```
1
        BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
    In the Matter of the :
    Regulation of the
    Purchased Gas Adjustment :
    Clause Contained Within : Case No. 09-218-GA-GCR
    the Rate Schedules of Duke:
    Energy Ohio, Inc.
6
7
                          PROCEEDINGS
8
    before Mr. Henry H. Phillips-Gary and Mr. Gregory
9
    Price, Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities
10
    Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C,
11
    Columbus, Ohio, called at 10 a.m. on Friday,
12
    February 5, 2010.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
               222 East Town Street, Second Floor
22
                   Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
2.3
                      Fax - (614) 224 - 5724
24
25
```

2 1 **APPEARANCES:** Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. By Ms. Elizabeth H. Watts 3 and Ms. Amy B. Spiller 155 East Broad Street, 21st Floor 4 Columbus, Ohio 43215 5 On behalf of the Applicant. 6 Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney General 7 By Mr. Duane W. Luckey, Senior Deputy Attorney General 8 Mr. Werner L. Margard III and Mr. Thomas G. Lindgren, Assistant Attorneys General 9 Public Utilities Section 10 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 11 On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO. 12 Janine L. Migden-Ostrander, 13 Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Larry S. Sauer 14 and Mr. Joseph P. Serio, Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 15 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 16 On behalf of the Residential Customers of 17 Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Ţ			3
1		INDEX	
2			
3	Wi	tness Page	
4	Roger Sarver		
5	J	Direct Examination by Mr. Margard 5	
6			
7	Jo	int Exhibit Identified Admitted	
8	1	Stipulation and Recommendation 5 12	
9	Cor	mpany Exhibit Identified Admitted	
10	1	Direct Testimony of James Henning 12 13	
11	2	Original Affidavit and Tear Sheet of the Hearing Notice Publication 12 13	
12			
13	0 -		
14	COI	mmission-Ordered Exhibit Identified Admitted	
15	1	Independent Accountants' Report on the Uniform Purchased Gas Adjustment for the 12-Month	
16		Period Ended August 30, 2009, in Response to Case No.	
17		09-218-GA-GCR 7 12	
18	2	Management/Performance Audit of the Gas Purchase Practices	
19		and Policies of Duke Energy	
20		Ohio Final Report 9 12	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Friday Morning Session, February 5, 2010.

\_ \_

EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: The Public
Utilities Commission of Ohio has called for hearing
at this time and place Case No. 09-218-GA-GCR, being
in the Matter of the Regulation of the Purchased Gas
Adjustment Clause Contained Within the Rate Schedules
of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

My name is Henry H. Phillips-Gary, and with me is Gregory Price. We are the Attorney Examiners assigned by the Commission to hear this case.

Let's start with the appearance of the parties.

MS. WATTS: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Elizabeth H. Watts and Amy B. Spiller, 155 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Thank you.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio, by Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney

General, Duane W. Luckey, Section Chief, by Assistant

Attorney General Werner L. Margard and Thomas G.

Lindgren, 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor, Columbus,

Ohio.

1.5

MR. SAUER: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the Residential Consumers of Duke Energy, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Janine Migden-Ostrander, Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Larry S. Sauer and Joseph P. Serio, Assistant Consumers' Counsel, 10 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: Thank you very much. I understand that a stipulation has been docketed in this case, and parties are ready to proceed?

MR. MARGARD: That is correct, your

Honor. The -- all of the parties have signed a

stipulation which has been docketed and identified as

Joint Exhibit No. 1. And staff would like to call

Mr. Roger Sarver in support of that stipulation.

- - -

## ROGER SARVER

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Margard:

- Q. Please state your name.
- A. My name is Roger Sarver.
- Q. By whom are you employed?

- A. Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
- Q. And in what capacity, please?
- A. I supervise the gas cost recovery audits.
- Q. Mr. Sarver, do you have before you a document that has been marked for purposes of identification as Joint Exhibit 1, the stipulation in this case?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- Q. And are you familiar with that document?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, were you involved in the negotiations that resulted in that document?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Sarver, do you also have before you a document that's been marked for purposes of identification as Commission-Ordered Exhibit No. 1?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Can I ask you to turn, please, to page 5 of Joint Exhibit 1, the stipulation.
- A. Okay. Would that be the page that starts with Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Summary of Findings?
  - Q. Make sure we are on the same page.
- A. It's the fifth page in the document, but it's page No. 3.
  - Q. Of the stipulation.

7 1 You are in the stipulation? Α. 2 Q. Page 5 of the stipulation, please. 3 Α. Okay. 4 Q. Sorry. 5 Α. Okay. 6 Do you have that? Q. 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 Q. And can I direct your attention to paragraph No. 6, please. 10 Α. Yes. 11 And paragraph 6 refers to an independent 12 accountants' record. Do you see that reference? 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 And is the document that has been marked Ο. 15 as Commission-Ordered Exhibit No. 1 the document that 16 is referred to there in paragraph 6 of the 17 stipulation? 18 Α. Yes, it is. 19 And that, in fact, is the financial audit Q. 20 report that was filed in this case? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. Let me ask you, if you would, please, to 23 turn to page 3 of Commission-Ordered Exhibit No. 1. 24 Α. Okay.

