October 30, 2009

Betty McCauley<br>Chief of Docketing<br>The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio<br>180 East Broad Street<br>Columbus, OH 43215

SUBJECT: Case Nos. 09-0968-EL-ATA
89-6006-EL-TRF
89-6001-EL-TRF
89-6008-EL-TRF

Dear Ms. McCauley:
In the filing made Friday, October 16, 2009, by Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively, the "Companies") in Case No. 09-968-EL-ATA, there was an error in Schedule D-3 for The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company that affected Schedules A-1, A-2, B-2, B-3, B-5, C-3, and D-3 for those companies. Corrected versions of the affected schedules are enclosed for each of the impacted Companies. Please file these pages as replacement for the various schedules listed above in the initial Application.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Should you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 330-384-5130.

Sincerely,


Kevin T. Warvell
Director, Rate Strategy
Enclosures

## RIDER TAS

## Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider

## APPLICABILITY:

Applicable to any customer who receives electric service under the Company's rate schedules. This Rider is not applied to customers during the period the customer takes electric generation service from a certified supplier.

## PURPOSE:

The Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider (TAS) will recover all transmission and transmissionrelated costs, including ancillary and congestion costs, imposed on or charged to the Company by FERC or a regional transmission organization, independent transmission operator, or similar organization approved by FERC.

## RATE:

The TAS charge for each Rate Schedule shall be calculated as follows:

Where:


TAC = The amount of the Company's total projected Transmission and Ancillary Servicesrelated costs for the Computation Period, allocated to each rate schedule.

The Computation Period over which TAS will apply shall be January 1 through December 31 of each year.

E = From June 1 through December 31, 2009, any remaining net over- or undercollection of the Transmission and Ancillary Services-related costs, including applicable interest, invoiced during the period ending May 31, 2009, allocated to rate schedules. Starting January 1, 2010, the net over- or under-collection of the TAC, including applicable interest, invoiced during the 12 -month period ending September 30 of each year that immediately precedes the Computation Period, allocated to rate schedules.

BU = Forecasted billing units for the Computational Period for each Rate Schedule.
CAT = The Commercial Activity Tax rate as established in Section 5751.03 of the Ohio Revised Code.
$\qquad$ in Case No. 09 $\qquad$ EL-ATA, before

RIDER TAS

## Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider

TAS charges:

| RS (all kWhs, per kWh) | (0.4070)¢ |
| :---: | :---: |
| GS* (per kW of Billing Demand) | \$ (1.049) |
| GP (per kW of Billing Demand) | \$ (0.875) |
| GSU (per kW of Billing Demand) | \$ (1.202) |
| GT (per kVa of Billing Demand) | \$ (0.506) |
| STL (all kWhs, per kWh) | (0.2004)¢ |
| TRF (all kWhs, per kWh) | (0.2004)¢ |
| POL (all kWhs, per kWh) | (0.2004)¢ |

* Separately metered outdoor recreation facilities owned by non-profit governmental and educational institutions, such as athletic fields, served under Rate GS or GP, primarily for lighting purposes, will be charged per the TAS charge applicable to rate schedule POL.


## RIDER UPDATES:

The charges contained in this Rider shall be updated and reconciled on an annual basis. The TAS Rider will be filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on or before October 18 of each year and be effective for service rendered January 1 through December 31 of the subsequent year, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.
$\qquad$ in Case No. 09- $\qquad$ -EL-ATA, before

## RIDER TAS

## Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider

## APPLICABILITY:

Applicable to any customer who receives electric service under the Company's rate schedules. This Rider is not applied to customers during the period the customer takes electric generation service from a certified supplier.

## PURPOSE:

The Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider (TAS) will recover all transmission and transmissionrelated costs, including ancillary and congestion costs, imposed on or charged to the Company by FERC or a regional transmission organization, independent transmission operator, or similar organization approved by FERC.

## RATE:

The TAS charge for each rate schedule shall be calculated as follows:

$\qquad$ in Case No. 09- $\qquad$ -EL-ATA, before

RIDER TAS

## Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider

TAS charges:

| RS (all kWhs, per kWh) | $(0.2270)$ c |
| :--- | ---: |
| GS* (per kW of Billing Demand) | $\$(0.503)$ |
| GP* (per kW of Billing Demand) | $\$(0.598)$ |
| GSU (per kVa of Billing Demand) | $\$(0.680)$ |
| GT (per kVa of Billing Demand) | $\$(0.560)$ |
| STL (all kWhs, per kWh) | $(0.0821) \mathrm{c}$ |
| TRF (all kWhs, per kWh) | $(0.0821) \mathrm{c}$ |
| POL (all kWhs, per kWh) | $(0.0821) \mathrm{c}$ |

* Separately metered outdoor recreation facilities owned by non-profit governmental and educational institutions, such as athletic fields, served under Rate GS or GP, primarily for lighting purposes, will be charged per the TAS charge applicable to rate schedule POL.


## RIDER UPDATES:

The charges contained in this Rider shall be updated and reconciled on an annual basis. The TAS Rider shall be filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on or before October 18 of each year and be effective for service rendered January 1 through December 31 of the subsequent year, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.
$\qquad$ in Case No. 09- $\qquad$ -EL-ATA, before The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

## RIDER TAS

## Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider

## APPLICABILITY:

Applicable to any customer who receives electric service under the Company's rate schedules. This Rider is not applied to customers during the period the customer takes electric generation service from a certified supplier.

## PURPOSE:

The Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider (TAS) will recover all transmission and transmissionrelated costs, including ancillary and congestion costs, imposed on or charged to the Company by FERC or a regional transmission organization, independent transmission operator, or similar organization approved by FERC.

## RATE:

The TAS charge for each Rate Schedule shall be calculated as follows:

Where:


TAC = The amount of the Company's total projected Transmission and Ancillary Servicesrelated costs for the Computation Period, allocated to each rate schedule.

The Computation Period over which TAS will apply shall be January 1 through December 31 of each year.
$\mathbf{E}=$ From June 1 through December 31, 2009, any remaining net over- or undercollection of the Transmission and Ancillary Services-related costs, including applicable interest, invoiced during the period ending May 31, 2009, allocated to rate schedules. Starting January 1, 2010, the net over- or under-collection of the TAC, including applicable interest, invoiced during the 12 -month period ending September 30 of each year that immediately precedes the Computation Period, allocated to rate schedules.

BU = Forecasted billing units for the Computational Period for each Rate Schedule.
CAT = The Commercial Activity Tax rate as established in Section 5751.03 of the Ohio Revised Code.
$\qquad$ in Case No. 098-1187 $\qquad$ -EL-ATA, before

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

RIDER TAS

## Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider

TAS charges:
RS (all kWhs, per kWh)
(0.00744070)

GS* (per kW of Billing Demand)
\$ (0.02201.049)
GP (per kW of Billing Demand)
\$ (0.02400.875)
GSU (per kW of Billing Demand)
\$ (0.02601.202)
GT (per kVa of Billing Demand)
\$ (0.02100.506)
STL (all kWhs, per kWh)
(0.00332004) C

TRF (all kWhs, per kWh)
(0.00332004) $\Phi$

POL (all kWhs, per kWh)
(0.00332004) ¢

* Separately metered outdoor recreation facilities owned by non-profit governmental and educational institutions, such as athletic fields, served under Rate GS or GP, primarily for lighting purposes, will be charged per the TAS charge applicable to rate schedule POL.


## DISCOUNT:

Applicable to any customer taking service under rate schodules GS or GP who on December 31, 2008 took service from the Company undor one of the following rate schedules and has not had a change of service address or a change to qualifying conditions subsequent to December 31, 2008. Qualifying conditions are those in effect in the below rate schedules as they existed on December 31, 2008 and continues to comply with the requirements of the previously applicable rate schedule set forth below:

| Electric Space Conditioning | Original Sheet No. 31 |
| :--- | :--- |
| All Electric Large General Service | Original Sheet No. 34 |
| Optional Electric Process Heating and |  |
| Electric Boiler Load Management | Original Sheet No. 39 |

A 75\% Discount will apply, by Rate Schedule, effective for service rendered beginning May 1, 2009, during winter billing periods.

