (2.04) | -10.6% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 19.81 | \$ (2.20) | -10.0% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 21.80 | \$ (2.39) | -9.9% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 25.28 | \$ (2.58) | -9.3% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 27.90 | \$ (2.75) | -9.0% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 32.42 | \$ (2.96) | -8.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.48 | \$ (0.20) | -11.9% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.96 | \$ (0.36) | -10.8% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 6.78 | \$ (0.54) | -7.4% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 11.34 | \$ (0.72) | -6.0% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 14.14 | \$ (0.92) | -6.1% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 21.01 | \$ (1.11) | -5.0% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 25.48 | \$ (1.29) | -4.8% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 35.17 | \$ (1.46) | -4.0% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 40.49 | \$ (1.64) | -3.9% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.83 | \$ (1.85) | -11.1% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 18.62 | \$ (2.04) | -9.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 23.19 | \$ (2.20) | -8.7% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 26.01 | \$ (2.39) | -8.4% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 32.87 | \$ (2.58) | -7.3% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 37.36 | \$ (2.75) | -6.9% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 47.00 | \$ (2.96) | -5.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 13.10 | \$ (1.25) | -8.7% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 26.28 | \$ (2.83) | -9.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 50.18 | \$ (6.83) | -12.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 15.44 | \$ (1.25) | -7.5% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.64 | \$ (0.75) | -4.6% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 19.24 | \$ (1.12) | -5.5% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 23.59 | \$ (1.89) | -7.4% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 31.51 | \$ (2.93) | -8.5% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 18.72 | \$ (0.75) | -3.9% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 24.64 | \$ (1.12) | -4.3% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 38.41 | \$ (1.58) | -4.0% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 30.33 | \$ (1.89) | -5.9% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 42.07 | \$ (1.89) | -4.3% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 35.83 | \$ (2.93) | -7.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 4.02 | \$ 0.02 | 0.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 8.03 | \$ - | 0.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 12.02 | \$ - | 0.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 16.02 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 20.04 | \$ (0.02) | -0.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 24.06 | \$ (0.03) | -0.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 173.96 | \$ 11.25 | 6.9% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 263.98 | \$ 8.11 | 3.2% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 353.57 | \$ 4.97 | 1.4% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 443.16 | \$ 1.84 | 0.4% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 532.76 | \$ (1.30) | -0.2% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 622.34 | \$ (4.43) | -0.7% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 18,956.13 | \$ 1,125.57 | 6.3% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 27,858.67 | \$ 811.86 | 3.0% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 36,761.19 | \$ 498.13 | 1.4% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 45,663.73 | \$ 184.42 | 0.4% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 54,566.24 | \$ (129.31) | -0.2% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 63,468.79 | \$ (443.02) | -0.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 6,049.56 | \$ 48.76 | 0.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 10,153.28 | \$ (286.04) | -2.7% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 14,257.02 | \$ (620.83) | -4.2% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 18,360.74 | \$ (955.63) | -4.9% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 22,464.47 | \$ (1,290.42) | -5.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 26,568.20 | \$ (1,625.22) | -5.8% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 59,061.62 | \$ 487.56 | 0.8% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 99,867.93 | \$ (2,860.38) | -2.8% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 140,213.58 | \$ (6,208.32) | -4.2% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 180,559.23 | \$ (9,556.27) | -5.0% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 220,904.88 | \$ (12,904.21) | -5.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 261,250.55 | \$ (16,252.14) | -5.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 10,634.70 | \$ 1,107.80 | 11.6% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 18,695.27 | \$ 1,105.90 | 6.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 26,755.85 | \$ 1,104.00 | 4.3% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 34,816.43 | \$ 1,102.10 | 3.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 42,876.99 | \$ 1,100.19 | 2.6% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 50,937.58 | \$ 1,098.30 | 2.2% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 104,412.07 | \$ 11,077.99 | 11.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 183,634.52 | \$ 11,058.98 | 6.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 262,856.98 | \$ 11,039.97 | 4.4% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 342,079.43 | \$ 11,020.95 | 3.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 421,301.90 | \$ 11,001.95 | 2.7% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 500,524.33 | \$ 10,982.93 | 2.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 27,751.38 | \$ (480.89) | -1.7% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 37,657.44 | \$ (2,475.79) | -6.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 47,563.50 | \$ (4,470.68) | -8.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 57,469.56 | \$ (6,465.58) | -10.1% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 67,144.61 | \$ (8,460.47) | -11.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 76,774.01 | \$ (10,455.36) | -12.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 272,935.62 | \$ (4,808.94) | -1.7% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 369,229.62 | \$ (24,757.88) | -6.3% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 465,523.62 | \$ (44,706.82) | -8.8% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 561,817.62 | \$ (64,655.76) | -10.3% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 658,111.63 | \$ (84,604.70) | -11.4% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 754,405.63 | \$ (104,553.64) | -12.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.57 | \$ 0.51 | 4.2% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 14.79 | \$ 1.25 | 9.2% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 15.74 | \$ 1.53 | 10.8% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 19.61 | \$ 2.78 | 16.5% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 21.75 | \$ 3.46 | 18.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 27.60 | \$ 5.35 | 24.0% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 30.37 | \$ 6.22 | 25.8% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 7.73 | \$ 0.51 | 7.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 9.95 | \$ 1.25 | 14.4% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 10.90 | \$ 1.53 | 16.3% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 14.77 | \$ 2.78 | 23.2% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 16.91 | \$ 3.46 | 25.7% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 22.76 | \$ 5.35 | 30.7% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 25.53 | \$ 6.22 | 32.2% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 12.14 | \$ 1.51 | 14.2% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 15.97 | \$ 2.30 | 16.8% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 3.50 | 18.6% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 49.69 | \$ 8.38 | 20.3% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 16.49 | \$ 1.51 | 10.1% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 23.05 | \$ 1.51 | 7.0% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 27.69 | \$ 2.30 | 9.1% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 34.23 | \$ 3.50 | 11.4% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 34.48 | \$ 3.50 | 11.3% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 54.60 | \$ 6.97 | 14.6% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 63.52 | \$ 8.38 | 15.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 0.93 | 7.6% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 1.37 | 9.9% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 2.32 | 12.8% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 3.59 | 15.7% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 0.93 | 5.5% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 0.93 | 3.9% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 27.03 | \$ 1.37 | 5.3% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 32.08 | \$ 2.32 | 7.8% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 51.90 | \$ 4.63 | 9.8% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 37.86 | \$ 3.59 | 10.5% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.18 | \$ 0.93 | 4.2% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.21 | \$ 0.93 | 2.7% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 1.37 | 5.6% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 37.43 | \$ 1.37 | 3.8% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 31.66 | \$ 2.32 | 7.9% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 43.49 | \$ 2.32 | 5.6% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 37.63 | \$ 3.59 | 10.5% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 50.27 | \$ 3.59 | 7.7% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.72 | \$ 0.54 | 45.8% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.44 | \$ 1.11 | 47.6% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 6.05 | \$ 1.66 | 37.8% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 8.92 | \$ 2.21 | 32.9% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 11.13 | \$ 2.75 | 32.8% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 14.86 | \$ 3.30 | 28.5% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 3.86 | 27.9% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 22.51 | \$ 4.43 | 24.5% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 4.98 | 24.1% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 17.23 | \$ 5.50 | 46.9% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 19.82 | \$ 6.06 | 44.0% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 22.69 | \$ 6.62 | 41.2% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 24.92 | \$ 7.17 | 40.4% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 28.65 | \$ 7.72 | 36.9% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 31.50 | \$ 8.27 | 35.6% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 36.26 | \$ 8.80 | 32.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.72 | \$ 0.54 | 45.8% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.44 | \$ 1.11 | 47.6% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 7.50 | \$ 1.66 | 28.4% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 12.30 | \$ 2.21 | 21.9% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 15.34 | \$ 2.75 | 21.8% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 22.45 | \$ 3.30 | 17.2% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 27.16 | \$ 3.86 | 16.6% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 37.09 | \$ 4.43 | 13.6% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 42.65 | \$ 4.98 | 13.2% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 17.23 | \$ 5.50 | 46.9% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 21.27 | \$ 6.06 | 39.8% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 26.07 | \$ 6.62 | 34.0% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 7.17 | 32.7% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 36.24 | \$ 7.72 | 27.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 40.96 | \$ 8.27 | 25.3% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 50.84 | \$ 8.80 | 20.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 0.79 | 6.1% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 27.80 | \$ 1.87 | 7.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 53.84 | \$ 4.46 | 9.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 16.10 | \$ 0.79 | 5.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 16.04 | \$ 0.50 | 3.2% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.84 | \$ 0.73 | 3.8% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 24.60 | \$ 1.23 | 5.3% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 33.07 | \$ 1.91 | 6.1% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 19.12 | \$ 0.50 | 2.7% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 25.24 | \$ 0.73 | 3.0% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 39.25 | \$ 1.03 | 2.7% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 31.34 | \$ 1.23 | 4.1% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 43.08 | \$ 1.23 | 2.9% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 37.39 | \$ 1.91 | 5.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 4.98 | \$ 0.03 | 0.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 9.95 | \$ 0.05 | 0.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 14.90 | \$ 0.06 | 0.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 19.86 | \$ 0.05 | 0.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 24.85 | \$ 0.10 | 0.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 29.83 | \$ 0.11 | 0.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 0.67 | 2.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 61.40 | \$ 0.33 | 0.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 92.00 | \$ 90.09 | \$ (1.91) | -2.1% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 122.93 | \$ 118.80 | \$ (4.13) | -3.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 153.86 | \$ 147.52 | \$ (6.34) | -4.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 184.80 | \$ 176.22 | \$ (8.58) | -4.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 246.65 | \$ 233.62 | \$ (13.03) | -5.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 308.31 | \$ 290.81 | \$ (17.50) | -5.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 369.95 | \$ 347.97 | \$ (21.98) | -5.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 431.58 | \$ 405.14 | \$ (26.44) | -6.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 493.20 | \$ 462.31 | \$ (30.89) | -6.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 554.84 | \$ 519.49 | \$ (35.35) | -6.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 616.49 | \$ 576.67 | \$ (39.82) | -6.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 678.12 | \$ 633.84 | \$ (44.28) | -6.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 739.74 | \$ 691.00 | \$ (48.74) | -6.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 801.37 | \$ 748.18 | \$ (53.19) | -6.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 863.02 | \$ 805.37 | \$ (57.65) | -6.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 924.68 | \$ 862.56 | \$ (62.12) | -6.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 986.30 | \$ 919.70 | \$ (66.60) | -6.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,047.94 | \$ 976.89 | \$ (71.05) | -6.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,109.57 | \$ 1,034.07 | \$ (75.50) | -6.8% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,171.20 | \$ 1,091.24 | \$ (79.96) | -6.8% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,232.84 | \$ 1,148.41 | \$ (84.43) | -6.8% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,294.48 | \$ 1,205.57 | \$ (88.91) | -6.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,356.11 | \$ 1,262.75 | \$ (93.36) | -6.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,417.76 | \$ 1,319.95 | \$ (97.81) | -6.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,479.38 | \$ 1,377.12 | \$ (102.26) | -6.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 0.67 | 2.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 61.40 | \$ 0.33 | 0.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.82 | \$ 80.91 | \$ (1.91) | -2.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 104.58 | \$ 100.45 | \$ (4.13) | -3.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 126.33 | \$ 119.99 | \$ (6.34) | -5.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 138.35 | \$ 129.77 | \$ (8.58) | -6.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 162.35 | \$ 149.32 | \$ (13.03) | -8.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 186.16 | \$ 168.66 | \$ (17.50) | -9.4% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 209.95 | \$ 187.97 | \$ (21.98) | -10.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 233.73 | \$ 207.29 | \$ (26.44) | -11.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 257.50 | \$ 226.61 | \$ (30.89) | -12.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 281.29 | \$ 245.94 | \$ (35.35) | -12.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 305.09 | \$ 265.27 | \$ (39.82) | -13.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 328.87 | \$ 284.59 | \$ (44.28) | -13.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 352.64 | \$ 303.90 | \$ (48.74) | -13.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 376.42 | \$ 323.23 | \$ (53.19) | -14.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 400.22 | \$ 342.57 | \$ (57.65) | -14.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 424.03 | \$ 361.91 | \$ (62.12) | -14.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 447.80 | \$ 381.20 | \$ (66.60) | -14.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 471.59 | \$ 400.54 | \$ (71.05) | -15.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 495.37 | \$ 419.87 | \$ (75.50) | -15.2% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 519.15 | \$ 439.19 | \$ (79.96) | -15.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 542.94 | \$ 458.51 | \$ (84.43) | -15.6% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 566.73 | \$ 477.82 | \$ (88.91) | -15.7% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 590.51 | \$ 497.15 | \$ (93.36) | -15.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 614.31 | \$ 516.50 | \$ (97.81) | -15.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 638.08 | \$ 535.82 | \$ (102.26) | -16.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 0.67 | 2.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 61.40 | \$ 0.33 | 0.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 87.57 | \$ 85.66 | \$ (1.91) | -2.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 114.08 | \$ 109.95 | \$ (4.13) | -3.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.58 | \$ 134.24 | \$ (6.34) | -4.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 167.10 | \$ 158.52 | \$ (8.58) | -5.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 220.10 | \$ 207.07 | \$ (13.03) | -5.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 272.91 | \$ 255.41 | \$ (17.50) | -6.4% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 325.70 | \$ 303.72 | \$ (21.98) | -6.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 378.48 | \$ 352.04 | \$ (26.44) | -7.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 431.25 | \$ 400.36 | \$ (30.89) | -7.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 484.04 | \$ 448.69 | \$ (35.35) | -7.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 536.84 | \$ 497.02 | \$ (39.82) | -7.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 589.62 | \$ 545.34 | \$ (44.28) | -7.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 642.39 | \$ 593.65 | \$ (48.74) | -7.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 695.17 | \$ 641.98 | \$ (53.19) | -7.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 747.97 | \$ 690.32 | \$ (57.65) | -7.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 800.78 | \$ 738.66 | \$ (62.12) | -7.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 853.55 | \$ 786.95 | \$ (66.60) | -7.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 906.34 | \$ 835.29 | \$ (71.05) | -7.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 959.12 | \$ 883.62 | \$ (75.50) | -7.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,011.90 | \$ 931.94 | \$ (79.96) | -7.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,064.69 | \$ 980.26 | \$ (84.43) | -7.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,117.48 | \$ 1,028.57 | \$ (88.91) | -8.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,170.26 | \$ 1,076.90 | \$ (93.36) | -8.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,223.06 | \$ 1,125.25 | \$ (97.81) | -8.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,275.83 | \$ 1,173.57 | \$ (102.26) | -8.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 35.09 | \$ 1.78 | 5.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 66.21 | \$ 3.55 | 5.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 97.30 | \$ 2.82 | 3.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 128.42 | \$ 2.11 | 1.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 159.53 | \$ 1.41 | 0.9% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 190.64 | \$ 0.68 | 0.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 252.85 | \$ (0.75) | -0.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 314.84 | \$ (2.21) | -0.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 376.80 | \$ (3.66) | -1.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 438.78 | \$ (5.09) | -1.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 500.76 | \$ (6.52) | -1.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 562.74 | \$ (7.96) | -1.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 624.73 | \$ (9.41) | -1.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 686.70 | \$ (10.85) | -1.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 748.66 | \$ (12.30) | -1.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 810.65 | \$ (13.73) | -1.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 872.64 | \$ (15.17) | -1.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 934.64 | \$ (16.62) | -1.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 996.59 | \$ (18.07) | -1.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,058.58 | \$ (19.49) | -1.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,120.56 | \$ (20.93) | -1.8% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,182.54 | \$ (22.37) | -1.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,244.51 | \$ (23.82) | -1.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,306.48 | \$ (25.28) | -1.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,368.47 | \$ (26.71) | -1.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,430.47 | \$ (28.14) | -1.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,492.45 | \$ (29.57) | -1.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 35.09 | \$ 1.78 | 5.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 66.21 | \$ 3.55 | 5.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 97.30 | \$ 2.82 | 3.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 128.42 | \$ 2.11 | 1.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 159.53 | \$ 1.41 | 0.9% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 190.64 | \$ 0.68 | 0.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 252.85 | \$ (0.75) | -0.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 314.84 | \$ (2.21) | -0.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 376.80 | \$ (3.66) | -1.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 438.78 | \$ (5.09) | -1.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 500.76 | \$ (6.52) | -1.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 562.74 | \$ (7.96) | -1.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 624.73 | \$ (9.41) | -1.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 686.70 | \$ (10.85) | -1.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 748.66 | \$ (12.30) | -1.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 810.65 | \$ (13.73) | -1.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 872.64 | \$ (15.17) | -1.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 934.64 | \$ (16.62) | -1.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 996.59 | \$ (18.07) | -1.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,058.58 | \$ (19.49) | -1.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,120.56 | \$ (20.93) | -1.8% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,182.54 | \$ (22.37) | -1.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,244.51 | \$ (23.82) | -1.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,306.48 | \$ (25.28) | -1.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,368.47 | \$ (26.71) | -1.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,430.47 | \$ (28.14) | -1.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,492.45 | \$ (29.57) | -1.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 35.09 | \$ 1.78 | 5.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 66.21 | \$ 3.55 | 5.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 97.30 | \$ 2.82 | 3.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 128.42 | \$ 2.11 | 1.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 159.53 | \$ 1.41 | 0.9% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 190.64 | \$ 0.68 | 0.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 252.85 | \$ (0.75) | -0.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 314.84 | \$ (2.21) | -0.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 376.80 | \$ (3.66) | -1.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 438.78 | \$ (5.09) | -1.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 500.76 | \$ (6.52) | -1.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 562.74 | \$ (7.96) | -1.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 624.73 | \$ (9.41) | -1.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 686.70 | \$ (10.85) | -1.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 748.66 | \$ (12.30) | -1.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 810.65 | \$ (13.73) | -1.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 872.64 | \$ (15.17) | -1.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 934.64 | \$ (16.62) | -1.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 996.59 | \$ (18.07) | -1.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,058.58 | \$ (19.49) | -1.8% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,120.56 | \$ (20.93) | -1.8% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,182.54 | \$ (22.37) | -1.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,244.51 | \$ (23.82) | -1.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,306.48 | \$ (25.28) | -1.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,368.47 | \$ (26.71) | -1.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,430.47 | \$ (28.14) | -1.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,492.45 | \$ (29.57) | -1.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 144.72 | \$ 1.18 | 0.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 224.02 | \$ (7.05) | -3.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 302.91 | \$ (15.28) | -4.8% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 381.76 | \$ (23.50) | -5.8% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 460.63 | \$ (31.72) | -6.4% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 539.48 | \$ (39.94) | -6.9% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 15,122.49 | \$ 117.24 | 0.8% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 22,952.70 | \$ (705.19) | -3.0% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 30,782.89 | \$ (1,527.65) | -4.7% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 38,613.09 | \$ (2,350.09) | -5.7% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 46,443.28 | \$ (3,172.55) | -6.4% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 54,273.50 | \$ (3,994.97) | -6.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 6,088.54 | \$ 194.49 | 3.3% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 9,684.25 | \$ (73.34) | -0.8% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 13,279.96 | \$ (341.15) | -2.5% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 16,875.68 | \$ (608.97) | -3.5% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 20,471.39 | \$ (876.79) | -4.1% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 24,067.11 | \$ (1,144.62) | -4.5% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 59,451.47 | \$ 1,944.81 | 3.4% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 95,245.12 | \$ (733.37) | -0.8% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 130,712.72 | \$ (3,411.56) | -2.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 166,180.31 | \$ (6,089.75) | -3.5% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 201,647.91 | \$ (8,767.94) | -4.2% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 237,115.52 | \$ (11,446.12) | -4.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 9,430.87 | \$ 581.40 | 6.6% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 16,423.41 | \$ 540.30 | 3.4% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 23,415.96 | \$ 499.20 | 2.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 30,408.50 | \$ 458.10 | 1.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 37,401.04 | \$ 416.99 | 1.1% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 44,393.60 | \$ 375.90 | 0.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 92,261.26 | \$ 5,813.99 | 6.7% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 161,207.60 | \$ 5,402.98 | 3.5% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 230,153.95 | \$ 4,991.97 | 2.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 299,100.29 | \$ 4,580.95 | 1.6% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 368,046.65 | \$ 4,169.95 | 1.1% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 436,992.98 | \$ 3,758.93 | 0.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 28,049.72 | \$ 722.31 | 2.6% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 37,155.71 | \$ (17.39) | 0.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 46,261.72 | \$ (757.08) | -1.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 55,367.71 | \$ (1,496.78) | -2.6% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 64,310.20 | \$ (2,236.47) | -3.4% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 73,220.38 | \$ (2,976.16) | -3.9% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 276,390.70 | \$ 7,223.06 | 2.7% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 365,492.48 | \$ (173.88) | 0.0% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 454,594.27 | \$ (7,570.82) | -1.6% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 543,696.05 | \$ (14,967.76) | -2.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 632,797.84 | \$ (22,364.70) | -3.4% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 721,899.62 | \$ (29,761.64) | -4.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 17.90 | \$ (0.07) | -0.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 19.52 | \$ (0.17) | -0.9% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 20.22 | \$ (0.21) | -1.0% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 23.07 | \$ (0.37) | -1.6% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 24.64 | \$ (0.46) | -1.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 28.91 | \$ (0.71) | -2.4% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 30.93 | \$ (0.83) | -2.6% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 7.98 | \$ (0.12) | -1.5% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 8.54 | \$ (0.21) | -2.4% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 10.51 | \$ (0.29) | -2.7% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 13.24 | \$ (0.46) | -3.4% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 20.20 | \$ (0.85) | -4.0% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 24.53 | \$ (1.12) | -4.4% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 15.80 | \$ (0.12) | -0.8% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 16.42 | \$ (0.21) | -1.3% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.33 | \$ (0.29) | -1.5% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 27.65 | \$ (0.61) | -2.2% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 21.48 | \$ (0.46) | -2.1% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 32.74 | \$ (0.93) | -2.8% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 29.96 | \$ (0.85) | -2.8% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 34.41 | \$ (1.12) | -3.2% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 59.75 | \$ (2.23) | -3.6% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 10.82 | \$ (0.12) | -1.1% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 11.91 | \$ (0.21) | -1.7% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 14.93 | \$ (0.29) | -1.9% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.20 | \$ (0.12) | -0.7% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.12 | \$ (0.21) | -1.1% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 23.73 | \$ (0.29) | -1.2% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 26.77 | \$ (0.46) | -1.7% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 51.90 | \$ (0.85) | -1.6% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 56.06 | \$ (1.12) | -2.0% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 79.71 | \$ (2.23) | -2.7% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 10.97 | \$ (0.21) | -1.9% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 13.17 | \$ (0.29) | -2.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 7.85 | \$ (0.09) | -1.1% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.18 | \$ (0.12) | -1.4% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 8.82 | \$ (0.18) | -2.0% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 10.38 | \$ (0.27) | -2.5% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 10.82 | \$ (0.30) | -2.7% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 13.71 | \$ (0.48) | -3.4% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 28.50 | \$ (1.21) | -4.1% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.64 | \$ (0.09) | -0.6% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.01 | \$ (0.12) | -0.7% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 17.69 | \$ (0.18) | -1.0% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 19.17 | \$ (0.27) | -1.4% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 19.62 | \$ (0.30) | -1.5% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 23.52 | \$ (0.48) | -2.0% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 37.47 | \$ (1.21) | -3.1% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 10.95 | \$ (0.09) | -0.8% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 11.62 | \$ (0.12) | -1.0% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.91 | \$ (0.18) | -1.4% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 17.94 | \$ (0.09) | -0.5% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 18.60 | \$ (0.12) | -0.6% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 22.11 | \$ (0.18) | -0.8% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 24.10 | \$ (0.26) | -1.1% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 21.77 | \$ (0.27) | -1.2% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 24.76 | \$ (0.30) | -1.2% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 26.87 | \$ (0.38) | -1.4% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 45.39 | \$ (0.48) | -1.0% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 57.90 | \$ (0.96) | -1.6% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 61.26 | \$ (1.21) | -1.9% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 11.51 | \$ (0.09) | -0.8% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 12.87 | \$ (0.12) | -0.9% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 13.66 | \$ (0.18) | -1.3% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 13.68 | \$ (0.27) | -1.9% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 16.24 | \$ (0.30) | -1.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.35 | \$ (0.07) | -4.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 2.67 | \$ (0.15) | -5.3% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 4.04 | \$ (0.21) | -4.9% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 5.38 | \$ (0.29) | -5.1% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 6.72 | \$ (0.36) | -5.1% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 8.04 | \$ (0.45) | -5.3% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 9.41 | \$ (0.51) | -5.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 10.76 | \$ (0.58) | -5.1% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 12.10 | \$ (0.65) | -5.1% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 13.43 | \$ (0.74) | -5.2% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 14.78 | \$ (0.81) | -5.2% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 16.13 | \$ (0.88) | -5.2% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 17.47 | \$ (0.95) | -5.2% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 18.80 | \$ (1.03) | -5.2% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 20.16 | \$ (1.10) | -5.2% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 21.47 | \$ (1.18) | -5.2% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 1.97 | \$ (0.07) | -3.4% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 3.90 | \$ (0.15) | -3.7% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 5.89 | \$ (0.21) | -3.4% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 7.85 | \$ (0.29) | -3.6% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 9.81 | \$ (0.36) | -3.5% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 11.74 | \$ (0.45) | -3.7% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 13.72 | \$ (0.51) | -3.6% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 15.69 | \$ (0.58) | -3.6% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 17.65 | \$ (0.65) | -3.6% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 19.59 | \$ (0.74) | -3.6% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 21.56 | \$ (0.81) | -3.6% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 23.53 | \$ (0.88) | -3.6% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 25.49 | \$ (0.95) | -3.6% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 27.43 | \$ (1.03) | -3.6% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 29.41 | \$ (1.10) | -3.6% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 31.34 | \$ (1.18) | -3.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 2.74 | \$ (0.07) | -2.5% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 5.44 | \$ (0.15) | -2.7% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 8.20 | \$ (0.21) | -2.5% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 10.93 | \$ (0.29) | -2.6% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 13.65 | \$ (0.36) | -2.6% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 16.36 | \$ (0.45) | -2.7% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ (0.51) | -2.6% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 21.84 | \$ (0.58) | -2.6% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 24.57 | \$ (0.65) | -2.6% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 27.29 | \$ (0.74) | -2.6% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 30.02 | \$ (0.81) | -2.6% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 32.76 | \$ (0.88) | -2.6% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 35.48 | \$ (0.95) | -2.6% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 38.20 | \$ (1.03) | -2.6% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 40.95 | \$ (1.10) | -2.6% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 43.64 | \$ (1.18) | -2.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 10.85 | \$ (2.42) | -18.2% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 18.35 | \$ (5.53) | -23.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 33.63 | \$ (13.32) | -28.4% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 15.25 | \$ (2.42) | -13.7% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 10.38 | \$ (1.47) | -12.4% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 16.77 | \$ (3.68) | -18.0% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 21.22 | \$ (5.71) | -21.2% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 15.41 | \$ (1.47) | -8.7% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 15.84 | \$ (2.55) | -13.9% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 18.24 | \$ (3.89) | -17.6% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 22.14 | \$ (6.02) | -21.4% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 25.62 | \$ (2.55) | -9.1% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 28.02 | \$ (3.89) | -12.2% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 31.92 | \$ (6.02) | -15.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 6.90 | \$ (0.06) | -0.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 13.80 | \$ (0.11) | -0.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 20.71 | \$ (0.16) | -0.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 27.58 | \$ (0.23) | -0.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 34.49 | \$ (0.29) | -0.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 41.40 | \$ (0.33) | -0.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 154.33 | \$ 5.09 | 3.4% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 243.24 | \$ 0.76 | 0.3% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 331.74 | \$ (3.55) | -1.1% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 420.20 | \$ (7.86) | -1.8% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 508.68 | \$ (12.17) | -2.3% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 597.14 | \$ (16.49) | -2.7% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 16,083.49 | \$ 508.14 | 3.3% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 24,874.70 | \$ 76.61 | 0.3% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 33,665.89 | \$ (354.95) | -1.0% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 42,457.09 | \$ (786.49) | -1.8% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 51,248.28 | \$ (1,218.05) | -2.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 60,039.50 | \$ (1,649.57) | -2.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 6,552.39 | \$ 590.59 | 9.9% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 10,611.95 | \$ 278.86 | 2.7% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 14,671.51 | \$ (32.85) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 18,731.08 | \$ (344.57) | -1.8% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 22,790.64 | \$ (656.29) | -2.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 26,850.21 | \$ (968.02) | -3.5% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 64,089.97 | \$ 5,905.81 | 10.2% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 104,522.12 | \$ 2,788.63 | 2.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 144,628.22 | \$ (328.56) | -0.2% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 184,734.31 | \$ (3,445.75) | -1.8% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 224,840.41 | \$ (6,562.94) | -2.8% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 264,946.52 | \$ (9,680.12) | -3.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 10,332.47 | \$ 947.10 | 10.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 18,226.61 | \$ 820.70 | 4.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 26,120.76 | \$ 694.30 | 2.7% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 34,014.90 | \$ 567.90 | 1.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 41,909.04 | \$ 441.49 | 1.1% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 49,803.20 | \$ 315.10 | 0.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 101,277.26 | \$ 9,470.99 | 10.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 179,239.60 | \$ 8,206.98 | 4.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 257,201.95 | \$ 6,942.97 | 2.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 335,164.29 | \$ 5,678.95 | 1.7% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 413,126.65 | \$ 4,414.95 | 1.1% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 491,088.98 | \$ 3,150.93 | 0.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 29,850.92 | \$ 969.71 | 3.4% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 40,758.11 | \$ 59.41 | 0.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 51,665.32 | \$ (850.88) | -1.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 62,572.51 | \$ (1,761.18) | -2.7% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 73,316.20 | \$ (2,671.47) | -3.5% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 84,027.58 | \$ (3,581.76) | -4.1% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 294,402.70 | \$ 9,697.06 | 3.4% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 401,516.48 | \$ 594.12 | 0.1% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 508,630.27 | \$ (8,508.82) | -1.6% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 615,744.05 | \$ (17,611.76) | -2.8% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 722,857.84 | \$ (26,714.70) | -3.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 829,971.62 | \$ (35,817.64) | -4.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 0.21 | 1.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 0.51 | 2.6% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 20.90 | \$ 0.64 | 3.2% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 24.28 | \$ 1.15 | 5.0% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 1.44 | 5.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 31.24 | \$ 2.22 | 7.6% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 2.57 | 8.3% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 8.39 | \$ 0.40 | 5.0% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 9.20 | \$ 0.62 | 7.2% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 11.51 | \$ 0.97 | 9.2% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 14.76 | \$ 1.45 | 10.9% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 22.96 | \$ 2.62 | 12.9% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 3.47 | 14.0% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.21 | \$ 0.40 | 2.5% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 17.08 | \$ 0.62 | 3.8% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 0.97 | 5.0% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 29.64 | \$ 1.89 | 6.8% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 23.00 | \$ 1.45 | 6.7% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 35.78 | \$ 2.88 | 8.8% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 32.72 | \$ 2.62 | 8.7% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 38.07 | \$ 3.47 | 10.0% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 67.05 | \$ 6.95 | 11.6% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.23 | \$ 0.40 | 3.7% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 12.57 | \$ 0.62 | 5.2% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 15.93 | \$ 0.97 | 6.5% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 0.40 | 2.2% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 19.78 | \$ 0.62 | 3.2% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 0.97 | 4.1% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 28.29 | \$ 1.45 | 5.4% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 54.66 | \$ 2.62 | 5.0% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 59.72 | \$ 3.47 | 6.2% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 87.01 | \$ 6.95 | 8.7% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 0.62 | 5.6% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 0.97 | 7.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.13 | \$ 0.27 | 3.4% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 0.39 | 4.8% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 9.42 | \$ 0.58 | 6.6% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 11.23 | \$ 0.80 | 7.7% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 11.83 | \$ 0.97 | 8.9% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 15.27 | \$ 1.49 | 10.8% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 32.44 | \$ 3.74 | 13.0% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 0.27 | 1.7% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 16.41 | \$ 0.39 | 2.4% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 0.58 | 3.3% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 20.02 | \$ 0.80 | 4.2% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 20.63 | \$ 0.97 | 4.9% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 25.08 | \$ 1.49 | 6.3% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 41.41 | \$ 3.74 | 9.9% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.23 | \$ 0.27 | 2.5% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 0.39 | 3.4% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 0.58 | 4.5% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.22 | \$ 0.27 | 1.5% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 19.00 | \$ 0.39 | 2.1% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 22.71 | \$ 0.58 | 2.6% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 24.94 | \$ 0.80 | 3.3% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 22.62 | \$ 0.80 | 3.7% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 25.77 | \$ 0.97 | 3.9% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 28.10 | \$ 1.16 | 4.3% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 1.49 | 3.3% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 61.04 | \$ 2.98 | 5.1% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 65.20 | \$ 3.74 | 6.1% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 11.79 | \$ 0.27 | 2.3% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 0.39 | 3.0% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 14.26 | \$ 0.58 | 4.2% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 0.80 | 5.8% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 17.25 | \$ 0.97 | 6.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.59 | \$ 0.23 | 16.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 3.15 | \$ 0.45 | 16.7% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 4.76 | \$ 0.69 | 17.0% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 6.34 | \$ 0.91 | 16.8% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 7.92 | \$ 1.14 | 16.8% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 9.48 | \$ 1.36 | 16.7% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 11.09 | \$ 1.60 | 16.9% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 12.68 | \$ 1.84 | 17.0% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 14.26 | \$ 2.07 | 17.0% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 15.83 | \$ 2.28 | 16.8% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 17.43 | \$ 2.52 | 16.9% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 2.74 | 16.8% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 20.59 | \$ 2.97 | 16.9% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 22.17 | \$ 3.21 | 16.9% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 3.42 | 16.8% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 25.31 | \$ 3.64 | 16.8% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.21 | \$ 0.23 | 11.6% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 4.38 | \$ 0.45 | 11.5% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 6.61 | \$ 0.69 | 11.7% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 0.91 | 11.5% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 1.14 | 11.6% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 13.18 | \$ 1.36 | 11.5% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 15.40 | \$ 1.60 | 11.6% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 1.84 | 11.7% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 2.07 | 11.7% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 21.99 | \$ 2.28 | 11.6% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 24.21 | \$ 2.52 | 11.6% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 26.41 | \$ 2.74 | 11.6% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 28.61 | \$ 2.97 | 11.6% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 30.80 | \$ 3.21 | 11.6% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 33.01 | \$ 3.42 | 11.6% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 35.18 | \$ 3.64 | 11.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 2.98 | \$ 0.23 | 8.4% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 0.45 | 8.2% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 8.92 | \$ 0.69 | 8.4% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 11.89 | \$ 0.91 | 8.3% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 1.14 | 8.3% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 17.80 | \$ 1.36 | 8.3% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 20.79 | \$ 1.60 | 8.3% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 1.84 | 8.4% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 26.73 | \$ 2.07 | 8.4% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 29.69 | \$ 2.28 | 8.3% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 32.67 | \$ 2.52 | 8.4% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 35.64 | \$ 2.74 | 8.3% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 38.60 | \$ 2.97 | 8.3% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 41.57 | \$ 3.21 | 8.4% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 3.42 | 8.3% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 3.64 | 8.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 11.51 | \$ (0.85) | -6.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 19.87 | \$ (1.91) | -8.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 37.29 | \$ (4.62) | -11.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 15.91 | \$ (0.85) | -5.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 10.78 | \$ (0.51) | -4.5% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 17.78 | \$ (1.27) | -6.7% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 22.78 | \$ (1.98) | -8.0% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 15.81 | \$ (0.51) | -3.1% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 16.54 | \$ (0.88) | -5.1% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 19.30 | \$ (1.36) | -6.6% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 23.79 | \$ (2.09) | -8.1% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 26.32 | \$ (0.88) | -3.2% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 29.08 | \$ (1.36) | -4.5% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 33.57 | \$ (2.09) | -5.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 0.16 | 2.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 0.34 | 2.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 0.51 | 2.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 0.66 | 2.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 39.30 | \$ 0.84 | 2.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 47.17 | \$ 1.01 | 2.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 33.60 | \$ 0.45 | 1.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 63.22 | \$ 0.88 | 1.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 91.47 | \$ 92.79 | \$ 1.32 | 1.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 120.64 | \$ 122.40 | \$ 1.76 | 1.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 149.80 | \$ 152.03 | \$ 2.23 | 1.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 178.98 | \$ 181.63 | \$ 2.65 | 1.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 237.28 | \$ 240.81 | \$ 3.53 | 1.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 295.39 | \$ 299.80 | \$ 4.41 | 1.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 353.48 | \$ 358.77 | \$ 5.29 | 1.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 411.57 | \$ 417.74 | \$ 6.17 | 1.5% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 469.65 | \$ 476.71 | \$ 7.06 | 1.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 527.75 | \$ 535.69 | \$ 7.94 | 1.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 585.85 | \$ 594.68 | \$ 8.83 | 1.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 643.95 | \$ 653.68 | \$ 9.73 | 1.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 702.03 | \$ 712.64 | \$ 10.61 | 1.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 760.12 | \$ 771.62 | \$ 11.50 | 1.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 818.21 | \$ 830.59 | \$ 12.38 | 1.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 876.32 | \$ 889.58 | \$ 13.26 | 1.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 934.41 | \$ 948.55 | \$ 14.14 | 1.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 992.50 | \$ 1,007.52 | \$ 15.02 | 1.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,050.59 | \$ 1,066.50 | \$ 15.91 | 1.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,108.68 | \$ 1,125.47 | \$ 16.79 | 1.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,166.78 | \$ 1,184.45 | \$ 17.67 | 1.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,224.89 | \$ 1,243.43 | \$ 18.54 | 1.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,282.96 | \$ 1,302.39 | \$ 19.43 | 1.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,341.08 | \$ 1,361.40 | \$ 20.32 | 1.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,399.14 | \$ 1,420.34 | \$ 21.20 | 1.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 33.60 | \$ 0.45 | 1.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 63.22 | \$ 0.88 | 1.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.32 | \$ 83.64 | \$ 1.32 | 1.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 102.34 | \$ 104.10 | \$ 1.76 | 1.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 122.35 | \$ 124.58 | \$ 2.23 | 1.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 142.38 | \$ 145.03 | \$ 2.65 | 1.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 182.38 | \$ 185.91 | \$ 3.53 | 1.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 213.19 | \$ 217.60 | \$ 4.41 | 2.1% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 243.98 | \$ 249.27 | \$ 5.29 | 2.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 274.77 | \$ 280.94 | \$ 6.17 | 2.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 305.55 | \$ 312.61 | \$ 7.06 | 2.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 336.35 | \$ 344.29 | \$ 7.94 | 2.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 367.15 | \$ 375.98 | \$ 8.83 | 2.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 397.95 | \$ 407.68 | \$ 9.73 | 2.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 428.73 | \$ 439.34 | \$ 10.61 | 2.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 459.52 | \$ 471.02 | \$ 11.50 | 2.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 490.31 | \$ 502.69 | \$ 12.38 | 2.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 521.12 | \$ 534.38 | \$ 13.26 | 2.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 551.91 | \$ 566.05 | \$ 14.14 | 2.6% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 582.70 | \$ 597.72 | \$ 15.02 | 2.6% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 613.49 | \$ 629.40 | \$ 15.91 | 2.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 644.28 | \$ 661.07 | \$ 16.79 | 2.6% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 675.08 | \$ 692.75 | \$ 17.67 | 2.6% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 705.89 | \$ 724.43 | \$ 18.54 | 2.6% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 736.66 | \$ 756.09 | \$ 19.43 | 2.6% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 767.48 | \$ 787.80 | \$ 20.32 | 2.6% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 798.24 | \$ 819.44 | \$ 21.20 | 2.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating Apartment (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 25.40 | \$ 25.85 | \$ 0.45 | 1.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 46.84 | \$ 47.72 | \$ 0.88 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 59.07 | \$ 60.39 | \$ 1.32 | 2.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 71.34 | \$ 73.10 | \$ 1.76 | 2.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 83.60 | \$ 85.83 | \$ 2.23 | 2.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 95.88 | \$ 98.53 | \$ 2.65 | 2.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 120.38 | \$ 123.91 | \$ 3.53 | 2.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 160.19 | \$ 164.60 | \$ 4.41 | 2.8% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 199.98 | \$ 205.27 | \$ 5.29 | 2.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 239.77 | \$ 245.94 | \$ 6.17 | 2.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 279.55 | \$ 286.61 | \$ 7.06 | 2.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 319.35 | \$ 327.29 | \$ 7.94 | 2.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 359.15 | \$ 367.98 | \$ 8.83 | 2.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 398.95 | \$ 408.68 | \$ 9.73 | 2.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 438.73 | \$ 449.34 | \$ 10.61 | 2.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 478.52 | \$ 490.02 | \$ 11.50 | 2.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 518.31 | \$ 530.69 | \$ 12.38 | 2.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 558.12 | \$ 571.38 | \$ 13.26 | 2.4% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 597.91 | \$ 612.05 | \$ 14.14 | 2.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 637.70 | \$ 652.72 | \$ 15.02 | 2.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 677.49 | \$ 693.40 | \$ 15.91 | 2.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 717.28 | \$ 734.07 | \$ 16.79 | 2.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 757.08 | \$ 774.75 | \$ 17.67 | 2.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 796.89 | \$ 815.43 | \$ 18.54 | 2.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 836.66 | \$ 856.09 | \$ 19.43 | 2.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 876.48 | \$ 896.80 | \$ 20.32 | 2.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 916.24 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 21.20 | 2.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 33.60 | \$ 0.45 | 1.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 63.22 | \$ 0.88 | 1.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 85.82 | \$ 87.14 | \$ 1.32 | 1.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 109.34 | \$ 111.10 | \$ 1.76 | 1.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 132.85 | \$ 135.08 | \$ 2.23 | 1.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 156.38 | \$ 159.03 | \$ 2.65 | 1.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 203.38 | \$ 206.91 | \$ 3.53 | 1.7% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 250.19 | \$ 254.60 | \$ 4.41 | 1.8% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 296.98 | \$ 302.27 | \$ 5.29 | 1.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 343.77 | \$ 349.94 | \$ 6.17 | 1.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 390.55 | \$ 397.61 | \$ 7.06 | 1.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 437.35 | \$ 445.29 | \$ 7.94 | 1.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 484.15 | \$ 492.98 | \$ 8.83 | 1.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 530.95 | \$ 540.68 | \$ 9.73 | 1.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 577.73 | \$ 588.34 | \$ 10.61 | 1.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 624.52 | \$ 636.02 | \$ 11.50 | 1.8% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 671.31 | \$ 683.69 | \$ 12.38 | 1.8% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 718.12 | \$ 731.38 | \$ 13.26 | 1.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 764.91 | \$ 779.05 | \$ 14.14 | 1.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 811.70 | \$ 826.72 | \$ 15.02 | 1.9% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 858.49 | \$ 874.40 | \$ 15.91 | 1.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 905.28 | \$ 922.07 | \$ 16.79 | 1.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 952.08 | \$ 969.75 | \$ 17.67 | 1.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 998.89 | \$ 1,017.43 | \$ 18.54 | 1.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,045.66 | \$ 1,065.09 | \$ 19.43 | 1.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,092.48 | \$ 1,112.80 | \$ 20.32 | 1.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,139.24 | \$ 1,160.44 | \$ 21.20 | 1.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 36.00 | \$ 1.86 | 5.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 68.03 | \$ 3.70 | 5.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 100.00 | \$ 3.05 | 3.1% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 132.02 | \$ 2.40 | 1.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 164.04 | \$ 1.78 | 1.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 196.05 | \$ 1.11 | 0.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 260.04 | \$ (0.19) | -0.1% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 323.83 | \$ (1.50) | -0.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 387.60 | \$ (2.80) | -0.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 451.38 | \$ (4.09) | -0.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 515.16 | \$ (5.38) | -1.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 578.94 | \$ (6.68) | -1.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 642.74 | \$ (7.97) | -1.2% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 706.54 | \$ (9.25) | -1.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 770.30 | \$ (10.56) | -1.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 834.09 | \$ (11.85) | -1.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 897.86 | \$ (13.15) | -1.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 961.66 | \$ (14.45) | -1.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,025.44 | \$ (15.75) | -1.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,089.21 | \$ (17.04) | -1.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,152.99 | \$ (18.34) | -1.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,216.77 | \$ (19.64) | -1.6% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,280.55 | \$ (20.94) | -1.6% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,344.34 | \$ (22.25) | -1.6% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,408.11 | \$ (23.54) | -1.6% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,471.92 | \$ (24.83) | -1.7% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,535.67 | \$ (26.13) | -1.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 36.00 | \$ 1.86 | 5.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 68.03 | \$ 3.70 | 5.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 100.00 | \$ 3.05 | 3.1% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 132.02 | \$ 2.40 | 1.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 164.04 | \$ 1.78 | 1.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 196.05 | \$ 1.11 | 0.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 260.04 | \$ (0.19) | -0.1% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 323.83 | \$ (1.50) | -0.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 387.60 | \$ (2.80) | -0.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 451.38 | \$ (4.09) | -0.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 515.16 | \$ (5.38) | -1.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 578.94 | \$ (6.68) | -1.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 642.74 | \$ (7.97) | -1.2% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 706.54 | \$ (9.25) | -1.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 770.30 | \$ (10.56) | -1.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 834.09 | \$ (11.85) | -1.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 897.86 | \$ (13.15) | -1.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 961.66 | \$ (14.45) | -1.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,025.44 | \$ (15.75) | -1.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,089.21 | \$ (17.04) | -1.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,152.99 | \$ (18.34) | -1.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,216.77 | \$ (19.64) | -1.6% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,280.55 | \$ (20.94) | -1.6% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,344.34 | \$ (22.25) | -1.6% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,408.11 | \$ (23.54) | -1.6% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,471.92 | \$ (24.83) | -1.7% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,535.67 | \$ (26.13) | -1.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 36.00 | \$ 1.86 | 5.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 68.03 | \$ 3.70 | 5.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 100.00 | \$ 3.05 | 3.1% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 132.02 | \$ 2.40 | 1.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 164.04 | \$ 1.78 | 1.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 196.05 | \$ 1.11 | 0.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 260.04 | \$ (0.19) | -0.1% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 323.83 | \$ (1.50) | -0.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 387.60 | \$ (2.80) | -0.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 451.38 | \$ (4.09) | -0.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 515.16 | \$ (5.38) | -1.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 578.94 | \$ (6.68) | -1.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 642.74 | \$ (7.97) | -1.2% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 706.54 | \$ (9.25) | -1.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 770.30 | \$ (10.56) | -1.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 834.09 | \$ (11.85) | -1.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 897.86 | \$ (13.15) | -1.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 961.66 | \$ (14.45) | -1.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,025.44 | \$ (15.75) | -1.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,089.21 | \$ (17.04) | -1.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,152.99 | \$ (18.34) | -1.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,216.77 | \$ (19.64) | -1.6% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,280.55 | \$ (20.94) | -1.6% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,344.34 | \$ (22.25) | -1.6% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,408.11 | \$ (23.54) | -1.6% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,471.92 | \$ (24.83) | -1.7% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,535.67 | \$ (26.13) | -1.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 151.63 | \$ (0.12) | -0.1% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 231.07 | \$ (3.21) | -1.4% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 310.06 | \$ (6.30) | -2.0% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 389.05 | \$ (9.38) | -2.4% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 468.04 | \$ (12.48) | -2.6% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 547.03 | \$ (15.56) | -2.8% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 17,268.87 | \$ (12.23) | -0.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 25,111.65 | \$ (321.04) | -1.3% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 32,954.41 | \$ (629.87) | -1.9% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 40,797.19 | \$ (938.68) | -2.2% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 48,639.95 | \$ (1,247.51) | -2.5% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 56,482.73 | \$ (1,556.32) | -2.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,545.08 | \$ 327.16 | 6.3% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 9,250.92 | \$ 157.46 | 1.7% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 12,956.78 | \$ (12.23) | -0.1% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 16,662.62 | \$ (181.93) | -1.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 20,368.47 | \$ (351.62) | -1.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 24,074.32 | \$ (521.32) | -2.1% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 54,016.83 | \$ 3,271.56 | 6.4% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 90,794.50 | \$ 1,574.62 | 1.8% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 127,012.16 | \$ (122.32) | -0.1% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 163,229.80 | \$ (1,819.27) | -1.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 199,447.46 | \$ (3,516.21) | -1.7% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 235,665.12 | \$ (5,213.14) | -2.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 8,706.94 | \$ 871.30 | 11.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 15,212.55 | \$ 411.40 | 2.8% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 21,718.17 | \$ (48.50) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 28,223.79 | \$ (508.40) | -1.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 34,729.40 | \$ (968.31) | -2.7% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 41,235.02 | \$ (1,428.20) | -3.3% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 84,904.64 | \$ 8,712.99 | 11.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 148,279.09 | \$ 4,113.98 | 2.9% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 211,653.55 | \$ (485.03) | -0.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 275,028.00 | \$ (5,084.05) | -1.8% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 338,402.47 | \$ (9,683.05) | -2.8% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 401,776.91 | \$ (14,282.07) | -3.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 27,706.66 | \$ 1,762.42 | 6.8% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 36,347.00 | \$ 1,050.83 | 3.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 44,987.34 | \$ 339.25 | 0.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 53,627.68 | \$ (372.34) | -0.7% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 61,987.18 | \$ (1,083.92) | -1.7% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 70,291.18 | \$ (1,795.50) | -2.5% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 272,140.19 | \$ 17,624.15 | 6.9% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 355,180.19 | \$ 10,508.31 | 3.0% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 438,220.20 | \$ 3,392.46 | 0.8% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 521,260.20 | \$ (3,723.39) | -0.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 604,300.21 | \$ (10,839.24) | -1.8% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 687,340.21 | \$ (17,955.08) | -2.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.02 | \$ (0.02) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 13.45 | \$ (0.06) | -0.4% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 14.07 | \$ (0.08) | -0.6% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 16.56 | \$ (0.12) | -0.7% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 17.94 | \$ (0.16) | -0.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 21.70 | \$ (0.25) | -1.1% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 23.46 | \$ (0.29) | -1.2% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.00 | \$ (0.02) | -0.2% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 14.43 | \$ (0.06) | -0.4% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 15.05 | \$ (0.08) | -0.5% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 17.54 | \$ (0.12) | -0.7% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 18.92 | \$ (0.16) | -0.8% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 22.68 | \$ (0.25) | -1.1% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 24.44 | \$ (0.29) | -1.2% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.20 | \$ (0.02) | -0.1% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 20.63 | \$ (0.06) | -0.3% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 21.25 | \$ (0.08) | -0.4% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 23.74 | \$ (0.12) | -0.5% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 25.12 | \$ (0.16) | -0.6% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 28.88 | \$ (0.25) | -0.9% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 30.64 | \$ (0.29) | -0.9% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 34.39 | \$ (0.06) | -0.2% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 37.22 | \$ (0.12) | -0.3% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 38.48 | \$ (0.13) | -0.3% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 43.43 | \$ (0.26) | -0.6% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 46.20 | \$ (0.32) | -0.7% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 53.75 | \$ (0.49) | -0.9% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 57.29 | \$ (0.57) | -1.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 18.80 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 20.97 | \$ (0.10) | -0.5% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 22.79 | \$ (0.12) | -0.5% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 28.53 | \$ (0.25) | -0.9% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 17.06 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.23 | \$ (0.10) | -0.5% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 21.05 | \$ (0.12) | -0.6% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 26.79 | \$ (0.25) | -0.9% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.56 | \$ (0.09) | -0.4% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 28.91 | \$ (0.19) | -0.7% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 32.57 | \$ (0.27) | -0.8% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 44.01 | \$ (0.54) | -1.2% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 9.04 | \$ (0.07) | -0.8% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 11.17 | \$ (0.11) | -1.0% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 15.28 | \$ (0.15) | -1.0% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 26.15 | \$ (0.29) | -1.1% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 32.73 | \$ (0.39) | -1.2% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 11.32 | \$ (0.07) | -0.6% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 13.29 | \$ (0.11) | -0.8% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 17.98 | \$ (0.15) | -0.8% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 29.06 | \$ (0.29) | -1.0% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 35.70 | \$ (0.39) | -1.1% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.04 | \$ (0.07) | -0.5% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 17.13 | \$ (0.11) | -0.6% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 21.61 | \$ (0.15) | -0.7% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 30.92 | \$ (0.29) | -0.9% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 37.34 | \$ (0.39) | -1.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 16.29 | \$ (0.14) | -0.9% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 28.57 | \$ (0.33) | -1.1% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 31.23 | \$ (0.33) | -1.0% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 26.76 | \$ (0.20) | -0.7% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 34.50 | \$ (0.33) | -0.9% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 11.02 | \$ (0.04) | -0.4% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 13.12 | \$ (0.07) | -0.5% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 17.12 | \$ (0.09) | -0.5% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 15.03 | \$ (0.11) | -0.7% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 20.84 | \$ (0.16) | -0.8% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 12.87 | \$ (0.04) | -0.3% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 14.44 | \$ (0.07) | -0.5% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.24 | \$ (0.09) | -0.5% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.00 | \$ (0.11) | -0.6% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 24.27 | \$ (0.16) | -0.7% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 16.62 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 27.92 | \$ (0.04) | -0.1% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.45 | \$ (0.07) | -0.5% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.19 | \$ (0.09) | -0.4% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 20.70 | \$ (0.11) | -0.5% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 35.72 | \$ (0.11) | -0.3% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 25.00 | \$ (0.16) | -0.6% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 46.65 | \$ (0.03) | -0.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) | Level of Usage (kW/H) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 21.59 | \$ (0.09) | -0.4% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 24.37 | \$ (0.12) | -0.5% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 30.24 | \$ (0.21) | -0.7% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 22.48 | \$ (0.09) | -0.4% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 24.81 | \$ (0.12) | -0.5% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 31.65 | \$ (0.21) | -0.7% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 26.72 | \$ (0.09) | -0.3% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 31.49 | \$ (0.12) | -0.4% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 37.90 | \$ (0.21) | -0.6% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 37.54 | \$ (0.34) | -0.9% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.11 | \$ (0.03) | -2.6% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.20 | \$ (0.05) | -2.2% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 4.44 | \$ (0.07) | -1.6% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 7.04 | \$ (0.10) | -1.4% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 8.79 | \$ (0.12) | -1.3% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 12.50 | \$ (0.16) | -1.3% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 15.04 | \$ (0.18) | -1.2% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 20.11 | \$ (0.19) | -0.9% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.05 | \$ (0.23) | -1.0% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 11.09 | \$ (0.25) | -2.2% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 13.29 | \$ (0.29) | -2.1% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 15.94 | \$ (0.29) | -1.8% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 17.66 | \$ (0.33) | -1.8% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 21.38 | \$ (0.35) | -1.6% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 23.93 | \$ (0.38) | -1.6% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 28.98 | \$ (0.41) | -1.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 10.07 | \$ (1.04) | -9.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 26.41 | \$ (2.35) | -8.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 45.70 | \$ (5.68) | -11.1% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 16.66 | \$ (1.04) | -5.9% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 13.82 | \$ (1.32) | -8.7% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 24.81 | \$ (2.44) | -9.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 8.83 | \$ 0.02 | 0.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 0.03 | 0.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 26.50 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 35.30 | \$ 0.02 | 0.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 44.14 | \$ 0.04 | 0.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 52.96 | \$ 0.06 | 0.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 161.24 | \$ 0.24 | 0.1% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 250.29 | \$ (2.49) | -1.0% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 338.89 | \$ (5.21) | -1.5% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 427.49 | \$ (7.93) | -1.8% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 516.09 | \$ (10.66) | -2.0% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 604.69 | \$ (13.39) | -2.2% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 18,229.87 | \$ 23.97 | 0.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 27,033.65 | \$ (248.64) | -0.9% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 35,837.41 | \$ (521.27) | -1.4% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 44,641.19 | \$ (793.88) | -1.7% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 53,444.95 | \$ (1,066.51) | -2.0% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 62,248.73 | \$ (1,339.12) | -2.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 6,008.93 | \$ 360.76 | 6.4% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 10,178.62 | \$ 147.16 | 1.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 14,348.33 | \$ (66.43) | -0.5% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 18,518.02 | \$ (280.03) | -1.5% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 22,687.72 | \$ (493.62) | -2.1% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 26,857.42 | \$ (707.22) | -2.6% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 58,655.33 | \$ 3,607.56 | 6.6% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 100,071.50 | \$ 1,471.62 | 1.5% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 140,927.66 | \$ (664.32) | -0.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 181,783.80 | \$ (2,800.27) | -1.5% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 222,639.96 | \$ (4,936.21) | -2.2% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 263,496.12 | \$ (7,072.14) | -2.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 9,608.54 | \$ 835.00 | 9.5% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 17,015.75 | \$ 289.80 | 1.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 24,422.97 | \$ (255.40) | -1.0% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 31,830.19 | \$ (800.60) | -2.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 39,237.40 | \$ (1,345.81) | -3.3% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 46,644.62 | \$ (1,891.00) | -3.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 93,920.64 | \$ 8,349.99 | 9.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 166,311.09 | \$ 2,897.98 | 1.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 238,701.55 | \$ (2,554.03) | -1.1% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 311,092.00 | \$ (8,006.05) | -2.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 383,482.47 | \$ (13,458.05) | -3.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 455,872.91 | \$ (18,910.07) | -4.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 29,507.86 | \$ 1,617.82 | 5.8% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 39,949.40 | \$ 735.63 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 50,390.94 | \$ (146.55) | -0.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 60,832.48 | \$ (1,028.74) | -1.7% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 70,993.18 | \$ (1,910.92) | -2.6% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 81,098.38 | \$ (2,793.10) | -3.3% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 290,152.19 | \$ 16,178.15 | 5.9% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 391,204.19 | \$ 7,356.31 | 1.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 492,256.20 | \$ (1,465.54) | -0.3% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 593,308.20 | \$ (10,287.39) | -1.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 694,360.21 | \$ (19,109.24) | -2.7% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 795,412.21 | \$ (27,931.08) | -3.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.25 | \$ 0.07 | 0.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 13.99 | \$ 0.17 | 1.2% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 14.75 | \$ 0.21 | 1.4% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 17.77 | \$ 0.38 | 2.2% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 0.48 | 2.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 24.03 | \$ 0.74 | 3.2% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 26.17 | \$ 0.85 | 3.4% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.23 | \$ 0.07 | 0.5% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 14.97 | \$ 0.17 | 1.1% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 0.21 | 1.4% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 18.75 | \$ 0.38 | 2.1% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 0.48 | 2.4% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 25.01 | \$ 0.74 | 3.0% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 27.15 | \$ 0.85 | 3.2% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.43 | \$ 0.07 | 0.4% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 0.17 | 0.8% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 21.93 | \$ 0.21 | 1.0% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 24.95 | \$ 0.38 | 1.5% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 26.63 | \$ 0.48 | 1.8% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 0.74 | 2.4% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 33.35 | \$ 0.85 | 2.6% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 34.85 | \$ 0.14 | 0.4% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 38.29 | \$ 0.33 | 0.9% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 39.82 | \$ 0.43 | 1.1% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 45.86 | \$ 0.77 | 1.7% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 49.21 | \$ 0.95 | 2.0% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 58.40 | \$ 1.46 | 2.6% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 62.71 | \$ 1.72 | 2.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 19.23 | \$ 0.14 | 0.7% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 21.88 | \$ 0.29 | 1.3% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 0.43 | 1.8% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 0.82 | 2.7% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 17.49 | \$ 0.14 | 0.8% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 20.14 | \$ 0.29 | 1.5% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 22.35 | \$ 0.43 | 2.0% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 29.33 | \$ 0.82 | 2.9% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 25.42 | \$ 0.27 | 1.1% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 30.72 | \$ 0.57 | 1.9% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 35.16 | \$ 0.82 | 2.4% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 49.08 | \$ 1.60 | 3.4% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 9.70 | \$ 0.20 | 2.1% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 12.17 | \$ 0.32 | 2.7% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 16.80 | \$ 0.49 | 3.0% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 28.91 | \$ 0.87 | 3.1% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 36.39 | \$ 1.15 | 3.3% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 11.98 | \$ 0.20 | 1.7% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 14.29 | \$ 0.32 | 2.3% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 0.49 | 2.6% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 31.82 | \$ 0.87 | 2.8% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 39.36 | \$ 1.15 | 3.0% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 0.20 | 1.3% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 0.32 | 1.8% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 0.49 | 2.2% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 33.68 | \$ 0.87 | 2.7% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 41.00 | \$ 1.15 | 2.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 0.42 | 2.4% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 0.95 | 3.1% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 0.95 | 2.9% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 28.75 | \$ 0.63 | 2.2% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 37.54 | \$ 0.95 | 2.6% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 11.42 | \$ 0.13 | 1.2% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 13.72 | \$ 0.19 | 1.4% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 17.96 | \$ 0.27 | 1.5% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 16.04 | \$ 0.32 | 2.0% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 22.40 | \$ 0.50 | 2.3% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 0.13 | 1.0% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 15.04 | \$ 0.19 | 1.3% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 20.08 | \$ 0.27 | 1.4% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 20.01 | \$ 0.32 | 1.6% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 25.83 | \$ 0.50 | 2.0% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 17.02 | \$ 0.13 | 0.8% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 28.32 | \$ 0.13 | 0.5% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 16.05 | \$ 0.19 | 1.2% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 24.03 | \$ 0.27 | 1.1% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 21.71 | \$ 0.32 | 1.5% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 36.73 | \$ 0.32 | 0.9% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 26.56 | \$ 0.50 | 1.9% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 46.89 | \$ 0.07 | 0.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) | Level of Usage (kWHR) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 22.40 | \$ 0.26 | 1.2% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 25.57 | \$ 0.39 | 1.5% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 32.26 | \$ 0.64 | 2.0% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 0.26 | 1.1% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 26.01 | \$ 0.39 | 1.5% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 0.64 | 1.9% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 27.53 | \$ 0.26 | 1.0% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 0.39 | 1.2% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 39.92 | \$ 0.64 | 1.6% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 40.68 | \$ 0.99 | 2.5% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.35 | \$ 0.07 | 5.5% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 2.68 | \$ 0.15 | 5.9% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 5.16 | \$ 0.23 | 4.7% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 8.00 | \$ 0.30 | 3.9% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 9.99 | \$ 0.38 | 4.0% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 13.94 | \$ 0.44 | 3.3% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 16.72 | \$ 0.53 | 3.3% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 22.03 | \$ 0.62 | 2.9% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 25.21 | \$ 0.69 | 2.8% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 13.49 | \$ 0.76 | 6.0% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.94 | \$ 0.83 | 5.5% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 18.82 | \$ 0.92 | 5.1% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 20.78 | \$ 0.98 | 4.9% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 1.07 | 4.5% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 27.53 | \$ 1.13 | 4.3% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 32.82 | \$ 1.20 | 3.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 10.73 | \$ (0.75) | -6.5% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 27.93 | \$ (1.67) | -5.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 49.36 | \$ (4.05) | -7.6% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 17.32 | \$ (0.75) | -4.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 14.66 | \$ (0.94) | -6.0% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 26.37 | \$ (1.74) | -6.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
 Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
 Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 9.79 | \$ (0.03) | -0.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.58 | \$ (0.04) | -0.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 29.38 | \$ (0.08) | -0.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 39.14 | \$ (0.13) | -0.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 48.95 | \$ (0.14) | -0.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 58.73 | \$ (0.16) | -0.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 164.03 | \$ (2.80) | -1.7% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 244.11 | \$ (19.99) | -7.6% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 323.77 | \$ (37.18) | -10.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 403.42 | \$ (54.37) | -11.9% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 483.09 | \$ (71.56) | -12.9% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 562.74 | \$ (88.73) | -13.6% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 17,962.73 | \$ (279.53) | -1.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 25,871.86 | \$ (1,998.35) | -7.2% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 33,780.98 | \$ (3,717.18) | -9.9% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 41,690.11 | \$ (5,436.00) | -11.5% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 49,599.22 | \$ (7,154.83) | -12.6% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 57,508.35 | \$ (8,873.66) | -13.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,573.65 | \$ (269.40) | -4.6% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 9,201.48 | \$ (572.34) | -5.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 12,829.31 | \$ (875.29) | -6.4% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 16,457.13 | \$ (1,178.24) | -6.7% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 20,084.95 | \$ (1,481.19) | -6.9% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 23,712.79 | \$ (1,784.13) | -7.0% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 54,302.60 | \$ (2,693.96) | -4.7% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 90,349.87 | \$ (5,723.44) | -6.0% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 125,936.50 | \$ (8,752.90) | -6.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 161,523.11 | \$ (11,782.39) | -6.8% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 197,109.74 | \$ (14,811.85) | -7.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 232,696.37 | \$ (17,841.32) | -7.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 9,733.10 | \$ 681.10 | 7.5% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 16,892.07 | \$ 764.50 | 4.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 24,051.05 | \$ 847.90 | 3.7% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 31,210.03 | \$ 931.30 | 3.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 38,368.99 | \$ 1,014.69 | 2.7% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 45,527.98 | \$ 1,098.10 | 2.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 95,396.07 | \$ 6,810.99 | 7.7% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 165,602.52 | \$ 7,644.98 | 4.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 235,808.98 | \$ 8,478.97 | 3.7% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 306,015.43 | \$ 9,312.95 | 3.1% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 376,221.90 | \$ 10,146.95 | 2.8% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 446,428.33 | \$ 10,980.93 | 2.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 25,950.18 | \$ (390.29) | -1.5% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 34,055.04 | \$ (2,214.59) | -6.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 42,159.90 | \$ (4,038.88) | -8.7% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 50,264.76 | \$ (5,863.18) | -10.4% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 58,138.61 | \$ (7,687.47) | -11.7% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 65,966.81 | \$ (9,511.76) | -12.6% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 254,923.62 | \$ (3,902.94) | -1.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 333,205.62 | \$ (22,145.88) | -6.2% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 411,487.62 | \$ (40,388.82) | -8.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 489,769.62 | \$ (58,631.76) | -10.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 568,051.63 | \$ (76,874.70) | -11.9% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 646,333.63 | \$ (95,117.64) | -12.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.62 | \$ 0.09 | 0.7% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 14.90 | \$ 0.25 | 1.7% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 15.88 | \$ 0.29 | 1.9% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 19.87 | \$ 0.55 | 2.8% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 22.07 | \$ 0.67 | 3.1% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 28.09 | \$ 1.04 | 3.8% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 30.94 | \$ 1.21 | 4.1% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.78 | \$ 0.09 | 1.2% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 10.06 | \$ 0.25 | 2.5% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 0.29 | 2.7% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 15.03 | \$ 0.55 | 3.8% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 17.23 | \$ 0.67 | 4.0% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 23.25 | \$ 1.04 | 4.7% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 26.10 | \$ 1.21 | 4.9% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 12.28 | \$ 0.29 | 2.4% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 16.18 | \$ 0.45 | 2.9% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 22.61 | \$ 0.69 | 3.1% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 50.46 | \$ 1.63 | 3.3% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 0.29 | 1.8% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 23.19 | \$ 0.29 | 1.3% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 27.90 | \$ 0.45 | 1.6% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 34.55 | \$ 0.69 | 2.0% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 34.80 | \$ 0.69 | 2.0% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 55.24 | \$ 1.36 | 2.5% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 64.29 | \$ 1.63 | 2.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.28 | \$ 0.19 | 1.5% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 15.34 | \$ 0.26 | 1.7% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 20.64 | \$ 0.45 | 2.2% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 26.74 | \$ 0.70 | 2.7% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.80 | \$ 0.19 | 1.1% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.84 | \$ 0.19 | 0.8% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 27.15 | \$ 0.26 | 1.0% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 32.29 | \$ 0.45 | 1.4% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 52.33 | \$ 0.90 | 1.7% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 38.20 | \$ 0.70 | 1.9% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 23.27 | \$ 0.19 | 0.8% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 35.30 | \$ 0.19 | 0.5% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 0.26 | 1.0% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 37.55 | \$ 0.26 | 0.7% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 31.87 | \$ 0.45 | 1.4% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 43.70 | \$ 0.45 | 1.0% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 37.97 | \$ 0.70 | 1.9% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 50.61 | \$ 0.70 | 1.4% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.77 | \$ 0.09 | 5.4% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.54 | \$ 0.22 | 6.6% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 6.20 | \$ 0.33 | 5.6% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 9.12 | \$ 0.44 | 5.1% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 0.54 | 5.0% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 15.17 | \$ 0.64 | 4.4% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 18.06 | \$ 0.75 | 4.3% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 22.92 | \$ 0.87 | 3.9% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 26.11 | \$ 0.98 | 3.9% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 1.06 | 6.4% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 20.37 | \$ 1.16 | 6.0% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 1.29 | 5.9% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 25.58 | \$ 1.39 | 5.7% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 29.36 | \$ 1.50 | 5.4% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 32.27 | \$ 1.62 | 5.3% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 37.08 | \$ 1.70 | 4.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.77 | \$ 0.09 | 5.4% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.54 | \$ 0.22 | 6.6% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 7.65 | \$ 0.33 | 4.5% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.50 | \$ 0.44 | 3.6% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 0.54 | 3.6% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 22.76 | \$ 0.64 | 2.9% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 27.52 | \$ 0.75 | 2.8% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 0.87 | 2.4% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 43.11 | \$ 0.98 | 2.3% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 1.06 | 6.4% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 1.16 | 5.6% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 26.68 | \$ 1.29 | 5.1% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 29.79 | \$ 1.39 | 4.9% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 36.95 | \$ 1.50 | 4.2% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 1.62 | 4.0% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 51.66 | \$ 1.70 | 3.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 13.10 | \$ (1.25) | -8.7% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 26.28 | \$ (2.83) | -9.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 50.18 | \$ (6.83) | -12.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 15.44 | \$ (1.25) | -7.5% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.64 | \$ (0.75) | -4.6% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 19.24 | \$ (1.12) | -5.5% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 23.59 | \$ (1.89) | -7.4% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 31.51 | \$ (2.93) | -8.5% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 18.72 | \$ (0.75) | -3.9% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 24.64 | \$ (1.12) | -4.3% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 38.41 | \$ (1.58) | -4.0% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 30.33 | \$ (1.89) | -5.9% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 42.07 | \$ (1.89) | -4.3% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 35.83 | \$ (2.93) | -7.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 7.09 | \$ 3.09 | 77.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 14.18 | \$ 6.15 | 76.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 21.24 | \$ 9.22 | 76.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 28.32 | \$ 12.26 | 76.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 35.41 | \$ 15.35 | 76.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 42.51 | \$ 18.42 | 76.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 173.64 | \$ 10.93 | 6.7% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 263.33 | \$ 7.46 | 2.9% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 352.60 | \$ 4.00 | 1.1% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 441.86 | \$ 0.54 | 0.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 531.14 | \$ (2.92) | -0.5% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 620.40 | \$ (6.37) | -1.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 18,923.73 | \$ 1,093.17 | 6.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 27,793.86 | \$ 747.05 | 2.8% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 36,663.98 | \$ 400.92 | 1.1% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 45,534.11 | \$ 54.80 | 0.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 54,404.22 | \$ (291.33) | -0.5% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 63,274.35 | \$ (637.46) | -1.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 6,037.50 | \$ 36.70 | 0.6% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 10,129.18 | \$ (310.14) | -3.0% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 14,220.86 | \$ (656.99) | -4.4% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 18,312.53 | \$ (1,003.84) | -5.2% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 22,404.20 | \$ (1,350.69) | -5.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 26,495.89 | \$ (1,697.53) | -6.0% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 58,941.10 | \$ 367.04 | 0.6% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 99,626.87 | \$ (3,101.44) | -3.0% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 139,852.00 | \$ (6,569.90) | -4.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 180,077.11 | \$ (10,038.39) | -5.3% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 220,302.24 | \$ (13,506.85) | -5.8% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 260,527.37 | \$ (16,975.32) | -6.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 10,634.70 | \$ 1,107.80 | 11.6% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 18,695.27 | \$ 1,105.90 | 6.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 26,755.85 | \$ 1,104.00 | 4.3% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 34,816.43 | \$ 1,102.10 | 3.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 42,876.99 | \$ 1,100.19 | 2.6% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 50,937.58 | \$ 1,098.30 | 2.2% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 104,412.07 | \$ 11,077.99 | 11.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 183,634.52 | \$ 11,058.98 | 6.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 262,856.98 | \$ 11,039.97 | 4.4% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 342,079.43 | \$ 11,020.95 | 3.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 421,301.90 | \$ 11,001.95 | 2.7% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 500,524.33 | \$ 10,982.93 | 2.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 27,751.38 | \$ (480.89) | -1.7% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 37,657.44 | \$ (2,475.79) | -6.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 47,563.50 | \$ (4,470.68) | -8.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 57,469.56 | \$ (6,465.58) | -10.1% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 67,144.61 | \$ (8,460.47) | -11.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 76,774.01 | \$ (10,455.36) | -12.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 272,935.62 | \$ (4,808.94) | -1.7% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 369,229.62 | \$ (24,757.88) | -6.3% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 465,523.62 | \$ (44,706.82) | -8.8% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 561,817.62 | \$ (64,655.76) | -10.3% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 658,111.63 | \$ (84,604.70) | -11.4% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 754,405.63 | \$ (104,553.64) | -12.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.85 | \$ 0.79 | 6.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 15.44 | \$ 1.90 | 14.0% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 2.35 | 16.5% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 21.08 | \$ 4.25 | 25.3% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 23.58 | \$ 5.29 | 28.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 30.42 | \$ 8.17 | 36.7% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 33.65 | \$ 9.50 | 39.3% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 8.01 | \$ 0.79 | 10.9% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 10.60 | \$ 1.90 | 21.8% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 11.72 | \$ 2.35 | 25.1% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 16.24 | \$ 4.25 | 35.4% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 18.74 | \$ 5.29 | 39.3% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 25.58 | \$ 8.17 | 46.9% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 28.81 | \$ 9.50 | 49.2% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 12.94 | \$ 2.31 | 21.7% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 17.18 | \$ 3.51 | 25.7% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 24.13 | \$ 5.34 | 28.4% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 54.12 | \$ 12.81 | 31.0% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 17.29 | \$ 2.31 | 15.4% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 23.85 | \$ 2.31 | 10.7% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 3.51 | 13.8% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 36.07 | \$ 5.34 | 17.4% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 36.32 | \$ 5.34 | 17.2% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 58.28 | \$ 10.65 | 22.4% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 67.95 | \$ 12.81 | 23.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 1.42 | 11.6% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 15.94 | \$ 2.09 | 15.1% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 21.65 | \$ 3.54 | 19.5% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 28.30 | \$ 5.49 | 24.1% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 18.20 | \$ 1.42 | 8.5% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 25.24 | \$ 1.42 | 6.0% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 2.09 | 8.1% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 33.30 | \$ 3.54 | 11.9% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 54.35 | \$ 7.08 | 15.0% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 39.76 | \$ 5.49 | 16.0% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 1.42 | 6.4% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.70 | \$ 1.42 | 4.1% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 26.48 | \$ 2.09 | 8.6% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 38.15 | \$ 2.09 | 5.8% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 32.88 | \$ 3.54 | 12.1% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 44.71 | \$ 3.54 | 8.6% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 39.53 | \$ 5.49 | 16.1% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 52.17 | \$ 5.49 | 11.8% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 2.01 | \$ 0.83 | 70.3% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 4.02 | \$ 1.69 | 72.5% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 6.92 | \$ 2.53 | 57.6% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 10.08 | \$ 3.37 | 50.2% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 4.21 | 50.2% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 16.61 | \$ 5.05 | 43.7% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 19.74 | \$ 5.90 | 42.6% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 24.84 | \$ 6.76 | 37.4% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 28.27 | \$ 7.60 | 36.8% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 20.14 | \$ 8.41 | 71.7% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 23.02 | \$ 9.26 | 67.3% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 26.18 | \$ 10.11 | 62.9% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 10.95 | 61.7% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 32.73 | \$ 11.80 | 56.4% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 35.87 | \$ 12.64 | 54.4% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 40.92 | \$ 13.46 | 49.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 2.01 | \$ 0.83 | 70.3% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 4.02 | \$ 1.69 | 72.5% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 8.37 | \$ 2.53 | 43.3% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 3.37 | 33.4% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 16.80 | \$ 4.21 | 33.4% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 24.20 | \$ 5.05 | 26.4% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 29.20 | \$ 5.90 | 25.3% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 39.42 | \$ 6.76 | 20.7% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 45.27 | \$ 7.60 | 20.2% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 20.14 | \$ 8.41 | 71.7% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 24.47 | \$ 9.26 | 60.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 29.56 | \$ 10.11 | 52.0% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 32.91 | \$ 10.95 | 49.9% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 40.32 | \$ 11.80 | 41.4% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 45.33 | \$ 12.64 | 38.7% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 55.50 | \$ 13.46 | 32.0% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 0.79 | 6.1% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 27.80 | \$ 1.87 | 7.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 53.84 | \$ 4.46 | 9.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 16.10 | \$ 0.79 | 5.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 16.04 | \$ 0.50 | 3.2% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.84 | \$ 0.73 | 3.8% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 24.60 | \$ 1.23 | 5.3% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 33.07 | \$ 1.91 | 6.1% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 19.12 | \$ 0.50 | 2.7% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 25.24 | \$ 0.73 | 3.0% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 39.25 | \$ 1.03 | 2.7% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 31.34 | \$ 1.23 | 4.1% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 43.08 | \$ 1.23 | 2.9% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 37.39 | \$ 1.91 | 5.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 8.05 | \$ 3.10 | 62.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 16.10 | \$ 6.20 | 62.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 24.12 | \$ 9.28 | 62.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 32.16 | \$ 12.35 | 62.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 40.22 | \$ 15.47 | 62.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 48.28 | \$ 18.56 | 62.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 144.38 | \$ 0.84 | 0.6% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 223.35 | \$ (7.72) | -3.3% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 301.91 | \$ (16.28) | -5.1% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 380.43 | \$ (24.83) | -6.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 458.96 | \$ (33.39) | -6.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 537.47 | \$ (41.95) | -7.2% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 15,089.09 | \$ 83.84 | 0.6% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 22,885.89 | \$ (772.00) | -3.3% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 30,682.68 | \$ (1,627.86) | -5.0% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 38,479.47 | \$ (2,483.71) | -6.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 46,276.26 | \$ (3,339.57) | -6.7% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 54,073.06 | \$ (4,195.41) | -7.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 6,074.98 | \$ 180.93 | 3.1% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 9,657.15 | \$ (100.44) | -1.0% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 13,239.30 | \$ (381.81) | -2.8% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 16,821.47 | \$ (663.18) | -3.8% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 20,403.62 | \$ (944.56) | -4.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 23,985.80 | \$ (1,225.93) | -4.9% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 59,315.95 | \$ 1,809.29 | 3.1% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 94,974.06 | \$ (1,004.43) | -1.0% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 130,306.14 | \$ (3,818.14) | -2.8% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 165,638.19 | \$ (6,631.87) | -3.8% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 200,970.27 | \$ (9,445.58) | -4.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 236,302.34 | \$ (12,259.30) | -4.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 9,430.87 | \$ 581.40 | 6.6% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 16,423.41 | \$ 540.30 | 3.4% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 23,415.96 | \$ 499.20 | 2.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 30,408.50 | \$ 458.10 | 1.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 37,401.04 | \$ 416.99 | 1.1% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 44,393.60 | \$ 375.90 | 0.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 92,261.26 | \$ 5,813.99 | 6.7% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 161,207.60 | \$ 5,402.98 | 3.5% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 230,153.95 | \$ 4,991.97 | 2.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 299,100.29 | \$ 4,580.95 | 1.6% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 368,046.65 | \$ 4,169.95 | 1.1% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 436,992.98 | \$ 3,758.93 | 0.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (%E)/(%C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 28,049.72 | \$ 722.31 | 2.6% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 37,155.71 | \$ (17.39) | 0.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 46,261.72 | \$ (757.08) | -1.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 55,367.71 | \$ (1,496.78) | -2.6% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 64,310.20 | \$ (2,236.47) | -3.4% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 73,220.38 | \$ (2,976.16) | -3.9% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 276,390.70 | \$ 7,223.06 | 2.7% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 365,492.48 | \$ (173.88) | 0.0% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 454,594.27 | \$ (7,570.82) | -1.6% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 543,696.05 | \$ (14,967.76) | -2.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 632,797.84 | \$ (22,364.70) | -3.4% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 721,899.62 | \$ (29,761.64) | -4.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 18.33 | \$ 0.36 | 2.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 20.51 | \$ 0.82 | 4.2% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 21.46 | \$ 1.03 | 5.0% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 25.30 | \$ 1.86 | 7.9% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 27.42 | \$ 2.32 | 9.2% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 33.20 | \$ 3.58 | 12.1% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 35.93 | \$ 4.17 | 13.1% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 8.74 | \$ 0.64 | 7.9% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 9.76 | \$ 1.01 | 11.5% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 12.35 | \$ 1.55 | 14.4% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 16.04 | \$ 2.34 | 17.1% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 25.29 | \$ 4.24 | 20.1% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 31.26 | \$ 5.61 | 21.9% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 0.64 | 4.0% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 17.64 | \$ 1.01 | 6.1% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 1.55 | 7.9% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 31.34 | \$ 3.08 | 10.9% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 24.28 | \$ 2.34 | 10.7% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 38.34 | \$ 4.67 | 13.9% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 35.05 | \$ 4.24 | 13.8% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 41.14 | \$ 5.61 | 15.8% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 73.22 | \$ 11.24 | 18.1% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 11.58 | \$ 0.64 | 5.9% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 13.13 | \$ 1.01 | 8.3% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 16.77 | \$ 1.55 | 10.2% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 0.64 | 3.5% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 1.01 | 5.2% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 25.57 | \$ 1.55 | 6.5% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 29.57 | \$ 2.34 | 8.6% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 56.99 | \$ 4.24 | 8.0% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 62.79 | \$ 5.61 | 9.8% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 93.18 | \$ 11.24 | 13.7% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 1.01 | 9.0% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 15.01 | \$ 1.55 | 11.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 8.36 | \$ 0.42 | 5.3% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.92 | \$ 0.62 | 7.5% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 0.92 | 10.2% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 11.96 | \$ 1.31 | 12.3% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 12.68 | \$ 1.56 | 14.0% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 16.60 | \$ 2.41 | 17.0% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 35.77 | \$ 6.06 | 20.4% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 16.15 | \$ 0.42 | 2.7% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.75 | \$ 0.62 | 3.8% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 0.92 | 5.1% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 20.75 | \$ 1.31 | 6.7% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 21.48 | \$ 1.56 | 7.8% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 26.41 | \$ 2.41 | 10.0% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 44.74 | \$ 6.06 | 15.7% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 11.46 | \$ 0.42 | 3.8% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 0.62 | 5.3% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 0.92 | 7.0% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 18.45 | \$ 0.42 | 2.3% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 19.34 | \$ 0.62 | 3.3% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 23.21 | \$ 0.92 | 4.1% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 1.30 | 5.3% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 23.35 | \$ 1.31 | 5.9% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 26.62 | \$ 1.56 | 6.2% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 29.14 | \$ 1.89 | 6.9% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 48.28 | \$ 2.41 | 5.3% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 63.68 | \$ 4.82 | 8.2% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 68.53 | \$ 6.06 | 9.7% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 0.42 | 3.6% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 13.61 | \$ 0.62 | 4.8% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 14.76 | \$ 0.92 | 6.6% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 15.26 | \$ 1.31 | 9.4% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 1.56 | 9.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.79 | \$ 0.37 | 26.1% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 3.56 | \$ 0.74 | 26.2% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 5.37 | \$ 1.12 | 26.4% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 7.15 | \$ 1.48 | 26.1% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 8.94 | \$ 1.86 | 26.3% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 10.70 | \$ 2.21 | 26.0% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 12.51 | \$ 2.59 | 26.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 14.30 | \$ 2.96 | 26.1% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 16.09 | \$ 3.34 | 26.2% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 17.86 | \$ 3.69 | 26.0% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 19.65 | \$ 4.06 | 26.0% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 21.45 | \$ 4.44 | 26.1% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 4.81 | 26.1% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 25.00 | \$ 5.17 | 26.1% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 5.55 | 26.1% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 28.56 | \$ 5.91 | 26.1% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 2.41 | \$ 0.37 | 18.1% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 4.79 | \$ 0.74 | 18.3% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 1.12 | 18.4% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 9.62 | \$ 1.48 | 18.2% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 12.03 | \$ 1.86 | 18.3% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 14.40 | \$ 2.21 | 18.1% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 16.82 | \$ 2.59 | 18.2% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 19.23 | \$ 2.96 | 18.2% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 21.64 | \$ 3.34 | 18.3% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 3.69 | 18.2% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 26.43 | \$ 4.06 | 18.1% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 28.85 | \$ 4.44 | 18.2% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 31.25 | \$ 4.81 | 18.2% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 33.63 | \$ 5.17 | 18.2% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 5.55 | 18.2% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 38.43 | \$ 5.91 | 18.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 3.18 | \$ 0.37 | 13.2% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 6.33 | \$ 0.74 | 13.2% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 9.53 | \$ 1.12 | 13.3% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 12.70 | \$ 1.48 | 13.2% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 15.87 | \$ 1.86 | 13.3% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 19.02 | \$ 2.21 | 13.1% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 2.59 | 13.2% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 25.38 | \$ 2.96 | 13.2% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 28.56 | \$ 3.34 | 13.2% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 31.72 | \$ 3.69 | 13.2% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 34.89 | \$ 4.06 | 13.2% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 38.08 | \$ 4.44 | 13.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 41.24 | \$ 4.81 | 13.2% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 44.40 | \$ 5.17 | 13.2% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 47.60 | \$ 5.55 | 13.2% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 50.73 | \$ 5.91 | 13.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 10.85 | \$ (2.42) | -18.2% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 18.35 | \$ (5.53) | -23.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 33.63 | \$ (13.32) | -28.4% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 15.25 | \$ (2.42) | -13.7% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 10.38 | \$ (1.47) | -12.4% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 16.77 | \$ (3.68) | -18.0% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 21.22 | \$ (5.71) | -21.2% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 15.41 | \$ (1.47) | -8.7% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 15.84 | \$ (2.55) | -13.9% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 18.24 | \$ (3.89) | -17.6% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 22.14 | \$ (6.02) | -21.4% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 25.62 | \$ (2.55) | -9.1% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 28.02 | \$ (3.89) | -12.2% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 31.92 | \$ (6.02) | -15.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 8.52 | \$ 1.56 | 22.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 17.04 | \$ 3.13 | 22.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 25.56 | \$ 4.69 | 22.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 34.05 | \$ 6.24 | 22.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 42.58 | \$ 7.80 | 22.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 51.11 | \$ 9.38 | 22.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 153.99 | \$ 4.75 | 3.2% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 242.57 | \$ 0.09 | 0.0% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 330.74 | \$ (4.55) | -1.4% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 418.87 | \$ (9.19) | -2.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 507.01 | \$ (13.84) | -2.7% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 595.13 | \$ (18.50) | -3.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 16,050.09 | \$ 474.74 | 3.0% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 24,807.89 | \$ 9.80 | 0.0% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 33,565.68 | \$ (455.16) | -1.3% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 42,323.47 | \$ (920.11) | -2.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 51,081.26 | \$ (1,385.07) | -2.6% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 59,839.06 | \$ (1,850.01) | -3.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 6,538.83 | \$ 577.03 | 9.7% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 10,584.85 | \$ 251.76 | 2.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 14,630.85 | \$ (73.51) | -0.5% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 18,676.87 | \$ (398.78) | -2.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 22,722.87 | \$ (724.06) | -3.1% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 26,768.90 | \$ (1,049.33) | -3.8% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 63,954.45 | \$ 5,770.29 | 9.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 104,251.06 | \$ 2,517.57 | 2.5% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 144,221.64 | \$ (735.14) | -0.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 184,192.19 | \$ (3,987.87) | -2.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 224,162.77 | \$ (7,240.58) | -3.1% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 264,133.34 | \$ (10,493.30) | -3.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 10,332.47 | \$ 947.10 | 10.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 18,226.61 | \$ 820.70 | 4.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 26,120.76 | \$ 694.30 | 2.7% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 34,014.90 | \$ 567.90 | 1.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 41,909.04 | \$ 441.49 | 1.1% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 49,803.20 | \$ 315.10 | 0.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 101,277.26 | \$ 9,470.99 | 10.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 179,239.60 | \$ 8,206.98 | 4.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 257,201.95 | \$ 6,942.97 | 2.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 335,164.29 | \$ 5,678.95 | 1.7% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 413,126.65 | \$ 4,414.95 | 1.1% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 491,088.98 | \$ 3,150.93 | 0.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 29,850.92 | \$ 969.71 | 3.4% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 40,758.11 | \$ 59.41 | 0.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 51,665.32 | \$ (850.88) | -1.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 62,572.51 | \$ (1,761.18) | -2.7% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 73,316.20 | \$ (2,671.47) | -3.5% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 84,027.58 | \$ (3,581.76) | -4.1% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 294,402.70 | \$ 9,697.06 | 3.4% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 401,516.48 | \$ 594.12 | 0.1% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 508,630.27 | \$ (8,508.82) | -1.6% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 615,744.05 | \$ (17,611.76) | -2.8% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 722,857.84 | \$ (26,714.70) | -3.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 829,971.62 | \$ (35,817.64) | -4.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.56 | \$ 0.64 | 3.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 1.50 | 7.7% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 1.88 | 9.3% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 26.51 | \$ 3.38 | 14.6% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 28.93 | \$ 4.22 | 17.1% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 6.51 | 22.4% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 38.64 | \$ 7.57 | 24.4% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 9.15 | \$ 1.16 | 14.5% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 10.42 | \$ 1.84 | 21.4% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 13.35 | \$ 2.81 | 26.7% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 17.56 | \$ 4.25 | 31.9% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 28.05 | \$ 7.71 | 37.9% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 34.92 | \$ 10.20 | 41.3% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.97 | \$ 1.16 | 7.3% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 1.84 | 11.2% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 22.17 | \$ 2.81 | 14.5% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 33.33 | \$ 5.58 | 20.1% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 25.80 | \$ 4.25 | 19.7% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 41.38 | \$ 8.48 | 25.8% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 37.81 | \$ 7.71 | 25.6% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 44.80 | \$ 10.20 | 29.5% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 80.52 | \$ 20.42 | 34.0% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 1.16 | 10.7% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 13.79 | \$ 1.84 | 15.4% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 17.77 | \$ 2.81 | 18.8% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 19.37 | \$ 1.16 | 6.4% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 1.84 | 9.6% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 26.57 | \$ 2.81 | 11.8% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 31.09 | \$ 4.25 | 15.8% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 59.75 | \$ 7.71 | 14.8% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 66.45 | \$ 10.20 | 18.1% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 100.48 | \$ 20.42 | 25.5% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 12.85 | \$ 1.84 | 16.7% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 16.01 | \$ 2.81 | 21.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.64 | \$ 0.78 | 9.9% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 9.32 | \$ 1.13 | 13.8% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 10.52 | \$ 1.68 | 19.0% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 12.81 | \$ 2.38 | 22.8% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 13.69 | \$ 2.83 | 26.1% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 18.16 | \$ 4.38 | 31.8% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 39.71 | \$ 11.01 | 38.4% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 0.78 | 5.0% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 17.15 | \$ 1.13 | 7.1% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 19.39 | \$ 1.68 | 9.5% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 21.60 | \$ 2.38 | 12.4% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 22.49 | \$ 2.83 | 14.4% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 27.97 | \$ 4.38 | 18.6% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 48.68 | \$ 11.01 | 29.2% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 0.78 | 7.1% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 12.76 | \$ 1.13 | 9.7% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 14.61 | \$ 1.68 | 13.0% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.73 | \$ 0.78 | 4.3% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 19.74 | \$ 1.13 | 6.1% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 23.81 | \$ 1.68 | 7.6% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 26.50 | \$ 2.36 | 9.8% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 24.20 | \$ 2.38 | 10.9% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 27.63 | \$ 2.83 | 11.4% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 30.37 | \$ 3.43 | 12.7% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 49.84 | \$ 4.38 | 9.6% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 66.82 | \$ 8.76 | 15.1% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 72.47 | \$ 11.01 | 17.9% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 12.30 | \$ 0.78 | 6.8% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 1.13 | 8.8% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 15.36 | \$ 1.68 | 12.3% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 16.11 | \$ 2.38 | 17.3% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 2.83 | 17.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 2.03 | \$ 0.67 | 49.3% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 4.04 | \$ 1.34 | 49.6% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 6.09 | \$ 2.02 | 49.6% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 8.11 | \$ 2.68 | 49.4% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 10.14 | \$ 3.36 | 49.6% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 12.14 | \$ 4.02 | 49.5% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 4.70 | 49.5% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 16.22 | \$ 5.38 | 49.6% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 18.25 | \$ 6.06 | 49.7% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 6.71 | 49.5% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 22.30 | \$ 7.39 | 49.6% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 24.33 | \$ 8.06 | 49.5% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 26.35 | \$ 8.73 | 49.5% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 28.37 | \$ 9.41 | 49.6% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 30.41 | \$ 10.07 | 49.5% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 32.40 | \$ 10.73 | 49.5% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.65 | \$ 0.67 | 33.8% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 5.27 | \$ 1.34 | 34.1% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 2.02 | 34.1% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 10.58 | \$ 2.68 | 33.9% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 13.23 | \$ 3.36 | 34.0% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 15.84 | \$ 4.02 | 34.0% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 18.50 | \$ 4.70 | 34.1% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 21.15 | \$ 5.38 | 34.1% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 23.80 | \$ 6.06 | 34.2% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 26.42 | \$ 6.71 | 34.0% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 29.08 | \$ 7.39 | 34.1% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 31.73 | \$ 8.06 | 34.1% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 34.37 | \$ 8.73 | 34.0% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 37.00 | \$ 9.41 | 34.1% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 39.66 | \$ 10.07 | 34.0% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 42.27 | \$ 10.73 | 34.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 3.42 | \$ 0.67 | 24.4% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 6.81 | \$ 1.34 | 24.5% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 10.25 | \$ 2.02 | 24.5% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 13.66 | \$ 2.68 | 24.4% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 17.07 | \$ 3.36 | 24.5% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 20.46 | \$ 4.02 | 24.5% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 23.89 | \$ 4.70 | 24.5% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 27.30 | \$ 5.38 | 24.5% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 30.72 | \$ 6.06 | 24.6% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 34.12 | \$ 6.71 | 24.5% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 37.54 | \$ 7.39 | 24.5% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 40.96 | \$ 8.06 | 24.5% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 44.36 | \$ 8.73 | 24.5% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 47.77 | \$ 9.41 | 24.5% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 51.20 | \$ 10.07 | 24.5% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 54.57 | \$ 10.73 | 24.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 11.51 | \$ (0.85) | -6.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 19.87 | \$ (1.91) | -8.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 37.29 | \$ (4.62) | -11.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 15.91 | \$ (0.85) | -5.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 10.78 | \$ (0.51) | -4.5% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 17.78 | \$ (1.27) | -6.7% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 22.78 | \$ (1.98) | -8.0% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 15.81 | \$ (0.51) | -3.1% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 16.54 | \$ (0.88) | -5.1% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 19.30 | \$ (1.36) | -6.6% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 23.79 | \$ (2.09) | -8.1% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 26.32 | \$ (0.88) | -3.2% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 29.08 | \$ (1.36) | -4.5% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 33.57 | \$ (2.09) | -5.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 9.48 | \$ 1.78 | 23.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 3.58 | 23.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 28.44 | \$ 5.36 | 23.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 37.89 | \$ 7.13 | 23.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 47.39 | \$ 8.93 | 23.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 56.88 | \$ 10.72 | 23.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 151.27 | \$ (0.48) | -0.3% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 230.36 | \$ (3.92) | -1.7% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 309.00 | \$ (7.36) | -2.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 387.64 | \$ (10.79) | -2.7% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 466.27 | \$ (14.25) | -3.0% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 544.91 | \$ (17.68) | -3.1% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 17,233.57 | \$ (47.53) | -0.3% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 25,041.04 | \$ (391.65) | -1.5% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 32,848.50 | \$ (735.78) | -2.2% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 40,655.97 | \$ (1,079.90) | -2.6% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 48,463.43 | \$ (1,424.03) | -2.9% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 56,270.89 | \$ (1,768.16) | -3.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (%E)/(%C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,533.02 | \$ 315.10 | 6.0% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 9,226.82 | \$ 133.36 | 1.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 12,920.62 | \$ (48.39) | -0.4% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 16,614.41 | \$ (230.14) | -1.4% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 20,308.20 | \$ (411.89) | -2.0% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 24,002.01 | \$ (593.63) | -2.4% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 53,896.31 | \$ 3,151.04 | 6.2% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 90,553.44 | \$ 1,333.56 | 1.5% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 126,650.58 | \$ (483.90) | -0.4% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 162,747.68 | \$ (2,301.39) | -1.4% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 198,844.82 | \$ (4,118.85) | -2.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 234,941.94 | \$ (5,936.32) | -2.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 8,706.94 | \$ 871.30 | 11.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 15,212.55 | \$ 411.40 | 2.8% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 21,718.17 | \$ (48.50) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 28,223.79 | \$ (508.40) | -1.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 34,729.40 | \$ (968.31) | -2.7% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 41,235.02 | \$ (1,428.20) | -3.3% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 84,904.64 | \$ 8,712.99 | 11.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 148,279.09 | \$ 4,113.98 | 2.9% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 211,653.55 | \$ (485.03) | -0.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 275,028.00 | \$ (5,084.05) | -1.8% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 338,402.47 | \$ (9,683.05) | -2.8% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 401,776.91 | \$ (14,282.07) | -3.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 27,706.66 | \$ 1,762.42 | 6.8% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 36,347.00 | \$ 1,050.83 | 3.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 44,987.34 | \$ 339.25 | 0.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 53,627.68 | \$ (372.34) | -0.7% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 61,987.18 | \$ (1,083.92) | -1.7% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 70,291.18 | \$ (1,795.50) | -2.5% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 272,140.19 | \$ 17,624.15 | 6.9% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 355,180.19 | \$ 10,508.31 | 3.0% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 438,220.20 | \$ 3,392.46 | 0.8% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 521,260.20 | \$ (3,723.39) | -0.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 604,300.21 | \$ (10,839.24) | -1.8% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 687,340.21 | \$ (17,955.08) | -2.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 0.55 | 4.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 14.78 | \$ 1.27 | 9.4% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 15.74 | \$ 1.59 | 11.2% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 19.56 | \$ 2.88 | 17.3% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 3.58 | 19.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 5.51 | 25.1% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 30.17 | \$ 6.42 | 27.0% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.57 | \$ 0.55 | 4.2% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 15.76 | \$ 1.27 | 8.8% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 16.72 | \$ 1.59 | 10.5% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 20.54 | \$ 2.88 | 16.3% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 22.66 | \$ 3.58 | 18.8% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 28.44 | \$ 5.51 | 24.0% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 31.15 | \$ 6.42 | 26.0% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.77 | \$ 0.55 | 2.9% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 1.27 | 6.1% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 22.92 | \$ 1.59 | 7.5% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 26.74 | \$ 2.88 | 12.1% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 28.86 | \$ 3.58 | 14.2% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 34.64 | \$ 5.51 | 18.9% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 37.35 | \$ 6.42 | 20.8% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 1.08 | 3.1% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 39.89 | \$ 2.55 | 6.8% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 41.81 | \$ 3.20 | 8.3% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 49.43 | \$ 5.74 | 13.1% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 53.67 | \$ 7.15 | 15.4% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 65.27 | \$ 11.03 | 20.3% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 70.72 | \$ 12.86 | 22.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 19.87 | \$ 1.03 | 5.5% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 23.21 | \$ 2.14 | 10.2% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 26.00 | \$ 3.09 | 13.5% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 34.81 | \$ 6.03 | 21.0% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 1.03 | 6.0% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 21.47 | \$ 2.14 | 11.1% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 24.26 | \$ 3.09 | 14.6% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 33.07 | \$ 6.03 | 22.3% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 26.70 | \$ 2.05 | 8.3% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 33.39 | \$ 4.29 | 14.7% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 39.00 | \$ 6.16 | 18.8% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 56.58 | \$ 12.03 | 27.0% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 10.68 | \$ 1.57 | 17.2% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 13.65 | \$ 2.37 | 21.0% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 19.04 | \$ 3.61 | 23.4% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 32.98 | \$ 6.54 | 24.7% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 41.78 | \$ 8.66 | 26.1% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 12.96 | \$ 1.57 | 13.8% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 2.37 | 17.7% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 21.74 | \$ 3.61 | 19.9% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 35.89 | \$ 6.54 | 22.3% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 44.75 | \$ 8.66 | 24.0% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 1.57 | 10.4% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 19.61 | \$ 2.37 | 13.7% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 25.37 | \$ 3.61 | 16.6% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 37.75 | \$ 6.54 | 21.0% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 46.39 | \$ 8.66 | 23.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) | |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 19.58 | \$ 3.15 | 19.2% | |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 7.19 | 24.9% | |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 38.75 | \$ 7.19 | 22.8% | |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 31.71 | \$ 4.75 | 17.6% | |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 42.02 | \$ 7.19 | 20.6% | |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 0.96 | 8.7% | |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 14.60 | \$ 1.41 | 10.7% | |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 2.00 | 11.6% | |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 17.53 | \$ 2.39 | 15.8% | |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 3.72 | 17.7% | |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 13.87 | \$ 0.96 | 7.4% | |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 1.41 | 9.7% | |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 2.00 | 10.3% | |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 21.50 | \$ 2.39 | 12.5% | |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 28.15 | \$ 3.72 | 15.2% | |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 0.96 | 5.8% | |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 28.92 | \$ 0.96 | 3.4% | |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 16.93 | \$ 1.41 | 9.1% | |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 2.00 | 8.6% | |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 23.20 | \$ 2.39 | 11.5% | |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 2.39 | 6.7% | |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 28.88 | \$ 3.72 | 14.8% | |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 47.25 | \$ 0.57 | 1.2% | |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 1.91 | 8.8% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 27.32 | \$ 2.83 | 11.6% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 4.79 | 15.7% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 24.48 | \$ 1.91 | 8.5% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 27.76 | \$ 2.83 | 11.4% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 36.65 | \$ 4.79 | 15.0% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 28.72 | \$ 1.91 | 7.1% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 2.83 | 9.0% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 42.90 | \$ 4.79 | 12.6% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 45.30 | \$ 7.42 | 19.6% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.71 | \$ 0.57 | 50.0% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 3.39 | \$ 1.14 | 50.7% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 6.23 | \$ 1.72 | 38.1% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 9.42 | \$ 2.28 | 31.9% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 11.77 | \$ 2.86 | 32.1% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 3.41 | 26.9% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 3.99 | 26.2% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 24.87 | \$ 4.57 | 22.5% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 28.41 | \$ 5.13 | 22.0% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 17.04 | \$ 5.70 | 50.3% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 19.84 | \$ 6.26 | 46.1% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 6.85 | 42.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 25.40 | \$ 7.41 | 41.2% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 7.98 | 36.7% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 32.86 | \$ 8.55 | 35.2% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 38.50 | \$ 9.11 | 31.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 10.07 | \$ (1.04) | -9.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 26.41 | \$ (2.35) | -8.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 45.70 | \$ (5.68) | -11.1% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 16.66 | \$ (1.04) | -5.9% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 13.82 | \$ (1.32) | -8.7% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 24.81 | \$ (2.44) | -9.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 10.51 | \$ 1.70 | 19.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 21.02 | \$ 3.39 | 19.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 31.55 | \$ 5.09 | 19.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 42.03 | \$ 6.75 | 19.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 52.55 | \$ 8.45 | 19.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 63.05 | \$ 10.15 | 19.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 160.88 | \$ (0.12) | -0.1% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 249.58 | \$ (3.20) | -1.3% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 337.83 | \$ (6.27) | -1.8% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 426.08 | \$ (9.34) | -2.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 514.32 | \$ (12.43) | -2.4% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 602.57 | \$ (15.51) | -2.5% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 18,194.57 | \$ (11.33) | -0.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 26,963.04 | \$ (319.25) | -1.2% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 35,731.50 | \$ (627.18) | -1.7% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 44,499.97 | \$ (935.10) | -2.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 53,268.43 | \$ (1,243.03) | -2.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 62,036.89 | \$ (1,550.96) | -2.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 5,996.87 | \$ 348.70 | 6.2% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 10,154.52 | \$ 123.06 | 1.2% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 14,312.17 | \$ (102.59) | -0.7% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 18,469.81 | \$ (328.24) | -1.7% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 22,627.45 | \$ (553.89) | -2.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 26,785.11 | \$ (779.53) | -2.8% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 58,534.81 | \$ 3,487.04 | 6.3% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 99,830.44 | \$ 1,230.56 | 1.2% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 140,566.08 | \$ (1,025.90) | -0.7% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 181,301.68 | \$ (3,282.39) | -1.8% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 222,037.32 | \$ (5,538.85) | -2.4% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 262,772.94 | \$ (7,795.32) | -2.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 9,608.54 | \$ 835.00 | 9.5% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 17,015.75 | \$ 289.80 | 1.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 24,422.97 | \$ (255.40) | -1.0% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 31,830.19 | \$ (800.60) | -2.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 39,237.40 | \$ (1,345.81) | -3.3% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 46,644.62 | \$ (1,891.00) | -3.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 93,920.64 | \$ 8,349.99 | 9.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 166,311.09 | \$ 2,897.98 | 1.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 238,701.55 | \$ (2,554.03) | -1.1% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 311,092.00 | \$ (8,006.05) | -2.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 383,482.47 | \$ (13,458.05) | -3.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 455,872.91 | \$ (18,910.07) | -4.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 29,507.86 | \$ 1,617.82 | 5.8% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 39,949.40 | \$ 735.63 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 50,390.94 | \$ (146.55) | -0.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 60,832.48 | \$ (1,028.74) | -1.7% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 70,993.18 | \$ (1,910.92) | -2.6% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 81,098.38 | \$ (2,793.10) | -3.3% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 290,152.19 | \$ 16,178.15 | 5.9% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 391,204.19 | \$ 7,356.31 | 1.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 492,256.20 | \$ (1,465.54) | -0.3% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 593,308.20 | \$ (10,287.39) | -1.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 694,360.21 | \$ (19,109.24) | -2.7% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 795,412.21 | \$ (27,931.08) | -3.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.82 | \$ 0.64 | 5.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 15.32 | \$ 1.50 | 10.9% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 16.42 | \$ 1.88 | 12.9% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 20.77 | \$ 3.38 | 19.4% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 23.19 | \$ 4.22 | 22.2% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 29.79 | \$ 6.50 | 27.9% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 32.88 | \$ 7.56 | 29.9% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 0.64 | 4.9% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 16.30 | \$ 1.50 | 10.1% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 17.40 | \$ 1.88 | 12.1% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 21.75 | \$ 3.38 | 18.4% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 24.17 | \$ 4.22 | 21.2% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 30.77 | \$ 6.50 | 26.8% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 7.56 | 28.7% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 20.00 | \$ 0.64 | 3.3% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 22.50 | \$ 1.50 | 7.1% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 23.60 | \$ 1.88 | 8.7% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 27.95 | \$ 3.38 | 13.8% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 30.37 | \$ 4.22 | 16.1% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 36.97 | \$ 6.50 | 21.3% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 40.06 | \$ 7.56 | 23.3% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 35.99 | \$ 1.28 | 3.7% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 40.96 | \$ 3.00 | 7.9% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 43.15 | \$ 3.76 | 9.5% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 51.86 | \$ 6.77 | 15.0% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 56.68 | \$ 8.42 | 17.4% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 69.92 | \$ 12.98 | 22.8% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 76.14 | \$ 15.15 | 24.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 1.21 | 6.3% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 24.12 | \$ 2.53 | 11.7% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 27.30 | \$ 3.64 | 15.4% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 37.35 | \$ 7.10 | 23.5% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 18.56 | \$ 1.21 | 7.0% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 22.38 | \$ 2.53 | 12.7% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 25.56 | \$ 3.64 | 16.6% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 35.61 | \$ 7.10 | 24.9% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 27.56 | \$ 2.41 | 9.6% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 35.20 | \$ 5.05 | 16.7% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 41.59 | \$ 7.25 | 21.1% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 61.65 | \$ 14.17 | 29.8% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 1.84 | 19.4% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 2.80 | 23.6% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 20.56 | \$ 4.25 | 26.1% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 35.74 | \$ 7.70 | 27.5% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 45.44 | \$ 10.20 | 28.9% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 13.62 | \$ 1.84 | 15.6% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 16.77 | \$ 2.80 | 20.0% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 23.26 | \$ 4.25 | 22.4% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 38.65 | \$ 7.70 | 24.9% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 48.41 | \$ 10.20 | 26.7% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 17.34 | \$ 1.84 | 11.9% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 20.61 | \$ 2.80 | 15.7% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 4.25 | 18.8% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 40.51 | \$ 7.70 | 23.5% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 50.05 | \$ 10.20 | 25.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 20.91 | \$ 3.71 | 21.6% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 39.13 | \$ 8.47 | 27.6% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 41.79 | \$ 8.47 | 25.4% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 33.70 | \$ 5.58 | 19.8% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 45.06 | \$ 8.47 | 23.1% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 12.42 | \$ 1.13 | 10.0% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 15.20 | \$ 1.67 | 12.3% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 20.05 | \$ 2.36 | 13.3% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 18.54 | \$ 2.82 | 17.9% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 26.28 | \$ 4.38 | 20.0% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 14.27 | \$ 1.13 | 8.6% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 16.52 | \$ 1.67 | 11.2% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 22.17 | \$ 2.36 | 11.9% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 22.51 | \$ 2.82 | 14.3% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 4.38 | 17.3% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 18.02 | \$ 1.13 | 6.7% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 29.32 | \$ 1.13 | 4.0% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 17.53 | \$ 1.67 | 10.5% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 26.12 | \$ 2.36 | 9.9% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 24.21 | \$ 2.82 | 13.2% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 2.82 | 7.7% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 4.38 | 16.8% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 47.49 | \$ 0.67 | 1.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 24.40 | \$ 2.26 | 10.2% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 3.34 | 13.3% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 37.26 | \$ 5.64 | 17.8% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 25.29 | \$ 2.26 | 9.8% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 28.96 | \$ 3.34 | 13.0% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 38.67 | \$ 5.64 | 17.1% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 29.53 | \$ 2.26 | 8.3% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 35.64 | \$ 3.34 | 10.3% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 44.92 | \$ 5.64 | 14.4% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 48.44 | \$ 8.75 | 22.0% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.95 | \$ 0.67 | 52.3% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 3.87 | \$ 1.34 | 53.0% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 6.95 | \$ 2.02 | 41.0% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 10.38 | \$ 2.68 | 34.8% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 3.36 | 35.0% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 17.51 | \$ 4.01 | 29.7% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 20.89 | \$ 4.70 | 29.0% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 26.79 | \$ 5.38 | 25.1% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 30.57 | \$ 6.05 | 24.7% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 6.71 | 52.7% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 22.49 | \$ 7.38 | 48.8% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 25.96 | \$ 8.06 | 45.0% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 8.72 | 44.0% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 33.08 | \$ 9.40 | 39.7% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 36.46 | \$ 10.06 | 38.1% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 42.34 | \$ 10.72 | 33.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 10.73 | \$ (0.75) | -6.5% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 27.93 | \$ (1.67) | -5.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 49.36 | \$ (4.05) | -7.6% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 17.32 | \$ (0.75) | -4.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 14.66 | \$ (0.94) | -6.0% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 26.37 | \$ (1.74) | -6.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 11.47 | \$ 1.65 | 16.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 22.94 | \$ 3.32 | 16.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 34.43 | \$ 4.97 | 16.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 6.60 | 16.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 57.36 | \$ 8.27 | 16.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 68.82 | \$ 9.93 | 16.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 32.91 | \$ (0.43) | -1.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 61.82 | \$ (1.85) | -2.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.83 | \$ 90.71 | \$ (4.12) | -4.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.00 | \$ 119.63 | \$ (6.37) | -5.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 157.16 | \$ 148.56 | \$ (8.60) | -5.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 188.32 | \$ 177.47 | \$ (10.85) | -5.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 250.62 | \$ 235.25 | \$ (15.37) | -6.1% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.72 | \$ 292.85 | \$ (19.87) | -6.4% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.80 | \$ 350.40 | \$ (24.40) | -6.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 436.91 | \$ 408.00 | \$ (28.91) | -6.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 498.97 | \$ 465.59 | \$ (33.38) | -6.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 561.09 | \$ 523.18 | \$ (37.91) | -6.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 623.18 | \$ 580.76 | \$ (42.42) | -6.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 685.25 | \$ 638.32 | \$ (46.93) | -6.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 747.36 | \$ 695.91 | \$ (51.45) | -6.9% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 809.42 | \$ 753.50 | \$ (55.92) | -6.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 871.54 | \$ 811.10 | \$ (60.44) | -6.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 933.63 | \$ 868.69 | \$ (64.94) | -7.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 995.71 | \$ 926.25 | \$ (69.46) | -7.0% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,057.82 | \$ 983.83 | \$ (73.99) | -7.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,119.88 | \$ 1,041.42 | \$ (78.46) | -7.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,181.99 | \$ 1,099.01 | \$ (82.98) | -7.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,244.07 | \$ 1,156.58 | \$ (87.49) | -7.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,306.18 | \$ 1,214.17 | \$ (92.01) | -7.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,368.27 | \$ 1,271.75 | \$ (96.52) | -7.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,430.37 | \$ 1,329.37 | \$ (101.00) | -7.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,492.44 | \$ 1,386.92 | \$ (105.52) | -7.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 22.84 | \$ 22.41 | \$ (0.43) | -1.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 42.67 | \$ 40.82 | \$ (1.85) | -4.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 54.33 | \$ 50.21 | \$ (4.12) | -7.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 66.00 | \$ 59.63 | \$ (6.37) | -9.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 77.66 | \$ 69.06 | \$ (8.60) | -11.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 89.32 | \$ 78.47 | \$ (10.85) | -12.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 112.62 | \$ 97.25 | \$ (15.37) | -13.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 135.72 | \$ 115.85 | \$ (19.87) | -14.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 158.80 | \$ 134.40 | \$ (24.40) | -15.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 181.91 | \$ 153.00 | \$ (28.91) | -15.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 204.97 | \$ 171.59 | \$ (33.38) | -16.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 228.09 | \$ 190.18 | \$ (37.91) | -16.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 251.18 | \$ 208.76 | \$ (42.42) | -16.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 274.25 | \$ 227.32 | \$ (46.93) | -17.1% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 297.36 | \$ 245.91 | \$ (51.45) | -17.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 320.42 | \$ 264.50 | \$ (55.92) | -17.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 343.54 | \$ 283.10 | \$ (60.44) | -17.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 366.63 | \$ 301.69 | \$ (64.94) | -17.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 389.71 | \$ 320.25 | \$ (69.46) | -17.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 412.82 | \$ 338.83 | \$ (73.99) | -17.9% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 435.88 | \$ 357.42 | \$ (78.46) | -18.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 458.99 | \$ 376.01 | \$ (82.98) | -18.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 482.07 | \$ 394.58 | \$ (87.49) | -18.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 505.18 | \$ 413.17 | \$ (92.01) | -18.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 528.27 | \$ 431.75 | \$ (96.52) | -18.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 551.37 | \$ 450.37 | \$ (101.00) | -18.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 574.44 | \$ 468.92 | \$ (105.52) | -18.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 32.91 | \$ (0.43) | -1.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 61.82 | \$ (1.85) | -2.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 89.33 | \$ 85.21 | \$ (4.12) | -4.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 115.00 | \$ 108.63 | \$ (6.37) | -5.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.66 | \$ 132.06 | \$ (8.60) | -6.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 166.32 | \$ 155.47 | \$ (10.85) | -6.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 217.62 | \$ 202.25 | \$ (15.37) | -7.1% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 268.72 | \$ 248.85 | \$ (19.87) | -7.4% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 319.80 | \$ 295.40 | \$ (24.40) | -7.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 370.91 | \$ 342.00 | \$ (28.91) | -7.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 421.97 | \$ 388.59 | \$ (33.38) | -7.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 473.09 | \$ 435.18 | \$ (37.91) | -8.0% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 524.18 | \$ 481.76 | \$ (42.42) | -8.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 575.25 | \$ 528.32 | \$ (46.93) | -8.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 626.36 | \$ 574.91 | \$ (51.45) | -8.2% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 677.42 | \$ 621.50 | \$ (55.92) | -8.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 728.54 | \$ 668.10 | \$ (60.44) | -8.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 779.63 | \$ 714.69 | \$ (64.94) | -8.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 830.71 | \$ 761.25 | \$ (69.46) | -8.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 881.82 | \$ 807.83 | \$ (73.99) | -8.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 932.88 | \$ 854.42 | \$ (78.46) | -8.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 983.99 | \$ 901.01 | \$ (82.98) | -8.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,035.07 | \$ 947.58 | \$ (87.49) | -8.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,086.18 | \$ 994.17 | \$ (92.01) | -8.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,137.27 | \$ 1,040.75 | \$ (96.52) | -8.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,188.37 | \$ 1,087.37 | \$ (101.00) | -8.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,239.44 | \$ 1,133.92 | \$ (105.52) | -8.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 2.51 | 7.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 66.76 | \$ 5.02 | 8.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 98.12 | \$ 5.02 | 5.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 129.51 | \$ 5.03 | 4.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 160.91 | \$ 5.07 | 3.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 192.28 | \$ 5.07 | 2.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 255.00 | \$ 5.08 | 2.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 317.54 | \$ 5.10 | 1.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 380.02 | \$ 5.10 | 1.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 442.55 | \$ 5.12 | 1.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 505.08 | \$ 5.17 | 1.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 567.61 | \$ 5.18 | 0.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 630.12 | \$ 5.18 | 0.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 692.62 | \$ 5.21 | 0.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 755.15 | \$ 5.22 | 0.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 817.67 | \$ 5.26 | 0.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 880.21 | \$ 5.28 | 0.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 942.74 | \$ 5.30 | 0.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 1,005.23 | \$ 5.30 | 0.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,067.74 | \$ 5.31 | 0.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,130.27 | \$ 5.36 | 0.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,192.80 | \$ 5.37 | 0.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,255.30 | \$ 5.38 | 0.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,317.83 | \$ 5.39 | 0.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,380.35 | \$ 5.41 | 0.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,442.90 | \$ 5.45 | 0.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,505.39 | \$ 5.46 | 0.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 2.51 | 7.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 66.76 | \$ 5.02 | 8.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 98.12 | \$ 5.02 | 5.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 129.51 | \$ 5.03 | 4.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 160.91 | \$ 5.07 | 3.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 192.28 | \$ 5.07 | 2.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 255.00 | \$ 5.08 | 2.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 317.54 | \$ 5.10 | 1.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 380.02 | \$ 5.10 | 1.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 442.55 | \$ 5.12 | 1.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 505.08 | \$ 5.17 | 1.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 567.61 | \$ 5.18 | 0.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 630.12 | \$ 5.18 | 0.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 692.62 | \$ 5.21 | 0.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 755.15 | \$ 5.22 | 0.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 817.67 | \$ 5.26 | 0.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 880.21 | \$ 5.28 | 0.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 942.74 | \$ 5.30 | 0.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 1,005.23 | \$ 5.30 | 0.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,067.74 | \$ 5.31 | 0.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,130.27 | \$ 5.36 | 0.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,192.80 | \$ 5.37 | 0.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,255.30 | \$ 5.38 | 0.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,317.83 | \$ 5.39 | 0.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,380.35 | \$ 5.41 | 0.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,442.90 | \$ 5.45 | 0.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,505.39 | \$ 5.46 | 0.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 2.51 | 7.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 66.76 | \$ 5.02 | 8.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 98.12 | \$ 5.02 | 5.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 129.51 | \$ 5.03 | 4.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 160.91 | \$ 5.07 | 3.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 192.28 | \$ 5.07 | 2.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 255.00 | \$ 5.08 | 2.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 317.54 | \$ 5.10 | 1.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 380.02 | \$ 5.10 | 1.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 442.55 | \$ 5.12 | 1.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 505.08 | \$ 5.17 | 1.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 567.61 | \$ 5.18 | 0.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 630.12 | \$ 5.18 | 0.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 692.62 | \$ 5.21 | 0.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 755.15 | \$ 5.22 | 0.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 817.67 | \$ 5.26 | 0.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 880.21 | \$ 5.28 | 0.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 942.74 | \$ 5.30 | 0.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 1,005.23 | \$ 5.30 | 0.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,067.74 | \$ 5.31 | 0.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,130.27 | \$ 5.36 | 0.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,192.80 | \$ 5.37 | 0.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,255.30 | \$ 5.38 | 0.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,317.83 | \$ 5.39 | 0.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,380.35 | \$ 5.41 | 0.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,442.90 | \$ 5.45 | 0.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,505.39 | \$ 5.46 | 0.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 166.00 | \$ (0.83) | -0.5% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 248.06 | \$ (16.04) | -6.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 329.70 | \$ (31.25) | -8.7% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 411.32 | \$ (46.47) | -10.2% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 492.96 | \$ (61.69) | -11.1% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 574.58 | \$ (76.89) | -11.8% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 18,160.19 | \$ (82.07) | -0.4% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 26,266.79 | \$ (1,603.42) | -5.8% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 34,373.37 | \$ (3,124.79) | -8.3% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 42,479.96 | \$ (4,646.15) | -9.9% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 50,586.54 | \$ (6,167.51) | -10.9% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 58,693.14 | \$ (7,688.87) | -11.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,646.44 | \$ (196.61) | -3.4% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 9,347.04 | \$ (426.78) | -4.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 13,047.66 | \$ (656.94) | -4.8% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 16,748.26 | \$ (887.11) | -5.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 20,448.86 | \$ (1,117.28) | -5.2% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 24,149.48 | \$ (1,347.44) | -5.3% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 55,030.42 | \$ (1,966.14) | -3.4% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 91,805.51 | \$ (4,267.80) | -4.4% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 128,119.96 | \$ (6,569.44) | -4.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 164,434.39 | \$ (8,871.11) | -5.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 200,748.84 | \$ (11,172.75) | -5.3% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 237,063.30 | \$ (13,474.39) | -5.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 9,886.50 | \$ 834.50 | 9.2% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 17,198.87 | \$ 1,071.30 | 6.6% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 24,511.25 | \$ 1,308.10 | 5.6% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 31,823.63 | \$ 1,544.90 | 5.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 39,135.99 | \$ 1,781.69 | 4.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 46,448.38 | \$ 2,018.50 | 4.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 96,930.07 | \$ 8,344.99 | 9.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 168,670.52 | \$ 10,712.98 | 6.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 240,410.98 | \$ 13,080.97 | 5.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 312,151.43 | \$ 15,448.95 | 5.2% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 383,891.90 | \$ 17,816.95 | 4.9% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 455,632.33 | \$ 20,184.93 | 4.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 26,256.58 | \$ (83.89) | -0.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 34,667.84 | \$ (1,601.79) | -4.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 43,079.10 | \$ (3,119.68) | -6.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 51,490.36 | \$ (4,637.58) | -8.3% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 59,670.61 | \$ (6,155.47) | -9.4% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 67,805.21 | \$ (7,673.36) | -10.2% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 257,987.62 | \$ (838.94) | -0.3% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 339,333.62 | \$ (16,017.88) | -4.5% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 420,679.62 | \$ (31,196.82) | -6.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 502,025.62 | \$ (46,375.76) | -8.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 583,371.63 | \$ (61,554.70) | -9.5% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 664,717.63 | \$ (76,733.64) | -10.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.34 | \$ (0.19) | -1.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 14.25 | \$ (0.40) | -2.7% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 15.07 | \$ (0.52) | -3.3% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 18.39 | \$ (0.93) | -4.8% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 20.23 | \$ (1.17) | -5.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 25.26 | \$ (1.79) | -6.6% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 27.65 | \$ (2.08) | -7.0% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.50 | \$ (0.19) | -2.5% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 9.41 | \$ (0.40) | -4.1% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 10.23 | \$ (0.52) | -4.8% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 13.55 | \$ (0.93) | -6.4% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 15.39 | \$ (1.17) | -7.1% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 20.42 | \$ (1.79) | -8.1% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 22.81 | \$ (2.08) | -8.4% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 11.47 | \$ (0.52) | -4.3% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 14.96 | \$ (0.77) | -4.9% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 20.76 | \$ (1.16) | -5.3% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 46.02 | \$ (2.81) | -5.8% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 15.82 | \$ (0.52) | -3.2% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 22.38 | \$ (0.52) | -2.3% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 26.68 | \$ (0.77) | -2.8% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 32.70 | \$ (1.16) | -3.4% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 32.95 | \$ (1.16) | -3.4% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 51.54 | \$ (2.34) | -4.3% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 59.85 | \$ (2.81) | -4.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(\$C)) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.78 | \$ (0.31) | -2.4% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 14.61 | \$ (0.47) | -3.1% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 19.41 | \$ (0.78) | -3.9% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 24.82 | \$ (1.22) | -4.7% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.30 | \$ (0.31) | -1.8% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.34 | \$ (0.31) | -1.3% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 26.42 | \$ (0.47) | -1.7% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 31.06 | \$ (0.78) | -2.4% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 49.87 | \$ (1.56) | -3.0% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 36.28 | \$ (1.22) | -3.3% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 22.77 | \$ (0.31) | -1.3% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 34.80 | \$ (0.31) | -0.9% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.15 | \$ (0.47) | -1.8% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 36.82 | \$ (0.47) | -1.3% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 30.64 | \$ (0.78) | -2.5% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 42.47 | \$ (0.78) | -1.8% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 36.05 | \$ (1.22) | -3.3% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 48.69 | \$ (1.22) | -2.4% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.48 | \$ (0.20) | -11.9% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.95 | \$ (0.37) | -11.1% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 5.32 | \$ (0.55) | -9.4% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 7.96 | \$ (0.72) | -8.3% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 9.92 | \$ (0.93) | -8.6% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 13.41 | \$ (1.12) | -7.7% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 16.01 | \$ (1.30) | -7.5% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 20.57 | \$ (1.48) | -6.7% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 23.48 | \$ (1.65) | -6.6% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.81 | \$ (1.87) | -11.2% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 17.15 | \$ (2.06) | -10.7% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 19.79 | \$ (2.22) | -10.1% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 21.77 | \$ (2.42) | -10.0% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 25.27 | \$ (2.59) | -9.3% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 27.88 | \$ (2.77) | -9.0% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 32.39 | \$ (2.99) | -8.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or (A) Watts) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.48 | \$ (0.20) | -11.9% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 2.95 | \$ (0.37) | -11.1% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 6.77 | \$ (0.55) | -7.5% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 11.34 | \$ (0.72) | -6.0% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 14.13 | \$ (0.93) | -6.2% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 21.00 | \$ (1.12) | -5.1% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 25.47 | \$ (1.30) | -4.9% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 35.15 | \$ (1.48) | -4.0% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 40.48 | \$ (1.65) | -3.9% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 14.81 | \$ (1.87) | -11.2% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 18.60 | \$ (2.06) | -10.0% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 23.17 | \$ (2.22) | -8.7% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 25.98 | \$ (2.42) | -8.5% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 32.86 | \$ (2.59) | -7.3% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 37.34 | \$ (2.77) | -6.9% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 46.97 | \$ (2.99) | -6.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 13.21 | \$ (1.14) | -7.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 26.54 | \$ (2.57) | -8.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 50.81 | \$ (6.20) | -10.9% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 15.55 | \$ (1.14) | -6.8% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.70 | \$ (0.69) | -4.2% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 19.34 | \$ (1.02) | -5.0% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 23.76 | \$ (1.72) | -6.8% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 31.77 | \$ (2.67) | -7.8% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 18.78 | \$ (0.69) | -3.5% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 24.74 | \$ (1.02) | -4.0% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 38.55 | \$ (1.44) | -3.6% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 30.50 | \$ (1.72) | -5.3% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 42.24 | \$ (1.72) | -3.9% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 36.09 | \$ (2.67) | -6.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 4.02 | \$ 0.02 | 0.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 8.01 | \$ (0.02) | -0.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 12.00 | \$ (0.02) | -0.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 15.99 | \$ (0.07) | -0.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 20.01 | \$ (0.05) | -0.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 24.03 | \$ (0.06) | -0.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 175.87 | \$ 13.16 | 8.1% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 267.81 | \$ 11.94 | 4.7% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 359.31 | \$ 10.71 | 3.1% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 450.81 | \$ 9.49 | 2.2% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 542.32 | \$ 8.26 | 1.5% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 633.81 | \$ 7.04 | 1.1% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 19,147.39 | \$ 1,316.83 | 7.4% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 28,241.19 | \$ 1,194.38 | 4.4% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 37,334.97 | \$ 1,071.91 | 3.0% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 46,428.76 | \$ 949.45 | 2.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 55,522.54 | \$ 826.99 | 1.5% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 64,616.34 | \$ 704.53 | 1.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) (A) | Current Summer Bill (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 6,122.89 | \$ 122.09 | 2.0% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 10,299.94 | \$ (139.38) | -1.3% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 14,477.01 | \$ (400.84) | -2.7% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 18,654.06 | \$ (662.31) | -3.4% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 22,831.11 | \$ (923.78) | -3.9% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 27,008.18 | \$ (1,185.24) | -4.2% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 59,794.92 | \$ 1,220.86 | 2.1% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 101,334.51 | \$ (1,393.80) | -1.4% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 142,413.46 | \$ (4,008.44) | -2.7% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 183,492.39 | \$ (6,623.11) | -3.5% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 224,571.34 | \$ (9,237.75) | -4.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 265,650.30 | \$ (11,852.39) | -4.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 10,812.60 | \$ 1,285.70 | 13.5% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 19,051.07 | \$ 1,461.70 | 8.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 27,289.55 | \$ 1,637.70 | 6.4% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 35,528.03 | \$ 1,813.70 | 5.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 43,766.49 | \$ 1,989.69 | 4.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 52,004.98 | \$ 2,165.70 | 4.3% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 106,191.07 | \$ 12,856.99 | 13.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 187,192.52 | \$ 14,616.98 | 8.5% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 268,193.98 | \$ 16,376.97 | 6.5% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 349,195.43 | \$ 18,136.95 | 5.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 430,196.90 | \$ 19,896.95 | 4.8% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 511,198.33 | \$ 21,656.93 | 4.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 28,106.98 | \$ (125.29) | -0.4% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 38,368.64 | \$ (1,764.59) | -4.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 48,630.30 | \$ (3,403.88) | -6.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 58,891.96 | \$ (5,043.18) | -7.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 68,922.61 | \$ (6,682.47) | -8.8% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 78,907.61 | \$ (8,321.76) | -9.5% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 276,491.62 | \$ (1,252.94) | -0.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 376,341.62 | \$ (17,645.88) | -4.5% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 476,191.62 | \$ (34,038.82) | -6.7% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 576,041.62 | \$ (50,431.76) | -8.1% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 675,891.63 | \$ (66,824.70) | -9.0% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 775,741.63 | \$ (83,217.64) | -9.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.58 | \$ 0.52 | 4.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 1.26 | 9.3% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 15.76 | \$ 1.55 | 10.9% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 19.64 | \$ 2.81 | 16.7% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 21.77 | \$ 3.48 | 19.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 27.65 | \$ 5.40 | 24.3% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 30.43 | \$ 6.28 | 26.0% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 7.74 | \$ 0.52 | 7.2% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 9.96 | \$ 1.26 | 14.5% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 10.92 | \$ 1.55 | 16.5% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 2.81 | 23.4% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 16.93 | \$ 3.48 | 25.9% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 5.40 | 31.0% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 25.59 | \$ 6.28 | 32.5% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 12.16 | \$ 1.53 | 14.4% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 15.98 | \$ 2.31 | 16.9% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 22.32 | \$ 3.53 | 18.8% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 49.77 | \$ 8.46 | 20.5% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 16.51 | \$ 1.53 | 10.2% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 23.07 | \$ 1.53 | 7.1% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 27.70 | \$ 2.31 | 9.1% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 34.26 | \$ 3.53 | 11.5% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 34.51 | \$ 3.53 | 11.4% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 54.66 | \$ 7.03 | 14.8% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 63.60 | \$ 8.46 | 15.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kW/H) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 0.94 | 7.7% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 15.23 | \$ 1.38 | 10.0% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 2.34 | 12.9% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 26.43 | \$ 3.62 | 15.9% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 17.72 | \$ 0.94 | 5.6% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 0.94 | 3.9% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 1.38 | 5.4% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 32.10 | \$ 2.34 | 7.9% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 51.95 | \$ 4.68 | 9.9% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 37.89 | \$ 3.62 | 10.6% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.19 | \$ 0.94 | 4.2% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.22 | \$ 0.94 | 2.7% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 25.77 | \$ 1.38 | 5.7% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 37.44 | \$ 1.38 | 3.8% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 31.68 | \$ 2.34 | 8.0% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 43.51 | \$ 2.34 | 5.7% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 37.66 | \$ 3.62 | 10.6% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 50.30 | \$ 3.62 | 7.8% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.73 | \$ 0.55 | 46.6% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.44 | \$ 1.11 | 47.6% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 6.06 | \$ 1.67 | 38.0% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 8.94 | \$ 2.23 | 33.2% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 11.15 | \$ 2.77 | 33.1% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 14.90 | \$ 3.34 | 28.9% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 3.90 | 28.2% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 22.55 | \$ 4.47 | 24.7% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 25.70 | \$ 5.03 | 24.3% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 17.28 | \$ 5.55 | 47.3% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 19.87 | \$ 6.11 | 44.4% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 22.75 | \$ 6.68 | 41.6% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 24.98 | \$ 7.23 | 40.7% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 28.72 | \$ 7.79 | 37.2% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 31.58 | \$ 8.35 | 35.9% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 36.34 | \$ 8.88 | 32.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