In the stipulation it indicates that the

25

Q.

specific findings presented in the Summary of
Findings of this audit are reasonable and should be
adopted by the Commission. That is your
recommendation as well, is it not?

A. Yes.

- Q. And those Summary of Findings are contained on page 3 of Commission-Ordered Exhibit No. 1; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And if I direct your attention to the second bullet part beginning, "Pursuant to," do you see that paragraph?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Are you familiar with that paragraph?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. The next to the last sentence of that paragraph says that "Company officials have informed us" meaning the auditors "that these adjustments to the calculation have been approved by the PUCO staff and that no formal order granting these adjustments was issued." Do you see that?
  - A. That is correct.
  - O. And that is a correct statement?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. And the following sentence states that

"The auditor was unable to determine if the adjustments made to the calculations were consistent with the PUCO Staff's expectations." In your opinion are those adjustments consistent with your expectations?

A. Yes.

1.5

- Q. Mr. Sarver, do you also have before you a document that's been marked for purposes of identification as Commission-Ordered Exhibit 2?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. On page 6 of Joint Exhibit 1, the stipulation, there is a reference to the Final Report on the Management and Performance Audit of the Gas Purchase Practices and Policies of Duke Energy Ohio prepared by NorthStar Consulting Group. Is this the document that is made reference to on paragraph 8 of the stipulation?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And both Commission-Ordered Exhibit 1 and Commission-Ordered Exhibit 2 have been filed with the Commission and are part of the record of this case; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, Mr. Sarver, you have already indicated you were present during the negotiations in

this case; you are familiar with those negotiations and the substance that resulted in this stipulation?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And you are acquainted with the parties who participated in those discussions?
- A. Yes, staff worked with the parties in a number of instances.
- Q. And in your opinion was the bargaining conducted to arrive at this stipulation and recommendation conducted between knowledgeable, capable parties and at arm's length?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. In your opinion does the stipulation and recommendation advance the public interest?
  - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And can you give us an example or in what manner you believe it advances the public interest?
- A. The stipulation accepts recommendations that all parties believe will be in the best interest of not only the company but also its customers.
- Q. Thank you. And in your opinion to the best of your knowledge, does the stipulation and recommendation violate any public policy or statute?
  - A. No, sir.
  - Q. Is it, therefore, your recommendation

Hearing

```
1
    that the Commission adopt this stipulation without
2
    change or modification?
3
           Α.
                Yes.
4
                MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have nothing
5
    further for Mr. Sarver.
6
                EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: Do any of the
7
    other parties have any questions for Mr. Sarver?
8
                MR. SAUER: No questions, your Honor.
                MS. WATTS: No questions, your Honor.
10
                MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, we would, of
11
    course, respectfully move for the admission of Joint
12
    Exhibit 1 and Commission-Ordered Exhibits No. 1 and
13
    2.
14
                EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: I was going to
15
    excuse Mr. Sarver. So, okay, you've moved for
16
    admission of Joint Exhibit 1 Stipulation,
17
    Commission-Ordered Exhibit 1 which is the Financial
18
    Audit, and Commission-Ordered Exhibit 2 which is the
19
    Management/Performance Audit.
20
                MR. MARGARD: Yes, your Honor.
21
                EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: Are there any
    objections to the admission of these exhibits?
22
23
                MR. SAUER: No objections.
24
                MS. WATTS: None.
```

EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: Okay.

no objections these exhibits will be admitted.

1.5

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, we have nothing further and would submit the case on the record and request the stipulation be approved.

EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: Okay.

MS. WATTS: I do, your Honor, have a couple of additional exhibits I would like to have marked and admitted.

EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: All right. You may do so.

MS. WATTS: I would like to ask that the Direct Testimony of James Henning which was filed with the Commission on January 5, 2010, be marked as Duke Energy Exhibit 1. And I would also ask that the Original Affidavit and Tear Sheet of the Hearing Notice Publication be marked as Duke Energy Exhibit 2, and I would ask those be made part of the record of this case.

EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: Okay. So you've moved for the Henning testimony which was previously filed in this case marked as Duke Exhibit 1 and the Original Affidavit and the Tear Sheet to be marked as Duke Exhibit 2; is that correct?

MS. WATTS: That's correct.

```
1
                 EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: Okay.
2
    there any objections to either of those exhibits
3
    being admitted?
                 MR. SAUER: No objection.
5
                 MR. MARGARD: No objection.
6
                 EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: No objections,
7
    all right. Hearing no objections they will be
8
    admitted.
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
10
                 MS. WATTS: And that's all I have on
11
    behalf of Duke Energy Ohio.
12
                 EXAMINER PHILLIIPS-GARY: All right.
13
    Okay. Is there any other matters any other party
14
    wishes to present at this point in time?
15
                 Hearing none, all right, if there is
16
    nothing else to come before us, this case is
17
    submitted on the record, and we are adjourned.
18
                 (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at
    10:16 a.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Friday, February 5, 2010, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-5165) 

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

2/22/2010 2:24:30 PM

in

Case No(s). 09-0218-GA-GCR

Summary: Transcript Transcript of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. hearing held on 02/05/10. electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.