

Hunter, Donielle

From:

ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us

Sent:

Thursday, February 25, 2010 2:38 PM

To: Docketing

Subject:

FirstEnergy Rate Case

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 2/25/2010

Re: Wilbert Steigerwald Iii 10731 Beechwood Dr Kirtland, OH 44094

Docketing Case No.: 10-0176-EL-ATA

2010 FEB 25 PM 3: 0
PUCO

Notes: am adamantly opposed to First Energy's case 10-0176-EL-ATA and demand the PUCO NOT to approve it! As an all-electric customer, there are many reasons I am opposed to the case. First of all, the case proposes a cap of a 20% increase to my current bill over last year's bill, but 20% is too much! The case also proposes phasing in the remaining rate increase over the next 8 years which is completely unacceptable and will render the future sale of my home impossible. Finally, the case claims First Energy needs to raise small business rates to recover the costs of additional residential credit and this is ludicrous! First Energy needs to fully honor its 30 year promise to offer discounted all-electric rates to all-electric home owners, and if they need to find a funding source for this, I suggest taking it from their 2009 one billion dollars in profits or the 13 million dollar salary of its president! I am also adamantly opposed to First Energy's case 090906-EL-SSO and understand the OCC also opposes this issue! In this case, First Energy is requesting the elimination of a current credit the all-electric home owners are receiving to off-set the ridiculous 106% increase in distribution costs. The credit First Energy is asking to remove is the "Residential Distribution Credit" and if this credit is removed, our bills will increase an additional 20% on top of where they are at now!!! You must not eliminate the "Residential Distribution Credit" but rather fully reinstate our original all-electric rate structure and fulfill your 30 year long promise!

Please docket the attached in the case number above.