

RECEIVED-BOCKETING. BIV

2009 NOV 19 PH 4: 05

Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit____

PUC QBEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application)	
of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.)	
to Adjust and Set the Annually)	Case No. 09-770-EL-UNC
Adjusted Component of its)	
Standard Service Offer)	

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

ROBERT M. PARSONS

ON BEHALF OF

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	SCRIPTION TESTIMONY	<u>PAGE</u>
I.	Introduction and Purpose	1
II.	Discussion	2
III.	Conclusion	5

I. <u>INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE</u>

- 1 O. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 2 A. My name is Robert M. Parsons. My business address is 139 East Fourth Street,
- 3 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.
- 4 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME ROBERT M. PARSONS WHO FILED DIRECT
- 5 TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY
- 6 OHIO, INC. (DUKE ENERGY OHIO)?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 O. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLMENTAL TESTIMONY IN
- 9 THIS PROCEEDING?
- 10 A. The purpose of my Supplemental Testimony is to discuss and support the
- reasonableness of the Stipulation and Recommendation (Stipulation) filed in the
- above-captioned proceeding. The Stipulation is filed with the support of all of the
- parties to this proceeding, including the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission
- of Ohio (Commission), the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Council (OCC), and
- Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy, collectively, the Stipulating Parties. This
- testimony will demonstrate that: (1) the Stipulation is the product of serious
- bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) the Stipulation does not
- violate any important regulatory principle or practice; (3) the Stipulation, as a
- whole, will benefit consumers and is in the public interest; and (4) the Stipulation
- is a just and reasonable resolution of the issues.

II. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

•	\sim	THE TO A CITE CONTRIBUTE A T. P. LEW.	DECORIDE WITE	CONTINUES A SOURCE AT
2	U.	PLEASE GENERALLY	DESCRIBE THE	STIPULATION.

A. The Stipulation, filed with the Commission on November 19, 2009, represents a resolution of all of the issues among the Stipulating Parties relating to Duke Energy Ohio's application to adjust and set the Annually Adjusted Component of its Standard Service Offer (Rider PTC-AAC).

In summary, the Stipulating Parties agree that Duke Energy Ohio shall increase the revenue requirement of its Rider PTC-AAC to the amount of \$156,740,871 as set forth in Attachment 1 to the Stipulation, implement Rider PTC-AAC consistent with the tariff sheets attached as Attachment 2 to the Stipulation, determine Rider PTC-AAC revenues with reference to Rider SRA-CD (the system resource adequacy – capacity dedication rider), with said Rider PTC-AAC revenues being calculated as a percentage of Rider SRA-CD revenues, and begin recovering prospective environmental reagents (*i.e.*, chemicals used to reduce pollutants during generation) through Duke Energy Ohio's Rider PTC-FPP (the price-to-compare – fuel and purchased power rider). Prospective environmental reagent costs are those costs incurred beginning January 1, 2010, and continuing thereafter.

Q. DOES THE STIPULATION REPRESENT THE PRODUCT OF SERIOUS BARGAINING AMONG CAPABLE, KNOWLEDGEABLE PARTIES?

A. Yes. The standing of the parties and their attorneys to the Stipulation is readily apparent. The Stipulating Parties regularly participate in rate proceedings before the Commission, are knowledgeable in regulatory matters, and were represented

by	experienced,	competent	counsel.	Furthermore,	the	Stipulating	Parties
rep	resent a broad	range of inte	erests.				

A.

The Commission's Staff thoroughly reviewed Duke Energy Ohio's application and Duke Energy Ohio responded to numerous data requests received from the Commission's Staff and OCC.

All parties were invited to attend all of the settlement discussions regarding the Rider PTC-AAC application. The first settlement conference was held at the office of the Commission on October 27, 2009. Follow up negotiations occurred via e-mail. All of the issues raised by the parties in this proceeding were addressed during these negotiations and, despite the divergent interests among the parties, all had opportunity to express their opinions in the negotiating process. For all of these reasons, I believe that the Stipulation is a compromise resulting from those negotiations and, therefore, represents a product of capable, knowledgeable parties.

15 Q. DOES THE STIPULATION VIOLATE ANY IMPORTANT 16 REGULATORY PRINCIPLE OR PRACTICE?

No. Based on the advice of counsel, my understanding is that the Stipulation complies with all relevant and important principles and practices. Based upon my examination of the Stipulation as Rates Manager for Duke Energy Ohio, I have also concluded that the Stipulation does not violate any regulatory ratemaking principle. The Stipulation is fully supported by all of the evidence presented to the Commission and other parties in this case.

1 Q. DOES THE STIPULATION BENEFIT CONSUMERS AND THE PUBLIC

- 2 **INTEREST?**
- 3 A. Yes. The Stipulation provides several significant benefits across all customer
- 4 groups and other interested stakeholders, including:
- 5 1. The Stipulation provides for the timely implementation of the updated
- 6 Rider PTC-AAC, to be effective January 4, 2010.
- 7 2. The Stipulation provides for recovery of environmental reagent costs
- 8 through Duke Energy Ohio's Rider PTC-FPP rather that Rider PTC-AAC,
- 9 thereby associating those costs directly with the process in which they are used
- and recovering those costs on a more timely basis.
- 11 3. The Stipulation allows Duke Energy Ohio to recover costs that improve
- fuel flexibility, thereby allowing for future reduction in net fuel costs resulting in
- fuel savings to consumers.
- 14 O. IS THE STIPULATION A JUST AND REASONABLE RESOLUTION OF
- 15 THE ISSUES?
- 16 A. Yes. As described above, the Stipulation affords benefits to consumers and the
- public and is consistent with established regulatory principles and practices. The
- 18 Stipulation also represents a timely and efficient resolution of the issues raised in
- this proceeding, after thoughtful deliberation and discussion by the Stipulating
- Parties.
- 21 Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THE STIPULATION MEETS THE THREE-PART
- 22 TEST REGARDING CONSIDERATION OF STIPULATIONS AND
- 23 THEREFORE SHOULD BE ADOPTED BY THE COMMISSION?

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- 2 Q. DOES THE STIPULATION RESOLVE ALL OF THE ISSUES IN THIS
- 3 PROCEEDING?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.
- 5 III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
- 6 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY
- 7 **SUPPORTING THE STIPULATION?**
- 8 A. Yes.