```
1
1
         BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
    In the Matter of Phillip :
    Smith, Notice of Apparent : Case No. 09-422-TR-CVF
    Violation and Intent to
4
    Assess Forfeiture
5
6
                          PROCEEDINGS
7
    Before Scott E. Farkas, Hearing Examiner, at the Public
8
    Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street,
9
    Room 11-F, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on
10
    Tuesday, October 20, 2009.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
               222 East Town Street, Second Floor
23
                   Columbus, Ohio 43215-4620
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
24
                      Fax - (614) 224-5724
25
```

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney General By William L. Wright, Esq. Werner L. Margard, III, Esq.	
4	Assistant Attorneys General 180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793	
5	On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.	
6	Phillip Smith	
7	629 South Fayette Street Washington Court House, Ohio 43160	
8	Pro se.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

				3
1	INDEX			
2				
3	WITNESSES		PAGE	
4	OFFICER OWEN R. ADKINS		_	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Wright		6	
6	JOHN J. CANTY Direct Examination by Mr. Wright		18	
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Smith		19 20	
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Wright Recross-Examination by Mr. Smith		21	
8	PHILLIP SMITH			
9	Direct Testimony by Mr. Smith Cross-Examination by Mr. Wright		25 27	
10	Examination by the Hearing Examiner		42 45	
11	Recross-Examination by Mr. Wright		45	
12				
13	STAFF EXHIBITS	ID'D	REC'D	
14	1 - Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	7	17	
	2 - 40 C.F.R. 391.45	14	17	
15	3 - Handwritten statement by Mr. Smith	45	48	
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
2425				
۵۵				

1 Tuesday Morning Session, 2 October 20, 2009. 3 4 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Let's go on the The Commission has called for hearing at this record. 6 time and place the matter of Phillip Smith, Notice of 7 Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, 8 Case No. 09-422-TR-CVF. My name is Scott Farkas. I'm 9 the Attorney Examiner assigned to hear this case. 10 First of all, I'll take appearances. 11 On behalf of Staff. 12 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 behalf of the Transportation Staff and Ohio Attorney 14 General Richard Cordray, Bill Wright and Vern Margard, 15 M-a-r-g-a-r-d, Public Utilities Commission, 180 East 16 Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. 17 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Mr. Smith, would 18 you identify yourself for the record. 19 Yes, sir. Phillip Smith. MR. SMITH: 20 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: And what is your 21 address? 22 MR. SMITH: 629 South Fayette Street, 2.3 Washington Court House, Ohio 43160. 24 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Let me swear you 25 in since you're going to be making a statement.

(Mr. Smith sworn in.)

б

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: And you are appearing here without counsel today; is that correct?

MR. SMITH: That's correct.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: And that is -you understand you are able to get counsel if you so
choose? You're choosing not to; is that correct? You
could have gotten an attorney --

MR. SMITH: I agree with the last part of your statement. There's a financial consideration on ability.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. But for the hearing today, you are not represented by counsel and you are willing to go forward without counsel?

MR. SMITH: That is correct, sir.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Thank you. You can have a seat anywhere at the table if you want to.

MR. SMITH: Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Staff, you can call your first witness.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. At this time we would call Officer Adkins.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Raise your right hand.

```
6
1
                     OFFICER OWEN R. ADKINS,
2
    being by the Hearing Examiner first duly sworn, as
3
    hereinafter certified, testifies and says as follows:
4
                  HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: You can be
5
    seated.
6
                  And you can proceed.
7
                  MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, can we go off the
8
    record for five minutes?
                  (Discussion off the record.)
10
                  HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Go back on the
11
    record.
12
                 You can proceed.
13
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
    By Mr. Wright:
15
                 Good morning, Mr. Adkins. How are you?
           Ο.
16
                  Fine. How about yourself?
           Α.
                  I'm fine. Thanks. Would you please state
17
           Q.
18
    your name for the record.
19
                  Owen, middle initial R., Adkins.
           Α.
20
                  Is that 0-w-e-n?
           Ο.
21
           Α.
                 O-w-e-n, last name A-d-k-i-n-s.
22
           Q.
                 Okay. Mr. Adkins, by whom are you
23
    employed?
24
                 By the State Highway Patrol, Motor Carrier
           Α.
25
    Enforcement.
```

7 1 Q. How long have you been with the patrol? 2 Α. About 14 years. PUCO and all is 26 1/2 3 years. 4 Q. And in that time is it fair to say that 5 you've done hundreds, if not thousands of inspections 6 of motor vehicles? 7 Α. Thousands. 8 Q. Thousands? Okay. In this particular 9 case, you did an investigation involving the driver, 10 Mr. Smith, back on June 7 of 2007; is that correct? 11 Yes, sir. That's the inspection date. Α. 12 Q. Okay. 13 At this time, Your Honor, I'd like to mark 14 as Staff Exhibit 1 the inspection report. 15 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. So 16 marked. 17 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 18 PURPOSES.) 19 Thank you. MR. SMITH: 20 MR. WRIGHT: You're welcome. 21 Mr. Adkins, you have in front of you -- it Ο. 22 should be the same thing -- what has just been marked 23

as Staff Exhibit No. 1. Do you have that in front of you?

