```
1
        BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
    In the Matter of:
                               : Case No. 08-940-GA-ALT
4
    In the Matter of the
    Application of Eastern
5
    Natural Gas Company for
    Approval of an Alternative:
6
    Rate Plan Proposing a
    Revenue Decoupling
7
    Mechanism.
8
    In the Matter of:
9
                               : Case No. 08-941-GA-ALT
10
    In the Matter of the
    Application of Pike
11
    Natural Gas Company for
    Approval of an Alternative:
12
    Rate Plan Proposing a
    Revenue Decoupling
13
    Mechanism.
14
15
                          PROCEEDINGS
16
    before Mr. Henry H. Phillips-Gary, Attorney Examiner,
17
    at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East
18
    Broad Street, Room 11-B, Columbus, Ohio, called at
19
    10:00 a.m. on Thursday, April 22, 2010.
20
21
22
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
               222 East Town Street, Second Floor
23
                   Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
24
                      Fax - (614) 224 - 5724
25
```

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP By Mr. Stephen M. Howard 52 East Gay Street Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008	
4	On behalf of the Companies	
5	Mr. David C. Rinebolt	
6 7	and Ms. Colleen Mooney 231 East Lima Street	
8	P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793	
9	On behalf of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy.	
-0	Janine L. Migden-Ostrander Ohio Consumers' Counsel	
.1	By Mr. Joseph P. Serio and Mr. Larry S. Sauer	
.2	10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485	
13	On behalf of the Residential Consumers of the Eastern Natural Gas	
15	Company and Pike Natural Gas Company.	
16	Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney General Duane W. Luckey, Senior Deputy	
.7	Attorney General Public Utilities Section By Mr. Werner L. Margard III	
8 .	180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793	
_9	On behalf of the Staff of the Public	
20	Utilities Commission.	
21		
22		
24		
25		

In Re: Proceedings

				3
1	INDEX			
2				
3				
4	WITNESS	PA	AGE	
5	Stephen E. Puican			
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Margard		9	
7				
8	EXHIBITS			
9	JOINT EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD	
10	1 Joint Stipulation and Recommendation	7	9	
11	EASTERN EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD	
12	1 Second Amended Application	7	9	
13	2 Letters to Mayor and City Council	7	9	
14 15	3 Prefiled Direct Testimony of Brian R. Jonard	7	9	
16	4 Proof of Publication, 7/9/09	7	9	
1,7	PIKE EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD	
18	1 Second Amended Application	8	9	
19	2 Letters to Mayor and City Council	8	9	
20	3 Prefiled Direct Testimony of Brian R. Jonard	8	9	
21	4 Proof of Publication, 7/9/09	8	9	
23	STAFF EXHIBITS	IDFD ADMTD		
2425	1 Prefiled Direct Testimony of Stephen E. Puican	10	12	

i			
			4
1	STAFF EXHIBITS IDF	O ADMTD	
2	2 Staff Report Eastern Natural Gas 1:	1 12	
3	3 Staff Report Eastern Natural Gas 1:	1 12	
4	OCC EXHIBITS IDF	O ADMTD	
5	1 Objections to Eastern Staff Report 13	3 14	
6	2 Objections to Pike Staff Report 13	3 14	
7	3 Letter from S. Howard, 3/22/10 13	3 14	
8	OPAE EXHIBITS IDF	O ADMTD	
9	1 Objections to Eastern Staff Report 1.	5 16	
10	2 Objections to Pike Staff Report 1.	5 16	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Thursday Morning Session,
April 22, 2010.

- - -

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public

Utilities Commission of Ohio has called for hearing
at this time and place Case No. 08-940-GA-ALT, being
In the Matter of the Application of Eastern Natural
Gas Company for Approval of an Alternative Rate Plan
Proposing a Revenue Decoupling Mechanism, and Case
No. 08-941-GA-ALT, being In the Matter of the
Application of Pike Natural Gas Company for Approval
of an Alternative Rate Plan Proposing a Revenue
Decoupling Mechanism.

My name is Henry H. Phillips-Gary. I am the attorney-examiner assigned to hear this case. At this time we will take appearances on behalf of the parties.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, your Honor. If it please the Commission, please have the record reflect the appearance of the law firm of Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease by M. Howard Petricoff, Stephen M. Howard, 52 East Gay Street, P. O. Box 1008, Columbus, Ohio 43216-2008, on behalf of Applicants, Eastern Natural Gas Company and Pike Natural Gas Company. Thank you.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, on behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities Commission, Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney General, Duane W. Luckey, Section Chief, Public Utilities Section, by Assistant Attorney General Werner L. Margard, 180 East Broad Street, Sixth Floor, Columbus, Ohio.