73 Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.73 | \$ 0.55 | 46.6% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.44 | \$ 1.11 | 47.6% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 7.51 | \$ 1.67 | 28.6% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 12.32 | \$ 2.23 | 22.1% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 15.36 | \$ 2.77 | 22.0% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 22.49 | \$ 3.34 | 17.4% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 3.90 | 16.7% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 37.13 | \$ 4.47 | 13.7% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 42.70 | \$ 5.03 | 13.4% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 17.28 | \$ 5.55 | 47.3% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 21.32 | \$ 6.11 | 40.2% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 26.13 | \$ 6.68 | 34.3% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 29.19 | \$ 7.23 | 32.9% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 36.31 | \$ 7.79 | 27.3% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 41.04 | \$ 8.35 | 25.5% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 50.92 | \$ 8.88 | 21.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 13.90 | \$ 0.93 | 7.2% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 28.10 | \$ 2.17 | 8.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 54.56 | \$ 5.18 | 10.5% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 16.24 | \$ 0.93 | 6.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 16.12 | \$ 0.58 | 3.7% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.96 | \$ 0.85 | 4.4% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 1.43 | 6.1% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 33.38 | \$ 2.22 | 7.1% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 19.20 | \$ 0.58 | 3.1% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 25.36 | \$ 0.85 | 3.5% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 39.42 | \$ 1.20 | 3.1% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 1.43 | 4.7% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 43.28 | \$ 1.43 | 3.4% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 37.70 | \$ 2.22 | 6.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 5.00 | \$ 0.05 | 1.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 9.99 | \$ 0.09 | 0.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 0.12 | 0.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 19.94 | \$ 0.13 | 0.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 24.95 | \$ 0.20 | 0.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 29.95 | \$ 0.23 | 0.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 33.10 | \$ 1.08 | 3.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 62.22 | \$ 1.15 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 92.00 | \$ 91.32 | \$ (0.68) | -0.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 122.93 | \$ 120.44 | \$ (2.49) | -2.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 153.86 | \$ 149.56 | \$ (4.30) | -2.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 184.80 | \$ 178.67 | \$ (6.13) | -3.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 246.65 | \$ 236.89 | \$ (9.76) | -4.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 308.31 | \$ 294.90 | \$ (13.41) | -4.3% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 369.95 | \$ 352.88 | \$ (17.07) | -4.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 431.58 | \$ 410.87 | \$ (20.71) | -4.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 493.20 | \$ 468.86 | \$ (24.34) | -4.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 554.84 | \$ 526.85 | \$ (27.99) | -5.0% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 616.49 | \$ 584.85 | \$ (31.64) | -5.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 678.12 | \$ 642.83 | \$ (35.29) | -5.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 739.74 | \$ 700.81 | \$ (38.93) | -5.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 801.37 | \$ 758.81 | \$ (42.56) | -5.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 863.02 | \$ 816.81 | \$ (46.21) | -5.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 924.68 | \$ 874.82 | \$ (49.86) | -5.4% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 986.30 | \$ 932.79 | \$ (53.51) | -5.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,047.94 | \$ 990.79 | \$ (57.15) | -5.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,109.57 | \$ 1,048.79 | \$ (60.78) | -5.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,171.20 | \$ 1,106.78 | \$ (64.42) | -5.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,232.84 | \$ 1,164.76 | \$ (68.08) | -5.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,294.48 | \$ 1,222.74 | \$ (71.74) | -5.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,356.11 | \$ 1,280.74 | \$ (75.37) | -5.6% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,417.76 | \$ 1,338.75 | \$ (79.01) | -5.6% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,479.38 | \$ 1,396.74 | \$ (82.64) | -5.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 33.10 | \$ 1.08 | 3.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 62.22 | \$ 1.15 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.82 | \$ 82.14 | \$ (0.68) | -0.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 104.58 | \$ 102.09 | \$ (2.49) | -2.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 126.33 | \$ 122.03 | \$ (4.30) | -3.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 138.35 | \$ 132.22 | \$ (6.13) | -4.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 162.35 | \$ 152.59 | \$ (9.76) | -6.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 186.16 | \$ 172.75 | \$ (13.41) | -7.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 209.95 | \$ 192.88 | \$ (17.07) | -8.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 233.73 | \$ 213.02 | \$ (20.71) | -8.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 257.50 | \$ 233.16 | \$ (24.34) | -9.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 281.29 | \$ 253.30 | \$ (27.99) | -10.0% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 305.09 | \$ 273.45 | \$ (31.64) | -10.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 328.87 | \$ 293.58 | \$ (35.29) | -10.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 352.64 | \$ 313.71 | \$ (38.93) | -11.0% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 376.42 | \$ 333.86 | \$ (42.56) | -11.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 400.22 | \$ 354.01 | \$ (46.21) | -11.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 424.03 | \$ 374.17 | \$ (49.86) | -11.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 447.80 | \$ 394.29 | \$ (53.51) | -11.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 471.59 | \$ 414.44 | \$ (57.15) | -12.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 495.37 | \$ 434.59 | \$ (60.78) | -12.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 519.15 | \$ 454.73 | \$ (64.42) | -12.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 542.94 | \$ 474.86 | \$ (68.08) | -12.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 566.73 | \$ 494.99 | \$ (71.74) | -12.7% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 590.51 | \$ 515.14 | \$ (75.37) | -12.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 614.31 | \$ 535.30 | \$ (79.01) | -12.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 638.08 | \$ 555.44 | \$ (82.64) | -13.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 33.10 | \$ 1.08 | 3.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 62.22 | \$ 1.15 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 87.57 | \$ 86.89 | \$ (0.68) | -0.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 114.08 | \$ 111.59 | \$ (2.49) | -2.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.58 | \$ 136.28 | \$ (4.30) | -3.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 167.10 | \$ 160.97 | \$ (6.13) | -3.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 220.10 | \$ 210.34 | \$ (9.76) | -4.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 272.91 | \$ 259.50 | \$ (13.41) | -4.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 325.70 | \$ 308.63 | \$ (17.07) | -5.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 378.48 | \$ 357.77 | \$ (20.71) | -5.5% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 431.25 | \$ 406.91 | \$ (24.34) | -5.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 484.04 | \$ 456.05 | \$ (27.99) | -5.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 536.84 | \$ 505.20 | \$ (31.64) | -5.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 589.62 | \$ 554.33 | \$ (35.29) | -6.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 642.39 | \$ 603.46 | \$ (38.93) | -6.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 695.17 | \$ 652.61 | \$ (42.56) | -6.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 747.97 | \$ 701.76 | \$ (46.21) | -6.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 800.78 | \$ 750.92 | \$ (49.86) | -6.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 853.55 | \$ 800.04 | \$ (53.51) | -6.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 906.34 | \$ 849.19 | \$ (57.15) | -6.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 959.12 | \$ 898.34 | \$ (60.78) | -6.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,011.90 | \$ 947.48 | \$ (64.42) | -6.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,064.69 | \$ 996.61 | \$ (68.08) | -6.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,117.48 | \$ 1,045.74 | \$ (71.74) | -6.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,170.26 | \$ 1,094.89 | \$ (75.37) | -6.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,223.06 | \$ 1,144.05 | \$ (79.01) | -6.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,275.83 | \$ 1,193.19 | \$ (82.64) | -6.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 35.57 | \$ 2.26 | 6.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 67.16 | \$ 4.50 | 7.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 98.73 | \$ 4.25 | 4.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 130.32 | \$ 4.01 | 3.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 161.91 | \$ 3.79 | 2.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 193.48 | \$ 3.52 | 1.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 256.64 | \$ 3.04 | 1.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 319.59 | \$ 2.54 | 0.8% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 382.50 | \$ 2.04 | 0.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 445.42 | \$ 1.55 | 0.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 508.35 | \$ 1.07 | 0.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 571.28 | \$ 0.58 | 0.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 634.21 | \$ 0.07 | 0.0% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 697.13 | \$ (0.42) | -0.1% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 760.05 | \$ (0.91) | -0.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 822.98 | \$ (1.40) | -0.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 885.92 | \$ (1.89) | -0.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 948.87 | \$ (2.39) | -0.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 1,011.77 | \$ (2.89) | -0.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,074.70 | \$ (3.37) | -0.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,137.64 | \$ (3.85) | -0.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,200.57 | \$ (4.34) | -0.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,263.48 | \$ (4.85) | -0.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,326.40 | \$ (5.36) | -0.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,389.34 | \$ (5.84) | -0.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,452.28 | \$ (6.33) | -0.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,515.21 | \$ (6.81) | -0.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 35.57 | \$ 2.26 | 6.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 67.16 | \$ 4.50 | 7.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 98.73 | \$ 4.25 | 4.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 130.32 | \$ 4.01 | 3.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 161.91 | \$ 3.79 | 2.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 193.48 | \$ 3.52 | 1.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 256.64 | \$ 3.04 | 1.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 319.59 | \$ 2.54 | 0.8% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 382.50 | \$ 2.04 | 0.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 445.42 | \$ 1.55 | 0.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 508.35 | \$ 1.07 | 0.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 571.28 | \$ 0.58 | 0.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 634.21 | \$ 0.07 | 0.0% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 697.13 | \$ (0.42) | -0.1% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 760.05 | \$ (0.91) | -0.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 822.98 | \$ (1.40) | -0.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 885.92 | \$ (1.89) | -0.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 948.87 | \$ (2.39) | -0.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 1,011.77 | \$ (2.89) | -0.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,074.70 | \$ (3.37) | -0.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,137.64 | \$ (3.85) | -0.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,200.57 | \$ (4.34) | -0.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,263.48 | \$ (4.85) | -0.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,326.40 | \$ (5.36) | -0.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,389.34 | \$ (5.84) | -0.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,452.28 | \$ (6.33) | -0.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,515.21 | \$ (6.81) | -0.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 35.57 | \$ 2.26 | 6.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 67.16 | \$ 4.50 | 7.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 98.73 | \$ 4.25 | 4.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 130.32 | \$ 4.01 | 3.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 161.91 | \$ 3.79 | 2.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 193.48 | \$ 3.52 | 1.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 256.64 | \$ 3.04 | 1.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 319.59 | \$ 2.54 | 0.8% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 382.50 | \$ 2.04 | 0.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 445.42 | \$ 1.55 | 0.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 508.35 | \$ 1.07 | 0.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 571.28 | \$ 0.58 | 0.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 634.21 | \$ 0.07 | 0.0% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 697.13 | \$ (0.42) | -0.1% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 760.05 | \$ (0.91) | -0.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 822.98 | \$ (1.40) | -0.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 885.92 | \$ (1.89) | -0.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 948.87 | \$ (2.39) | -0.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 1,011.77 | \$ (2.89) | -0.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,074.70 | \$ (3.37) | -0.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,137.64 | \$ (3.85) | -0.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,200.57 | \$ (4.34) | -0.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,263.48 | \$ (4.85) | -0.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,326.40 | \$ (5.36) | -0.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,389.34 | \$ (5.84) | -0.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,452.28 | \$ (6.33) | -0.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,515.21 | \$ (6.81) | -0.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 146.49 | \$ 2.95 | 2.1% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 227.58 | \$ (3.49) | -1.5% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 308.26 | \$ (9.93) | -3.1% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 388.89 | \$ (16.37) | -4.0% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 469.53 | \$ (22.82) | -4.6% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 550.16 | \$ (29.26) | -5.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 15,300.58 | \$ 295.33 | 2.0% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 23,308.87 | \$ (349.02) | -1.5% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 31,317.15 | \$ (993.39) | -3.1% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 39,325.43 | \$ (1,637.75) | -4.0% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 47,333.71 | \$ (2,282.12) | -4.6% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 55,342.01 | \$ (2,926.46) | -5.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) (A) | Current Winter Bill (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 6,160.39 | \$ 266.34 | 4.5% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 9,827.96 | \$ 70.37 | 0.7% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 13,495.52 | \$ (125.59) | -0.9% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 17,163.09 | \$ (321.56) | -1.8% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 20,830.66 | \$ (517.52) | -2.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 24,498.24 | \$ (713.49) | -2.8% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 60,170.01 | \$ 2,663.35 | 4.6% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 96,682.19 | \$ 703.70 | 0.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 132,868.34 | \$ (1,255.94) | -0.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 169,054.46 | \$ (3,215.60) | -1.9% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 205,240.60 | \$ (5,175.25) | -2.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 241,426.74 | \$ (7,134.90) | -2.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 9,584.27 | \$ 734.80 | 8.3% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 16,730.21 | \$ 847.10 | 5.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 23,876.16 | \$ 959.40 | 4.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 31,022.10 | \$ 1,071.70 | 3.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 38,168.04 | \$ 1,183.99 | 3.2% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 45,314.00 | \$ 1,296.30 | 2.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 93,795.26 | \$ 7,347.99 | 8.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 164,275.60 | \$ 8,470.98 | 5.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 234,755.95 | \$ 9,593.97 | 4.3% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 305,236.29 | \$ 10,716.95 | 3.6% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 375,716.65 | \$ 11,839.95 | 3.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 446,196.98 | \$ 12,962.93 | 3.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 28,356.12 | \$ 1,028.71 | 3.8% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 37,768.51 | \$ 595.41 | 1.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 47,180.92 | \$ 162.12 | 0.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 56,593.31 | \$ (271.18) | -0.5% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 65,842.20 | \$ (704.47) | -1.1% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 75,058.78 | \$ (1,137.76) | -1.5% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 279,454.70 | \$ 10,287.06 | 3.8% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 371,620.48 | \$ 5,954.12 | 1.6% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 463,786.27 | \$ 1,621.18 | 0.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 555,952.05 | \$ (2,711.76) | -0.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 648,117.84 | \$ (7,044.70) | -1.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 740,283.62 | \$ (11,377.64) | -1.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 17.90 | \$ (0.07) | -0.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 19.52 | \$ (0.17) | -0.9% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 20.22 | \$ (0.21) | -1.0% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 23.05 | \$ (0.39) | -1.7% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 24.63 | \$ (0.47) | -1.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 28.90 | \$ (0.72) | -2.4% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 30.92 | \$ (0.84) | -2.6% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 7.97 | \$ (0.13) | -1.6% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 8.53 | \$ (0.22) | -2.5% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 10.50 | \$ (0.30) | -2.8% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 13.23 | \$ (0.47) | -3.4% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 20.19 | \$ (0.86) | -4.1% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 24.51 | \$ (1.14) | -4.4% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 15.79 | \$ (0.13) | -0.8% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 16.41 | \$ (0.22) | -1.3% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.32 | \$ (0.30) | -1.5% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 27.64 | \$ (0.62) | -2.2% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 21.47 | \$ (0.47) | -2.1% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 32.72 | \$ (0.95) | -2.8% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 29.95 | \$ (0.86) | -2.8% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 34.39 | \$ (1.14) | -3.2% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 59.70 | \$ (2.28) | -3.7% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 10.81 | \$ (0.13) | -1.2% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 11.90 | \$ (0.22) | -1.8% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 14.92 | \$ (0.30) | -2.0% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.19 | \$ (0.13) | -0.7% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.11 | \$ (0.22) | -1.1% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 23.72 | \$ (0.30) | -1.2% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 26.76 | \$ (0.47) | -1.7% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 51.89 | \$ (0.86) | -1.6% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 56.04 | \$ (1.14) | -2.0% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 79.66 | \$ (2.28) | -2.8% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 10.96 | \$ (0.22) | -2.0% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 13.16 | \$ (0.30) | -2.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 7.85 | \$ (0.09) | -1.1% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.16 | \$ (0.14) | -1.7% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 8.82 | \$ (0.18) | -2.0% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 10.37 | \$ (0.28) | -2.6% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 10.81 | \$ (0.31) | -2.8% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 13.69 | \$ (0.50) | -3.5% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 28.48 | \$ (1.23) | -4.1% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.64 | \$ (0.09) | -0.6% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 15.99 | \$ (0.14) | -0.9% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 17.69 | \$ (0.18) | -1.0% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 19.16 | \$ (0.28) | -1.4% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 19.61 | \$ (0.31) | -1.6% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 23.50 | \$ (0.50) | -2.1% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 37.45 | \$ (1.23) | -3.2% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 10.95 | \$ (0.09) | -0.8% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 11.60 | \$ (0.14) | -1.2% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 12.91 | \$ (0.18) | -1.4% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 17.94 | \$ (0.09) | -0.5% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 18.58 | \$ (0.14) | -0.7% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 22.11 | \$ (0.18) | -0.8% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 24.09 | \$ (0.27) | -1.1% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 21.76 | \$ (0.28) | -1.3% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 24.75 | \$ (0.31) | -1.2% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 26.86 | \$ (0.39) | -1.4% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 45.37 | \$ (0.50) | -1.1% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 57.89 | \$ (0.97) | -1.6% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 61.24 | \$ (1.23) | -2.0% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 11.51 | \$ (0.09) | -0.8% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 12.85 | \$ (0.14) | -1.1% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 13.66 | \$ (0.18) | -1.3% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 13.67 | \$ (0.28) | -2.0% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 16.23 | \$ (0.31) | -1.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.34 | \$ (0.08) | -5.6% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 2.67 | \$ (0.15) | -5.3% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 4.03 | \$ (0.22) | -5.2% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 5.38 | \$ (0.29) | -5.1% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 6.71 | \$ (0.37) | -5.2% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 8.03 | \$ (0.46) | -5.4% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 9.40 | \$ (0.52) | -5.2% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 10.74 | \$ (0.60) | -5.3% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 12.09 | \$ (0.66) | -5.2% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 13.41 | \$ (0.76) | -5.4% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 14.76 | \$ (0.83) | -5.3% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 16.11 | \$ (0.90) | -5.3% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 17.45 | \$ (0.97) | -5.3% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 18.78 | \$ (1.05) | -5.3% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 20.14 | \$ (1.12) | -5.3% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 21.44 | \$ (1.21) | -5.3% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 1.96 | \$ (0.08) | -3.9% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 3.90 | \$ (0.15) | -3.7% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 5.88 | \$ (0.22) | -3.6% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 7.85 | \$ (0.29) | -3.6% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 9.80 | \$ (0.37) | -3.6% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 11.73 | \$ (0.46) | -3.8% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 13.71 | \$ (0.52) | -3.7% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 15.67 | \$ (0.60) | -3.7% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 17.64 | \$ (0.66) | -3.6% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 19.57 | \$ (0.76) | -3.7% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 21.54 | \$ (0.83) | -3.7% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 23.51 | \$ (0.90) | -3.7% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 25.47 | \$ (0.97) | -3.7% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 27.41 | \$ (1.05) | -3.7% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 29.39 | \$ (1.12) | -3.7% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 31.31 | \$ (1.21) | -3.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 2.73 | \$ (0.08) | -2.8% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 5.44 | \$ (0.15) | -2.7% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 8.19 | \$ (0.22) | -2.6% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 10.93 | \$ (0.29) | -2.6% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 13.64 | \$ (0.37) | -2.6% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 16.35 | \$ (0.46) | -2.7% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.10 | \$ (0.52) | -2.7% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 21.82 | \$ (0.60) | -2.7% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 24.56 | \$ (0.66) | -2.6% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 27.27 | \$ (0.76) | -2.7% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 30.00 | \$ (0.83) | -2.7% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 32.74 | \$ (0.90) | -2.7% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 35.46 | \$ (0.97) | -2.7% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 38.18 | \$ (1.05) | -2.7% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 40.93 | \$ (1.12) | -2.7% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 43.61 | \$ (1.21) | -2.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 10.96 | \$ (2.31) | -17.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 18.61 | \$ (5.27) | -22.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 34.26 | \$ (12.69) | -27.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 15.36 | \$ (2.31) | -13.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 10.44 | \$ (1.41) | -11.9% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 16.94 | \$ (3.51) | -17.2% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 21.48 | \$ (5.45) | -20.2% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 15.47 | \$ (1.41) | -8.4% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 15.96 | \$ (2.43) | -13.2% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 18.42 | \$ (3.71) | -16.8% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 22.42 | \$ (5.74) | -20.4% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 25.74 | \$ (2.43) | -8.6% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 28.20 | \$ (3.71) | -11.6% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 32.20 | \$ (5.74) | -15.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 6.90 | \$ (0.06) | -0.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 13.78 | \$ (0.13) | -0.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 20.69 | \$ (0.18) | -0.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 27.55 | \$ (0.26) | -0.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 34.46 | \$ (0.32) | -0.9% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 41.37 | \$ (0.36) | -0.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 156.36 | \$ 7.12 | 4.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 247.33 | \$ 4.85 | 2.0% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 337.87 | \$ 2.58 | 0.8% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 428.38 | \$ 0.32 | 0.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 518.89 | \$ (1.96) | -0.4% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 609.39 | \$ (4.24) | -0.7% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 16,287.78 | \$ 712.43 | 4.6% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 25,283.27 | \$ 485.18 | 2.0% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 34,278.75 | \$ 257.91 | 0.8% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 43,274.23 | \$ 30.65 | 0.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 52,269.71 | \$ (196.62) | -0.4% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 61,265.21 | \$ (423.86) | -0.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 6,636.84 | \$ 675.04 | 11.3% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 10,780.86 | \$ 447.77 | 4.3% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 14,924.87 | \$ 220.51 | 1.5% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 19,068.89 | \$ (6.76) | 0.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 23,212.91 | \$ (234.02) | -1.0% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 27,356.94 | \$ (461.29) | -1.7% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 64,934.51 | \$ 6,750.35 | 11.6% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 106,211.19 | \$ 4,477.70 | 4.4% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 147,161.84 | \$ 2,205.06 | 1.5% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 188,112.46 | \$ (67.60) | 0.0% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 229,063.10 | \$ (2,340.25) | -1.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 270,013.74 | \$ (4,612.90) | -1.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 10,510.37 | \$ 1,125.00 | 12.0% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 18,582.41 | \$ 1,176.50 | 6.8% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 26,654.46 | \$ 1,228.00 | 4.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 34,726.50 | \$ 1,279.50 | 3.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 42,798.54 | \$ 1,330.99 | 3.2% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 50,870.60 | \$ 1,382.50 | 2.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 103,056.26 | \$ 11,249.99 | 12.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 182,797.60 | \$ 11,764.98 | 6.9% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 262,538.95 | \$ 12,279.97 | 4.9% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 342,280.29 | \$ 12,794.95 | 3.9% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 422,021.65 | \$ 13,309.95 | 3.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 501,762.98 | \$ 13,824.93 | 2.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 30,206.52 | \$ 1,325.31 | 4.6% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 41,469.31 | \$ 770.61 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 52,732.12 | \$ 215.92 | 0.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 63,994.91 | \$ (338.78) | -0.5% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 75,094.20 | \$ (893.47) | -1.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 86,161.18 | \$ (1,448.16) | -1.7% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 297,958.70 | \$ 13,253.06 | 4.7% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 408,628.48 | \$ 7,706.12 | 1.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 519,298.27 | \$ 2,159.18 | 0.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 629,968.05 | \$ (3,387.76) | -0.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 740,637.84 | \$ (8,934.70) | -1.2% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 851,307.62 | \$ (14,481.64) | -1.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.14 | \$ 0.22 | 1.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 20.07 | \$ 0.52 | 2.7% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 20.91 | \$ 0.65 | 3.2% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 24.30 | \$ 1.17 | 5.1% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 26.17 | \$ 1.46 | 5.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 31.29 | \$ 2.27 | 7.8% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 33.70 | \$ 2.63 | 8.5% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 8.39 | \$ 0.40 | 5.0% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 9.22 | \$ 0.64 | 7.5% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 0.98 | 9.3% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 14.79 | \$ 1.48 | 11.1% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 23.02 | \$ 2.68 | 13.2% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 3.54 | 14.3% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.21 | \$ 0.40 | 2.5% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 0.64 | 3.9% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 0.98 | 5.1% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 29.69 | \$ 1.94 | 7.0% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 1.48 | 6.9% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 35.84 | \$ 2.94 | 8.9% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 32.78 | \$ 2.68 | 8.9% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 38.14 | \$ 3.54 | 10.2% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 67.20 | \$ 7.10 | 11.8% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.23 | \$ 0.40 | 3.7% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 0.64 | 5.4% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 15.94 | \$ 0.98 | 6.6% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 0.40 | 2.2% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 0.64 | 3.3% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 24.74 | \$ 0.98 | 4.1% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 28.32 | \$ 1.48 | 5.5% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 54.72 | \$ 2.68 | 5.1% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 59.79 | \$ 3.54 | 6.3% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 87.16 | \$ 7.10 | 8.9% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 11.65 | \$ 0.64 | 5.8% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 14.18 | \$ 0.98 | 7.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.13 | \$ 0.27 | 3.4% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 0.39 | 4.8% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 9.44 | \$ 0.60 | 6.8% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 11.25 | \$ 0.82 | 7.9% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 0.99 | 9.1% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 15.30 | \$ 1.52 | 11.0% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 32.53 | \$ 3.83 | 13.3% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 0.27 | 1.7% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 16.41 | \$ 0.39 | 2.4% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 18.31 | \$ 0.60 | 3.4% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 20.04 | \$ 0.82 | 4.3% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 20.65 | \$ 0.99 | 5.0% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 25.11 | \$ 1.52 | 6.4% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 41.50 | \$ 3.83 | 10.2% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.23 | \$ 0.27 | 2.5% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 0.39 | 3.4% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 0.60 | 4.6% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.22 | \$ 0.27 | 1.5% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 19.00 | \$ 0.39 | 2.1% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 22.73 | \$ 0.60 | 2.7% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 24.96 | \$ 0.82 | 3.4% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 0.82 | 3.8% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 25.79 | \$ 0.99 | 4.0% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 1.18 | 4.4% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 46.98 | \$ 1.52 | 3.3% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 61.10 | \$ 3.04 | 5.2% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 65.29 | \$ 3.83 | 6.2% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 11.79 | \$ 0.27 | 2.3% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 0.39 | 3.0% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 14.28 | \$ 0.60 | 4.4% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 14.55 | \$ 0.82 | 6.0% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 17.27 | \$ 0.99 | 6.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.59 | \$ 0.23 | 16.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 3.16 | \$ 0.46 | 17.0% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 4.77 | \$ 0.70 | 17.2% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 6.36 | \$ 0.93 | 17.1% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 1.16 | 17.1% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 9.52 | \$ 1.40 | 17.2% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 11.13 | \$ 1.64 | 17.3% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 12.72 | \$ 1.88 | 17.3% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 14.31 | \$ 2.12 | 17.4% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 15.88 | \$ 2.33 | 17.2% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 17.48 | \$ 2.57 | 17.2% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 19.07 | \$ 2.80 | 17.2% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 3.04 | 17.3% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 22.23 | \$ 3.27 | 17.2% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 23.84 | \$ 3.50 | 17.2% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 3.72 | 17.2% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.21 | \$ 0.23 | 11.6% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 0.46 | 11.7% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 6.62 | \$ 0.70 | 11.8% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 8.83 | \$ 0.93 | 11.8% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 11.03 | \$ 1.16 | 11.8% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 13.22 | \$ 1.40 | 11.8% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 15.44 | \$ 1.64 | 11.9% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 17.65 | \$ 1.88 | 11.9% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 19.86 | \$ 2.12 | 12.0% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 2.33 | 11.8% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 24.26 | \$ 2.57 | 11.8% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 26.47 | \$ 2.80 | 11.8% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 28.68 | \$ 3.04 | 11.9% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 30.86 | \$ 3.27 | 11.9% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 33.09 | \$ 3.50 | 11.8% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 35.26 | \$ 3.72 | 11.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 2.98 | \$ 0.23 | 8.4% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 5.93 | \$ 0.46 | 8.4% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 8.93 | \$ 0.70 | 8.5% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 11.91 | \$ 0.93 | 8.5% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 14.87 | \$ 1.16 | 8.5% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 17.84 | \$ 1.40 | 8.5% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 20.83 | \$ 1.64 | 8.5% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 23.80 | \$ 1.88 | 8.6% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 26.78 | \$ 2.12 | 8.6% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 29.74 | \$ 2.33 | 8.5% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 32.72 | \$ 2.57 | 8.5% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 35.70 | \$ 2.80 | 8.5% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 38.67 | \$ 3.04 | 8.5% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 41.63 | \$ 3.27 | 8.5% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 44.63 | \$ 3.50 | 8.5% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 47.56 | \$ 3.72 | 8.5% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 11.65 | \$ (0.71) | -5.7% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 20.17 | \$ (1.61) | -7.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 38.01 | \$ (3.90) | -9.3% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 16.05 | \$ (0.71) | -4.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 10.86 | \$ (0.43) | -3.8% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 17.98 | \$ (1.07) | -5.6% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 23.09 | \$ (1.67) | -6.7% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 15.89 | \$ (0.43) | -2.6% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 16.68 | \$ (0.74) | -4.2% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 19.51 | \$ (1.15) | -5.6% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 24.12 | \$ (1.76) | -6.8% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 26.46 | \$ (0.74) | -2.7% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 29.29 | \$ (1.15) | -3.8% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 33.90 | \$ (1.76) | -4.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 7.88 | \$ 0.18 | 2.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 15.76 | \$ 0.38 | 2.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 23.65 | \$ 0.57 | 2.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 31.50 | \$ 0.74 | 2.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 39.40 | \$ 0.94 | 2.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 47.29 | \$ 1.13 | 2.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 34.01 | \$ 0.86 | 2.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 64.04 | \$ 1.70 | 2.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 91.47 | \$ 94.02 | \$ 2.55 | 2.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 120.64 | \$ 124.04 | \$ 3.40 | 2.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 149.80 | \$ 154.07 | \$ 4.27 | 2.9% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 178.98 | \$ 184.08 | \$ 5.10 | 2.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 237.28 | \$ 244.08 | \$ 6.80 | 2.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 295.39 | \$ 303.89 | \$ 8.50 | 2.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 353.48 | \$ 363.68 | \$ 10.20 | 2.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 411.57 | \$ 423.47 | \$ 11.90 | 2.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 469.65 | \$ 483.26 | \$ 13.61 | 2.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 527.75 | \$ 543.05 | \$ 15.30 | 2.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 585.85 | \$ 602.86 | \$ 17.01 | 2.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 643.95 | \$ 662.67 | \$ 18.72 | 2.9% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 702.03 | \$ 722.45 | \$ 20.42 | 2.9% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 760.12 | \$ 782.25 | \$ 22.13 | 2.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 818.21 | \$ 842.03 | \$ 23.82 | 2.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 876.32 | \$ 901.84 | \$ 25.52 | 2.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 934.41 | \$ 961.64 | \$ 27.23 | 2.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 992.50 | \$ 1,021.42 | \$ 28.92 | 2.9% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,050.59 | \$ 1,081.22 | \$ 30.63 | 2.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,108.68 | \$ 1,141.01 | \$ 32.33 | 2.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,166.78 | \$ 1,200.80 | \$ 34.02 | 2.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,224.89 | \$ 1,260.60 | \$ 35.71 | 2.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,282.96 | \$ 1,320.38 | \$ 37.42 | 2.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,341.08 | \$ 1,380.20 | \$ 39.12 | 2.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,399.14 | \$ 1,439.96 | \$ 40.82 | 2.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 34.01 | \$ 0.86 | 2.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 64.04 | \$ 1.70 | 2.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.32 | \$ 84.87 | \$ 2.55 | 3.1% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 102.34 | \$ 105.74 | \$ 3.40 | 3.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 122.35 | \$ 126.62 | \$ 4.27 | 3.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 142.38 | \$ 147.48 | \$ 5.10 | 3.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 182.38 | \$ 189.18 | \$ 6.80 | 3.7% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 213.19 | \$ 221.69 | \$ 8.50 | 4.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 243.98 | \$ 254.18 | \$ 10.20 | 4.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 274.77 | \$ 286.67 | \$ 11.90 | 4.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 305.55 | \$ 319.16 | \$ 13.61 | 4.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 336.35 | \$ 351.65 | \$ 15.30 | 4.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 367.15 | \$ 384.16 | \$ 17.01 | 4.6% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 397.95 | \$ 416.67 | \$ 18.72 | 4.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 428.73 | \$ 449.15 | \$ 20.42 | 4.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 459.52 | \$ 481.65 | \$ 22.13 | 4.8% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 490.31 | \$ 514.13 | \$ 23.82 | 4.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 521.12 | \$ 546.64 | \$ 25.52 | 4.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 551.91 | \$ 579.14 | \$ 27.23 | 4.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 582.70 | \$ 611.62 | \$ 28.92 | 5.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 613.49 | \$ 644.12 | \$ 30.63 | 5.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 644.28 | \$ 676.61 | \$ 32.33 | 5.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 675.08 | \$ 709.10 | \$ 34.02 | 5.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 705.89 | \$ 741.60 | \$ 35.71 | 5.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 736.66 | \$ 774.08 | \$ 37.42 | 5.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 767.48 | \$ 806.60 | \$ 39.12 | 5.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 798.24 | \$ 839.06 | \$ 40.82 | 5.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating Apartment (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 25.40 | \$ 26.26 | \$ 0.86 | 3.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 46.84 | \$ 48.54 | \$ 1.70 | 3.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 59.07 | \$ 61.62 | \$ 2.55 | 4.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 71.34 | \$ 74.74 | \$ 3.40 | 4.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 83.60 | \$ 87.87 | \$ 4.27 | 5.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 95.88 | \$ 100.98 | \$ 5.10 | 5.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 120.38 | \$ 127.18 | \$ 6.80 | 5.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 160.19 | \$ 168.69 | \$ 8.50 | 5.3% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 199.98 | \$ 210.18 | \$ 10.20 | 5.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 239.77 | \$ 251.67 | \$ 11.90 | 5.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 279.55 | \$ 293.16 | \$ 13.61 | 4.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 319.35 | \$ 334.65 | \$ 15.30 | 4.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 359.15 | \$ 376.16 | \$ 17.01 | 4.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 398.95 | \$ 417.67 | \$ 18.72 | 4.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 438.73 | \$ 459.15 | \$ 20.42 | 4.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 478.52 | \$ 500.65 | \$ 22.13 | 4.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 518.31 | \$ 542.13 | \$ 23.82 | 4.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 558.12 | \$ 583.64 | \$ 25.52 | 4.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 597.91 | \$ 625.14 | \$ 27.23 | 4.6% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 637.70 | \$ 666.62 | \$ 28.92 | 4.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 677.49 | \$ 708.12 | \$ 30.63 | 4.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 717.28 | \$ 749.61 | \$ 32.33 | 4.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 757.08 | \$ 791.10 | \$ 34.02 | 4.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 796.89 | \$ 832.60 | \$ 35.71 | 4.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 836.66 | \$ 874.08 | \$ 37.42 | 4.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 876.48 | \$ 915.60 | \$ 39.12 | 4.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 916.24 | \$ 957.06 | \$ 40.82 | 4.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 34.01 | \$ 0.86 | 2.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 64.04 | \$ 1.70 | 2.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 85.82 | \$ 88.37 | \$ 2.55 | 3.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 109.34 | \$ 112.74 | \$ 3.40 | 3.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 132.85 | \$ 137.12 | \$ 4.27 | 3.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 156.38 | \$ 161.48 | \$ 5.10 | 3.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 203.38 | \$ 210.18 | \$ 6.80 | 3.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 250.19 | \$ 258.69 | \$ 8.50 | 3.4% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 296.98 | \$ 307.18 | \$ 10.20 | 3.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 343.77 | \$ 355.67 | \$ 11.90 | 3.5% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 390.55 | \$ 404.16 | \$ 13.61 | 3.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 437.35 | \$ 452.65 | \$ 15.30 | 3.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 484.15 | \$ 501.16 | \$ 17.01 | 3.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 530.95 | \$ 549.67 | \$ 18.72 | 3.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 577.73 | \$ 598.15 | \$ 20.42 | 3.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 624.52 | \$ 646.65 | \$ 22.13 | 3.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 671.31 | \$ 695.13 | \$ 23.82 | 3.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 718.12 | \$ 743.64 | \$ 25.52 | 3.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 764.91 | \$ 792.14 | \$ 27.23 | 3.6% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 811.70 | \$ 840.62 | \$ 28.92 | 3.6% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 858.49 | \$ 889.12 | \$ 30.63 | 3.6% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 905.28 | \$ 937.61 | \$ 32.33 | 3.6% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 952.08 | \$ 986.10 | \$ 34.02 | 3.6% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 998.89 | \$ 1,034.60 | \$ 35.71 | 3.6% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,045.66 | \$ 1,083.08 | \$ 37.42 | 3.6% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,092.48 | \$ 1,131.60 | \$ 39.12 | 3.6% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,139.24 | \$ 1,180.06 | \$ 40.82 | 3.6% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 36.48 | \$ 2.34 | 6.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 68.98 | \$ 4.65 | 7.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 101.43 | \$ 4.48 | 4.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 133.92 | \$ 4.30 | 3.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 166.42 | \$ 4.16 | 2.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 198.89 | \$ 3.95 | 2.0% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 263.83 | \$ 3.60 | 1.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 328.58 | \$ 3.25 | 1.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 393.30 | \$ 2.90 | 0.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 458.02 | \$ 2.55 | 0.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 522.75 | \$ 2.21 | 0.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 587.48 | \$ 1.86 | 0.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 652.22 | \$ 1.51 | 0.2% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 716.97 | \$ 1.18 | 0.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 781.69 | \$ 0.83 | 0.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 846.42 | \$ 0.48 | 0.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 911.14 | \$ 0.13 | 0.0% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 975.89 | \$ (0.22) | 0.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,040.62 | \$ (0.57) | -0.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,105.33 | \$ (0.92) | -0.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,170.07 | \$ (1.26) | -0.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,234.80 | \$ (1.61) | -0.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,299.52 | \$ (1.97) | -0.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,364.26 | \$ (2.33) | -0.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,428.98 | \$ (2.67) | -0.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,493.73 | \$ (3.02) | -0.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,558.43 | \$ (3.37) | -0.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 36.48 | \$ 2.34 | 6.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 68.98 | \$ 4.65 | 7.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 101.43 | \$ 4.48 | 4.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 133.92 | \$ 4.30 | 3.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 166.42 | \$ 4.16 | 2.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 198.89 | \$ 3.95 | 2.0% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 263.83 | \$ 3.60 | 1.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 328.58 | \$ 3.25 | 1.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 393.30 | \$ 2.90 | 0.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 458.02 | \$ 2.55 | 0.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 522.75 | \$ 2.21 | 0.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 587.48 | \$ 1.86 | 0.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 652.22 | \$ 1.51 | 0.2% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 716.97 | \$ 1.18 | 0.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 781.69 | \$ 0.83 | 0.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 846.42 | \$ 0.48 | 0.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 911.14 | \$ 0.13 | 0.0% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 975.89 | \$ (0.22) | 0.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,040.62 | \$ (0.57) | -0.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,105.33 | \$ (0.92) | -0.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,170.07 | \$ (1.26) | -0.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,234.80 | \$ (1.61) | -0.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,299.52 | \$ (1.97) | -0.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,364.26 | \$ (2.33) | -0.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,428.98 | \$ (2.67) | -0.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,493.73 | \$ (3.02) | -0.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,558.43 | \$ (3.37) | -0.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 36.48 | \$ 2.34 | 6.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 68.98 | \$ 4.65 | 7.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 101.43 | \$ 4.48 | 4.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 133.92 | \$ 4.30 | 3.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 166.42 | \$ 4.16 | 2.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 198.89 | \$ 3.95 | 2.0% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 263.83 | \$ 3.60 | 1.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 328.58 | \$ 3.25 | 1.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 393.30 | \$ 2.90 | 0.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 458.02 | \$ 2.55 | 0.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 522.75 | \$ 2.21 | 0.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 587.48 | \$ 1.86 | 0.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 652.22 | \$ 1.51 | 0.2% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 716.97 | \$ 1.18 | 0.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 781.69 | \$ 0.83 | 0.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 846.42 | \$ 0.48 | 0.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 911.14 | \$ 0.13 | 0.0% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 975.89 | \$ (0.22) | 0.0% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,040.62 | \$ (0.57) | -0.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,105.33 | \$ (0.92) | -0.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,170.07 | \$ (1.26) | -0.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,234.80 | \$ (1.61) | -0.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,299.52 | \$ (1.97) | -0.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,364.26 | \$ (2.33) | -0.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,428.98 | \$ (2.67) | -0.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,493.73 | \$ (3.02) | -0.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,558.43 | \$ (3.37) | -0.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 153.41 | \$ 1.66 | 1.1% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 234.66 | \$ 0.38 | 0.2% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 315.44 | \$ (0.92) | -0.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 396.22 | \$ (2.21) | -0.6% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 477.00 | \$ (3.52) | -0.7% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 557.79 | \$ (4.80) | -0.9% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 17,448.23 | \$ 167.13 | 1.0% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 25,470.37 | \$ 37.68 | 0.1% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 33,492.49 | \$ (91.79) | -0.3% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 41,514.62 | \$ (221.25) | -0.5% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 49,536.75 | \$ (350.71) | -0.7% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 57,558.88 | \$ (480.17) | -0.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,605.71 | \$ 387.79 | 7.4% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 9,372.18 | \$ 278.72 | 3.1% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 13,138.67 | \$ 169.66 | 1.3% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 16,905.14 | \$ 60.59 | 0.4% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 20,671.61 | \$ (48.48) | -0.2% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 24,438.10 | \$ (157.54) | -0.6% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 54,623.13 | \$ 3,877.86 | 7.6% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 92,007.08 | \$ 2,787.20 | 3.1% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 128,831.04 | \$ 1,696.56 | 1.3% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 165,654.96 | \$ 605.89 | 0.4% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 202,478.92 | \$ (484.75) | -0.2% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 239,302.87 | \$ (1,575.39) | -0.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (%)(E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 8,860.34 | \$ 1,024.70 | 13.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 15,519.35 | \$ 718.20 | 4.9% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 22,178.37 | \$ 411.70 | 1.9% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 28,837.39 | \$ 105.20 | 0.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 35,496.40 | \$ (201.31) | -0.6% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 42,155.42 | \$ (507.80) | -1.2% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 86,438.64 | \$ 10,246.99 | 13.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 151,347.09 | \$ 7,181.98 | 5.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 216,255.55 | \$ 4,116.97 | 1.9% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 281,164.00 | \$ 1,051.95 | 0.4% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 346,072.47 | \$ (2,013.05) | -0.6% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 410,980.91 | \$ (5,078.07) | -1.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 28,013.06 | \$ 2,068.82 | 8.0% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 36,959.80 | \$ 1,663.63 | 4.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 45,906.54 | \$ 1,258.45 | 2.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 54,853.28 | \$ 853.26 | 1.6% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 63,519.18 | \$ 448.08 | 0.7% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 72,129.58 | \$ 42.90 | 0.1% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 275,204.19 | \$ 20,688.15 | 8.1% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 361,308.19 | \$ 16,636.31 | 4.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 447,412.20 | \$ 12,584.46 | 2.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 533,516.20 | \$ 8,532.61 | 1.6% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 619,620.21 | \$ 4,480.76 | 0.7% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 705,724.21 | \$ 428.92 | 0.1% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.08 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 13.61 | \$ 0.10 | 0.7% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 14.27 | \$ 0.12 | 0.8% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 0.21 | 1.3% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 0.27 | 1.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 22.36 | \$ 0.41 | 1.9% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 24.23 | \$ 0.48 | 2.0% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.06 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 14.59 | \$ 0.10 | 0.7% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 15.25 | \$ 0.12 | 0.8% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 0.21 | 1.2% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 19.35 | \$ 0.27 | 1.4% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 23.34 | \$ 0.41 | 1.8% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 25.21 | \$ 0.48 | 1.9% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.26 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 20.79 | \$ 0.10 | 0.5% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 21.45 | \$ 0.12 | 0.6% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 24.07 | \$ 0.21 | 0.9% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 25.55 | \$ 0.27 | 1.1% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 29.54 | \$ 0.41 | 1.4% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 31.41 | \$ 0.48 | 1.6% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 34.52 | \$ 0.07 | 0.2% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 37.52 | \$ 0.18 | 0.5% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 38.85 | \$ 0.24 | 0.6% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 44.12 | \$ 0.43 | 1.0% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 47.04 | \$ 0.52 | 1.1% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 55.05 | \$ 0.81 | 1.5% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 58.81 | \$ 0.95 | 1.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(\$C)) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 18.92 | \$ 0.08 | 0.4% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 21.23 | \$ 0.16 | 0.8% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 23.15 | \$ 0.24 | 1.0% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 29.23 | \$ 0.45 | 1.6% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 17.18 | \$ 0.08 | 0.5% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.49 | \$ 0.16 | 0.8% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 0.24 | 1.1% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 27.49 | \$ 0.45 | 1.7% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 0.15 | 0.6% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 29.42 | \$ 0.32 | 1.1% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 33.30 | \$ 0.46 | 1.4% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 45.43 | \$ 0.88 | 2.0% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 9.22 | \$ 0.11 | 1.2% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 11.45 | \$ 0.17 | 1.5% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 15.71 | \$ 0.28 | 1.8% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 26.92 | \$ 0.48 | 1.8% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 33.77 | \$ 0.65 | 2.0% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 11.50 | \$ 0.11 | 1.0% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 13.57 | \$ 0.17 | 1.3% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 18.41 | \$ 0.28 | 1.5% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 29.83 | \$ 0.48 | 1.6% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 36.74 | \$ 0.65 | 1.8% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 0.11 | 0.7% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 0.17 | 1.0% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 0.28 | 1.3% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 31.69 | \$ 0.48 | 1.5% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 38.38 | \$ 0.65 | 1.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 16.67 | \$ 0.24 | 1.5% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 29.42 | \$ 0.52 | 1.8% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 32.08 | \$ 0.52 | 1.6% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 27.32 | \$ 0.36 | 1.3% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 35.35 | \$ 0.52 | 1.5% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 0.06 | 0.5% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 13.29 | \$ 0.10 | 0.8% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 0.14 | 0.8% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 0.17 | 1.1% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 21.27 | \$ 0.27 | 1.3% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 0.06 | 0.5% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 14.61 | \$ 0.10 | 0.7% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 0.14 | 0.7% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.28 | \$ 0.17 | 0.9% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 24.70 | \$ 0.27 | 1.1% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 16.72 | \$ 0.06 | 0.4% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 28.02 | \$ 0.06 | 0.2% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.62 | \$ 0.10 | 0.6% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.42 | \$ 0.14 | 0.6% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 20.98 | \$ 0.17 | 0.8% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 36.00 | \$ 0.17 | 0.5% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 25.43 | \$ 0.27 | 1.1% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 46.72 | \$ 0.04 | 0.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 0.14 | 0.6% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 0.22 | 0.9% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 30.80 | \$ 0.35 | 1.1% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 22.71 | \$ 0.14 | 0.6% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 0.22 | 0.9% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 32.21 | \$ 0.35 | 1.1% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 26.95 | \$ 0.14 | 0.5% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 31.83 | \$ 0.22 | 0.7% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 0.35 | 0.9% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 38.42 | \$ 0.54 | 1.4% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 0.04 | 3.5% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 0.08 | 3.6% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 4.64 | \$ 0.13 | 2.9% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 0.18 | 2.5% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 9.13 | \$ 0.22 | 2.5% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 12.90 | \$ 0.24 | 1.9% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 0.30 | 2.0% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 20.64 | \$ 0.34 | 1.7% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 0.38 | 1.6% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 11.76 | \$ 0.42 | 3.7% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 14.03 | \$ 0.45 | 3.3% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 16.75 | \$ 0.52 | 3.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 18.54 | \$ 0.55 | 3.1% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 22.33 | \$ 0.60 | 2.8% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 24.94 | \$ 0.63 | 2.6% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 30.05 | \$ 0.66 | 2.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 10.18 | \$ (0.93) | -8.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 26.67 | \$ (2.09) | -7.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 46.33 | \$ (5.05) | -9.8% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 16.77 | \$ (0.93) | -5.3% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 13.96 | \$ (1.18) | -7.8% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 25.07 | \$ (2.18) | -8.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 8.98 | \$ 0.17 | 1.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 17.94 | \$ 0.31 | 1.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 26.95 | \$ 0.49 | 1.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 35.89 | \$ 0.61 | 1.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 44.88 | \$ 0.78 | 1.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 53.85 | \$ 0.95 | 1.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 163.28 | \$ 2.28 | 1.4% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 254.41 | \$ 1.63 | 0.6% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 345.05 | \$ 0.95 | 0.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 435.71 | \$ 0.29 | 0.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 526.36 | \$ (0.39) | -0.1% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 617.02 | \$ (1.06) | -0.2% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 18,435.43 | \$ 229.53 | 1.3% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 27,444.77 | \$ 162.48 | 0.6% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 36,454.09 | \$ 95.41 | 0.3% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 45,463.42 | \$ 28.35 | 0.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 54,472.75 | \$ (38.71) | -0.1% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 63,482.08 | \$ (105.77) | -0.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 6,082.16 | \$ 433.99 | 7.7% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 10,325.08 | \$ 293.62 | 2.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 14,568.02 | \$ 153.26 | 1.1% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 18,810.94 | \$ 12.89 | 0.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 23,053.86 | \$ (127.48) | -0.5% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 27,296.80 | \$ (267.84) | -1.0% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 59,387.63 | \$ 4,339.86 | 7.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 101,536.08 | \$ 2,936.20 | 3.0% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 143,124.54 | \$ 1,532.56 | 1.1% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 184,712.96 | \$ 128.89 | 0.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 226,301.42 | \$ (1,274.75) | -0.6% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 267,889.87 | \$ (2,678.39) | -1.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 9,786.44 | \$ 1,012.90 | 11.5% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 17,371.55 | \$ 645.60 | 3.9% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 24,956.67 | \$ 278.30 | 1.1% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 32,541.79 | \$ (89.00) | -0.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 40,126.90 | \$ (456.31) | -1.1% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 47,712.02 | \$ (823.60) | -1.7% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 95,699.64 | \$ 10,128.99 | 11.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 169,869.09 | \$ 6,455.98 | 4.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 244,038.55 | \$ 2,782.97 | 1.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 318,208.00 | \$ (890.05) | -0.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 392,377.47 | \$ (4,563.05) | -1.1% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 466,546.91 | \$ (8,236.07) | -1.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 29,863.46 | \$ 1,973.42 | 7.1% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 40,660.60 | \$ 1,446.83 | 3.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 51,457.74 | \$ 920.25 | 1.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 62,254.88 | \$ 393.66 | 0.6% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 72,771.18 | \$ (132.92) | -0.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 83,231.98 | \$ (659.50) | -0.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 293,708.19 | \$ 19,734.15 | 7.2% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 398,316.19 | \$ 14,468.31 | 3.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 502,924.20 | \$ 9,202.46 | 1.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 607,532.20 | \$ 3,936.61 | 0.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 712,140.21 | \$ (1,329.24) | -0.2% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 816,748.21 | \$ (6,595.08) | -0.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.32 | \$ 0.14 | 1.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 14.16 | \$ 0.34 | 2.5% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 0.42 | 2.9% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 18.14 | \$ 0.75 | 4.3% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 19.91 | \$ 0.94 | 5.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 1.46 | 6.3% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 27.01 | \$ 1.69 | 6.7% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.30 | \$ 0.14 | 1.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 0.34 | 2.3% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.94 | \$ 0.42 | 2.7% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 19.12 | \$ 0.75 | 4.1% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 20.89 | \$ 0.94 | 4.7% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 25.73 | \$ 1.46 | 6.0% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 27.99 | \$ 1.69 | 6.4% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 0.14 | 0.7% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 21.34 | \$ 0.34 | 1.6% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 0.42 | 1.9% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 0.75 | 3.1% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 27.09 | \$ 0.94 | 3.6% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 31.93 | \$ 1.46 | 4.8% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 34.19 | \$ 1.69 | 5.2% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 35.00 | \$ 0.29 | 0.8% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 38.63 | \$ 0.67 | 1.8% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 40.24 | \$ 0.85 | 2.2% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 46.60 | \$ 1.51 | 3.3% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 50.14 | \$ 1.88 | 3.9% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 59.83 | \$ 2.89 | 5.1% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 64.38 | \$ 3.39 | 5.6% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 19.37 | \$ 0.28 | 1.5% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 22.16 | \$ 0.57 | 2.6% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 24.48 | \$ 0.82 | 3.5% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 1.59 | 5.3% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 0.28 | 1.6% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 20.42 | \$ 0.57 | 2.9% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 22.74 | \$ 0.82 | 3.7% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 1.59 | 5.6% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 25.69 | \$ 0.54 | 2.1% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 31.27 | \$ 1.12 | 3.7% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 35.97 | \$ 1.63 | 4.7% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 50.65 | \$ 3.17 | 6.7% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 9.91 | \$ 0.41 | 4.3% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 12.47 | \$ 0.62 | 5.2% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 17.27 | \$ 0.96 | 5.9% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 29.75 | \$ 1.71 | 6.1% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 37.52 | \$ 2.28 | 6.5% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 0.41 | 3.5% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 14.59 | \$ 0.62 | 4.4% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 19.97 | \$ 0.96 | 5.0% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 1.71 | 5.5% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 40.49 | \$ 2.28 | 6.0% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 15.91 | \$ 0.41 | 2.6% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 18.43 | \$ 0.62 | 3.5% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 23.60 | \$ 0.96 | 4.2% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 34.52 | \$ 1.71 | 5.2% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 2.28 | 5.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) | |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 0.83 | 4.8% | |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 32.54 | \$ 1.88 | 6.1% | |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 35.20 | \$ 1.88 | 5.6% | |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 29.37 | \$ 1.25 | 4.4% | |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 38.47 | \$ 1.88 | 5.1% | |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 11.54 | \$ 0.25 | 2.2% | |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 0.38 | 2.8% | |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 18.22 | \$ 0.53 | 3.0% | |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 16.35 | \$ 0.63 | 4.0% | |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 22.88 | \$ 0.98 | 4.5% | |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 13.39 | \$ 0.25 | 1.9% | |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 15.23 | \$ 0.38 | 2.6% | |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 0.53 | 2.7% | |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 20.32 | \$ 0.63 | 3.2% | |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 26.31 | \$ 0.98 | 3.9% | |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 17.14 | \$ 0.25 | 1.5% | |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 28.44 | \$ 0.25 | 0.9% | |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 16.24 | \$ 0.38 | 2.4% | |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 24.29 | \$ 0.53 | 2.2% | |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 22.02 | \$ 0.63 | 2.9% | |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 37.04 | \$ 0.63 | 1.7% | |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 0.98 | 3.8% | |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 46.97 | \$ 0.15 | 0.3% | |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 0.51 | 2.3% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 0.75 | 3.0% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 32.88 | \$ 1.26 | 4.0% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 23.54 | \$ 0.51 | 2.2% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 26.37 | \$ 0.75 | 2.9% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 34.29 | \$ 1.26 | 3.8% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 27.78 | \$ 0.51 | 1.9% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 33.05 | \$ 0.75 | 2.3% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 40.54 | \$ 1.26 | 3.2% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 41.63 | \$ 1.94 | 4.9% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.43 | \$ 0.15 | 11.7% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 0.29 | 11.5% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 5.38 | \$ 0.45 | 9.1% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 0.60 | 7.8% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 10.36 | \$ 0.75 | 7.8% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 14.39 | \$ 0.89 | 6.6% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 17.25 | \$ 1.06 | 6.5% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 22.62 | \$ 1.21 | 5.7% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 1.36 | 5.5% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 1.50 | 11.8% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 16.75 | \$ 1.64 | 10.9% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 1.81 | 10.1% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 21.75 | \$ 1.95 | 9.8% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 25.78 | \$ 2.10 | 8.9% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 28.64 | \$ 2.24 | 8.5% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 34.00 | \$ 2.38 | 7.5% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 10.87 | \$ (0.61) | -5.3% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 28.23 | \$ (1.37) | -4.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 50.08 | \$ (3.33) | -6.2% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 17.46 | \$ (0.61) | -3.4% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 14.83 | \$ (0.77) | -4.9% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 26.68 | \$ (1.43) | -5.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 9.96 | \$ 0.14 | 1.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 0.30 | 1.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 29.91 | \$ 0.45 | 1.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 39.84 | \$ 0.57 | 1.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 49.82 | \$ 0.73 | 1.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 59.77 | \$ 0.88 | 1.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 165.75 | \$ (1.08) | -0.6% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 247.58 | \$ (16.52) | -6.3% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 328.97 | \$ (31.98) | -8.9% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 410.36 | \$ (47.43) | -10.4% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 491.75 | \$ (62.90) | -11.3% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 573.13 | \$ (78.34) | -12.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 18,136.01 | \$ (106.25) | -0.6% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 26,218.42 | \$ (1,651.79) | -5.9% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 34,300.83 | \$ (3,197.33) | -8.5% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 42,383.24 | \$ (4,742.87) | -10.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 50,465.63 | \$ (6,288.42) | -11.1% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 58,548.05 | \$ (7,833.96) | -11.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,637.45 | \$ (205.60) | -3.5% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 9,329.06 | \$ (444.76) | -4.6% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 13,020.69 | \$ (683.91) | -5.0% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 16,712.29 | \$ (923.08) | -5.2% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 20,403.91 | \$ (1,162.23) | -5.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 24,095.54 | \$ (1,401.38) | -5.5% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 54,940.51 | \$ (2,056.05) | -3.6% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 91,625.70 | \$ (4,447.61) | -4.6% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 127,850.24 | \$ (6,839.16) | -5.1% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 164,074.77 | \$ (9,230.73) | -5.3% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 200,299.31 | \$ (11,622.28) | -5.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 236,523.86 | \$ (14,013.83) | -5.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 9,886.50 | \$ 834.50 | 9.2% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 17,198.87 | \$ 1,071.30 | 6.6% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 24,511.25 | \$ 1,308.10 | 5.6% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 31,823.63 | \$ 1,544.90 | 5.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 39,135.99 | \$ 1,781.69 | 4.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 46,448.38 | \$ 2,018.50 | 4.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 96,930.07 | \$ 8,344.99 | 9.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 168,670.52 | \$ 10,712.98 | 6.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 240,410.98 | \$ 13,080.97 | 5.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 312,151.43 | \$ 15,448.95 | 5.2% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 383,891.90 | \$ 17,816.95 | 4.9% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 455,632.33 | \$ 20,184.93 | 4.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 26,256.58 | \$ (83.89) | -0.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 34,667.84 | \$ (1,601.79) | -4.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 43,079.10 | \$ (3,119.68) | -6.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 51,490.36 | \$ (4,637.58) | -8.3% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 59,670.61 | \$ (6,155.47) | -9.4% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 67,805.21 | \$ (7,673.36) | -10.2% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 257,987.62 | \$ (838.94) | -0.3% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 339,333.62 | \$ (16,017.88) | -4.5% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 420,679.62 | \$ (31,196.82) | -6.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 502,025.62 | \$ (46,375.76) | -8.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 583,371.63 | \$ (61,554.70) | -9.5% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 664,717.63 | \$ (76,733.64) | -10.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 0.13 | 1.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 15.00 | \$ 0.35 | 2.4% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 16.00 | \$ 0.41 | 2.6% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 20.07 | \$ 0.75 | 3.9% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 22.33 | \$ 0.93 | 4.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 28.49 | \$ 1.44 | 5.3% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 31.41 | \$ 1.68 | 5.7% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.82 | \$ 0.13 | 1.7% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 10.16 | \$ 0.35 | 3.6% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 11.16 | \$ 0.41 | 3.8% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 15.23 | \$ 0.75 | 5.2% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 17.49 | \$ 0.93 | 5.6% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 23.65 | \$ 1.44 | 6.5% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 26.57 | \$ 1.68 | 6.7% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 12.39 | \$ 0.40 | 3.3% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 16.35 | \$ 0.62 | 3.9% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 22.87 | \$ 0.95 | 4.3% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 51.09 | \$ 2.26 | 4.6% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 16.74 | \$ 0.40 | 2.4% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 0.40 | 1.7% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 28.07 | \$ 0.62 | 2.3% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 34.81 | \$ 0.95 | 2.8% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 35.06 | \$ 0.95 | 2.8% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 55.76 | \$ 1.88 | 3.5% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 64.92 | \$ 2.26 | 3.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