> Α. Yes, sir.

24

- Q. And would that be the inspection report that you prepared on June 7 of 2007?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And that did, in fact, involve a driver by
 the name of Phillip Smith?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

18

- Q. Okay. Now, a couple of questions about the report itself, this was a full Level I inspection; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And would you explain for the record what Level I means, please?
- A. Level I, driver's qualifications, vehicle registrations, and the safety of the vehicle, measure brakes and all.
- Q. Okay. And as a result of this inspection, you wrote up several violations, did you not?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And those appear in the violations section of the report?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Okay. Now, I'd like to direct your

 attention to the first of the three, the first one

 listed there, that violation is for an expired medical
 examiner's certificate; is that right?

A. That's correct.

1

6

7

8

14

20

21

22

- Q. Now, when you -- as you explained a moment
 ago, your routine when you would do a Level I
 inspection is to request of the driver certain
 paperwork; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - O. That would include his CDL?
 - A. CDL, logbook, if it's required, and medical examination.
- Q. CDL, for the record, is Commercial
 Driver's License. And in this instance, you -- so Mr.
 Smith would have handed you his medical certificate; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you noted on that what? What did -
 strike that. What's your understanding -- are medical

 certificates required of all commercial vehicle

 drivers?
- A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And is that usually at two-year intervals?
 - A. Most of the time, unless they have some kind of a medical problem, high blood pressure or something, and then it's required yearly.
- Q. Okay. And you understand that that requirement is found in the Code of Federal

Regulations?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. Now, when Mr. Smith would have handed you his medical certificate -- what information is on a medical certificate? Let's do it that way.
 - A. Well, the driver's name, usually -- and a doctor's signature and the date of the exam and expiration date.
 - Q. And the expiration date would either be one or two years out from the date of the exam?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Both are on the card, is that what you're saying?
- A. The date of the examination, and usually on the bottom it had the expiration date of it.
 - Q. Okay. In this instance, according to your report, you noted that Mr. Smith's medical certificate had been expired for roughly three weeks. Would that be right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. May 15th, 2007?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And, again, that date would appear right on the certificate itself?
 - A. Yes, it would.

- Q. Okay. All right. And would I be correct at that point in time that you would have alerted Mr.

 Smith that his medical card was expired?
 - A. Yes. Yes, I did.

4

5

6

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. So, at that point in time, as of the time you stopped him, Mr. Smith was driving without a valid medical certificate; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Which you understand under the Federal
 Regulations to be required of any commercial vehicle
 driver?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Now, what we've marked as Staff
 Exhibit 1, Mr. Adkins, your examination report, this
 report -- I notice the date on this, it's over two
 years old. This report would have been prepared by you
 at the time of the inspection; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And am I also correct that once you plugged in all the information, some -- you have equipment in your car that would generate a copy of this that you then would provide to the driver?
 - A. At the time of the inspection.
- Q. Okay. So a copy of this report would have been given to Mr. Adkins before he drove away that day;

is that right?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

A. Yes. And he's to submit it to his company when he got back to the terminal.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Smith.

- Q. Mr. Smith.
- A. Yes.
- Q. So the answer is yes, he would have had a copy -- he would have been given a copy of this at that time?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And as you, I think, were starting to indicate, it's then his responsibility to report that back to -- to his employer, the carrier?
 - A. His employer may in about 15 days get it back into the Public Utilities Commission.
 - Q. All right. Am I also correct, Mr. Adkins, that not only would you have provided Mr. Smith with a copy of this report, but you would have explained your findings?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Not only the expired medical certificate, but also the other violations that you noted; is that right?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. And those would have been things you would

- have expected to have been fixed?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Promptly repaired. Okay. There can be no confusion about the fact that the card was expired; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - O. I mean, the date is on the card?
 - A. The date's on the card. That's what we go by, the dates.
 - Q. So if -- so, again, there's two dates. I want to make sure I understand this. There's two dates on the card, the date of the exam, and then the second date would be one or two years out from that date?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Two years if it's a normal situation, one year if there's a medical condition that requires it more frequently?
- A. Or the doctor's discretion on how often he wants to keep checking the driver up, blood pressure or something like that.
- Q. Okay. And is it your understanding, Mr. Adkins, that the responsibility for having a valid or current medical certificate, that that, under the Federal Regulations, is a responsibility that lies with the driver?

```
1
                 The driver, the company. Also the
           Α.
2
    company, they -- usually a lot of them notifies their
3
    drivers, but then a lot of companies don't. The
4
    drivers keep track of it --
           Q.
                 But it's your -- I'm sorry.
6
           Α.
                 That's okay.
7
                 But is it your understanding that the
           Ο.
8
    regulation itself imposes the requirement upon the
    driver to have a valid medical --
10
                 Must have it in his possession while
           Α.
11
    driving a commercial vehicle.
12
                 MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, I would like to
    have marked as Staff Exhibit No. 2 the Federal
13
14
    Regulation, 49 C.F.R. 391.45. I wouldn't normally do
15
    this, but just for convenience of reference.
16
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okav.
17
    marked.
18
                 MR. WRIGHT: It will be Staff No. 2.
19
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION
20
                 PURPOSES.)
```

Q. Now, Mr. Adkins, according to your

22 report --

21

23

24

25

May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.

