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## I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, Southern New England Telephone Co. ("SNET"), moves the court to sanction defendants, Global NAPs, Inc., Global NAPs New Hampshire, Inc., Global NAPs Networks, Inc., Global NAPs Realty, Inc., and Ferrous Miner Holdings, Ltd. ${ }^{1}$ (collectively "defendants"), for failure to comply with discovery orders. The sanction sought is a default judgment against the defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(b). See PI.'s Mot. for Default at 1 (Doc. No. 519).

## II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

SNET brought this action against defendant Global NAPS, Inc. ("Global") on December 7, 2004. SNET's original Complaint alleged that Global had misrouted longdistance traffic of certain circuits not designated for such traffic, thereby depriving SNET of applicable access charges and that Global failed to pay SNET access charges

[^0]specified in SNET's federal tariff for special access circuits Global ordered from SNET's tariff. This court stayed SNET's misrouting claims under the doctrine of primary jurisdiction on October 26, 2005 (Doc. No. 38). On May 5, 2006, this court granted SNET's Motion for a Prejudgment Remedy in the amount of $\$ 5.25$ million. See Transcript of Ruling, May 5, 2006 (Doc. No. 133). This court entered summary judgment in favor of SNET on twenty-one of the twenty-six circuits at issue on March 27, 2007 (Doc. No. 406).

On December 9, 2006, SNET filed an Amended Complaint, which added as defendants Global NAPS New Hampshire, Inc. ("Global NH"), Global NAPS Networks, Inc. ("Global Net"), Global NAPS Realty, Inc. ("Global Realty"), and Ferrous Miner Holdings, Ltd. ("Ferrous Miner"). All of the defendants are Delaware corporations with principal places of business at 10 Merrymount Street in Quincy, Massachusetts. SNET's Amended Complaint alleges that the defendants' corporate structure is a "sham" (Am. Compl. II 15), and seeks to hold the defendants collectively liable for the underlying allegations set forth in SNET's original Complaint against Global.

## III. FACTS

The long battle for discovery in this case began in earnest over two years ago, on May 5, 2006, when this court granted SNET's Motion for a Prejudgment Remedy in the amount of $\$ 5.25$ million. See Transcript of Ruling, May 5, 2006 (Doc. No. 133). The court ordered Global to disclose assets sufficient to secure the prejudgment remedy within two weeks. See id. By May 24, 2006, Global had not complied with the court's May 5, 2006 Order, forcing SNET to file a Motion to Compel. See Motion to Compel (Doc. No. 142).

On May 26, 2006, this court issued a Ruling on SNET's Motion to Compel, finding that Global had failed to "comply to date in any acceptable manner." See Ruling at 1 (Doc. No, 149). At that time, the court entered a second, detailed Order requiring, in part, that Global produce an employee, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure $30(b)(6)$, to testify on the existence of Global's assets and Global's current financial condition. Id. at 2. At that deposition, Global was ordered to produce "information and documents relating to Global's current financial position" including tax returns and financial statements "from 2002 to the present" and, for every asset it disclosed that could go towards satisfying the prejudgment remedy, to provide "documents that describe or evidence the location, identity, and valuation, through objective criteria, of that asset." Id.

A deposition was held pursuant to the May 26, 2006 Order on May 31, 2006. At that deposition, Global's treasurer, Richard Gangi, testified that he had not brought any financial statements or tax records of any of the Global entities with him. He further testified that he had "never seen" a financial statement prepared for "any of the Global entities" and that the only financial statement Global's accountant would have prepared would be that of Ferrous Minor. Id. at page 95 , lines 16 - 22 . These statements were patently untrue. ${ }^{2}$

Still having not received documentation in compliance with the court's May 5 or

[^1]May 26, 2006 Orders, SNET filed a Motion for Contempt and Sanctions on June 12, 2006. See Motion for Contempt and Sanctions (Doc. No. 171). In opposition, Global argued, in part, that it could not be sanctioned for failing to provide the requested documents because they were not in the "custody or control" of Global. See Def.'s Mem. in Opp. to Pl.'s Mot. for Sanctions at 6 (Doc. No. 184). Global asserted that it was making "diligent attempts" to obtain tax returns, which it claimed were in the custody of its "corporate parent" Ferrous Minor; bookkeeping records, which it claimed were in the custody of its bookkeeper, Select \& Pay, Inc.; and tax records, which it claimed were in the possession of its accountants, Nardella \& Taylor. Id. at 6-8. At a hearing on this Motion, Richard Gangi testified to the court that he believed that general ledgers existed for Global and that his bookkeeper, Janet Lima of Select \& Pay, had the ledgers but had not turned them over to Global, despite Global's requests. See Testimony of Richard Gangi at 104, Ex. II to PI.'s Mot. for Def. Judg.

On November 27, 2006, the court ruled on SNET's June 12, 2006 Motion for Contempt and Sanctions, finding that the statement made by Richard Gangi that he had "never seen" a financial statement for any of the Global entities was "demonstrably false," and that it was "clear" that Global had violated the May 26, 2006 Order. See Ruling at 4 (Doc. No. 277). While the court found that Global had been "anything but forthcoming in complying with the court's May 5 and 26 Orders," the court was "not prepared to conclude that there is clear and convincing evidence to conclude that Global has acted with the bad faith necessary for the court to exercise its inherent contempt powers." Id. at 2-3. However, the court found Global had offered no "substantial justification" for violating the May Orders and ordered Global to pay SNET
"reasonable expenses caused" by its noncompliance. Id. at 4. Further, the court ordered Global to obtain their records from third-party entities Select \& Pay, Inc. and Nardella \& Taylor, and to produce them to SNET by December 6, 2006. Id. at 5. The court warned Global that failure to produce these documents would "likely result in the entry of a default judgment." Id. The records were not produced.

By June 21, 2007, it became clear that Global's claim that third-party Select \& Pay was withholding their financial records was a lie intended to delay the production of financial records in compliance with SNET's discovery requests and the court's discovery Orders. ${ }^{3}$ On that day, Select \& Pay's President, Janet Lima, signed an affidavit stating that, "Select \& Pay does not keep or maintain or otherwise control Global's records, or any copies of them. To the extent Select \& Pay, Inc. prepares Global documents, they are left at the Global premises." Lima Affidavit at IT 13, Ex. $Z$ to PI.'s Mot. for Def. Judg. Further, Lima attested that, "the documents are actually kept in the client's custody and control." Id. at $\mathbb{1} 9$.

In addition, Global violated the court's November 7, 2006 Order by failing to produce the records in question. Even if one were to have accepted Global's position that it did not have custody of the records (which the court once did, but no longer does), Global violated the November 7, 2006 Order in that it failed to obtain its own records from its accountant and bookkeeper. SNET eventually, by subpoena, obtained some records from the accountant, which had not previously been produced by Global.

[^2]See, e.q., Financial Documents produced by Nardella \& Taylor, Ex.'s G-O to PI.'s Mem. in Supp.

Even after the fiction that Select \& Pay had withheld Global's records was exposed, Global has still failed to provide its general ledger in accordance with this court's May 2006 Orders. On May 2, 2008, almost exactly two years after the court originally ordered Global to produce its financial records, when asked by the court why Global had failed to produce its general ledger, Global's counsel was unable to offer any credible explanation.

While Global's noncompliance with the court's May 2006 Orders dragged on, yet another discovery dispute arose. On April 17, 2007, SNET moved the court to compel Global to comply with twenty-nine requests for the production of documents relevant to SNET's veil-piercing allegations. See PI.'s Mot. to Compel at 1 (Doc. No. 420). On May 31, 2007, this court granted SNET's Motion and ordered each of Global NAPs New Hampshire, Global NAPs Networks, and Global NAPs Realty to produce to SNET within two weeks "the books of the company," including "balance sheets, cash statements, registers, journals, ledgers" in "the form in which the records are kept," and within a slightly longer period to produce other financial documents that may have had to be gathered from third parties. See Motion Hearing, May 31, 2007. The court later extended this Order to include defendant Ferrous Minor Holdings, Ltd. See Motion Hearing, June 18, 2007. Global was subject to the same discovery requests that were the subject of this Order.

On June 15, 2007, defendants Global, Global NAPs Networks, Global NAPs New Hampshire and Global NAPs Realty (collectively the "Global defendants"),
produced documents; however, only about a dozen pages of which contained material not previously produced. In lieu of the bookkeeping records ordered to be produced by the court, the Global defendants wrote a letter to opposing counsel explaining that they were "unable to locate copies of all the ledgers from the relevant time period." See Letter from Miller to Jensen at 1, Ex. B to Pl.'s Mot. for Def. Judg. The letter relied on an Affidavit from James Scheltema, Vice President of Regulatory Affairs for Global NAPs, Inc. Id. Scheltema claimed that, on June 12, 2007, he had undertaken a "thorough, unannounced search of all three Global NAPs locations in Massachusetts" where he located "limited documents relevant to the production requests." Id. He attested that he "searched the hard drive of the computer used by Select \& Pay. Although the hard drive had Peachtree [accounting] software, there was no data relating to a Global entity, merely the program." Scheltema Affidavit at $\mathbb{1} 15$, Att. to Ex. A to PI.'s Mot. for Def. Judg.

On June 21, 2007, Ferrous Minor's counsel reported to SNET via email that Scheltema's search included a search for Ferrous Minor's documents. See Email, Ex. C to PI.'s Mot. for Def. Judg. Ferrous Minor did not produce any documents despite the fact that its Director, Frank Gangi, testified on June 12, 2007, in different litigation, that "Ferrous Minor generates its own separate financial statements," Frank Gangi Declaration at If 15, Ex. D to PI.'s Mot. for Def. Judg., and Richard Gangi had testified on May 31, 2006, that Global's accountants "would have the financial statements of Ferrous Minor Holdings." Richard Gangi Depo. at 95, Ex. GG to Pl.'s Mot. for Def. Judg.

Defendants have falsely argued to the court that documentation for periods prior
to June 2006, did not exist because there had been "uncontroverted testimony that the computer Ms. Lima was using 'crashed' and all of her data was lost." Def.s' Mem. in Opp. at 8-9 (citing Sheltema Depo. at 66-69, Ex. 2 to Def.'s Mem. in Opp.). Defendants went on to speculate that the "crash occurred and [the] data [was] lost in the summer of 2006." Id. In fact, the "crash" of this computer should have had absolutely no impact on the production of discovery because Janet Lima testified that she "dropped"4 the computer she had used for the last five years in late December 2006, after the courtordered deadline for production had come and gone. Lima Depo. at 181-182, Ex. G to PI.'s Suppl. Mem.

Not only was the computer "dropped" after the deadline for production had passed, but based on Lima's testimony, there is no reason to believe that data on the computer was irretrievably lost. Lima testified that the computer she dropped had been "turning itself off" and "things were popping up," so she picked up the computer to take it to Richard Gangi's office. Id. While carrying the computer, she fell down the stairs, dropping the computer, which broke into many pieces. Id. at 183. Lima picked up the pieces and left them in her office. She saw Richard Gangi take those pieces, along with the rest of the computer, out of her office in a plastic bag. Id. at 186. She never saw that computer again, or was informed of what happened to it. Id. Even assuming

[^3]that Lima's testimony should be credited that she dropped the computer and it broke into pieces, the hard drive of this "dropped" computer has never been produced. The defendants have never explained why documents were unretrievable from the hard drive, why the computer has not been produced, or where it is.

