In Re: Ace Doran

```
1
1
        BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
    In the Matter of:
    Ace Doran Hauling &
4
                              : Case No.09-383-TR-CVF
    Rigging Co.
5
    Notice of Apparent
    Violation and Intent to :
6
    Assess Forfeiture.
7
8
                          PROCEEDINGS
9
    before Mr. Kerry H. Sheets, Attorney Examiner, at the
10
    Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad
11
    Street, Room 11-G, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00
12
    a.m. on Tuesday, September 29, 2009.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                    ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
              222 East Town Street, Second Floor
23
                   Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
               (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
24
                     Fax - (614) 224-5724
25
```

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Mr. Dennis Nelson Ace Doran Hauling & Rigging Co. 1601 Blue Rock Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45223	
4	Pro se.	
5		
6	Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney General Duane W. Luckey, Senior Deputy Attorney General	
7	Public Utilities Section Mr. Werner L. Margard III Mr. John H. Jones	
9	180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793	
10	On behalf of the Transportation Staff of the Public Utilities Commission.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

In Re: Ace Doran

				3
1	INDEX			
2				
3	WITNESS	Ι	PAGE	
4	Douglas Hostetler wit			
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Jones Cross-Examination by Mr. Nelson		4 21	
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Jones		28	
7	Thomas Forbes Direct Examination by Mr. Margard		30	
8	Gary Diuk Direct Examination by Mr. Nelson		34	
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jones		36	
10	Redirect Examination by Mr. Jones Recross-Examination by Mr. Nelson		43 44	
11				
12	STAFF EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD	
13	1 - Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	8	29	
14	2 - Copy of Photograph	10	29	
15	3 - Copy of Photograph	10	29	
16	4 - Copy of Photograph	10	29	
17	5 - Copy of Photograph	10	29	
18	6 - Out of Service Fine Schedule	33	29	
19	7 - Notice of Preliminary Determination	33	33	
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Tuesday Morning Session,

September 29, 2009.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public

Utilities Commission of Ohio has set for hearing at
this time and place Case No. 09-383-TR-CVF, In the

Matter of Ace Doran Hauling & Rigging Co. My name is
Kerry Sheets, an attorney-examiner for the
Commission, and I have been assigned to hear the
case.

May I now have appearances of the parties please, starting with staff.

MR. JONES: Good morning, your Honor. On behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio, Vern Margard, John Jones,
assistant attorneys general, 180 East Broad Street,
Columbus, Ohio.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good.

Now for the respondent, your name and address, please.

MR. DIUK: My name is Gary Diuk. My address is 621 28th Street NW, Massillon, Ohio.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is that the company address?

MR. DIUK: No, sir, it is my home

1 address.

4

6

7

8

12

13

14

21

22

23

24

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Could you give the company address.

MR. NELSON: I will do it. My name is

Denis Nelson. The address is 1601 Blue Rock Street,

Cincinnati, Ohio, 45223.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good. Are there any preliminary matters to take care of?

MR. JONES: No, your Honor.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have a witnesses to call?

MR. JONES: Yes, we do, your Honor. We would like to call Officer Hostetler to the stand, please.

15

## 16 DOUGLAS HOSTETLER

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
examined and testified as follows:

# 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 By Mr. Jones:

- Q. Please state your full name for the record?
  - A. Douglas W. Hostetler, H-O-S-T-E-T-L-E-R.
    - Q. And where you employed?
- A. I am employed with the Ohio State Highway

Patrol and assigned to patrol at the Massillon
District Headquarters, State Route 172, Massillon,
Ohio.

2.3

- Q. And how long have you been employed in that capacity.
- A. In the current capacity I am for approximately six years, but I have been with the Ohio State Highway Patrol since 1986.
- Q. And what training and certifications do you have to do your job?
- A. My career training started with Ohio
  Peace Officer Training Council, which I was a
  certified peace officer. Upon employment with Ohio
  State patrol I was trained as a dispatcher. Then six
  years ago accepting the promotion, I was trained in
  North American level 1 training course offered
  through the federal government, also hazardous
  material inspector training, both cargo tank and
  noncargo tank hazmat vehicles. I am also familiar
  with training in the Ohio Revised Code in addition to
  the Federal Motor Carrier Safety regulations.
- Q. And what equipment is issued to do your job?
- A. I am issued a uniform similar to the one I'm wearing today. Also a patrol car with a light

bar, siren, door insignias that say Ohio State Highway Patrol Motor Carrier Enforcement.