Q. According to your report, you cited Mr.

```
15
1
    Smith under 391.45; is that right?
           Α.
                 45(b).
3
                 For the record, that would be 49 C.F.R.
           Ο.
4
    391.45, and you said "b," did you not?
5
           Α.
                 Correct.
6
                 And "b" imposes, clearly imposes the
           Ο.
7
    obligation on him. Is it the driver?
8
           Α.
                 The driver has not been medically examined
    or certified.
10
                 Okay. So while the driver's employer, the
           Ο.
11
    company, may help with some of the arrangements,
12
    it's -- it's your understanding that the regulation
13
    requires the medical certificate to be kept current by
14
    the driver; is that right?
15
                 That's correct.
           Α.
16
           Q.
                 Okay.
17
                 MR. WRIGHT: Can I confer just for a
18
    second?
19
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.
20
                  (Discussion off the record.)
21
                 MR. WRIGHT: That's all the questions we
22
    have, Your Honor. Thank you.
23
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Mr. Smith, do
24
```

No.

you have any questions for the witness?

MR. SMITH:

```
1
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Then
2
    you're excused. Thank you for your testimony.
3
                 (Witness excused.)
4
                 MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, at this time we
5
    would move the admission of Staff Exhibits 1 and 2.
6
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Any objection?
7
                 MR. SMITH: I'd like to see a copy of No.
8
    2, please.
                 MR. WRIGHT: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
10
                 MR. SMITH: Thank you.
11
                 MR. WRIGHT: That's the regulations you
12
    were cited under.
13
                 MR. SMITH: I understand.
14
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Do you want a
15
    few minutes to look at that?
16
                 MR. SMITH: I would like a moment.
17
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Why don't
18
    we take a five-minute recess.
19
                 MR. SMITH: Thank you.
20
                 (Discussion off the record.)
21
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Let's go back on
22
    the record. Mr. Smith, you wanted to make a statement
23
    in regards to Staff Exhibit 2 that you had a chance to
24
    review?
25
                 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.
```

```
1
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay.
2
                 MR. SMITH:
                             In reading No. 2, I see no
3
    reason to expect that the burden of providing the
4
    medical examiner's certificate is on the driver.
5
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. So you --
6
                 MR. SMITH: Even though the question was
7
    asked is the burden on the driver and the affirmative
8
    was given in response, I see nothing in Exhibit No. 2
    that indicates that.
10
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: So you are --
11
    you have a different opinion with respect to what that
    exhibit -- what that statute means, is that correct,
12
13
    than the one you expect to testify?
14
                 MR. SMITH: That's correct.
15
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: But you don't
16
    object to the admission of the exhibit itself, not the
17
    interpretation, but the exhibit?
18
                 MR. SMITH: No, not at all.
19
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Do you have any
20
    objection to either of Staff's exhibits, the inspection
21
    report or the C.F.R. section?
22
                             No, sir.
                 MR. SMITH:
23
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay.
                                                   Then
24
    those will be admitted.
25
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
```

1 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: With respect to 2 your opinion of interpreting that section of the code, 3 you can make a statement with respect to that when 4 you're on the stand if you so choose. MR. SMITH: Thank you, sir. 6 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okav. 7 You can call your next witness. 8 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Canty. JOHN J. CANTY, 10 being by the Hearing Examiner first duly sworn, as 11 hereinafter certified, testifies and says as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 By Mr. Wright: 14 Good morning, Mr. Canty. Ο. 15 Α. Morning. 16 Please state your name for the record. Ο. 17 Α. John J. Canty. 18 And you are employed by whom? Q. 19 The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Α. 20 And what is your position? Ο. 21 I'm the Assistant Chief of the Compliance Α. 22 Division. 23 The civil forfeiture in this case that was Ο. 24 assessed was \$100; is that correct? 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. Is that a standard amount that is assessed for a violation of this type?
- A. Yes. That's the standard amount that's assessed on every medical card violation.
 - Q. No guesswork? That is the fine; correct?
 - A. Right.

1

2

- 7 MR. WRIGHT: That's all the questions we 8 have for Mr. Canty.
- HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: All right.
- Do you have any questions for Mr. Canty?
- MR. SMITH: Yes.
- 12 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: All right.
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 By Mr. Smith:
- Q. Sir, the imposition of forfeiture upon the driver that is policy at PUCO, is that based on Ohio statute?
- A. Whether it's imposed on the driver or the carrier?
- Q. Exactly.
- A. Is that based on Ohio statute?
- Q. Yes, sir.
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Thank you very much.
- HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Any redirect?