Bill Data

| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.34 | \$ 0.25 | 1.9% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 15.44 | \$ 0.36 | 2.4% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 0.62 | 3.1% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 27.00 | \$ 0.96 | 3.7% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.86 | \$ 0.25 | 1.4% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.90 | \$ 0.25 | 1.0% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 0.36 | 1.3% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 32.46 | \$ 0.62 | 1.9% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 52.67 | \$ 1.24 | 2.4% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 0.96 | 2.6% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 23.33 | \$ 0.25 | 1.1% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 35.36 | \$ 0.25 | 0.7% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.98 | \$ 0.36 | 1.4% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 37.65 | \$ 0.36 | 1.0% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 32.04 | \$ 0.62 | 2.0% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 43.87 | \$ 0.62 | 1.4% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 38.23 | \$ 0.96 | 2.6% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 50.87 | \$ 0.96 | 1.9% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.81 | \$ 0.13 | 7.7% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.62 | \$ 0.30 | 9.0% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 6.32 | \$ 0.45 | 7.7% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 9.29 | \$ 0.61 | 7.0% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 11.59 | \$ 0.74 | 6.8% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 15.41 | \$ 0.88 | 6.1% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 18.35 | \$ 1.04 | 6.0% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 23.24 | \$ 1.19 | 5.4% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 26.48 | \$ 1.35 | 5.4% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 18.15 | \$ 1.47 | 8.8% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 20.82 | \$ 1.61 | 8.4% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 23.79 | \$ 1.78 | 8.1% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 26.11 | \$ 1.92 | 7.9% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 29.94 | \$ 2.08 | 7.5% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 32.88 | \$ 2.23 | 7.3% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 2.35 | 6.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.81 | \$ 0.13 | 7.7% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.62 | \$ 0.30 | 9.0% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 7.77 | \$ 0.45 | 6.1% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.67 | \$ 0.61 | 5.1% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 15.80 | \$ 0.74 | 4.9% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 23.00 | \$ 0.88 | 4.0% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 1.04 | 3.9% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 37.82 | \$ 1.19 | 3.2% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 43.48 | \$ 1.35 | 3.2% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 18.15 | \$ 1.47 | 8.8% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 22.27 | \$ 1.61 | 7.8% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 27.17 | \$ 1.78 | 7.0% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 30.32 | \$ 1.92 | 6.8% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 37.53 | \$ 2.08 | 5.9% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 42.34 | \$ 2.23 | 5.6% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 52.31 | \$ 2.35 | 4.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 13.21 | \$ (1.14) | -7.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 26.54 | \$ (2.57) | -8.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 50.81 | \$ (6.20) | -10.9% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 15.55 | \$ (1.14) | -6.8% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.70 | \$ (0.69) | -4.2% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 19.34 | \$ (1.02) | -5.0% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 23.76 | \$ (1.72) | -6.8% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 31.77 | \$ (2.67) | -7.8% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 18.78 | \$ (0.69) | -3.5% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 24.74 | \$ (1.02) | -4.0% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 38.55 | \$ (1.44) | -3.6% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 30.50 | \$ (1.72) | -5.3% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 42.24 | \$ (1.72) | -3.9% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 36.09 | \$ (2.67) | -6.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 7.26 | \$ 3.26 | 81.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 14.50 | \$ 6.47 | 80.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 9.71 | 80.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 28.97 | \$ 12.91 | 80.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 36.23 | \$ 16.17 | 80.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 43.50 | \$ 19.41 | 80.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 175.62 | \$ 12.91 | 7.9% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 267.33 | \$ 11.46 | 4.5% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 358.58 | \$ 9.98 | 2.9% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 449.85 | \$ 8.53 | 1.9% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 541.11 | \$ 7.05 | 1.3% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 632.36 | \$ 5.59 | 0.9% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 19,123.21 | \$ 1,292.65 | 7.2% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 28,192.82 | \$ 1,146.01 | 4.2% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 37,262.43 | \$ 999.37 | 2.8% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 46,332.04 | \$ 852.73 | 1.9% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 55,401.63 | \$ 706.08 | 1.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 64,471.25 | \$ 559.44 | 0.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 6,113.90 | \$ 113.10 | 1.9% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 10,281.96 | \$ (157.36) | -1.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 14,450.04 | \$ (427.81) | -2.9% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 18,618.09 | \$ (698.28) | -3.6% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 22,786.16 | \$ (968.73) | -4.1% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 26,954.24 | \$ (1,239.18) | -4.4% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 59,705.01 | \$ 1,130.95 | 1.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 101,154.70 | \$ (1,573.61) | -1.5% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 142,143.74 | \$ (4,278.16) | -2.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 183,132.77 | \$ (6,982.73) | -3.7% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 224,121.81 | \$ (9,687.28) | -4.1% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 265,110.86 | \$ (12,391.83) | -4.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 10,812.60 | \$ 1,285.70 | 13.5% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 19,051.07 | \$ 1,461.70 | 8.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 27,289.55 | \$ 1,637.70 | 6.4% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 35,528.03 | \$ 1,813.70 | 5.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 43,766.49 | \$ 1,989.69 | 4.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 52,004.98 | \$ 2,165.70 | 4.3% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 106,191.07 | \$ 12,856.99 | 13.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 187,192.52 | \$ 14,616.98 | 8.5% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 268,193.98 | \$ 16,376.97 | 6.5% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 349,195.43 | \$ 18,136.95 | 5.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 430,196.90 | \$ 19,896.95 | 4.8% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 511,198.33 | \$ 21,656.93 | 4.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 28,106.98 | \$ (125.29) | -0.4% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 38,368.64 | \$ (1,764.59) | -4.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 48,630.30 | \$ (3,403.88) | -6.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 58,891.96 | \$ (5,043.18) | -7.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 68,922.61 | \$ (6,682.47) | -8.8% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 78,907.61 | \$ (8,321.76) | -9.5% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 276,491.62 | \$ (1,252.94) | -0.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 376,341.62 | \$ (17,645.88) | -4.5% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 476,191.62 | \$ (34,038.82) | -6.7% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 576,041.62 | \$ (50,431.76) | -8.1% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 675,891.63 | \$ (66,824.70) | -9.0% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 775,741.63 | \$ (83,217.64) | -9.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.90 | \$ 0.84 | 7.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 15.55 | \$ 2.01 | 14.8% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 2.48 | 17.5% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 21.32 | \$ 4.49 | 26.7% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 23.87 | \$ 5.58 | 30.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 30.88 | \$ 8.63 | 38.8% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 34.19 | \$ 10.04 | 41.6% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 8.06 | \$ 0.84 | 11.6% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 10.71 | \$ 2.01 | 23.1% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 2.48 | 26.5% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 16.48 | \$ 4.49 | 37.4% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 19.03 | \$ 5.58 | 41.5% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 8.63 | 49.6% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 10.04 | 52.0% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 13.08 | \$ 2.45 | 23.0% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 17.37 | \$ 3.70 | 27.1% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 5.64 | 30.0% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 54.84 | \$ 13.53 | 32.8% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 17.43 | \$ 2.45 | 16.4% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 23.99 | \$ 2.45 | 11.4% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 29.09 | \$ 3.70 | 14.6% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 36.37 | \$ 5.64 | 18.4% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 36.62 | \$ 5.64 | 18.2% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 58.88 | \$ 11.25 | 23.6% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 68.67 | \$ 13.53 | 24.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 1.50 | 12.2% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 2.21 | 16.0% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 21.85 | \$ 3.74 | 20.7% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 28.61 | \$ 5.80 | 25.4% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 18.28 | \$ 1.50 | 8.9% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 1.50 | 6.3% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 27.87 | \$ 2.21 | 8.6% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 33.50 | \$ 3.74 | 12.6% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 54.75 | \$ 7.48 | 15.8% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 40.07 | \$ 5.80 | 16.9% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 1.50 | 6.7% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.78 | \$ 1.50 | 4.4% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 26.60 | \$ 2.21 | 9.1% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 38.27 | \$ 2.21 | 6.1% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 33.08 | \$ 3.74 | 12.7% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 44.91 | \$ 3.74 | 9.1% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 39.84 | \$ 5.80 | 17.0% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 52.48 | \$ 5.80 | 12.4% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 2.06 | \$ 0.88 | 74.6% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 4.11 | \$ 1.78 | 76.4% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 7.06 | \$ 2.67 | 60.8% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 10.27 | \$ 3.56 | 53.1% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 12.82 | \$ 4.44 | 53.0% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 16.90 | \$ 5.34 | 46.2% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 20.08 | \$ 6.24 | 45.1% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 7.14 | 39.5% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 8.03 | 38.8% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 20.62 | \$ 8.89 | 75.8% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 23.54 | \$ 9.78 | 71.1% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 26.75 | \$ 10.68 | 66.5% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 29.32 | \$ 11.57 | 65.2% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 33.39 | \$ 12.46 | 59.5% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 36.58 | \$ 13.35 | 57.5% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 41.68 | \$ 14.22 | 51.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