## RIDER UPDATES:

The charges contained in this Rider shall be updated and reconciled on an annual basis. The TAS Rider will be filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on or before October 18 of each year and be effective for service rendered January 1 through December 31 of the subsequent year, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.
$\qquad$ , in Case No. 0988-1187 $\qquad$ -EL-ATA, before The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

## RIDER TAS

## Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider

## APPLICABILITY:

Applicable to any customer who receives electric service under the Company's rate schedules. This Rider is not applied to customers during the period the customer takes electric generation service from a certified supplier.

## PURPOSE:

The Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider (TAS) will recover all transmission and transmissionrelated costs, including ancillary and congestion costs, imposed on or charged to the Company by FERC or a regional transmission organization, independent transmission operator, or similar organization approved by FERC.

## RATE:

The TAS charge for each rate schedule shall be calculated as follows:


TAC = The amount of the Company's total projected Transmission and Ancillary Servicesrelated costs for the Computation Period, allocated to each rate schedule.

The Computation Period over which TAS will apply shall be January 1 through December 31 of each year.
$\mathbf{E}=$ From June 1 through December 31, 2009, any remaining net over- or undercollection of the Transmission and Ancillary Services-related costs, including applicable interest, invoiced during the period ending May 31, 2009, allocated to rate schedules. Starting January 1, 2010, the net over- or under-collection of the TAC, including applicable interest, invoiced during the 12 -month period ending September 30 of each year that immediately precedes the Computation Period, allocated to rate schedules.

BU = Forecasted billing units for the Computational Period for each rate schedule.
CAT = The Commercial Activity Tax rate as established in Section 5751.03 of the Ohio Revised Code.
$\qquad$ in Case No. 089-1172 $\qquad$ -EL-ATA, before The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

RIDER TAS

## Transmission and Ancillary Services Rider

TAS charges:
RS (all kWhs, per kWh)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.1334(0.2270) 4 \\
& \$ 0.3700(0.503) \\
& \$ 0.4670(0.598) \\
& \$ 0.6470(0.680) \\
& \$ 0.5180(0.560) \\
& 0.0533(0.0821) ¢ \\
& 0.0533(0.0821) 4 \\
& 0.0533(0.0821) \mathbb{}
\end{aligned}
$$

GS* (per kW of Billing Demand)
GP* (per kW of Billing Demand)
GSU (per kVa of Billing Demand)
GT (per kVa of Billing Demand)
STL (all kWhs, per kWh)
TRF (all kWhs, per kWh)
POL (all kWhs, per kWh)

* Separately metered outdoor recreation facilities owned by non-profit governmental and educational institutions, such as athletic fields, served under Rate GS or GP, primarily for lighting purposes, will be charged per the TAS charge applicable to rate schedule POL.


## DISCOUNT:

Applicable to any customer taking service under rate schodules GS, GP or GT who on Decomber 31, 2008 took service from the Company under one of the following rate schodules and has not had a change of service address or a change to qualifying conditions subsequent to December 31, 2008. Qualifying conditions are those in effect in the below rate schedules as they existed on December 31, 2008 and continues to comply with the requirements of the previously applicable rate schedule set forth below:

```
General Service Electric Space Conditioning Rate "GS-1" Original Sheet No. }4
Optional Electric Process Heating and
    Electric Boiler Load Management "GS-3" Original Sheet No.48
```

A $75 \%$ Discount will apply, by rate schedule, effective for service rendered beginning February 23, 2009, during winter billing periods.

## RIDER UPDATES:

The charges contained in this Rider shall be updated and reconciled on an annual basis. The TAS Rider shall be filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on or before October 18 of each year and be effective for service rendered January 1 through December 31 of the subsequent year, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.
$\qquad$ , in Case No. 0809-1172 $\qquad$ -EL-ATA
before
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

## Summary of Current versus Proposed Transmission Revenues

Schedule B-2 provides billing determinants for each class applied to current transmission cost recovery rider rates and proposed transmission cost recovery rider rates, including current and proposed class revenues, and the dollar and percentage differences.

| Ohio Edison Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule | Billing Units | Billing Determinants | Current Rate ${ }^{1}$ | Current Total | Projected Rate ${ }^{2}$ | Projected Total |  | \$ Difference | \% Difference |
| RS | All kWh, per kWh | 5,872,676,674 | 0.000243 | \$ 1,427,060 | -0.003396 | \$ (19,943,610) | \$ | $(21,370,670)$ | -1497.5\% |
| GS | All kW, per kW | 13,421,323 | 0.079 | \$ 1,060,284 | -0.696 | \$ $(9,345,267)$ | \$ | $(10,405,551)$ | -981.4\% |
| GP | All kW, per kW | 1,729,819 | 0.130 | \$ 224,876 | -0.894 | \$ (1,545,939) | \$ | $(1,770,815)$ | -787.5\% |
| GSU | All kW/kVa, per kW/kVa | 530,306 | 0.109 | \$ 57,803 | -0.727 | \$ $(385,745)$ | \$ | $(443,548)$ | -767.3\% |
| GT | All kVa, per kVa | 2,517,315 | 0.100 | \$ 251,732 | -0.777 | \$ $(1,956,206)$ | \$ | $(2,207,937)$ | -877.1\% |
| LTG | All kWh, per kWh | 177,390,753 | 0.000097 | \$ 17,207 | -0.001761 | \$ $(312,385)$ | \$ | $(329,592)$ | -1915.5\% |
|  |  |  |  | \$ 3,038,963 |  | \$ $(33,489,151)$ | \$ | $(36,528,115)$ | -1202.0\% |


| The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule | Billing Units | Billing Determinants | Current Rate ${ }^{1}$ | Current Total | Projected Rate ${ }^{2}$ | Projected Total |  | \$ Difference | \% Difference |
| RS | All kWh, per kWh | 2,218,801,393 | -0.000074 | \$ (164,191) | -0.004070 | \$ $(9,030,522)$ | \$ | $(8,866,330)$ | 5400.0\% |
| GS | All kW, per kW | 9,673,441 | -0.022 | \$ $(212,816)$ | -1.049 | \$ (10,150,341) | \$ | $(9,937,526)$ | 4669.5\% |
| GP | All kW, per kW | 741,597 | -0.024 | \$ (17,798) | -0.875 | \$ $(649,194)$ | \$ | $(631,396)$ | 3547.5\% |
| GSU | All kW/kVa, per kW/kVa | 4,539,950 | -0.260 | \$ (1,180,387) | -1.202 | \$ $(5,456,111)$ | \$ | $(4,275,725)$ | 362.2\% |
| GT | All kVa, per kVa | 4,071,104 | -0.021 | \$ $(85,493)$ | -0.506 | \$ $(2,058,350)$ | \$ | $(1,972,857)$ | 2307.6\% |
| LTG | All kWh, per kWh | 228,964,333 | -0.000033 | \$ (7,556) | -0.002004 | \$ $(458,845)$ | \$ | $(451,289)$ | 5972.7\% |
|  |  |  |  | \$ (1,668,241) |  | \$ (27,803,363) | \$ | $(26,135,122)$ | 1566.6\% |


| The Toledo Edison Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule | Billing Units | Billing Determinants | Current Rate ${ }^{1}$ |  | Current Total | Projected Rate ${ }^{2}$ |  | ected Total |  | \$ Difference | \% Difference |
| RS | All kWh, per kWh | 1,474,850,931 | 0.001334 | \$ | 1,967,451 | -0.002270 | \$ | $(3,347,912)$ | \$ | $(5,315,363)$ | -270.2\% |
| GS | All kW, per kW | 3,778,255 | 0.370 | \$ | 1,397,954 | -0.503 | \$ | $(1,899,329)$ | \$ | $(3,297,283)$ | -235.9\% |
| GP | All kW, per kW | 1,253,670 | 0.467 | \$ | 585,464 | -0.598 | \$ | $(749,820)$ | \$ | $(1,335,284)$ | -228.1\% |
| GSU | All kW/kVa, per kW/kVa | 72,414 | 0.647 | \$ | 46,852 | -0.680 | \$ | $(49,271)$ | \$ | $(96,123)$ | -205.2\% |
| GT | All kVa, per kVa | 3,936,905 | 0.518 | \$ | 2,039,317 | -0.560 | \$ | $(2,204,273)$ | \$ | $(4,243,590)$ | -208.1\% |
| LTG | All kWh, per kWh | 71,574,358 | 0.000533 | \$ | 38,149 | -0.000821 | \$ | $(58,763)$ | \$ | $(96,912)$ | -254.0\% |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 6,075,187 |  | \$ | $(8,309,367)$ | \$ | $(14,384,554)$ | -236.8\% |