1 MR. WRIGHT: Yes, Your Honor. 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 By Mr. Wright: 4 Ο. Just to follow-up on that last question, 5 Mr. Canty, what is the basis? 6 It would be the Federal Regulations, the 7 Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations. 8 Q. And it's your understanding of those 9 Federal Regulations that a violation of this type is 10 properly imposed on the driver; is that right? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And that is, in fact, what happened here; 13 is that right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Thank you very much. Ο. 16 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Just for the 17 record, has the Commission adopted the Federal 18 Regulations as regulations that the Commission 19 enforces, also? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: You can ask 22 another question in follow-up to that. 23 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 24 25

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Smith:

1

3

4

5

- Q. The basis in the Federal Regulations of the imposition of the forfeiture upon the driver, is that basis contained in Exhibit No. 2?
- A. I don't have Exhibit No. 2 in front of me.
- MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, may I approach?

9 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes.

- MR. WRIGHT: Federal Regulations, the exhibit (indicating).
- A. Okay. I have Exhibit No. 2 in front of me.
- MR. WRIGHT: While the witness was reading, I was going to ask to have the question reread.
- MR. SMITH: May I repeat the question?

MR. WRIGHT: Sure.

- MR. SMITH: May I repeat the question?
- HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Yes. The court
- reporter was going to reread it, but --
- MR. SMITH: I'm sorry. Please.
- 23 (Question before last read back.)
- A. Yes is my answer to that question.
- HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Do you want to

1 ask another question?

(Discussion off the record.)

- Q. Is the basis for the PUCO's imposition of the forfeiture upon the driver contained in Exhibit
 No. 2?
 - A. Yes. Persons who must be medically examined and certified, 391.45 states that the driver must be medically examined and certified.
 - Q. It states that the driver must be certified?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And because the driver must be certified, the PUCO makes it policy to impose forfeiture upon the driver rather than the company?
- A. Well, I think it would be similar to other statutes such as -- I don't have it in front of me, but Title 45 of the Ohio Revised Code would require all drivers to take a test to get a driver's license. I think implied in that is that the driver takes that test, and I think implied in this is that the driver gets medically examined, not the company. It's the person driving the vehicle who has to have the driver's license. It's the commercial motor driver who has to have the medical certificate. I think it's implied in the language. I don't have -- as I say, I don't have

```
1
    another section of the Ohio Revised Code in front of
    me, but it would be my understanding that it would not
3
    specifically state you, as a driver, must take a test.
4
    I think it probably says that all drivers must take a
    test, and it would be implied you're not going to get
6
    the driver's license unless you take that test.
7
                 MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, if I may, I would
8
    like to make sure we're clear. I direct this to Mr.
9
    Smith, your -- your point is whether or not -- I
10
    believe the point you're trying to make is whether or
```

MR. SMITH: That is correct.

not the forfeiture should be imposed upon you or the

carrier. You are not, however, challenging the \$100

amount is incorrectly calculated; correct?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WRIGHT: Okay. I just wanted to make sure the record is clear on that point, and that's really -- the latter is what this witness is being sponsored for.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Do you have any other questions for the witness?

MR. SMITH: I do have questions not specifically directed to the witness. I'm unfamiliar with the process of the legal system, and I do not understand the process of my statements in chronological reference to my adversarial companion,

```
and so I don't want to miss my opportunity to speak
because I don't know when that opportunity will ensue.
```

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Mr.

4 Wright sponsors the witness, and that witness is 5 examined on direct examination. You then have the 6 opportunity to do cross-examination of Mr. Canty, the witness. After you finished your cross-examination, 8 Mr. Wright is allowed to do what's called redirect, which is to respond to any questions that you had with 10 the witness with his own questions, and thereafter you 11 are allowed to do recross-examination of the witness in 12 response to those immediate questions. There is no 13 more further examination that Mr. Wright can do of the

MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, if I may --

MR. SMITH: Thank you.

witness at this point because --

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WRIGHT: And you understand once we're done with our witnesses, you'll then have your opportunity to make a statement.

MR. SMITH: Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: Which I think is the opportunity you're referring to.

MR. SMITH: That is the opportunity I'm referring to. Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: You are excused.

1 (Witness excused.) 2 MR. WRIGHT: We would -- we don't have to 3 move the admission of anything. 4 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Right. That 5 completes the witnesses that the Staff has? 6 MR. WRIGHT: It does. Thank you, Your 7 Honor. 8 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: You will be 9 allowed to make a statement. Come up here to the 10 witness chair. For the record, I have previously sworn 11 you in. 12 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 13 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: You can go ahead 14 and make your statement. 15 PHILLIP SMITH, 16 being by the Hearing Examiner previously duly sworn, as 17 hereinafter certified, testifies and says as follows: 18 DIRECT TESTIMONY 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do not contest the 20 amount of the fine or the occurrence of the violation. 21 What I contest --22 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Just so I'm 23 clear, you're not contesting that you were driving a 24 commercial motor vehicle with an expired medical 25 certificate?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: And you do not

3 | contest that that violates the Commission's rules?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: And you do not contest the fact that the fine, the civil forfeiture that would be assessed for that violation would be \$100?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. Go

ahead.