73 Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 2.06 | \$ 0.88 | 74.6% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 4.11 | \$ 1.78 | 76.4% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 8.51 | \$ 2.67 | 45.7% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 13.65 | \$ 3.56 | 35.3% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 17.03 | \$ 4.44 | 35.3% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 5.34 | 27.9% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 29.54 | \$ 6.24 | 26.8% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 39.80 | \$ 7.14 | 21.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 45.70 | \$ 8.03 | 21.3% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 20.62 | \$ 8.89 | 75.8% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 24.99 | \$ 9.78 | 64.3% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 30.13 | \$ 10.68 | 54.9% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 33.53 | \$ 11.57 | 52.7% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 40.98 | \$ 12.46 | 43.7% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 46.04 | \$ 13.35 | 40.8% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 56.26 | \$ 14.22 | 33.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 13.90 | \$ 0.93 | 7.2% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 28.10 | \$ 2.17 | 8.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 54.56 | \$ 5.18 | 10.5% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 16.24 | \$ 0.93 | 6.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 16.12 | \$ 0.58 | 3.7% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.96 | \$ 0.85 | 4.4% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 1.43 | 6.1% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 33.38 | \$ 2.22 | 7.1% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 19.20 | \$ 0.58 | 3.1% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 25.36 | \$ 0.85 | 3.5% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 39.42 | \$ 1.20 | 3.1% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 1.43 | 4.7% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 43.28 | \$ 1.43 | 3.4% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 37.70 | \$ 2.22 | 6.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 8.24 | \$ 3.29 | 66.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 16.48 | \$ 6.58 | 66.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 24.69 | \$ 9.85 | 66.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 32.92 | \$ 13.11 | 66.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 16.42 | 66.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 49.42 | \$ 19.70 | 66.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 146.16 | \$ 2.62 | 1.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 226.91 | \$ (4.16) | -1.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 307.26 | \$ (10.93) | -3.4% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 387.55 | \$ (17.71) | -4.4% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 467.86 | \$ (24.49) | -5.0% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 548.16 | \$ (31.26) | -5.4% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 15,267.17 | \$ 261.92 | 1.7% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 23,242.05 | \$ (415.84) | -1.8% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 31,216.93 | \$ (1,093.61) | -3.4% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 39,191.80 | \$ (1,771.38) | -4.3% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 47,166.67 | \$ (2,449.16) | -4.9% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 55,141.56 | \$ (3,126.91) | -5.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 6,146.88 | \$ 252.83 | 4.3% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 9,800.93 | \$ 43.34 | 0.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 13,454.98 | \$ (166.13) | -1.2% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 17,109.03 | \$ (375.62) | -2.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 20,763.08 | \$ (585.10) | -2.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 24,417.15 | \$ (794.58) | -3.2% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 60,034.86 | \$ 2,528.20 | 4.4% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 96,411.89 | \$ 433.40 | 0.5% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 132,462.88 | \$ (1,661.40) | -1.2% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 168,513.85 | \$ (3,756.21) | -2.2% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 204,564.84 | \$ (5,851.01) | -2.8% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 240,615.83 | \$ (7,945.81) | -3.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 9,584.27 | \$ 734.80 | 8.3% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 16,730.21 | \$ 847.10 | 5.3% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 23,876.16 | \$ 959.40 | 4.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 31,022.10 | \$ 1,071.70 | 3.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 38,168.04 | \$ 1,183.99 | 3.2% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 45,314.00 | \$ 1,296.30 | 2.9% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 93,795.26 | \$ 7,347.99 | 8.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 164,275.60 | \$ 8,470.98 | 5.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 234,755.95 | \$ 9,593.97 | 4.3% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 305,236.29 | \$ 10,716.95 | 3.6% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 375,716.65 | \$ 11,839.95 | 3.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 446,196.98 | \$ 12,962.93 | 3.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 28,356.12 | \$ 1,028.71 | 3.8% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 37,768.51 | \$ 595.41 | 1.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 47,180.92 | \$ 162.12 | 0.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 56,593.31 | \$ (271.18) | -0.5% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 65,842.20 | \$ (704.47) | -1.1% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 75,058.78 | \$ (1,137.76) | -1.5% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 279,454.70 | \$ 10,287.06 | 3.8% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 371,620.48 | \$ 5,954.12 | 1.6% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 463,786.27 | \$ 1,621.18 | 0.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 555,952.05 | \$ (2,711.76) | -0.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 648,117.84 | \$ (7,044.70) | -1.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 740,283.62 | \$ (11,377.64) | -1.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 0.40 | 2.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 20.61 | \$ 0.92 | 4.7% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 21.58 | \$ 1.15 | 5.6% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 25.50 | \$ 2.06 | 8.8% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 27.68 | \$ 2.58 | 10.3% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 33.60 | \$ 3.98 | 13.4% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 36.40 | \$ 4.64 | 14.6% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 0.71 | 8.8% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 1.12 | 12.8% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 12.52 | \$ 1.72 | 15.9% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 16.30 | \$ 2.60 | 19.0% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 4.71 | 22.4% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 31.89 | \$ 6.24 | 24.3% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 0.71 | 4.5% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 1.12 | 6.7% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 21.34 | \$ 1.72 | 8.8% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 31.68 | \$ 3.42 | 12.1% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 24.54 | \$ 2.60 | 11.9% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 38.86 | \$ 5.19 | 15.4% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 35.52 | \$ 4.71 | 15.3% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 41.77 | \$ 6.24 | 17.6% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 74.46 | \$ 12.48 | 20.1% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 11.65 | \$ 0.71 | 6.5% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 13.24 | \$ 1.12 | 9.2% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 16.94 | \$ 1.72 | 11.3% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 19.03 | \$ 0.71 | 3.9% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 1.12 | 5.8% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 25.74 | \$ 1.72 | 7.2% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 29.83 | \$ 2.60 | 9.5% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 57.46 | \$ 4.71 | 8.9% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 63.42 | \$ 6.24 | 10.9% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 94.42 | \$ 12.48 | 15.2% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 12.30 | \$ 1.12 | 10.0% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 15.18 | \$ 1.72 | 12.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 0.47 | 5.9% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.98 | \$ 0.68 | 8.2% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 10.02 | \$ 1.02 | 11.3% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 12.10 | \$ 1.45 | 13.6% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 12.85 | \$ 1.73 | 15.6% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 16.86 | \$ 2.67 | 18.8% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 36.44 | \$ 6.73 | 22.7% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 16.20 | \$ 0.47 | 3.0% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 0.68 | 4.2% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 18.89 | \$ 1.02 | 5.7% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 20.89 | \$ 1.45 | 7.5% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 21.65 | \$ 1.73 | 8.7% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 26.67 | \$ 2.67 | 11.1% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 45.41 | \$ 6.73 | 17.4% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 11.51 | \$ 0.47 | 4.3% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 12.42 | \$ 0.68 | 5.8% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 14.11 | \$ 1.02 | 7.8% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 18.50 | \$ 0.47 | 2.6% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 19.40 | \$ 0.68 | 3.6% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 23.31 | \$ 1.02 | 4.6% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 25.80 | \$ 1.44 | 5.9% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 23.49 | \$ 1.45 | 6.6% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 26.79 | \$ 1.73 | 6.9% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 2.10 | 7.7% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 48.54 | \$ 2.67 | 5.8% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 64.22 | \$ 5.36 | 9.1% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 69.20 | \$ 6.73 | 10.8% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 12.07 | \$ 0.47 | 4.1% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 0.68 | 5.2% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 14.86 | \$ 1.02 | 7.4% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 15.40 | \$ 1.45 | 10.4% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 18.27 | \$ 1.73 | 10.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.83 | \$ 0.41 | 28.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 3.64 | \$ 0.82 | 29.1% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 5.49 | \$ 1.24 | 29.2% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 1.65 | 29.1% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 9.14 | \$ 2.06 | 29.1% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 2.45 | 28.9% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 12.80 | \$ 2.88 | 29.0% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 14.62 | \$ 3.28 | 28.9% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 3.71 | 29.1% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 18.27 | \$ 4.10 | 28.9% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 20.10 | \$ 4.51 | 28.9% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 4.93 | 29.0% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 5.34 | 29.0% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 25.58 | \$ 5.75 | 29.0% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 27.42 | \$ 6.16 | 29.0% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 29.21 | \$ 6.56 | 29.0% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 2.45 | \$ 0.41 | 20.1% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 4.87 | \$ 0.82 | 20.2% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 7.34 | \$ 1.24 | 20.3% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 9.79 | \$ 1.65 | 20.3% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 12.23 | \$ 2.06 | 20.3% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 14.64 | \$ 2.45 | 20.1% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 17.11 | \$ 2.88 | 20.2% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 3.28 | 20.2% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 3.71 | 20.3% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 4.10 | 20.2% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 26.88 | \$ 4.51 | 20.2% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 4.93 | 20.2% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 31.78 | \$ 5.34 | 20.2% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 34.21 | \$ 5.75 | 20.2% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 36.67 | \$ 6.16 | 20.2% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 39.08 | \$ 6.56 | 20.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 3.22 | \$ 0.41 | 14.6% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 6.41 | \$ 0.82 | 14.7% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 9.65 | \$ 1.24 | 14.7% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 12.87 | \$ 1.65 | 14.7% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 2.06 | 14.7% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 19.26 | \$ 2.45 | 14.6% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 22.50 | \$ 2.88 | 14.7% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 25.70 | \$ 3.28 | 14.6% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 28.93 | \$ 3.71 | 14.7% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 32.13 | \$ 4.10 | 14.6% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 35.34 | \$ 4.51 | 14.6% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 38.57 | \$ 4.93 | 14.7% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 41.77 | \$ 5.34 | 14.7% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 44.98 | \$ 5.75 | 14.7% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 48.21 | \$ 6.16 | 14.6% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 6.56 | 14.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 10.96 | \$ (2.31) | -17.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 18.61 | \$ (5.27) | -22.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 34.26 | \$ (12.69) | -27.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 15.36 | \$ (2.31) | -13.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 10.44 | \$ (1.41) | -11.9% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 16.94 | \$ (3.51) | -17.2% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 21.48 | \$ (5.45) | -20.2% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 15.47 | \$ (1.41) | -8.4% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 15.96 | \$ (2.43) | -13.2% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 18.42 | \$ (3.71) | -16.8% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 22.42 | \$ (5.74) | -20.4% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 25.74 | \$ (2.43) | -8.6% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 28.20 | \$ (3.71) | -11.6% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 32.20 | \$ (5.74) | -15.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 8.69 | \$ 1.73 | 24.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 17.36 | \$ 3.45 | 24.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 26.05 | \$ 5.18 | 24.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 34.70 | \$ 6.89 | 24.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 43.40 | \$ 8.62 | 24.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 52.10 | \$ 10.37 | 24.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 156.03 | \$ 6.79 | 4.5% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 246.66 | \$ 4.18 | 1.7% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 336.87 | \$ 1.58 | 0.5% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 427.04 | \$ (1.02) | -0.2% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 517.22 | \$ (3.63) | -0.7% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 607.39 | \$ (6.24) | -1.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 16,254.37 | \$ 679.02 | 4.4% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 25,216.45 | \$ 418.36 | 1.7% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 34,178.53 | \$ 157.69 | 0.5% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 43,140.60 | \$ (102.98) | -0.2% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 52,102.67 | \$ (363.66) | -0.7% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 61,064.76 | \$ (624.31) | -1.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 6,623.33 | \$ 661.53 | 11.1% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 10,753.83 | \$ 420.74 | 4.1% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 14,884.33 | \$ 179.97 | 1.2% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 19,014.83 | \$ (60.82) | -0.3% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 23,145.33 | \$ (301.60) | -1.3% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 27,275.85 | \$ (542.38) | -1.9% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 64,799.36 | \$ 6,615.20 | 11.4% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 105,940.89 | \$ 4,207.40 | 4.1% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 146,756.38 | \$ 1,799.60 | 1.2% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 187,571.85 | \$ (608.21) | -0.3% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 228,387.34 | \$ (3,016.01) | -1.3% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 269,202.83 | \$ (5,423.81) | -2.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 10,510.37 | \$ 1,125.00 | 12.0% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 18,582.41 | \$ 1,176.50 | 6.8% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 26,654.46 | \$ 1,228.00 | 4.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 34,726.50 | \$ 1,279.50 | 3.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 42,798.54 | \$ 1,330.99 | 3.2% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 50,870.60 | \$ 1,382.50 | 2.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 103,056.26 | \$ 11,249.99 | 12.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 182,797.60 | \$ 11,764.98 | 6.9% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 262,538.95 | \$ 12,279.97 | 4.9% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 342,280.29 | \$ 12,794.95 | 3.9% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 422,021.65 | \$ 13,309.95 | 3.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 501,762.98 | \$ 13,824.93 | 2.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 30,206.52 | \$ 1,325.31 | 4.6% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 41,469.31 | \$ 770.61 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 52,732.12 | \$ 215.92 | 0.4% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 63,994.91 | \$ (338.78) | -0.5% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 75,094.20 | \$ (893.47) | -1.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 86,161.18 | \$ (1,448.16) | -1.7% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 297,958.70 | \$ 13,253.06 | 4.7% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 408,628.48 | \$ 7,706.12 | 1.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 519,298.27 | \$ 2,159.18 | 0.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 629,968.05 | \$ (3,387.76) | -0.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 740,637.84 | \$ (8,934.70) | -1.2% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 851,307.62 | \$ (14,481.64) | -1.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 0.69 | 3.9% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 21.16 | \$ 1.61 | 8.2% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 22.27 | \$ 2.01 | 9.9% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 26.75 | \$ 3.62 | 15.7% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 29.22 | \$ 4.51 | 18.3% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 35.99 | \$ 6.97 | 24.0% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 39.18 | \$ 8.11 | 26.1% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 9.23 | \$ 1.24 | 15.5% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 10.56 | \$ 1.98 | 23.1% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 3.00 | 28.5% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 17.86 | \$ 4.55 | 34.2% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 28.59 | \$ 8.25 | 40.6% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 35.64 | \$ 10.92 | 44.2% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 17.05 | \$ 1.24 | 7.8% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 18.44 | \$ 1.98 | 12.0% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 22.36 | \$ 3.00 | 15.5% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 33.73 | \$ 5.98 | 21.5% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 26.10 | \$ 4.55 | 21.1% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 41.98 | \$ 9.08 | 27.6% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 38.35 | \$ 8.25 | 27.4% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 45.52 | \$ 10.92 | 31.6% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 81.96 | \$ 21.86 | 36.4% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 12.07 | \$ 1.24 | 11.4% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 13.93 | \$ 1.98 | 16.6% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 17.96 | \$ 3.00 | 20.1% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 1.24 | 6.8% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 21.14 | \$ 1.98 | 10.3% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 26.76 | \$ 3.00 | 12.6% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 31.39 | \$ 4.55 | 17.0% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 60.29 | \$ 8.25 | 15.9% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 67.17 | \$ 10.92 | 19.4% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 101.92 | \$ 21.86 | 27.3% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 1.98 | 18.0% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 16.20 | \$ 3.00 | 22.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.69 | \$ 0.83 | 10.6% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 9.40 | \$ 1.21 | 14.8% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 10.64 | \$ 1.80 | 20.4% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 12.98 | \$ 2.55 | 24.4% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 13.89 | \$ 3.03 | 27.9% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 18.47 | \$ 4.69 | 34.0% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 40.49 | \$ 11.79 | 41.1% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 16.48 | \$ 0.83 | 5.3% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 17.23 | \$ 1.21 | 7.6% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 19.51 | \$ 1.80 | 10.2% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 21.77 | \$ 2.55 | 13.3% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 22.69 | \$ 3.03 | 15.4% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 28.28 | \$ 4.69 | 19.9% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 49.46 | \$ 11.79 | 31.3% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.79 | \$ 0.83 | 7.6% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 12.84 | \$ 1.21 | 10.4% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 14.73 | \$ 1.80 | 13.9% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.78 | \$ 0.83 | 4.6% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 19.82 | \$ 1.21 | 6.5% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 23.93 | \$ 1.80 | 8.1% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 26.67 | \$ 2.53 | 10.5% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 24.37 | \$ 2.55 | 11.7% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 27.83 | \$ 3.03 | 12.2% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 30.61 | \$ 3.67 | 13.6% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 50.15 | \$ 4.69 | 10.3% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 67.43 | \$ 9.37 | 16.1% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 73.25 | \$ 11.79 | 19.2% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 12.35 | \$ 0.83 | 7.2% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 14.09 | \$ 1.21 | 9.4% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 15.48 | \$ 1.80 | 13.2% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 2.55 | 18.6% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 3.03 | 18.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 2.08 | \$ 0.72 | 52.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 4.13 | \$ 1.43 | 53.0% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 6.23 | \$ 2.16 | 53.1% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 2.87 | 52.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 10.37 | \$ 3.59 | 52.9% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 12.43 | \$ 4.31 | 53.1% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 5.04 | 53.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 16.60 | \$ 5.76 | 53.1% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 18.68 | \$ 6.49 | 53.2% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 20.74 | \$ 7.19 | 53.1% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 22.82 | \$ 7.91 | 53.1% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 24.90 | \$ 8.63 | 53.0% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 26.97 | \$ 9.35 | 53.1% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 29.03 | \$ 10.07 | 53.1% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 31.12 | \$ 10.78 | 53.0% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 33.16 | \$ 11.49 | 53.0% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 0.72 | 36.4% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 5.36 | \$ 1.43 | 36.4% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 8.08 | \$ 2.16 | 36.5% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 10.77 | \$ 2.87 | 36.3% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 3.59 | 36.4% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 4.31 | 36.5% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 5.04 | 36.5% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 21.53 | \$ 5.76 | 36.5% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 24.23 | \$ 6.49 | 36.6% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 26.90 | \$ 7.19 | 36.5% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 7.91 | 36.5% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 8.63 | 36.5% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 34.99 | \$ 9.35 | 36.5% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 37.66 | \$ 10.07 | 36.5% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 40.37 | \$ 10.78 | 36.4% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 43.03 | \$ 11.49 | 36.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 3.47 | \$ 0.72 | 26.2% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 6.90 | \$ 1.43 | 26.1% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 10.39 | \$ 2.16 | 26.2% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 2.87 | 26.1% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 17.30 | \$ 3.59 | 26.2% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 20.75 | \$ 4.31 | 26.2% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 24.23 | \$ 5.04 | 26.3% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 27.68 | \$ 5.76 | 26.3% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 31.15 | \$ 6.49 | 26.3% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 7.19 | 26.2% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 38.06 | \$ 7.91 | 26.2% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 41.53 | \$ 8.63 | 26.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 44.98 | \$ 9.35 | 26.2% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 48.43 | \$ 10.07 | 26.3% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 51.91 | \$ 10.78 | 26.2% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 55.33 | \$ 11.49 | 26.2% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 11.65 | \$ (0.71) | -5.7% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 20.17 | \$ (1.61) | -7.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 38.01 | \$ (3.90) | -9.3% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 16.05 | \$ (0.71) | -4.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 10.86 | \$ (0.43) | -3.8% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 17.98 | \$ (1.07) | -5.6% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 23.09 | \$ (1.67) | -6.7% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 15.89 | \$ (0.43) | -2.6% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 16.68 | \$ (0.74) | -4.2% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 19.51 | \$ (1.15) | -5.6% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 24.12 | \$ (1.76) | -6.8% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 26.46 | \$ (0.74) | -2.7% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 29.29 | \$ (1.15) | -3.8% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 33.90 | \$ (1.76) | -4.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 9.67 | \$ 1.97 | 25.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 19.34 | \$ 3.96 | 25.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 29.01 | \$ 5.93 | 25.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 38.65 | \$ 7.89 | 25.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 48.34 | \$ 9.88 | 25.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 58.02 | \$ 11.86 | 25.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 153.15 | \$ 1.40 | 0.9% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 234.13 | \$ (0.15) | -0.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 314.64 | \$ (1.72) | -0.5% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 395.16 | \$ (3.27) | -0.8% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 475.67 | \$ (4.85) | -1.0% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 556.20 | \$ (6.39) | -1.1% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 17,421.65 | \$ 140.55 | 0.8% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 25,417.20 | \$ (15.49) | -0.1% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 33,412.75 | \$ (171.53) | -0.5% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 41,408.30 | \$ (327.57) | -0.8% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 49,403.84 | \$ (483.62) | -1.0% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 57,399.39 | \$ (639.66) | -1.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,596.62 | \$ 378.70 | 7.3% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 9,354.00 | \$ 260.54 | 2.9% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 13,111.40 | \$ 142.39 | 1.1% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 16,868.77 | \$ 24.22 | 0.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 20,626.16 | \$ (93.93) | -0.5% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 24,383.56 | \$ (212.08) | -0.9% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 54,532.22 | \$ 3,786.95 | 7.5% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 91,825.27 | \$ 2,605.39 | 2.9% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 128,558.32 | \$ 1,423.84 | 1.1% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 165,291.34 | \$ 242.27 | 0.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 202,024.39 | \$ (939.28) | -0.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 238,757.43 | \$ (2,120.83) | -0.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (%E)/(%C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 8,860.34 | \$ 1,024.70 | 13.1% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 15,519.35 | \$ 718.20 | 4.9% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 22,178.37 | \$ 411.70 | 1.9% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 28,837.39 | \$ 105.20 | 0.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 35,496.40 | \$ (201.31) | -0.6% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 42,155.42 | \$ (507.80) | -1.2% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 86,438.64 | \$ 10,246.99 | 13.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 151,347.09 | \$ 7,181.98 | 5.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 216,255.55 | \$ 4,116.97 | 1.9% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 281,164.00 | \$ 1,051.95 | 0.4% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 346,072.47 | \$ (2,013.05) | -0.6% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 410,980.91 | \$ (5,078.07) | -1.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 28,013.06 | \$ 2,068.82 | 8.0% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 36,959.80 | \$ 1,663.63 | 4.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 45,906.54 | \$ 1,258.45 | 2.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 54,853.28 | \$ 853.26 | 1.6% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 63,519.18 | \$ 448.08 | 0.7% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 72,129.58 | \$ 42.90 | 0.1% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 275,204.19 | \$ 20,688.15 | 8.1% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 361,308.19 | \$ 16,636.31 | 4.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 447,412.20 | \$ 12,584.46 | 2.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 533,516.20 | \$ 8,532.61 | 1.6% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 619,620.21 | \$ 4,480.76 | 0.7% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 705,724.21 | \$ 428.92 | 0.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.08 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 13.61 | \$ 0.10 | 0.7% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 14.27 | \$ 0.12 | 0.8% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 16.90 | \$ 0.22 | 1.3% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 0.27 | 1.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 22.36 | \$ 0.41 | 1.9% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 24.24 | \$ 0.49 | 2.1% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.06 | \$ 0.04 | 0.3% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 14.59 | \$ 0.10 | 0.7% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 15.25 | \$ 0.12 | 0.8% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 17.88 | \$ 0.22 | 1.2% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 19.35 | \$ 0.27 | 1.4% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 23.34 | \$ 0.41 | 1.8% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 0.49 | 2.0% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.26 | \$ 0.04 | 0.2% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 20.79 | \$ 0.10 | 0.5% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 21.45 | \$ 0.12 | 0.6% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 24.08 | \$ 0.22 | 0.9% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 25.55 | \$ 0.27 | 1.1% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 29.54 | \$ 0.41 | 1.4% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 0.49 | 1.6% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 34.52 | \$ 0.07 | 0.2% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 37.53 | \$ 0.19 | 0.5% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 38.85 | \$ 0.24 | 0.6% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 44.13 | \$ 0.44 | 1.0% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 47.05 | \$ 0.53 | 1.1% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 55.07 | \$ 0.83 | 1.5% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 58.83 | \$ 0.97 | 1.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 18.92 | \$ 0.08 | 0.4% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 21.24 | \$ 0.17 | 0.8% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 23.15 | \$ 0.24 | 1.0% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 29.24 | \$ 0.46 | 1.6% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 17.18 | \$ 0.08 | 0.5% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 0.17 | 0.9% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 0.24 | 1.1% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 27.50 | \$ 0.46 | 1.7% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.81 | \$ 0.16 | 0.6% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 29.43 | \$ 0.33 | 1.1% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 0.47 | 1.4% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 45.45 | \$ 0.90 | 2.0% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 9.22 | \$ 0.11 | 1.2% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 11.46 | \$ 0.18 | 1.6% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 15.71 | \$ 0.28 | 1.8% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 0.49 | 1.9% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 33.78 | \$ 0.66 | 2.0% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 11.50 | \$ 0.11 | 1.0% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 0.18 | 1.3% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 18.41 | \$ 0.28 | 1.5% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 29.84 | \$ 0.49 | 1.7% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 36.75 | \$ 0.66 | 1.8% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 0.11 | 0.7% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 0.18 | 1.0% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 0.28 | 1.3% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 31.70 | \$ 0.49 | 1.6% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 38.39 | \$ 0.66 | 1.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 0.25 | 1.5% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 29.43 | \$ 0.53 | 1.8% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 32.09 | \$ 0.53 | 1.7% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 27.33 | \$ 0.37 | 1.4% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 35.36 | \$ 0.53 | 1.5% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 11.13 | \$ 0.07 | 0.6% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 13.29 | \$ 0.10 | 0.8% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 0.14 | 0.8% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 15.32 | \$ 0.18 | 1.2% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 21.28 | \$ 0.28 | 1.3% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 12.98 | \$ 0.07 | 0.5% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 14.61 | \$ 0.10 | 0.7% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 0.14 | 0.7% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 19.29 | \$ 0.18 | 0.9% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 0.28 | 1.1% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 16.73 | \$ 0.07 | 0.4% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 0.07 | 0.3% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.62 | \$ 0.10 | 0.6% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.42 | \$ 0.14 | 0.6% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 20.99 | \$ 0.18 | 0.9% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 36.01 | \$ 0.18 | 0.5% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 25.44 | \$ 0.28 | 1.1% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 46.72 | \$ 0.04 | 0.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

Bill Data

| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|-------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 0.14 | 0.6% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 0.22 | 0.9% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 0.36 | 1.2% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 22.71 | \$ 0.14 | 0.6% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 0.22 | 0.9% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 0.36 | 1.1% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 26.95 | \$ 0.14 | 0.5% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 31.83 | \$ 0.22 | 0.7% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 38.47 | \$ 0.36 | 0.9% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 38.44 | \$ 0.56 | 1.5% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 0.04 | 3.5% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 0.08 | 3.6% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 4.65 | \$ 0.14 | 3.1% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 0.18 | 2.5% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 9.13 | \$ 0.22 | 2.5% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 12.90 | \$ 0.24 | 1.9% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 15.53 | \$ 0.31 | 2.0% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 20.65 | \$ 0.35 | 1.7% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 0.39 | 1.7% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 11.77 | \$ 0.43 | 3.8% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 14.04 | \$ 0.46 | 3.4% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 0.53 | 3.3% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 18.55 | \$ 0.56 | 3.1% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 22.34 | \$ 0.61 | 2.8% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 24.95 | \$ 0.64 | 2.6% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 30.06 | \$ 0.67 | 2.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 10.18 | \$ (0.93) | -8.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 26.67 | \$ (2.09) | -7.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 46.33 | \$ (5.05) | -9.8% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 16.77 | \$ (0.93) | -5.3% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 13.96 | \$ (1.18) | -7.8% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 25.07 | \$ (2.18) | -8.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 8.98 | \$ 0.17 | 1.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 0.32 | 1.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 26.95 | \$ 0.49 | 1.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 35.90 | \$ 0.62 | 1.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 44.89 | \$ 0.79 | 1.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 53.86 | \$ 0.96 | 1.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 163.02 | \$ 2.02 | 1.3% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 253.88 | \$ 1.10 | 0.4% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 344.25 | \$ 0.15 | 0.0% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 434.65 | \$ (0.77) | -0.2% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 525.03 | \$ (1.72) | -0.3% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 615.43 | \$ (2.65) | -0.4% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 18,408.85 | \$ 202.95 | 1.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 27,391.60 | \$ 109.31 | 0.4% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 36,374.35 | \$ 15.67 | 0.0% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 45,357.10 | \$ (77.97) | -0.2% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 54,339.84 | \$ (171.62) | -0.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 63,322.59 | \$ (265.26) | -0.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 6,073.07 | \$ 424.90 | 7.5% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 10,306.90 | \$ 275.44 | 2.7% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 14,540.75 | \$ 125.99 | 0.9% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 18,774.57 | \$ (23.48) | -0.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 23,008.41 | \$ (172.93) | -0.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 27,242.26 | \$ (322.38) | -1.2% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 59,296.72 | \$ 4,248.95 | 7.7% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 101,354.27 | \$ 2,754.39 | 2.8% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 142,851.82 | \$ 1,259.84 | 0.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 184,349.34 | \$ (234.73) | -0.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 225,846.89 | \$ (1,729.28) | -0.8% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 267,344.43 | \$ (3,223.83) | -1.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

Bill Data

| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|

General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU)