I am given equipment to help conduct my inspections, coveralls, creepers, an Aspen computer to electronically record our inspections and create a legal document as a result of our inspections, and various other type of things to conduct those inspections, equipment used in the course of that.

- Q. And what is your area that you're assigned to?
- A. I'm assigned to the Ohio Patrol,

  Massillon District 3, which consists of Lorain,

  Medina, Ashland, Wayne, Holmes, Stark, Summit County

  area of Ohio. I do have jurisdiction throughout

  Ohio.
- Q. Very good. Very good. And so as part of your job responsibilities you conduct roadside inspections of commercial motor vehicle carriers?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And from those inspections it is your testimony that you generate a report to document that inspection?
- A. Yes, I do. I have a computer laptop computer mounted in my cruiser with a program labeled Aspen program, which generates a computerized report

of my findings on my inspections.

- Q. Okay. Do you have any exhibits in front of you up there?
  - A. No, I don't.
- MR. JONES: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may.

- Q. Officer Hostetler, I have handed you a packet of material there. Would you identify what is on top there as State's Exhibit 1?
- A. This is a copy of the Aspen report that I generated the day of the inspection. At the top right corner is a unique identifying number. It has OH3256005785. That indicates it was generated by Ohio 3256, which is my unit number. The remaining number is a sequential number generated for each inspection I have that document one from another.
- Q. Okay. So this report was generated in relation to the inspection that occurred on August 14, 2008 in Stark County; is that correct?
- A. That's correct, Stark County Ohio on August 14, 2008. The inspection started at 2:18 in the afternoon and concluded at 2:46 in the afternoon that day.
  - Q. And the inspection took place involving

what carrier, what driver?

- A. The inspection is for Ace Doran Hauling & Rigging of 1601 Blue Rock Street, Cincinnati Ohio 452333. This was generated by entering the DOT number into my Aspen report. Many of my fields are captioned where you fill in the blank and it populates automatically. The result of the DOT number displayed on the outside of the truck populated that screen and the response was also documented by the door marking.
  - Q. And why did this inspection take place?
- A. This was the result of an obvious violation I observed. The truck that was inspected in this report was operating on US 30 in Stark County. I noticed some strapping that was loose as the vehicle traveled down US 30, and I initiated a traffic stop and a subsequent inspection as a result of that observation.
- Q. Okay. And as pattern of your inspection that took place then with Ace Doran Hauling & Rigging, were you able to take pictures of this inspection?
- A. Yes. I am issued a digital camera as part of my equipment issued by the Highway Patrol. I try to document, especially in cargo securement,

pictures of the inspected vehicle and the type of securement devices used. Yes, on this particular inspection I took advantage of the equipment available and did document the vehicle and the cargo.

- Q. I'd like to have you then identify Staff Exhibits 2, 3, 4, and 5. Why don't you start with Exhibit 2 and tell us what that shows.
- A. Exhibit 2 is the picture of the side door of the truck that I inspected that the day. The intent of this picture is to document one of the tools I used to obtain an ID of the carrier on that particular day. And that's the door markings showing the company name as well as the DOT markings.

On Exhibit 3 is a copy of the bill of lading presented to me that day. The bill of lading has unique numbers on it that identified this particular load and it captures in there where they are coming and going to. The reason I collect that is I have to identify the commodity, as well as the origin destination and the shipper on the loads, and they are recorded in the Aspen report as part of my report findings.

Exhibit No. 4 is a view from the right rear corner of the truck, just kind of to show the cargo, the straps that were in use at the time, to

document the number used and so forth like that.

Another view again, Staff Exhibit 5, from the rear is something that the patrol likes us to do, too. It is another way of documenting the vehicles involved to capture the license plate number. We have the ability then if need to for identification purposes run that and obtain information on the trailer by the license plate number.

- Q. Okay. So then information that can be seen there from Staff Exhibit 2 as to identification of the carrier, Staff Exhibit 3, the bill of lading, that information is consistent with the information contained in your driver examination report?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And these pictures, Staff Exhibits 2, 3, 4, and 5, are these accurate representations of what you observed that day when you conducted the inspection?
- A. Yes, sir. This is pictures as I saw it that day.
  - Q. And why don't you go ahead and describe then -- first of all, looking at Staff Exhibit 3, what was the weight of this load as indicated?
- A. According to the bill of lading 53,290 pounds.