On January 19, 2007, defendants' tax accountants, Nardella \& Taylor produced, pursuant to third-party subpoena, hard copy excerpts of many financial documents that defendants had never previously produced, including "excerpts of a general ledger, customer ledgers, fixed asset and depreciation spreadsheets, an aged payables journal, and an aged receivables report." PI.'s Suppl Mem. at 2; Mem. in Support at 5-6 and Exhibits G-O. On June 25, 2007, Nardella \& Taylor produced adjusted trial balance reports for defendants and summary financial statements for Ferrous Minor for the year ending December 31, 2006. See Pl.'s Suppl. Mem. at 2; Pl.'s Mem. in Supp. at 6 and Ex. P. Ed Taytor of Nardella \& Taylor has testified that the hard copies of records he produced were largely created by defendants. See Ed Taylor depo. at 34, 49,51 . He also testified that he was sure he had seen a general ledger for defendants over the years. See id. at 70.

In light of defendant's failure to produce a general ledger in compliance with the court's May 31, 2007 Order, the parties jointly hired FTI Consulting to "image" the replacement computer "searched" by Sheltema and used by Janet Lima after her other computer "crashed." See Letter from FTI consulting to SNET's counsel, Ex. E to PI.'s Mot. for Def. Judg. The expert found the only "active" financial data on the new computer involved a few days worth of check registers for June and July of 2007. Id. However, the expert did find an email attachment containing a sales journal for the year

2000, and using "forensic techniques," located a cash disbursement journal for June 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006 that had been deleted. Id.

Based on the expert's conclusion that at least one seemingly relevant financial document had been erased from Janet Lima's computer, the parties agreed to a more thorough examination of the computer. FTI consulting produced a second report, indicating that the application "Window Washer," a software program with the capability to "overwrite data and disk space" had existed on Janet Lima's computer. Letter from FTI Consulting at 1-2, Ex H to Pl.'s Suppl. Mem. in Supp. of Mot. for Def. Judg. ("Pl.'s Suppl. Mem."). Parts of this program were initially created on the morning of June 12, 2007, the same morning Scheltema arrived to "search" for responsive documents. See id.; Scheltema Affidavit at T15, Att. to Ex. A to PI.'s Mot. for Def. Judg. FTI reported that, "[m]ore time would be needed to identify further components and registry entries of Window Washer as well as its forensic artifacts when executed." Id.

In a deposition of Lima taken November 28, 2007, Lima admitted installing and running Window Washer on her computer the morning Scheltema arrived on June 12, 2007. See Lima Depo. at 204-205, Ex. G to Pl's Suppl. Mem. She says that she ran the program because she was concerned that her personal information was on the computer, and she did not want anyone involved in this litigation to have access to it. See id. She further testified that she never ran Window Washer again. See id. at 209210.

However, SNET hired LECG, LLC to conduct further forensic analysis of the computer. See LECG report, Appendix A to Ex. I to PI.'s Suppl. Mem. LECG's analysis shows that, at the time Lima used Window Washer on the morning of June 12, 2007,
she did not merely use the program in its default mode, but chose the "wash with bleach" option, which overwrites deleted files. Id. at 9 . While it is impossible to determine everything that was erased, LECG was able to determine that "file shortcuts" to files titled "2000 Sales Journal," "checkregisterNH7-12-2006," and "NH check Jan thru May 06" were deleted. Id. at 9-10.

LECG's Report further explains that Window Washer has a "data wiping utility" separate from the main program. Id. at 6 . This program, called wwShred.exe, allows a user to manually erase files. For every file erased using this utility, the user must chose to "Shred (wash with bleach)" each individual file or directory, and then click again to confirm that they want to erase that file or directory. Id. LECG's analysis shows that Window Washer's data wiping utility was first used on June 16, 2007, on which day it was run three times, and was used again on June 20, 2007. Id. at 10-11.

In order to determine what, or how many files, have been deleted, LECG relies on "metadata." Id. at 2. Metadata is a record created for all files containing their name, the date, and where the data is stored on the disk, among other things. See id. Metadata is stored in a database called a Master File Table ("MFT"). Id. Generally, a deleted file maintains its metadata, so it is possible to determine some things about the deleted file even after it has been erased. See id. at 2-3. However, when a deleted file has no metadata, "it is likely that anti-forensics software has been employed by the user to erase the file and clear the MFT data." Id.

LECG determined that, out of 93,560 items in the MFT, nearly 20,000 had no metadata, meaning they had likely been erased using anti-forensic software such as Window Washer's Shred utility. Id. at 2-3. At least 103 of these files were "user
created files," that is, "substantive files created by a user as opposed to a computer generated record." Def.'s Suppl. Mem. at 10-11 (citing Expert Witness Report of Ashley, Ex. A to Def.'s Suppl. Mem. at 3). Window Washer was uninstalled from Lima's computer the night of June 20, 2007. Id. at 11. The "Disk Defragmenter" utility was used on Lima's computer on June 25, 2007. Id. at 13. While the Disk Defragmenter can be used to improve the computer's performance, it also makes forensic analysis of a computer more difficult when files have been deleted. Id. This was the first and only time the Disk Defragmenter was used on Lima's computer.

Defendants have also attempted to excuse their failure to produce documents by claiming that,
$[\mathrm{t}] \mathrm{here}$ is the possibility that there were additional financial documents that were in Richard Gangi's possession at the time of his death.
Unfortunately, Mr. Gangi died intestate. . . the result of the absence of a will is that, under Massachusetts law, documents in the decedent's possession at the time of his death may not be searched nor removed from his house . . . .

Letter from Global's Counsel to SNET's Counsel at 2, Ex. B to PI.'s Mern. in Supp. This explanation, like the suggestion that Select \& Pay was withholding defendants' records or that defendants' records were necessarily lost when Lima dropped her computer, was a red herring devised to frustrate timely, indeed any, compliance with discovery orders. Sheila Gangi, Richard Gangi's ex-wife, testified at a deposition taken during the probate proceedings that she witnessed Janet Lima removing Richard Gangi's computer from Richard Gangi's home after his death. See Sheila Gangi depo. at 54 lines 17-23, Ex. 3 to PI.'s Reply. She further testified that Lima told her the computer would be "emptied" and that she would bring it back to the house if Sheila Gangi
wanted it back. Id. Sheila Gangi also testified that, "[a]ll of Richard's mail, all of Richard's filing cabinet papers and the safe" were removed from the house. Id. at 58. While Gangi did not see anyone remove the items from the filing cabinet, she later asked Frank Gangi to return the titie to her truck (which had been in the filing cabinet) and subsequently received it from Lima in the mail. Id. at 59 . She had conversations with Frank Gangi and Janet Lima about the truck title and the contents of the filing cabinet. Id. at 63. She also testified that, prior to Richard Gangi's death, she was the only person with the security code to Richard Gangi's house. See Affidavit of Sheila Gangi, Ex. 3 to Def.'s Mem. in Opp. She gave that code after Richard Gangi's death to Frank Gangi and to no one else. Id.

On February 25, 2008 and March 7, 2008, defendants produced some additional financial documents not previously produced. These documents included emails and attachments that were clearly subject to the court's November 27, 2006 Order or the court's May 31, 2007 Order. See, e.g., Email from Anne Hartman dated February 23, 2007. Ex. M to Pl.'s Suppl. Mem.; Email from Anne Hartman dated May 29, 2006, Ex. N to PI.'s Suppl. Mem.; Email from Anne Hartman dated July 7, 2006, Ex. O to PI.'s Suppl. Mem.; Email from Anne Hartman dated August 18, 2006, Ex. P to PI.'s Suppl. Defendants failed to produce them until after SNET had taken depositions for which the documents would have been quite pertinent.

## IV. DISCUSSION

A district court may sanction a party who fails to comply with a discovery order of that court, including rendering a default judgment against the noncompliant party. See Fed.R.Civ.P. 37(b)(2)(A)(v). Such a sanction derives from the district court's "broad
inherent power to protect the administration of justice by levying sanctions in response to abusive litigation practices." Penthouse Int'I, Ltd. v. Playboy Enter.s, Inc., 663 F.2d 371, 386 (2d Cir. 1981) (citing Roadway Express, Inc. v. Piper, 447 U.S. 752 (1980) and National Hockey League v. Metropolitan Hockey Club, Inc.,_427 U.S. 639 (1976)). Rule 37 sanctions serve two purposes: "to penalize those whose conduct may be deemed to warrant such a sanction" and "to deter those who might be tempted to such conduct in the absence of such a deterrent." Id. (quoting National Hockey League, 427 U.S. at 643). District courts must have the power to dismiss cases with prejudice "in order to prevent undue delays in the disposition of cases and to avoid congestion in the calendars of the District Courts." Id. However, dismissal pursuant to Rule 37 is a "drastic remedy" that "should only be imposed in extreme circumstances, usually after consideration of alternative, less drastic sanctions." West v. Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co., 167 F.3d 776, 779 (2d Cir. 1999) (internal quotation and citations omitted). Notwithstanding that, "discovery orders are meant to be followed," and a party who "flouts such orders does so at his peril." Bambu Sales, Inc. v. Ozak Trading Inc., 58 F.3d 849, 853, (2d Cir. 1995) (internal quotation omitted).

Dismissal is appropriate if there is a showing of "willfulness, bad faith, or fault on the part of the sanctioned party." Id. A party may also be found at "fault" sufficient to justify dismissal of the case if they were "grossly negligent" in following discovery orders. Penthouse, 663 F.2d at 387. While a showing of prejudice to the moving party is not a requirement for a dismissal under Rule 37, a court may consider it in weighing the appropriateness of the sanction. See Metropolitan Opera Ass'n, Inc. v. Local 100, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Int'I Union, 212 F.R.D. 178,229 (S.D.N.Y.
2003). In addition to willfulness or bad faith on the part of the nonmoving party and prejudice to the moving party, other factors that appear appropriate to this court to consider are the history, if any, of noncompliance, whether lesser sanctions would be effective, whether the noncompliant party has been warned about the possibility of sanctions, and the client's involvement. See American Cash Card Corp. v. AT\&T Corp., 184 F.R.D. 521, 524 (S.D.N.Y. 1999).
A. Defendants have willfully violated this court's discovery Order to produce their general ledger

Defendants have failed to produce their general ledger or ledgers in violation of the court's May 31, 2007 Order. The court's May 31, 2007 Order specifically required defendants to produce "balance sheets, cash statements, registers, journals, ledgers." It is clear from the testimony of Joan Conway, see Joan Conway depo. at 76, 81, Ex. D to PI.'s Supp. Mem., and Richard Gangi, see Richard Gangi depo. at 87, Ex. E to Pl.'s Suppl. Mem., and from the excerpts of the general ledger produced by defendants' tax accountants Nardella \& Taylor, see general ledger excerpts, Ex. A to PI.'s Suppl. Mem, that defendants possess or have possessed at some point during this litigation a general ledger. Defendants have offered no plausible explanation for why these business records have not been produced and, as such, the court finds that defendants have willfully violated the court's May 31, 2007 Order to produce general ledgers.

Defendants argue that production of the ledger was not necessary because " $[t]$ here is no reason to believe that if it was available, a 'full' general ledger would provide any additional information not already in SNET's possession." Def.'s Suppl.