THE WITNESS: What I am contesting is the fact that this forfeiture is being levied against me. The previous witness stated that the statutory basis for levying the forfeiture against me was implied in the statute, and yet -- in a federal statute, and yet in Ohio Statute 4905.55, entitled Liability for Act of Agent, states, "The act, omission, or failure of any officer, agent, or other person acting for or employed by a public utility or railroad while acting in the scope of his employment is the act or failure of the public utility or railroad." Now, there is no simple implication in that statute, and it is an Ohio statute, and my contention is that the company, having for 25 years scheduled my medical appointment and having

acclimated me to that procedure and in missing the -this specific scheduling of an appointment, which they
are contractually required to pay for and contractually
given the right to pick the examining facility, doctor,
or medical personnel, they are at least partially
culpable in the violation, and the assessment of
forfeiture completely on me, I believe to be arbitrary
and convenient. I guess that's my statement.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay.

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, Your Honor, I think I do have a few questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Wright:

- Q. Mr. Smith, you referenced an Ohio statute there and then also the yellow book on the witness stand. What is that yellow book, by the way?
- A. It's a contract, the same contract -- if I may be -- well, if I may remark, that contracts are what got those bankers their hundreds of millions of dollars of taxpayers' money when their banks, the jobs that they did at their banks failed and the banks had to be bailed out with hundreds of billions of taxpayer dollars, and yet these people still got hundreds of millions because it was contractual.
 - Q. So you're saying contracts can lead to bad

- 1 results? Is that what you're --
- A. No. I'm saying contracts for rich people
- are written in gold, and contracts for poor people
- 4 aren't worth the paper they're written on.
- Q. Well, let's get to the point. You've been
- 6 driving for 20 --
- A. Forty years.
 - Q. Forty years?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I'm sorry. I thought you said 20-some
- 11 earlier.

- 12 A. At this company.
- Q. Okay. You've been driving at this company
- 14 for 20-some years. Are you on a one- or two-year
- 15 | interval for your medical card?
- A. Two years.
- 17 Q. Two years. Okay. So your medical
- 18 certificate would expire every two years on or about
- 19 | the same date; correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. So it's your contention that
- despite the fact that you carry that card, that you
- hand that card -- have you been inspected by other
- people other than Mr. Adkins?
- A. Oh, yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. And it's a fairly routine procedure that you're asked for that medical card, aren't you?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And is it fair to say that somewhere along the line -- well, strike that. I assume you have occasion to look at that medical card every now and then?
- A. No, sir.

- 9 Q. Or do you not pay any attention to it at 10 all?
- 11 A. No, sir. It's -- it's like your driver's
 12 license. You only look at it when you need to display
 13 it.
 - Q. So if, under your theory, if my driver's license expires -- it's the window washers (indicating). Excuse me. If my driver's license expires and I'm out driving on the road and I get stopped for speeding or something like that, should I be responsible for that?
 - A. Well, if you have been acclimated to receive notice from the State of Ohio that your driver's license is about to expire, I would say no. If the State of Ohio, for the term of your driving career, notified you when you needed to renew your license and one time they didn't and you overlooked the

1 general perception that the time is nearing to renew your license through the expectation that you will 3 receive notice, I would say that in that situation the 4 culpability would be upon the state for not notifying, 5 and I'm correlating my experience with the company to 6 this in that the company has notified me every two 7 years that I need to take a physical. They are 8 required to pay for that physical contractually. 9 reserve the right to pick the doctor contractually.

- Q. Do they always do that or do you --
- A. Yes, sir.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. They always pick the doctor?
- A. They have always done that.
- Q. All right. Well, let's see if we can't get to the point. Who is this contract between?
- A. The International Brotherhood of Teamsters and the American Trucking Association.
 - Q. So it's a union contract; correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the union contract provides for -probably provides for a lot of things, including a
 grievance procedure, doesn't it?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And if your position is that it's your employer's responsibility to schedule your physical, to

choose your doctor, to notify you when it's time for
your physical, and your employer doesn't do that, then
your beef is with your employer under that contract, is
it not?