- Q. Now please give us a description here looking at Staff Exhibit 4 what we see here for the cargo.
- A. 4 is what I refer to as steel rods being transported on the bed of this flatbed truck and trailer.
- Q. Okay. And go ahead and describe here exactly how many straps are showing there for that load.
- A. Well, the total number of straps on the load appears to be seven. My particular concern that day was the top layer that had a couple loose straps of which the top layer, not being against the header board, had a total of five straps present that day.
- Q. Okay. So these steel rods, they're not up against the header board?
  - A. No, sir.

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Either the bottom layer or the top layer.
- A. No, they're not against the header board.
- Q. And what is the approximate length of these rods?
  - A. The length is 22.75 feet.
  - Q. And did you measure those rods?
- A. I measured them that day and also

  subsequent questioning occurred from the carrier. So

I called and talked to the shipper that day and confirmed that the length was 22.75 feet. That's per the shipper.

- Q. Okay. All right. So, now, when you saw this obvious violation, looking at Staff Exhibit 4, what did you see that was loose for those straps?
- A. While in transport the top cargo had straps over it, and the picture shows five. Two straps were loose, and you can see as air goes down the road and beneath the straps, you can see them move, physically move, at times even raised. That's what I observed that day, and that's why I initiated the stop.
- Q. So you're saying that two of the five straps swung over the top of the load were loose, and that was obvious to you as you observed the truck going by you; is that correct?
- A. Yes; while the truck was in transport. Yes.
- Q. Okay. After you had made the stop of the driver for this load, then what was done in regards to securement of that load?
- A. Ultimately at the conclusion of the inspection, the Level II inspection, the driver then exited the truck and tightened both the straps.

- Q. Okay. And these pictures were taken in relation to when the straps were tightened.
- A. He had already made his corrections of the loose straps. My intent on this picture was to document the number of securement devices used and also just for identification of the truck for further purposes if needed.
- Q. Okay. So it's your testimony that you took these pictures after such time as the driver had tightened down the two straps that you observed to be loose.
  - A. Yes.

- Q. That were over the top of the load.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And as to -- let's go back to Staff 1 then and fill in the information for your report. What other information did you then incorporate into your report from this inspection?
- A. Well, beneath the carrier identification line there's a caption that identifies the location of the inspection, which was roadside on US 30, milepost 7, in Stark County, which is near the State Route 21 area. The next block below that is called the Vehicle Identification block, which unit 1, which is a power unit identified by documents presented and

also markings on the truck, license plate and so forth. It was a Freightliner 1997 make, Ohio plated with Ohio plate PUZ6098. Has a company ID on it of 4835.

б

I also recorded the VIN number, and this is populated also off the cab card. We actually have scanners, you click on and it populates those fields. The gross weight rating that the Bureau of Motor Vehicles has for the power unit is 80,000.

No. 2 is the trailer. It's a semi-trailer, 2008. I don't recall the make on this one. There are formatted makes on the trailer codes and Aspen does not offer every make that are out there, so if it doesn't have that in the populated format screen, I list generic "other" as the make, Ohio plate TNZ7566. There again the VIN and gross vehicle weight rating is recorded

The next block below is violations that I observed as a result of the inspection, just two in this case. One was the -- on the power unit was left tail lamp, inoperative. Second was the cargo securement violation, which is listed under 392.9(a)(1). On there it does become an out-of-service violation with the number of straps involved.

Also off to the side there it says

Verify. There's an A. That means I verified and

observed the driver making the corrections to remedy
this problem.

The next group of boxes here are different special inspections, which this does not apply to that. The following box there is the notes that I have. At the conclusion of my inspection, I always myself write down the reason for the stop.

O-B-V-I means obvious, and strapping is the reason why I stopped them.

The next line is a series of abbreviations, how I determined the identity of the carrier that day. The driver indicated that's who he was driving for. It also had the markings, the registration for the truck, bill of lading, and also the logbook. He was logging under this carrier also.

At the bottom is some more information listed that the Aspen report generates as a result of various boxes that I had to answer questions or populate, and it puts together a brief statement here at the bottom, including the driver's address and so on.

The second page is generated by the

computer there. It is nothing unique, other than the driver information. There is formatted instructions that's listed here on the Aspen report so the driver and the carrier has instructions in regards to making corrections and returning this report back to the Commission, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, notifying them that the corrections had been made, and it also lists the time that they have to do so.

- Q. So how soon then after the inspection do you generate the report?
- A. The report is generated after I do my walkaround inspection and I talk to the driver, obtain information, his CDL, medical card, registration, bill of lading, logbook. I take that back to my car and generate the inspection.