Mem. in Opp. ("Def.'s Suppl. Mem.") at 2 (Doc. No. 744). This argument misses the point for two reasons. First, as SNET points out, a general ledger, unlike the other bits and pieces of financial documents defendants have produced, "shows how, in the ordinary course of business, the defendants characterized and accounted for . . . intercompany transaction, if they accounted for it at all;" a general ledger shows how defendants "characterized and accounted" for revenue; and, a general ledger shows transfers of non-fund assets, such as network equipment. Pl.'s Suppl. Mem. at 4. This type of information is clearly relevant to SNET's veil-piercing claims and is not similarly disclosed through check registers, cash disbursement journals, and bank account statements, as defendants would suggest. Second, even if the general ledger were largely redundant of other discovery SNET received, which the court finds it is not, the court's May 31, 2007 Order specifically and unequivocally ordered defendants to produce "ledgers." Absent any objection to the Order, defendants claim at this late date that such production is unnecessary is frivolous.

Global further argues that it is not obliged to produce financial documents, including the general ledger, created prior to Spring 2006, because only at that point did those documents become "relevant to the litigation." Def.'s Suppl. Mem. in Opp. at 8. As this court stated at a hearing on October 3, 2007, "[t]his case was commenced at the end of 2004;" therefore "ffrom and after the time this lawsuit was pending, there should not have been one piece of paper destroyed." Transcript of October 3, 2007 Hearing at 59 (Doc. No. 582). The court found that Global's financial records should exist for "at least" the years 2004-2007. See id. Defendants' counsel agreed, see id., and Global does not point to any objection it raised to any of the court's discovery
orders based on the argument that they were not on notice that such documents were relevant to the litigation. Therefore, lack of notice does not suffice to excuse Global from producing documents predating 2006 in compliance with the court's May 31, 2007 Order.

Even if one accepts the suggestion that it was not until SNET filed its Motion to Amend (Doc. No. 192) on June 30, 2006, that the veil piercing defendants would have been on notice to preserve documents, it is completely implausible that absolutely no documents existed, on that date, that predated June 30, 2006. ${ }^{5}$ And yet, defendants have produced merely a few such documents.

The defendants have often defended SNET's Motions to Comply and other discovery matters by responding that SNET could not prove that any of the documents it sought were in existence, and in defendants' custody or control. See, e.g., Global's Opp. to PI.'s Mot. for Contempt and Sanctions at 2 (Doc. No. 184) ("SNET cannot prove that the purportedly 'missing' documents even exist, let alone that Global has withheld them intentionally and in bad faith"); Def.s' Mem. in Opp. to Pl.'s Mot. for Def. Judg. at 6 (Doc. No. 548)("SNET has not and cannot prove that financial documents exist that have not been produced by defendants or its agents."). It is indeed often the case that an opponent complains about the lack of production of documents the opponent

[^4]"expects" that the non-producing party should, or likely would, have. Unfortunately for these defendants, the pieces of evidence obtained from Nardella \& Taylor's eventual third-party disclosure demonstrates that the general ledgers, and other financial documents like "a sales journal, customer ledgers, a cash receipts journal, aged receivable reports, an aged payables journal, and records regarding the purchase of assets, loan receivables, and notes payable," (PI.'s Mem. at 6 summarizing contents of Ex.'s G-O to PI.'s Mem.) were created, and have either been destroyed or hidden to prevent their discovery. Testimony of the defendants' accountant that he was sure he had seen a general ledger for defendants over the years further supports this conclusion, see Taylor Depo. at 70, as does the testimony of Joan Conway, see Joan Conway Depo. at 76, 81, Ex. D to PI.'s Supp. Mem., and Richard Gangi, see Richard Gangi Depo. at 87. Ex. E to PI.'s Suppl. Mem. Evidence of detailed financial records was further uncovered in the forensic analysis of Lima's computer. See discussion of Lima's computer, Section IV. B, infra; excerpt of Sales Journal for Year 2000, Ex. E to PI.'s Suppl. Mem. Furthermore, the court draws an inference from the destruction of evidence on Janet Lima's computer that defendants possessed relevant financial documents which they destroyed to avoid their discovery. In summary, the conclusion that defendants have willfully destroyed or hidden financial documents in violation of this court's orders is unavoidable.
B. Global has erased computer documents in bad faith

The court finds that, based on the facts recited above, defendants willfully destroyed evidence contained on the computer used by Janet Lima, in violation of the court's November 27, 2006 and May 31, 2007 Discovery Orders.

Defendants make several unpersuasive arguments in an attempt to discredit SNET's expert report on the use of file shredding software on the disputed computer. First, Global argues that only 103 of the 53,100 deleted files are "user files," that is, "substantive files created by a user as opposed to a computer generate record." Def.'s Suppl. Mem. in Opp. at 10-11. Even crediting defendants' expert that this is the case, not one file should have been deleted, much less 103 files. See discussion of Court's admonition on October 3,2007, discussed in section A, infra, at 16.

Defendants also argue, "it can be inferred that [plaintiff's expert] is aware" that Window Washer does not erase metatdata of MFT entries because, had Window Washer been the anti-forensics software used, SNET's expert "would have said so." Id. at 11. The court disagrees. The fact that a program with the capability to "overwrite data and disk space" was executed on Janet Lima's computer, Letter from FTI Consulting at 1-2, Ex H to PI.'s Suppl. Mem. in Supp, in conjunction with evidence that files on Lima's computer had been "wiped" rather than merely deleted, convinces the court that at least Window Washer, and potentially other file wiping programs, were run on Lima's computer with the intent and result of irrevocably erasing files from that computer. Further, this activity on the computer did not occur in a vacuum; the defendants' persistent non-compliance with discovery is further support for the court's conclusion of intentional destruction of evidence.

Defendants next argue that, "that there is no proof that any files of consequence were deleted." Def.'s Suppl. Opp. at 13. This argument entirely misses the point. First, plaintiff need not prove that the deleted files were material; "the intentional or grossly negligent destruction of evidence in bad faith can support an inference that the
destroyed evidence was harmful to the destroying party." Residential Funding Corp. v. DeGeorge Financial Corp., 306 F.3d 99, 110 (2d Cir. 2002) (emphasis in original) (internal citation omitted). SNET is not required to show that the destroyed files were material as long as it can prove that the deletion of the files was in bad faith. ${ }^{6}$

The court finds that the deletion of files in this case was done in bad faith. Defendants argue that Lima's use of Window Washer is "regrettable" but excusable, because she merely wanted to protect her personal information. Def.'s Suppl. Mem. at 13. The court finds this explanation entirely incredible. First, the court credits SNET's expert report, which found that Lima did not merely use Window Washer in its default mode, which "empties the Recycle Bin, clears the Intemet browsing history and cookie files, clears certain temporary folders, and clears the Recent Documents history." PI.'s Suppl Mem. at 11. Instead, she deliberately chose to use the "wash with bleach" option to permanently delete and overwrite files that clearly did not contain her personal information, including files named " 2000 Sales Journal, "NH check Jan thru May 06," "checkregisterNH7-12-2006", and "cashrecINC7-12-2006". LECG report at 9, App. A to Ex. I to PI.'s Suppl. Mem. Even if Lima intended only to erase her personal information, which the court does not find to be the case, her actions would be at the least grossly negligent given that the court had ordered discovery of defendant's bookkeeping records and Lima had been specifically told not to destroy any records starting at the beginning of this litigation. See Lima Depo. at 122-3, Ex. G to PI.'s Suppl. Mem. "Grossly negligent failure to obey a discovery order may justify severe disciplinary

[^5]measures," even dismissal under Rule 37. Penthouse, 663 F.2d at 387. Furthermore, the court finds that the "shredding" feature of Window Washer was used on June 16, 2007 and June 20, 2007. Given that the shredding utility requires that a user confirm his or her intent to shred files, as described above, permanently destroying files using this utility can only be described as willful. Because the computer was in the possession and control of the defendants at all times, the conclusion that this program was used intentionally to destroy files that should have been preserved is inescapable. Such a conclusion is bolstered by the fact that the computer's Disk Defragmenter was run, for the first and only time, on June 25,2007 . While defendants urge that this program was used to improve the computer's performance, see Def.'s Suppl. Mem. at 15, the court does not credit this explanation in light of the earlier deletion of files, and given that the program makes forensic discovery of deleted files more difficult. See LECG Report at 13, Appendix A to Ex. I to PI.'s Suppl. Mem. In light of the fact that a shredding utility had been used to permanently delete files only days before, the timing of the use of the Disk Defragmenter only corroborates the court's conclusion that defendants had willfully destroyed evidence and then attempted to conceal their actions.
"Spoliation is the destruction or significant alteration of evidence, or the failure to preserve property for another's use as evidence in pending or reasonably foreseeable litigation." West v. Goodyear Tire \& Rubber Co., 167 F.3d 776, 779 (2d Cir. 1999). "A federal district court may impose sanctions under Fed.R.Civ.P. 37(b) when a party spoliates evidence in violation of a court order." Id. In June 2007, defendants were clearly subject to the court's May 31, 2007 Order to produce financial documents.

Defendants have failed to offer any credible explanation for why documents on their computer, which was used by their bookkeeper, were destroyed within a month of the court's Order. Therefore, the court concludes that the defendants' destruction of documents relevant to this litigation was, at best, gross negligence in the case of Lima's admitted deletions, and at worst, bad faith, intentional destruction in the case of the use of the shredding application later in that month.
C. Richard Gangi lied to the court that defendants' bookkeeping records were in the control of Select $\&$ Pay in an attempt to delay discovery.

The court finds that Richard Gangi's testimony that defendants' accounting firm was withholding bookkeeping records, despite requests from defendants for those records, was willfully false. See Testimony of Richard Gangi at 104, Transcript of Hearing October 11, 1006, Ex. II to Pl.'s Mot. for Def. Judg. While the court does not credit all of Lima's testimony, the court does credit her testimony that defendants' bookkeeping records were always within their own control. See Lima Affidavit at $\mathbb{\|} 13$, Ex. Z to Pl.'s Mot. for Def. Judg. Despite a specific Order to obtain the records from their accountant and bookkeeper, defendants offer no explanation as to what efforts it took to obtain them and why they did not succeed. There is no record before this court evidencing an accountant willfully refusing to provide defendants their documents, despite repeated requests, or even a lawsuit asserting a replevin claim. Absent any plausible alternate explanation for Gangi's testimony, the court concludes that Gangi intentionally lied to the court with the purpose of delaying the discovery of bookkeeping records in compliance with the court's discovery Orders.
D. Frank Gangi Caused Documents and a Computer to be Removed from Richard Gangi's House

Defendants suggested that additional financial documents may have been in the possession of Richard Gangi, but could not be searched until his estate was settled. See Letter from Global's Counsel to SNET's Counsel at 2, Ex. B to PI.'s Mem. in Supp. The court finds that, while making this excuse, Frank Gangi directed his agents and employees, including Janet Lima, to remove Richard Gangi's computer from his house and to empty his home filing cabinet of documents. The court understands that Sheila Gangi never saw Frank Gangi remove anything from Richard Gangi's house, nor did she see anyone remove the files. However, the court credits Sheila Gangi's testimony that she spoke with Frank Gangi and Janet Lima about the contents of the file cabinet, and that, after requesting Frank Gangi to return a document that had been in the cabinet, Janet Lima returned them to her. In these circumstances, the inference that Frank Gangi had the contents of Richard Gangi's filing cabinet removed from the house, at the same time defendants were using Richard Gangi's estate as an excuse for failing to produce relevant discovery, is unavoidable. Furthermore, the court credits Sheila Gangi's testimony that she witnessed Janet Lima remove Richard Gangi's computer from his home and offer to bring it back after it had been "emptied."7 See Sheila Gangi Depo. at 54 lines 17-23, Ex. 3 to Pl.'s Reply. In summary, the court finds