| | | | | | | |
|----|--------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 9,786.44 | \$ 1,012.90 | 11.5% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 17,371.55 | \$ 645.60 | 3.9% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 24,956.67 | \$ 278.30 | 1.1% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 32,541.79 | \$ (89.00) | -0.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 40,126.90 | \$ (456.31) | -1.1% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 47,712.02 | \$ (823.60) | -1.7% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 95,699.64 | \$ 10,128.99 | 11.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 169,869.09 | \$ 6,455.98 | 4.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 244,038.55 | \$ 2,782.97 | 1.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 318,208.00 | \$ (890.05) | -0.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 392,377.47 | \$ (4,563.05) | -1.1% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 466,546.91 | \$ (8,236.07) | -1.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 29,863.46 | \$ 1,973.42 | 7.1% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 40,660.60 | \$ 1,446.83 | 3.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 51,457.74 | \$ 920.25 | 1.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 62,254.88 | \$ 393.66 | 0.6% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 72,771.18 | \$ (132.92) | -0.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 83,231.98 | \$ (659.50) | -0.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 293,708.19 | \$ 19,734.15 | 7.2% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 398,316.19 | \$ 14,468.31 | 3.8% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 502,924.20 | \$ 9,202.46 | 1.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 607,532.20 | \$ 3,936.61 | 0.7% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 712,140.21 | \$ (1,329.24) | -0.2% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 816,748.21 | \$ (6,595.08) | -0.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.32 | \$ 0.14 | 1.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 14.16 | \$ 0.34 | 2.5% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 0.42 | 2.9% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 18.15 | \$ 0.76 | 4.4% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 19.91 | \$ 0.94 | 5.0% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 1.46 | 6.3% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 27.02 | \$ 1.70 | 6.7% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.30 | \$ 0.14 | 1.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 0.34 | 2.3% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 15.94 | \$ 0.42 | 2.7% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 19.13 | \$ 0.76 | 4.1% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 20.89 | \$ 0.94 | 4.7% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 25.73 | \$ 1.46 | 6.0% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 28.00 | \$ 1.70 | 6.5% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 0.14 | 0.7% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 21.34 | \$ 0.34 | 1.6% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 0.42 | 1.9% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 0.76 | 3.1% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 27.09 | \$ 0.94 | 3.6% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 31.93 | \$ 1.46 | 4.8% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 34.20 | \$ 1.70 | 5.2% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 35.00 | \$ 0.29 | 0.8% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 38.64 | \$ 0.68 | 1.8% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 40.24 | \$ 0.85 | 2.2% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 46.61 | \$ 1.52 | 3.4% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 50.15 | \$ 1.89 | 3.9% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 59.85 | \$ 2.91 | 5.1% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 64.40 | \$ 3.41 | 5.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 19.37 | \$ 0.28 | 1.5% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 22.17 | \$ 0.58 | 2.7% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 24.48 | \$ 0.82 | 3.5% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 31.85 | \$ 1.60 | 5.3% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 0.28 | 1.6% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 0.58 | 2.9% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 22.74 | \$ 0.82 | 3.7% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 1.60 | 5.6% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 25.70 | \$ 0.55 | 2.2% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 31.28 | \$ 1.13 | 3.7% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 35.98 | \$ 1.64 | 4.8% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 50.67 | \$ 3.19 | 6.7% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 9.91 | \$ 0.41 | 4.3% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 12.48 | \$ 0.63 | 5.3% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 17.27 | \$ 0.96 | 5.9% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 1.72 | 6.1% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 37.53 | \$ 2.29 | 6.5% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 0.41 | 3.5% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 14.60 | \$ 0.63 | 4.5% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 19.97 | \$ 0.96 | 5.0% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 32.67 | \$ 1.72 | 5.6% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 40.50 | \$ 2.29 | 6.0% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 15.91 | \$ 0.41 | 2.6% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 18.44 | \$ 0.63 | 3.5% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 23.60 | \$ 0.96 | 4.2% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 34.53 | \$ 1.72 | 5.2% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 42.14 | \$ 2.29 | 5.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 18.04 | \$ 0.84 | 4.9% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 32.55 | \$ 1.89 | 6.2% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 35.21 | \$ 1.89 | 5.7% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 29.38 | \$ 1.26 | 4.5% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 38.48 | \$ 1.89 | 5.2% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 11.55 | \$ 0.26 | 2.3% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 0.38 | 2.8% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 18.22 | \$ 0.53 | 3.0% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 16.36 | \$ 0.64 | 4.1% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 22.89 | \$ 0.99 | 4.5% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 0.26 | 2.0% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 15.23 | \$ 0.38 | 2.6% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 0.53 | 2.7% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 0.64 | 3.3% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 26.32 | \$ 0.99 | 3.9% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 17.15 | \$ 0.26 | 1.5% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 28.45 | \$ 0.26 | 0.9% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 16.24 | \$ 0.38 | 2.4% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 24.29 | \$ 0.53 | 2.2% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 22.03 | \$ 0.64 | 3.0% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 37.05 | \$ 0.64 | 1.8% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 0.99 | 3.8% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 46.97 | \$ 0.15 | 0.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 0.51 | 2.3% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 0.75 | 3.0% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 32.89 | \$ 1.27 | 4.0% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 23.54 | \$ 0.51 | 2.2% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 26.37 | \$ 0.75 | 2.9% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 34.30 | \$ 1.27 | 3.8% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 27.78 | \$ 0.51 | 1.9% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 33.05 | \$ 0.75 | 2.3% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 40.55 | \$ 1.27 | 3.2% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 41.65 | \$ 1.96 | 4.9% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.43 | \$ 0.15 | 11.7% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 0.29 | 11.5% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 5.39 | \$ 0.46 | 9.3% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 0.60 | 7.8% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 10.36 | \$ 0.75 | 7.8% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 14.39 | \$ 0.89 | 6.6% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 17.26 | \$ 1.07 | 6.6% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 22.63 | \$ 1.22 | 5.7% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 25.89 | \$ 1.37 | 5.6% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 14.24 | \$ 1.51 | 11.9% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 1.65 | 10.9% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 19.72 | \$ 1.82 | 10.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 1.96 | 9.9% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 25.79 | \$ 2.11 | 8.9% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 28.65 | \$ 2.25 | 8.5% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 34.01 | \$ 2.39 | 7.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 10.87 | \$ (0.61) | -5.3% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 28.23 | \$ (1.37) | -4.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 50.08 | \$ (3.33) | -6.2% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 17.46 | \$ (0.61) | -3.4% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 14.83 | \$ (0.77) | -4.9% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 26.68 | \$ (1.43) | -5.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 9.96 | \$ 0.14 | 1.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 19.93 | \$ 0.31 | 1.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 29.91 | \$ 0.45 | 1.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 0.58 | 1.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 49.83 | \$ 0.74 | 1.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 59.78 | \$ 0.89 | 1.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 34.20 | \$ 0.86 | 2.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 64.39 | \$ 0.72 | 1.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.83 | \$ 94.57 | \$ (0.26) | -0.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.00 | \$ 124.77 | \$ (1.23) | -1.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 157.16 | \$ 154.99 | \$ (2.17) | -1.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 188.32 | \$ 185.18 | \$ (3.14) | -1.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 250.62 | \$ 245.54 | \$ (5.08) | -2.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.72 | \$ 305.71 | \$ (7.01) | -2.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.80 | \$ 365.82 | \$ (8.98) | -2.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 436.91 | \$ 425.99 | \$ (10.92) | -2.5% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 498.97 | \$ 486.15 | \$ (12.82) | -2.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 561.09 | \$ 546.32 | \$ (14.77) | -2.6% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 623.18 | \$ 606.47 | \$ (16.71) | -2.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 685.25 | \$ 666.60 | \$ (18.65) | -2.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 747.36 | \$ 726.76 | \$ (20.60) | -2.8% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 809.42 | \$ 786.92 | \$ (22.50) | -2.8% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 871.54 | \$ 847.10 | \$ (24.44) | -2.8% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 933.63 | \$ 907.26 | \$ (26.37) | -2.8% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 995.71 | \$ 967.38 | \$ (28.33) | -2.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,057.82 | \$ 1,027.53 | \$ (30.29) | -2.9% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,119.88 | \$ 1,087.69 | \$ (32.19) | -2.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,181.99 | \$ 1,147.86 | \$ (34.13) | -2.9% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,244.07 | \$ 1,208.00 | \$ (36.07) | -2.9% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,306.18 | \$ 1,268.16 | \$ (38.02) | -2.9% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,368.27 | \$ 1,328.31 | \$ (39.96) | -2.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,430.37 | \$ 1,388.50 | \$ (41.87) | -2.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,492.44 | \$ 1,448.63 | \$ (43.81) | -2.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 22.84 | \$ 23.70 | \$ 0.86 | 3.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 42.67 | \$ 43.39 | \$ 0.72 | 1.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 54.33 | \$ 54.07 | \$ (0.26) | -0.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 66.00 | \$ 64.77 | \$ (1.23) | -1.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 77.66 | \$ 75.49 | \$ (2.17) | -2.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 89.32 | \$ 86.18 | \$ (3.14) | -3.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 112.62 | \$ 107.54 | \$ (5.08) | -4.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 135.72 | \$ 128.71 | \$ (7.01) | -5.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 158.80 | \$ 149.82 | \$ (8.98) | -5.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 181.91 | \$ 170.99 | \$ (10.92) | -6.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 204.97 | \$ 192.15 | \$ (12.82) | -6.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 228.09 | \$ 213.32 | \$ (14.77) | -6.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 251.18 | \$ 234.47 | \$ (16.71) | -6.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 274.25 | \$ 255.60 | \$ (18.65) | -6.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 297.36 | \$ 276.76 | \$ (20.60) | -6.9% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 320.42 | \$ 297.92 | \$ (22.50) | -7.0% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 343.54 | \$ 319.10 | \$ (24.44) | -7.1% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 366.63 | \$ 340.26 | \$ (26.37) | -7.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 389.71 | \$ 361.38 | \$ (28.33) | -7.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 412.82 | \$ 382.53 | \$ (30.29) | -7.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 435.88 | \$ 403.69 | \$ (32.19) | -7.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 458.99 | \$ 424.86 | \$ (34.13) | -7.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 482.07 | \$ 446.00 | \$ (36.07) | -7.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 505.18 | \$ 467.16 | \$ (38.02) | -7.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 528.27 | \$ 488.31 | \$ (39.96) | -7.6% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 551.37 | \$ 509.50 | \$ (41.87) | -7.6% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 574.44 | \$ 530.63 | \$ (43.81) | -7.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 34.20 | \$ 0.86 | 2.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 64.39 | \$ 0.72 | 1.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 89.33 | \$ 89.07 | \$ (0.26) | -0.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 115.00 | \$ 113.77 | \$ (1.23) | -1.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.66 | \$ 138.49 | \$ (2.17) | -1.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 166.32 | \$ 163.18 | \$ (3.14) | -1.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 217.62 | \$ 212.54 | \$ (5.08) | -2.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 268.72 | \$ 261.71 | \$ (7.01) | -2.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 319.80 | \$ 310.82 | \$ (8.98) | -2.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 370.91 | \$ 359.99 | \$ (10.92) | -2.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 421.97 | \$ 409.15 | \$ (12.82) | -3.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 473.09 | \$ 458.32 | \$ (14.77) | -3.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 524.18 | \$ 507.47 | \$ (16.71) | -3.2% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 575.25 | \$ 556.60 | \$ (18.65) | -3.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 626.36 | \$ 605.76 | \$ (20.60) | -3.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 677.42 | \$ 654.92 | \$ (22.50) | -3.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 728.54 | \$ 704.10 | \$ (24.44) | -3.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 779.63 | \$ 753.26 | \$ (26.37) | -3.4% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 830.71 | \$ 802.38 | \$ (28.33) | -3.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 881.82 | \$ 851.53 | \$ (30.29) | -3.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 932.88 | \$ 900.69 | \$ (32.19) | -3.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 983.99 | \$ 949.86 | \$ (34.13) | -3.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,035.07 | \$ 999.00 | \$ (36.07) | -3.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,086.18 | \$ 1,048.16 | \$ (38.02) | -3.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,137.27 | \$ 1,097.31 | \$ (39.96) | -3.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,188.37 | \$ 1,146.50 | \$ (41.87) | -3.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,239.44 | \$ 1,195.63 | \$ (43.81) | -3.5% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 36.87 | \$ 4.00 | 12.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 69.74 | \$ 8.00 | 13.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 102.59 | \$ 9.49 | 10.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 135.47 | \$ 10.99 | 8.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 168.36 | \$ 12.52 | 8.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 201.23 | \$ 14.02 | 7.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 266.93 | \$ 17.01 | 6.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 332.45 | \$ 20.01 | 6.4% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 397.91 | \$ 22.99 | 6.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 463.43 | \$ 26.00 | 5.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 528.94 | \$ 29.03 | 5.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 594.45 | \$ 32.02 | 5.7% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 659.95 | \$ 35.01 | 5.6% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 725.43 | \$ 38.02 | 5.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 790.93 | \$ 41.00 | 5.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 856.44 | \$ 44.03 | 5.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 921.96 | \$ 47.03 | 5.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 987.47 | \$ 50.03 | 5.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 1,052.94 | \$ 53.01 | 5.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,118.44 | \$ 56.01 | 5.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,183.95 | \$ 59.04 | 5.2% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,249.46 | \$ 62.03 | 5.2% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,314.95 | \$ 65.03 | 5.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,380.46 | \$ 68.02 | 5.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,445.96 | \$ 71.02 | 5.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,511.50 | \$ 74.05 | 5.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,576.97 | \$ 77.04 | 5.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 36.87 | \$ 4.00 | 12.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 69.74 | \$ 8.00 | 13.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 102.59 | \$ 9.49 | 10.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 135.47 | \$ 10.99 | 8.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 168.36 | \$ 12.52 | 8.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 201.23 | \$ 14.02 | 7.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 266.93 | \$ 17.01 | 6.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 332.45 | \$ 20.01 | 6.4% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 397.91 | \$ 22.99 | 6.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 463.43 | \$ 26.00 | 5.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 528.94 | \$ 29.03 | 5.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 594.45 | \$ 32.02 | 5.7% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 659.95 | \$ 35.01 | 5.6% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 725.43 | \$ 38.02 | 5.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 790.93 | \$ 41.00 | 5.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 856.44 | \$ 44.03 | 5.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 921.96 | \$ 47.03 | 5.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 987.47 | \$ 50.03 | 5.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 1,052.94 | \$ 53.01 | 5.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,118.44 | \$ 56.01 | 5.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,183.95 | \$ 59.04 | 5.2% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,249.46 | \$ 62.03 | 5.2% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,314.95 | \$ 65.03 | 5.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,380.46 | \$ 68.02 | 5.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,445.96 | \$ 71.02 | 5.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,511.50 | \$ 74.05 | 5.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,576.97 | \$ 77.04 | 5.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 36.87 | \$ 4.00 | 12.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 69.74 | \$ 8.00 | 13.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 102.59 | \$ 9.49 | 10.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 135.47 | \$ 10.99 | 8.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 168.36 | \$ 12.52 | 8.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 201.23 | \$ 14.02 | 7.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 266.93 | \$ 17.01 | 6.8% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 332.45 | \$ 20.01 | 6.4% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 397.91 | \$ 22.99 | 6.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 463.43 | \$ 26.00 | 5.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 528.94 | \$ 29.03 | 5.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 594.45 | \$ 32.02 | 5.7% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 659.95 | \$ 35.01 | 5.6% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 725.43 | \$ 38.02 | 5.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 790.93 | \$ 41.00 | 5.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 856.44 | \$ 44.03 | 5.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 921.96 | \$ 47.03 | 5.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 987.47 | \$ 50.03 | 5.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 1,052.94 | \$ 53.01 | 5.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,118.44 | \$ 56.01 | 5.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,183.95 | \$ 59.04 | 5.2% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,249.46 | \$ 62.03 | 5.2% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,314.95 | \$ 65.03 | 5.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,380.46 | \$ 68.02 | 5.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,445.96 | \$ 71.02 | 5.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,511.50 | \$ 74.05 | 5.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,576.97 | \$ 77.04 | 5.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 171.21 | \$ 4.38 | 2.6% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 258.49 | \$ (5.61) | -2.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 345.33 | \$ (15.62) | -4.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 432.17 | \$ (25.62) | -5.6% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 519.02 | \$ (35.63) | -6.4% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 605.86 | \$ (45.61) | -7.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 18,681.42 | \$ 439.16 | 2.4% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 27,309.24 | \$ (560.97) | -2.0% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 35,937.05 | \$ (1,561.11) | -4.2% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 44,564.87 | \$ (2,561.24) | -5.4% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 53,192.67 | \$ (3,561.38) | -6.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 61,820.50 | \$ (4,561.51) | -6.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase % (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 5,838.15 | \$ (4.90) | -0.1% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 9,730.47 | \$ (43.35) | -0.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 13,622.80 | \$ (81.80) | -0.6% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 17,515.11 | \$ (120.26) | -0.7% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 21,407.43 | \$ (158.71) | -0.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 25,299.76 | \$ (197.16) | -0.8% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 56,947.55 | \$ (49.01) | -0.1% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 95,639.78 | \$ (433.53) | -0.5% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 133,871.36 | \$ (818.04) | -0.6% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 172,102.94 | \$ (1,202.56) | -0.7% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 210,334.52 | \$ (1,587.07) | -0.7% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 248,566.11 | \$ (1,971.58) | -0.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 10,368.90 | \$ 1,316.90 | 14.5% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 18,163.67 | \$ 2,036.10 | 12.6% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 25,958.45 | \$ 2,755.30 | 11.9% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 33,753.23 | \$ 3,474.50 | 11.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 41,547.99 | \$ 4,193.69 | 11.2% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 49,342.78 | \$ 4,912.90 | 11.1% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 101,754.07 | \$ 13,168.99 | 14.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 178,318.52 | \$ 20,360.98 | 12.9% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 254,882.98 | \$ 27,552.97 | 12.1% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 331,447.43 | \$ 34,744.95 | 11.7% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 408,011.90 | \$ 41,936.95 | 11.5% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 484,576.33 | \$ 49,128.93 | 11.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 27,220.38 | \$ 879.91 | 3.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 36,595.44 | \$ 325.81 | 0.9% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 45,970.50 | \$ (228.28) | -0.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 55,345.56 | \$ (782.38) | -1.4% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 64,489.61 | \$ (1,336.47) | -2.0% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 73,588.01 | \$ (1,890.56) | -2.5% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 267,625.62 | \$ 8,799.06 | 3.4% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 358,609.62 | \$ 3,258.12 | 0.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 449,593.62 | \$ (2,282.82) | -0.5% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 540,577.62 | \$ (7,823.76) | -1.4% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 631,561.63 | \$ (13,364.70) | -2.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 722,545.63 | \$ (18,905.64) | -2.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.46 | \$ (0.07) | -0.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 14.53 | \$ (0.12) | -0.8% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 15.42 | \$ (0.17) | -1.1% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 19.03 | \$ (0.29) | -1.5% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 21.02 | \$ (0.38) | -1.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 26.48 | \$ (0.57) | -2.1% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 29.07 | \$ (0.66) | -2.2% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.62 | \$ (0.07) | -0.9% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 9.69 | \$ (0.12) | -1.2% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 10.58 | \$ (0.17) | -1.6% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 14.19 | \$ (0.29) | -2.0% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 16.18 | \$ (0.38) | -2.3% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 21.64 | \$ (0.57) | -2.6% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 24.23 | \$ (0.66) | -2.7% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 11.82 | \$ (0.17) | -1.4% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.48 | \$ (0.25) | -1.6% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 21.56 | \$ (0.36) | -1.6% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 47.94 | \$ (0.89) | -1.8% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 16.17 | \$ (0.17) | -1.0% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 22.73 | \$ (0.17) | -0.7% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 27.20 | \$ (0.25) | -0.9% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 33.50 | \$ (0.36) | -1.1% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 33.75 | \$ (0.36) | -1.1% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 53.13 | \$ (0.75) | -1.4% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 61.77 | \$ (0.89) | -1.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.00 | \$ (0.09) | -0.7% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 14.93 | \$ (0.15) | -1.0% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 19.94 | \$ (0.25) | -1.2% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 25.65 | \$ (0.39) | -1.5% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 17.52 | \$ (0.09) | -0.5% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 24.56 | \$ (0.09) | -0.4% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 26.74 | \$ (0.15) | -0.6% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 31.59 | \$ (0.25) | -0.8% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 50.93 | \$ (0.50) | -1.0% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 37.11 | \$ (0.39) | -1.0% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 22.99 | \$ (0.09) | -0.4% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 35.02 | \$ (0.09) | -0.3% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 25.47 | \$ (0.15) | -0.6% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 37.14 | \$ (0.15) | -0.4% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 31.17 | \$ (0.25) | -0.8% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 43.00 | \$ (0.25) | -0.6% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 36.88 | \$ (0.39) | -1.0% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 49.52 | \$ (0.39) | -0.8% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.60 | \$ (0.08) | -4.8% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.21 | \$ (0.11) | -3.3% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 5.70 | \$ (0.17) | -2.9% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 8.46 | \$ (0.22) | -2.5% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 10.55 | \$ (0.30) | -2.8% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 14.17 | \$ (0.36) | -2.5% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 16.90 | \$ (0.41) | -2.4% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 21.58 | \$ (0.47) | -2.1% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 24.61 | \$ (0.52) | -2.1% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 16.08 | \$ (0.60) | -3.6% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 18.55 | \$ (0.66) | -3.4% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 21.30 | \$ (0.71) | -3.2% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 23.41 | \$ (0.78) | -3.2% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 27.04 | \$ (0.82) | -2.9% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 29.77 | \$ (0.88) | -2.9% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 34.42 | \$ (0.96) | -2.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or (A) Watts) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.60 | \$ (0.08) | -4.8% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.21 | \$ (0.11) | -3.3% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 7.15 | \$ (0.17) | -2.3% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 11.84 | \$ (0.22) | -1.8% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 14.76 | \$ (0.30) | -2.0% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 21.76 | \$ (0.36) | -1.6% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 26.36 | \$ (0.41) | -1.5% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 36.16 | \$ (0.47) | -1.3% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 41.61 | \$ (0.52) | -1.2% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 16.08 | \$ (0.60) | -3.6% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 20.00 | \$ (0.66) | -3.2% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 24.68 | \$ (0.71) | -2.8% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 27.62 | \$ (0.78) | -2.7% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 34.63 | \$ (0.82) | -2.3% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 39.23 | \$ (0.88) | -2.2% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 49.00 | \$ (0.96) | -1.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 13.57 | \$ (0.78) | -5.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 27.35 | \$ (1.76) | -6.0% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 52.76 | \$ (4.25) | -7.5% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 15.91 | \$ (0.78) | -4.7% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 15.92 | \$ (0.47) | -2.9% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 19.66 | \$ (0.70) | -3.4% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 24.30 | \$ (1.18) | -4.6% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 32.61 | \$ (1.83) | -5.3% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 19.00 | \$ (0.47) | -2.4% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 25.06 | \$ (0.70) | -2.7% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 39.00 | \$ (0.99) | -2.5% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 31.04 | \$ (1.18) | -3.7% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 42.78 | \$ (1.18) | -2.7% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 36.93 | \$ (1.83) | -4.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 4.12 | \$ 0.12 | 3.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 0.20 | 2.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 12.32 | \$ 0.30 | 2.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 0.37 | 2.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 20.55 | \$ 0.49 | 2.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 24.68 | \$ 0.59 | 2.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 181.91 | \$ 19.20 | 11.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 279.88 | \$ 24.01 | 9.4% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 377.42 | \$ 28.82 | 8.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 474.95 | \$ 33.63 | 7.6% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 572.50 | \$ 38.44 | 7.2% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 670.03 | \$ 43.26 | 6.9% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 19,750.92 | \$ 1,920.36 | 10.8% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 29,448.24 | \$ 2,401.43 | 8.9% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 39,145.55 | \$ 2,882.49 | 7.9% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 48,842.87 | \$ 3,363.56 | 7.4% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 58,540.17 | \$ 3,844.62 | 7.0% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 68,237.50 | \$ 4,325.69 | 6.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 6,354.35 | \$ 353.55 | 5.9% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 10,762.87 | \$ 323.55 | 3.1% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 15,171.40 | \$ 293.55 | 2.0% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 19,579.91 | \$ 263.54 | 1.4% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 23,988.43 | \$ 233.54 | 1.0% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 28,396.96 | \$ 203.54 | 0.7% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 62,109.55 | \$ 3,535.49 | 6.0% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 105,963.78 | \$ 3,235.47 | 3.1% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 149,357.36 | \$ 2,935.46 | 2.0% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 192,750.94 | \$ 2,635.44 | 1.4% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 236,144.52 | \$ 2,335.43 | 1.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 279,538.11 | \$ 2,035.42 | 0.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 11,372.20 | \$ 1,845.30 | 19.4% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 20,170.27 | \$ 2,580.90 | 14.7% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 28,968.35 | \$ 3,316.50 | 12.9% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 37,766.43 | \$ 4,052.10 | 12.0% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 46,564.49 | \$ 4,787.69 | 11.5% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 55,362.58 | \$ 5,523.30 | 11.1% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 111,787.07 | \$ 18,452.99 | 19.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 198,384.52 | \$ 25,808.98 | 15.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 284,981.98 | \$ 33,164.97 | 13.2% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 371,579.43 | \$ 40,520.95 | 12.2% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 458,176.90 | \$ 47,876.95 | 11.7% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 544,774.33 | \$ 55,232.93 | 11.3% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$(D)-(C)) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(C)) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 29,224.98 | \$ 992.71 | 3.5% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 40,604.64 | \$ 471.41 | 1.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 51,984.30 | \$ (49.88) | -0.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 63,363.96 | \$ (571.18) | -0.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 74,512.61 | \$ (1,092.47) | -1.4% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 85,615.61 | \$ (1,613.76) | -1.9% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 287,671.62 | \$ 9,927.06 | 3.6% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 398,701.62 | \$ 4,714.12 | 1.2% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 509,731.62 | \$ (498.82) | -0.1% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 620,761.62 | \$ (5,711.76) | -0.9% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 731,791.63 | \$ (10,924.70) | -1.5% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 842,821.63 | \$ (16,137.64) | -1.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 12.72 | \$ 0.66 | 5.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 1.59 | 11.7% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 16.17 | \$ 1.96 | 13.8% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 20.38 | \$ 3.55 | 21.1% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 22.70 | \$ 4.41 | 24.1% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 29.07 | \$ 6.82 | 30.7% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 32.08 | \$ 7.93 | 32.8% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 7.88 | \$ 0.66 | 9.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 10.29 | \$ 1.59 | 18.3% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 11.33 | \$ 1.96 | 20.9% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 3.55 | 29.6% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 17.86 | \$ 4.41 | 32.8% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 24.23 | \$ 6.82 | 39.2% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 27.24 | \$ 7.93 | 41.1% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 12.56 | \$ 1.93 | 18.2% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 16.60 | \$ 2.93 | 21.4% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 23.25 | \$ 4.46 | 23.7% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 52.00 | \$ 10.69 | 25.9% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 16.91 | \$ 1.93 | 12.9% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 23.47 | \$ 1.93 | 9.0% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 28.32 | \$ 2.93 | 11.5% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 35.19 | \$ 4.46 | 14.5% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 35.44 | \$ 4.46 | 14.4% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 56.51 | \$ 8.88 | 18.6% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 65.83 | \$ 10.69 | 19.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 1.19 | 9.7% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 1.75 | 12.6% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 21.06 | \$ 2.95 | 16.3% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 27.39 | \$ 4.58 | 20.1% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 1.19 | 7.1% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 25.01 | \$ 1.19 | 5.0% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 1.75 | 6.8% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 32.71 | \$ 2.95 | 9.9% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 53.18 | \$ 5.91 | 12.5% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 38.85 | \$ 4.58 | 13.4% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 1.19 | 5.3% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.47 | \$ 1.19 | 3.5% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 26.14 | \$ 1.75 | 7.2% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 37.81 | \$ 1.75 | 4.9% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 32.29 | \$ 2.95 | 10.1% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 44.12 | \$ 2.95 | 7.2% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 38.62 | \$ 4.58 | 13.5% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 51.26 | \$ 4.58 | 9.8% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.87 | \$ 0.69 | 58.5% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.74 | \$ 1.41 | 60.5% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 6.50 | \$ 2.11 | 48.1% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 9.53 | \$ 2.82 | 42.0% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 11.89 | \$ 3.51 | 41.9% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 4.21 | 36.4% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 18.77 | \$ 4.93 | 35.6% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 23.72 | \$ 5.64 | 31.2% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 27.02 | \$ 6.35 | 30.7% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 18.75 | \$ 7.02 | 59.8% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 21.49 | \$ 7.73 | 56.2% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 8.44 | 52.5% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 9.14 | 51.5% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 30.78 | \$ 9.85 | 47.1% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 33.78 | \$ 10.55 | 45.4% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 38.70 | \$ 11.24 | 40.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 1.87 | \$ 0.69 | 58.5% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 3.74 | \$ 1.41 | 60.5% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 7.95 | \$ 2.11 | 36.1% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 2.82 | 27.9% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 16.10 | \$ 3.51 | 27.9% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 23.36 | \$ 4.21 | 22.0% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 28.23 | \$ 4.93 | 21.2% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 38.30 | \$ 5.64 | 17.3% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 44.02 | \$ 6.35 | 16.9% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 18.75 | \$ 7.02 | 59.8% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 22.94 | \$ 7.73 | 50.8% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 27.89 | \$ 8.44 | 43.4% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 31.10 | \$ 9.14 | 41.6% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 38.37 | \$ 9.85 | 34.5% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 43.24 | \$ 10.55 | 32.3% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 53.28 | \$ 11.24 | 26.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 14.31 | \$ 1.34 | 10.3% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 29.04 | \$ 3.11 | 12.0% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 56.82 | \$ 7.44 | 15.1% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 16.65 | \$ 1.34 | 8.8% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 16.37 | \$ 0.83 | 5.3% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 1.22 | 6.4% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 25.42 | \$ 2.05 | 8.8% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 34.35 | \$ 3.19 | 10.2% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 0.83 | 4.5% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 25.73 | \$ 1.22 | 5.0% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 39.94 | \$ 1.72 | 4.5% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 32.16 | \$ 2.05 | 6.8% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 43.90 | \$ 2.05 | 4.9% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 38.67 | \$ 3.19 | 9.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 5.19 | \$ 0.24 | 4.8% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 10.37 | \$ 0.47 | 4.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 15.53 | \$ 0.69 | 4.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 20.71 | \$ 0.90 | 4.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 25.90 | \$ 1.15 | 4.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 31.10 | \$ 1.38 | 4.6% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 34.39 | \$ 2.37 | 7.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 64.79 | \$ 3.72 | 6.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 92.00 | \$ 95.18 | \$ 3.18 | 3.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 122.93 | \$ 125.58 | \$ 2.65 | 2.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 153.86 | \$ 155.99 | \$ 2.13 | 1.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 184.80 | \$ 186.38 | \$ 1.58 | 0.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 246.65 | \$ 247.18 | \$ 0.53 | 0.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 308.31 | \$ 307.76 | \$ (0.55) | -0.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 369.95 | \$ 368.30 | \$ (1.65) | -0.4% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 431.58 | \$ 428.86 | \$ (2.72) | -0.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 493.20 | \$ 489.42 | \$ (3.78) | -0.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 554.84 | \$ 549.99 | \$ (4.85) | -0.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 616.49 | \$ 610.56 | \$ (5.93) | -1.0% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 678.12 | \$ 671.11 | \$ (7.01) | -1.0% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 739.74 | \$ 731.66 | \$ (8.08) | -1.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 801.37 | \$ 792.23 | \$ (9.14) | -1.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 863.02 | \$ 852.81 | \$ (10.21) | -1.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 924.68 | \$ 913.39 | \$ (11.29) | -1.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 986.30 | \$ 973.92 | \$ (12.38) | -1.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,047.94 | \$ 1,034.49 | \$ (13.45) | -1.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,109.57 | \$ 1,095.06 | \$ (14.51) | -1.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,171.20 | \$ 1,155.63 | \$ (15.57) | -1.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,232.84 | \$ 1,216.18 | \$ (16.66) | -1.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,294.48 | \$ 1,276.73 | \$ (17.75) | -1.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,356.11 | \$ 1,337.30 | \$ (18.81) | -1.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,417.76 | \$ 1,397.88 | \$ (19.88) | -1.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,479.38 | \$ 1,458.45 | \$ (20.93) | -1.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 34.39 | \$ 2.37 | 7.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 64.79 | \$ 3.72 | 6.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.82 | \$ 86.00 | \$ 3.18 | 3.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 104.58 | \$ 107.23 | \$ 2.65 | 2.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 126.33 | \$ 128.46 | \$ 2.13 | 1.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 138.35 | \$ 139.93 | \$ 1.58 | 1.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 162.35 | \$ 162.88 | \$ 0.53 | 0.3% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 186.16 | \$ 185.61 | \$ (0.55) | -0.3% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 209.95 | \$ 208.30 | \$ (1.65) | -0.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 233.73 | \$ 231.01 | \$ (2.72) | -1.2% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 257.50 | \$ 253.72 | \$ (3.78) | -1.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 281.29 | \$ 276.44 | \$ (4.85) | -1.7% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 305.09 | \$ 299.16 | \$ (5.93) | -1.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 328.87 | \$ 321.86 | \$ (7.01) | -2.1% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 352.64 | \$ 344.56 | \$ (8.08) | -2.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 376.42 | \$ 367.28 | \$ (9.14) | -2.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 400.22 | \$ 390.01 | \$ (10.21) | -2.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 424.03 | \$ 412.74 | \$ (11.29) | -2.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 447.80 | \$ 435.42 | \$ (12.38) | -2.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 471.59 | \$ 458.14 | \$ (13.45) | -2.9% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 495.37 | \$ 480.86 | \$ (14.51) | -2.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 519.15 | \$ 503.58 | \$ (15.57) | -3.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 542.94 | \$ 526.28 | \$ (16.66) | -3.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 566.73 | \$ 548.98 | \$ (17.75) | -3.1% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 590.51 | \$ 571.70 | \$ (18.81) | -3.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 614.31 | \$ 594.43 | \$ (19.88) | -3.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 638.08 | \$ 617.15 | \$ (20.93) | -3.3% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 34.39 | \$ 2.37 | 7.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 64.79 | \$ 3.72 | 6.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 87.57 | \$ 90.75 | \$ 3.18 | 3.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 114.08 | \$ 116.73 | \$ 2.65 | 2.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.58 | \$ 142.71 | \$ 2.13 | 1.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 167.10 | \$ 168.68 | \$ 1.58 | 0.9% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 220.10 | \$ 220.63 | \$ 0.53 | 0.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 272.91 | \$ 272.36 | \$ (0.55) | -0.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 325.70 | \$ 324.05 | \$ (1.65) | -0.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 378.48 | \$ 375.76 | \$ (2.72) | -0.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 431.25 | \$ 427.47 | \$ (3.78) | -0.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 484.04 | \$ 479.19 | \$ (4.85) | -1.0% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 536.84 | \$ 530.91 | \$ (5.93) | -1.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 589.62 | \$ 582.61 | \$ (7.01) | -1.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 642.39 | \$ 634.31 | \$ (8.08) | -1.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 695.17 | \$ 686.03 | \$ (9.14) | -1.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 747.97 | \$ 737.76 | \$ (10.21) | -1.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 800.78 | \$ 789.49 | \$ (11.29) | -1.4% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 853.55 | \$ 841.17 | \$ (12.38) | -1.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 906.34 | \$ 892.89 | \$ (13.45) | -1.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 959.12 | \$ 944.61 | \$ (14.51) | -1.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,011.90 | \$ 996.33 | \$ (15.57) | -1.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,064.69 | \$ 1,048.03 | \$ (16.66) | -1.6% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,117.48 | \$ 1,099.73 | \$ (17.75) | -1.6% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,170.26 | \$ 1,151.45 | \$ (18.81) | -1.6% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,223.06 | \$ 1,203.18 | \$ (19.88) | -1.6% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,275.83 | \$ 1,254.90 | \$ (20.93) | -1.6% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 37.06 | \$ 3.75 | 11.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 70.14 | \$ 7.48 | 11.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 103.20 | \$ 8.72 | 9.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 136.28 | \$ 9.97 | 7.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 169.36 | \$ 11.24 | 7.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 202.43 | \$ 12.47 | 6.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 268.57 | \$ 14.97 | 5.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 334.50 | \$ 17.45 | 5.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 400.39 | \$ 19.93 | 5.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 466.30 | \$ 22.43 | 5.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 532.21 | \$ 24.93 | 4.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 598.12 | \$ 27.42 | 4.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 664.04 | \$ 29.90 | 4.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 729.94 | \$ 32.39 | 4.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 795.83 | \$ 34.87 | 4.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 861.75 | \$ 37.37 | 4.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 927.67 | \$ 39.86 | 4.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 993.60 | \$ 42.34 | 4.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 1,059.48 | \$ 44.82 | 4.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,125.40 | \$ 47.33 | 4.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,191.32 | \$ 49.83 | 4.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,257.23 | \$ 52.32 | 4.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,323.13 | \$ 54.80 | 4.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,389.03 | \$ 57.27 | 4.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,454.95 | \$ 59.77 | 4.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,520.88 | \$ 62.27 | 4.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,586.79 | \$ 64.77 | 4.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 37.06 | \$ 3.75 | 11.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 70.14 | \$ 7.48 | 11.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 103.20 | \$ 8.72 | 9.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 136.28 | \$ 9.97 | 7.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 169.36 | \$ 11.24 | 7.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 202.43 | \$ 12.47 | 6.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 268.57 | \$ 14.97 | 5.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 334.50 | \$ 17.45 | 5.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 400.39 | \$ 19.93 | 5.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 466.30 | \$ 22.43 | 5.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 532.21 | \$ 24.93 | 4.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 598.12 | \$ 27.42 | 4.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 664.04 | \$ 29.90 | 4.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 729.94 | \$ 32.39 | 4.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 795.83 | \$ 34.87 | 4.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 861.75 | \$ 37.37 | 4.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 927.67 | \$ 39.86 | 4.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 993.60 | \$ 42.34 | 4.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 1,059.48 | \$ 44.82 | 4.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,125.40 | \$ 47.33 | 4.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,191.32 | \$ 49.83 | 4.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,257.23 | \$ 52.32 | 4.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,323.13 | \$ 54.80 | 4.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,389.03 | \$ 57.27 | 4.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,454.95 | \$ 59.77 | 4.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,520.88 | \$ 62.27 | 4.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,586.79 | \$ 64.77 | 4.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 37.06 | \$ 3.75 | 11.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 70.14 | \$ 7.48 | 11.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 103.20 | \$ 8.72 | 9.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 136.28 | \$ 9.97 | 7.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 169.36 | \$ 11.24 | 7.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 202.43 | \$ 12.47 | 6.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 268.57 | \$ 14.97 | 5.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 334.50 | \$ 17.45 | 5.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 400.39 | \$ 19.93 | 5.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 466.30 | \$ 22.43 | 5.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 532.21 | \$ 24.93 | 4.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 598.12 | \$ 27.42 | 4.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 664.04 | \$ 29.90 | 4.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 729.94 | \$ 32.39 | 4.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 795.83 | \$ 34.87 | 4.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 861.75 | \$ 37.37 | 4.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 927.67 | \$ 39.86 | 4.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 993.60 | \$ 42.34 | 4.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 1,059.48 | \$ 44.82 | 4.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,125.40 | \$ 47.33 | 4.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,191.32 | \$ 49.83 | 4.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,257.23 | \$ 52.32 | 4.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,323.13 | \$ 54.80 | 4.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,389.03 | \$ 57.27 | 4.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,454.95 | \$ 59.77 | 4.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,520.88 | \$ 62.27 | 4.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,586.79 | \$ 64.77 | 4.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 151.85 | \$ 8.31 | 5.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 238.29 | \$ 7.22 | 3.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 324.32 | \$ 6.13 | 1.9% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 410.31 | \$ 5.05 | 1.2% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 496.30 | \$ 3.95 | 0.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 582.29 | \$ 2.87 | 0.5% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 15,836.01 | \$ 830.76 | 5.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 24,379.72 | \$ 721.83 | 3.1% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 32,923.43 | \$ 612.89 | 1.9% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 41,467.14 | \$ 503.96 | 1.2% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 50,010.84 | \$ 395.01 | 0.8% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 58,554.57 | \$ 286.10 | 0.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) (A) | Current Winter Bill (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 6,376.45 | \$ 482.40 | 8.2% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 10,260.09 | \$ 502.50 | 5.1% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 14,143.71 | \$ 522.60 | 3.8% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 18,027.35 | \$ 542.70 | 3.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 21,910.97 | \$ 562.79 | 2.6% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 25,794.62 | \$ 582.89 | 2.3% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 62,330.65 | \$ 4,823.99 | 8.4% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 101,003.46 | \$ 5,024.97 | 5.2% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 139,350.24 | \$ 5,225.96 | 3.9% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 177,697.00 | \$ 5,426.94 | 3.2% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 216,043.78 | \$ 5,627.93 | 2.7% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 254,390.55 | \$ 5,828.91 | 2.3% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 10,066.67 | \$ 1,217.20 | 13.8% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 17,695.01 | \$ 1,811.90 | 11.4% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 25,323.36 | \$ 2,406.60 | 10.5% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 32,951.70 | \$ 3,001.30 | 10.0% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 40,580.04 | \$ 3,595.99 | 9.7% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 48,208.40 | \$ 4,190.70 | 9.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 98,619.26 | \$ 12,171.99 | 14.1% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 173,923.60 | \$ 18,118.98 | 11.6% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 249,227.95 | \$ 24,065.97 | 10.7% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 324,532.29 | \$ 30,012.95 | 10.2% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 399,836.65 | \$ 35,959.95 | 9.9% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 475,140.98 | \$ 41,906.93 | 9.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 29,319.92 | \$ 1,992.51 | 7.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 39,696.11 | \$ 2,523.01 | 6.8% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 50,072.32 | \$ 3,053.52 | 6.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 60,448.51 | \$ 3,584.02 | 6.3% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 70,661.20 | \$ 4,114.53 | 6.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 80,841.58 | \$ 4,645.04 | 6.1% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 289,092.70 | \$ 19,925.06 | 7.4% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 390,896.48 | \$ 25,230.12 | 6.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 492,700.27 | \$ 30,535.18 | 6.6% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 594,504.05 | \$ 35,840.24 | 6.4% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 696,307.84 | \$ 41,145.30 | 6.3% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 798,111.62 | \$ 46,450.36 | 6.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 18.06 | \$ 0.09 | 0.5% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 19.89 | \$ 0.20 | 1.0% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 0.26 | 1.3% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 23.90 | \$ 0.46 | 2.0% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 25.67 | \$ 0.57 | 2.3% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 0.89 | 3.0% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 1.05 | 3.3% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 8.26 | \$ 0.16 | 2.0% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 0.25 | 2.9% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 11.19 | \$ 0.39 | 3.6% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 14.28 | \$ 0.58 | 4.2% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 22.11 | \$ 1.06 | 5.0% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 1.39 | 5.4% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 16.08 | \$ 0.16 | 1.0% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 0.25 | 1.5% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 20.01 | \$ 0.39 | 2.0% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 29.03 | \$ 0.77 | 2.7% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 22.52 | \$ 0.58 | 2.6% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 1.16 | 3.4% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 31.87 | \$ 1.06 | 3.4% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 36.92 | \$ 1.39 | 3.9% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 64.78 | \$ 2.80 | 4.5% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 11.10 | \$ 0.16 | 1.5% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 12.37 | \$ 0.25 | 2.1% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 15.61 | \$ 0.39 | 2.6% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.48 | \$ 0.16 | 0.9% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 19.58 | \$ 0.25 | 1.3% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 0.39 | 1.6% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 0.58 | 2.1% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 53.81 | \$ 1.06 | 2.0% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 58.57 | \$ 1.39 | 2.4% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 84.74 | \$ 2.80 | 3.4% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 11.43 | \$ 0.25 | 2.2% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 0.39 | 2.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 8.04 | \$ 0.10 | 1.3% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.45 | \$ 0.15 | 1.8% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 9.23 | \$ 0.23 | 2.6% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 10.97 | \$ 0.32 | 3.0% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 11.51 | \$ 0.39 | 3.5% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 14.79 | \$ 0.60 | 4.2% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 31.22 | \$ 1.51 | 5.1% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 15.83 | \$ 0.10 | 0.6% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 0.15 | 0.9% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 0.23 | 1.3% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 19.76 | \$ 0.32 | 1.6% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 20.31 | \$ 0.39 | 2.0% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 24.60 | \$ 0.60 | 2.5% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 40.19 | \$ 1.51 | 3.9% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 11.14 | \$ 0.10 | 0.9% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 11.89 | \$ 0.15 | 1.3% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.32 | \$ 0.23 | 1.8% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 0.10 | 0.6% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 18.87 | \$ 0.15 | 0.8% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 22.52 | \$ 0.23 | 1.0% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 24.68 | \$ 0.32 | 1.3% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 22.36 | \$ 0.32 | 1.5% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 25.45 | \$ 0.39 | 1.6% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 27.72 | \$ 0.47 | 1.7% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 46.47 | \$ 0.60 | 1.3% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 60.06 | \$ 1.20 | 2.0% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 63.98 | \$ 1.51 | 2.4% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 11.70 | \$ 0.10 | 0.9% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 0.15 | 1.2% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 14.07 | \$ 0.23 | 1.7% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 14.27 | \$ 0.32 | 2.3% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 16.93 | \$ 0.39 | 2.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.51 | \$ 0.09 | 6.3% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 3.01 | \$ 0.19 | 6.7% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 4.54 | \$ 0.29 | 6.8% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 6.04 | \$ 0.37 | 6.5% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 7.54 | \$ 0.46 | 6.5% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 9.04 | \$ 0.55 | 6.5% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 10.57 | \$ 0.65 | 6.6% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 12.07 | \$ 0.73 | 6.4% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 13.59 | \$ 0.84 | 6.6% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 15.09 | \$ 0.92 | 6.5% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 16.60 | \$ 1.01 | 6.5% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 1.10 | 6.5% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 1.20 | 6.5% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 21.12 | \$ 1.29 | 6.5% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 1.38 | 6.5% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 24.12 | \$ 1.47 | 6.5% |
| 97 Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 2.13 | \$ 0.09 | 4.4% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 4.24 | \$ 0.19 | 4.7% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 6.39 | \$ 0.29 | 4.8% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 8.51 | \$ 0.37 | 4.5% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 0.46 | 4.5% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 12.74 | \$ 0.55 | 4.5% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 14.88 | \$ 0.65 | 4.6% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 17.00 | \$ 0.73 | 4.5% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 19.14 | \$ 0.84 | 4.6% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 21.25 | \$ 0.92 | 4.5% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 23.38 | \$ 1.01 | 4.5% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 25.51 | \$ 1.10 | 4.5% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 27.64 | \$ 1.20 | 4.5% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 29.75 | \$ 1.29 | 4.5% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 31.89 | \$ 1.38 | 4.5% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 33.99 | \$ 1.47 | 4.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 2.90 | \$ 0.09 | 3.2% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 5.78 | \$ 0.19 | 3.4% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 0.29 | 3.4% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 11.59 | \$ 0.37 | 3.3% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 14.47 | \$ 0.46 | 3.3% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 17.36 | \$ 0.55 | 3.3% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 20.27 | \$ 0.65 | 3.3% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 23.15 | \$ 0.73 | 3.3% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 0.84 | 3.3% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 28.95 | \$ 0.92 | 3.3% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 1.01 | 3.3% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 34.74 | \$ 1.10 | 3.3% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 37.63 | \$ 1.20 | 3.3% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 40.52 | \$ 1.29 | 3.3% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 43.43 | \$ 1.38 | 3.3% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 46.29 | \$ 1.47 | 3.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 11.32 | \$ (1.95) | -14.7% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 19.42 | \$ (4.46) | -18.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 36.21 | \$ (10.74) | -22.9% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 15.72 | \$ (1.95) | -11.0% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 10.66 | \$ (1.19) | -10.0% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 17.48 | \$ (2.97) | -14.5% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 22.32 | \$ (4.61) | -17.1% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 15.69 | \$ (1.19) | -7.0% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 16.33 | \$ (2.06) | -11.2% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 18.99 | \$ (3.14) | -14.2% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 23.30 | \$ (4.86) | -17.3% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 26.11 | \$ (2.06) | -7.3% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 28.77 | \$ (3.14) | -9.8% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 33.08 | \$ (4.86) | -12.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 7.33 | \$ 0.37 | 5.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 0.74 | 5.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 21.98 | \$ 1.11 | 5.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 29.27 | \$ 1.46 | 5.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 36.61 | \$ 1.83 | 5.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 43.95 | \$ 2.22 | 5.3% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 162.55 | \$ 13.31 | 8.9% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 259.68 | \$ 17.20 | 7.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 356.41 | \$ 21.12 | 6.3% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 453.09 | \$ 25.03 | 5.8% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 549.78 | \$ 28.93 | 5.6% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 646.46 | \$ 32.83 | 5.4% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 16,905.51 | \$ 1,330.16 | 8.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 26,518.72 | \$ 1,720.63 | 6.9% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 36,131.93 | \$ 2,111.09 | 6.2% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 45,745.14 | \$ 2,501.56 | 5.8% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 55,358.34 | \$ 2,892.01 | 5.5% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 64,971.57 | \$ 3,282.50 | 5.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 6,892.65 | \$ 930.85 | 15.6% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 11,292.49 | \$ 959.40 | 9.3% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 15,692.31 | \$ 987.95 | 6.7% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 20,092.15 | \$ 1,016.50 | 5.3% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 24,491.97 | \$ 1,045.04 | 4.5% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 28,891.82 | \$ 1,073.59 | 3.9% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 67,492.65 | \$ 9,308.49 | 16.0% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 111,327.46 | \$ 9,593.97 | 9.4% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 154,836.24 | \$ 9,879.46 | 6.8% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 198,345.00 | \$ 10,164.94 | 5.4% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 241,853.78 | \$ 10,450.43 | 4.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 285,362.55 | \$ 10,735.91 | 3.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 11,069.97 | \$ 1,684.60 | 17.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 19,701.61 | \$ 2,295.70 | 13.2% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 28,333.26 | \$ 2,906.80 | 11.4% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 36,964.90 | \$ 3,517.90 | 10.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 45,596.54 | \$ 4,128.99 | 10.0% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 54,228.20 | \$ 4,740.10 | 9.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 108,652.26 | \$ 16,845.99 | 18.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 193,989.60 | \$ 22,956.98 | 13.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 279,326.95 | \$ 29,067.97 | 11.6% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 364,664.29 | \$ 35,178.95 | 10.7% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 450,001.65 | \$ 41,289.95 | 10.1% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 535,338.98 | \$ 47,400.93 | 9.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 31,324.52 | \$ 2,443.31 | 8.5% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 43,705.31 | \$ 3,006.61 | 7.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 56,086.12 | \$ 3,569.92 | 6.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 68,466.91 | \$ 4,133.22 | 6.4% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 80,684.20 | \$ 4,696.53 | 6.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 92,869.18 | \$ 5,259.84 | 6.0% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 309,138.70 | \$ 24,433.06 | 8.6% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 430,988.48 | \$ 30,066.12 | 7.5% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 552,838.27 | \$ 35,699.18 | 6.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 674,688.05 | \$ 41,332.24 | 6.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 796,537.84 | \$ 46,965.30 | 6.3% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 918,387.62 | \$ 52,598.36 | 6.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 0.40 | 2.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 20.49 | \$ 0.94 | 4.8% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 21.44 | \$ 1.18 | 5.8% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 25.25 | \$ 2.12 | 9.2% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 27.35 | \$ 2.64 | 10.7% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 33.10 | \$ 4.08 | 14.1% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 35.82 | \$ 4.75 | 15.3% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 8.72 | \$ 0.73 | 9.1% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 9.74 | \$ 1.16 | 13.5% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 12.31 | \$ 1.77 | 16.8% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 15.97 | \$ 2.66 | 20.0% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 4.84 | 23.8% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 31.10 | \$ 6.38 | 25.8% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 0.73 | 4.6% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 1.16 | 7.0% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 21.13 | \$ 1.77 | 9.1% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 31.25 | \$ 3.50 | 12.6% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 24.21 | \$ 2.66 | 12.3% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 5.31 | 16.1% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 34.94 | \$ 4.84 | 16.1% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 40.98 | \$ 6.38 | 18.4% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 72.91 | \$ 12.81 | 21.3% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 0.73 | 6.7% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 13.11 | \$ 1.16 | 9.7% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 16.73 | \$ 1.77 | 11.8% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 18.94 | \$ 0.73 | 4.0% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 20.32 | \$ 1.16 | 6.1% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 25.53 | \$ 1.77 | 7.4% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 29.50 | \$ 2.66 | 9.9% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 56.88 | \$ 4.84 | 9.3% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 62.63 | \$ 6.38 | 11.3% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 92.87 | \$ 12.81 | 16.0% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 12.17 | \$ 1.16 | 10.5% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 14.97 | \$ 1.77 | 13.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.35 | \$ 0.49 | 6.2% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 8.90 | \$ 0.71 | 8.7% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 1.06 | 12.0% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 11.92 | \$ 1.49 | 14.3% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 12.63 | \$ 1.77 | 16.3% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 16.53 | \$ 2.75 | 20.0% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 35.61 | \$ 6.91 | 24.1% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 16.14 | \$ 0.49 | 3.1% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 16.73 | \$ 0.71 | 4.4% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 18.77 | \$ 1.06 | 6.0% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 20.71 | \$ 1.49 | 7.8% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 21.43 | \$ 1.77 | 9.0% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 26.34 | \$ 2.75 | 11.7% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 44.58 | \$ 6.91 | 18.3% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.45 | \$ 0.49 | 4.5% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 12.34 | \$ 0.71 | 6.1% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 13.99 | \$ 1.06 | 8.2% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.44 | \$ 0.49 | 2.7% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 0.71 | 3.8% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 23.19 | \$ 1.06 | 4.8% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 1.48 | 6.1% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 23.31 | \$ 1.49 | 6.8% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 26.57 | \$ 1.77 | 7.1% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 29.09 | \$ 2.15 | 8.0% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 48.21 | \$ 2.75 | 6.0% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 63.55 | \$ 5.49 | 9.5% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 68.37 | \$ 6.91 | 11.2% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 12.01 | \$ 0.49 | 4.3% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 13.59 | \$ 0.71 | 5.5% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 14.74 | \$ 1.06 | 7.7% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 1.49 | 10.9% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 18.05 | \$ 1.77 | 10.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(\$C)) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.78 | \$ 0.42 | 30.9% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 3.54 | \$ 0.84 | 31.1% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 5.34 | \$ 1.27 | 31.2% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 7.11 | \$ 1.68 | 30.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 8.88 | \$ 2.10 | 31.0% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 10.64 | \$ 2.52 | 31.0% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 12.44 | \$ 2.95 | 31.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 3.37 | 31.1% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 16.00 | \$ 3.81 | 31.3% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 17.76 | \$ 4.21 | 31.1% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 19.54 | \$ 4.63 | 31.1% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 21.32 | \$ 5.05 | 31.0% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 23.10 | \$ 5.48 | 31.1% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 24.86 | \$ 5.90 | 31.1% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 26.65 | \$ 6.31 | 31.0% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 6.73 | 31.1% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.40 | \$ 0.42 | 21.2% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 4.77 | \$ 0.84 | 21.4% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 7.19 | \$ 1.27 | 21.5% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 9.58 | \$ 1.68 | 21.3% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 11.97 | \$ 2.10 | 21.3% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 14.34 | \$ 2.52 | 21.3% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 16.75 | \$ 2.95 | 21.4% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 19.14 | \$ 3.37 | 21.4% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 3.81 | 21.5% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 23.92 | \$ 4.21 | 21.4% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 26.32 | \$ 4.63 | 21.3% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 28.72 | \$ 5.05 | 21.3% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 31.12 | \$ 5.48 | 21.4% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 33.49 | \$ 5.90 | 21.4% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 35.90 | \$ 6.31 | 21.3% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 38.27 | \$ 6.73 | 21.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 3.17 | \$ 0.42 | 15.3% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 6.31 | \$ 0.84 | 15.4% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 1.27 | 15.4% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 1.68 | 15.3% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 2.10 | 15.3% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 2.52 | 15.3% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 2.95 | 15.4% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 25.29 | \$ 3.37 | 15.4% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 28.47 | \$ 3.81 | 15.5% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 4.21 | 15.4% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 4.63 | 15.4% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 37.95 | \$ 5.05 | 15.3% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 41.11 | \$ 5.48 | 15.4% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 44.26 | \$ 5.90 | 15.4% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 47.44 | \$ 6.31 | 15.3% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 50.57 | \$ 6.73 | 15.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 12.06 | \$ (0.30) | -2.4% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 21.11 | \$ (0.67) | -3.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 40.27 | \$ (1.64) | -3.9% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 16.46 | \$ (0.30) | -1.8% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 11.11 | \$ (0.18) | -1.6% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 18.60 | \$ (0.45) | -2.4% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 24.06 | \$ (0.70) | -2.8% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 16.14 | \$ (0.18) | -1.1% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 17.11 | \$ (0.31) | -1.8% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 20.18 | \$ (0.48) | -2.3% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 25.14 | \$ (0.74) | -2.9% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 26.89 | \$ (0.31) | -1.1% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 29.96 | \$ (0.48) | -1.6% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 34.92 | \$ (0.74) | -2.1% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 8.40 | \$ 0.70 | 9.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 16.79 | \$ 1.41 | 9.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 25.19 | \$ 2.11 | 9.1% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 33.55 | \$ 2.79 | 9.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 41.96 | \$ 3.50 | 9.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 50.37 | \$ 4.21 | 9.1% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 35.30 | \$ 2.15 | 6.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 66.61 | \$ 4.27 | 6.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 91.47 | \$ 97.88 | \$ 6.41 | 7.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 120.64 | \$ 129.18 | \$ 8.54 | 7.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 149.80 | \$ 160.50 | \$ 10.70 | 7.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 178.98 | \$ 191.79 | \$ 12.81 | 7.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 237.28 | \$ 254.37 | \$ 17.09 | 7.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 295.39 | \$ 316.75 | \$ 21.36 | 7.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 353.48 | \$ 379.10 | \$ 25.62 | 7.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 411.57 | \$ 441.46 | \$ 29.89 | 7.3% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 469.65 | \$ 503.82 | \$ 34.17 | 7.3% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 527.75 | \$ 566.19 | \$ 38.44 | 7.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 585.85 | \$ 628.57 | \$ 42.72 | 7.3% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 643.95 | \$ 690.95 | \$ 47.00 | 7.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 702.03 | \$ 753.30 | \$ 51.27 | 7.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 760.12 | \$ 815.67 | \$ 55.55 | 7.3% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 818.21 | \$ 878.03 | \$ 59.82 | 7.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 876.32 | \$ 940.41 | \$ 64.09 | 7.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 934.41 | \$ 1,002.77 | \$ 68.36 | 7.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 992.50 | \$ 1,065.12 | \$ 72.62 | 7.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,050.59 | \$ 1,127.49 | \$ 76.90 | 7.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,108.68 | \$ 1,189.86 | \$ 81.18 | 7.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,166.78 | \$ 1,252.22 | \$ 85.44 | 7.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,224.89 | \$ 1,314.59 | \$ 89.70 | 7.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,282.96 | \$ 1,376.94 | \$ 93.98 | 7.3% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,341.08 | \$ 1,439.33 | \$ 98.25 | 7.3% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,399.14 | \$ 1,501.67 | \$ 102.53 | 7.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 35.30 | \$ 2.15 | 6.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 66.61 | \$ 4.27 | 6.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.32 | \$ 88.73 | \$ 6.41 | 7.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 102.34 | \$ 110.88 | \$ 8.54 | 8.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 122.35 | \$ 133.05 | \$ 10.70 | 8.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 142.38 | \$ 155.19 | \$ 12.81 | 9.0% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 182.38 | \$ 199.47 | \$ 17.09 | 9.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 213.19 | \$ 234.55 | \$ 21.36 | 10.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 243.98 | \$ 269.60 | \$ 25.62 | 10.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 274.77 | \$ 304.66 | \$ 29.89 | 10.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 305.55 | \$ 339.72 | \$ 34.17 | 11.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 336.35 | \$ 374.79 | \$ 38.44 | 11.4% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 367.15 | \$ 409.87 | \$ 42.72 | 11.6% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 397.95 | \$ 444.95 | \$ 47.00 | 11.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 428.73 | \$ 480.00 | \$ 51.27 | 12.0% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 459.52 | \$ 515.07 | \$ 55.55 | 12.1% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 490.31 | \$ 550.13 | \$ 59.82 | 12.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 521.12 | \$ 585.21 | \$ 64.09 | 12.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 551.91 | \$ 620.27 | \$ 68.36 | 12.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 582.70 | \$ 655.32 | \$ 72.62 | 12.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 613.49 | \$ 690.39 | \$ 76.90 | 12.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 644.28 | \$ 725.46 | \$ 81.18 | 12.6% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 675.08 | \$ 760.52 | \$ 85.44 | 12.7% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 705.89 | \$ 795.59 | \$ 89.70 | 12.7% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 736.66 | \$ 830.64 | \$ 93.98 | 12.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 767.48 | \$ 865.73 | \$ 98.25 | 12.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 798.24 | \$ 900.77 | \$ 102.53 | 12.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating Apartment (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 25.40 | \$ 27.55 | \$ 2.15 | 8.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 46.84 | \$ 51.11 | \$ 4.27 | 9.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 59.07 | \$ 65.48 | \$ 6.41 | 10.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 71.34 | \$ 79.88 | \$ 8.54 | 12.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 83.60 | \$ 94.30 | \$ 10.70 | 12.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 95.88 | \$ 108.69 | \$ 12.81 | 13.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 120.38 | \$ 137.47 | \$ 17.09 | 14.2% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 160.19 | \$ 181.55 | \$ 21.36 | 13.3% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 199.98 | \$ 225.60 | \$ 25.62 | 12.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 239.77 | \$ 269.66 | \$ 29.89 | 12.5% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 279.55 | \$ 313.72 | \$ 34.17 | 12.2% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 319.35 | \$ 357.79 | \$ 38.44 | 12.0% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 359.15 | \$ 401.87 | \$ 42.72 | 11.9% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 398.95 | \$ 445.95 | \$ 47.00 | 11.8% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 438.73 | \$ 490.00 | \$ 51.27 | 11.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 478.52 | \$ 534.07 | \$ 55.55 | 11.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 518.31 | \$ 578.13 | \$ 59.82 | 11.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 558.12 | \$ 622.21 | \$ 64.09 | 11.5% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 597.91 | \$ 666.27 | \$ 68.36 | 11.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 637.70 | \$ 710.32 | \$ 72.62 | 11.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 677.49 | \$ 754.39 | \$ 76.90 | 11.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 717.28 | \$ 798.46 | \$ 81.18 | 11.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 757.08 | \$ 842.52 | \$ 85.44 | 11.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 796.89 | \$ 886.59 | \$ 89.70 | 11.3% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 836.66 | \$ 930.64 | \$ 93.98 | 11.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 876.48 | \$ 974.73 | \$ 98.25 | 11.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 916.24 | \$ 1,018.77 | \$ 102.53 | 11.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 35.30 | \$ 2.15 | 6.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 66.61 | \$ 4.27 | 6.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 85.82 | \$ 92.23 | \$ 6.41 | 7.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 109.34 | \$ 117.88 | \$ 8.54 | 7.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 132.85 | \$ 143.55 | \$ 10.70 | 8.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 156.38 | \$ 169.19 | \$ 12.81 | 8.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 203.38 | \$ 220.47 | \$ 17.09 | 8.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 250.19 | \$ 271.55 | \$ 21.36 | 8.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 296.98 | \$ 322.60 | \$ 25.62 | 8.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 343.77 | \$ 373.66 | \$ 29.89 | 8.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 390.55 | \$ 424.72 | \$ 34.17 | 8.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 437.35 | \$ 475.79 | \$ 38.44 | 8.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 484.15 | \$ 526.87 | \$ 42.72 | 8.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 530.95 | \$ 577.95 | \$ 47.00 | 8.9% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 577.73 | \$ 629.00 | \$ 51.27 | 8.9% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 624.52 | \$ 680.07 | \$ 55.55 | 8.9% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 671.31 | \$ 731.13 | \$ 59.82 | 8.9% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 718.12 | \$ 782.21 | \$ 64.09 | 8.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 764.91 | \$ 833.27 | \$ 68.36 | 8.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 811.70 | \$ 884.32 | \$ 72.62 | 8.9% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 858.49 | \$ 935.39 | \$ 76.90 | 9.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 905.28 | \$ 986.46 | \$ 81.18 | 9.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 952.08 | \$ 1,037.52 | \$ 85.44 | 9.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 998.89 | \$ 1,088.59 | \$ 89.70 | 9.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,045.66 | \$ 1,139.64 | \$ 93.98 | 9.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,092.48 | \$ 1,190.73 | \$ 98.25 | 9.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,139.24 | \$ 1,241.77 | \$ 102.53 | 9.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 37.97 | \$ 3.83 | 11.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 71.96 | \$ 7.63 | 11.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 105.90 | \$ 8.95 | 9.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 139.88 | \$ 10.26 | 7.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 173.87 | \$ 11.61 | 7.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 207.84 | \$ 12.90 | 6.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 275.76 | \$ 15.53 | 6.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 343.49 | \$ 18.16 | 5.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 411.19 | \$ 20.79 | 5.3% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 478.90 | \$ 23.43 | 5.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 546.61 | \$ 26.07 | 5.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 614.32 | \$ 28.70 | 4.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 682.05 | \$ 31.34 | 4.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 749.78 | \$ 33.99 | 4.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 817.47 | \$ 36.61 | 4.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 885.19 | \$ 39.25 | 4.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 952.89 | \$ 41.88 | 4.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 1,020.62 | \$ 44.51 | 4.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,088.33 | \$ 47.14 | 4.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,156.03 | \$ 49.78 | 4.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,223.75 | \$ 52.42 | 4.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,291.46 | \$ 55.05 | 4.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,359.17 | \$ 57.68 | 4.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,426.89 | \$ 60.30 | 4.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,494.59 | \$ 62.94 | 4.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,562.33 | \$ 65.58 | 4.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,630.01 | \$ 68.21 | 4.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 37.97 | \$ 3.83 | 11.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 71.96 | \$ 7.63 | 11.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 105.90 | \$ 8.95 | 9.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 139.88 | \$ 10.26 | 7.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 173.87 | \$ 11.61 | 7.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 207.84 | \$ 12.90 | 6.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 275.76 | \$ 15.53 | 6.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 343.49 | \$ 18.16 | 5.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 411.19 | \$ 20.79 | 5.3% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 478.90 | \$ 23.43 | 5.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 546.61 | \$ 26.07 | 5.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 614.32 | \$ 28.70 | 4.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 682.05 | \$ 31.34 | 4.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 749.78 | \$ 33.99 | 4.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 817.47 | \$ 36.61 | 4.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 885.19 | \$ 39.25 | 4.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 952.89 | \$ 41.88 | 4.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 1,020.62 | \$ 44.51 | 4.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,088.33 | \$ 47.14 | 4.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,156.03 | \$ 49.78 | 4.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,223.75 | \$ 52.42 | 4.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,291.46 | \$ 55.05 | 4.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,359.17 | \$ 57.68 | 4.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,426.89 | \$ 60.30 | 4.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,494.59 | \$ 62.94 | 4.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,562.33 | \$ 65.58 | 4.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,630.01 | \$ 68.21 | 4.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 37.97 | \$ 3.83 | 11.2% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 71.96 | \$ 7.63 | 11.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 105.90 | \$ 8.95 | 9.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 139.88 | \$ 10.26 | 7.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 173.87 | \$ 11.61 | 7.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 207.84 | \$ 12.90 | 6.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 275.76 | \$ 15.53 | 6.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 343.49 | \$ 18.16 | 5.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 411.19 | \$ 20.79 | 5.3% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 478.90 | \$ 23.43 | 5.1% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 546.61 | \$ 26.07 | 5.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 614.32 | \$ 28.70 | 4.9% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 682.05 | \$ 31.34 | 4.8% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 749.78 | \$ 33.99 | 4.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 817.47 | \$ 36.61 | 4.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 885.19 | \$ 39.25 | 4.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 952.89 | \$ 41.88 | 4.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 1,020.62 | \$ 44.51 | 4.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,088.33 | \$ 47.14 | 4.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,156.03 | \$ 49.78 | 4.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,223.75 | \$ 52.42 | 4.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,291.46 | \$ 55.05 | 4.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,359.17 | \$ 57.68 | 4.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,426.89 | \$ 60.30 | 4.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,494.59 | \$ 62.94 | 4.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,562.33 | \$ 65.58 | 4.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,630.01 | \$ 68.21 | 4.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 159.07 | \$ 7.32 | 4.8% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 245.98 | \$ 11.70 | 5.0% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 332.41 | \$ 16.05 | 5.1% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 418.86 | \$ 20.43 | 5.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 505.29 | \$ 24.77 | 5.2% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 591.73 | \$ 29.14 | 5.2% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 18,013.96 | \$ 732.86 | 4.2% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 26,601.82 | \$ 1,169.13 | 4.6% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 35,189.67 | \$ 1,605.39 | 4.8% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 43,777.53 | \$ 2,041.66 | 4.9% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 52,365.38 | \$ 2,477.92 | 5.0% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 60,953.24 | \$ 2,914.19 | 5.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,796.97 | \$ 579.05 | 11.1% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 9,754.71 | \$ 661.25 | 7.3% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 13,712.46 | \$ 743.45 | 5.7% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 17,670.19 | \$ 825.64 | 4.9% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 21,627.93 | \$ 907.84 | 4.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 25,585.68 | \$ 990.04 | 4.0% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 56,535.76 | \$ 5,790.49 | 11.4% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 95,832.35 | \$ 6,612.47 | 7.4% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 134,568.94 | \$ 7,434.46 | 5.8% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 173,305.51 | \$ 8,256.44 | 5.0% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 212,042.10 | \$ 9,078.43 | 4.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 250,778.68 | \$ 9,900.42 | 4.1% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 9,342.74 | \$ 1,507.10 | 19.2% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 16,484.15 | \$ 1,683.00 | 11.4% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 23,625.57 | \$ 1,858.90 | 8.5% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 30,766.99 | \$ 2,034.80 | 7.1% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 37,908.40 | \$ 2,210.69 | 6.2% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 45,049.82 | \$ 2,386.60 | 5.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 91,262.64 | \$ 15,070.99 | 19.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 160,995.09 | \$ 16,829.98 | 11.7% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 230,727.55 | \$ 18,588.97 | 8.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 300,460.00 | \$ 20,347.95 | 7.3% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 370,192.47 | \$ 22,106.95 | 6.4% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 439,924.91 | \$ 23,865.93 | 5.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 28,976.86 | \$ 3,032.62 | 11.7% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 38,887.40 | \$ 3,591.23 | 10.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 48,797.94 | \$ 4,149.85 | 9.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 58,708.48 | \$ 4,708.46 | 8.7% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 68,338.18 | \$ 5,267.08 | 8.4% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 77,912.38 | \$ 5,825.70 | 8.1% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 284,842.19 | \$ 30,326.15 | 11.9% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 380,584.19 | \$ 35,912.31 | 10.4% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 476,326.20 | \$ 41,498.46 | 9.5% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 572,068.20 | \$ 47,084.61 | 9.0% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 667,810.21 | \$ 52,670.76 | 8.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 763,552.21 | \$ 58,256.92 | 8.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.40 | \$ 0.36 | 3.0% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 0.84 | 6.2% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 1.06 | 7.5% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 18.60 | \$ 1.92 | 11.5% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 20.48 | \$ 2.38 | 13.1% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 3.67 | 16.7% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 4.28 | 18.0% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.38 | \$ 0.36 | 2.8% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 15.33 | \$ 0.84 | 5.8% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 1.06 | 7.0% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 19.58 | \$ 1.92 | 10.9% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 21.46 | \$ 2.38 | 12.5% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 26.60 | \$ 3.67 | 16.0% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 29.01 | \$ 4.28 | 17.3% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.58 | \$ 0.36 | 1.9% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 21.53 | \$ 0.84 | 4.1% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 22.39 | \$ 1.06 | 5.0% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 25.78 | \$ 1.92 | 8.0% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 27.66 | \$ 2.38 | 9.4% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 32.80 | \$ 3.67 | 12.6% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 35.21 | \$ 4.28 | 13.8% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 35.17 | \$ 0.72 | 2.1% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 39.04 | \$ 1.70 | 4.6% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 40.74 | \$ 2.13 | 5.5% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 47.52 | \$ 3.83 | 8.8% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 51.27 | \$ 4.75 | 10.2% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 61.59 | \$ 7.35 | 13.6% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 66.43 | \$ 8.57 | 14.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 19.52 | \$ 0.68 | 3.6% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 22.50 | \$ 1.43 | 6.8% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 24.97 | \$ 2.06 | 9.0% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 32.80 | \$ 4.02 | 14.0% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 17.78 | \$ 0.68 | 4.0% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 20.76 | \$ 1.43 | 7.4% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 2.06 | 9.7% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 31.06 | \$ 4.02 | 14.9% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 26.02 | \$ 1.37 | 5.6% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 31.96 | \$ 2.86 | 9.8% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 36.95 | \$ 4.11 | 12.5% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 52.57 | \$ 8.02 | 18.0% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 10.16 | \$ 1.05 | 11.5% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 12.85 | \$ 1.57 | 13.9% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 17.84 | \$ 2.41 | 15.6% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 30.80 | \$ 4.36 | 16.5% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 38.90 | \$ 5.78 | 17.5% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 12.44 | \$ 1.05 | 9.2% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 14.97 | \$ 1.57 | 11.7% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 20.54 | \$ 2.41 | 13.3% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 33.71 | \$ 4.36 | 14.9% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 41.87 | \$ 5.78 | 16.0% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 16.16 | \$ 1.05 | 6.9% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 18.81 | \$ 1.57 | 9.1% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 24.17 | \$ 2.41 | 11.1% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 35.57 | \$ 4.36 | 14.0% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 43.51 | \$ 5.78 | 15.3% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) | |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 18.54 | \$ 2.11 | 12.8% | |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 33.69 | \$ 4.79 | 16.6% | |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 36.35 | \$ 4.79 | 15.2% | |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 30.13 | \$ 3.17 | 11.8% | |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 39.62 | \$ 4.79 | 13.8% | |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 11.70 | \$ 0.64 | 5.8% | |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 14.13 | \$ 0.94 | 7.1% | |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 18.53 | \$ 1.32 | 7.7% | |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 16.73 | \$ 1.59 | 10.5% | |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 23.48 | \$ 2.48 | 11.8% | |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 0.64 | 5.0% | |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 15.45 | \$ 0.94 | 6.5% | |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 20.65 | \$ 1.32 | 6.8% | |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 20.70 | \$ 1.59 | 8.3% | |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 26.91 | \$ 2.48 | 10.2% | |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 17.30 | \$ 0.64 | 3.8% | |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 28.60 | \$ 0.64 | 2.3% | |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 0.94 | 6.1% | |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 24.60 | \$ 1.32 | 5.7% | |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 22.40 | \$ 1.59 | 7.6% | |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 37.42 | \$ 1.59 | 4.4% | |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 27.64 | \$ 2.48 | 9.9% | |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 47.06 | \$ 0.38 | 0.8% | |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 22.95 | \$ 1.27 | 5.9% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 26.38 | \$ 1.89 | 7.7% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 3.19 | 10.5% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 23.84 | \$ 1.27 | 5.6% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 26.82 | \$ 1.89 | 7.6% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 35.05 | \$ 3.19 | 10.0% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 28.08 | \$ 1.27 | 4.7% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 33.50 | \$ 1.89 | 6.0% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 41.30 | \$ 3.19 | 8.4% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 42.82 | \$ 4.94 | 13.0% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.52 | \$ 0.38 | 33.3% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 3.01 | \$ 0.76 | 33.8% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 5.66 | \$ 1.15 | 25.5% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 8.66 | \$ 1.52 | 21.3% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 10.81 | \$ 1.90 | 21.3% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 14.93 | \$ 2.27 | 17.9% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 17.88 | \$ 2.66 | 17.5% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 23.34 | \$ 3.04 | 15.0% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 26.69 | \$ 3.41 | 14.6% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 3.80 | 33.5% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 17.76 | \$ 4.18 | 30.8% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 20.80 | \$ 4.57 | 28.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 4.94 | 27.5% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 27.06 | \$ 5.33 | 24.5% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 30.01 | \$ 5.70 | 23.4% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 35.46 | \$ 6.07 | 20.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 10.54 | \$ (0.57) | -5.1% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 27.48 | \$ (1.28) | -4.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 48.28 | \$ (3.10) | -6.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 17.13 | \$ (0.57) | -3.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 14.41 | \$ (0.73) | -4.8% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 25.91 | \$ (1.34) | -4.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 9.72 | \$ 0.91 | 10.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 1.81 | 10.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 29.18 | \$ 2.72 | 10.3% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 38.87 | \$ 3.59 | 10.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 48.61 | \$ 4.51 | 10.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 58.32 | \$ 5.42 | 10.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 169.77 | \$ 8.77 | 5.4% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 267.37 | \$ 14.59 | 5.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 364.50 | \$ 20.40 | 5.9% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 461.64 | \$ 26.22 | 6.0% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 558.77 | \$ 32.02 | 6.1% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 655.90 | \$ 37.82 | 6.1% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 19,083.46 | \$ 877.56 | 4.8% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 28,740.82 | \$ 1,458.53 | 5.3% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 38,398.17 | \$ 2,039.49 | 5.6% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 48,055.53 | \$ 2,620.46 | 5.8% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 57,712.88 | \$ 3,201.42 | 5.9% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 67,370.24 | \$ 3,782.39 | 5.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 6,313.17 | \$ 665.00 | 11.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 10,787.11 | \$ 755.65 | 7.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 15,261.06 | \$ 846.30 | 5.9% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 19,734.99 | \$ 936.94 | 5.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 24,208.93 | \$ 1,027.59 | 4.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 28,682.88 | \$ 1,118.24 | 4.1% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 61,697.76 | \$ 6,649.99 | 12.1% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 106,156.35 | \$ 7,556.47 | 7.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 150,054.94 | \$ 8,462.96 | 6.0% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 193,953.51 | \$ 9,369.44 | 5.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 237,852.10 | \$ 10,275.93 | 4.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 281,750.68 | \$ 11,182.42 | 4.1% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 10,346.04 | \$ 1,572.50 | 17.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 18,490.75 | \$ 1,764.80 | 10.6% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 26,635.47 | \$ 1,957.10 | 7.9% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 34,780.19 | \$ 2,149.40 | 6.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 42,924.90 | \$ 2,341.69 | 5.8% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 51,069.62 | \$ 2,534.00 | 5.2% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 101,295.64 | \$ 15,724.99 | 18.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 181,061.09 | \$ 17,647.98 | 10.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 260,826.55 | \$ 19,570.97 | 8.1% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 340,592.00 | \$ 21,493.95 | 6.7% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 420,357.47 | \$ 23,416.95 | 5.9% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 500,122.91 | \$ 25,339.93 | 5.3% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 30,981.46 | \$ 3,091.42 | 11.1% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 42,896.60 | \$ 3,682.83 | 9.4% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 54,811.74 | \$ 4,274.25 | 8.5% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 66,726.88 | \$ 4,865.66 | 7.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 78,361.18 | \$ 5,457.08 | 7.5% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 89,939.98 | \$ 6,048.50 | 7.2% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 304,888.19 | \$ 30,914.15 | 11.3% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 420,676.19 | \$ 36,828.31 | 9.6% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 536,464.20 | \$ 42,742.46 | 8.7% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 652,252.20 | \$ 48,656.61 | 8.1% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 768,040.21 | \$ 54,570.76 | 7.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 883,828.21 | \$ 60,484.92 | 7.3% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 0.48 | 3.9% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 14.95 | \$ 1.13 | 8.2% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 15.96 | \$ 1.42 | 9.8% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 2.56 | 14.7% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 22.16 | \$ 3.19 | 16.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 28.21 | \$ 4.92 | 21.1% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 31.04 | \$ 5.72 | 22.6% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.64 | \$ 0.48 | 3.6% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 15.93 | \$ 1.13 | 7.6% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 16.94 | \$ 1.42 | 9.1% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 2.56 | 13.9% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 23.14 | \$ 3.19 | 16.0% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 29.19 | \$ 4.92 | 20.3% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 5.72 | 21.7% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.84 | \$ 0.48 | 2.5% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 1.13 | 5.4% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 23.14 | \$ 1.42 | 6.5% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 27.13 | \$ 2.56 | 10.4% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 3.19 | 12.2% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 35.39 | \$ 4.92 | 16.1% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 5.72 | 17.6% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 35.68 | \$ 0.97 | 2.8% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 40.24 | \$ 2.28 | 6.0% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 42.24 | \$ 2.85 | 7.2% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 50.22 | \$ 5.13 | 11.4% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 54.63 | \$ 6.37 | 13.2% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 66.77 | \$ 9.83 | 17.3% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 72.46 | \$ 11.47 | 18.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 20.01 | \$ 0.92 | 4.8% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 23.51 | \$ 1.92 | 8.9% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 26.42 | \$ 2.76 | 11.7% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 35.62 | \$ 5.37 | 17.8% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 18.27 | \$ 0.92 | 5.3% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 21.77 | \$ 1.92 | 9.7% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 24.68 | \$ 2.76 | 12.6% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 33.88 | \$ 5.37 | 18.8% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 26.98 | \$ 1.83 | 7.3% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 33.97 | \$ 3.82 | 12.7% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 39.84 | \$ 5.50 | 16.0% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 58.22 | \$ 10.74 | 22.6% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 10.90 | \$ 1.40 | 14.7% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 2.12 | 17.9% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 19.53 | \$ 3.22 | 19.7% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 33.87 | \$ 5.83 | 20.8% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 42.96 | \$ 7.72 | 21.9% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 13.18 | \$ 1.40 | 11.9% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 16.09 | \$ 2.12 | 15.2% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 22.23 | \$ 3.22 | 16.9% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 36.78 | \$ 5.83 | 18.8% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 45.93 | \$ 7.72 | 20.2% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 16.90 | \$ 1.40 | 9.0% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 19.93 | \$ 2.12 | 11.9% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 25.86 | \$ 3.22 | 14.2% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 38.64 | \$ 5.83 | 17.8% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 47.57 | \$ 7.72 | 19.4% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) | |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 20.01 | \$ 2.81 | 16.3% | |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 37.07 | \$ 6.41 | 20.9% | |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 39.73 | \$ 6.41 | 19.2% | |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 32.35 | \$ 4.23 | 15.0% | |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 43.00 | \$ 6.41 | 17.5% | |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 12.15 | \$ 0.86 | 7.6% | |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 1.27 | 9.4% | |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 1.78 | 10.1% | |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 17.85 | \$ 2.13 | 13.5% | |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 3.32 | 15.2% | |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 14.00 | \$ 0.86 | 6.5% | |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 16.12 | \$ 1.27 | 8.6% | |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 1.78 | 9.0% | |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 2.13 | 10.8% | |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 28.65 | \$ 3.32 | 13.1% | |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 0.86 | 5.1% | |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 29.05 | \$ 0.86 | 3.1% | |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 17.13 | \$ 1.27 | 8.0% | |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 25.54 | \$ 1.78 | 7.5% | |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 23.52 | \$ 2.13 | 10.0% | |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 38.54 | \$ 2.13 | 5.9% | |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 29.38 | \$ 3.32 | 12.7% | |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 47.33 | \$ 0.51 | 1.1% | |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 23.85 | \$ 1.71 | 7.7% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 27.71 | \$ 2.53 | 10.0% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 35.89 | \$ 4.27 | 13.5% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 24.74 | \$ 1.71 | 7.4% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 28.15 | \$ 2.53 | 9.9% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 37.30 | \$ 4.27 | 12.9% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 28.98 | \$ 1.71 | 6.3% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 2.53 | 7.8% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 43.55 | \$ 4.27 | 10.9% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 46.31 | \$ 6.62 | 16.7% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.79 | \$ 0.51 | 39.8% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 3.54 | \$ 1.01 | 39.9% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 6.46 | \$ 1.53 | 31.0% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 9.73 | \$ 2.03 | 26.4% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 12.15 | \$ 2.54 | 26.4% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 16.53 | \$ 3.03 | 22.4% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 19.75 | \$ 3.56 | 22.0% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 4.07 | 19.0% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 4.58 | 18.7% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 5.08 | 39.9% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 20.70 | \$ 5.59 | 37.0% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 24.01 | \$ 6.11 | 34.1% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 26.41 | \$ 6.61 | 33.4% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 30.80 | \$ 7.12 | 30.1% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 34.02 | \$ 7.62 | 28.9% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 39.74 | \$ 8.12 | 25.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 11.28 | \$ (0.20) | -1.7% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 29.17 | \$ (0.43) | -1.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 52.34 | \$ (1.07) | -2.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 17.87 | \$ (0.20) | -1.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 15.35 | \$ (0.25) | -1.6% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 27.65 | \$ (0.46) | -1.6% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 10.79 | \$ 0.97 | 9.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 21.58 | \$ 1.96 | 10.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 32.39 | \$ 2.93 | 9.9% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 43.15 | \$ 3.88 | 9.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 53.96 | \$ 4.87 | 9.9% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 64.74 | \$ 5.85 | 9.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 2.14 | 6.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 66.96 | \$ 3.29 | 5.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.83 | \$ 98.42 | \$ 3.59 | 3.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.00 | \$ 129.91 | \$ 3.91 | 3.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 157.16 | \$ 161.41 | \$ 4.25 | 2.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 188.32 | \$ 192.90 | \$ 4.58 | 2.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 250.62 | \$ 255.82 | \$ 5.20 | 2.1% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.72 | \$ 318.56 | \$ 5.84 | 1.9% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.80 | \$ 381.25 | \$ 6.45 | 1.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 436.91 | \$ 443.99 | \$ 7.08 | 1.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 498.97 | \$ 506.72 | \$ 7.75 | 1.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 561.09 | \$ 569.45 | \$ 8.36 | 1.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 623.18 | \$ 632.18 | \$ 9.00 | 1.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 685.25 | \$ 694.88 | \$ 9.63 | 1.4% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 747.36 | \$ 757.61 | \$ 10.25 | 1.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 809.42 | \$ 820.35 | \$ 10.93 | 1.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 871.54 | \$ 883.09 | \$ 11.55 | 1.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 933.63 | \$ 945.82 | \$ 12.19 | 1.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 995.71 | \$ 1,008.52 | \$ 12.81 | 1.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,057.82 | \$ 1,071.24 | \$ 13.42 | 1.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,119.88 | \$ 1,133.97 | \$ 14.09 | 1.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,181.99 | \$ 1,196.70 | \$ 14.71 | 1.2% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,244.07 | \$ 1,259.42 | \$ 15.35 | 1.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,306.18 | \$ 1,322.15 | \$ 15.97 | 1.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,368.27 | \$ 1,384.87 | \$ 16.60 | 1.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,430.37 | \$ 1,447.64 | \$ 17.27 | 1.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,492.44 | \$ 1,510.33 | \$ 17.89 | 1.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 22.84 | \$ 24.98 | \$ 2.14 | 9.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 42.67 | \$ 45.96 | \$ 3.29 | 7.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 54.33 | \$ 57.92 | \$ 3.59 | 6.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 66.00 | \$ 69.91 | \$ 3.91 | 5.9% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 77.66 | \$ 81.91 | \$ 4.25 | 5.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 89.32 | \$ 93.90 | \$ 4.58 | 5.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 112.62 | \$ 117.82 | \$ 5.20 | 4.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 135.72 | \$ 141.56 | \$ 5.84 | 4.3% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 158.80 | \$ 165.25 | \$ 6.45 | 4.1% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 181.91 | \$ 188.99 | \$ 7.08 | 3.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 204.97 | \$ 212.72 | \$ 7.75 | 3.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 228.09 | \$ 236.45 | \$ 8.36 | 3.7% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 251.18 | \$ 260.18 | \$ 9.00 | 3.6% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 274.25 | \$ 283.88 | \$ 9.63 | 3.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 297.36 | \$ 307.61 | \$ 10.25 | 3.4% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 320.42 | \$ 331.35 | \$ 10.93 | 3.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 343.54 | \$ 355.09 | \$ 11.55 | 3.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 366.63 | \$ 378.82 | \$ 12.19 | 3.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 389.71 | \$ 402.52 | \$ 12.81 | 3.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 412.82 | \$ 426.24 | \$ 13.42 | 3.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 435.88 | \$ 449.97 | \$ 14.09 | 3.2% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 458.99 | \$ 473.70 | \$ 14.71 | 3.2% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 482.07 | \$ 497.42 | \$ 15.35 | 3.2% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 505.18 | \$ 521.15 | \$ 15.97 | 3.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 528.27 | \$ 544.87 | \$ 16.60 | 3.1% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 551.37 | \$ 568.64 | \$ 17.27 | 3.1% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 574.44 | \$ 592.33 | \$ 17.89 | 3.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 2.14 | 6.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 63.67 | \$ 66.96 | \$ 3.29 | 5.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 89.33 | \$ 92.92 | \$ 3.59 | 4.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 115.00 | \$ 118.91 | \$ 3.91 | 3.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.66 | \$ 144.91 | \$ 4.25 | 3.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 166.32 | \$ 170.90 | \$ 4.58 | 2.8% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 217.62 | \$ 222.82 | \$ 5.20 | 2.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 268.72 | \$ 274.56 | \$ 5.84 | 2.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 319.80 | \$ 326.25 | \$ 6.45 | 2.0% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 370.91 | \$ 377.99 | \$ 7.08 | 1.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 421.97 | \$ 429.72 | \$ 7.75 | 1.8% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 473.09 | \$ 481.45 | \$ 8.36 | 1.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 524.18 | \$ 533.18 | \$ 9.00 | 1.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 575.25 | \$ 584.88 | \$ 9.63 | 1.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 626.36 | \$ 636.61 | \$ 10.25 | 1.6% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 677.42 | \$ 688.35 | \$ 10.93 | 1.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 728.54 | \$ 740.09 | \$ 11.55 | 1.6% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 779.63 | \$ 791.82 | \$ 12.19 | 1.6% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 830.71 | \$ 843.52 | \$ 12.81 | 1.5% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 881.82 | \$ 895.24 | \$ 13.42 | 1.5% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 932.88 | \$ 946.97 | \$ 14.09 | 1.5% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 983.99 | \$ 998.70 | \$ 14.71 | 1.5% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,035.07 | \$ 1,050.42 | \$ 15.35 | 1.5% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,086.18 | \$ 1,102.15 | \$ 15.97 | 1.5% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,137.27 | \$ 1,153.87 | \$ 16.60 | 1.5% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,188.37 | \$ 1,205.64 | \$ 17.27 | 1.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,239.44 | \$ 1,257.33 | \$ 17.89 | 1.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 5.49 | 16.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 72.72 | \$ 10.98 | 17.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 107.06 | \$ 13.96 | 15.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 141.43 | \$ 16.95 | 13.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 175.81 | \$ 19.97 | 12.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 210.17 | \$ 22.96 | 12.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 278.85 | \$ 28.93 | 11.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 347.36 | \$ 34.92 | 11.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 415.80 | \$ 40.88 | 10.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 484.30 | \$ 46.87 | 10.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 552.79 | \$ 52.88 | 10.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 621.28 | \$ 58.85 | 10.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 689.76 | \$ 64.82 | 10.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 758.23 | \$ 70.82 | 10.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 826.72 | \$ 76.79 | 10.2% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 895.21 | \$ 82.80 | 10.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 963.71 | \$ 88.78 | 10.1% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 1,032.20 | \$ 94.76 | 10.1% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 1,100.65 | \$ 100.72 | 10.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,169.13 | \$ 106.70 | 10.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,237.63 | \$ 112.72 | 10.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,306.12 | \$ 118.69 | 10.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,374.59 | \$ 124.67 | 10.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,443.08 | \$ 130.64 | 10.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,511.56 | \$ 136.62 | 9.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,580.08 | \$ 142.63 | 9.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,648.53 | \$ 148.60 | 9.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 5.49 | 16.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 72.72 | \$ 10.98 | 17.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 107.06 | \$ 13.96 | 15.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 141.43 | \$ 16.95 | 13.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 175.81 | \$ 19.97 | 12.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 210.17 | \$ 22.96 | 12.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 278.85 | \$ 28.93 | 11.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 347.36 | \$ 34.92 | 11.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 415.80 | \$ 40.88 | 10.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 484.30 | \$ 46.87 | 10.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 552.79 | \$ 52.88 | 10.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 621.28 | \$ 58.85 | 10.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 689.76 | \$ 64.82 | 10.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 758.23 | \$ 70.82 | 10.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 826.72 | \$ 76.79 | 10.2% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 895.21 | \$ 82.80 | 10.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 963.71 | \$ 88.78 | 10.1% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 1,032.20 | \$ 94.76 | 10.1% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 1,100.65 | \$ 100.72 | 10.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,169.13 | \$ 106.70 | 10.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,237.63 | \$ 112.72 | 10.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,306.12 | \$ 118.69 | 10.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,374.59 | \$ 124.67 | 10.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,443.08 | \$ 130.64 | 10.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,511.56 | \$ 136.62 | 9.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,580.08 | \$ 142.63 | 9.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,648.53 | \$ 148.60 | 9.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.87 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 5.49 | 16.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.74 | \$ 72.72 | \$ 10.98 | 17.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 93.10 | \$ 107.06 | \$ 13.96 | 15.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 141.43 | \$ 16.95 | 13.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 155.84 | \$ 175.81 | \$ 19.97 | 12.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 187.21 | \$ 210.17 | \$ 22.96 | 12.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 249.92 | \$ 278.85 | \$ 28.93 | 11.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 312.44 | \$ 347.36 | \$ 34.92 | 11.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 374.92 | \$ 415.80 | \$ 40.88 | 10.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 437.43 | \$ 484.30 | \$ 46.87 | 10.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 499.91 | \$ 552.79 | \$ 52.88 | 10.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 562.43 | \$ 621.28 | \$ 58.85 | 10.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 624.94 | \$ 689.76 | \$ 64.82 | 10.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 687.41 | \$ 758.23 | \$ 70.82 | 10.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 749.93 | \$ 826.72 | \$ 76.79 | 10.2% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 812.41 | \$ 895.21 | \$ 82.80 | 10.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 874.93 | \$ 963.71 | \$ 88.78 | 10.1% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 937.44 | \$ 1,032.20 | \$ 94.76 | 10.1% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 999.93 | \$ 1,100.65 | \$ 100.72 | 10.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,062.43 | \$ 1,169.13 | \$ 106.70 | 10.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,124.91 | \$ 1,237.63 | \$ 112.72 | 10.0% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,187.43 | \$ 1,306.12 | \$ 118.69 | 10.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,249.92 | \$ 1,374.59 | \$ 124.67 | 10.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,312.44 | \$ 1,443.08 | \$ 130.64 | 10.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,374.94 | \$ 1,511.56 | \$ 136.62 | 9.9% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,437.45 | \$ 1,580.08 | \$ 142.63 | 9.9% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,499.93 | \$ 1,648.53 | \$ 148.60 | 9.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 166.83 | \$ 176.36 | \$ 9.53 | 5.7% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 264.10 | \$ 268.78 | \$ 4.68 | 1.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 360.95 | \$ 360.77 | \$ (0.18) | 0.0% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 457.79 | \$ 452.75 | \$ (5.04) | -1.1% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 554.65 | \$ 544.75 | \$ (9.90) | -1.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 651.47 | \$ 636.74 | \$ (14.73) | -2.3% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,242.26 | \$ 19,196.03 | \$ 953.77 | 5.2% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,870.21 | \$ 28,338.46 | \$ 468.25 | 1.7% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 37,498.16 | \$ 37,480.88 | \$ (17.28) | 0.0% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 47,126.11 | \$ 46,623.30 | \$ (502.81) | -1.1% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,754.05 | \$ 55,765.71 | \$ (988.34) | -1.7% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 66,382.01 | \$ 64,908.15 | \$ (1,473.86) | -2.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,843.05 | \$ 6,027.40 | \$ 184.35 | 3.2% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,773.82 | \$ 10,108.98 | \$ 335.16 | 3.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,704.60 | \$ 14,190.56 | \$ 485.96 | 3.5% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,635.37 | \$ 18,272.13 | \$ 636.76 | 3.6% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,566.14 | \$ 22,353.70 | \$ 787.56 | 3.7% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,496.92 | \$ 26,435.29 | \$ 938.37 | 3.7% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 56,996.56 | \$ 58,840.09 | \$ 1,843.53 | 3.2% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 96,073.31 | \$ 99,424.86 | \$ 3,351.55 | 3.5% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,689.40 | \$ 139,548.99 | \$ 4,859.59 | 3.6% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 173,305.50 | \$ 179,673.10 | \$ 6,367.60 | 3.7% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 211,921.59 | \$ 219,797.22 | \$ 7,875.63 | 3.7% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,537.69 | \$ 259,921.35 | \$ 9,383.66 | 3.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,052.00 | \$ 10,851.20 | \$ 1,799.20 | 19.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,127.57 | \$ 19,128.27 | \$ 3,000.70 | 18.6% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 23,203.15 | \$ 27,405.35 | \$ 4,202.20 | 18.1% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 30,278.73 | \$ 35,682.43 | \$ 5,403.70 | 17.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 37,354.30 | \$ 43,959.49 | \$ 6,605.19 | 17.7% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,429.88 | \$ 52,236.58 | \$ 7,806.70 | 17.6% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 88,585.08 | \$ 106,577.07 | \$ 17,991.99 | 20.3% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 157,957.54 | \$ 187,964.52 | \$ 30,006.98 | 19.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 227,330.01 | \$ 269,351.98 | \$ 42,021.97 | 18.5% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 296,702.48 | \$ 350,739.43 | \$ 54,036.95 | 18.2% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 366,074.95 | \$ 432,126.90 | \$ 66,051.95 | 18.0% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 435,447.40 | \$ 513,514.33 | \$ 78,066.93 | 17.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 26,340.47 | \$ 28,184.18 | \$ 1,843.71 | 7.0% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 36,269.63 | \$ 38,523.04 | \$ 2,253.41 | 6.2% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 46,198.78 | \$ 48,861.90 | \$ 2,663.12 | 5.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,127.94 | \$ 59,200.76 | \$ 3,072.82 | 5.5% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 65,826.08 | \$ 69,308.61 | \$ 3,482.53 | 5.3% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 75,478.57 | \$ 79,370.81 | \$ 3,892.24 | 5.2% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 258,826.56 | \$ 277,263.62 | \$ 18,437.06 | 7.1% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 355,351.50 | \$ 377,885.62 | \$ 22,534.12 | 6.3% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 451,876.44 | \$ 478,507.62 | \$ 26,631.18 | 5.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 548,401.38 | \$ 579,129.62 | \$ 30,728.24 | 5.6% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 644,926.33 | \$ 679,751.63 | \$ 34,825.30 | 5.4% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 741,451.27 | \$ 780,373.63 | \$ 38,922.36 | 5.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.53 | \$ 12.77 | \$ 0.24 | 1.9% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 15.23 | \$ 0.58 | 4.0% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 16.30 | \$ 0.71 | 4.6% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 19.32 | \$ 20.61 | \$ 1.29 | 6.7% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 23.00 | \$ 1.60 | 7.5% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 29.53 | \$ 2.48 | 9.2% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 29.73 | \$ 32.62 | \$ 2.89 | 9.7% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.69 | \$ 7.93 | \$ 0.24 | 3.1% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 9.81 | \$ 10.39 | \$ 0.58 | 5.9% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 10.75 | \$ 11.46 | \$ 0.71 | 6.6% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 14.48 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 1.29 | 8.9% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 16.56 | \$ 18.16 | \$ 1.60 | 9.7% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 24.69 | \$ 2.48 | 11.2% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.89 | \$ 27.78 | \$ 2.89 | 11.6% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 12.69 | \$ 0.70 | 5.8% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 16.80 | \$ 1.07 | 6.8% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 23.54 | \$ 1.62 | 7.4% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 48.83 | \$ 52.71 | \$ 3.88 | 7.9% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 17.04 | \$ 0.70 | 4.3% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 22.90 | \$ 23.60 | \$ 0.70 | 3.1% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 27.45 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 1.07 | 3.9% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 33.86 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 1.62 | 4.8% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 34.11 | \$ 35.73 | \$ 1.62 | 4.7% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 53.88 | \$ 57.11 | \$ 3.23 | 6.0% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 66.54 | \$ 3.88 | 6.2% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase ((E)/(\$C)) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 0.44 | 3.4% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.08 | \$ 15.71 | \$ 0.63 | 4.2% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 1.07 | 5.3% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.04 | \$ 27.70 | \$ 1.66 | 6.4% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 18.05 | \$ 0.44 | 2.5% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 25.09 | \$ 0.44 | 1.8% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 26.89 | \$ 27.52 | \$ 0.63 | 2.3% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 32.91 | \$ 1.07 | 3.4% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 51.43 | \$ 53.57 | \$ 2.14 | 4.2% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 39.16 | \$ 1.66 | 4.4% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 23.52 | \$ 0.44 | 1.9% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 35.11 | \$ 35.55 | \$ 0.44 | 1.3% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 26.25 | \$ 0.63 | 2.5% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 37.92 | \$ 0.63 | 1.7% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 31.42 | \$ 32.49 | \$ 1.07 | 3.4% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.25 | \$ 44.32 | \$ 1.07 | 2.5% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 37.27 | \$ 38.93 | \$ 1.66 | 4.5% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 49.91 | \$ 51.57 | \$ 1.66 | 3.3% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.92 | \$ 0.24 | 14.3% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.83 | \$ 0.51 | 15.4% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.87 | \$ 6.64 | \$ 0.77 | 13.1% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.68 | \$ 9.71 | \$ 1.03 | 11.9% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.85 | \$ 12.13 | \$ 1.28 | 11.8% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 14.53 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 1.53 | 10.5% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 17.31 | \$ 19.10 | \$ 1.79 | 10.3% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 24.10 | \$ 2.05 | 9.3% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.13 | \$ 27.44 | \$ 2.31 | 9.2% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 2.54 | 15.2% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 19.21 | \$ 22.00 | \$ 2.79 | 14.5% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 25.07 | \$ 3.06 | 13.9% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 24.19 | \$ 27.50 | \$ 3.31 | 13.7% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.86 | \$ 31.44 | \$ 3.58 | 12.8% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.65 | \$ 34.49 | \$ 3.84 | 12.5% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 35.38 | \$ 39.45 | \$ 4.07 | 11.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