At the conclusion I print out a copy of it, return to the driver, give him his copy, have him sign it, return it to the carrier. It's concluded then.

At the end of my shift all the ones generated that day I update to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, and then they handle the rest from there.

Q. Staff Exhibit 1 is not the actual one

that was issued to the driver that day but it's an accurate representation of the report you would have generated that day with the information and served on the driver; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. And going back to the violation itself here, what does the code require, the Federal Motor Carrier Safety regulations when you have the length of these rods being 22.75 feet in length and the type of cargo that we have here, what does the code require as to how many straps would be needed to have that load secure?
- A. There's two different variables you have to enter into that equation. One is securing for the weight, which he was in compliance of that. So the remaining is how do you determine the number of straps required. And according to 393.110 of the Federal Motor Carrier safety regulations, that is broken down into two aspects, whether it is against the cargo or a bulkhead or some type of bracing or not.

In this particular case the application is not, so to determine the amount, two for the first 10 foot of cargo; one strap for each additional section of 10 or part thereof 10-foot section. And

in this particular case we have 0 to 10 requiring two straps, 10 to 20 another strap, and slightly over 20 requiring a final strap.

- Q. So for this load particularly the two bundles of steel rods on the top required four straps to be secured, to secure that part of the load; is that correct?
- A. That's correct. Four properly secured straps would have been sufficient for length.
- Q. And on this occasion for this inspection there were actually five straps but two of the straps were loose as what you testified; is that correct?
- A. That's correct. Two were loose, so that pulls it down to three working securement devices.

  So that was one short of the requirement for this top tier there.
- Q. And you observed the driver to then tighten those straps in your presence at the time of the inspection?
- A. Right. While I typing out my Aspen report, the corrections were made, just prior to the photograph.
- Q. And then he was placed back in service and allowed to go on?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. So as to Staff Exhibit 1 is this a record kept in the ordinary course of business? This is a report you generate for every inspection you conduct; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, every inspection.

- Q. Okay. And you also testified that Staff Exhibits 2, 3, 4 and 5, they were accurate representations that you observed from your inspection, that you took these pictures and you generated these pictures; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. And it is further your testimony that you had electronically submitted a copy of this inspection report to the Public Utilities Commission; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And is it also then, Officer Hostetler, your opinion based on what you observed and based on what the Federal Motor Carrier Safety regulations required that this load was not secure; is that correct? Is that your opinion?
- A. Not while it was in transport prior to my contact, but it was corrected upon completion of my inspection.
  - MR. JONES: Your Honor, if I could have

In Re: Ace Doran

21

one second.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.

MR. JONES: I have no other questions,

4 your Honor.

б

7

8

10

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Which one wants

to speak? Do you have any questions?

MR. NELSON: I will, sir.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have

<sup>9</sup> questions for the witness?

MR. NELSON: Yes, I do.

11 \_ \_ \_ \_

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

13 By Mr. Nelson:

morning?

Q. Officer Hostetler, how are you this

16 A. Good.

Q. You talked about the Aspen program and how some are populated automatically by the system.

Is in the violation code in question here, is that automatically populated by the Aspen, or do you enter

those items?

A. No. The 392.9(a)(1) is the cargo securement code you type in, and it populates the box off to the extreme right. We do have the ability to add additional comments on that. In this particular

case when I hit 392.9(a)(1), it would show failure to secure cargo specified in 49 CFR 393.100 through 142.

It would end there. From that point on it is my personal modifications to the description of the offense.

- Q. Okay. So anything past the population of 393.100 through 393.142 is automatically done when this 392.9 is placed there?
- A. When 392(a)(1), what you just read, is populated in there, the part that I added to this inspection, this particular violation is: "2 of 5 straps loose (4 required) in violation of 393.104(f)(3)," and I wrote the words "Out of Service."
- Q. Okay. Now I'm confused with 392.9(A)(1) having anything to do with load securement. Would you enlighten us on how operating authority has anything to do with the load securement?
- A. 392.9 is load securement. There was also a subsection for operating authority that falls under that, too. But for cargo securement the Ohio Patrol directed us to write it under 392.9. This pops up when you populate that. They want it all listed under "generic" which defaults to, it says a cargo

has to be secured as specified in 49 CFR 393.100 through 393.142.

