## **BEFORE**

## THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Access	)	
Fiber Group, Inc. for a Certificate of Public	)	
Convenience and Necessity to Provide	)	Case No. 10-93-TP-ACE
Local Exchange Service and Competitive	)	Case No. 10-93-11-ACE
Telecommunications Services Within the	)	
State of Ohio.	)	

## **ENTRY**

## The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On January 28, 2010, the applicant, Access Fiber Group, Inc. (AFG), filed an application in this case seeking a certificate of public convenience and necessity to provide local exchange service and competitive telecommunications services in the state of Ohio.
- (2) On January 28, 2010, counsel for the applicant filed a motion for protective order by which the applicant seeks to protect the confidentiality of the financial information set forth in Exhibit D-2 to its certification application, which has been marked confidential and filed under seal. The information for which protective treatment is sought consists of recent financial statements of the applicant.
- (3) AFG is privately held, rather than a publicly traded company. In its motion for a protective order, the applicant indicates that the involved financial information is not otherwise available to the applicant's competitors. Further, the applicant submits that disclosure of this information could be competitively damaging to the applicant.
- (4) Under certain limited circumstances, the Commission has been willing to grant motions of telephone companies who seek to protect the confidentiality of the financial information they are required to submit as part of their certification applications. To date, such protection has been granted for periods of up to 18 months, where the company seeking the protective order can show that:
  - (a) It is privately held, or is a company that as a wholly owned subsidiary of a public traded company, does not routinely publicly report its financial status; and

10-93-TP-ACE -2-

(b) The information for which protective status is sought represents recent historical, contemporaneous, or projected details about the operations and/or finances of the company seeking the protective order that are competitively sensitive and have never previously been made available to the general public or filed with any other public agency.

Each of the above criteria has been met in this case with respect to (5) Exhibit D-2 of the certification application filed by AFG in this case. Accordingly, the motion for protective order filed by AFG in this docket should be granted, such that, unless and until specifically ordered otherwise, public disclosure of Exhibit D to the certification application that was filed by AFG under seal in this case shall occur for the first time on the date 18 months from the date of the issuance of this protective order. In the event that AFG should desire to seek continued protective treatment for this information beyond this 18month period, it must make application for such continued compliance with Rule 4901-1-24(F), Ohio protection in Administrative Code (O.A.C.).

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the motion for protective order filed by AFG in this case be granted in accordance with finding (5). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon AFG and all interested persons of record.

THE PUPLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

By:

Daniel E. Fullin

Attorney Examiner

HP dah

Entered in the Journal

FEB 0 9 2010

Reneé J. Jenkins

Secretary