[^6]that the defendants deliberately removed Richard Gangi's computer ${ }^{8}$ and paper files that had been in the possession of Richard Gangi, have not produced those documents or computer despite court Orders, and meanwhile used Gangi's death to further delay and frustrate compliance with the court's discovery orders.
E. Defendants have given misleading and nonresponsive answers to discovery requests

On several occasions, defendants have given SNET misleading or nonresponsive answers to discovery requests. For example, Global NAPs Realty told SNET (May 4, 2007 email from Global NAPs Realty counsel to SNET, Ex. W to PI.'s Mem.), and the court (Hearing on May 31, 2007) that it did not have a bank account. It later recanted this statement, but has still not produced statements for that account. See PI.'s Mem. at 15. Similarly, in response to SNET's discovery requests, Global NAPs New Hampshire produced only a cash disbursement journal for June 2006 through April 2007 and referred SNET to Global NAPs, Inc. records, which Global NAPS New Hampshire's counsel later admitted were nonresponsive. Hearing of May 31, 2007.
F. Defendants have a history of violating discovery orders

As discussed above, the court has already found that the statements made by Richard Gangi indicating that he had "never seen" a financial statement for any of the Global entities was "demonstrably false," and that it was "clear" that Global had violated the May 26, 2006 Order. See Ruling at 4 (Doc. No. 277). The court sanctioned Global

[^7]for this violation by requiring it to pay SNET's expenses in prosecuting that Motion to Compel. See id.

More significantly, on July 9, 2007, the court found Global in civil contempt for violating the prejudgment remedy Orders of May and October 2006. See Ruling re: Plaintiff's Motion for Contempt and Sanctions at 11 (Doc. No. 496). In that Ruling, the court found "there to be clear and convincing proof that Global's conduct was a blatant violation of the court's clear and unambiguous" orders. Id. The court imposed civil contempt sanctions in the form of SNET's costs in prosecuting the Motion for Contempt and Sanctions, including attorneys' fees, expert fees, and other costs. See id. at 13. The court subsequently granted SNET $\$ 645,760.41$ in costs and fees. See Ruling re: Motion for Costs and Fees (Doc. No. 757).

The Second Circuit's discussion of the relevance of past actions in Penthouse is exactly on point:

It would be excessively formalistic to view the defiance of [an] order in isolation rather than against the background of Penthouse's prolonged and vexations obstruction of discovery with respect to closely related and highly relevant records . . . which Penthouse kept from Playboy and from the court during the pretrial and trial of the case through perjurious testimony of its top officials and false representations to the court by its counsel.

Penthouse, 663 F.2d at 388. "Sanctions must be weighed in light of the full record in the case." Id. (internal citation omitted).

Defendants' past violations weigh heavily in favor of imposing a default judgment against them at this time. The court has imposed lesser sanctions on defendants to no avail. In light of these prior sanctions, the court is confident that sanctions less severe
than default would not be effective in deterring defendants from continuing to violate discovery and other court orders. Certainly orders compelling disclosure and imposing monetary sanctions have not worked. See, e.g., Order and Ruling of May 26, 2006 (Doc. No. 149); Ruling on Motion for Contempt of June 10, 2007 (Doc. No. 496). While adverse inferences can be effective tools for situations involving the destruction of evidence, in this case the extent of defendants' noncompliance and either wilful withholding or destruction is so extensive that any adverse inference sufficient to sanction defendants and address the harm to SNET would effectively amount to a directed verdict or the equivalent of a default judgment.
G. Plaintiffs have been prejudiced and judicial resources squandered

While a finding of prejudice to the plaintiffs is not necessary for the imposition of a default judgment, see Met. Opera Ass'n, Inc., 212 F.R.D. at 229, the court finds that defendants' violations have prejudiced SNET. There can be no doubt that a delay of over a year and a half in producing court ordered discovery has prejudiced its ability to prepare its case for trial. Furthermore, SNET was prejudiced by having to conduct the depositions of Ed Taylor, Ann Hartman, Janet Lima, and Joan Conway without the benefit of defendants' most recent productions. Defendants argue that, "SNET was advised that the supplemental production would be forthcoming before the depositions, but made the strategic decision to press ahead without additional documents." Def.'s Suppl. Mem. at 16. Given the repeated delays and intransigence by defendants in following discovery orders, SNET was wise to discount any promise from defendants that discovery would be forthcoming and proceed with the depositions when they could get them. Having followed that wise course, SNET has been prejudiced by their
inability to use the recently produced documents during those depositions.
Another factor the court considers is the tremendous waste of judicial resources defendants have caused by their repeated violations of the court's discovery orders. Defendants' "prolonged and vexation destruction of discovery," 663 F.3d at 338, has caused a morass of discovery disputes. The Second Circuit in Playboy expressed its concern that,

If parties are allowed to flout their obligations, choosing to wait to make a response until a trial court has lost patience with them, the effect will be to embroil trial judges in day-to-day supervision of discovery, a result directly contrary to the overall scheme of the federal discovery rules.

Penthouse, 663 F.2d at 388. The Second Circuit's concern in Playboy has come to fruition in this case, with the court holding many lengthy hearings on discovery motions, and spending innumerable hours dealing with defendants' recalcitrance. In this circumstance, a default judgment is warranted to prevent defendants' wilful noncompliance and destruction from impacting the court's other cases and thus impacting the orderly administration of justice for other litigants.
H. Global was clearly put on notice that failure to produce their general ledger would result in the court entering default against them.

While default judgment is a proper remedy as long as a party had notice of a discovery order, see United States Freight Co. v. Penn Central Transport., 716 F.2d 954, 955 (2d Cir. 1983), the court went even further to explicitly put Global on notice that failure to produce its general ledger would "likely result in the entry of a default judgment." See Ruling at 4 (Doc. No. 277). That Ruling was made on November 27, 2006. A clear and unambiguous warning that default would enter is apparently not enough to cause Global to comply with this court's Orders.

## v. CONCLUSION

The court finds that all defendants have willfully violated the court's discovery orders by failing to turn over their general ledgers and other business records, lying to the court about the inability to obtain documents from third parties, and destroying and withholding documents that were within the scope of the discovery requests and Orders. These defendants have committed a fraud upon this court. These willful violations have prejudiced, indeed likely destroyed, SNET's ability to prove its case, and have squandered judicial resources by dragging the court into frequent policing of discovery disputes over an inordinate period of time. In light of the defendants' history of violations, and the explicit warning that failure to comply would result in a default judgment entering, the court finds that lesser sanctions would not deter the defendants from further delaying discovery in this case. Indeed, the court has little confidence that the discovery sought continues to exist.

In conclusion, defendants' behavior exemplifies the type of willful disregard for the process of discovery created by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that warrants the ultimate sanction of dismissal. Defendants "rolled the dice on the district court's tolerance for deliberate obstruction," and this court does not believe they should be allowed to "retum to the table." Bambu Sales, 58 F.3d at 853.

For the forgoing reasons, plaintiff's Motions for Default Judgment (Doc. Nos. 517 \& 519) are GRANTED. Those of SNET's claims which involve IP-related transmissions and were stayed pending determination by the Federal Communications Commission of the issues raised in the plaintiff's Complaint (Counts II through VII and part of Count 1), see Ruling (Doc. No. 38), are administratively DISMISSED without prejudice to reopen
if a Motion to Reopen is filed within thirty days of the final administrative action which restores jurisdiction over those claims to this court. The Clerk is ordered to enter judgment in favor of the plaintiff on all other claims and against the defendants, jointly and severely, in the amount of $\$ 5,247,781.45$. (The Judgment should also include the award of fees and costs of $\$ 645,760.41$ see Doc. No. 757.)

Global's Motion to Modify the Court's October 19, 2007 Order is DENIED. The court credits Sheila Gangi's testimony that Frank Gangi did remove Richard Gangi's laptop from the hospital. See page 21, n. 6 infra. Alternatively, the Motion is moot in light of the default judgment. SNET's Motions to Amend (Doc. No. 770) and to Register (Doc. No. 771) are denied as moot. The Clerk is directed to enter judgment and to close this case.

SO ORDERED.
Dated at Bridgeport, Connecticut this 1st day of July, 2008.

/s/ Janet C. Hall<br>Janet C. Hall<br>United States District Judge

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

## SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE CO.

## v.

3:04-cv-02075 (JCH)

GLOBAL NAPS, INC., GLOBAL NAPS<br>NEW HAMPSHIRE, INC., GLOBAL NAPS<br>NETWORKS, INC., GLOBAL NAPS<br>REALTY INC., AND FERROUS MINER<br>HOLDINGS, LTD.

## AMENDED DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter came for consideration before the Honorable Janet C. Hall, United States District Judge, as a result of plaintiff's motions for default judgment and sanctions against the defendants for failure to comply with discovery orders pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 37(b).

The court reviewed all of the papers filed in conjunction with the motions and on July 1, 2008, issued a Second Amended Ruling granting the motions for default judgment against defendants, Global NAPS, Inc., Global NAPS New Hampshire, Inc., Global NAPS Networks, Inc., Global NAPS Realty Inc., and Ferrous Miner Holdings, Ltd., jointly and severally, in the amount of $\$ 5,247,781.45$. Plaintiff was also awarded $\$ 645,761.41$ in fees and costs. Claims made against defendants in Counts II through VII and part of Count 1 of the complaint are dismissed.

Therefore, it is ORDERED and ADJUDGED that judgment is entered in favor of the plaintiff, in the amount of $\$ 5,893,542.86$, against defendants Global NAPS, Inc., Global NAPS New Hampshire, Inc., Global NAPS Networks, Inc., Global NAPS Realty Inc., and Ferrous Miner Holdings, Ltd., jointly and severally, and the case is closed.

Dated at Bridgeport, Connecticut this 9th day of July, 2008.

ROBIN D. TABORA, Clerk

By/s/Chrystine W. Cody
Deputy-in-Charge
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a representative of the defendant company.
MR. JENSEN: That's corroct.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) And, Mr. Gang1, do you understand that you're under oath?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you ready to proceed?
A. Yes.
(Deposition Exhibit 1. offered and marked.)
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangi, I'm showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, do you see that document?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you seen that document before today?
A. I have seen something I belleve siallar but

I don't know if I've seen this one.
Q. Is there a document of a different date that you may have seen?
A. Different format.

MR. MANISHIN: You're not allowed to talk on the record. Are you referring to the Court's order?

THE WITNESS: That's it.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Okay. So you haven't seen the actual deposition notice. Plaintiff's Exhibit 1?

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
A. No.
Q. Showing you --

MR. JENSEN: Th1s is Exhibit 2.
(Deposition Exhibit 2, offered and marked.)
O. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangi, showing you
what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exh1bit 2, have you seen that document before today?
A. Yes.
Q. Actually, let me take a look at that. I may have two coples of the same thing. Thank yous. And have you had an opportunity to review that document?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did you review each item that the Court ordered you to testify to today?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you qualified to testify to each and every topic identified by the Court order?