A. Well, presently --

- Q. And I know you're not an attorney, sir, so I'm not asking you for a legal conclusion. Now, if you can answer my question. I apologize. I didn't mean to interrupt you. I'm not interested in an interpretation of the contract. I'm simply asking you, the contract contains a number of terms and provisions that govern your relationship, as a member of the union, with your employer; is that fair?
 - A. It's fair. I think it's beside the point.
- Q. Oh, it's the point you're relying on, sir. You're saying that that contract establishes liability in this case, and you're saying that you're absolved from liability despite the fact that you've been driving for 40 years, 20-some with this employer, and had physicals every two years, you're saying hey, not my problem, my employer's problem? Is that not what you testified to here today?
- A. Yes, but I am not basing it on the contract between the International Brotherhood of Teamsters and the American Trucking Association. I'm

- basing it on Ohio Revised Code 4905.55, Liability for the Act of an Agent.
 - Q. Are you an attorney?
 - A. No, sir.

6

7

8

12

13

14

23

24

- Q. What does that mean?
- A. It means that I am not an attorney.
- Q. What does that statute mean in your laymen's terms?
- 9 A. In laymen's terms, as I interpret that

 10 statute, culpability for this violation lies with

 11 Arkansas Best Freight System and not with me.
 - Q. Does that preclude both of you from being imposed a fine?
 - A. No, sir.
- 0. It doesn't, does it?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- Q. And if your employer has assumed this
 responsibility, are you surprised that we've not heard
 from your employer at all in this case? I assume you
 went to your employer after this happened, did you not?
- A. I am not surprised because I don't know if you have heard from my employer or not.
 - Q. I can assure you that we have not.
 - A. And your second question?
 - Q. My -- well, we have not heard from your

- employer, and if -- if it's their responsibility,
- wouldn't they have just paid the fine or provided
- you -- came down here and represented you to complain
- 4 about it? Is it your -- is it your belief that that's
- 5 their responsibility? Should they be the ones sitting
- 6 in the chair today, not you?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Your company? Okay.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So it's their responsibility to fight this thing, according to your story; right? Is that what
- 12 you're saying?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And they, of course, are not in
- 15 this room today?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- Q. And I'm happy to put Mr. Canty back on the
- 18 stand if you'd like. He will testify that we've not
- 19 heard anything from your employer in this case about
- 20 this specific violation.
- A. They have not been notified of an intent
- 22 to assess forfeiture. Only I have been notified of an
- 23 lintent to assess forfeiture.
- Q. Did you not turn over the inspection
- ²⁵ report to your employer?

A. Oh, yes.

1

4

- Q. Okay. This isn't about the forfeiture.
- 3 You already acknowledged that. This is about --
 - A. This is only about the forfeiture.
- Q. I'm sorry. I'm confused. You said
 previously it's not about the amount of forfeiture,
 it's about who is responsible here; right?
 - A. Exactly, for the forfeiture.
 - O. Not for the violations?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You're acknowledging --
- 12 A. That's right.
- Q. You're acknowledging that you are responsible for having a valid medical card?
- A. Yes, sir. I am contending that the forfeiture be billed rightly, pun intended.
- 0. Pun intended?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So now your position -- I'm sorry.
- 20 I'm confused. Now your position is that --
- A. It hasn't changed, sir.
- Q. -- that the forfeiture should have been assessed to your employer?
- A. Exactly.
- Q. Okay. But the responsibility for having a

- valid medical card is yours? That's what you're saying now; is that right?
- A. Well, I'm saying that it is both the

 company and my responsibility. I'm sure that the

 Federal Regulations require the company not to allow

 anyone to operate their equipment without a valid

 medical certificate, and they understand this, because

 it's in the contract that they negotiate for labor for

 them to set this up to be taken care of.
- Q. So we're back to the contract. You're saying --
- 12 A. That's an aside. It's not the point of the --

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. You're saying the contract lays out the rights and responsibilities to have all these things that you just said taken care of?
- A. It's comparable to the fact that once the company found out that I had been cited for an invalid medical certificate, they did what they were supposed to do the very next day. They wasted no time.
- Q. Easy to do, isn't it? Easy to get that appointment set up and done, and, in fact, you did it the next day?
- A. No, not me. Them. They did it the next day, because they understand their liability.

- Q. Well, you saw a local doctor, didn't you?
- A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Uh-huh. Same one you've probably been seeing for a number of years, isn't it?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now, regardless of who chooses that doctor, you're saying that you should not have to pay any attention whatsoever to the expiration date on your medical card?
- A. If I made the appointment for the doctor,
 I would be liable for the doctor's fees. They are
 liable for the doctor's fees.
- Q. Okay. I'm not talking about the fees to pay the doctor. What I'm talking about is you're saying -- I want to make sure I understand this. You're saying you have no responsibility to pay any attention to that medical card? Let's assume your employer overlooked the date. You don't have any responsibility to contact your employer and say hey, look, my time is up, I've got to get this done? Is that what you're saying?
- A. No, sir. Because I advised the company's secretary who takes care of making these appointments, I advised her a couple of months beforehand. If she forgets to make the appointment that I advised her I

- 1 | needed, I am not culpable for that oversight of hers.
- Q. Based on the division of responsibilities
- ³ | in your union contract?
- A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. Well, who is behind the wheel?
- 6 A. Me.
- 7 Q. The company or you?
- 8 A. Me.
- Q. What's the purpose of having a medical
- 10 | certificate?
- 11 A. To make sure I can do what I'm supposed to
- ¹² do.
- Q. Absolutely. And, again, it's the
- company -- it's you behind the wheel, not the company;
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you have -- do you have Staff Exhibit
- 18 No. 2 in front of you?
- 19 A. Yes, sir. Uh-huh.
- Q. Very quickly, do you understand -- do you
- 21 have the inspection report as well?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Right here. This is it right here
- 24 (indicating).
- A. That -- this is exactly correct.