б

- Q. So what specifically is the charge that you are asserting today?
- A. I am asserting that the cargo was not secured as required by the Federal Motor Carrier cargo regulations, specifically the section that requires the strapping to be snug on there and properly fitted.
  - Q. Okay. Which you referred to as 393.110?
- A. 393.110 tells you how you determine the number. There are several issues when you haul cargo you have to take into consideration, weight, length, how you determine the number. They actually say for 393.110, it gives you a whole bunch of different scenarios: Cargo over 5 feet, cargo under 5 feet, cargo over 1,100 pounds, cargo under, long cargo. It gives you a whole bunch of different scenarios.

If your driver were to ask me, how do you know how many straps, I would tell them to go to 393.110 and it will explain to you how many you have to have.

- Q. You are specifically asserting that 393.110 is the violation you wrote up here?
  - A. No. I am saying that's how I determined

how many straps. I determined he needs to have four straps on that top tier. However, he had in excess of that. He had five, two of which were loose, and according to 393.104(f)(3), the straps are not allowed to be loose. The cargo has to be firmly immobilized, and that's said in 393.106.

But loose straps are not permitted as a form of cargo securement. He had two loose, which drops him down to three, which you asked me how do I determine if someone is out of service or not, do they have the minimum number that 393.110 says you have to have. My answer is no because two are not working and not considered legal cargo securement, is what I'm trying to articulate on that.

- Q. Okay. Where did you articulate in the violation description that you were referring to the top two bundles?
- A. No. There are only so many characters you're allowed to put in there. I couldn't do it all there. Top cargo or any part thereof is cargo not secured. I don't normally say that this is okay, this is not okay. I deal with what is not working, and I explained to the driver that day on the roadside what I had in mind was incorrect.
  - Q. Okay. Which straps specifically are you

saying were loose on the load, sir?

- A. That I don't remember in sequential order from front to back which ones. The important thing to me was it was not present. I don't know the particular placement that particular day. It's been over a year and I can't remember whether it was strap 1 or 3, but I do know two of the five that were present that day were not properly used.
- Q. Well, in actuality is there not seven straps on this load?
- A. From the total load but not on the top tier. I'm worried about the securement of the top tier, sir.
- Q. Okay. So you're insinuating or implying that two of the top five straps were not.
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. But you can't remember which two.
- A. Not which two of the five, which placement, no.
  - 0. 0kay.
- A. It doesn't matter. You have to have four there working and there wasn't. That was my intention of that.
- Q. Is it not your normal operation to make notes to this effect so you can testify?

A. No. It doesn't matter if you don't have the minimum number, how many you could have. I don't document how many straps he has in his cab that should be used, no, or how many aren't used. All I know he is required to have four. I determined there wasn't four working. That's what I documented, that he was in violation of the minimum strapping requirement. I don't go how many excessive straps he had or so forth like that, but I did document he didn't have the required amount.

- Q. You don't think that's an important issue here in the forum right here to determine --
- A. The important issue was you have to have four working straps on that, and they were not present. That what I'm articulating in this. That's what I want to make correct today.
- Q. So it's not important to document what ones were and what ones weren't?
- A. Just the total number versus you meet requirements or not, whether you're in compliance with the law or not. I don't say you're 150 percent compliant. That doesn't matter. You either are or you're not. In this particular case what I want to say it doesn't meet this requirements of the federal government and did not that day.

- Q. Okay. Did you take a measuring tape and measure these bars?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. And did you put that in your notes?
  - A. That is not in there.
- Q. So the fact of the matter is you actually took the length off the bills and you didn't measure them; is that right?
- A. No, sir. I testified I measured them, and that's where my record will stand. It was measured that day. When you had a question later in the process here, my intention of taking bills is to -- pictures of the bills is also to document length in the event of something like this today.

Upon closer examination of the bill, it didn't show the length that I was hoping to establish on it so I called the carrier. It was a unique bill of lading number. I talked to their shipping department, and he says: Oh, yes, I got it here on the computer. The length of these rods is 22.75.

I can tell from you my violation and the requirement to have four straps, that means I can testify for sure that the cargo is in excess of 20 but yet less that 30 feet in length for the

four-strapping requirement. And I did determine that day the number of straps required, and I reconfirmed with the shipper to make sure the actual length of the load, which they indicated was 22.75 feet.

MR. NELSON: I don't think I have any further questions.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any redirect?

MR. JONES: Just one, your Honor.

