



## VALLOUREC & MANNESMANN TUBES

May 3, 2010

Dr. Alan Schriber, Ph.D. Chairman Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 12th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

> PUCO Case No. 10-0388-EL-SSO RE:

Dear Chairman Schriber:

V&M STAR is a member of the Industrial Energy Users-Ohio ("IEU-Ohio") and we have been following the Electric Security Plan ("ESP") process, which is connected to the Market Rate Offer ("MRO") process initiated last October and based on the current ESP that the PUCO approved about one year ago. Joel Mastervich, the new President & COO of V&M STAR, and I want to advise you of our concerns.

We support efforts to make V&M STAR and the communities in which we operate competitive in this difficult global market place. In connection with this, we support and join the IEU-Ohio's concerns about the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's ("PUCO") announcement on April 29, 2010 regarding the ESP application and settlement filed with the PUCO on March 23, 2010 by First Energy.

Our concern about your April 29th announcement is that it may be perceived as supporting claims that the parties who are not supporting the settlement are correct in alleging that they have not had adequate time to evaluate the proposal. At a time when it is critically important to do things to give citizens confidence that government can act timely and do so in the public interest, it would be unfortunate if the PUCO pays more attention to process concerns than the substantive issues that Ohio must identify and resolve. In this particular case, the ESP filing is the outgrowth of a PUCO Staff recommendation in the MRO proceeding which has been fully litigated and currently awaits a PUCO decision (a decision that will be late as I understand the requirements of Ohio law). Parties interested in this subject matter have had since October of 2009 to conduct discovery and prepare to advocate on behalf of their particular interest. It is misleading to suggest that the ESP process began on March 23, 2010.

The same interests that protested the PUCO's efforts to promote the use of rate stabilization plans prior to the passage of Amended Substitute Senate Bill 221 are now opposing the use of ESPs. As we understand it, the ESP settlement, if approved by the PUCO, includes both opportunities to take advantage of generation prices that are at historic lows and economic development and economic retention opportunities that would not otherwise be available.

10cument

4

14

regular



I urge the PUCO to promptly act to take advantage of the historically low generation prices and the other economic development and retention opportunities not otherwise available by timely approving the comprehensive ESP settlement.

Please feel free to contact Joel at <u>Joel.Mastervich@na.vallourec.com</u>, or Roger at <u>Roger.Lindgren@na.vallourec.com</u> if we can provide you with additional information. We thank you, in advance, for your attention and consideration.

Respectfully yours,

Jal C. Masterie

Joel Mastervich

President & COO, V&M STAR

Roger Lindgren

Capino repal

Consultant to V&M STAR

cc: Commissioner Valerie A. Lemmie

Commissioner Paul A. Centolella Commissioner Cheryl Roberto

Commissioner Steven D. Lesser

Beth Trombold, PUCO Legislative Liaison

Governor Ted Strickland

Senator Bill Harris, Senate President

Senator Joe Schiavoni

Representative Armond Budish, Speaker of the Ohio House of Representatives

Representative Bob Hagan