MR. MANISHIN: object as to form. If you understand the question please answer.

THE WITNESS: I believe I am.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Okay, And what is the basis of your knowiedge of the topics in the Court order?
A. They have to do with Global NAPs and that's DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
where I work.
Q. You've brought with you today a group of documents, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Ohay. What was the source of those documents; where did you get those documents from?
A. Various people withtn the organtzation provided them. I' not exactiy sur where sach one of them came from.
Q. Where did you receive them from?
A. From in-house counsel.
Q. where did you physically receive them, was it in Now Hampshire or was it in Massachusetts?
A. The documents, in New Hampshire.
Q. And do you know who pulled the documents that you received?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you know who would know that?
A. I don't know who would.
Q. Okay. Do you know where they were pulled from?
A. No, I don't.
Q. And do the documents that you've brought with you today, do they represent the entirety of documents that are responsive to the Court's order DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVCES, LLC (203) 245-9583

MR. MANISHIN: I think he means is there anything in the order that you couldn't find or bring?

THE WITNESS: Those are all the documents that we could find. I don't know if they satisfy all the paints in the order or not. I don't know if that's your question.

MR. JENSEN: That is my question.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) So you don't know whether or not they satisfy the Court order?
A. I don't know. That's all the documents we have. I don't know if they satisfy each point in the order.
Q. Did you know what steps were taken to locate all the documents?
A. Each Individual step, no.
Q. Okay, Generalily do you know what the steps were?
A. I instructed our etaff to gather what documants they had 80 this is what was turned over.
Q. Okay. And who on the staff did you notify?
A. Jeff Melick.

MR. MANISHIN: Mr. Melick is employed as Global MAP's counsel, in-house counsel.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Other than Mr. Helick did DEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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you consult with anybody else in terms of pulling documents?
A. Personaliy, no.
Q. When you say personally, no, is there somebody else that you know did do it personally?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Who wauld know who pulled all the documents?
A. 1 don't know.
Q. Where does Globs1 NAPs store its records?
A. Which records?
Q. Well, why don't we start with financial records.
A. We have an outside bookkeeping company and an outside accounting firw.
Q. Okay. So is that where the records are kept?
A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
Q. Does Global keep records at any of its offices?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. What types of records are kept on behalf of Global: what type of financial reconds are kept on behalf of Global?
A. That, I don't know. Again, I'm not the accountant or the bookkeapar. (203) 245-9583

```
MR. MANISHIN: Excuse me for
interjecting. If you have a general understanding you
might want to provide that as opposed to knowledge
specific of each and every document.
THE WLTNESS: Okay.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) You mentioned outside
bookkeepers?
A. Yes.
Q. And who are those outside baokkeapers?
A. The company is celled select and Pay; it's based out of New Hampshire.
Q. Do you have an address in New Hampshire?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you have a city in New Hampshire?
A. Salen, I believe.
Q. And do you have contact person at Select and Pay?
A. Janet Lima.
Q. Is she an enployee of Select and Pay?
A. I beliseveso, yas.
Q. You also mentioned your accountant?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is your accountant?
A. Nardellar (phonetic) and Taylor.
Q. Nardeller and Taylor?
OEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
```

NAPs have any other offices?
A. Wot that I' aware of.
Q. What is your job title?
A. Vice president and treasurer.
Q. How long have you been vice president and treasurer of Glohal NAPs?
A. Six years, seven years.
a. And how long has Global NAPs been in business?
A. Same length of time.
Q. So you've been vice president and treasurer since the inception of Global NAPs?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell mo what your duties are as vice president and treasurer of Global NAPs?
A. Day to day operations of the company.
Q. Correct.
A. That's what I do.
Q. Can you give me an example of what the day to day operations would be for you?
A. Daaling with employoes, dealing with particular vendors.
Q. Anything else?
A. No, that's pretty much it.
Q. I take it as treasurer you deal with the OEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
finances of the company as well?
A. Some.
Q. What would those some be?
A. I do payroll for the company.
Q. Okay. What else?
A. That's pretty much tt.
Q. Who desis with the rest of the finances?
A. Our bookkeepers and accountants.
Q. Can you tell me what type of company Global NAPs is?

MR. HANISHIN: Objection as to form.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Can you tell me what type of entity Global NAPs is?
A. I'■ not sure what you're asking.
Q. Is it a corporation, is it a partnership?
A. It's a corporation.
Q. Okay. Is it a regular corporation or a 11制ted corporation?
A. I believe it's a regular corporation.
Q. Where is it incorporated?
A. Delaware.
Q. You said earlier that its principal place of business is in Quincy, Mass?
A. Quincy, Mass, yes.
Q. Is it a private company?

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
A. Yes.
Q. Does it have stock shares?
A. I believe it does.
Q. Okay. Do you know who owns those stock shares?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you own any of those stock shares?
A. Mo, I don't.

HR. MANISHIN: Excuse me for one second
(Discussion off the record)
MR. JENSEN: Back on the record.
THE WITNESS: Were you asking me which company is the owner of Global NAPs when you refer to the stock shares because Global NAPs is owned by Ferrous Miner Holdings.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Okay. My question was whether or not Global NAPs is 1ssued any stock?
A. Yes, and I believe it's owned by Ferrous Miner Holdings.
Q. Okay. Do you understand what percentage is owned by Ferrous Miner Holdings?
A. I believe it's $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ percent.
C. So 100 percent of the shares are held by Ferrous Miner Holdings?
A. Ferrous Miner Holdings, yes.

OEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583

| 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. Frank Gangi, Richard Gangi and Mike Couture? | 1 for Global NAPs, Inc.? |
| 2 A. Yes. | 2 A. Yes. |
| 3 Q. Is that it? | 3 Q. It's Frank Gangi, Richard Gangy and Mike |
| 4 A. That's it. | 4 Couture? |
| 5 Q. How many employees does global NaPs have? | 5 A. Yes. |
| 6 A. Very few, a nondful. | 6 Q. Are there any other officers? |
| 7 Q. Do you have a number? | 7 A. No. |
| 8 A. I haven't gone through all our employee list | 8 Q. Global MAPs Wetworks, where ts that located? |
| 9 but my best estimate at this time would be three that | 9 Mr, MANISHIN: Excuse me, is it Network |
| 10 I can think of | 10 or Networks plural? |
| 11 Q. Three. Who would know how many employes | 11 THE WITNESS: Networks plural. 10 |
| 12 Glotal NAPs has? | 12 Merrymount. |
| 13 A. I'd have to go through the payroll records | 13 Q (By Mr. Jensen) And that's in Quincy? |
| 14 to figure out which company employees are given to. | 14 A. Quincy, yes. |
| 15 Q. Can you say that again? | 15 Q And who are the officers at Global NAPs |
| 16 A. I'd have to go through the company payroll | 16 Networks? |
| 17 records to figure out which company the employees are | 17 A. Same structura. |
| 18 astigned to. | 18 Q. So, again, it's Frank Gangt, Richard Gangi |
| 19 Q. So some employees that work for Global raps | 19 and Hike Couture? |
| 20 work for other related entities, is that right? | 20 A. Yes. |
| 21 A. No, if thoy work for Glabel MAPs, 2hey work | 21 Q. Same positions? |
| 22 for Global NAPs. If there are other entities, then | 22 A. Yes. |
| 23 they wauld work for the other entities. | 23 Q. And where is Global NAPs Networks |
| 24 Q. Okay. When you're talking about payroll, | 24 incorporated? |
| 25 you're talking about payroll for not only Global NAPs. DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 | 25 A. Delaware. DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583 |
| 18 | 20 |
| 1 you're talking about payroll that you do for other | 1 Q. Is that the same for Global NAPs New |
| 2 entities? | 2 Hampshire? |
| 3 A. Yes, sorry, I do payroll for the other | 3 A. Yes. |
| 4 companies as wall. Sorry for that confusion. | 4 Q. They're both corporations? |
| 5 Q. Can you tell me what other compantes you do | 5 A. Yes. |
| 6 payroll for? | 6 C. What about Global MAPs Realty, where is that |
| 7 A. Sure, Global NAPs Hew Hampshire, Global MAPs | 7 locatad? |
| 8 Networks, Global NAPs Realty | 8 A. 10 Merrymount. |
| 9 Q. So Global MAPs New Hampshire, Globsl NAPs | 9 C. Quincy? |
| 10 Networks and Global NAPs Realty? | 10 A. Yes. |
| 11 A. Yes. | 11 Q. Is it the same officer structure? |
| 12 Q. Okay. And is Global Maps New Hampshire | 12 A. Yes. |
| 13 located in Hew Hampshy re? | 13 Q okay. And is it also incorporated in |
| 14 A. Yes, it is. | 14 Delaware? |
| 15 Q. Okay. Does it have an address in New | 15 A. Yes. |
| 16 Hampshire? | 16 Q. Okay. And is it also straight |
| 17 A. Yes, 1 believe it is the 10 Sun Dial .- 1 | 17 corporation? |
| 18 Sun Dial Drive in Manchostar | 18 A. Yes. |
| 19 Q. And are you the treasurer for Global NAPs | 19 Q So in your capacity as treasurer you do |
| 20 New Hampshire? | 20 payroll for Global NAPs, Inc. and these other three |
| 21 A. Yes. | 21 related Glabal maps entitios? |
| 22 Q. Who is the president for Global NAPs New | 22 A. Yes. |
| 23 Hampshire? | 23 Q. Okay. Dther than the officers being the |
| 24 A. Frank. | 24 same and the addresses being the same are there any |
| 25 <br> Q. Is it the same officer structure as it is DEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 | 25 other relationships between these entities and Globsl DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 |