б

### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Jones:

- Q. Officer, you did indicate in your report that two of the five straps were loose and four were required, and that was referring to the top two bundles on the load.
- A. Yes. If you look at the picture there, it will show there's two layers of bundles. I have no issue with the four sets of bundles on the bottom. They have their own unique securement devices as well as some on the top can be applied as cargo securement devices, and you have the weight of the top cargo, too. No issue on the bottom layer. The top layer, though, is not in compliance with the Federal Motor Carrier regulation.

- Q. You did note what part of this load you were referring to, is that correct, as a matter of what was not secure?
- A. Right. I explained to the driver that day and he understood.
- Q. And you saw the driver tighten up those straps, right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - MR. JONES: No other questions, your
- 10 Honor.

1

2

3

4

6

7

- 11 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any other
- 12 recross?
- MR. NELSON: Can I have just a moment,
- 14 please?
- 15 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
- MR. NELSON: No questions.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You're excused.
- MR. JONES: Your Honor, at this time the
- 19 staff would move for admission of Staff Exhibits 1,
- 20 2, 3, 4 and 5.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very well, I will
- 22 admit those exhibits into evidence.
- 23 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, staff calls
- Mr. Tom Forbes to the stand please.

- -

## THOMAS FORBES

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Margard:

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Forbes.
- A. Good morning.
  - Q. State your name, please.
  - A. Tom Forbes, F-O-R-B-E-S.
  - Q. And by whom are you employed?
- A. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio,
  Transportation Department, Compliance Division.
  - Q. And what are your duties in the Compliance Division?
  - A. I'm a compliance officer, and my duties involve reviewing field inspections and compliance reviews and preparing assessment charts and assessing forfeitures as appropriate, conducting settlement conferences with respondents when they contest the violation, answer technical questions from motor carriers and other interested parties about the regulations, conducting enough inspections to keep my certifications current, and attending the in-service training and testifying at hearing.

In Re: Ace Doran

- Q. Thank you, sir. How long have you been doing that?
  - A. Four and a half years.
- Q. Have you been present throughout the hearing today?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. So you heard the testimony of Officer Hostetler?
  - A. I did, yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you. Can you describe for us how a civil forfeiture is calculated for violations of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety regulations?
- A. Yes, sir. There are two separate parts of the civil forfeiture. There are hazardous material violations and loads that don't involve hazardous materials. In this case this is a shipment that does not involve hazardous materials.

And this civil forfeiture department has those violations broken up into four groups, and they refer to them as Group 1, Group 2, Group 3, and Group 4 violations. Group 1, 2, and 3 must be out of service to receive a fine. Group 4 violations you do not need to be out of service to receive a forfeiture.

A Group 1 violation includes things like

In Re: Ace Doran

inoperative brakes, logbook hours of service violations, steering frame and cargo violations that are not out of service

Group 2 violations involve things like low air warning, brake adjustment violations, wheels, rims, and some of the lighting violations that are out of service.

Group 3 violations involve fuel systems, windshields, tires that are out of service.

And Group 4 violations involve things like authority, medical cards, insurance, drugs and alcohol, CDL violations, seat belts and radar detectors. These violations may be out of service in some situations but are not required to be to receive a forfeiture.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, if I may interject, the respondent has indicated to me that they would not contest how the calculation was made here, the forfeiture, and would accept that evidence pending disposition by the Commission of this case.

MR. NELSON: Yes. I have no problems with the way the fine was done. I would stipulate to that.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER:

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good. You

Is that correct?

```
1
     are excused.
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
3
                 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.
4
                 If I may, your Honor, do you also
     acknowledge you received proper notice of the
6
     violations?
7
                 MR. NELSON: Yes.
8
                 MR. MARGARD: Do you have any difficulty
     with those exhibits being admitted as part of the
10
     record?
11
                 MR. NELSON:
12
                 MR. MARGARD: In that case, your Honor, I
13
     would move for admission of Staff Exhibits Nos. 6 and
14
     7, which is the fine schedule which determines the
15
     fine that the respondent has stipulated to, and Staff
16
     Exhibit No. 7, which is the Notice of Preliminary
17
     Determination, and respondent has acknowledged they
18
     received proper notice.
19
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will admit
20
     those exhibits into evidence at this time.
21
                 MR. MARGARD:
                                Thank you, your Honor.
22
                  (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
23
                              Staff has no other witnesses.
                 MR. JONES:
24
                 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good.
```

for respondent' side of the case.

MR. NELSON: I would like to call

Mr. Diuk, the driver involved that day.

