RECEIVED-DOCK

Emma F. Hand 202.408.7094 ehand@sonnenschein.com

December 22, 2009

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Division 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Enclosed Documents for Filing in Case Nos. 09-872-EL-FAC, 09-873-EL-

FAC, 09-1906-EL-ATA, 09-1094-EL-UNC, and 09-1095-EL-UNC

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed please find an original and twenty (20) copies each of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's ("Ormet") Reply to Columbus Southern Power Company's and Ohio Power Company's Memorandum Contra in Case Nos. 09-872-EL-FAC, 09-873-EL-FAC, 09-1906-EL-ATA, 09-1094-EL-UNC, and 09-1095-EL-UNC. This document was originally filed by facsimile on December 22, 2009. Two additional copies of the Reply are enclosed to be date-stamped and returned to me in the enclosed, self-addressed Federal Express envelope.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. If you have any questions please contact me at the telephone number above.

Sincerely, Sun 2. Hed

Emma F. Hand

Enclosures

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of the images.

Technician Date Processed

5

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Fuel Adjustment Clauses for Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company	Case No. 09-872-EL-FAC Case No. 09-873-EL-FAC	1009 DEC 23
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company to Modify Their Standard Service Offer Rates) Case No. 09-1906-EL-ATA O)	3 AM II: 15
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company to Recover Commission-Authorized Deferrals Through Each Company's Fuel Adjustment Clause)) Case No. 09-1094-EL-UNC)	
In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company to Adjust Their Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rates	,)) Case No. 09-1095-EL-UNC)	

ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION'S REPLY TO COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY'S AND OHIO POWER COMPANY'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA

On December 15, 2009, Columbus Southern Power Company ("CSP") and Ohio Power Company ("OP") ("collectively "AEP Ohio") filed their memorandum contra Ormet's motion for a hearing. The arguments set forth in AEP Ohio's memorandum contra are unpersuasive and should be rejected, and the Commission should set these proceedings for hearing.

Although, as AEP Ohio argues, Staff has noted that the caps on increases in customer bills are to be applied on a customer class basis such that individual customers may see increases in their bills which are greater than or less than the caps set forth by the Commission, nevertheless, such calculations should be performed in a just and reasonable manner. AEP Ohio has not offered a satisfactory explanation for why it believes that it is appropriate to calculate the

revenue requirement applicable to Ormet separately from the calculation of the revenue requirement for the rest of its GS-4 customers, but then to apply the GS-4 rate to Ormet. This discrepancy in the treatment of Ormet creates a result where AEP Ohio collects more from Ormet under the GS-4 rate than is indicated by the Ormet-specific revenue requirement calculation. If there is reason not to include Ormet in calculating the overall increase to each Company's GS-4 tariff rates, then AEP Ohio should not apply the GS-4 FAC rate to Ormet, but should apply an Ormet-specific FAC rate. AEP Ohio's failure to apply a consistent methodology to its calculations concerning Ormet increase the amount of revenue AEP Ohio will collect and creates the possibility that AEP Ohio may be over-collecting.

AEP Ohio also appears to argue that the standard tariff rates are irrelevant to the rate Ormet pays because Ormet will pay a special rate under its Unique Arrangement with AEP Ohio. While this was the case through the end of 2009 because Ormet's rates for 2009 have been fixed for the entire year under the Interim Agreement and then under the Unique Arrangement, it is not the case for 2010. Beginning in 2010, under the Unique Arrangement, the rate that Ormet will pay will not be a fixed rate, but will be a rate that would be discounted from the GS-4 tariff rate if the London Metal Exchange ("LME") price of aluminum stays low. If LME prices increase above the Target Price set under the Unique Arrangement, Ormet will be paying a premium above the GS-4 tariff rate. Thus, the GS-4 tariff rate applicable to Ormet directly impacts the rates that Ormet will pay in 2010, and the higher that rate is, the more Ormet (or AEP Ohio's other customers paying delta revenues) will pay. Additionally, the discount that Ormet will receive in 2010 is capped at \$60 million. If the market price of aluminum increases sufficiently in 2010, then under the Unique Arrangement, there is a possibility that Ormet will consume less than the full amount of the discount, which would reduce the amount AEP Ohio's other

ratepayers are required to pay through the EDR rider. This possibility is made more remote, however, the higher that that GS-4 tariff rate applicable to Ormet climbs.

Finally, Ormet supports the motion to consolidate of the Industrial Energy Users-Ohio ("IEU-Ohio") and their argument that under the orders issued in AEP Ohio's electric security plan ("ESP") cases, the economic development rider should be subject to the Commissionmandated limitations on AEP Ohio's rate increases.

WHEREFORE, Ormet respectfully requests that the Commission set these matters for hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

Clinton A. Vince, Counsel of Record

Douglas G. Bonner Daniel D. Barnowski

Emma F. Hand

Keith C. Nusbaum (#0082745)

Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP

1301 K Street NW

Suite 600, East Tower

Washington, DC 20005

202.408.8004 Telephone

202.408.6399 Facsimile

cvince@sonnenschein.com

dbonner@sonnenschein.com

dbarnowski@sonnenschein.com

ehand@sonnenschein.com

knusbaum@sonnenschein.com

Attorneys for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Dated: December 22, 2009

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's Reply to Columbus Southern Power Company's and Ohio Power Company's Memorandum Contra were served by U.S. Mail upon counsel identified below for all parties of record this 22nd day of December, 2009.

Emma F. Hand

SERVICE LIST

Columbus Southern Power Company Ohio Power Company Selwyn J. R. Dias Suite 800 88 E. Broad Street Columbus OH 43215-3550 Marvin I. Resnik American Electric Power Company 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus OH 43215

Michael Idzkowski Maureen Grady Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus OH 43215-3485

Samuel C. Randazzo Lisa McAlister Joseph M. Clark McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

David Boehm Michael Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202