[^0]Schedule B-3 provides the current transmission cost recovery rider rate and proposed
transmission cost recovery rider rates, the dollar difference, and percentage change.

| Ohio Edison Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule | Billing Units | Current Rate ${ }^{1}$ | Projected Rate ${ }^{2}$ |  | \$ Difference | \% Difference |
| RS | All kWh, per kWh | 0.000243 | -0.003396 | \$ | (0.003639) | -1497.5\% |
| GS | All kW, per kW | 0.079 | -0.696 | \$ | (0.775) | -981.4\% |
| GP | All kW, per kW | 0.130 | -0.894 | \$ | (1.024) | -787.5\% |
| GSU | All kW/kVa, per kW/kVa | 0.109 | -0.727 | \$ | (0.836) | -767.3\% |
| GT | All kVa, per kVa | 0.100 | -0.777 | \$ | (0.877) | -877.1\% |
| LTG | All kWh, per kWh | 0.000097 | -0.001761 | \$ | (0.001858) | -1915.5\% |


| The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule | Billing Units | Current Rate ${ }^{1}$ | Projected Rate ${ }^{2}$ |  | \$ Difference | \% Difference |
| RS | All kWh, per kWh | -0.000074 | -0.004070 | \$ | (0.003996) | 5400.0\% |
| GS | All kW, per kW | -0.022 | -1.049 | \$ | (1.027) | 4669.5\% |
| GP | All kW, per kW | -0.024 | -0.875 | \$ | (0.851) | 3547.5\% |
| GSU | All kW/kVa, per kW/kVa | -0.260 | -1.202 | \$ | (0.942) | 362.2\% |
| GT | All kVa, per kVa | -0.021 | -0.506 | \$ | (0.485) | 2307.6\% |
| LTG | All kWh, per kWh | -0.000033 | -0.002004 | \$ | (0.001971) | 5972.7\% |


| The Toledo Edison Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule | Billing Units | Current Rate ${ }^{1}$ | Projected Rate ${ }^{2}$ |  | \$ Difference | \% Difference |
| RS | All kWh, per kWh | 0.001334 | -0.002270 | \$ | (0.003604) | -270.2\% |
| GS | All kW, per kW | 0.370 | -0.503 | \$ | (0.873) | -235.9\% |
| GP | All kW, per kW | 0.467 | -0.598 | \$ | (1.065) | -228.1\% |
| GSU | All kW/kVa, per kW/kVa | 0.647 | -0.680 | \$ | (1.327) | -205.2\% |
| GT | All kVa, per kVa | 0.518 | -0.560 | \$ | (1.078) | -208.1\% |
| LTG | All kWh, per kWh | 0.000533 | -0.000821 | \$ | (0.001354) | -254.0\% |

[^1]Typical Bill Comparisons - December 31, 2009 vs. January 1, 2010

| Line <br> No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) |  | Current Bill <br> (C) |  | Proposed Bill <br> (D) |  | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) (F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Residential Service (Rate RS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 250 | \$ | 30.26 | \$ | 29.26 | \$ | (1.00) | -3.3\% |
| 3 | 0 | 500 | \$ | 57.51 | \$ | 55.51 | \$ | (2.00) | -3.5\% |
| 4 | 0 | 750 | \$ | 87.37 | \$ | 84.38 | \$ | (2.99) | -3.4\% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ | 117.22 | \$ | 113.22 | \$ | (4.00) | -3.4\% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ | 176.95 | \$ | 170.95 | \$ | (6.00) | -3.4\% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ | 236.65 | \$ | 228.66 | \$ | (7.99) | -3.4\% |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | General Service Secondary (Rate GS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ | 204.90 | \$ | 194.63 | \$ | (10.27) | -5.0\% |
| 11 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ | 285.79 | \$ | 275.52 | \$ | (10.27) | -3.6\% |
| 12 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ | 366.22 | \$ | 355.95 | \$ | (10.27) | -2.8\% |
| 13 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ | 446.65 | \$ | 436.38 | \$ | (10.27) | -2.3\% |
| 14 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ | 527.10 | \$ | 516.83 | \$ | (10.27) | -1.9\% |
| 15 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ | 607.49 | \$ | 597.22 | \$ | (10.27) | -1.7\% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ | 16,633.74 | \$ | 15,606.44 | \$ | $(1,027.30)$ | -6.2\% |
| 17 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ | 24,620.44 | \$ | 23,593.14 | \$ | $(1,027.30)$ | -4.2\% |
| 18 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ | 32,607.14 | \$ | 31,579.84 | \$ | $(1,027.30)$ | -3.2\% |
| 19 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ | 40,593.83 | \$ | 39,566.53 | \$ | $(1,027.30)$ | -2.5\% |
| 20 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ | 48,580.53 | \$ | 47,553.23 | \$ | $(1,027.30)$ | -2.1\% |
| 21 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ | 56,567.23 | \$ | 55,539.93 | \$ | $(1,027.30)$ | -1.8\% |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | General Service Primary (Rate GP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ | 5,623.69 | \$ | 5,197.99 | \$ | (425.70) | -7.6\% |
| 25 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ | 9,347.08 | \$ | 8,921.38 | \$ | (425.70) | -4.6\% |
| 26 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ | 13,070.49 | \$ | 12,644.79 | \$ | (425.70) | -3.3\% |
| 27 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ | 16,793.88 | \$ | 16,368.18 | \$ | (425.70) | -2.5\% |
| 28 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ | 20,517.28 | \$ | 20,091.58 | \$ | (425.70) | -2.1\% |
| 29 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ | 24,240.68 | \$ | 23,814.98 | \$ | (425.70) | -1.8\% |
| 30 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ | 54,802.82 | \$ | 50,545.82 | \$ | (4,257.00) | -7.8\% |
| 31 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ | 91,989.79 | \$ | 87,732.79 | \$ | (4,257.00) | -4.6\% |
| 32 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ | 129,083.02 | \$ | 124,826.02 | \$ | (4,257.00) | -3.3\% |
| 33 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ | 166,176.25 | \$ | 161,919.25 | \$ | $(4,257.00)$ | -2.6\% |
| 34 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ | 203,269.48 | \$ | 199,012.48 | \$ | (4,257.00) | -2.1\% |
| 35 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ | 240,362.72 | \$ | 236,105.72 | \$ | $(4,257.00)$ | -1.8\% |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ | 8,652.53 | \$ | 7,476.73 | \$ | $(1,175.80)$ | -13.6\% |
| 39 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ | 15,354.63 | \$ | 14,178.83 | \$ | $(1,175.80)$ | -7.7\% |
| 40 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ | 22,056.73 | \$ | 20,880.93 | \$ | $(1,175.80)$ | -5.3\% |
| 41 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ | 28,758.82 | \$ | 27,583.02 | \$ | $(1,175.80)$ | -4.1\% |
| 42 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ | 35,460.92 | \$ | 34,285.12 | \$ | $(1,175.80)$ | -3.3\% |
| 43 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ | 42,163.02 | \$ | 40,987.22 | \$ | $(1,175.80)$ | -2.8\% |
| 44 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ | 84,774.29 | \$ | 73,016.29 | \$ | $(11,758.00)$ | -13.9\% |
| 45 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ | 151,513.75 | \$ | 139,755.75 | \$ | $(11,758.00)$ | -7.8\% |
| 46 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ | 218,253.22 | \$ | 206,495.22 | \$ | $(11,758.00)$ | -5.4\% |
| 47 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ | 284,992.68 | \$ | 273,234.68 | \$ | $(11,758.00)$ | -4.1\% |
| 48 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ | 351,732.14 | \$ | 339,974.14 | \$ | $(11,758.00)$ | -3.3\% |
| 49 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ | 418,471.60 | \$ | 406,713.60 | \$ | $(11,758.00)$ | -2.8\% |

