n the Matter of the Application
of Columbus Southern Power
Company and Ohio Power Company
to Update Their Enhanced Service
Reliability Riders

Case No. 10-163-EL-RDR

MINEGODOCKE, WG BY

MINEGO

APPLICATION

- 1. Columbus Southern Power Company (CSP) and Ohio Power Company (OP), collectively referred as "the Companies" or "AEP Ohio," are electric light companies, as that term is defined in §§4905.03 and 4928.01 (A) (7), Ohio Rev. Code.
- 2. In the Companies' Electric Security Plan (ESP) proceeding (Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO and 08-918-EL-SSO), AEP Ohio proposed four major programs relating to service reliability. The Commission found that the Companies' enhanced vegetation initiative, with Staff's additional recommendations, is a reasonable program that will advance state policy, while deferring inclusion of the remaining programs for potential future adoption. (Opinion and Order, March 18, 2009, p. 34). The Commission approved the Enhanced Service Reliability (ESR) incremental spending plan presented in the ESP Cases as being \$31.5 million in year one of the program, \$34.8 million in year two and \$38.1 million in year three. (Id. at 33.) Accordingly, the Commission approved the ESR Rider, subject to annual reconciliation based on the Companies' prudently-incurred costs.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed FFB 1 1 2018

- 3. In its Entry on Rehearing, the Commission clarified that it created the ESR Rider as a mechanism to recover the actual costs incurred so that the expenditures could be tracked, reviewed to determine that they were prudent and incremental to costs included in base rates, and reconciled annually. (Entry on Rehearing, July 23, 2009, p. 17.) In doing so, the Commission indicated that it fully expects the Companies to work with Staff to strike the correct balance within the cost level established by its Order, which is based on the Companies' proposed ESRP program. (Id. at 18.)
- 4. In accordance with the Commission's orders, the Companies have worked with the Staff in finalizing its enhanced vegetation management initiative plan during the ESP and confirming the baseline level of spending that will define the incremental costs to be included in the ESR Rider. AEP Ohio's common understanding with Staff for resolving these matters is as follows:
 - a) As contemplated in the Commission's ESP Orders, the Companies will move to a four-year full cycle circuit vegetation program, after a transition period of five years to allow end-to-end clearing of all circuits and gathering of necessary data to implement an effective four-year program;
 - b) In 2008, AEP Ohio began a program to clear vegetation in circuit breaker zones (the section of distribution line from the circuit breaker in the substation downstream to the circuit's first automatic sectionalizing device), in order to reduce tree-related circuit breaker lockouts and improve reliability and customer satisfaction. AEP Ohio

and Staff have developed a common understanding of the schedule for end-to-end clearing of circuits during the five-year preparatory period, prioritized in part based on the breaker zone circuits already cleared under the existing program. This circuit clearing schedule is:

	YEAR CLEARING IS COMPLETED - NUMBER OF CIRCUITS													
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013									
2008 Breaker Zone Circuits (342 Total)	250	92												
2009 Breaker Zone Circuits (400 Total)		158	242											
Remaining Circuits (745 Total)			73	315	357									
TOTAL CIRCUITS	250	250	315	315	357									

;and

- c) During the ESP cases, AEP Ohio based its baseline vegetation spending, on a four-year cash flow basis, on the period from 2004-2007. AEP Ohio and Staff have agreed that the Companies should update their calculation of baseline spending to cover the period 2005-2008 (equal to approximately \$23 million) and then reflect an additional measure of baseline spending so that the total of \$24.2 million will be used as the baseline vegetation spending for purposes of calculating the incremental vegetation spending to be recovered under the ESR Rider.
- 5. In conformance with the Commission's authorization of such annual filings to recover incremental vegetation spending subject to reconciliation, the Companies seek authority to each establish new ESR Riders. The proposed ESR Riders, with supporting calculations, are attached to this application as CSP Schedule 1 and OP Schedule 1. CSP's proposed ESR Rider is 3.34395%

- of Distribution charges and OP's proposed ESR Rider is 5.59907% of Distribution charges.
- 6. The Companies propose to adjust their respective ESR Riders by filing an application in the first quarter of 2011 based upon actual incremental vegetation spending in 2010 and projected spending for 2011, and in the first quarter of 2012 based upon actual incremental vegetation spending in 2011.
- 7. The Companies propose that their ESR Riders become effective with the first billing cycle of July 2010. This effective date coincides with the Fuel Adjustment Clause Rider modification scheduled for the first billing cycle of July 2010. It is important that these dates coincide because the ESR Riders are within the rate increase caps authorized by the Commission in the Companies' ESP proceeding. Therefore, the implementation of the ESR Riders will impact the FAC level and the ESP Phase-In Plan deferrals associated with the FAC.
- 8. Because the authority to make this filing results from the Commission's orders in the Companies' ESP proceeding, and the schedules supporting the request, which are attached to the application, can be verified by the Commission's Staff, the Companies do not believe that a hearing in this matter is required. Instead, the Companies request that the Commission establish an opportunity for the filing of comments and reply comments, setting a reasonable schedule that would permit the Companies' proposed ESR Riders to become effective with the first billing cycle of July 2010.