3

4 GARY DIUK

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was

examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

8 By Mr. Nelson:

7

21

- Q. Sir, state you name and address.
- A. Gary Diuk, 621 28th Street, NW,
- 11 Massillon, Ohio.
- Q. And what is your association with Ace
- Doran Hauling & Rigging?
- A. I'm owner/operator leased onto Ace Doran.
- Q. And you were in that capacity on
- 16 8/14/2008?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you disagree with Mr. Hostetler in the location and time or any of that?
- 20 A. No, sir.
  - Q. Okay. And what was your activity at that point in time and location? What were you doing?
- A. I was headed west to Indiana with this load.
- Q. Okay. And you have seen the photographs

that were entered by the State here, in specific

No. 4 there, the exhibit showing the load. Is that a

depiction of the load that you were carrying that

day?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And do you disagree with the statement of Officer Hostetler that there were two straps on that load that were loose?
  - A. No.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you disagree with the locations of the straps that were loose?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What straps did Officer Hostetler bring to your attention that day?
- A. On the back of the trailer it was the bottom strap, and then on the top it was the center strap.
- Q. Okay. All right. And do you agree with the statement from Officer Hostetler as to the measurement on the load and the fact that he took the measurement?
- A. No, sir, he did not measure the load.

  MR. NELSON: I believe that's all I have for this witness.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any

1 questions?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. JONES: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

\_ \_ -

### CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Jones:

- Q. So, Mr. Diuk, do you have Staff Exhibit 4 in front of you up there?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. So it's your testimony that you were told by the officer that there were two straps that were loose.
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And you're saying that the straps that were loose was the last strap on the bottom?
  - A. Yes, sir. There were two straps on the bottom. The one towards the back.
    - Q. Which one?
    - A. May I approach and point it out?
    - O. Yes. I can approach you.
    - A. This strap here on the bottom.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Which one is it?

THE WITNESS: This strap that goes across the bottom. From the back it would be strap No. 2.

Q. And it's your testimony -- what was the other strap that was identified as being loose?

- A. The center strap on the top.
- Q. So of the five going over top, it would be the third one in from either direction.
  - A. Correct.

2

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So it's your testimony then that the officer saw between the four bundles on the bottom and two bundles on the top that a strap was loose in between the two?
- A. He didn't have to see it from between them. He could see it from the side. The strap goes over the side. You can see it from the side.
- Q. Did you raise this with the officer at the time?
- A. He didn't state which straps. That was never discussed. He said: You have two loose straps you need to tighten up.
  - Q. So you tightened two straps?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. How many straps did you tighten?
  - A. Two.
- Q. And did you tighten the two that you're saying you just identified?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. One in the middle of five on the top and one on the bottom, second from the back.

A. Yes, sir.

1

3

4

б

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So then after you made those two straps tight, you didn't have any discussion with the officer as to why you were being cited?
- A. No, sir. He stated the taillight and the straps, and, you know, that's why he stopped me.
- Q. But you were given the copy of Staff Exhibit 1, were you not, staff Exhibit 1 being the report?
- A. Yes. But I don't believe that states which straps were loose.
- Q. Okay. But you had a chance to look over the report when he issued it to you, right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And you do see in the middle of that report in bold ink where it does say: "2 of 5 straps loose, (4 required)"?
- A. Yes, sir. One thing, when Officer

  Hostetler asked me to sign that, I noticed that, but

  he also told me that the violation -- there was no

  ticket, that I would not receive a fine. He didn't

  explain to me I could be here.
- When I saw that and heard what he had to say, I signed it. I shouldn't have because there were seven straps on that load and five straps were

required.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Well, you do see that the zone on that report there is -- the information is contained under violations?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. That's unmistakable, is it not?
  - A. But when he said there was no ticket, there was no fine, why should I argue with the man?
  - Q. Well, you were temporarily placed out of service, correct?
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And you were observed -- in fact, the officer stayed with you until such time as you tightened those straps; is that correct?
    - A. That's right.
  - Q. And you were permitted to go on after you made those adjustments.
    - A. That's correct.
    - Q. And you were issued this report.
      - A. Yes. Which I had to mail to Ace Doran.
  - Q. You understand what it means to be placed out of service, don't you?
    - A. Yes. But it was --
    - O. You tell me, what does it mean?
- $^{25}$  A. When you are out of service, a vehicle is

not allowed to move until the violations are corrected.