Typical Bill Comparisons - December 31, 2009 vs. January 1, 2010

| Bill Data - The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level of | Level of | Current | Proposed | Dollar | Percent |  |
| Line | Demand | Usage | Bill | Bill | Increase | Increase |  |
| No. | (kW) | (kWH) |  |  | (D)-(C) | (E)/(C) |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |  |


| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | General Service Transmission (Rate GT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ | 26,184.73 | \$ | 25,215.53 | \$ | (969.20) | -3.7\% |
| 53 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ | 36,000.12 | \$ | 35,030.92 | \$ | (969.20) | -2.7\% |
| 54 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ | 45,815.52 | \$ | 44,846.32 | \$ | (969.20) | -2.1\% |
| 55 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ | 55,630.91 | \$ | 54,661.71 | \$ | (969.20) | -1.7\% |
| 56 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ | 65,399.29 | \$ | 64,430.09 | \$ | (969.20) | -1.5\% |
| 57 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ | 75,158.38 | \$ | 74,189.18 | \$ | (969.20) | -1.3\% |
| 58 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ | 258,554.75 | \$ | 248,862.75 | \$ | (9,692.00) | -3.7\% |
| 59 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ | 356,145.68 | \$ | 346,453.68 | \$ | $(9,692.00)$ | -2.7\% |
| 60 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ | 453,736.60 | \$ | 444,044.60 | \$ | $(9,692.00)$ | -2.1\% |
| 61 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ | 551,327.53 | \$ | 541,635.53 | \$ | $(9,692.00)$ | -1.8\% |
| 62 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ | 648,918.45 | \$ | 639,226.45 | \$ | (9,692.00) | -1.5\% |
| 63 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ | 746,509.38 | \$ | 736,817.38 | \$ | (9,692.00) | -1.3\% |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | Company Owned - Incandescent Lighting (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Overhead Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ | 12.30 | \$ | 12.25 | \$ | (0.05) | -0.4\% |
| 69 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ | 14.13 | \$ | 14.02 | \$ | (0.11) | -0.8\% |
| 70 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ | 14.94 | \$ | 14.80 | \$ | (0.14) | -0.9\% |
| 71 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ | 18.17 | \$ | 17.92 | \$ | (0.25) | -1.4\% |
| 72 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ | 19.95 | \$ | 19.65 | \$ | (0.30) | -1.5\% |
| 73 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ | 24.84 | \$ | 24.37 | \$ | (0.47) | -1.9\% |
| 74 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ | 27.14 | \$ | 26.58 | \$ | (0.56) | -2.1\% |
| 75 | Underground Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ | 7.46 | \$ | 7.41 | \$ | (0.05) | -0.7\% |
| 77 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ | 9.29 | \$ | 9.18 | \$ | (0.11) | -1.2\% |
| 78 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ | 10.10 | \$ | 9.96 | \$ | (0.14) | -1.4\% |
| 79 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ | 13.33 | \$ | 13.08 | \$ | (0.25) | -1.9\% |
| 80 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ | 15.11 | \$ | 14.81 | \$ | (0.30) | -2.0\% |
| 81 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 19.53 | \$ | (0.47) | -2.3\% |
| 82 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ | 22.30 | \$ | 21.74 | \$ | (0.56) | -2.5\% |
| 83 | Company Owned - Mercury Street Lighting (b) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 175 | 69 | \$ | 11.34 | \$ | 11.20 | \$ | (0.14) | -1.2\% |
| 86 | 250 | 104 | \$ | 14.79 | \$ | 14.58 | \$ | (0.21) | -1.4\% |
| 87 | 400 | 158 | \$ | 20.46 | \$ | 20.15 | \$ | (0.31) | -1.5\% |
| 88 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ | 45.35 | \$ | 44.60 | \$ | (0.75) | -1.7\% |
| 89 | Underground Service - Post Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 175 | 69 | \$ | 15.69 | \$ | 15.55 | \$ | (0.14) | -0.9\% |
| 91 | Underground Service - Pole Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 175 | 69 | \$ | 22.25 | \$ | 22.11 | \$ | (0.14) | -0.6\% |
| 93 | 250 | 104 | \$ | 26.51 | \$ | 26.30 | \$ | (0.21) | -0.8\% |
| 94 | 400 | 158 | \$ | 32.40 | \$ | 32.09 | \$ | (0.31) | -1.0\% |
| 95 | 400* | 158 | \$ | 32.65 | \$ | 32.34 | \$ | (0.31) | -0.9\% |
| 96 | 400** | 316 | \$ | 50.98 | \$ | 50.36 | \$ | (0.62) | -1.2\% |
| 97 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ | 59.18 | \$ | 58.43 | \$ | (0.75) | -1.3\% |
| 98 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting (c) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 99 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 100 | 42 | \$ | 12.71 | \$ | 12.63 | \$ | (0.08) | -0.6\% |
| 101 | 150 | 62 | \$ | 14.53 | \$ | 14.41 | \$ | (0.12) | -0.8\% |
| 102 | 250 | 105 | \$ | 19.24 | \$ | 19.03 | \$ | (0.21) | -1.1\% |
| 103 | 400 | 163 | \$ | 24.53 | \$ | 24.21 | \$ | (0.32) | -1.3\% |

Typical Bill Comparisons - December 31, 2009 vs. January 1, 2010


## Typical Bill Comparisons - December 31, 2009 vs. January 1, 2010

| Bill Data - The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level of | Level of | Current | Proposed | Dollar | Percent |  |
| Line | Demand | Usage | Bill | Bill | Increase | Increase |  |
| No. | (kW) | (kWH) |  |  | (D)-(C) | (E)/(C) |  |
|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |  |


| Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercury Lighting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overhead Service - Wood Pole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | 69 | \$ | 12.08 | \$ | 11.94 | \$ | (0.14) | -1.2\% |
| 400 | 158 | \$ | 23.92 | \$ | 23.61 | \$ | (0.31) | -1.3\% |
| 1,000 | 380 | \$ | 44.57 | \$ | 43.82 | \$ | (0.75) | -1.7\% |
| All Other Installations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | 69 | \$ | 14.42 | \$ | 14.28 | \$ | (0.14) | -1.0\% |
| High Pressure Sodium Lighting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overhead Service - Wood Pole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 42 | \$ | 15.02 | \$ | 14.94 | \$ | (0.08) | -0.5\% |
| 150 | 62 | \$ | 18.34 | \$ | 18.22 | \$ | (0.12) | -0.7\% |
| 250 | 105 | \$ | 22.06 | \$ | 21.85 | \$ | (0.21) | -1.0\% |
| 400 | 163 | \$ | 29.09 | \$ | 28.77 | \$ | (0.32) | -1.1\% |
| All Other Installations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 42 | \$ | 18.10 | \$ | 18.02 | \$ | (0.08) | -0.4\% |
| 150 | 62 | \$ | 23.74 | \$ | 23.62 | \$ | (0.12) | -0.5\% |
| 150* | 88 | \$ | 37.11 | \$ | 36.93 | \$ | (0.18) | -0.5\% |
| 250 | 105 | \$ | 28.80 | \$ | 28.59 | \$ | (0.21) | -0.7\% |
| 250* | 105 | \$ | 40.54 | \$ | 40.33 | \$ | (0.21) | -0.5\% |
| 400 | 163 | \$ | 33.41 | \$ | 33.09 | \$ | (0.32) | -1.0\% |
| Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 100 | \$ | 6.16 | \$ | 5.96 | \$ | (0.20) | -3.2\% |
| 0 | 200 | \$ | 12.35 | \$ | 11.96 | \$ | (0.39) | -3.2\% |
| 0 | 300 | \$ | 18.52 | \$ | 17.93 | \$ | (0.59) | -3.2\% |
| 0 | 400 | \$ | 24.74 | \$ | 23.95 | \$ | (0.79) | -3.2\% |
| 0 | 500 | \$ | 30.89 | \$ | 29.91 | \$ | (0.98) | -3.2\% |
| 0 | 600 | \$ | 37.08 | \$ | 35.90 | \$ | (1.18) | -3.2\% |

