

BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Sharon R. Cedeno,)	
)	Case No. 09-1063-TP-CSS
Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
AT&T Ohio and Windstream Ohio, Inc.,)	
)	
Respondents.)	

ANSWER OF AT&T OHIO

Now comes AT&T Ohio, one of the Respondents herein, and for its Answer to the Complaint states as follows:

1. Complainant is not a current customer AT&T Ohio.
2. AT&T Ohio admits that it billed Complainant for long distance service from July 8, 2009 to July 31, 2009.
3. AT&T Ohio avers that Windstream Ohio sent the long distance traffic over AT&T Ohio's network.
4. AT&T Ohio avers that Complainant was billed for the service, accordingly, pursuant to its standard billing practice.
5. AT&T Ohio denies for lack of knowledge all other allegations of the Complainant's not expressly admitted.
6. AT&T Ohio has breached no legal duty owing Complainant and that its service and practices at all relevant times have been in full accordance

with all applicable provisions of law and accepted standards within the telephone industry.

7. The Complaint fails to state reasonable grounds for proceeding to hearing as required by §4905.26, Revised Code.

Wherefore, having fully answered, Respondent requests that the Complaint be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

AT&T Ohio

By: /s/ Mary Ryan Fenlon

Mary Ryan Fenlon
Trial Attorney
150 East Gay Street, Rm. 4A
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 223-3302

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Answer was filed on December 4, 2009, by e-filing and first class postage prepaid to the following:

Sharon R. Cedeno
16635 Downe
Akron, OH 44319-3712

/s/ Mary Ryan Fenlon
Mary Ryan Fenlon

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/4/2009 2:23:13 PM

in

Case No(s). 09-1063-TP-CSS

Summary: Answer to Complaint electronically filed by Ms. Mary K. Fenlon on behalf of AT&T
Ohio