- Q. So in your mind you knew there were violations, correct?
- A. No, sir; because I disagreed with the officer because I had more straps than what were required for the load.
- Q. Why didn't you contest being out of service?
- A. Why should I when he said there's no fine, there's no -- it's not going -- the way I understood what he explained to me, this would not go on my driving record, that there was no violation. There was no fine. So why should I contest? Why should I fight with him? Why would I argue with the man? When he stated that, it was more like, okay, you fixed the problem. You're good to go. If he would have told me --
- Q. In fact, there is a report that's generated that listed in that report there was a violation noted for a load not being secured. You didn't have any concern there was paperwork out that indicated that?
  - A. No, I didn't.
  - Q. For this load?

- A. No, I didn't. I should have because I can see, you know, but, no, I didn't.
  - Q. Have you been subject to inspections before?
    - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And prior to this date of August 14,
- 7 2008?

3

4

8

11

12

13

- A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Okay. And how many times have you been subject to inspections prior to that date?
  - A. I couldn't tell you.
  - Q. More than five?
  - A. Oh, yes.
  - Q. More than 10?
- A. Probably.
- 0. More than 20?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. More than 10?
- A. Oh, yeah.
- Q. Have you ever been placed out of service on one of those inspections?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- Q. You have been issued reports in every one of those inspections, right?
- A. Yes, sir. Of which they all have to be

In Re: Ace Doran

in Re. Ace Doran

42

mailed to the company.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. You testified that the officer did not measure the rods for this load.
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. How are you certain of that?
  - A. I was watching.
    - Q. You were watching everything he did?
  - A. Pretty much, from what I could see through the mirrors of the cab.
  - Q. So you're saying it's not possible he could have made that measurement without you observing it?
    - A. No, sir.
  - Q. He also testified he had contacted the shipper rep. Can you testify he didn't make that call?
    - A. No, sir. I was not in the patrol car.
    - Q. So he could have made that call.
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And you just don't know.
- A. Yes, he could have. I didn't dispute that.
- Q. Let me ask you this. Do you dispute that it's 22.75 feet long for that load, this Staff

25 Exhibit 4?

- A. No. I just dispute the fact that he measured the load.
- Q. But the fact is you don't dispute what the length of those rods are.
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. 22.75 feet long is the length of the rods in the picture of Staff Exhibit 4; is that accurate?
  - A. I would say so.
  - Q. Okay.
- MR. JONES: That's all I have, your
- 11 Honor.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any redirect?
- MR. NELSON: Yes.
- 14

## 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 16 By Mr. Nelson:
- Q. Mr. Diuk, did you measure these bars
- 18 yourself?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. So you don't know what length they are.
- A. No, sir; just what was on the bill of lading.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any way of knowing
  from this report that specifically the thing that you
  were accused of not meeting in the regulations was

393.110? Was there anything that depicted any conversation with this officer that that's where you were falling short in your load securement?

A. No, sir.

MR. NELSON: That's all I have.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I have one rebuttal question to that.

- - -

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Jones:

- Q. Sir, you say you didn't have notice of that, but isn't it true if I look at the Staff Exhibit report 1 for the description of the violation, it says failure to secure cargo as specified in 49 CFR 393.100 through 393.142? That's what it says in the report; is that correct?
- A. I don't know. May I see the report? Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Yes. In fact, the question is you were on notice of violation of 393.110 because in the violation description of Exhibit 1 it says: "Failing to secure cargo as specified in 49 CFR 393.100 through 393.142." That's what it says, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

In Re: Ace Doran

45 1 Ο. So the provision that the officer is 2 referring to is in between 393.100 and 393.142; is 3 that correct? 4 Α. Yes, that's correct. MR. JONES: Okay. That's all I have. 6 Thank you. 7 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good. 8 You're excused. Do you have anything you want to testify 10 to? 11 MR. NELSON: No, I'm not going to 12 testify. 13 MR. JONES: That's all we have, your 14 Honor. 15 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Let's 16 discuss a briefing schedule. (Discussion off the record.) 17 18 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We agree the date 19 for simultaneous briefs will be November 13. 20 Anything further to add? 21 MR. JONES: Nothing, your Honor. THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good. 22 Thank 23 you all for coming.

(The hearing adjourned at 11:01 a.m.)

25 \_ \_ \_

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, September 29, 2009, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

\_s/Rosemary F. Anderson\_\_ Rosemary Foster Anderson, Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires April 5, 2014.

11 (RFA-8340)

12 \_ \_ \_ \_

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

10/13/2009 9:15:51 AM

in

Case No(s). 09-0383-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript Ace Doran Hauling & Rigging 9/29/09 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Anderson, Rosemary Foster Mrs.