Typical Bill Comparisons - December 31, 2009 vs. January 1, 2010

| Bill Data - The Toledo Edison Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) <br> (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Current } \\ & \text { Bill } \\ & \text { (C) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Proposed Bill (D) |  | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) $\qquad$ |
| 1 | Residential Service (Rate RS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 250 | \$ | 32.83 | \$ | 31.93 | \$ | (0.90) | -2.7\% |
| 3 | 0 | 500 | \$ | 61.66 | \$ | 59.85 | \$ | (1.81) | -2.9\% |
| 4 | 0 | 750 | \$ | 90.47 | \$ | 87.77 | \$ | (2.70) | -3.0\% |
| 5 | 0 | 1,000 | \$ | 119.30 | \$ | 115.70 | \$ | (3.60) | -3.0\% |
| 6 | 0 | 1,500 | \$ | 176.95 | \$ | 171.54 | \$ | (5.41) | -3.1\% |
| 7 | 0 | 2,000 | \$ | 234.58 | \$ | 227.37 | \$ | (7.21) | -3.1\% |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | General Service Secondary (Rate GS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 10 | 1,000 | \$ | 197.36 | \$ | 188.63 | \$ | (8.73) | -4.4\% |
| 11 | 10 | 2,000 | \$ | 277.86 | \$ | 269.13 | \$ | (8.73) | -3.1\% |
| 12 | 10 | 3,000 | \$ | 357.93 | \$ | 349.20 | \$ | (8.73) | -2.4\% |
| 13 | 10 | 4,000 | \$ | 437.99 | \$ | 429.26 | \$ | (8.73) | -2.0\% |
| 14 | 10 | 5,000 | \$ | 518.06 | \$ | 509.33 | \$ | (8.73) | -1.7\% |
| 15 | 10 | 6,000 | \$ | 598.08 | \$ | 589.35 | \$ | (8.73) | -1.5\% |
| 16 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ | 17,493.03 | \$ | 16,620.33 | \$ | (872.70) | -5.0\% |
| 17 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ | 25,442.62 | \$ | 24,569.92 | \$ | (872.70) | -3.4\% |
| 18 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ | 33,392.21 | \$ | 32,519.51 | \$ | (872.70) | -2.6\% |
| 19 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ | 41,341.79 | \$ | 40,469.09 | \$ | (872.70) | -2.1\% |
| 20 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ | 49,291.38 | \$ | 48,418.68 | \$ | (872.70) | -1.8\% |
| 21 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ | 57,240.97 | \$ | 56,368.27 | \$ | (872.70) | -1.5\% |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | General Service Primary (Rate GP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 500 | 50,000 | \$ | 5,376.38 | \$ | 4,843.83 | \$ | (532.55) | -9.9\% |
| 25 | 500 | 100,000 | \$ | 9,176.87 | \$ | 8,644.32 | \$ | (532.55) | -5.8\% |
| 26 | 500 | 150,000 | \$ | 12,977.37 | \$ | 12,444.82 | \$ | (532.55) | -4.1\% |
| 27 | 500 | 200,000 | \$ | 16,777.86 | \$ | 16,245.31 | \$ | (532.55) | -3.2\% |
| 28 | 500 | 250,000 | \$ | 20,578.35 | \$ | 20,045.80 | \$ | (532.55) | -2.6\% |
| 29 | 500 | 300,000 | \$ | 24,378.85 | \$ | 23,846.30 | \$ | (532.55) | -2.2\% |
| 30 | 5,000 | 500,000 | \$ | 52,329.77 | \$ | 47,004.27 | \$ | $(5,325.50)$ | -10.2\% |
| 31 | 5,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ | 90,111.69 | \$ | 84,786.19 | \$ | $(5,325.50)$ | -5.9\% |
| 32 | 5,000 | 1,500,000 | \$ | 127,448.92 | \$ | 122,123.42 | \$ | $(5,325.50)$ | -4.2\% |
| 33 | 5,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ | 164,786.15 | \$ | 159,460.65 | \$ | $(5,325.50)$ | -3.2\% |
| 34 | 5,000 | 2,500,000 | \$ | 202,123.38 | \$ | 196,797.88 | \$ | $(5,325.50)$ | -2.6\% |
| 35 | 5,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ | 239,460.62 | \$ | 234,135.12 | \$ | $(5,325.50)$ | -2.2\% |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | General Service Subtransmission (Rate GSU) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 1,000 | 100,000 | \$ | 8,373.12 | \$ | 7,045.72 | \$ | $(1,327.40)$ | -15.9\% |
| 39 | 1,000 | 200,000 | \$ | 15,229.11 | \$ | 13,901.71 | \$ | $(1,327.40)$ | -8.7\% |
| 40 | 1,000 | 300,000 | \$ | 22,085.10 | \$ | 20,757.70 | \$ | $(1,327.40)$ | -6.0\% |
| 41 | 1,000 | 400,000 | \$ | 28,941.08 | \$ | 27,613.68 | \$ | $(1,327.40)$ | -4.6\% |
| 42 | 1,000 | 500,000 | \$ | 35,797.07 | \$ | 34,469.67 | \$ | $(1,327.40)$ | -3.7\% |
| 43 | 1,000 | 600,000 | \$ | 42,653.06 | \$ | 41,325.66 | \$ | $(1,327.40)$ | -3.1\% |
| 44 | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ | 81,624.19 | \$ | 68,350.19 | \$ | $(13,274.00)$ | -16.3\% |
| 45 | 10,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ | 148,848.65 | \$ | 135,574.65 | \$ | (13,274.00) | -8.9\% |
| 46 | 10,000 | 3,000,000 | \$ | 216,073.12 | \$ | 202,799.12 | \$ | $(13,274.00)$ | -6.1\% |
| 47 | 10,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ | 283,297.58 | \$ | 270,023.58 | \$ | (13,274.00) | -4.7\% |
| 48 | 10,000 | 5,000,000 | \$ | 350,522.04 | \$ | 337,248.04 | \$ | $(13,274.00)$ | -3.8\% |
| 49 | 10,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ | 417,746.50 | \$ | 404,472.50 | \$ | $(13,274.00)$ | -3.2\% |

Typical Bill Comparisons - December 31, 2009 vs. January 1, 2010

| Bill Data - The Toledo Edison Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | Level of | Level of | Current | Proposed | Dollar | Percent |  |
| No. | (kW) | Usage | Bill | Bill | Increase | Increase |  |
|  | (AW) | (B) |  | (C) | (D) | (D)-(C) |  |