73 Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.92 | \$ 0.24 | 14.3% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.32 | \$ 3.83 | \$ 0.51 | 15.4% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 8.09 | \$ 0.77 | 10.5% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 1.03 | 8.5% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 15.06 | \$ 16.34 | \$ 1.28 | 8.5% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 23.65 | \$ 1.53 | 6.9% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 26.77 | \$ 28.56 | \$ 1.79 | 6.7% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 36.63 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 2.05 | 5.6% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 42.13 | \$ 44.44 | \$ 2.31 | 5.5% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 2.54 | 15.2% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 23.45 | \$ 2.79 | 13.5% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 28.45 | \$ 3.06 | 12.1% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 28.40 | \$ 31.71 | \$ 3.31 | 11.7% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 35.45 | \$ 39.03 | \$ 3.58 | 10.1% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 40.11 | \$ 43.95 | \$ 3.84 | 9.6% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 49.96 | \$ 54.03 | \$ 4.07 | 8.1% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 13.92 | \$ (0.43) | -3.0% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 28.16 | \$ (0.95) | -3.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 57.01 | \$ 54.71 | \$ (2.30) | -4.0% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.69 | \$ 16.26 | \$ (0.43) | -2.6% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 16.14 | \$ (0.25) | -1.5% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 19.98 | \$ (0.38) | -1.9% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.48 | \$ 24.84 | \$ (0.64) | -2.5% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.44 | \$ 33.45 | \$ (0.99) | -2.9% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 19.47 | \$ 19.22 | \$ (0.25) | -1.3% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 25.38 | \$ (0.38) | -1.5% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 39.99 | \$ 39.46 | \$ (0.53) | -1.3% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 32.22 | \$ 31.58 | \$ (0.64) | -2.0% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 43.96 | \$ 43.32 | \$ (0.64) | -1.5% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 38.76 | \$ 37.77 | \$ (0.99) | -2.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 4.41 | \$ 0.41 | 10.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 8.82 | \$ 0.79 | 9.8% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 1.17 | 9.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 17.60 | \$ 1.54 | 9.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 20.06 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 1.95 | 9.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 24.09 | \$ 26.43 | \$ 2.34 | 9.7% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 162.71 | \$ 187.87 | \$ 25.16 | 15.5% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 255.87 | \$ 291.82 | \$ 35.95 | 14.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 348.60 | \$ 395.32 | \$ 46.72 | 13.4% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 441.32 | \$ 498.82 | \$ 57.50 | 13.0% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 534.06 | \$ 602.34 | \$ 68.28 | 12.8% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 626.77 | \$ 705.84 | \$ 79.07 | 12.6% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,830.56 | \$ 20,347.73 | \$ 2,517.17 | 14.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,046.81 | \$ 30,641.86 | \$ 3,595.05 | 13.3% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,263.06 | \$ 40,935.98 | \$ 4,672.92 | 12.9% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,479.31 | \$ 51,230.10 | \$ 5,750.79 | 12.6% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,695.55 | \$ 61,524.21 | \$ 6,828.66 | 12.5% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,911.81 | \$ 71,818.35 | \$ 7,906.54 | 12.4% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 6,000.80 | \$ 6,583.25 | \$ 582.45 | 9.7% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,439.32 | \$ 11,220.68 | \$ 781.36 | 7.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,877.85 | \$ 15,858.11 | \$ 980.26 | 6.6% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,316.37 | \$ 20,495.53 | \$ 1,179.16 | 6.1% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,754.89 | \$ 25,132.95 | \$ 1,378.06 | 5.8% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 28,193.42 | \$ 29,770.39 | \$ 1,576.97 | 5.6% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,574.06 | \$ 64,398.59 | \$ 5,824.53 | 9.9% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 102,728.31 | \$ 110,541.86 | \$ 7,813.55 | 7.6% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 146,421.90 | \$ 156,224.49 | \$ 9,802.59 | 6.7% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 190,115.50 | \$ 201,907.10 | \$ 11,791.60 | 6.2% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 233,809.09 | \$ 247,589.72 | \$ 13,780.63 | 5.9% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 277,502.69 | \$ 293,272.35 | \$ 15,769.66 | 5.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,526.90 | \$ 11,931.70 | \$ 2,404.80 | 25.2% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,589.37 | \$ 21,289.27 | \$ 3,699.90 | 21.0% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,651.85 | \$ 30,646.85 | \$ 4,995.00 | 19.5% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,714.33 | \$ 40,004.43 | \$ 6,290.10 | 18.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,776.80 | \$ 49,361.99 | \$ 7,585.19 | 18.2% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,839.28 | \$ 58,719.58 | \$ 8,880.30 | 17.8% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 93,334.08 | \$ 117,382.07 | \$ 24,047.99 | 25.8% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,575.54 | \$ 209,574.52 | \$ 36,998.98 | 21.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 251,817.01 | \$ 301,766.98 | \$ 49,949.97 | 19.8% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 331,058.48 | \$ 393,959.43 | \$ 62,900.95 | 19.0% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 410,299.95 | \$ 486,151.90 | \$ 75,851.95 | 18.5% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 489,541.40 | \$ 578,344.33 | \$ 88,802.93 | 18.1% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,232.27 | \$ 30,342.98 | \$ 2,110.71 | 7.5% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,133.23 | \$ 42,840.64 | \$ 2,707.41 | 6.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,034.18 | \$ 55,338.30 | \$ 3,304.12 | 6.3% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 63,935.14 | \$ 67,835.96 | \$ 3,900.82 | 6.1% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,605.08 | \$ 80,102.61 | \$ 4,497.53 | 5.9% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,229.37 | \$ 92,323.61 | \$ 5,094.24 | 5.8% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 277,744.56 | \$ 298,851.62 | \$ 21,107.06 | 7.6% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 393,987.50 | \$ 421,061.62 | \$ 27,074.12 | 6.9% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 510,230.44 | \$ 543,271.62 | \$ 33,041.18 | 6.5% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 626,473.38 | \$ 665,481.62 | \$ 39,008.24 | 6.2% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 742,716.33 | \$ 787,691.63 | \$ 44,975.30 | 6.1% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 858,959.27 | \$ 909,901.63 | \$ 50,942.36 | 5.9% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 13.04 | \$ 0.98 | 8.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.54 | \$ 15.88 | \$ 2.34 | 17.3% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.21 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 2.89 | 20.3% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.83 | \$ 22.06 | \$ 5.23 | 31.1% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.29 | \$ 24.81 | \$ 6.52 | 35.6% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 32.31 | \$ 10.06 | 45.2% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.15 | \$ 35.86 | \$ 11.71 | 48.5% |
| 10 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 8.20 | \$ 0.98 | 13.6% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 2.34 | 26.9% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 9.37 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 2.89 | 30.8% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 17.22 | \$ 5.23 | 43.6% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 13.45 | \$ 19.97 | \$ 6.52 | 48.5% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 27.47 | \$ 10.06 | 57.8% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 19.31 | \$ 31.02 | \$ 11.71 | 60.6% |
| 18 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 19 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 20 | 175 | 69 | \$ 10.63 | \$ 13.49 | \$ 2.86 | 26.9% |
| 21 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.67 | \$ 18.00 | \$ 4.33 | 31.7% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.79 | \$ 25.36 | \$ 6.57 | 35.0% |
| 23 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.31 | \$ 57.09 | \$ 15.78 | 38.2% |
| 24 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 25 | 175 | 69 | \$ 14.98 | \$ 17.84 | \$ 2.86 | 19.1% |
| 26 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 27 | 175 | 69 | \$ 21.54 | \$ 24.40 | \$ 2.86 | 13.3% |
| 28 | 250 | 104 | \$ 25.39 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 4.33 | 17.1% |
| 29 | 400 | 158 | \$ 30.73 | \$ 37.30 | \$ 6.57 | 21.4% |
| 30 | 400* | 158 | \$ 30.98 | \$ 37.55 | \$ 6.57 | 21.2% |
| 31 | 400** | 316 | \$ 47.63 | \$ 60.75 | \$ 13.12 | 27.5% |
| 32 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 70.92 | \$ 15.78 | 28.6% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWHR) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 33 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 34 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 35 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.26 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 1.75 | 14.3% |
| 36 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.85 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 2.58 | 18.6% |
| 37 | 250 | 105 | \$ 18.11 | \$ 22.47 | \$ 4.36 | 24.1% |
| 38 | 400 | 163 | \$ 22.81 | \$ 29.58 | \$ 6.77 | 29.7% |
| 39 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 40 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.78 | \$ 18.53 | \$ 1.75 | 10.4% |
| 41 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 42 | 100 | 42 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 25.57 | \$ 1.75 | 7.3% |
| 43 | 150 | 62 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 28.24 | \$ 2.58 | 10.1% |
| 44 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.76 | \$ 34.12 | \$ 4.36 | 14.7% |
| 45 | 250** | 210 | \$ 47.27 | \$ 55.99 | \$ 8.72 | 18.4% |
| 46 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.27 | \$ 41.04 | \$ 6.77 | 19.8% |
| 47 | Special Architectural Pole Installations | | | | | |
| 48 | 100 | 42 | \$ 22.25 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 1.75 | 7.9% |
| 49 | 100* | 42 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 36.03 | \$ 1.75 | 5.1% |
| 50 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.39 | \$ 26.97 | \$ 2.58 | 10.6% |
| 51 | 150* | 62 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 38.64 | \$ 2.58 | 7.2% |
| 52 | 250 | 105 | \$ 29.34 | \$ 33.70 | \$ 4.36 | 14.9% |
| 53 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.17 | \$ 45.53 | \$ 4.36 | 10.6% |
| 54 | 400 | 163 | \$ 34.04 | \$ 40.81 | \$ 6.77 | 19.9% |
| 55 | 400* | 163 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 53.45 | \$ 6.77 | 14.5% |
| 56 | Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 57 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 2.21 | \$ 1.03 | 87.3% |
| 58 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 4.41 | \$ 2.08 | 89.3% |
| 59 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.39 | \$ 7.51 | \$ 3.12 | 71.1% |
| 60 | N/A | 100 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 10.87 | \$ 4.16 | 62.0% |
| 61 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.38 | \$ 13.57 | \$ 5.19 | 61.9% |
| 62 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.56 | \$ 17.78 | \$ 6.22 | 53.8% |
| 63 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 21.11 | \$ 7.27 | 52.5% |
| 64 | N/A | 200 | \$ 18.08 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 8.32 | 46.0% |
| 65 | N/A | 225 | \$ 20.67 | \$ 30.03 | \$ 9.36 | 45.3% |
| 66 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 22.10 | \$ 10.37 | 88.4% |
| 67 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.76 | \$ 25.17 | \$ 11.41 | 82.9% |
| 68 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.07 | \$ 28.53 | \$ 12.46 | 77.5% |
| 69 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.75 | \$ 31.25 | \$ 13.50 | 76.1% |
| 70 | N/A | 350 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 35.47 | \$ 14.54 | 69.5% |
| 71 | N/A | 375 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 38.81 | \$ 15.58 | 67.1% |
| 72 | N/A | 400 | \$ 27.46 | \$ 44.06 | \$ 16.60 | 60.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 73 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 74 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.18 | \$ 2.21 | \$ 1.03 | 87.3% |
| 75 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 4.41 | \$ 2.08 | 89.3% |
| 76 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.84 | \$ 8.96 | \$ 3.12 | 53.4% |
| 77 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.09 | \$ 14.25 | \$ 4.16 | 41.2% |
| 78 | N/A | 125 | \$ 12.59 | \$ 17.78 | \$ 5.19 | 41.2% |
| 79 | N/A | 150 | \$ 19.15 | \$ 25.37 | \$ 6.22 | 32.5% |
| 80 | N/A | 175 | \$ 23.30 | \$ 30.57 | \$ 7.27 | 31.2% |
| 81 | N/A | 200 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 40.98 | \$ 8.32 | 25.5% |
| 82 | N/A | 225 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 47.03 | \$ 9.36 | 24.8% |
| 83 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.73 | \$ 22.10 | \$ 10.37 | 88.4% |
| 84 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.21 | \$ 26.62 | \$ 11.41 | 75.0% |
| 85 | N/A | 300 | \$ 19.45 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 12.46 | 64.1% |
| 86 | N/A | 325 | \$ 21.96 | \$ 35.46 | \$ 13.50 | 61.5% |
| 87 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.52 | \$ 43.06 | \$ 14.54 | 51.0% |
| 88 | N/A | 375 | \$ 32.69 | \$ 48.27 | \$ 15.58 | 47.7% |
| 89 | N/A | 400 | \$ 42.04 | \$ 58.64 | \$ 16.60 | 39.5% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.97 | \$ 14.72 | \$ 1.75 | 13.5% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 25.93 | \$ 29.98 | \$ 4.05 | 15.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 49.38 | \$ 59.09 | \$ 9.71 | 19.7% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 17.06 | \$ 1.75 | 11.4% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 15.54 | \$ 16.62 | \$ 1.08 | 6.9% |
| 11 | 150 | 62 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 20.70 | \$ 1.59 | 8.3% |
| 12 | 250 | 105 | \$ 23.37 | \$ 26.05 | \$ 2.68 | 11.5% |
| 13 | 400 | 163 | \$ 31.16 | \$ 35.33 | \$ 4.17 | 13.4% |
| 14 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 15 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 19.70 | \$ 1.08 | 5.8% |
| 16 | 150 | 62 | \$ 24.51 | \$ 26.10 | \$ 1.59 | 6.5% |
| 17 | 150* | 88 | \$ 38.22 | \$ 40.47 | \$ 2.25 | 5.9% |
| 18 | 250 | 105 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 32.79 | \$ 2.68 | 8.9% |
| 19 | 250* | 105 | \$ 41.85 | \$ 44.53 | \$ 2.68 | 6.4% |
| 20 | 400 | 163 | \$ 35.48 | \$ 39.65 | \$ 4.17 | 11.8% |

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 4.95 | \$ 5.57 | \$ 0.62 | 12.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 9.90 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 1.22 | 12.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 14.84 | \$ 16.65 | \$ 1.81 | 12.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 22.21 | \$ 2.40 | 12.1% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 24.75 | \$ 27.77 | \$ 3.02 | 12.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 29.72 | \$ 33.35 | \$ 3.63 | 12.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 35.67 | \$ 3.65 | 11.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 67.36 | \$ 6.29 | 10.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 92.00 | \$ 99.03 | \$ 7.03 | 7.6% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 122.93 | \$ 130.72 | \$ 7.79 | 6.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 153.86 | \$ 162.41 | \$ 8.55 | 5.6% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 184.80 | \$ 194.10 | \$ 9.30 | 5.0% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 246.65 | \$ 257.46 | \$ 10.81 | 4.4% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 308.31 | \$ 320.61 | \$ 12.30 | 4.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 369.95 | \$ 383.73 | \$ 13.78 | 3.7% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 431.58 | \$ 446.86 | \$ 15.28 | 3.5% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 493.20 | \$ 509.99 | \$ 16.79 | 3.4% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 554.84 | \$ 573.12 | \$ 18.28 | 3.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 616.49 | \$ 636.27 | \$ 19.78 | 3.2% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 678.12 | \$ 699.39 | \$ 21.27 | 3.1% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 739.74 | \$ 762.51 | \$ 22.77 | 3.1% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 801.37 | \$ 825.66 | \$ 24.29 | 3.0% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 863.02 | \$ 888.80 | \$ 25.78 | 3.0% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 924.68 | \$ 951.95 | \$ 27.27 | 2.9% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 986.30 | \$ 1,015.06 | \$ 28.76 | 2.9% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,047.94 | \$ 1,078.20 | \$ 30.26 | 2.9% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,109.57 | \$ 1,141.34 | \$ 31.77 | 2.9% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,171.20 | \$ 1,204.47 | \$ 33.27 | 2.8% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,232.84 | \$ 1,267.60 | \$ 34.76 | 2.8% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,294.48 | \$ 1,330.72 | \$ 36.24 | 2.8% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,356.11 | \$ 1,393.86 | \$ 37.75 | 2.8% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,417.76 | \$ 1,457.02 | \$ 39.26 | 2.8% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,479.38 | \$ 1,520.15 | \$ 40.77 | 2.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 35.67 | \$ 3.65 | 11.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 67.36 | \$ 6.29 | 10.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.82 | \$ 89.85 | \$ 7.03 | 8.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 104.58 | \$ 112.37 | \$ 7.79 | 7.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 126.33 | \$ 134.88 | \$ 8.55 | 6.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 138.35 | \$ 147.65 | \$ 9.30 | 6.7% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 162.35 | \$ 173.16 | \$ 10.81 | 6.7% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 186.16 | \$ 198.46 | \$ 12.30 | 6.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 209.95 | \$ 223.73 | \$ 13.78 | 6.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 233.73 | \$ 249.01 | \$ 15.28 | 6.5% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 257.50 | \$ 274.29 | \$ 16.79 | 6.5% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 281.29 | \$ 299.57 | \$ 18.28 | 6.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 305.09 | \$ 324.87 | \$ 19.78 | 6.5% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 328.87 | \$ 350.14 | \$ 21.27 | 6.5% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 352.64 | \$ 375.41 | \$ 22.77 | 6.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 376.42 | \$ 400.71 | \$ 24.29 | 6.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 400.22 | \$ 426.00 | \$ 25.78 | 6.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 424.03 | \$ 451.30 | \$ 27.27 | 6.4% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 447.80 | \$ 476.56 | \$ 28.76 | 6.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 471.59 | \$ 501.85 | \$ 30.26 | 6.4% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 495.37 | \$ 527.14 | \$ 31.77 | 6.4% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 519.15 | \$ 552.42 | \$ 33.27 | 6.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 542.94 | \$ 577.70 | \$ 34.76 | 6.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 566.73 | \$ 602.97 | \$ 36.24 | 6.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 590.51 | \$ 628.26 | \$ 37.75 | 6.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 614.31 | \$ 653.57 | \$ 39.26 | 6.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 638.08 | \$ 678.85 | \$ 40.77 | 6.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 32.02 | \$ 35.67 | \$ 3.65 | 11.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 61.07 | \$ 67.36 | \$ 6.29 | 10.3% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 87.57 | \$ 94.60 | \$ 7.03 | 8.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 114.08 | \$ 121.87 | \$ 7.79 | 6.8% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 140.58 | \$ 149.13 | \$ 8.55 | 6.1% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 167.10 | \$ 176.40 | \$ 9.30 | 5.6% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 220.10 | \$ 230.91 | \$ 10.81 | 4.9% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 272.91 | \$ 285.21 | \$ 12.30 | 4.5% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 325.70 | \$ 339.48 | \$ 13.78 | 4.2% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 378.48 | \$ 393.76 | \$ 15.28 | 4.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 431.25 | \$ 448.04 | \$ 16.79 | 3.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 484.04 | \$ 502.32 | \$ 18.28 | 3.8% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 536.84 | \$ 556.62 | \$ 19.78 | 3.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 589.62 | \$ 610.89 | \$ 21.27 | 3.6% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 642.39 | \$ 665.16 | \$ 22.77 | 3.5% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 695.17 | \$ 719.46 | \$ 24.29 | 3.5% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 747.97 | \$ 773.75 | \$ 25.78 | 3.4% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 800.78 | \$ 828.05 | \$ 27.27 | 3.4% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 853.55 | \$ 882.31 | \$ 28.76 | 3.4% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 906.34 | \$ 936.60 | \$ 30.26 | 3.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 959.12 | \$ 990.89 | \$ 31.77 | 3.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,011.90 | \$ 1,045.17 | \$ 33.27 | 3.3% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,064.69 | \$ 1,099.45 | \$ 34.76 | 3.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,117.48 | \$ 1,153.72 | \$ 36.24 | 3.2% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,170.26 | \$ 1,208.01 | \$ 37.75 | 3.2% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,223.06 | \$ 1,262.32 | \$ 39.26 | 3.2% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,275.83 | \$ 1,316.60 | \$ 40.77 | 3.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 38.55 | \$ 5.24 | 15.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 73.12 | \$ 10.46 | 16.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 107.67 | \$ 13.19 | 14.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 142.24 | \$ 15.93 | 12.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 176.81 | \$ 18.69 | 11.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 211.37 | \$ 21.41 | 11.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 280.49 | \$ 26.89 | 10.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 349.41 | \$ 32.36 | 10.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 418.28 | \$ 37.82 | 9.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 487.17 | \$ 43.30 | 9.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 556.06 | \$ 48.78 | 9.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 624.95 | \$ 54.25 | 9.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 693.85 | \$ 59.71 | 9.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 762.74 | \$ 65.19 | 9.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 831.62 | \$ 70.66 | 9.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 900.52 | \$ 76.14 | 9.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 969.42 | \$ 81.61 | 9.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 1,038.33 | \$ 87.07 | 9.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 1,107.19 | \$ 92.53 | 9.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,176.09 | \$ 98.02 | 9.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,245.00 | \$ 103.51 | 9.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,313.89 | \$ 108.98 | 9.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,382.77 | \$ 114.44 | 9.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,451.65 | \$ 119.89 | 9.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,520.55 | \$ 125.37 | 9.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,589.46 | \$ 130.85 | 9.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,658.35 | \$ 136.33 | 9.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 38.55 | \$ 5.24 | 15.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 73.12 | \$ 10.46 | 16.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 107.67 | \$ 13.19 | 14.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 142.24 | \$ 15.93 | 12.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 176.81 | \$ 18.69 | 11.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 211.37 | \$ 21.41 | 11.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 280.49 | \$ 26.89 | 10.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 349.41 | \$ 32.36 | 10.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 418.28 | \$ 37.82 | 9.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 487.17 | \$ 43.30 | 9.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 556.06 | \$ 48.78 | 9.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 624.95 | \$ 54.25 | 9.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 693.85 | \$ 59.71 | 9.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 762.74 | \$ 65.19 | 9.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 831.62 | \$ 70.66 | 9.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 900.52 | \$ 76.14 | 9.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 969.42 | \$ 81.61 | 9.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 1,038.33 | \$ 87.07 | 9.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 1,107.19 | \$ 92.53 | 9.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,176.09 | \$ 98.02 | 9.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,245.00 | \$ 103.51 | 9.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,313.89 | \$ 108.98 | 9.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,382.77 | \$ 114.44 | 9.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,451.65 | \$ 119.89 | 9.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,520.55 | \$ 125.37 | 9.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,589.46 | \$ 130.85 | 9.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,658.35 | \$ 136.33 | 9.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Summer Bill (\$) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.31 | \$ 38.55 | \$ 5.24 | 15.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 73.12 | \$ 10.46 | 16.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 94.48 | \$ 107.67 | \$ 13.19 | 14.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 126.31 | \$ 142.24 | \$ 15.93 | 12.6% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 158.12 | \$ 176.81 | \$ 18.69 | 11.8% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 189.96 | \$ 211.37 | \$ 21.41 | 11.3% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 253.60 | \$ 280.49 | \$ 26.89 | 10.6% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 317.05 | \$ 349.41 | \$ 32.36 | 10.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 380.46 | \$ 418.28 | \$ 37.82 | 9.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 443.87 | \$ 487.17 | \$ 43.30 | 9.8% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 507.28 | \$ 556.06 | \$ 48.78 | 9.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 570.70 | \$ 624.95 | \$ 54.25 | 9.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 634.14 | \$ 693.85 | \$ 59.71 | 9.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 697.55 | \$ 762.74 | \$ 65.19 | 9.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 760.96 | \$ 831.62 | \$ 70.66 | 9.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 824.38 | \$ 900.52 | \$ 76.14 | 9.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 887.81 | \$ 969.42 | \$ 81.61 | 9.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 951.26 | \$ 1,038.33 | \$ 87.07 | 9.2% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,014.66 | \$ 1,107.19 | \$ 92.53 | 9.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,078.07 | \$ 1,176.09 | \$ 98.02 | 9.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,141.49 | \$ 1,245.00 | \$ 103.51 | 9.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,204.91 | \$ 1,313.89 | \$ 108.98 | 9.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,268.33 | \$ 1,382.77 | \$ 114.44 | 9.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,331.76 | \$ 1,451.65 | \$ 119.89 | 9.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,395.18 | \$ 1,520.55 | \$ 125.37 | 9.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,458.61 | \$ 1,589.46 | \$ 130.85 | 9.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,522.02 | \$ 1,658.35 | \$ 136.33 | 9.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 143.54 | \$ 157.14 | \$ 13.60 | 9.5% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 231.07 | \$ 248.86 | \$ 17.79 | 7.7% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 318.19 | \$ 340.19 | \$ 22.00 | 6.9% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 405.26 | \$ 431.45 | \$ 26.19 | 6.5% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 492.35 | \$ 522.74 | \$ 30.39 | 6.2% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 579.42 | \$ 614.02 | \$ 34.60 | 6.0% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,005.25 | \$ 16,364.81 | \$ 1,359.56 | 9.1% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 23,657.89 | \$ 25,437.34 | \$ 1,779.45 | 7.5% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 32,310.54 | \$ 34,509.85 | \$ 2,199.31 | 6.8% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,963.18 | \$ 43,582.37 | \$ 2,619.19 | 6.4% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,615.83 | \$ 52,654.88 | \$ 3,039.05 | 6.1% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,268.47 | \$ 61,727.42 | \$ 3,458.95 | 5.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (\$E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,894.05 | \$ 6,589.81 | \$ 695.76 | 11.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,757.59 | \$ 10,686.80 | \$ 929.21 | 9.5% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 13,621.11 | \$ 14,783.78 | \$ 1,162.67 | 8.5% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 17,484.65 | \$ 18,880.77 | \$ 1,396.12 | 8.0% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 21,348.18 | \$ 22,977.74 | \$ 1,629.56 | 7.6% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 25,211.73 | \$ 27,074.74 | \$ 1,863.01 | 7.4% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 57,506.66 | \$ 64,464.19 | \$ 6,957.53 | 12.1% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 95,978.49 | \$ 105,270.55 | \$ 9,292.06 | 9.7% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 134,124.28 | \$ 145,750.86 | \$ 11,626.58 | 8.7% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 172,270.06 | \$ 186,231.16 | \$ 13,961.10 | 8.1% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 210,415.85 | \$ 226,711.48 | \$ 16,295.63 | 7.7% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 248,561.64 | \$ 267,191.80 | \$ 18,630.16 | 7.5% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,849.47 | \$ 10,548.97 | \$ 1,699.50 | 19.2% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 15,883.11 | \$ 18,659.61 | \$ 2,776.50 | 17.5% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 22,916.76 | \$ 26,770.26 | \$ 3,853.50 | 16.8% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 29,950.40 | \$ 34,880.90 | \$ 4,930.50 | 16.5% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 36,984.05 | \$ 42,991.54 | \$ 6,007.49 | 16.2% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,017.70 | \$ 51,102.20 | \$ 7,084.50 | 16.1% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 86,447.27 | \$ 103,442.26 | \$ 16,994.99 | 19.7% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 155,804.62 | \$ 183,569.60 | \$ 27,764.98 | 17.8% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 225,161.98 | \$ 263,696.95 | \$ 38,534.97 | 17.1% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 294,519.34 | \$ 343,824.29 | \$ 49,304.95 | 16.7% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 363,876.70 | \$ 423,951.65 | \$ 60,074.95 | 16.5% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 433,234.05 | \$ 504,078.98 | \$ 70,844.93 | 16.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (%)(E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,327.41 | \$ 30,283.72 | \$ 2,956.31 | 10.8% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 37,173.10 | \$ 41,623.71 | \$ 4,450.61 | 12.0% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 47,018.80 | \$ 52,963.72 | \$ 5,944.92 | 12.6% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 56,864.49 | \$ 64,303.71 | \$ 7,439.22 | 13.1% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 66,546.67 | \$ 75,480.20 | \$ 8,933.53 | 13.4% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 76,196.54 | \$ 86,624.38 | \$ 10,427.84 | 13.7% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 269,167.64 | \$ 298,730.70 | \$ 29,563.06 | 11.0% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 365,666.36 | \$ 410,172.48 | \$ 44,506.12 | 12.2% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 462,165.09 | \$ 521,614.27 | \$ 59,449.18 | 12.9% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 558,663.81 | \$ 633,056.05 | \$ 74,392.24 | 13.3% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 655,162.54 | \$ 744,497.84 | \$ 89,335.30 | 13.6% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 751,661.26 | \$ 855,939.62 | \$ 104,278.36 | 13.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.97 | \$ 18.27 | \$ 0.30 | 1.7% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 20.36 | \$ 0.67 | 3.4% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.43 | \$ 21.27 | \$ 0.84 | 4.1% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.44 | \$ 24.95 | \$ 1.51 | 6.4% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.10 | \$ 26.98 | \$ 1.88 | 7.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.62 | \$ 32.53 | \$ 2.91 | 9.8% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.76 | \$ 35.15 | \$ 3.39 | 10.7% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 8.62 | \$ 0.52 | 6.4% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.75 | \$ 9.56 | \$ 0.81 | 9.3% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.80 | \$ 12.06 | \$ 1.26 | 11.7% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.70 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 1.89 | 13.8% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 21.05 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 3.44 | 16.3% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 30.20 | \$ 4.55 | 17.7% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 0.52 | 3.3% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 17.44 | \$ 0.81 | 4.9% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 20.88 | \$ 1.26 | 6.4% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 28.26 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 2.50 | 8.8% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.94 | \$ 23.83 | \$ 1.89 | 8.6% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 33.67 | \$ 37.46 | \$ 3.79 | 11.3% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.81 | \$ 34.25 | \$ 3.44 | 11.2% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.53 | \$ 40.08 | \$ 4.55 | 12.8% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 61.98 | \$ 71.10 | \$ 9.12 | 14.7% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.94 | \$ 11.46 | \$ 0.52 | 4.8% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.12 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 0.81 | 6.7% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 16.48 | \$ 1.26 | 8.3% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.32 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 0.52 | 2.8% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 20.14 | \$ 0.81 | 4.2% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 24.02 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 1.26 | 5.2% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 29.12 | \$ 1.89 | 6.9% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.75 | \$ 56.19 | \$ 3.44 | 6.5% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 57.18 | \$ 61.73 | \$ 4.55 | 8.0% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 81.94 | \$ 91.06 | \$ 9.12 | 11.1% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.18 | \$ 11.99 | \$ 0.81 | 7.2% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.46 | \$ 14.72 | \$ 1.26 | 9.4% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.94 | \$ 8.27 | \$ 0.33 | 4.2% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.30 | \$ 8.80 | \$ 0.50 | 6.0% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 9.75 | \$ 0.75 | 8.3% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 11.71 | \$ 1.06 | 10.0% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 12.38 | \$ 1.26 | 11.3% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 14.19 | \$ 16.14 | \$ 1.95 | 13.7% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 29.71 | \$ 34.63 | \$ 4.92 | 16.6% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 0.33 | 2.1% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 16.63 | \$ 0.50 | 3.1% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 18.62 | \$ 0.75 | 4.2% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.44 | \$ 20.50 | \$ 1.06 | 5.5% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 21.18 | \$ 1.26 | 6.3% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.00 | \$ 25.95 | \$ 1.95 | 8.1% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 38.68 | \$ 43.60 | \$ 4.92 | 12.7% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.04 | \$ 11.37 | \$ 0.33 | 3.0% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.74 | \$ 12.24 | \$ 0.50 | 4.3% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 0.75 | 5.7% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 18.03 | \$ 18.36 | \$ 0.33 | 1.8% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.72 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 0.50 | 2.7% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 23.04 | \$ 0.75 | 3.4% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.36 | \$ 25.41 | \$ 1.05 | 4.3% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 22.04 | \$ 23.10 | \$ 1.06 | 4.8% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 26.32 | \$ 1.26 | 5.0% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 28.79 | \$ 1.54 | 5.7% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.87 | \$ 47.82 | \$ 1.95 | 4.3% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.86 | \$ 62.77 | \$ 3.91 | 6.6% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 67.39 | \$ 4.92 | 7.9% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.60 | \$ 11.93 | \$ 0.33 | 2.8% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.99 | \$ 13.49 | \$ 0.50 | 3.8% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.84 | \$ 14.59 | \$ 0.75 | 5.4% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 15.01 | \$ 1.06 | 7.6% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.54 | \$ 17.80 | \$ 1.26 | 7.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.72 | \$ 0.30 | 21.1% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.82 | \$ 3.41 | \$ 0.59 | 20.9% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.25 | \$ 5.16 | \$ 0.91 | 21.4% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.67 | \$ 6.87 | \$ 1.20 | 21.2% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 8.59 | \$ 1.51 | 21.3% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 10.28 | \$ 1.79 | 21.1% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.92 | \$ 12.02 | \$ 2.10 | 21.2% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 13.74 | \$ 2.40 | 21.2% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 15.46 | \$ 2.71 | 21.3% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 14.17 | \$ 17.16 | \$ 2.99 | 21.1% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.59 | \$ 18.88 | \$ 3.29 | 21.1% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 20.61 | \$ 3.60 | 21.2% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 18.42 | \$ 22.32 | \$ 3.90 | 21.2% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 19.83 | \$ 24.03 | \$ 4.20 | 21.2% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 21.26 | \$ 25.76 | \$ 4.50 | 21.2% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 22.65 | \$ 27.44 | \$ 4.79 | 21.1% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 2.34 | \$ 0.30 | 14.7% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 4.05 | \$ 4.64 | \$ 0.59 | 14.6% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 6.10 | \$ 7.01 | \$ 0.91 | 14.9% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 8.14 | \$ 9.34 | \$ 1.20 | 14.7% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 10.17 | \$ 11.68 | \$ 1.51 | 14.8% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 13.98 | \$ 1.79 | 14.7% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 14.23 | \$ 16.33 | \$ 2.10 | 14.8% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 18.67 | \$ 2.40 | 14.8% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 18.30 | \$ 21.01 | \$ 2.71 | 14.8% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 20.33 | \$ 23.32 | \$ 2.99 | 14.7% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 22.37 | \$ 25.66 | \$ 3.29 | 14.7% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 24.41 | \$ 28.01 | \$ 3.60 | 14.7% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 30.34 | \$ 3.90 | 14.8% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 28.46 | \$ 32.66 | \$ 4.20 | 14.8% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 30.51 | \$ 35.01 | \$ 4.50 | 14.7% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 32.52 | \$ 37.31 | \$ 4.79 | 14.7% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 3.11 | \$ 0.30 | 10.7% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 6.18 | \$ 0.59 | 10.6% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.41 | \$ 9.32 | \$ 0.91 | 10.8% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 11.22 | \$ 12.42 | \$ 1.20 | 10.7% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 14.01 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 1.51 | 10.8% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.81 | \$ 18.60 | \$ 1.79 | 10.6% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 2.10 | 10.7% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 22.42 | \$ 24.82 | \$ 2.40 | 10.7% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 25.22 | \$ 27.93 | \$ 2.71 | 10.7% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 28.03 | \$ 31.02 | \$ 2.99 | 10.7% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.83 | \$ 34.12 | \$ 3.29 | 10.7% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 33.64 | \$ 37.24 | \$ 3.60 | 10.7% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 36.43 | \$ 40.33 | \$ 3.90 | 10.7% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 39.23 | \$ 43.43 | \$ 4.20 | 10.7% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 46.55 | \$ 4.50 | 10.7% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 44.82 | \$ 49.61 | \$ 4.79 | 10.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 13.27 | \$ 11.67 | \$ (1.60) | -12.1% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 23.88 | \$ 20.23 | \$ (3.65) | -15.3% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 38.16 | \$ (8.79) | -18.7% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.67 | \$ 16.07 | \$ (1.60) | -9.1% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 10.88 | \$ (0.97) | -8.2% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.45 | \$ 18.02 | \$ (2.43) | -11.9% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 23.16 | \$ (3.77) | -14.0% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.88 | \$ 15.91 | \$ (0.97) | -5.7% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 16.71 | \$ (1.68) | -9.1% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 19.56 | \$ (2.57) | -11.6% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 28.16 | \$ 24.19 | \$ (3.97) | -14.1% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 28.17 | \$ 26.49 | \$ (1.68) | -6.0% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 31.91 | \$ 29.34 | \$ (2.57) | -8.1% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 37.94 | \$ 33.97 | \$ (3.97) | -10.5% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 6.96 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 0.90 | 12.9% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 13.91 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 1.81 | 13.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 20.87 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 2.72 | 13.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 27.81 | \$ 31.43 | \$ 3.62 | 13.0% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 39.30 | \$ 4.52 | 13.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 41.73 | \$ 47.17 | \$ 5.44 | 13.0% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 149.24 | \$ 168.65 | \$ 19.41 | 13.0% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 242.48 | \$ 271.90 | \$ 29.42 | 12.1% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 335.29 | \$ 374.74 | \$ 39.45 | 11.8% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 428.06 | \$ 477.52 | \$ 49.46 | 11.6% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 580.33 | \$ 59.48 | 11.4% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 613.63 | \$ 683.12 | \$ 69.49 | 11.3% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 15,575.35 | \$ 17,516.51 | \$ 1,941.16 | 12.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 24,798.09 | \$ 27,740.74 | \$ 2,942.65 | 11.9% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 34,020.84 | \$ 37,964.95 | \$ 3,944.11 | 11.6% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 43,243.58 | \$ 48,189.17 | \$ 4,945.59 | 11.4% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 52,466.33 | \$ 58,413.38 | \$ 5,947.05 | 11.3% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 61,689.07 | \$ 68,637.62 | \$ 6,948.55 | 11.3% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,961.80 | \$ 7,145.66 | \$ 1,183.86 | 19.9% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,333.09 | \$ 11,798.50 | \$ 1,465.41 | 14.2% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,704.36 | \$ 16,451.33 | \$ 1,746.97 | 11.9% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 19,075.65 | \$ 21,104.17 | \$ 2,028.52 | 10.6% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,446.93 | \$ 25,756.99 | \$ 2,310.06 | 9.9% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,818.23 | \$ 30,409.84 | \$ 2,591.61 | 9.3% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 58,184.16 | \$ 70,022.69 | \$ 11,838.53 | 20.3% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 101,733.49 | \$ 116,387.55 | \$ 14,654.06 | 14.4% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 144,956.78 | \$ 162,426.36 | \$ 17,469.58 | 12.1% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 188,180.06 | \$ 208,465.16 | \$ 20,285.10 | 10.8% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 231,403.35 | \$ 254,503.98 | \$ 23,100.63 | 10.0% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 274,626.64 | \$ 300,542.80 | \$ 25,916.16 | 9.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 9,385.37 | \$ 11,629.47 | \$ 2,244.10 | 23.9% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 17,405.91 | \$ 20,820.61 | \$ 3,414.70 | 19.6% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 25,426.46 | \$ 30,011.76 | \$ 4,585.30 | 18.0% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 33,447.00 | \$ 39,202.90 | \$ 5,755.90 | 17.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 41,467.55 | \$ 48,394.04 | \$ 6,926.49 | 16.7% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 49,488.10 | \$ 57,585.20 | \$ 8,097.10 | 16.4% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 91,806.27 | \$ 114,247.26 | \$ 22,440.99 | 24.4% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 171,032.62 | \$ 205,179.60 | \$ 34,146.98 | 20.0% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 250,258.98 | \$ 296,111.95 | \$ 45,852.97 | 18.3% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 329,485.34 | \$ 387,044.29 | \$ 57,558.95 | 17.5% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 408,711.70 | \$ 477,976.65 | \$ 69,264.95 | 16.9% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 487,938.05 | \$ 568,908.98 | \$ 80,970.93 | 16.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (%)(E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 28,881.21 | \$ 32,442.52 | \$ 3,561.31 | 12.3% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 40,698.70 | \$ 45,941.31 | \$ 5,242.61 | 12.9% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 52,516.20 | \$ 59,440.12 | \$ 6,923.92 | 13.2% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 64,333.69 | \$ 72,938.91 | \$ 8,605.22 | 13.4% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 75,987.67 | \$ 86,274.20 | \$ 10,286.53 | 13.5% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 87,609.34 | \$ 99,577.18 | \$ 11,967.84 | 13.7% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 284,705.64 | \$ 320,318.70 | \$ 35,613.06 | 12.5% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 400,922.36 | \$ 453,348.48 | \$ 52,426.12 | 13.1% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 517,139.09 | \$ 586,378.27 | \$ 69,239.18 | 13.4% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 633,355.81 | \$ 719,408.05 | \$ 86,052.24 | 13.6% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 749,572.54 | \$ 852,437.84 | \$ 102,865.30 | 13.7% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 865,789.26 | \$ 985,467.62 | \$ 119,678.36 | 13.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 17.92 | \$ 18.54 | \$ 0.62 | 3.5% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 19.55 | \$ 21.01 | \$ 1.46 | 7.5% |
| 4 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 20.26 | \$ 22.07 | \$ 1.81 | 8.9% |
| 5 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.13 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 3.27 | 14.1% |
| 6 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 24.71 | \$ 28.79 | \$ 4.08 | 16.5% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.02 | \$ 35.31 | \$ 6.29 | 21.7% |
| 8 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 38.39 | \$ 7.32 | 23.6% |
| 9 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) | | | | | |
| 10 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 11 | 100 | 43 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 1.12 | 14.0% |
| 12 | 175 | 69 | \$ 8.58 | \$ 10.36 | \$ 1.78 | 20.7% |
| 13 | 250 | 104 | \$ 10.54 | \$ 13.26 | \$ 2.72 | 25.8% |
| 14 | 400 | 158 | \$ 13.31 | \$ 17.41 | \$ 4.10 | 30.8% |
| 15 | 700 | 287 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 27.80 | \$ 7.46 | 36.7% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 24.72 | \$ 34.58 | \$ 9.86 | 39.9% |
| 17 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 18 | 100 | 43 | \$ 15.81 | \$ 16.93 | \$ 1.12 | 7.1% |
| 19 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.46 | \$ 18.24 | \$ 1.78 | 10.8% |
| 20 | 250 | 104 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 22.08 | \$ 2.72 | 14.0% |
| 21 | 250** | 208 | \$ 27.75 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 5.40 | 19.5% |
| 22 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.55 | \$ 25.65 | \$ 4.10 | 19.0% |
| 23 | 400** | 316 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 41.10 | \$ 8.20 | 24.9% |
| 24 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.10 | \$ 37.56 | \$ 7.46 | 24.8% |
| 25 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 34.60 | \$ 44.46 | \$ 9.86 | 28.5% |
| 26 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 60.10 | \$ 79.85 | \$ 19.75 | 32.9% |
| 27 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 28 | 100 | 43 | \$ 10.83 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 1.12 | 10.3% |
| 29 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.95 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 1.78 | 14.9% |
| 30 | 250 | 104 | \$ 14.96 | \$ 17.68 | \$ 2.72 | 18.2% |
| 31 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 32 | 100 | 43 | \$ 18.21 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 1.12 | 6.2% |
| 33 | 175 | 69 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 20.94 | \$ 1.78 | 9.3% |
| 34 | 250 | 104 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 26.48 | \$ 2.72 | 11.4% |
| 35 | 400 | 158 | \$ 26.84 | \$ 30.94 | \$ 4.10 | 15.3% |
| 36 | 700 | 287 | \$ 52.04 | \$ 59.50 | \$ 7.46 | 14.3% |
| 37 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 56.25 | \$ 66.11 | \$ 9.86 | 17.5% |
| 38 | 1000** | 760 | \$ 80.06 | \$ 99.81 | \$ 19.75 | 24.7% |
| 39 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 40 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.01 | \$ 12.79 | \$ 1.78 | 16.2% |
| 41 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.20 | \$ 15.92 | \$ 2.72 | 20.6% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 42 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) | | | | | |
| 43 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 44 | 70 | 29 | \$ 7.86 | \$ 8.61 | \$ 0.75 | 9.5% |
| 45 | 100 | 42 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 9.28 | \$ 1.09 | 13.3% |
| 46 | 150 | 62 | \$ 8.84 | \$ 10.47 | \$ 1.63 | 18.4% |
| 47 | 215 | 89 | \$ 10.43 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 2.30 | 22.1% |
| 48 | 250 | 105 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 13.59 | \$ 2.73 | 25.1% |
| 49 | 400 | 163 | \$ 13.78 | \$ 18.02 | \$ 4.24 | 30.8% |
| 50 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 39.35 | \$ 10.65 | 37.1% |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 70 | 29 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 16.40 | \$ 0.75 | 4.8% |
| 53 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.02 | \$ 17.11 | \$ 1.09 | 6.8% |
| 54 | 150 | 62 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 19.34 | \$ 1.63 | 9.2% |
| 55 | 215 | 89 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 21.52 | \$ 2.30 | 12.0% |
| 56 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.66 | \$ 22.39 | \$ 2.73 | 13.9% |
| 57 | 400 | 163 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 27.83 | \$ 4.24 | 18.0% |
| 58 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 48.32 | \$ 10.65 | 28.3% |
| 59 | Underground Service - Post Type | | | | | |
| 60 | 70 | 29 | \$ 10.96 | \$ 11.71 | \$ 0.75 | 6.8% |
| 61 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.63 | \$ 12.72 | \$ 1.09 | 9.4% |
| 62 | 150 | 62 | \$ 12.93 | \$ 14.56 | \$ 1.63 | 12.6% |
| 63 | Underground Service - Pole Type | | | | | |
| 64 | 70 | 29 | \$ 17.95 | \$ 18.70 | \$ 0.75 | 4.2% |
| 65 | 100 | 42 | \$ 18.61 | \$ 19.70 | \$ 1.09 | 5.9% |
| 66 | 150 | 62 | \$ 22.13 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 1.63 | 7.4% |
| 67 | 200 | 88 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 26.42 | \$ 2.28 | 9.4% |
| 68 | 215 | 89 | \$ 21.82 | \$ 24.12 | \$ 2.30 | 10.5% |
| 69 | 250 | 105 | \$ 24.80 | \$ 27.53 | \$ 2.73 | 11.0% |
| 70 | 310 | 128 | \$ 26.94 | \$ 30.26 | \$ 3.32 | 12.3% |
| 71 | 400 | 163 | \$ 45.46 | \$ 49.70 | \$ 4.24 | 9.3% |
| 72 | 400** | 326 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 66.52 | \$ 8.46 | 14.6% |
| 73 | 1000 | 410 | \$ 61.46 | \$ 72.11 | \$ 10.65 | 17.3% |
| 74 | Bridge or Underpass Wallpack | | | | | |
| 75 | 70 | 29 | \$ 11.52 | \$ 12.27 | \$ 0.75 | 6.5% |
| 76 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.88 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 1.09 | 8.5% |
| 77 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.68 | \$ 15.31 | \$ 1.63 | 11.9% |
| 78 | 215 | 89 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 16.03 | \$ 2.30 | 16.8% |
| 79 | 250 | 105 | \$ 16.28 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 2.73 | 16.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 80 Customer Owned - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 81 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 2.01 | \$ 0.65 | 47.8% |
| 82 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.70 | \$ 3.99 | \$ 1.29 | 47.8% |
| 83 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.07 | \$ 6.03 | \$ 1.96 | 48.2% |
| 84 | N/A | 100 | \$ 5.43 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 2.60 | 47.9% |
| 85 | N/A | 125 | \$ 6.78 | \$ 10.03 | \$ 3.25 | 47.9% |
| 86 | N/A | 150 | \$ 8.12 | \$ 12.00 | \$ 3.88 | 47.8% |
| 87 | N/A | 175 | \$ 9.49 | \$ 14.03 | \$ 4.54 | 47.8% |
| 88 | N/A | 200 | \$ 10.84 | \$ 16.04 | \$ 5.20 | 48.0% |
| 89 | N/A | 225 | \$ 12.19 | \$ 18.05 | \$ 5.86 | 48.1% |
| 90 | N/A | 250 | \$ 13.55 | \$ 20.04 | \$ 6.49 | 47.9% |
| 91 | N/A | 275 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 7.14 | 47.9% |
| 92 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.27 | \$ 24.07 | \$ 7.80 | 47.9% |
| 93 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.62 | \$ 26.07 | \$ 8.45 | 48.0% |
| 94 | N/A | 350 | \$ 18.96 | \$ 28.06 | \$ 9.10 | 48.0% |
| 95 | N/A | 375 | \$ 20.34 | \$ 30.08 | \$ 9.74 | 47.9% |
| 96 | N/A | 400 | \$ 21.67 | \$ 32.05 | \$ 10.38 | 47.9% |
| 97 | Customer Owned, Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 98 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.63 | \$ 0.65 | 32.8% |
| 99 | N/A | 50 | \$ 3.93 | \$ 5.22 | \$ 1.29 | 32.8% |
| 100 | N/A | 75 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 7.88 | \$ 1.96 | 33.1% |
| 101 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 10.50 | \$ 2.60 | 32.9% |
| 102 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.87 | \$ 13.12 | \$ 3.25 | 32.9% |
| 103 | N/A | 150 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 15.70 | \$ 3.88 | 32.8% |
| 104 | N/A | 175 | \$ 13.80 | \$ 18.34 | \$ 4.54 | 32.9% |
| 105 | N/A | 200 | \$ 15.77 | \$ 20.97 | \$ 5.20 | 33.0% |
| 106 | N/A | 225 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 23.60 | \$ 5.86 | 33.0% |
| 107 | N/A | 250 | \$ 19.71 | \$ 26.20 | \$ 6.49 | 32.9% |
| 108 | N/A | 275 | \$ 21.69 | \$ 28.83 | \$ 7.14 | 32.9% |
| 109 | N/A | 300 | \$ 23.67 | \$ 31.47 | \$ 7.80 | 33.0% |
| 110 | N/A | 325 | \$ 25.64 | \$ 34.09 | \$ 8.45 | 33.0% |
| 111 | N/A | 350 | \$ 27.59 | \$ 36.69 | \$ 9.10 | 33.0% |
| 112 | N/A | 375 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 39.33 | \$ 9.74 | 32.9% |
| 113 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.54 | \$ 41.92 | \$ 10.38 | 32.9% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 114 Efficiency Safety Incentive Program - All Lamp Types | | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 2.75 | \$ 3.40 | \$ 0.65 | 23.6% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 5.47 | \$ 6.76 | \$ 1.29 | 23.6% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 8.23 | \$ 10.19 | \$ 1.96 | 23.8% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 10.98 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 2.60 | 23.7% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 13.71 | \$ 16.96 | \$ 3.25 | 23.7% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 16.44 | \$ 20.32 | \$ 3.88 | 23.6% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 19.19 | \$ 23.73 | \$ 4.54 | 23.7% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 27.12 | \$ 5.20 | 23.7% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.66 | \$ 30.52 | \$ 5.86 | 23.8% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 27.41 | \$ 33.90 | \$ 6.49 | 23.7% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 37.29 | \$ 7.14 | 23.7% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 40.70 | \$ 7.80 | 23.7% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 35.63 | \$ 44.08 | \$ 8.45 | 23.7% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 38.36 | \$ 47.46 | \$ 9.10 | 23.7% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 41.13 | \$ 50.87 | \$ 9.74 | 23.7% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 43.84 | \$ 54.22 | \$ 10.38 | 23.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 12.36 | \$ 12.47 | \$ 0.11 | 0.9% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.78 | \$ 22.05 | \$ 0.27 | 1.2% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 41.91 | \$ 42.54 | \$ 0.63 | 1.5% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 16.76 | \$ 16.87 | \$ 0.11 | 0.7% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 11.36 | \$ 0.07 | 0.6% |
| 11 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.05 | \$ 19.23 | \$ 0.18 | 0.9% |
| 12 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.76 | \$ 25.04 | \$ 0.28 | 1.1% |
| 13 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 14 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.32 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 0.07 | 0.4% |
| 15 | Metal Halide Lighting | | | | | |
| 16 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 17 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 17.55 | \$ 0.13 | 0.7% |
| 18 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 20.66 | \$ 20.84 | \$ 0.18 | 0.9% |
| 19 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 25.88 | \$ 26.17 | \$ 0.29 | 1.1% |
| 20 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 21 | 15,000 | 73 | \$ 27.20 | \$ 27.33 | \$ 0.13 | 0.5% |
| 22 | 23,000 | 111 | \$ 30.44 | \$ 30.62 | \$ 0.18 | 0.6% |
| 23 | 40,000 | 172 | \$ 35.66 | \$ 35.95 | \$ 0.29 | 0.8% |