|  | 25 |  | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | any written agreements with Global NAPs, Inc. to | 1 | CONFIDENTIAL |
| 2 | perform this function? | 2 | Q. So there are no other Global NAPs entities |
| 3 | A. Not that I'm aware of | 3 | that you're aware of? |
| 4 | Q. Are there any documents reflecting Global | 4 | A. Those are the Global compantes. |
| 5 | NAPs Now Hampshire's authority to perform this | 5 | Q. Okay. Who are the custamers of Global NAPs |
| 6 | function? | 6 | Networks? |
| 7 | A. Not that I'm aware of | 7 | A. Customar list or |
| 8 | Q. Who would know that answer? | 8 | Q. Why don't you give me the type of customers |
| 9 | A. I don't know, I'd have to research it. | 9 | fyrst and then we can talk about -. |
| 10 | Q. Do you have an idea of who would know the | 10 | A. ISP providar, internet service. |
| 1 | answer? | 11 | Q. Let me back up for a second. What does |
| 12 | A. N | 12 | Global NAPs Networks do? |
| 13 | Q. Would it be in any of the filings | 13 | A. Provides transport and network services. |
|  | aither Global NAPs, Inc. or Global Naps | 14 | Q. And you mentioned that it does that for ISP |
| 15 | has made? | 15 | providers? |
|  | A. | 16 | A. Yez. |
| 17 | MR. MANISHIN: Just make a comment for | 17 | Q. Whare would those ISP providers be located? |
|  | the record. Tim, as I sald in court, Mr. Gang | 18 | A. Could be anywhere in Mass. or out of Mass. |
| 19 | extensively researched these questions. If there are | 19 | Q. Do you know where they are? |
| 20 | issues like the one that you just raised that he's not | 20 | A. Off the top of my head, no, I don't. |
| 21 | able to answer because he doesn't have the information | 21 | Q. Do you have examples of ISP customers that |
| 22 | he can make calls during a break or overnight in an | 22 | you have providers for? |
| 23 | at tempt to ascertain that and if there is additional | 23 | A. We have like local compantes like Berkshire |
| 24 | documentation get it faxed down here for you | 24 | Internet, Shore Met, thers are others, I don't have |
| 25 | MR. JENSEN: Okay. I would appreciate DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583 | 25 | the customer list off the top of my head. DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583 |
|  | 26 |  | 28 |
|  | t, thank you. |  | Q. Okay. who would know the customers of |
| 2 | Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangt, if you know | 2 | Hetworks, Inc.? Pardon me, is it Networks, Inc, or is |
| 3 | this, do you know who set up the relationship between | 3 | it just Networks, Global Naps Networks? |
| 4 | Global NaPs New Hampshira and Select and Pay? | 4 | A. Global NAPs Networks, I believe it's Ine. |
| 5 | A. Set up the relationship between Global NAPs | 5 | MR. MAHISHIN: Hs. Reporter, would you |
| 6 | New Hampshire | 6 | mark that page of the deposition as highly |
| 7 | Q. Yes | 7 | confidential, attorneys' eyes only under the |
| 8 | A. I would have to assume it cene from | 8 | ective arder |
| 9 | countant. | 9 | Q. (8y Mr. Jensen) Who would know the identity |
| 10 | Q. Your accounting? | 10 | of the customers that Global MAPs Networks has? |
| 11 | A. Our aecoun | 11 | A. Probably be our Sales Department. |
| 12 | Q. Were you involved in any way in that | 12 | Q. Okay. Who's in your Sales Department? |
| 13 | relationship of setting it up? | 13 | A. Brad Masuret. |
| 14 | A. No. | 14 | Q. Mr. Masuret is in the Sales Department of |
| 15 | Q. No. Do you know if there are any documents | 15 | Global NAPs Networks? |
| 16 | reflecting the agreoment between Global NAPs Now | 16 |  |
| 17 | Hampshire and Select and Pay? | 17 | Q And are there documente -- |
| 18 | A. I'I not aware of any, | 18 | A. Sorry. Brad is with Global NAPs, Inc. |
| 19 | Q. Do you know who would be aware of that? | 19 | Q. Okay. But he would know the customers for |
| 20 | A. No, I'm not. | 20 | Global MAPs Networks? |
| 21 | Q. Are there any other globsi NAPs entities | 21 | A. Yes, the customers of Global NAPs Networks |
| 22 | that handle -- strike that. Are there any other |  | were most itkely originally customers of Global NAPs, |
|  | Global NAPs entities or do those entities handie all | 23 | Inc. When those contracts expired they go month ta |
| 24 | of Global NAPs work throughout the country? |  | month and once the contracts go month to month they're |
| 25 | A. They handle all Global naps. | 25 | typically assuned by Global MPs Natworks. DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LC |
|  | DEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 |  | DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LC <br> (203) 245-9583 |


| Q. And why is that? <br> A. It's just the way they do it. <br> Q. Is there a particular benefit to Global NAPs for doing it that way? <br> A. We're trying to break up the compantes into individual companies that do specific things. And such as Realty takes care of realty, Networks takes care of networks and Global NAPs itself is dealing with the contracts of ILECs. <br> Q. And I probably jumped the gun a little byt and I didn't talk about what Global HAPs , int. does. Let me backtrack a little bit and why don't you tell me a little bit about what Global NAPs, Inc. does. <br> A. As I said, Global NAPs' priaary role now is contracts with ILECs. <br> Q. Okay. <br> A. And interconnection agrements. <br> Q. With ILECs? <br> A. With ILECs. <br> Q. Who would thase ILECs be? <br> A. SBC, Verizon, Bell South. <br> Q. Are there any particular states that Global <br> NAPs has interconnection agreements with ILECs? <br> A. I den't know what states we're in. I know it'e our goal to get into every state. I'm not sure DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC | Q. Corrbet. <br> A. Its primary source of income is intercarrier compensation. <br> Q. Tell me what that is. <br> A. Charges between carriers for reciprocal <br> eompensation. <br> Q. Does it have any other source of income <br> other than -- I think what you'ra saying is it makes money from raciprocal compensation, is that it? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Does it have any other source of revenue? <br> A. No, now Global NaPs, Inc. has its <br> intercarrter compensation as its source of ineome. <br> Q. Was there a point where it was not strictly <br> limited? <br> A. When we first started tt had other things but those are long gone. It had handled contracts in the past but that's .- we've changed, we're breaking things up so -. <br> Q. Okay. Can you tell when that change happened? <br> A. It's been over the last four, five years anyway. <br> Q. Okay. In the last four or five years what did Glabal NAPs change from? <br> DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245.9583 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 where wo are in that grand schems of things. I know <br> 2 there are a number of states wit do have <br> interconnection agreaments with. What that number is, I don't know. <br> Q. Okay. Can you tell me what you do know, which states you do remember? <br> A. Sure. Massachusetts, Connecticut, Florida, Callfornia, Atlanta, Georgla. Off the top of my head that's.. Illinois. Off the top of my head that's a quick list. <br> MR. MANISHIN: New Jersey. <br> THE WITNESS: New Jersey. <br> Q. (By Mr. Jensen) And does Global NAPs, to the best of your knowledge, have intercannection agreements with an ILEC in each of those states? <br> A. To the best of ay knowledge, yes. Hew York is another one, Vermont, New Hampshire. <br> Q. Ohio? <br> A. Rhode Island. <br> Q. Can you tell me what Global NAPs ' business model is? <br> A. Baing? <br> Q. How does tt make money? How does Global <br> NAPs make money? <br> A. Global NAPs, Ine.? <br> DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 | A. Originally Global Maps, Inc, handled <br> werything, and wa've broken tt off into the vartous companies trying to heve apectitic aingle task companies to hand thtinge. <br> Q. And the breakdown was .. is it into Global NAPs New Hampshire, Global NAPs Networks and Global MAPs Realty. is that the breakdown that you're talking about? <br> A. Rlght now, yes. <br> Q. Are there other entities that were created that we haven't talked about? <br> A. I belfeve not, no. <br> Q. Okay. Ars there other entities in the works that we haven't talked about? <br> A. No. <br> Q. Prior to .. and strike that. So you think that this change happened over the last four or five years or it happened four to five years ago? <br> A. It's ongotng. <br> Q. It's an ongoing process? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Maybe we can nail down some of the tine <br> frames. When was Global NAPs New Hampshire incorporated? <br> A. I don't know off the top of wy head, but 1 DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC |

would guess five or six years ago it's been around. It's not something that was incorporated yesterday.
Q. Okay. But was it part of this process?
A. Yes.
Q. Dkay. So would this process have begun six years ago or four or fyve years ago?
A. Four to five years ago. but we have other companies. And Global NAPs, I dor't know if it was originaliy Global HAPs New Hanpshire. I'E not sure if it was originally set up to do what it's doing today. It may have been an existing company that was not doing much of anything and we just started to use it for this particular application.
Q. So you don't know what Glabal NAPs was doing when it was incorporated, it that a fair a statement?
A. Global Naps New Hampshire?
Q. New Hampshire.
A. Yes, it's a fair statement.
Q. What about Global NAPs, and maybe we can just ease having to say this by referring to Global NAPs, Inc. as Global NAPs.
A. Okay.
Q. Global NAPs Networks as Metworks.
A. Okay.
Q. And Global NAPs Realty as Realty. DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
A. Okay.
Q. And Global NAPs New Hampshire as New Hampshire.
A. Perfect.
Q. Because I'm starting to slur my words. MR. HANISHIN: Early in the day for that, Tin.
Q. (By Mr, Jensen) Do you recall when or do you know when Networks was incorporated?
A. No. Again, whon it was incorporated, I know it's been around a while. and, again, its original purpose, I'm not sure what it was.
©. Okay. What about Realty?
A. Same thing.
Q. So these ware not incorporated as part of the process?
A. Well, I'm not saying that, They could have been. I don't know if they were or weren't but they certainly could have bean.
Q. Who would know when these other entities were incorporated?
A. I have to check with our Legal Departmant to find out when they did the fllings.
Q. Going back to Global NAPs and its revenue stream. How much has it received in cash .. strike DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
that. How much has Global NAPs .. what was Global NAPs' revenue for the year 2005?

MR. MANISHIN: For clarity. The Globel NAPs is Global NAPs. Inc., not Global NAPs New Hampshire?

MR. JENSEN: Correct.
THE WITNESS: Global NAPs, Inc.'s revenue for 2005 .. I don't know.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Do you know who would know?
A. I'd have to talk to our accountants on that.
Q. Do you have any finenctal statements today that would help you?
A. Mo, I don't.
Q. Does Global NaPs make any of .. strike that. Doss Global NAPs create financial statements as a regular course of business?
A. I'm not sure if it does or it doesn't. Ferrous Miner Holdings does. All these companies are sub $0 \cdot$ 's of Ferrous Miner Holdings, so everything is puthed up to Ferrous Miner and Ferrows Miner does generate that.
Q. And do you keep copies of that?
A. I don't have them, no.
Q. Do they send you copies of f1nancial statements?

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
A. Ferrous Niner, no.
Q. Does Ferrous Miner send you any financial statements?
A. For Global?
Q. For any of the Glabal entities?
A. I have not seen them, no.
Q. Okay, Does Ferrous Miner send tax returns, copies of tax returns to you as the treasurer for the Global MAPs entities?
A. I have not seen them, no.
Q. You've never seen them?
A. No.
Q. So for the years 2002 to 2005 you've never seen a tax return for Global NAPs Inc., Glabal NAPs Networks, Global NAPs New Hampshire or Global NAPs Realty?
A. That's correct.
Q. Have you seen a consolidated tax return for Ferrous Miner?
A. I have not.
Q. Who would have seen these tax returns?
A. I believe our accountants.
Q. Does Ferrous Miner send -- strike that. Are the accountants for Global NAPs, the Global NAPs entities the same accountants for Ferrous Miner? DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
A. I believe thay are, yes.
Q. You don't know how much revenue Global NAPs generated in 2005 but can you tell me if all of the revenue from 2005 was based on reciprocal compensation payments?
A. No, wa have other sarvices that we sell.

Oh, for Global NAPs ..
Q. Correct
A. .- Inc.? My guess would be yes, but I don't know if there's others revenue in there as well. I can't imagine what else would be in there but I don't know for certain.

HR. MANISHIN: Excuse me for a second.
The normal rule is not to volunteer but I think that perhaps an explanation of the fund flaw would assist Mr . Jensen in understanding your answer, because you're distingulshing reciprocal comp revenues and the customers of the entities and that would be your answer and the entities to which they flow.