Q. Okay.

1

3

7

- A. Exactly correct.
 - Q. May I ask you a question, sir?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You were cited for an expired medical examiner's certificate, which you do not deny; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And you were driving on an expired medical certificate for at least three weeks; correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- Q. And I direct your attention here, it references 391.45, is that right --
- A. Uh-huh.
- 0. -- (b)?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Small "b." And (b)(1), what's the second word in (b)(1)? It describes who is required to have --
- 19 A. I don't see (b)(1).
- Q. (b)(1) is right here, sir, in the
 regulation (indicating). It says "driver," does it
 not?
- 23 A. "The following persons must be medically
 24 examined and certified...as physically qualified to
 25 operate a commercial motor vehicle: Any driver who has

not been medically examined and certified as qualified
to operate a commercial motor vehicle during the
preceding 24 months."

2.3

- Q. There's no reference in there anywhere to any driver who has made the appropriate arrangements by contract or otherwise with their employer, is it?
- A. That is correct. And there is also no reference to who is liable for the forfeiture imposed upon the violation, whereas there is reference in Ohio Revised Code that the omission of any person acting -- while acting in the scope of his employment is the omission of the public utility.
- Q. How did you find that statute, sir? Were you -- were you doing some legal research on your own?
 - A. Well, you have a Website.
 - Q. We do have a Website, that is correct.
 - A. I saw it on your Website.
- Q. It is? Okay. All right. And, again, your interpretation of that statute is a laymen's interpretation? You're not an attorney?
 - A. Thank goodness.
- Q. You're entitled to your opinion, sir. And to the extent this was an oversight by your employer, which is what you're saying --
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. -- and maybe we'll be happy to send a letter to your employer to that effect --
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. -- to the extent you feel this is an oversight by your employer, you have recourse against your employer, do you not, just as you would if you have to pay the forfeiture?
 - A. Well, actually, that does not concern you, just like this contract does not concern you.
 - Q. Sir, answer my question, please.
 - A. Yes, I have recourse.
 - Q. Because you believe, under those circumstances, that that would be a violation of the responsibilities, as you understand them to be, between you and your employer? You understand this to be the responsibility of your employer to set this up; correct?
 - A. That is my understanding.
 - Q. And unless the employer sets it up, it could be six months expired, it could be twelve months expired. You're still out there -- you're still out there allowed to drive on the highways; right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
- A. Now --

MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, there's no question.

THE WITNESS: Now may I question myself?

May I make a statement?

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: He had an opportunity to question me.

HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I'm here because I don't think the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio understands that the imposition of forfeiture on the driver is an arbitrary and convenient remedy to a violation of this type. That is why I'm here. I think it's arbitrary because the Federal Regulations that the imposition of this forfeiture is based on may only imply that the driver may be assessed the forfeiture, whereas the statute of the State of Ohio explicitly states that the omission of an employee is the omission of the public utility. My company is the public utility. I am only an employee of that public utility, and I'm being assessed a forfeiture on an omission of theirs, and that is what I'm here to state. Thank you, sir.

EXAMINATION

By Hearing Examiner Farkas:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. You believe that your failure to get and have a valid medical certificate is an omission of the company?
- A. I believe that the company is statutorily required to preclude the operation of their equipment without the proper certifications.
 - O. But you --
- A. And, therefore, they are equally culpable with me in this violation.
- Q. So you think the company should also be fined \$100?
- A. I think the company only should be fined \$15 \$100.
- Q. You don't deny that you are culpable for a violation; is that correct?
- 18 A. Well --
- Q. You don't deny that you were operating a commercial motor vehicle without a valid medical certificate, do you?
- A. No, I do not deny that.
- Q. You don't deny that that's a violation of the Commission's rule?
 - A. No, I do not deny that.

