

BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2010 MAY 6 PM 12:34

In the Matter of the Complaint of  
Ronald J. Tanski

Complainant,

v.

Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.,

Respondent.

PUCO

Case No. 10-291-GA-CSS

ANSWER  
OF COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.

Now comes the Respondent, Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. ("Columbia"), and files its Answer to the amended Complaint filed herein on April 16, 2010.

1. Columbia generally denies all of the allegations contained in the amended Complaint.

**Affirmative Defenses**

2. Columbia avers that the Complainant has failed to state reasonable grounds for a complaint against Columbia as required by Ohio Revised Code § 4905.26.
3. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") lacks jurisdiction over this matter.
4. Columbia avers that it has complied with all applicable Ohio statutes, the Commission's rules and regulations and Columbia's tariff.

Respectfully submitted by,

**COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.**

  
Brooke E. Leslie, Trial Attorney

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing *Answer of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.*, by ordinary U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to Ronald J. Tanski, 3590 Turnberry Drive, Medina, OH 44256, this 6th day of May, 2010.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brooke E. Leslie", written over a horizontal line.

Brooke E. Leslie

**Attorney for**

**COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.**