Ohio Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 9.02 | \$ 1.32 | 17.1% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 15.38 | \$ 18.02 | \$ 2.64 | 17.2% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 23.08 | \$ 27.05 | \$ 3.97 | 17.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 36.04 | \$ 5.28 | 17.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 38.46 | \$ 45.06 | \$ 6.60 | 17.2% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 46.16 | \$ 54.09 | \$ 7.93 | 17.2% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) | |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 36.58 | \$ 3.43 | 10.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 69.18 | \$ 6.84 | 11.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 91.47 | \$ 101.73 | \$ 10.26 | 11.2% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 120.64 | \$ 134.32 | \$ 13.68 | 11.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 149.80 | \$ 166.92 | \$ 17.12 | 11.4% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 178.98 | \$ 199.51 | \$ 20.53 | 11.5% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 237.28 | \$ 264.65 | \$ 27.37 | 11.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 295.39 | \$ 329.60 | \$ 34.21 | 11.6% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 353.48 | \$ 394.53 | \$ 41.05 | 11.6% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 411.57 | \$ 459.46 | \$ 47.89 | 11.6% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 469.65 | \$ 524.39 | \$ 54.74 | 11.7% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 527.75 | \$ 589.32 | \$ 61.57 | 11.7% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 585.85 | \$ 654.28 | \$ 68.43 | 11.7% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 643.95 | \$ 719.23 | \$ 75.28 | 11.7% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 702.03 | \$ 784.15 | \$ 82.12 | 11.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 760.12 | \$ 849.10 | \$ 88.98 | 11.7% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 818.21 | \$ 914.02 | \$ 95.81 | 11.7% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 876.32 | \$ 978.97 | \$ 102.65 | 11.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 934.41 | \$ 1,043.91 | \$ 109.50 | 11.7% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 992.50 | \$ 1,108.83 | \$ 116.33 | 11.7% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,050.59 | \$ 1,173.77 | \$ 123.18 | 11.7% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,108.68 | \$ 1,238.70 | \$ 130.02 | 11.7% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,166.78 | \$ 1,303.64 | \$ 136.86 | 11.7% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,224.89 | \$ 1,368.58 | \$ 143.69 | 11.7% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,282.96 | \$ 1,433.50 | \$ 150.54 | 11.7% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,341.08 | \$ 1,498.47 | \$ 157.39 | 11.7% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,399.14 | \$ 1,563.37 | \$ 164.23 | 11.7% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 36.58 | \$ 3.43 | 10.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 69.18 | \$ 6.84 | 11.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 82.32 | \$ 92.58 | \$ 10.26 | 12.5% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 102.34 | \$ 116.02 | \$ 13.68 | 13.4% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 122.35 | \$ 139.47 | \$ 17.12 | 14.0% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 142.38 | \$ 162.91 | \$ 20.53 | 14.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 182.38 | \$ 209.75 | \$ 27.37 | 15.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 213.19 | \$ 247.40 | \$ 34.21 | 16.0% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 243.98 | \$ 285.03 | \$ 41.05 | 16.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 274.77 | \$ 322.66 | \$ 47.89 | 17.4% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 305.55 | \$ 360.29 | \$ 54.74 | 17.9% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 336.35 | \$ 397.92 | \$ 61.57 | 18.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 367.15 | \$ 435.58 | \$ 68.43 | 18.6% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 397.95 | \$ 473.23 | \$ 75.28 | 18.9% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 428.73 | \$ 510.85 | \$ 82.12 | 19.2% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 459.52 | \$ 548.50 | \$ 88.98 | 19.4% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 490.31 | \$ 586.12 | \$ 95.81 | 19.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 521.12 | \$ 623.77 | \$ 102.65 | 19.7% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 551.91 | \$ 661.41 | \$ 109.50 | 19.8% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 582.70 | \$ 699.03 | \$ 116.33 | 20.0% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 613.49 | \$ 736.67 | \$ 123.18 | 20.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 644.28 | \$ 774.30 | \$ 130.02 | 20.2% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 675.08 | \$ 811.94 | \$ 136.86 | 20.3% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 705.89 | \$ 849.58 | \$ 143.69 | 20.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 736.66 | \$ 887.20 | \$ 150.54 | 20.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 767.48 | \$ 924.87 | \$ 157.39 | 20.5% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 798.24 | \$ 962.47 | \$ 164.23 | 20.6% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating Apartment (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 25.40 | \$ 28.83 | \$ 3.43 | 13.5% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 46.84 | \$ 53.68 | \$ 6.84 | 14.6% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 59.07 | \$ 69.33 | \$ 10.26 | 17.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 71.34 | \$ 85.02 | \$ 13.68 | 19.2% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 83.60 | \$ 100.72 | \$ 17.12 | 20.5% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 95.88 | \$ 116.41 | \$ 20.53 | 21.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 120.38 | \$ 147.75 | \$ 27.37 | 22.7% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 160.19 | \$ 194.40 | \$ 34.21 | 21.4% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 199.98 | \$ 241.03 | \$ 41.05 | 20.5% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 239.77 | \$ 287.66 | \$ 47.89 | 20.0% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 279.55 | \$ 334.29 | \$ 54.74 | 19.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 319.35 | \$ 380.92 | \$ 61.57 | 19.3% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 359.15 | \$ 427.58 | \$ 68.43 | 19.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 398.95 | \$ 474.23 | \$ 75.28 | 18.9% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 438.73 | \$ 520.85 | \$ 82.12 | 18.7% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 478.52 | \$ 567.50 | \$ 88.98 | 18.6% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 518.31 | \$ 614.12 | \$ 95.81 | 18.5% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 558.12 | \$ 660.77 | \$ 102.65 | 18.4% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 597.91 | \$ 707.41 | \$ 109.50 | 18.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 637.70 | \$ 754.03 | \$ 116.33 | 18.2% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 677.49 | \$ 800.67 | \$ 123.18 | 18.2% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 717.28 | \$ 847.30 | \$ 130.02 | 18.1% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 757.08 | \$ 893.94 | \$ 136.86 | 18.1% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 796.89 | \$ 940.58 | \$ 143.69 | 18.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 836.66 | \$ 987.20 | \$ 150.54 | 18.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 876.48 | \$ 1,033.87 | \$ 157.39 | 18.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 916.24 | \$ 1,080.47 | \$ 164.23 | 17.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (\$) | Percent Increase (%) |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 33.15 | \$ 36.58 | \$ 3.43 | 10.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 62.34 | \$ 69.18 | \$ 6.84 | 11.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 85.82 | \$ 96.08 | \$ 10.26 | 12.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 109.34 | \$ 123.02 | \$ 13.68 | 12.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 132.85 | \$ 149.97 | \$ 17.12 | 12.9% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 156.38 | \$ 176.91 | \$ 20.53 | 13.1% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 203.38 | \$ 230.75 | \$ 27.37 | 13.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 250.19 | \$ 284.40 | \$ 34.21 | 13.7% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 296.98 | \$ 338.03 | \$ 41.05 | 13.8% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 343.77 | \$ 391.66 | \$ 47.89 | 13.9% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 390.55 | \$ 445.29 | \$ 54.74 | 14.0% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 437.35 | \$ 498.92 | \$ 61.57 | 14.1% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 484.15 | \$ 552.58 | \$ 68.43 | 14.1% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 530.95 | \$ 606.23 | \$ 75.28 | 14.2% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 577.73 | \$ 659.85 | \$ 82.12 | 14.2% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 624.52 | \$ 713.50 | \$ 88.98 | 14.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 671.31 | \$ 767.12 | \$ 95.81 | 14.3% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 718.12 | \$ 820.77 | \$ 102.65 | 14.3% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 764.91 | \$ 874.41 | \$ 109.50 | 14.3% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 811.70 | \$ 928.03 | \$ 116.33 | 14.3% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 858.49 | \$ 981.67 | \$ 123.18 | 14.3% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 905.28 | \$ 1,035.30 | \$ 130.02 | 14.4% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 952.08 | \$ 1,088.94 | \$ 136.86 | 14.4% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 998.89 | \$ 1,142.58 | \$ 143.69 | 14.4% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,045.66 | \$ 1,196.20 | \$ 150.54 | 14.4% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,092.48 | \$ 1,249.87 | \$ 157.39 | 14.4% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,139.24 | \$ 1,303.47 | \$ 164.23 | 14.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Standard (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 39.46 | \$ 5.32 | 15.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 74.94 | \$ 10.61 | 16.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 110.37 | \$ 13.42 | 13.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 145.84 | \$ 16.22 | 12.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 181.32 | \$ 19.06 | 11.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 216.78 | \$ 21.84 | 11.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 287.68 | \$ 27.45 | 10.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 358.40 | \$ 33.07 | 10.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 429.08 | \$ 38.68 | 9.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 499.77 | \$ 44.30 | 9.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 570.46 | \$ 49.92 | 9.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 641.15 | \$ 55.53 | 9.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 711.86 | \$ 61.15 | 9.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 782.58 | \$ 66.79 | 9.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 853.26 | \$ 72.40 | 9.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 923.96 | \$ 78.02 | 9.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 994.64 | \$ 83.63 | 9.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 1,065.35 | \$ 89.24 | 9.1% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,136.04 | \$ 94.85 | 9.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,206.72 | \$ 100.47 | 9.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,277.43 | \$ 106.10 | 9.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,348.12 | \$ 111.71 | 9.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,418.81 | \$ 117.32 | 9.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,489.51 | \$ 122.92 | 9.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,560.19 | \$ 128.54 | 9.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,630.91 | \$ 134.16 | 9.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,701.57 | \$ 139.77 | 8.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Electric Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 39.46 | \$ 5.32 | 15.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 74.94 | \$ 10.61 | 16.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 110.37 | \$ 13.42 | 13.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 145.84 | \$ 16.22 | 12.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 181.32 | \$ 19.06 | 11.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 216.78 | \$ 21.84 | 11.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 287.68 | \$ 27.45 | 10.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 358.40 | \$ 33.07 | 10.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 429.08 | \$ 38.68 | 9.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 499.77 | \$ 44.30 | 9.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 570.46 | \$ 49.92 | 9.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 641.15 | \$ 55.53 | 9.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 711.86 | \$ 61.15 | 9.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 782.58 | \$ 66.79 | 9.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 853.26 | \$ 72.40 | 9.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 923.96 | \$ 78.02 | 9.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 994.64 | \$ 83.63 | 9.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 1,065.35 | \$ 89.24 | 9.1% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,136.04 | \$ 94.85 | 9.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,206.72 | \$ 100.47 | 9.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,277.43 | \$ 106.10 | 9.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,348.12 | \$ 111.71 | 9.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,418.81 | \$ 117.32 | 9.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,489.51 | \$ 122.92 | 9.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,560.19 | \$ 128.54 | 9.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,630.91 | \$ 134.16 | 9.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,701.57 | \$ 139.77 | 8.9% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$) (E) | Percent Increase (%) (E) |
| Residential Service - Water Heating (Rate RS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 250 | \$ 34.14 | \$ 39.46 | \$ 5.32 | 15.6% |
| 2 | 0 | 500 | \$ 64.33 | \$ 74.94 | \$ 10.61 | 16.5% |
| 3 | 0 | 750 | \$ 96.95 | \$ 110.37 | \$ 13.42 | 13.8% |
| 4 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ 129.62 | \$ 145.84 | \$ 16.22 | 12.5% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,250 | \$ 162.26 | \$ 181.32 | \$ 19.06 | 11.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ 194.94 | \$ 216.78 | \$ 21.84 | 11.2% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ 260.23 | \$ 287.68 | \$ 27.45 | 10.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 2,500 | \$ 325.33 | \$ 358.40 | \$ 33.07 | 10.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 3,000 | \$ 390.40 | \$ 429.08 | \$ 38.68 | 9.9% |
| 10 | 0 | 3,500 | \$ 455.47 | \$ 499.77 | \$ 44.30 | 9.7% |
| 11 | 0 | 4,000 | \$ 520.54 | \$ 570.46 | \$ 49.92 | 9.6% |
| 12 | 0 | 4,500 | \$ 585.62 | \$ 641.15 | \$ 55.53 | 9.5% |
| 13 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ 650.71 | \$ 711.86 | \$ 61.15 | 9.4% |
| 14 | 0 | 5,500 | \$ 715.79 | \$ 782.58 | \$ 66.79 | 9.3% |
| 15 | 0 | 6,000 | \$ 780.86 | \$ 853.26 | \$ 72.40 | 9.3% |
| 16 | 0 | 6,500 | \$ 845.94 | \$ 923.96 | \$ 78.02 | 9.2% |
| 17 | 0 | 7,000 | \$ 911.01 | \$ 994.64 | \$ 83.63 | 9.2% |
| 18 | 0 | 7,500 | \$ 976.11 | \$ 1,065.35 | \$ 89.24 | 9.1% |
| 19 | 0 | 8,000 | \$ 1,041.19 | \$ 1,136.04 | \$ 94.85 | 9.1% |
| 20 | 0 | 8,500 | \$ 1,106.25 | \$ 1,206.72 | \$ 100.47 | 9.1% |
| 21 | 0 | 9,000 | \$ 1,171.33 | \$ 1,277.43 | \$ 106.10 | 9.1% |
| 22 | 0 | 9,500 | \$ 1,236.41 | \$ 1,348.12 | \$ 111.71 | 9.0% |
| 23 | 0 | 10,000 | \$ 1,301.49 | \$ 1,418.81 | \$ 117.32 | 9.0% |
| 24 | 0 | 10,500 | \$ 1,366.59 | \$ 1,489.51 | \$ 122.92 | 9.0% |
| 25 | 0 | 11,000 | \$ 1,431.65 | \$ 1,560.19 | \$ 128.54 | 9.0% |
| 26 | 0 | 11,500 | \$ 1,496.75 | \$ 1,630.91 | \$ 134.16 | 9.0% |
| 27 | 0 | 12,000 | \$ 1,561.80 | \$ 1,701.57 | \$ 139.77 | 8.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 151.75 | \$ 164.66 | \$ 12.91 | 8.5% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 234.28 | \$ 257.15 | \$ 22.87 | 9.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 316.36 | \$ 349.17 | \$ 32.81 | 10.4% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 398.43 | \$ 441.20 | \$ 42.77 | 10.7% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 480.52 | \$ 533.22 | \$ 52.70 | 11.0% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 562.59 | \$ 625.26 | \$ 62.67 | 11.1% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 17,281.10 | \$ 18,572.67 | \$ 1,291.57 | 7.5% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,432.69 | \$ 27,719.24 | \$ 2,286.55 | 9.0% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 33,584.28 | \$ 36,865.80 | \$ 3,281.52 | 9.8% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 41,735.87 | \$ 46,012.36 | \$ 4,276.49 | 10.2% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 49,887.46 | \$ 55,158.92 | \$ 5,271.46 | 10.6% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 58,039.05 | \$ 64,305.49 | \$ 6,266.44 | 10.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) | Level of Usage (kWH) | Current Winter Bill (\$) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) |
| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,217.92 | \$ 5,985.82 | \$ 767.90 | 14.7% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 9,093.46 | \$ 10,132.42 | \$ 1,038.96 | 11.4% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 12,969.01 | \$ 14,279.02 | \$ 1,310.01 | 10.1% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 16,844.55 | \$ 18,425.61 | \$ 1,581.06 | 9.4% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 20,720.09 | \$ 22,572.20 | \$ 1,852.11 | 8.9% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 24,595.64 | \$ 26,718.81 | \$ 2,123.17 | 8.6% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 50,745.27 | \$ 58,424.30 | \$ 7,679.03 | 15.1% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 89,219.88 | \$ 99,609.43 | \$ 10,389.55 | 11.6% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 127,134.48 | \$ 140,234.57 | \$ 13,100.09 | 10.3% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 165,049.07 | \$ 180,859.67 | \$ 15,810.60 | 9.6% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 202,963.67 | \$ 221,484.80 | \$ 18,521.13 | 9.1% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 240,878.26 | \$ 262,109.92 | \$ 21,231.66 | 8.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 7,835.64 | \$ 9,825.04 | \$ 1,989.40 | 25.4% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 14,801.15 | \$ 17,448.75 | \$ 2,647.60 | 17.9% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 21,766.67 | \$ 25,072.47 | \$ 3,305.80 | 15.2% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 28,732.19 | \$ 32,696.19 | \$ 3,964.00 | 13.8% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 35,697.71 | \$ 40,319.90 | \$ 4,622.19 | 12.9% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 42,663.22 | \$ 47,943.62 | \$ 5,280.40 | 12.4% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 76,191.65 | \$ 96,085.64 | \$ 19,893.99 | 26.1% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 144,165.11 | \$ 170,641.09 | \$ 26,475.98 | 18.4% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 212,138.58 | \$ 245,196.55 | \$ 33,057.97 | 15.6% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 280,112.05 | \$ 319,752.00 | \$ 39,639.95 | 14.2% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 348,085.52 | \$ 394,307.47 | \$ 46,221.95 | 13.3% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 416,058.98 | \$ 468,862.91 | \$ 52,803.93 | 12.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (\$D)-(\$C) (E) | Percent Increase (%)(E)/(\$C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 25,944.24 | \$ 29,940.66 | \$ 3,996.42 | 15.4% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 35,296.17 | \$ 40,815.00 | \$ 5,518.83 | 15.6% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 44,648.09 | \$ 51,689.34 | \$ 7,041.25 | 15.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 54,000.02 | \$ 62,563.68 | \$ 8,563.66 | 15.9% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 63,071.10 | \$ 73,157.18 | \$ 10,086.08 | 16.0% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 72,086.68 | \$ 83,695.18 | \$ 11,608.50 | 16.1% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 254,516.04 | \$ 294,480.19 | \$ 39,964.15 | 15.7% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 344,671.88 | \$ 399,860.19 | \$ 55,188.31 | 16.0% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 434,827.74 | \$ 505,240.20 | \$ 70,412.46 | 16.2% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 524,983.59 | \$ 610,620.20 | \$ 85,636.61 | 16.3% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 615,139.45 | \$ 716,000.21 | \$ 100,860.76 | 16.4% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 705,295.29 | \$ 821,380.21 | \$ 116,084.92 | 16.5% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 12.74 | \$ 0.70 | 5.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.51 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 1.60 | 11.8% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.15 | \$ 16.16 | \$ 2.01 | 14.2% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 16.68 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 3.62 | 21.7% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.10 | \$ 22.61 | \$ 4.51 | 24.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 21.95 | \$ 28.89 | \$ 6.94 | 31.6% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 23.75 | \$ 31.84 | \$ 8.09 | 34.1% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.02 | \$ 13.72 | \$ 0.70 | 5.4% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.49 | \$ 16.09 | \$ 1.60 | 11.0% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.13 | \$ 17.14 | \$ 2.01 | 13.3% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.66 | \$ 21.28 | \$ 3.62 | 20.5% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.08 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 4.51 | 23.6% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 22.93 | \$ 29.87 | \$ 6.94 | 30.3% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 24.73 | \$ 32.82 | \$ 8.09 | 32.7% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.22 | \$ 19.92 | \$ 0.70 | 3.6% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 20.69 | \$ 22.29 | \$ 1.60 | 7.7% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.33 | \$ 23.34 | \$ 2.01 | 9.4% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 23.86 | \$ 27.48 | \$ 3.62 | 15.2% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 25.28 | \$ 29.79 | \$ 4.51 | 17.8% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 29.13 | \$ 36.07 | \$ 6.94 | 23.8% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 30.93 | \$ 39.02 | \$ 8.09 | 26.2% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.45 | \$ 35.82 | \$ 1.37 | 4.0% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.34 | \$ 40.55 | \$ 3.21 | 8.6% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 38.61 | \$ 42.63 | \$ 4.02 | 10.4% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 50.92 | \$ 7.23 | 16.5% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 46.52 | \$ 55.52 | \$ 9.00 | 19.3% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 54.24 | \$ 68.13 | \$ 13.89 | 25.6% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 57.86 | \$ 74.05 | \$ 16.19 | 28.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 18.84 | \$ 20.14 | \$ 1.30 | 6.9% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.07 | \$ 23.77 | \$ 2.70 | 12.8% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 22.91 | \$ 26.80 | \$ 3.89 | 17.0% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.78 | \$ 36.37 | \$ 7.59 | 26.4% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.10 | \$ 18.40 | \$ 1.30 | 7.6% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 22.03 | \$ 2.70 | 14.0% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.17 | \$ 25.06 | \$ 3.89 | 18.4% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 27.04 | \$ 34.63 | \$ 7.59 | 28.1% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 24.65 | \$ 27.23 | \$ 2.58 | 10.5% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 29.10 | \$ 34.50 | \$ 5.40 | 18.6% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 32.84 | \$ 40.60 | \$ 7.76 | 23.6% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 44.55 | \$ 59.69 | \$ 15.14 | 34.0% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.11 | \$ 11.08 | \$ 1.97 | 21.6% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.28 | \$ 14.26 | \$ 2.98 | 26.4% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 15.43 | \$ 19.97 | \$ 4.54 | 29.4% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 26.44 | \$ 34.67 | \$ 8.23 | 31.1% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 44.02 | \$ 10.90 | 32.9% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.39 | \$ 13.36 | \$ 1.97 | 17.3% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.40 | \$ 16.38 | \$ 2.98 | 22.2% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 18.13 | \$ 22.67 | \$ 4.54 | 25.0% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 29.35 | \$ 37.58 | \$ 8.23 | 28.0% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 36.09 | \$ 46.99 | \$ 10.90 | 30.2% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 17.08 | \$ 1.97 | 13.0% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.24 | \$ 20.22 | \$ 2.98 | 17.3% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 21.76 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 4.54 | 20.9% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 31.21 | \$ 39.44 | \$ 8.23 | 26.4% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 37.73 | \$ 48.63 | \$ 10.90 | 28.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 16.43 | \$ 20.40 | \$ 3.97 | 24.2% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 28.90 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 9.06 | 31.3% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 31.56 | \$ 40.62 | \$ 9.06 | 28.7% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 26.96 | \$ 32.94 | \$ 5.98 | 22.2% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 34.83 | \$ 43.89 | \$ 9.06 | 26.0% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.06 | \$ 12.27 | \$ 1.21 | 10.9% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.19 | \$ 14.97 | \$ 1.78 | 13.5% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.21 | \$ 19.73 | \$ 2.52 | 14.6% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 18.15 | \$ 3.01 | 19.9% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 25.68 | \$ 4.68 | 22.3% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 12.91 | \$ 14.12 | \$ 1.21 | 9.4% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.51 | \$ 16.29 | \$ 1.78 | 12.3% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.33 | \$ 21.85 | \$ 2.52 | 13.0% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.11 | \$ 22.12 | \$ 3.01 | 15.8% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 24.43 | \$ 29.11 | \$ 4.68 | 19.2% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.66 | \$ 17.87 | \$ 1.21 | 7.3% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 27.96 | \$ 29.17 | \$ 1.21 | 4.3% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 17.30 | \$ 1.78 | 11.5% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 25.80 | \$ 2.52 | 10.8% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 20.81 | \$ 23.82 | \$ 3.01 | 14.5% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 35.83 | \$ 38.84 | \$ 3.01 | 8.4% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.16 | \$ 29.84 | \$ 4.68 | 18.6% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.68 | \$ 47.40 | \$ 0.72 | 1.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Street Lighting Service (Rate STL)

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 21.68 | \$ 24.08 | \$ 2.40 | 11.1% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 28.05 | \$ 3.56 | 14.5% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 30.45 | \$ 36.48 | \$ 6.03 | 19.8% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.57 | \$ 24.97 | \$ 2.40 | 10.6% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 24.93 | \$ 28.49 | \$ 3.56 | 14.3% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.86 | \$ 37.89 | \$ 6.03 | 18.9% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 29.21 | \$ 2.40 | 9.0% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 31.61 | \$ 35.17 | \$ 3.56 | 11.3% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 38.11 | \$ 44.14 | \$ 6.03 | 15.8% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 37.88 | \$ 47.23 | \$ 9.35 | 24.7% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.86 | \$ 0.72 | 63.2% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 3.68 | \$ 1.43 | 63.6% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 6.67 | \$ 2.16 | 47.9% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.14 | \$ 10.01 | \$ 2.87 | 40.2% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 12.51 | \$ 3.60 | 40.4% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 12.66 | \$ 16.96 | \$ 4.30 | 34.0% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 15.22 | \$ 20.25 | \$ 5.03 | 33.0% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 20.30 | \$ 26.05 | \$ 5.75 | 28.3% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 23.28 | \$ 29.74 | \$ 6.46 | 27.7% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 11.34 | \$ 18.52 | \$ 7.18 | 63.3% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 13.58 | \$ 21.47 | \$ 7.89 | 58.1% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 16.23 | \$ 24.85 | \$ 8.62 | 53.1% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 17.99 | \$ 27.32 | \$ 9.33 | 51.9% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 21.73 | \$ 31.78 | \$ 10.05 | 46.2% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 24.31 | \$ 35.07 | \$ 10.76 | 44.3% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 29.39 | \$ 40.86 | \$ 11.47 | 39.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.11 | \$ 10.89 | \$ (0.22) | -2.0% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 28.76 | \$ 28.29 | \$ (0.47) | -1.6% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 51.38 | \$ 50.23 | \$ (1.15) | -2.2% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 17.70 | \$ 17.48 | \$ (0.22) | -1.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.14 | \$ 14.87 | \$ (0.27) | -1.8% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 27.25 | \$ 26.75 | \$ (0.50) | -1.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2011 vs. 2012)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Winter Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Winter Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 10.46 | \$ 1.65 | 18.7% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 17.63 | \$ 20.93 | \$ 3.30 | 18.7% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 26.46 | \$ 31.41 | \$ 4.95 | 18.7% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 35.28 | \$ 41.86 | \$ 6.58 | 18.7% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 44.10 | \$ 52.33 | \$ 8.23 | 18.7% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 52.90 | \$ 62.79 | \$ 9.89 | 18.7% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Secondary (Rate GS) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ 161.00 | \$ 176.17 | \$ 15.17 | 9.4% |
| 2 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ 252.78 | \$ 280.19 | \$ 27.41 | 10.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ 344.10 | \$ 383.72 | \$ 39.62 | 11.5% |
| 4 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ 435.42 | \$ 487.27 | \$ 51.85 | 11.9% |
| 5 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ 526.75 | \$ 590.81 | \$ 64.06 | 12.2% |
| 6 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ 618.08 | \$ 694.36 | \$ 76.28 | 12.3% |
| 7 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 18,205.90 | \$ 19,724.37 | \$ 1,518.47 | 8.3% |
| 8 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,282.29 | \$ 30,022.64 | \$ 2,740.35 | 10.0% |
| 9 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 36,358.68 | \$ 40,320.90 | \$ 3,962.22 | 10.9% |
| 10 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 45,435.07 | \$ 50,619.16 | \$ 5,184.09 | 11.4% |
| 11 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 54,511.46 | \$ 60,917.42 | \$ 6,405.96 | 11.8% |
| 12 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 63,587.85 | \$ 71,215.69 | \$ 7,627.84 | 12.0% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Primary (Rate GP) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ 5,648.17 | \$ 6,541.67 | \$ 893.50 | 15.8% |
| 2 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ 10,031.46 | \$ 11,244.12 | \$ 1,212.66 | 12.1% |
| 3 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ 14,414.76 | \$ 15,946.57 | \$ 1,531.81 | 10.6% |
| 4 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ 18,798.05 | \$ 20,649.01 | \$ 1,850.96 | 9.8% |
| 5 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ 23,181.34 | \$ 25,351.45 | \$ 2,170.11 | 9.4% |
| 6 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ 27,564.64 | \$ 30,053.91 | \$ 2,489.27 | 9.0% |
| 7 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ 55,047.77 | \$ 63,982.80 | \$ 8,935.03 | 16.2% |
| 8 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 98,599.88 | \$ 110,726.43 | \$ 12,126.55 | 12.3% |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ 141,591.98 | \$ 156,910.07 | \$ 15,318.09 | 10.8% |
| 10 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 184,584.07 | \$ 203,093.67 | \$ 18,509.60 | 10.0% |
| 11 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ 227,576.17 | \$ 249,277.30 | \$ 21,701.13 | 9.5% |
| 12 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 270,568.26 | \$ 295,460.92 | \$ 24,892.66 | 9.2% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ 8,773.54 | \$ 10,905.54 | \$ 2,132.00 | 24.3% |
| 2 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ 16,725.95 | \$ 19,609.75 | \$ 2,883.80 | 17.2% |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ 24,678.37 | \$ 28,313.97 | \$ 3,635.60 | 14.7% |
| 4 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ 32,630.79 | \$ 37,018.19 | \$ 4,387.40 | 13.4% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ 40,583.21 | \$ 45,722.40 | \$ 5,139.19 | 12.7% |
| 6 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ 48,535.62 | \$ 54,426.62 | \$ 5,891.00 | 12.1% |
| 7 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 85,570.65 | \$ 106,890.64 | \$ 21,319.99 | 24.9% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 163,413.11 | \$ 192,251.09 | \$ 28,837.98 | 17.6% |
| 9 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ 241,255.58 | \$ 277,611.55 | \$ 36,355.97 | 15.1% |
| 10 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 319,098.05 | \$ 362,972.00 | \$ 43,873.95 | 13.7% |
| 11 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ 396,940.52 | \$ 448,332.47 | \$ 51,391.95 | 12.9% |
| 12 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 474,782.98 | \$ 533,692.91 | \$ 58,909.93 | 12.4% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| General Service Transmission (Rate GT) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ 27,890.04 | \$ 32,099.46 | \$ 4,209.42 | 15.1% |
| 2 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ 39,213.77 | \$ 45,132.60 | \$ 5,918.83 | 15.1% |
| 3 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ 50,537.49 | \$ 58,165.74 | \$ 7,628.25 | 15.1% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ 61,861.22 | \$ 71,198.88 | \$ 9,337.66 | 15.1% |
| 5 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ 72,904.10 | \$ 83,951.18 | \$ 11,047.08 | 15.2% |
| 6 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ 83,891.48 | \$ 96,647.98 | \$ 12,756.50 | 15.2% |
| 7 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ 273,974.04 | \$ 316,068.19 | \$ 42,094.15 | 15.4% |
| 8 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ 383,847.88 | \$ 443,036.19 | \$ 59,188.31 | 15.4% |
| 9 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ 493,721.74 | \$ 570,004.20 | \$ 76,282.46 | 15.5% |
| 10 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ 603,595.59 | \$ 696,972.20 | \$ 93,376.61 | 15.5% |
| 11 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ 713,469.45 | \$ 823,940.21 | \$ 110,470.76 | 15.5% |
| 12 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ 823,343.29 | \$ 950,908.21 | \$ 127,564.92 | 15.5% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 12.18 | \$ 13.01 | \$ 0.83 | 6.8% |
| 4 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 13.82 | \$ 15.76 | \$ 1.94 | 14.0% |
| 5 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 14.54 | \$ 16.96 | \$ 2.42 | 16.6% |
| 6 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 17.39 | \$ 21.75 | \$ 4.36 | 25.1% |
| 7 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 18.97 | \$ 24.42 | \$ 5.45 | 28.7% |
| 8 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 23.29 | \$ 31.67 | \$ 8.38 | 36.0% |
| 9 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 25.32 | \$ 35.08 | \$ 9.76 | 38.5% |
| 10 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 11 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 13.16 | \$ 13.99 | \$ 0.83 | 6.3% |
| 12 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 16.74 | \$ 1.94 | 13.1% |
| 13 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 15.52 | \$ 17.94 | \$ 2.42 | 15.6% |
| 14 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 18.37 | \$ 22.73 | \$ 4.36 | 23.7% |
| 15 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 19.95 | \$ 25.40 | \$ 5.45 | 27.3% |
| 16 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 24.27 | \$ 32.65 | \$ 8.38 | 34.5% |
| 17 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 26.30 | \$ 36.06 | \$ 9.76 | 37.1% |
| 18 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 19 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 20.19 | \$ 0.83 | 4.3% |
| 20 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 22.94 | \$ 1.94 | 9.2% |
| 21 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ 21.72 | \$ 24.14 | \$ 2.42 | 11.1% |
| 22 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ 24.57 | \$ 28.93 | \$ 4.36 | 17.7% |
| 23 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ 26.15 | \$ 31.60 | \$ 5.45 | 20.8% |
| 24 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ 30.47 | \$ 38.85 | \$ 8.38 | 27.5% |
| 25 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 42.26 | \$ 9.76 | 30.0% |
| 26 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 27 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 36.37 | \$ 1.66 | 4.8% |
| 28 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ 37.96 | \$ 41.84 | \$ 3.88 | 10.2% |
| 29 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 44.25 | \$ 4.86 | 12.3% |
| 30 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ 45.09 | \$ 53.82 | \$ 8.73 | 19.4% |
| 31 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ 48.26 | \$ 59.14 | \$ 10.88 | 22.5% |
| 32 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ 56.94 | \$ 73.71 | \$ 16.77 | 29.5% |
| 33 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ 60.99 | \$ 80.55 | \$ 19.56 | 32.1% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 34 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) | | | | | |
| 35 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 36 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 19.09 | \$ 20.65 | \$ 1.56 | 8.2% |
| 37 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 21.59 | \$ 24.85 | \$ 3.26 | 15.1% |
| 38 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 23.66 | \$ 28.35 | \$ 4.69 | 19.8% |
| 39 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 30.25 | \$ 39.41 | \$ 9.16 | 30.3% |
| 40 | Underground Service (Single lamps) | | | | | |
| 41 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ 17.35 | \$ 18.91 | \$ 1.56 | 9.0% |
| 42 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ 19.85 | \$ 23.11 | \$ 3.26 | 16.4% |
| 43 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ 21.92 | \$ 26.61 | \$ 4.69 | 21.4% |
| 44 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ 28.51 | \$ 37.67 | \$ 9.16 | 32.1% |
| 45 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) | | | | | |
| 46 | 6,000 | 90 | \$ 25.15 | \$ 28.27 | \$ 3.12 | 12.4% |
| 47 | 13,800 | 188 | \$ 30.15 | \$ 36.67 | \$ 6.52 | 21.6% |
| 48 | 21,800 | 270 | \$ 34.34 | \$ 43.71 | \$ 9.37 | 27.3% |
| 49 | 43,600 | 528 | \$ 47.48 | \$ 65.77 | \$ 18.29 | 38.5% |
| 50 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Single lamp (c) | | | | | |
| 51 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 52 | 175 | 69 | \$ 9.50 | \$ 11.88 | \$ 2.38 | 25.1% |
| 53 | 250 | 104 | \$ 11.85 | \$ 15.46 | \$ 3.61 | 30.5% |
| 54 | 400 | 158 | \$ 16.31 | \$ 21.79 | \$ 5.48 | 33.6% |
| 55 | 700 | 287 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 37.98 | \$ 9.94 | 35.4% |
| 56 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 35.24 | \$ 48.40 | \$ 13.16 | 37.3% |
| 57 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 58 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.78 | \$ 14.16 | \$ 2.38 | 20.2% |
| 59 | 250 | 104 | \$ 13.97 | \$ 17.58 | \$ 3.61 | 25.8% |
| 60 | 400 | 158 | \$ 19.01 | \$ 24.49 | \$ 5.48 | 28.8% |
| 61 | 700 | 287 | \$ 30.95 | \$ 40.89 | \$ 9.94 | 32.1% |
| 62 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 38.21 | \$ 51.37 | \$ 13.16 | 34.4% |
| 63 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 64 | 175 | 69 | \$ 15.50 | \$ 17.88 | \$ 2.38 | 15.4% |
| 65 | 250 | 104 | \$ 17.81 | \$ 21.42 | \$ 3.61 | 20.3% |
| 66 | 400 | 158 | \$ 22.64 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 5.48 | 24.2% |
| 67 | 700 | 287 | \$ 32.81 | \$ 42.75 | \$ 9.94 | 30.3% |
| 68 | 1000 | 380 | \$ 39.85 | \$ 53.01 | \$ 13.16 | 33.0% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 69 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting - Dual lamps (c) | | | | | |
| 70 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 71 | 175 | 138 | \$ 17.20 | \$ 21.99 | \$ 4.79 | 27.8% |
| 72 | 400 | 316 | \$ 30.66 | \$ 41.60 | \$ 10.94 | 35.7% |
| 73 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 74 | 400 | 316 | \$ 33.32 | \$ 44.26 | \$ 10.94 | 32.8% |
| 75 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 76 | 250 | 208 | \$ 28.12 | \$ 35.33 | \$ 7.21 | 25.6% |
| 77 | 400 | 316 | \$ 36.59 | \$ 47.53 | \$ 10.94 | 29.9% |
| 78 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Single lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 79 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 80 | 100 | 42 | \$ 11.29 | \$ 12.75 | \$ 1.46 | 12.9% |
| 81 | 150 | 62 | \$ 13.53 | \$ 15.69 | \$ 2.16 | 16.0% |
| 82 | 200 | 88 | \$ 17.69 | \$ 20.74 | \$ 3.05 | 17.2% |
| 83 | 250 | 105 | \$ 15.72 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 3.64 | 23.2% |
| 84 | 400 | 163 | \$ 21.90 | \$ 27.56 | \$ 5.66 | 25.8% |
| 85 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 86 | 100 | 42 | \$ 13.14 | \$ 14.60 | \$ 1.46 | 11.1% |
| 87 | 150 | 62 | \$ 14.85 | \$ 17.01 | \$ 2.16 | 14.5% |
| 88 | 200 | 88 | \$ 19.81 | \$ 22.86 | \$ 3.05 | 15.4% |
| 89 | 250 | 105 | \$ 19.69 | \$ 23.33 | \$ 3.64 | 18.5% |
| 90 | 400 | 163 | \$ 25.33 | \$ 30.99 | \$ 5.66 | 22.3% |
| 91 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 92 | 100 | 42 | \$ 16.89 | \$ 18.35 | \$ 1.46 | 8.6% |
| 93 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ 28.19 | \$ 29.65 | \$ 1.46 | 5.2% |
| 94 | 150 | 62 | \$ 15.86 | \$ 18.02 | \$ 2.16 | 13.6% |
| 95 | 200 | 88 | \$ 23.76 | \$ 26.81 | \$ 3.05 | 12.8% |
| 96 | 250 | 105 | \$ 21.39 | \$ 25.03 | \$ 3.64 | 17.0% |
| 97 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ 36.41 | \$ 40.05 | \$ 3.64 | 10.0% |
| 98 | 400 | 163 | \$ 26.06 | \$ 31.72 | \$ 5.66 | 21.7% |
| 99 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ 46.82 | \$ 47.69 | \$ 0.87 | 1.9% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) | | | | | | |
| 100 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) | | | | | |
| 101 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 102 | 100 | 84 | \$ 22.14 | \$ 25.05 | \$ 2.91 | 13.1% |
| 103 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.18 | \$ 29.48 | \$ 4.30 | 17.1% |
| 104 | 250 | 210 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 38.90 | \$ 7.28 | 23.0% |
| 105 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole | | | | | |
| 106 | 100 | 84 | \$ 23.03 | \$ 25.94 | \$ 2.91 | 12.6% |
| 107 | 150 | 124 | \$ 25.62 | \$ 29.92 | \$ 4.30 | 16.8% |
| 108 | 250 | 210 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 40.31 | \$ 7.28 | 22.0% |
| 109 | Underground Service | | | | | |
| 110 | 100 | 84 | \$ 27.27 | \$ 30.18 | \$ 2.91 | 10.7% |
| 111 | 150 | 124 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 36.60 | \$ 4.30 | 13.3% |
| 112 | 250 | 210 | \$ 39.28 | \$ 46.56 | \$ 7.28 | 18.5% |
| 113 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ 39.69 | \$ 50.98 | \$ 11.29 | 28.4% |
| 114 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types | | | | | |
| 115 | N/A | 25 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 2.15 | \$ 0.87 | 68.0% |
| 116 | N/A | 50 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 4.26 | \$ 1.73 | 68.4% |
| 117 | N/A | 75 | \$ 4.93 | \$ 7.54 | \$ 2.61 | 52.9% |
| 118 | N/A | 100 | \$ 7.70 | \$ 11.17 | \$ 3.47 | 45.1% |
| 119 | N/A | 125 | \$ 9.61 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 4.34 | 45.2% |
| 120 | N/A | 150 | \$ 13.50 | \$ 18.68 | \$ 5.18 | 38.4% |
| 121 | N/A | 175 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 22.26 | \$ 6.07 | 37.5% |
| 122 | N/A | 200 | \$ 21.41 | \$ 28.35 | \$ 6.94 | 32.4% |
| 123 | N/A | 225 | \$ 24.52 | \$ 32.33 | \$ 7.81 | 31.9% |
| 124 | N/A | 250 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 21.40 | \$ 8.67 | 68.1% |
| 125 | N/A | 275 | \$ 15.11 | \$ 24.64 | \$ 9.53 | 63.1% |
| 126 | N/A | 300 | \$ 17.90 | \$ 28.31 | \$ 10.41 | 58.2% |
| 127 | N/A | 325 | \$ 19.80 | \$ 31.07 | \$ 11.27 | 56.9% |
| 128 | N/A | 350 | \$ 23.68 | \$ 35.81 | \$ 12.13 | 51.2% |
| 129 | N/A | 375 | \$ 26.40 | \$ 39.39 | \$ 12.99 | 49.2% |
| 130 | N/A | 400 | \$ 31.62 | \$ 45.47 | \$ 13.85 | 43.8% |

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Bulb Rating (Lumens or Watts) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)

| | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----|----------|----------|---------|------|
| 1 | Mercury Lighting | | | | | |
| 2 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 3 | 175 | 69 | \$ 11.48 | \$ 11.69 | \$ 0.21 | 1.8% |
| 4 | 400 | 158 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 30.11 | \$ 0.51 | 1.7% |
| 5 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ 53.41 | \$ 54.61 | \$ 1.20 | 2.2% |
| 6 | All Other Installations | | | | | |
| 7 | 175 | 69 | \$ 18.07 | \$ 18.28 | \$ 0.21 | 1.2% |
| 8 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting | | | | | |
| 9 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole | | | | | |
| 10 | 200 | 88 | \$ 15.60 | \$ 15.88 | \$ 0.28 | 1.8% |
| 11 | 400 | 163 | \$ 28.11 | \$ 28.63 | \$ 0.52 | 1.8% |

Adjusted LTG Caps

New Allocation of Capacity for LTG

The Toledo Edison Company
Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Typical Bills - Comparison (2010 vs. 2011)

| Bill Data | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) | Current Summer Bill (\$) (C) | Proposed Summer Bill (\$) (D) | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |

Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF)

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0 | 100 | \$ 9.82 | \$ 11.62 | \$ 1.80 | 18.3% |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | \$ 19.62 | \$ 23.23 | \$ 3.61 | 18.4% |
| 3 | 0 | 300 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 34.87 | \$ 5.41 | 18.4% |
| 4 | 0 | 400 | \$ 39.27 | \$ 46.47 | \$ 7.20 | 18.3% |
| 5 | 0 | 500 | \$ 49.09 | \$ 58.09 | \$ 9.00 | 18.3% |
| 6 | 0 | 600 | \$ 58.89 | \$ 69.71 | \$ 10.82 | 18.4% |

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/10/2010 2:06:21 PM

in

Case No(s). 10-0388-EL-SSO

Summary: Testimony of Robert Fortney with additional information electronically filed by Ms. Cora g Peterson on behalf of Public Utilities Commission of Ohio