```
1
               BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD
2
3
    In the Matter of the
    Application of JW Great
    Lakes Wind, LLC for a
    Certificate to Construct : Case No. 09-277-EL-BGN
    a Wind Powered Electric
    Generation Facility in
6
    Hardin County, Ohio.
7
8
                          PROCEEDINGS
9
    before Ms. Christine Pirik, Administrative Law Judge,
10
    at the Ohio Power Siting Board, 180 East Broad
11
    Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00
12
    a.m. on Friday, February 12, 2010.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
                222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
23
                     Columbus, Ohio 43215
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
24
                      Fax - (614) 224-5724
25
```

```
2
1
    APPEARANCE:
2
            Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney General
            Duane W. Luckey, Section Chief
            Public Utilities Section
3
            By Mr. William L. Wright
            Assistant Attorney General
4
            180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor
5
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
6
                 On behalf of the staff of the
                 Ohio Power Siting Board.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

•				3
1	INDEX			
2				
3	JOINT EXHIBIT	ID'D	REC'D	
4	1 - Stipulation and Recommendation	9	13	
5		TD D		
6	STAFF'S EXHIBIT	ID'D	REC'D	
7	1 - Staff Report of Investigation	9	13	
8	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS	ID'D	REC'D	
9	1 - Architectural Plan Survey	9	13	
10	2 - Correspondence/maps	9	13	
11	3 - Correspondence on behalf of	9	13	
12	JW Great Lakes			
13	4.1 - Kenton Times proof of publication	10	13	
14 15	4.1A- The Ada Herald proof of publication	10	13	
16	4.2 - Notice of service	10	13	
17	5 - Supplemental information to application	10	13	
18	6 - Response letter to Zachariason	10	13	
19	7 - Chiropteran Risk Assessment	11	13	
20	8 - Preliminary map, draft of	11	13	
21	substation design			
22	9 - Summary of historic structures and landmarks review	11	13	
23	10 - Archaeological Survey Approach	11	13	
24	11 - Financial data	11	13	
25				

				4
1	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS	ID'D	REC'D	
2	12 - Financial data (CONFIDENTIAL)	11	13	
3	13 - Application	12	13	
5	14 - Cooperative agreement	12	13	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13 14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Friday Morning Session, February 12, 2010.

_ _

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: We'll go on the record in the matter of the Application of JW Great Lakes Wind, LLC for a Certificate to Site a Wind Powered Electric Generation Facility in Hardin County, Ohio, case number 09-277-EL-BGN.

My name is Christine Pirik. I'm an administrative law judge with the Ohio Power Siting Board, and I am here today to hear this case.

Today's hearing is a continuation of the hearing that was called and continued on February 1st, 2010.

I believe at this time we have a presentation on behalf of the parties. Mr. Wright.

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, thank you, your Honor. I'm pleased to announce that the parties have concluded their negotiations successfully. We reached a unanimous settlement. The stipulation and recommendation that memorializes that settlement was filed on February 9th in the docket, an additional signature page was filed on the 10th of February, and at this time I'd like to make a couple clarifications to the document and then go ahead and mark the exhibits and offer those.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That would be fine.

MR. WRIGHT: Do you want me to continue?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes.

MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, I would direct the Bench's attention to page 2 of the settlement under the Recommended Conditions section, paragraph number 2, there is a response there to replies to data requests; those would be staff data requests.

After discussing this with the staff it's my understanding that all relevant information has been included in other exhibits that have already been — that have been previously placed in the record. So there is nothing new; that does not refer to anything that is not already in the record.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Okay.

MR. WRIGHT: Moving on to page 13, the section there captioned "Exhibits," I would like to note a couple things before I actually go ahead and mark the exhibits.

With respect to item No. 6, and that deals with the proof of publication that was filed on November 24th, 2009, I believe that was the Kenton -- K-e-n-t-o-n -- Times, the earlier filing I believe was not complete. I would like to note for

In Re: Proceedings