THE WITNESS: Well, we get money from reciprocal compensation that would get thrown into Global NAfs New Hampshire as well as our customer money for dial up services and volp services.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) And are these customers of Global NAPs?
DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC
(203) $245-9583$
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A. Originaliy they may have been but the term of the contract have exptred so they're month to wonth now. Once the contracts explre they're generally just handed to Metworks to handle, so they may have been Global NAPs, Inc.'s originally, now they're in Networks.
Q. Okay. How would we know when they switched over?
A. The exptration date on the contract.
Q. And do we have coptes of all those contracts?
A. I think we brought a fair amount of them today.
Q. Okay, we'll go through those after we get: copies.

You mentioned that once these contracts expire they would move from Global NAPs to Networks?
A. Right.
Q. Is there any written documentation of that transaction?
A. No, it's just a matter of course, once the original tera is done they go month to month. We don't try to hold anybody hostage, any of our customers hostage. So once the original term is done, which is usually a short tern, a year, then it goes (203) 245-9583

month to month. If you don't like the sarvice you can leave. you know, if you don't want to be around.
Q. Is there any document reflecting the transfer to Networks from Globel NAPs, Inc.?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Do you know who would know the answer?
A. I don't believe it exists.
Q. So you don't think there's any
documentation?
A. No.
Q. So what would the process be if you can describe it for me?
A. Well, as I said, the contracts .. the original contract is good for a certain term. Dnce it's expired it's a month to month term. So once it goes month to month Networks just assumes it.
Q. So somebody from Global NAPs walks it over to Networks and they say, okay, now this is our's, how does that process work?
A. I'm not sure. I know it's just a matter of course, it's what's done, we know what's going to happen. I don't know if there actually is any formal procedure for ft.
Q. How do you tell a customer they're switching from Global NAPs to Networks?

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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always had somewhere around thirty to forty employees?
A. Yes.
Q. So it's always been relatively large compared to Global?
A. Driginally these wers all in, as 1 said before, Global NAPs Inc, did it all. And once we started breaking it out Networks is the company that took the lion's share of Global's work.
Q. And, therefore, the 1ion's share of employees?
A. The employees.
a. The enployees that currently work for Networks worked for Global originally?
A. Yes, wo have a very low turn over so they've been around since the beginning.
Q. Now, what about Realty, how many employees does Realty have?
A. I'm not sure Realty has any, Realty takes care of our rack rentals and some of our leases. It didn't realiy have a customer base, so I'm not sure if there ar any employees that are assigned Realty.
Q. Okay. Can you tell me .- You've given me kind of what you think the number of employees are for the various entities, as a whole these four Global ent1tiss, about how many enployees? DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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A. Fifty.
Q. Fifty. And these tifty employees all originally worked for Global?
A. Unlest they were hired after we started this transition, yes.
Q. And I think you just told we a littie bit
about what Realty does, is there anything else that Realty does?
A. Pretty much rack rentals and leases, that takes care of it.
Q. Does Networks retaln any of the revenues that it generates?
A. It has to to pay its employees.
Q. Okay.
A. It that what you're asking?
Q. Well, I'年 just asking you is that your understanding?
A. Yes, we have to, it has employees it needs to pay.
Q. But money goes .. To get to Networks it has to go through this Select and Pay process?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell we .. strike that. Do you know where Global NAPs pays taxes?
A. Whers it pays taxas? I' not certain but I OEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9563
can find out for you.
Q. Okay, that would be great.
A. Any of these questions that I don't have the answers to. I man, I was given a day to prepare to come to you guys today, so anything that you guys have for questions I'm sure that $I$ can get the answer to the attorneys so they can forward them to you, that's not an issue. Not that $I$ don't want to answor your questions, $I$ just legitimately don't have the answers for you right here but 1 can get them for you.
Q. All right, I would appreciate that. It would be the same question for Networks. New Hampsh1 re and Realty.
A. Okay.

MR. JENSEN: Taka a five-minute break.
NR. MANISHIN: Absolutely. Take your
time.
(Recess taken at 11:55 A.M.)
(Deposition resumed at 12:09 P.M.)
MR. JENSEN: Back on the record.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Does Global NAPs hava any subsidiaries?
A. Global NAPs itself, no.
Q. And what do you mean by Global NAPs itself, is there another ..

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC

(203) 245-8583
A. No, Globa1 Mape does not hava any subsidjaries.

You asked ne earlier about global NAPs companies and I gave you the four: Networks, Realty, New Hampshire and Inc. There are a slow of other Global MPs companies that I call file companies.
Q. File companies?
A. Ftie companies, yeah, they don't do anything because Global Naps -- A couple of them may, G1obal NAPs South, Global NAPs Virginta, there are various coapanien in various states that are filed just for regulatory reasons. They don't actually do anything. They have no assets. They have no employees. It's just as I said, a file company. So those are in there. I don't have a complete list of those but they exist.
Q. Okay. Can you get me a list of those compant es?
A. I can get you a 1ist, sure. I hope I can got you a list. I think it will be extensive.

NR. MANISHIN: Off the record.
(Discussion off the record)
MR. JENSEN: Back on the record.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) And as you sit here today you don't know how many actual file companies there DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
are?
A. No, I don't, and I really don't deal with them because, like $I$ said, they don't do much of anything, so my day to day activities, you know, they don't exist in my head.
Q. And are they, would you say they are in states where Global NAPs does business, is that an accurate statement?
A. Probably where we have interconnection agreaments.
Q. And you mentioned you are an officer at again the Global NAPs four entities that we talked about?
A. Yes, and any of one of these compentes as well it would be the same corporate structure.
a. Okay.
A. Right.
Q. Are there any other entities that you work at other than the ones we've already talked about?
A. That I work at.
Q. That you work at?
A. In what capacity?
a. Any capacity.
A. I an an officer in other companies that are unrelated to Global.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
O. Okay. And what are those companies?

MR. MANISHIN: It's beyond the scope of
the deposition so I'll object. If you can establish
relevance $\mathbf{1} 11$ permit the witnass to answer.
MR. JENSEN: I need to know the nature of these companies, find out whether or not they are related.

MR. MANISHIN: Without identifying the
name then tell him the nature of the company and what
bustness it does.
THE WITHESS: One is a manufacturing company.

MR. BYRNE: These are the Global
companies you're talking about?
MR. HANISHIN: These are other compantes
that Mr. Gangi personally has a role in.
THE WITNESS: I'm an officer in and the other one is a VOIP company.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) And what do you do at the manufacturing company?
A. Again, I just have a title, same as $I$ would in the Global coapantes.
@. So you're vice president and treasurer?
A. Treasurer, yes.
Q. And who are the other officers in the

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
manufacturing company?
A. They would be the same.
Q. Does the manufacturing company do any business with any of the Global entities?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. What type of business?
A. Sales, sales equipment.
Q. What type of equipment?
A. Switch gear.
Q. Does this manufacturing company have any contracts with any of the Global entities?
A. We acquilred this company, it was an existing company that Global had done work with in the past and they're existing contracts with this prior owners. I would have to say this contract is still good so I guess the answor would be, yes, but I have to make certain that those contracts were still in affect.
Q. And you said this manufacturing company makes switch gear?
A. Yes.
Q. What is switch gear? Describe it to me.
A. Telephone switches .
Q. And what is the process by which a telephone switch is manufactured?
A. I don't understand.

OEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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Q. Does it require machinery?
A. I'm not vary involved with the day to day operation of the company so I really don't know. I bel ieve they outsource all of that and assemble in-house but I'回 not 100 percent certain.
Q. Other than contracts that were acquired when this manufacturing company was acquired by you and the rest of the officers, Frank Gangi and Mike Couture, is there any relationship between the Global NAPB entities and this manufacturing company?
A. No, not that I'm aware of.
Q. Do they share employees?
A. No.
Q. Do they share revenue?
A. Again, they have a relationship where the Global compenies will buy from them. Is that what you mean by share revenue? They're a customer/vendor retationship.
Q. Okay. Are there any intercompany loans between the manufacturing company and any of the Global NAPs entities?
A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
Q. Who would be aware of that if in fact it happened?
A. I could get you that answer. DEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583

```
Q. And who would you be getting that information from?
A. I'd have to check with our accountants to see if there are any outstanding loans.
Q. And does this manufacturing company use the same accountants as Globsi NAPs?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Does this manufacture company use the services of Select and Pay?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Does this company share receivables with any of Global NAPs entities?
A. I don't believe so?
Q. Turning to the VOIP company, you're also an officer?
A. IUn-han.
Q. Is it the same group of officers as the manufacturing company?
A. Yes.
Q. So it's Frank Gangi, Richard Gangi and Michael Couture?
A. Yes. There may ba additional officers for each of those companies, I don't have that answar. There be may be one additional on each.
Q. Going back to the manufacturing company, is DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) \(245-9583\)
```
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## is it privately omed?

A. Yea.
Q. Okay. And who owns it?
A. I'曰 not sure what the structure is on that.

Again, I'简 not that involved with it or the VOIP company so I'd have to get you those answers.
Q. All right. Mas it issued shares?
A. I don't know.
Q. Does it have a parent company?
A. I don't know.
Q. Turning to the VOIP company. What does the VOIP company da?
A. Sells WIP services.
Q. Could you describe for me that process?
A. If you wanted to use a VOIP phone you could contact them and they would supply you with a phone and a phone nutber and you would have a VOIP phone.
Q. Oksy. Do they do any business, this Vorp company, does it do any business with any of the Global NAPs entities?
A. It may do business with Networks, again, I'm not sure.
Q. And who would be the person to find that out?
A. I'd have to ask our aceountants. DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583

NAPs?
A. I belleve I have, sure.
Q. Okay. Can you tell me what those proceedings are?
A. Vartous cases, some with ILECs, some collection cases with custamers, not ILECs, with ILECs as well.
Q. Okay. Could you be a little bit more specific as to the location of these cases, and why don't we start with that.
A. When you're asking af for my involvement with them what's the quastion?
Q. I'm asking you whether or not you as an officer of Glabal NAPs have been invoived in any litigation?
A. I mean, I guess I don't know what you're 100king for.
Q. I'm trying to find out what the procesdings are that you were involved in. Let me take this a step back.

Haye yau provided testimony at any legal proceedings in your capacity as an officer for any of the Global NAPs entities?
A. Yes.
Q. What proceedings were those?
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A. There was a case with former employee that I had to testify in a deposition.
Q. Okay. And do you recall where that case is or was?
A. It's in massachusetts.
Q. Is it in the State Court?
A. I don't really know.
Q. What was the nature or what is the nature of that claim?
A. Just a former employee was let go and I'm not really sure on the details of it but .-
Q. Okay. And you had your deposition taken at that?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall when that deposition was taken?
A. Within the last year I would say.
Q. Is that case still pending?
A. I belfove it is, yes.
Q. What's the name of the employee in that case?
A. Sandy Stevent, I believe.
Q. And is there a claimed dollar amount of the claim that she's asserted against?
A. I belleve there is, I don't know what it is DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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A. Okay.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
Q. Any time you've given testimony at eny of thase proceedings.
A. Sure, that helps. Affidavits, I've signad many. I can't give you the specifics of what they were for, but I know I have signed affidavits on behalf of Globsl Maps. Inc. I'm sure there's got to be some of them.