|  | General Service Transmission (Rate GT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 2,000 | 200,000 | \$ | 27,751.71 | \$ | 25,595.91 | \$ | $(2,155.80)$ | -7.8\% |
| 53 | 2,000 | 400,000 | \$ | 37,875.08 | \$ | 35,719.28 | \$ | $(2,155.80)$ | -5.7\% |
| 54 | 2,000 | 600,000 | \$ | 47,998.46 | \$ | 45,842.66 | \$ | $(2,155.80)$ | -4.5\% |
| 55 | 2,000 | 800,000 | \$ | 58,121.83 | \$ | 55,966.03 | \$ | $(2,155.80)$ | -3.7\% |
| 56 | 2,000 | 1,000,000 | \$ | 68,022.19 | \$ | 65,866.39 | \$ | $(2,155.80)$ | -3.2\% |
| 57 | 2,000 | 1,200,000 | \$ | 77,878.48 | \$ | 75,722.68 | \$ | $(2,155.80)$ | -2.8\% |
| 58 | 20,000 | 2,000,000 | \$ | 272,994.65 | \$ | 251,436.65 | \$ | $(21,558.00)$ | -7.9\% |
| 59 | 20,000 | 4,000,000 | \$ | 371,557.58 | \$ | 349,999.58 | \$ | $(21,558.00)$ | -5.8\% |
| 60 | 20,000 | 6,000,000 | \$ | 470,120.50 | \$ | 448,562.50 | \$ | $(21,558.00)$ | -4.6\% |
| 61 | 20,000 | 8,000,000 | \$ | 568,683.43 | \$ | 547,125.43 | \$ | $(21,558.00)$ | -3.8\% |
| 62 | 20,000 | 10,000,000 | \$ | 667,246.35 | \$ | 645,688.35 | \$ | $(21,558.00)$ | -3.2\% |
| 63 | 20,000 | 12,000,000 | \$ | 765,809.28 | \$ | 744,251.28 | \$ | $(21,558.00)$ | -2.8\% |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | Street Lighting Service (Rate STL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | Company Owned - Incandescent Street Lighting (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Overhead Wood Service (Single lamps) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ | 11.92 | \$ | 11.89 | \$ | (0.03) | -0.3\% |
| 69 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ | 13.21 | \$ | 13.13 | \$ | (0.08) | -0.6\% |
| 70 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ | 13.77 | \$ | 13.67 | \$ | (0.10) | -0.7\% |
| 71 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ | 16.00 | \$ | 15.83 | \$ | (0.17) | -1.1\% |
| 72 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ | 17.25 | \$ | 17.04 | \$ | (0.21) | -1.2\% |
| 73 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ | 20.64 | \$ | 20.31 | \$ | (0.33) | -1.6\% |
| 74 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ | 22.23 | \$ | 21.85 | \$ | (0.38) | -1.7\% |
| 75 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ | 12.90 | \$ | 12.87 | \$ | (0.03) | -0.2\% |
| 77 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ | 14.19 | \$ | 14.11 | \$ | (0.08) | -0.6\% |
| 78 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ | 14.75 | \$ | 14.65 | \$ | (0.10) | -0.7\% |
| 79 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ | 16.98 | \$ | 16.81 | \$ | (0.17) | -1.0\% |
| 80 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ | 18.23 | \$ | 18.02 | \$ | (0.21) | -1.2\% |
| 81 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ | 21.62 | \$ | 21.29 | \$ | (0.33) | -1.5\% |
| 82 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ | 23.21 | \$ | 22.83 | \$ | (0.38) | -1.6\% |
| 83 | Underground Service (Single lamps) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | 1,000 | 24 | \$ | 19.10 | \$ | 19.07 | \$ | (0.03) | -0.2\% |
| 85 | 2,000 | 56 | \$ | 20.39 | \$ | 20.31 | \$ | (0.08) | -0.4\% |
| 86 | 2,500 | 70 | \$ | 20.95 | \$ | 20.85 | \$ | (0.10) | -0.5\% |
| 87 | 4,000 | 126 | \$ | 23.18 | \$ | 23.01 | \$ | (0.17) | -0.7\% |
| 88 | 6,000 | 157 | \$ | 24.43 | \$ | 24.22 | \$ | (0.21) | -0.9\% |
| 89 | 10,000 | 242 | \$ | 27.82 | \$ | 27.49 | \$ | (0.33) | -1.2\% |
| 90 | 15,000 | 282 | \$ | 29.41 | \$ | 29.03 | \$ | (0.38) | -1.3\% |
| 91 | Underground Service (Dual lamps) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 1,000 | 48 | \$ | 34.19 | \$ | 34.12 | \$ | (0.07) | -0.2\% |
| 93 | 2,000 | 112 | \$ | 36.74 | \$ | 36.59 | \$ | (0.15) | -0.4\% |
| 94 | 2,500 | 140 | \$ | 37.86 | \$ | 37.68 | \$ | (0.18) | -0.5\% |
| 95 | 4,000 | 252 | \$ | 42.34 | \$ | 42.00 | \$ | (0.34) | -0.8\% |
| 96 | 6,000 | 314 | \$ | 44.84 | \$ | 44.41 | \$ | (0.43) | -1.0\% |
| 97 | 10,000 | 484 | \$ | 51.63 | \$ | 50.97 | \$ | (0.66) | -1.3\% |
| 98 | 15,000 | 564 | \$ | 54.83 | \$ | 54.07 | \$ | (0.76) | -1.4\% |
| 99 | Company Owned - Fluorescent Street Lighting (a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | Overhead Steel Service (Single lamps) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101 | 6,000 | 45 | \$ | 18.60 | \$ | 18.54 | \$ | (0.06) | -0.3\% |
| 102 | 13,800 | 94 | \$ | 20.56 | \$ | 20.43 | \$ | (0.13) | -0.6\% |
| 103 | 21,800 | 135 | \$ | 22.21 | \$ | 22.03 | \$ | (0.18) | -0.8\% |
| 104 | 43,600 | 264 | \$ | 27.36 | \$ | 27.00 | \$ | (0.36) | -1.3\% |

Typical Bill Comparisons - December 31, 2009 vs. January 1, 2010


Typical Bill Comparisons - December 31, 2009 vs. January 1, 2010

| Bill Data - The Toledo Edison Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line No. | Level of Demand (kW) (A) | Level of Usage (kWH) (B) $\qquad$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Current } \\ & \text { Bill } \\ & \text { (C) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Proposed Bill (D) |  | Dollar Increase (D)-(C) (E) | Percent Increase (E)/(C) $\qquad$ |
| 156 | Underground Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 | 100 | 42 | \$ | 16.43 | \$ | 16.38 | \$ | (0.05) | -0.3\% |
| 158 | 100 (orn.) | 42 | \$ | 27.73 | \$ | 27.68 | \$ | (0.05) | -0.2\% |
| 159 | 150 | 62 | \$ | 15.16 | \$ | 15.08 | \$ | (0.08) | -0.5\% |
| 160 | 200 | 88 | \$ | 22.81 | \$ | 22.69 | \$ | (0.12) | -0.5\% |
| 161 | 250 | 105 | \$ | 20.25 | \$ | 20.10 | \$ | (0.15) | -0.7\% |
| 162 | 250 (dwntwn) | 105 | \$ | 35.27 | \$ | 35.12 | \$ | (0.15) | -0.4\% |
| 163 | 400 | 163 | \$ | 24.29 | \$ | 24.07 | \$ | (0.22) | -0.9\% |
| 164 | 400 (dwntwn) | 25 | \$ | 46.54 | \$ | 46.51 | \$ | (0.03) | -0.1\% |
| 165 | Company Owned - High Pressure Sodium Lighting - Dual lamps (d) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 166 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 167 | 100 | 84 | \$ | 21.22 | \$ | 21.11 | \$ | (0.11) | -0.5\% |
| 168 | 150 | 124 | \$ | 23.84 | \$ | 23.67 | \$ | (0.17) | -0.7\% |
| 169 | 250 | 210 | \$ | 29.33 | \$ | 29.05 | \$ | (0.28) | -1.0\% |
| 170 | Overhead Service - Metal Pole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 171 | 100 | 84 | \$ | 22.11 | \$ | 22.00 | \$ | (0.11) | -0.5\% |
| 172 | 150 | 124 | \$ | 24.28 | \$ | 24.11 | \$ | (0.17) | -0.7\% |
| 173 | 250 | 210 | \$ | 30.74 | \$ | 30.46 | \$ | (0.28) | -0.9\% |
| 174 | Underground Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | 100 | 84 | \$ | 26.35 | \$ | 26.24 | \$ | (0.11) | -0.4\% |
| 176 | 150 | 124 | \$ | 30.96 | \$ | 30.79 | \$ | (0.17) | -0.5\% |
| 177 | 250 | 210 | \$ | 36.99 | \$ | 36.71 | \$ | (0.28) | -0.8\% |
| 178 | 400 (davit) | 326 | \$ | 36.12 | \$ | 35.68 | \$ | (0.44) | -1.2\% |
| 179 | Customer Owned - Limited Company Maintenance - All Lamp Types |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | N/A | 25 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 0.97 | \$ | (0.03) | -3.0\% |
| 181 | N/A | 50 | \$ | 2.00 | \$ | 1.93 | \$ | (0.07) | -3.5\% |
| 182 | N/A | 75 | \$ | 4.11 | \$ | 4.01 | \$ | (0.10) | -2.4\% |
| 183 | N/A | 100 | \$ | 6.60 | \$ | 6.47 | \$ | (0.13) | -2.0\% |
| 184 | N/A | 125 | \$ | 8.25 | \$ | 8.08 | \$ | (0.17) | -2.1\% |
| 185 | N/A | 150 | \$ | 11.84 | \$ | 11.64 | \$ | (0.20) | -1.7\% |
| 186 | N/A | 175 | \$ | 14.28 | \$ | 14.05 | \$ | (0.23) | -1.6\% |
| 187 | N/A | 200 | \$ | 19.23 | \$ | 18.96 | \$ | (0.27) | -1.4\% |
| 188 | N/A | 225 | \$ | 22.10 | \$ | 21.80 | \$ | (0.30) | -1.4\% |
| 189 | N/A | 250 | \$ | 10.01 | \$ | 9.67 | \$ | (0.34) | -3.4\% |
| 190 | N/A | 275 | \$ | 12.10 | \$ | 11.72 | \$ | (0.38) | -3.1\% |
| 191 | N/A | 300 | \$ | 14.61 | \$ | 14.20 | \$ | (0.41) | -2.8\% |
| 192 | N/A | 325 | \$ | 16.24 | \$ | 15.80 | \$ | (0.44) | -2.7\% |
| 193 | N/A | 350 | \$ | 19.86 | \$ | 19.38 | \$ | (0.48) | -2.4\% |
| 194 | N/A | 375 | \$ | 22.29 | \$ | 21.78 | \$ | (0.51) | -2.3\% |
| 195 | N/A | 400 | \$ | 27.23 | \$ | 26.69 | \$ | (0.54) | -2.0\% |
| 196 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Typical Bill Comparisons - December 31, 2009 vs. January 1, 2010