```
the record that a complete notarized proof of publication for the Kenton Times was filed in the docket of this case yesterday on February 11th.
```

Additionally, I would like to add to the list, we could call it 6A or 7, 6A might be better, a proof of publication that is not listed here, it was inadvertently omitted, and that was with The Ada -- A-d-a -- Herald which was submitted on the 26th of January, and my understanding, after conversations with the Bench, that one was correctly filed.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. Before we move on, I would just like to point out that, and I think maybe that this is what you're going to say next, on page 13 there are two items 6.

MR. WRIGHT: That was going to be the next thing I was going to indicate.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Well, I would recommend that you denote the proof of publications as 6.1.

MR. WRIGHT: Okay.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Would be the Kenton Times.

MR. WRIGHT: That's fine.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And then 6.1A as you referred to The Ada Herald.

8 1 MR. WRIGHT: Okay, the Kenton Times would 2 be --3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 6.1. MR. WRIGHT: 6.1, and 6.1A? ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yes. 6 MR. WRIGHT: Okav. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: And then the 8 notice of service application for the public officials would be 6.2. 10 MR. WRIGHT: 6.2. Very good. 11 And the other item, before I go ahead and 12 mark all the exhibits, I would like to point out that 13 current item No. 2 under Exhibits on page 13, there's 14 a reference to an architectural survey plan. It's 1.5 correct that that was submitted to the staff on 16 February 3rd; it was filed in the docket in this 17 case on February 11th, yesterday. So that is now 18 in the record. 19 And additionally, item No. 18 under 20 Exhibits, which appears on page 14 of the 21 stipulation, it references a cooperative agreement 22 between the applicant and ODNR. That fully-executed 23 agreement was entered into on May 5th, 2009, it was

finally secured and filed in the docket yesterday as

well on February 11th, so those items are both now

24

25

part of the case docket for this matter.

1.5

Having said that, I would like at this time, your Honor, to go ahead and mark and offer the exhibits. I would like to mark as Joint Exhibit

No. 1 the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation that was entered into and filed in the docket on February

9th, and again, the signature page, additional signature page for the Ohio Farm Bureau was filed on the 10th. That's Joint Exhibit 1.

Then I'd like to mark as Staff Exhibit
No. 1 the Staff Report in this case that was filed on
January 12th, 2010.

The remaining exhibits will all be company exhibits. I would like to begin first with the architectural survey plan, that would be -- and, your Honor, I'm going to just work back in the order they appear in the stipulation.

The architectural survey plan I would like to have marked as Applicant Exhibit No. 1.

Applicant Exhibit No. 2 would be correspondence containing certain statements and maps concerning minor turbine changes that was filed in this docket on January 8th, 2010.

Applicant Exhibit No. 3 would be correspondence on behalf of JW Great Lakes including

a sample letter and list of landowners which was submitted in this docket on December 23rd, 2009.

As Applicant Exhibit 4.1, proof of publication with the Kenton Times that was submitted on November 24th, 2009, and -- excuse me, filed on November 24th, 2009, and resubmitted into the docket on February 11th, 2010.

Applicant Exhibit 4.1A would be proof of publication with The Ada Herald newspaper filed on January 26th, 2010.

Applicant's Exhibit 4.2 would be notice of service of application on local public officials and libraries filed on October 21st, 2009.

Applicant Exhibit No. 5 would be supplemental information to the application that was filed by the applicant on October 13, 2009.

Applicant Exhibit No. 6 would be a response letter to a Richard, is it Zachariason? Well, I'll leave it at that.

 $\label{eq:administrative law JUDGE:} \ \ \mbox{Do you want to} \\ \ \mbox{spell that for the record.}$

MR. WRIGHT: Excuse me?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Do you want to spell that for the record?

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, I would.

Z-a-c-h-a-r-i-a-s-o-n. And that was filed in the docket on October 13, 2009.

Applicant Exhibit No. 7 would be the,

I'll spell it because I can't pronounce it,

C-h-i-r-o-p-t-e-r-a-n Risk Assessment that was filed

by the applicant on October 9 of 2009.

Applicant's Exhibit No. 8 would be the preliminary map, draft of substation design that was filed by the applicant on October 9, 2009, as well.

Applicant's Exhibit No. 9 would be a summary of historic structures and landmarks review filed by the applicant on October 9, 2009.

Applicant's Exhibit No. 10 would be the archaeological survey approach filed by the applicant on October 9, 2009.

Applicant's Exhibit No. 11 would be financial data filed on behalf of the applicant on September 15, 2009.

Applicant's Exhibit No. 12 would be confidential document target involving some confidential financial data on behalf of the applicant filed on August 14, 2009.

Applicant's Exhibit No. 13 would be part 3 of the application submitted by the applicant on August 14.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mr. Wright, I think, with regard to the application, even though it was in three parts, I think that has more to do with, you know, the ability to have one document imaged in. So I think you can mark as one exhibit all three parts if you want to note them all as Applicant Exhibit 13.

1.5

MR. WRIGHT: Okay. That's fine, your Honor. As Applicant Exhibit No. 13 that would include the application filed in this case that was filed on August 14, 2009, and does contain three parts.

And as Applicant's Exhibit No. 14 the cooperative agreement entered into between the applicant and the Ohio Department of Natural Resources dated May 5th, 2009, and as indicated earlier, that was filed, a fully-executed copy of that agreement was filed in the docket in this case on February 11th, 2010.

That concludes the exhibits, your Honor, and the parties have reached a unanimous stipulation, given that I would like to offer those for admission into the record.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you,
Mr. Wright. Seeing as you are the representative on

```
1
    behalf of all the parties and there are no other
2
    parties present, I am assuming there are no
3
    objections to the entering of these exhibits into the
4
    record, and they will be admitted into the record.
                 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
6
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
7
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Is there
8
    anything further that we need to discuss?
9
                 MR. WRIGHT: I don't believe, your Honor.
10
    We thank you for your time and, again, I'm quite
11
    pleased to be able to report that we could come to an
12
    agreement in this case.
13
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you,
14
    Mr. Wright.
15
                 The information in the stipulation will
16
    be submitted to the Board for their consideration.
17
    This concludes the hearing.
18
                 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you very much.
19
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
                                             Thank you.
20
                 (The hearing concluded at 10:16 a.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Friday, February 12, 2010, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Maria DiPaolo Jones, Registered Diplomate Reporter and CRR and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires June 19, 2011.

11 (MDJ-3515)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/26/2010 2:42:50 PM

in

Case No(s). 09-0277-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript Transcript of JW Great Lakes Wind, LLC hearing held on 02/12/10. electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Jones, Maria DiPaolo Mrs.