9. The Companies' proposed ESR Riders are just and reasonable and are authorized as part of their ESPs. Therefore, the Companies request that the Commission approve this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven T. Nourse, Counsel of Record

Marvin I. Resnik

American Electric Power Corporation

1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-2373

Telephone: (614) 716-1608

Facsimile: (614) 716-2950

stnourse@aep.com miresnik@aep.com

Counsel for Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company

2009 Columbus Southern Power Company ESR Rider True-Up

							Total	Capital - 40 Year Life	O&M	CSP - Distribution Vegetation 2010 Incremental Investment	Total	Capital - 40 Year Life	December	November	September	August	July	June	May	April	March	February	January	O&M	ZUU9 Oven(Under) Kecovery	CSP - Distribution Vegetation
							69	G.	6 4		ta	€	s	6 0 6	A 69	w	64	en	ø	6A	en ·	t/s	64	€#		
							10,401,813	1,207,669	9,194,144	Base Vegetation Spend	10,401,813	1,207,669	116,810	95,597	16,309 60,790	107,287	132,439	79,621	229,935	138,973	97,710	86,388	65,809	9,194,144	Spend	Base Vegetation
							49	en	· •	Estr		40	**	40 4	A 45	, 43	44	64	₩	44	(o	€9	(2)	to.	2009	
							12,100,000	2,300,000	9,800,000	Original ESP 2010 Estimated Vegetation Spand		3,874,205	998,822	686,483	187,440	214,666	244,585	231,571	300,530	149.513	185,456	114,757	104,724	19,464,922	2009 Actual Spending	
Change in ESR Rider	Current ESR Rider	2010 ESR Rider	Base Distri	Total Reve	Over/(Und	2009 Inves	€4	₩	*	 _	2009 Actu 2009 ESR 2009 Ove	*	 ••	40 4	A +57	- 43	40	€#-	40	€	(6	(5	(0	€9	1	
ESR Rider	R Rider	Rider	Base Distribution Revenues	Total Revenue Requirement	Over/(Under) Recovery (From A	2009 Investment Carrying Costs	11,569,984	2,238,464	9,331,520	Updated 2010 Vegetation Spend	2009 Actual Revenue Requirement 2009 ESR Rider Collections 2009 Over/(Under) Recovery	2,666,536	882,012	590,886	1/1,131	107,379	112,148	161,950	70,695	10,540	87,746	48,359	38,915	10,270,778	incremental	2009 Actual
				В	Above)	ŭ,		13.52% \$		Carrying Charge Rate	nent															
			€4	*	₩	64	ti	69	60	2010 Revenue	40 to 40	6.7	*	69 E	A 64	(1	4	61	41	()	64	49	4	*	Revenue	2009
0.51419%	2.82976%	3,34395%	325,881,559	10,897,314	(1.053,959)	360,516 (b)	9.482,840	151,320 (a)	9,331,520	2010 Vegetation Revenue Requirement	10,347,872 9,263,913 (1,063,869)	77,094	22,634	15,140	7,876	6,632	5,203	3,266	2,366	2,232	1,113	500	, '	10,270,778	Revenue Requirement	2009 Vegetation

The 2009 carrying charges were based on the actual 2009 monthly long-term debt rate and actual depreciation expense.

⁽a) Annual carrying charge rate times updated 2010 capital spending times one-half year

⁽b) Annual carrying charge rate times 2009 actual capital spending

2009 Ohio Power Company ESR Rider True-Up

							Total	Capital - 30 Year Life	O&M	2010 Incremental Investment	OPCo - Distribution Vegetation		Total	Capital - 30 Year Life	December	Uctober November	September	August	And	May	April	March	February	January	O&M	OPCo - Distribution Vegetation 2009 Over/(Under) Recovery
							4	\$	40		"		₩	64	43 4	м -сп	· 44	₩.	₩ 6	• 4	• ea	50	49 1	69	₩.	
							13,798,187	2,421,919	11,376,268	Spend	Rase Venetation		13,798,187	2,421,919	242,341	130 913	226,225	216,113	184,069	289,4/4	255,898	206,231	116,663	136,656	11,376,268	Base Vegetation Spand
							64	to.	₩	Estimate	ç			↔	₩ (M ES	· 49	(4	(0 (» «	• ••	4	49 1	(1)	⇔	2009 Act
-							22,800,000	4,300,000	18,500,000	Estimated Vegetation Spend	Original ESP 2010			5,241,966	582,985	822,415 578,379	290,979	180,036	541,455	740,072	418,705	325,269	181,141	187,059	27,071,329	2009 Actual Spending
Change in ESR Rider	Current ESR Rider	2010 ESR Rider	Base Distribution Revenues	Total Revenue Requirement	Over/(Under) F	2009 Investme	6	-	~	1		2009 Over/(under) Recovery	2009 Actual Ro	₩.	1	n to			60 6			•	46 1	6	60	1
Rider	(ider	er er	on Revenues	Requirement	Over/(Under) Recovery (From Above)	2009 Investment Carrying Costs	22,096,924	4,176,593	17,920,331	Vegetation Spend	Undated 2010	der) Recovery	2009 Actual Revenue Requirement	2,820,047	340,644	638,270 438,486	64,754	(36,077)	357,386	457,388	162,809	119,038	64,478	50,403	15,695,061	2009 Actual Incremental
			46	4 0	<u>)</u>	64	49	, 13,31% <u>\$</u>	€n	1	Carrying	တြ	A CA	S	45 4	so es			↔ €				-60-	**	6	70
						٠,	•			levenue R	2010 V			e 7		., U	- (31)	-	ar t	. •	. 171		, Ent.			2009 Vi
0.10088%	5,49819%	5.59907%	315,126,553	17,644,164	929,468	375,348	18,198,283	277,952	17,920,331	Revenue Requirement	2010 Vegetation	929,468	15,828,271	133,210	29,934	13,503 34,656	15.982	18,669	12,396	4,/51	2,864	1,393	621	1	15,695,061	2009 Vegetation Revenue Requirement*

^{*} The 2009 carrying charges were based on the actual 2009 monthly long-term debt rate and actual depreciation expense.

⁽a) Annual carrying charge rate times updated 2010 capital spending times one-half year

⁽b) Annual carrying charge rate times 2009 actual capital spending