Q. And you don't deny that it's your responsibility to have a valid medical certificate, do you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. You see, Judge Farkas, that is a very gray The statutes and regulations do not specifically lay the burden of responsibility on either the company or the driver. This is why I'm here, because the forfeiture is being assessed on the easiest one to assess the forfeiture on, where the company is required statutorily and contractually to take care of these requirements. These are good requirements, and they should be conformed to, and yet I'm here to pay a fine because the company didn't do what they were supposed I advised the company every time it gets close to do. to the time I need a medical examination, and if they don't call their doctor that they have to pick -- I'm not allowed to pick the doctor. If they don't call that doctor that they're required to pay -- if I call him, I'd be required to pay, wouldn't I?
- Q. You had said this type of violation. Do you think -- do you believe that drivers have any responsibility at all for compliance with Commission rules or do you think because they're employees that it's the carrier's responsibility?
 - A. Morally --

Q. Not morally.

1

6

7

8

16

23

24

- A. -- and intellectually the driver has all the responsibility. Fiscally and financially, it is the company's responsibility to maintain a qualified driver.
 - Q. And doesn't the driver have to be qualified?
 - A. Of course.
- Q. And isn't it the driver's responsibility
 to be qualified?
- 11 A. Of course.
- Q. Okay. So you knew you weren't

 qualified, that you didn't have a medical certificate,

 a valid --
- A. I did not know that.
 - O. You didn't know it was invalid?
- A. Once it was checked, I understood it to be invalid. I didn't know I was driving with an invalid medical certificate. I had advised them a month or two before, or thereabouts, and I assumed that they would make the appointment, as they always have done, in time to keep a valid medical certificate on file for me.
 - Q. But you don't deny that you were operating with an --
 - A. No, sir, I do not.

```
-- invalid medical certificate?
1
           Q.
2
           Α.
                 That is correct.
3
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Anything else?
4
                 MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, I think we've
5
    beat this horse enough. I do -- I would like to mark
6
    and have the witness acknowledge that he previously
7
    submitted a statement, and I'd like to ask him a
8
    question about the statement.
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay.
10
                 You want to mark that as what?
11
                 MR. WRIGHT: I guess we'll call it
    Staff 3.
12
13
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: That is the
14
    four-page statement dated 7/29/09 --
15
                 MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
16
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: -- that was
17
    docketed with the Commission?
18
                 MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
19
                  (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION
20
                 PURPOSES.)
21
                      RECROSS-EXAMINATION
22
    By Mr. Wright:
23
           Ο.
                 Do you happen to have that, your own
24
    statement?
25
           Α.
                 Yes, sir.
```

- HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: And that was authored by Mr. Smith?

 MR WRIGHT: I was going to ask him the
- MR. WRIGHT: I was going to ask him that, but, yes.
- Q. Mr. Smith, you have in front of you a four-page document --
- A. Yes, sir.

8

9

13

- Q. -- dated between 7/29/09 which purports to be a statement you made; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And is it fair to say that that statement outlines the positions you're taking here today?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you stand by that statement?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it's your position, is it not, that
 everything that happens in the process again leading up
 to you actually getting the physical that you need
 every two years, that that is contractual? And I
 direct you -- sir, I direct you to Page 3 --
 - A. It's also statutory.
- Q. I direct you to Page 3 of your statement,
 please.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And to shortcut this, let me show you what

- 1 I'm referring to, if I may.
 - A. Okay.

3

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Page 3 (indicating).
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. This sentence beginning, "The company" (indicating), please read that to yourself.
- A. "The company notifies me of the appointment, and I comply. This scenario is contractual."
 - Q. Okay. Thank you. And it's your position, as you stated on Page 4 -- the last sentence of the first paragraph on Page 4, you state, do you not, "In this instance, the company did not conform to these requirements"?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- Q. And so the company basically failed on its end of the contract, and you're not getting the benefit of the bargain that you believe you should get vis-a-vis the contract; right?
- A. That is correct.
 - Q. Thank you very much.
- We would move the admission of Staff
- 23 Exhibit 3.
- HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: I take it you don't object to your own statement being admitted?

```
1
                 THE WITNESS: Not at all, sir.
2
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Then that will
3
    be admitted.
4
                  (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
5
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS:
                                            Is there
б
    anything further you have that you would like to
7
    present?
8
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, if I may take a
9
    moment.
10
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay.
11
                 THE WITNESS: We've been here over an
12
    hour, and the whole gist of it is the PUCO exists to
13
    regulate public utilities, not impose traffic tickets,
14
    and that's -- that's why I don't understand why I'm
15
    being charged with the forfeiture. Thank you, sir.
16
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Okay. You can
17
    step down.
18
                  (Witness excused.)
19
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: I believe that's
20
    all we have.
21
                 MR. WRIGHT: I believe that's all we have,
22
    Your Honor.
23
                 HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Let's go off the
24
    record a second.
25
                  (Discussion off the record.)
```

```
49
1
                   HEARING EXAMINER FARKAS: Let's go back on
 2
    the record. The case will be submitted to the
 3
    Commission, and we'll stand adjourned.
 4
                   (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at
 5
    11:12 a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, October 20, 2009, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. _s/Valerie J. Sloas__ Valerie J. Sloas, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio. My commission expires June 8, 2011. (VJS-698)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/4/2009 10:40:58 AM

in

Case No(s). 09-0422-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript Transcript from 10/20/09 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Sloas, Valerie J. Mrs.