

FILE

2

Hunter, Donielle

From: ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us  
Sent: Tuesday, April 20, 2010 1:17 PM  
To: Docketing  
Subject: Docketing  
Attachments: 154961.html

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 4/20/2010

Re: Ken Carrier  
506 Pocono

Trenton, OH 45067

Docketing Case No.: 09-1946-EL-RDR

Notes:

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2010 APR 20 PM 2:57  
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician Sam Date Processed APR 20 2010

From: "webmaster@puc.state.oh.us"  
 To: "ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us"  
 Subject: 53027  
 Sent: 4/19/2010 8:24:20 AM  
 Message:  
 WEB ID: 53027 AT:04-19-2010 at 08:24 AM

TYPE: comment

NAME: Mr. Ken Carrier

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 506 Pocono Court
- Trenton , Ohio 45067
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (513) 988-4361
- Alternative: (513) 328-1143
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: carrierkhework@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- Name on account: Ken Carrier
- Service address: 506 Pocono Court Trenton, OH 45067
- Service phone: (513) 988-4361
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I would like to recommend that Duke Energy not be allowed to pass their cost for restoring power onto the consumer due to the windstorm that happened a couple of years back. We the consumers could not have prevented the windstorm but many of us lost hundreds of dollars because of the power outage in food, miscellaneous items within our yards, and the cost of being reimbursed by our insurance companies. Had Duke Energy performed some preventative maintenance on their part the cost of restoring power might not have been as high. On the other hand their lack of preventative maintenance is now supposedly going to be passed on to the consumer, how does this make sense. A lack of planning on Duke's part should not constitute a emergency on the consumer's behalf. Please PUCO do not allow this to happen. If you are going to allow them to do this now, what will stop them from doing this again and again in the future whenever they suffer a loss? In my opinion, to prevent them from happening in the future allow for competition in the energy business for Southwest Ohio. As it is we do not have the option for competitive pricing because there is only 1 company to choose from, in a capitalistic society this is considered a monopoly and in my opinion this needs to be addressed before any type of compensation is even discussed. We need this competition to prevent ridiculous claims like Duke is getting ready to make. Thank you for listening!

RECEIVED-COMMUNICATIONS DIV  
 2010 APR 20 PM 2:57  
 PUCO