MS. MOONEY: On behalf of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy, David C. Rinebolt and Colleen M. Mooney, 231 East Lima Street, P.O. Box 1793, Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793.

MR. SAUER: Thank you, your Honor.

On behalf of the residential consumers of Eastern

Natural Gas and Pike Natural Gas Companies, Janine

Migden Ostrander, Consumers' Counsel, Larry S. Sauer

and Joseph P. Serio, 10 West Broad Street, Columbus,

Ohio 43215.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. I understand that the parties are ready to make a statement at this point and that a stipulation has been docketed in this matter. Is that correct?

MR. HOWARD: Yes, Your Honor. The applicants, the staff, and Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy have reached a Stipulation and have signed a document entitled Joint Stipulation and

Recommendation, and that document was filed on March 19, 2010.

My understanding that Mr. Sauer on behalf of the Office of Consumers' Counsel neither opposes nor supports the stipulation, and we would be willing or prepared to have certain exhibits marked in support of that stipulation.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very well. If you want to go ahead and mark the exhibits, we will take them.

MR. HOWARD: Yes, your Honor. First of all, I'd like to mark as Joint Exhibit No. 1 in both cases, that is, 08-940 and 08-941, the March 19, 2010 Joint Stipulation and Recommendation.

Then I would like to have the following exhibits marked. In case No. 08-940, Eastern Exhibit No. 1 would be the May 1, 2009 Second Amended Application and Exhibits.

Eastern Exhibit 2 we propose to be the May 22, 2009 series of letters to mayors and city council members.

Eastern Exhibit No. 3 would be the June 22, 2009 Prefiled Direct Testimony of Brian R. Jonard.

And Eastern Exhibit No. 4 would be the

July 9, 2009 Proof of Publication.

2.3

Then also in Case No. 08-941 we would propose that Pike Exhibit No. 1 be the May 1, 2009 Second Amended Application and Exhibits.

We would propose that Pike Exhibit No. 2 be the May 28, 2009 letters to mayors and city council members.

Pike Exhibit No. 3 would be the June 22, 2009 Prefiled Direct Testimony of Brian R. Jonard.

And Pike Exhibit No. 4 would be the July 30, 2009 Proof of Publication.

And that would conclude our tendering of exhibits and we would ask those to be marked and introduced into evidence.

Thank you.

exhibits will be marked as stated. That's Joint Exhibit 1 in both cases, the Joint Stipulation March 19, 2009 and Eastern Exhibits 1 through 4 in case 08-940 and Pike Exhibits 1 through 4 in case 08-941. Those are all marked and moved for admission.

MR. HOWARD: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is there any objection to any of these exhibits?

document marked for purposes of identification as Staff Exhibit No. 1, your prefiled testimony filed in this matter docketed on April 19, 2010?

A. Yes.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, for the record

I will note the cover for his testimony indicates the
witness is the Capital Recovery and Financial
Analysis Division. That is an error on the part of
his counsel. In fact, Mr. Puican is in the Rates and
Tariffs, Energy and Water Division of the Public
Utilities Department.

- Q. Mr. Puican was this document prepared by you or at your direction?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And in the course of preparation of this document, did you have an opportunity to review the stipulation that has been marked as Joint Exhibit No. 1 in this case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the answers that are contained in the document are in response to a review of that document?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And if I were to ask you these same questions today, would your responses be the same?

A. Yes.

- Q. Mr. Puican, let me also ask you if you had any involvement in the preparation of the staff reports that were filed in this case?
- A. I was the primary author of those staff reports.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I would respectfully request that the Staff Report of Investigation in the Eastern Natural Gas Company Case No. 08-940-GA-ALT be marked for purposes of identification as Staff Exhibit No. 2.

And the Staff Report of Investigation in the Pike Natural Gas Company Case No. 08-941-GA-ALT be marked for purposes of identification as Staff Exhibit No. 3.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: They will be so marked.