\left.| Bill Data - The Toledo Edison Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | Level of | Level of | Current | Proposed | Dollar | Percent |  |
| No. | (kW) | Usage | (kWH) | Bill | Bill | Increase |  | Increase $\right)$

Private Outdoor Lighting Service (Rate POL)
198 Mercury Lighting
199 Overhead Service - Wood Pole

| 200 | 175 | 69 | \$ | 10.76 | \$ | 10.66 | \$ | (0.10) | -0.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201 | 400 | 158 | \$ | 27.96 | \$ | 27.75 | \$ | (0.21) | -0.8\% |
| 202 | 1,000 | 380 | \$ | 49.47 | \$ | 48.96 | \$ | (0.51) | -1.0\% |
| 203 | All Other Installations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 204 | 175 | 69 | \$ | 17.35 | \$ | 17.25 | \$ | (0.10) | -0.6\% |
| 205 | High Pressure Sodium Lighting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 206 | Overhead Service - Wood Pole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 207 | 200 | 88 | \$ | 14.70 | \$ | 14.58 | \$ | (0.12) | -0.8\% |
| 208 | 400 | 163 | \$ | 26.43 | \$ | 26.21 | \$ | (0.22) | -0.8\% |
| 209 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210 | Traffic Lighting Schedule (Rate TRF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 211 | 0 | 100 | \$ | 8.24 | \$ | 8.11 | \$ | (0.13) | -1.6\% |
| 212 | 0 | 200 | \$ | 16.50 | \$ | 16.23 | \$ | (0.27) | -1.6\% |
| 213 | 0 | 300 | \$ | 24.75 | \$ | 24.34 | \$ | (0.41) | -1.7\% |
| 214 | 0 | 400 | \$ | 32.99 | \$ | 32.45 | \$ | (0.54) | -1.6\% |
| 215 | 0 | 500 | \$ | 41.25 | \$ | 40.57 | \$ | (0.68) | -1.6\% |
| 216 | 0 | 600 | \$ | 49.49 | \$ | 48.68 | \$ | (0.81) | -1.6\% |

## Calculation of Projected Transmission Cost Recovery Rider Rates

| The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation |  | RS |  | GS |  | GP |  | GSU |  | GT |  | LTG |  | ALL |  |
| TDC | = | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| DA | = |  | 37.69\% |  | 39.78\% |  | 1.36\% |  | 19.00\% |  | 1.14\% |  | 1.02\% |  |  |
| TDC * DA | = | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| TEC | = | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| EA | = |  | 24.86\% |  | 31.73\% |  | 3.76\% |  | 20.53\% |  | 16.57\% |  | 2.57\% |  |  |
| TEC * EA | $=$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| TAC | $=$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| E | = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 27,730,390.57 |
| HDA | = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59.39\% |
| DA | = |  | 37.69\% |  | 39.78\% |  | 1.36\% |  | 19.00\% |  | 1.14\% |  | 1.02\% |  |  |
| E*HAD*DA | = | \$ | 6,207,214.08 | \$ | 6,550,750.78 | \$ | 224,420.20 | \$ | 3,129,463.93 | \$ | 187,221.28 | \$ | 168,737.01 |  |  |
| E | = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 27,730,390.57 |
| HEA | = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40.61\% |
| EA | = |  | 24.86\% |  | 31.73\% |  | 3.76\% |  | 20.53\% |  | 16.57\% |  | 2.57\% |  |  |
| E*HEA*EA | = | \$ | 2,799,484.11 | \$ | 3,573,104.62 | \$ | 423,069.72 | \$ | 2,312,365.87 | \$ | 1,865,672.36 | \$ | 288,886.61 |  |  |
| E | $=$ | \$ | 9,006,698.19 | \$ | 10,123,855.39 | \$ | 647,489.92 | \$ | 5,441,829.80 | \$ | 2,052,893.65 | \$ | 457,623.62 |  |  |
| TAC - E | $=$ | \$ | (9,006,698.19) | \$ | $(10,123,855.39)$ | \$ | (647,489.92) | \$ | $(5,441,829.80)$ | \$ | $(2,052,893.65)$ | \$ | $(457,623.62)$ |  |  |
| BU | $=$ |  | 2,218,801,393 |  | 9,673,441 |  | 741,597 |  | 4,539,950 |  | 4,071,104 |  | 228,964,333 |  |  |
| $1 /(1-\mathrm{CAT})$ | $=$ |  | 100.26\% |  | 100.26\% |  | 100.26\% |  | 100.26\% |  | 100.26\% |  | 100.26\% |  |  |
| TAS | $=$ |  | -0.00407 |  | -1.0493 |  | -0.875 |  | -1.202 |  | -0.506 |  | -0.002004 |  |  |

Calculation of Projected Transmission Cost Recovery Rider Rates

| The Toledo Edison Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation |  | RS |  | GS |  | GP |  | GSU |  | GT |  | LTG |  | ALL |  |
| TDC | $=$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| DA | = |  | 45.62\% |  | 23.67\% |  | 8.61\% |  | 0.44\% |  | 21.35\% |  | 0.32\% |  |  |
| TDC * DA | = | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| TEC | $=$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| EA | = |  | 30.06\% |  | 21.31\% |  | 9.83\% |  | 0.89\% |  | 36.46\% |  | 1.46\% |  |  |
| TEC * EA | = | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| TAC | $=$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| E | $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 8,287,439.74 |
| HDA | = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.72\% |
| DA | $=$ |  | 45.62\% |  | 23.67\% |  | 8.61\% |  | 0.44\% |  | 21.35\% |  | 0.32\% |  |  |
| E*HAD*DA | = | \$ | 2,484,990.53 | \$ | 1,289,040.99 | \$ | 468,740.15 | \$ | 23,945.33 | \$ | 1,163,011.45 | \$ | 17,173.76 |  |  |
| E | = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 8,287,439.74 |
| HEA | = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.28\% |
| EA | = |  | 30.06\% |  | 21.31\% |  | 9.83\% |  | 0.89\% |  | 36.46\% |  | 1.46\% |  |  |
| E*HEA*EA | = | \$ | 853,797.22 | \$ | 605,297.58 | \$ | 279,103.54 | \$ | 25,200.07 | \$ | 1,035,704.42 | \$ | 41,434.69 |  |  |
| E | $=$ | \$ | 3,338,787.76 | \$ | 1,894,338.57 | \$ | 747,843.69 | \$ | 49,145.40 | \$ | 2,198,715.87 | \$ | 58,608.45 |  |  |
| TAC - E | = | \$ | $(3,338,787.76)$ | \$ | (1,894,338.57) | \$ | $(747,843.69)$ | \$ | $(49,145.40)$ | \$ | (2,198,715.87) | \$ | $(58,608.45)$ |  |  |
| BU | = |  | 1,474,850,931 |  | 3,778,255 |  | 1,253,670 |  | 72,414 |  | 3,936,905 |  | 71,574,358 |  |  |
| $1 /(1-\mathrm{CAT})$ | $=$ |  | 100.26\% |  | 100.26\% |  | 100.26\% |  | 100.26\% |  | 100.26\% |  | 100.26\% |  |  |
| TAS | $=$ |  | -0.00227 |  | -0.503 |  | -0.598 |  | -0.680 |  | -0.560 |  | -0.000821 |  |  |