- Q. Do you have any corrections or any changes to your testimony as it's been prepared and filed?
 - A. No, I don't.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I don't have any further questions for Mr. Puican and tender him for purposes of cross-examination, if any.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank

1 you. 2 Mr. Howard, do you have any questions? 3 MR. HOWARD: No questions, your Honor, 4 thank you. 5 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Ms. Mooney. 6 MS. MOONEY: No questions, your Honor. 7 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Sauer. 8 MR. SAUER: No questions, Your Honor. 9 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Bench has no 10 questions. 11 MR. MARGARD: I would respectfully move 12 for the admission of Staff Exhibits Nos. 1, 2, and 3. 13 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Staff Exhibit 14 1 is the Prefiled Testimony of Mr. Puican, and Staff 15 Exhibits 2 and 3 are the Staff Reports filed in each 16 of the respective cases. They are moved for 17 admission. Any objections? 18 MR. HOWARD: No objections, your Honor. 19 MR. SAUER: No objection. 20 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Seeing no 21 objection, they will be admitted. 22 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 23 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The witness may 24 be excused. 25 Are there any other exhibits that any

party wish to submit?

MR. SAUER: Thank you, your Honor. I previously marked and presented to the court reporter what we like to be called OCC Exhibit No. 1, which were the objections to the Staff Report that OCC filed in Case No. 08-940-GA-ALT on July 24, 2009.

OCC Exhibit No. 2 is the objections to the PUCO Staff Report of Investigation issues filed in Case No. 08-941-GA-ALT.

And also marked as OCC Exhibit No. 3 is a March 22, 2010 letter from Mr. Howard to myself. I would like those three exhibits to be admitted on the record.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. So OCC has marked OCC Exhibit 1, which is OCC's objections to the Staff Report in Case 08-940, OCC Exhibit 2, which is OCC's objections to the Staff Report in case 08-941, and OCC Exhibit 3, which is a March 22 letter from Mr. Howard to Mr. Sauer.

MR. SAUER: That's correct, your Honor.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: OCC has moved for admission of those three exhibits. Are there any objections to admission of those exhibits?

MR. HOWARD: We have no objections. May I maybe just ask Mr. Sauer just to clarify his

position that in fact that Office of Consumers'
Counsel neither objects to nor supports the
stipulation.

MR. SAUER: Yes. In this case the OCC has not signed the Stipulation. We do not support and do not oppose the Stipulation filed as Joint Exhibit No. 1 in those cases.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you. With that the applicant would have no objection to the admission of OCC Exhibits 1, 2, and 3.

MR. MARGARD: Staff likewise has no objections to their admission.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MS. MOONEY: Your Honor, OPAE also filed objections to the Staff Report, and I don't really know if we need to have them marked as OPAE Exhibit 1 and 2 and admitted into the record. We are a signatory party of the stipulation. I didn't bring them with me. We did file them. Did you want to marked them as exhibits?

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I would like to have them as part of the record. If you would like to move for the admission of those, you can certainly do that. You don't necessarily need to provide me copies.

MS. MOONEY: I would like to have marked as OPAE Objections to the Staff Report, which I think might be one document. I can't remember.

MR. SAUER: I have copies if you want them.

MS. MOONEY: May I have just a moment to look at them.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure. Take as much time as you need to.

(Discussion off record.)

MS. MOONEY: Your Honor, I would like to have marked as OPAE Exhibit 1 the Objections to the Staff Report of Investigation in Eastern Natural Gas by Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy docketed on July 22, 2009.

And OPAE Exhibit 2 which would be the Objections to the Staff Report of Investigation in Pike Natural Gas, which was docketed on July 23, 2009.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: OPAE has marked for identification purposes OPAE Exhibit No. 1, which is OPAE's Objections to the Staff Report to 08-940, and OPAE Exhibit No. 2, the Objections to the Staff Report in 08-941.

MS. MOONEY: I would move for admission

1 of OPAE Exhibits 1 and 2. 2 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Are there any 3 objections to these exhibits? MR. HOWARD: No, your Honor. 5 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Seeing no 6 objections, they will be admitted. 7 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 8 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Are there any 9 other matters with respect to this case? 10 MR. HOWARD: No other exhibits, your 11 Honor. We would ask since there's a Stipulation 12 that's not opposed and the Stipulation contemplates 13 that tariffs go in effect by the 1st of June, we 14 would ask the Commission to issue an expedient order sometime prior to June 1. 15 16 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We will certainly 17 strive to do that. 18 If there are no other matters before us, 19 we are adjourned. 20 MR. HOWARD: Thank you, your Honor. 21 (The hearing adjourned at 10:34 a.m.) 22 23 24

25

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, April 22, 2010, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Rosemary Foster Anderson, Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for

My commission expires April 5, 2014.

(RFA-8433)

the State of Ohio.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/6/2010 10:54:06 AM

in

Case No(s). 08-0940-GA-ALT, 08-0941-GA-ALT

Summary: Transcript Transcript of Eastern Natural Gas and Pike Natural Gas hearing held on 04/22/10. electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Anderson, Rosemary Foster Mrs.