Reconciliation Adjustment - Monthly Over / Under Recovery Amounts


Reconciliation Adjustment - Monthly Over / Under Recovery Amounts

|  | Description | Source | The Toledo Edison Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line No. |  |  | Oct-08 |  | Nov-08 |  | Dec-08 |  | Jan-09 |  | Feb-09 \| |  | Mar-09 |  | Apr-09 |  | May-09 |  | Jun-09 |  | Jul-09 |  | Aug-09 |  | Sep-09 |  |
| 1 | Revenues Excluding CAT | Schedule D-2 | \$ | 5,026,186 | \$ | 5,091,666 | \$ | 6,495,021 | \$ | 7,170,672 | \$ | 6,136,205 | \$ | 6,301,846 | \$ | 5,648,892 | \$ | 5,674,820 | \$ | 1,156,960 | \$ | 1,028,954 | \$ | 1,003,674 | \$ | 777,192 |
| 2 | Expenses | Schedule D-1 | \$ | 5,329,705 | \$ | 5,320,351 | \$ | 10,757,995 | \$ | 3,529,920 | \$ | 4,228,402 | \$ | 3,160,087 | \$ | 3,543,778 | \$ | 3,998,865 | \$ | 1,241,709 | \$ | 1,210,675 | \$ | 959,147 | \$ | 593,863 |
| 3 | Monthly TAS Principal | L2 - L1 | \$ | 303,519 | \$ | 228,685 | S | 4,262,974 | \$ | $(3,640,753)$ | \$ | $(1,907,803)$ | \$ | (3,141,758) | \$ | $(2,105,114)$ | \$ | (1,675,955) | + | 84,749 | \$ | 181,721 | \$ | $(44,527)$ | \$ | $(183,329)$ |
| 4 | Interest Base | L3/2 + Prev L11 | \$ | 151,759 | \$ | 418,649 | \$ | 2,666,653 | \$ | 2,991,608 | \$ | 232,861 | \$ | $(2,290,711)$ | \$ | $(4,926,040)$ | \$ | $(6,844,489)$ | \$ | $(7,678,877)$ | \$ | $(7,589,156)$ | \$ | $(7,563,564)$ | \$ | $(7,720,352)$ |
| 5 | Annual Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt | Treasury |  | 6.23\% |  | 6.23\% |  | 6.23\% |  | 6.23\% |  | 6.23\% |  | 6.23\% |  | 6.80\% |  | 6.80\% |  | 6.80\% |  | 6.80\% |  | 6.80\% |  | 6.80\% |
| 6 | Monthly Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt | L5/12 |  | 0.5192\% |  | 0.5192\% |  | 0.5192\% |  | 0.5192\% |  | 0.5192\% |  | 0.5192\% |  | 0.5667\% |  | 0.5667\% |  | 0.5667\% |  | 0.5667\% |  | 0.5667\% |  | 0.5667\% |
| 7 | Monthly TAS Interest | L4* L6 | \$ | 787.88 | \$ | 2,173.49 | \$ | $\xrightarrow{13,844.37}$ | \$ | 15,531.43 | \$ | 1,208.94 | \$ | (11,892.61) | \$ | (27,914.23) | \$ | (38,785.44) | \$ | $(43,513.64)$ | \$ | (43,005.22) | \$ | $(42,860.20)$ | \$ | $(43,748.66)$ |
| 8 | Monthly TAS Principal and Interest | L3 + L7 | \$ | 304,307 | \$ | 230,859 | \$ | 4,276,818 | \$ | $(3,625,221)$ | \$ | $(1,906,594)$ | \$ | $(3,153,651)$ | \$ | $(2,133,029)$ | \$ | $(1,714,741)$ | \$ | 41,235 | \$ | 138,716 | \$ | $(87,387)$ | \$ | (227,078) |
| 9 | Cumulative TAS Principal | L3 + Prev L9 | \$ | 303,519 | \$ | 532,204 | \$ | 4,795,178 | \$ | 1,154,425 | \$ | (753,378) | \$ | $(3,895,136)$ | \$ | $(6,000,250)$ | \$ | $(7,676,206)$ | \$ | $(7,591,457)$ | \$ | (7,409,736) | \$ | $(7,454,263)$ | \$ | $(7,637,592)$ |
| 10 | Cumulative TAS Interest | L7 + Prev L10 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,961 | \$ | 16,806 | \$ | 32,337 | \$ | 33,546 | \$ | 21,654 | \$ | $(6,261)$ | \$ | $(45,046)$ | \$ | $(88,560)$ | \$ | $(131,565)$ |  | (174,425) | \$ | (218,174) |
| 11 | Total Cumulative TAS Principal and Interest | L8 + L9 | \$ | 304,307 | \$ | 535,166 | \$ | 4,811,984 | \$ | 1,186,763 | \$ | (719,831) | \$ | (3,873,483) | \$ | (6,006,511) | \$ | (7,721,252) | \$ | $(7,680,016)$ | \$ | $(7,541,301)$ | \$ | (7,628,688) | \$ | $\underline{(7,855,765)}$ |
| 12 | Total to be Reconciled through September 2009 | Sept L11 | \$ | (7,855,765) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | October 2009 Interest | L18*L12 | \$ | $(44,516)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | November 2009 Interest | L18*(L12+L13) | \$ | $(44,768)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | December 2009 Interest | L18* ${ }^{\text {(L12+L13+L14) }}$ | \$ | $(45,022)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Total to be Reconciled through December 2009 | L12+L13+L14+L15 | \$ | $(7,990,072)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Incremental Monthly Recovery | L16/12 | \$ | $(665,839)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Monthly Nominal Interest Rate | Sept L6 |  | 0.5667\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | The Toledo Edison Company |  |  | Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Jan-10 |  | Feb-10 |  | Mar-10 |  | ${ }_{\text {Apr-10 }}$ (569, |  | May-10 \| |  | Jun-10 |  | ${ }^{\text {Jul-10 }}$ |  | Aug-10 |  | Sep-10 |  | ${ }^{\text {Oct-10 }}$ |  | Nov-10 |  | Dec-10 |
| 19 | Balance at End of Month |  | \$ | (7,657,152) | \$ | $(6,991,313)$ | \$ | (6,325,473) | \$ | (5,659,634) | \$ | $(4,993,795)$ | \$ | (4,327,955) | \$ | $(3,662,116)$ | \$ | $(2,996,277)$ | \$ | (2,330,438) | \$ | (1,664,598) | \$ | (998,759) | \$ | (332,920) |
| 20 | Interest Earned During Month |  | \$ | $(45,432)$ | \$ | $(41,751)$ | \$ | $(38,048)$ | \$ | $(34,324)$ | \$ | $(30,577)$ | \$ | $(26,807)$ | \$ | $(23,016)$ | \$ | $(19,202)$ | \$ | $(15,365)$ | \$ | $(11,505)$ | $\$$ | $(7,623)$ | \$ | (3,718) |
| 21 | Cumulative Interest |  | \$ | $(45,432)$ |  | $(87,184)$ | \$ | $(125,232)$ | \$ | $(159,556)$ | \$ | $(190,132)$ | \$ | $(216,940)$ | \$ | $(239,955)$ | \$ | $(259,157)$ | \$ | (274,522) | \$ | $(286,027)$ | \$ | $(293,650)$ | \$ | $(297,368)$ |
| 22 | Total Interest Earned January 2010 - December 2010 | Dec L21 | \$ | $(297,368)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Total to be Reconciled January 2010 - December 2010 | L16+L22 |  | (8,287,440) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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