I gave testimony in court for a suit we had brought against a landiord in California but I'm not sure if that was Global NAPs, Inc. or G1obal NAPs Realty, so, but that's --
Q. That was court testimony?
A. Court testimeny.
Q. You were a witness?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have o more specifte
recollection of any of the affidavits that you may have testified in?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Any other cases where you would have been a witness on a stand?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. And you've never testified during an agency proceeding?
A. No, not that I recall.
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A. I'd have to talk with our accountant to see how thay broke it up.
Q. Were thera any documents generated as a part
of this group -- strike that. Were there any
documents between the various Global entities memorializing this transaction?
A. Hone that I'fe aware of.
Q. And when you say none that you're aware of, might there be?
A. There might be, I can ask.
A. So it's your position today that if SNET ware to abtain a $\$ 5.25$ million judgment that the Global entities would gat together and satisfy that judgment?

MR. MANISHIN: Oojection, lacks
foundation, calls for speculation. Answer if you can.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) And your basts for that answer is what these Global entities did to satisfy the Verizon dollar amount, is that correct?
A. Yas.
Q. Do you know whether Global has the ability to pay SNET \$200,000 per month going forward?
A. I dan't.
Q. You don't know the answer?

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
A. No.
Q. Who would know the answer?
A. I would have to find out what that's based on and what the charges are. Just a random number like that, I don't know.
Q. Well. okay, let me explain it then. It's not a question of charges and whether or not they're disputad, the question is financially. is Global in a position to pay $\$ 200.000$ a month going forward?

MR. MANISHIN: Does your question assume
a different scenario than before or is this Global
alone as opposed to these other entities he's
testified to today?
MR. JENSEN: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Global. Inc. wauld not be
able to pay that.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Would the same answer apply to a $\$ 150.000$ per month going forward?
A. I would say, yes.
Q. And what is your basis for the answer that they would not be able to pay out those amounts?
A. I don't see the revenue in Inc. that could satisfy, the other compantes would have to assist.
Q. Global Networks, can you tell me what assets Global Networks has?
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A. Networks has all our switching gear and transport gear.
Q. Do you have a ballpark value for thase assets?
A. No.
A. Do you know who would?
A. Dur accountants.

MR. MANISHIN: Excuse me one second.
(Discussion off the record)
MR. JENSEN: Back on the record.
MR. MANISHIN: Just note for the record,
it may be that Mr. Gangi hasn't learned it but I came into passession of the information over the weekend about the acquisition cost of a significent portion of the Networks assets, they were bought from a company called Sycamore Network. We can verify that infornation on the telephone.

But. again, it's acqutsition cost as opposed to present value and given the changing market dynamics in telecommuntcation equipment it's difficult for us to provide a present fair market value versus acquisition cast.

MR. JENSEN: So Sycamore Network is
looking to buy.
MR. MANISHIN: No, Sycamore Network sold DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
a significant amount of equipmant to Global NAPs Networks.

MR. JENSEN: And when did that happen?
MR. MANISHIN: I do not know. Mr. Gangl
can check with the appropriate people during the bresk and corroborate that, but that's information that apparently I learned that he didn't learn as part of his invastigation.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Other than the switching gear and the stuff from Sycamore Network that was recently acquired, does Global Networks have any other assots?
A. No, that': what Networks owned is just transport gear.
Q. Did you testify earlier that Networks contracts a lot of its bustness out or is that a different entity?
A. Contracts a lot of its business out, no.
Q. Okay, that was a manufacturing company.

Mr. Gangi, are you fantliar at all with the Sycemore acquisition?
A. Yeah, we've been buying Sycamore equipment for a long time, six years.
Q. Okay. And when Networks purchases networ' equipmant, for example. from Sycamore. how does is
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| 65 | 67 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 purchase that? | 1 Global entities? |
| 2 A. Currently or in the past? | 2 A. No. |
| 3 Q. Both, start with the past | 3 Q. Do you receive any other source of income |
| 4 A. I'm not quite sure if Sycamore is actually | 4 from any of the Global entities? |
| 5 sttil | 5 A. No. |
| 6 a. | 6 Q. So $\$ 100,000$, that's it? |
| 7 A. Any purchase that would be done today would | 7 A. Yo |
| 8 be for equipment that's either used or unused sitting | 8 Q. Can you tell me what efforts, if any, Global |
| 9 In someone's warehouse, we've done that. Originaliy | 9 made toward securing a bond in this matter? |
| we had purchase orders with purchases from Sycamore | 10 A. No, I cannot |
| 1 ftself, all of that is on that. I'm not .- As I sit | 11 Q. Who would know that? |
| 2 here today I can't give it to you, but I know we did | 12 A. I don't know. |
| 13 have large | 13 Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody made efforts |
| 14 Q. Okay. And how did you pay | 14 toward securing a bond? |
| 16 A. What were the terms? | 15 A. I don't know but I can find out for you. |
| 16 Q. Well, where did the money come from to pay | 16 Q. Who would you talk to? |
| 17 for the equi | 17 A. My legal staff. |
| 18 A. Out of the Networks ravenue strean. | 18 Mr. MANISHIN: I object to this line of |
| 19 Q. Okay. And did you purchase them outright? | 19 questioning, Counsel, it's not within the scope of the |
| 20 A. | 20 Judge's Hay 3 |
| 21 Q. So is that typical, is that what you would | 21 MR. JENSEN: I think it is. I think it's |
| 22 typica,ly do is purchase them | 22 very, very much relevant because if in fact Global did |
| 23 A. Versus? | 23 in fact try to get a bond and was rejected it goss |
| 24 Q. Versus lassing? | 24 towards credit |
| 25 A. Yes. <br> DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 | MR. MANISHIN: There's nothing in thereDEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 |
| 66 | 68 |
| 1 Q. Okay. Did you ever have to put up any | 1 on the list of any efforts to acquire a bond. I just |
| 2 collateral or security again | 2 made my objection for the record. I'm not going to |
| 3 A. I don't believ | 3 instruct him not to answer |
| 4 Q. Okay. As an officer of the vartous Globa | 4 MR. JENSEN: And, again, I'll say for the |
| 5 NAPs entities | 5 record that if Global did try to get a bond and it was |
| 6 A. | 6 rejected for any reason it goes towards their credit |
| 7 Q. Okay. And what is that | 7 and perhaps their assets and their standing. |
| 8 MR. MANISHIN: You have to say, it's part | 8 Q. (By Mr. Jensen) But you personally did not |
| 9 of the | 9 take any steps towards securing a bond? |
| 10 THE MITNESS: My salary is $\$ 100.000$ | 10 A. Mo. |
| 11 Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Is that for | 11 (Deposition Exhiblt 3, offered and |
| 12 Globs1 | 12 marked.) |
| 13 A. Yes, that's | 13 MR. JENSEN: off the record. |
| 14 Q. What about bonuses? | 14 (Luncheon recess taken at 12:40 P.M.) |
| 16 A. I don't recelve them, haven't in a few | 15 (Deposition resumed at 1:45 P.M.) |
| 16 years. | 16 Mr. JENSEN: Back on the record |
| 17 Q. Okay. Do you receive any other benefits | 17 Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Hr. Gangi, I want to follow |
| 18 besides salary from any .- | 18 up on a couple of topics that we talked about earifer. |
| 19 A. Insur | 18 You had mentionad a company called Select and Pay as |
| 20 a. Insurance? | 20 your bookkeepling? |
| 21 A. Yes. | 21 A. Uim-hw. |
| 22 Q. What about, do you lease a vehicle through | 22 Q. Do you have an internal person that does |
| 23 the compantes? | 23 bookkeeping at G1obal MAPs? |
| 24 A. I drive a company vehicle, yes. | 24 A. No, everything is done by Select and Pay. |
| 25 Q. Do you receive any dividends from any of the DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 | 25 Q. Everything. So who would be the person at DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 |

G1obal NAPs that would provide information to Select and Pay?
A. I don't know.
Q. Can you find that out?
A. Sure.
Q. Okay. I'm assuming somebody from Global NAPs has to tell Select and Pay what to do, you know, what customer they've gotten, how to bill them, things of that nature?
A. Okay.
Q. Are you faniliar at all with the process that Select and Pay uses when it receives a check from a customer?
A. No, I'e not.
Q. Okay. So do you have any idea how Select and Pay determines where the money goes that comes in? When I say where, I mean to any of the three or four, 1 guess. Tell me if I'm wrong, the money that Select and Pay accepts or receives is the payments on the various involces, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then it sends it to Global Naw Hanpshire. is that right?
A. Global New Hamphire's bank account. yes.
Q. Global New Hampshire's bank account, okay. DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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How does it get from G1obal New Hampshire's bank account to any of the three other entities?
A. I don't know if it phyaically is transferred to the other accounts. I belleve that the bills are paid through Global MAPs New Hampthire on behalf of the other entities. So Global NAPs New Hampahtre would isaue a chock. Globel NAPs Now Hempshire also does $=-$ the bills are ment out under Global MAPs New Hanpshira through Select and Pay. And the checks would be writton fron Global NAPa New Hampshire to satisfy bills on behalf of Inc., Realty or Networks.
Q. So say $\$ 500$ came in from Global NAPs, Inc. . a customar, from one of their customers, goes to Select and Pay, they send it to or they deposit it to Global New Hampshire's bank account, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then Global New Hampshire then uses that money to pay or to pay expenses of Global NAPs. Inc. or any of the other entities?
A. Yes, all of the are paid through G1obal NAPA New Hampshire.
Q. Okay. So is that money that comes in, is it designated for any particular purpose?
A. I'm sure that it is, it would just be an accounting entry on a ledger.
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Q. Okay. But is it just one big pool of money that comes in, is it one account?
A. Yes, it's one account.
Q. Okay. And is there any process that you're aware of by which the money from that account goes the various antities?
A. Other than a transaction on a ledger, no.
Q. Okay.
A. It doesn't physically go from Global NAPs New Hampshire to Global Naps Realty, let's say, they don't get the maney into an account that's Clobal Naps Realty. It gays in Global NAPs New Hampshire and it's used for bills of Global NAPs Realty in this example.
Q. Okay. But it's a general account then?
A. Yes.
Q. So all the assets that come in are used to pay all the vartous expenses of all the various companies?
A. Yes.
Q. OkBy. Showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3. Mr, Gangi, have you seen that document before?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you tell me what that document is?
A. It's a list of equipment that Global NAPs:DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583

Ine, has in Connecticut.
Q. Okay. Do you know who put this 11st together?
A. I do not know who gave the information to our attornay, no.
Q. Okay. Do you know what the source of the information for that list was?
A. No, I don't, I wasn't part of putting this together.
Q. Okay, do you know who was?
A. No, I dan't.
Q. Is there a way you can find that out?
A. I can inquirs.
Q. Do you know what the total value of the equipment that's listed on that document adds up to?
A. No, $I$ have no way of deternining what its value is.
Q. Do you know if anybody within Global knows the value of that equipment?
A. From an accounting perspective or a reat dollar value?
Q. Well. it would be a fair market value.
A. No, I don't.
Q. Okay. Did you bring any documents with ye today to show the fair market value of those items OEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
that letter?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if there are any documents that Global has that would show the fair market value of those items?
A. Not to my knowledze.

MR. MANISHIN: Just make sure I understand the question. Falr market value, you're
referring to fair market value today as opposed to the understand the question. Falr market value, you're
referring to fair market value today as opposed to the purchase price or the accounting information he just referenced? MR. JENSEN: Well, why don't we break it down. Fair market value today would be one question. Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Have you produced today any documents showing the fair market value today of those assets?
A. No.
Q. Have you produced any documents today showing the value or the price of those equipment when they were purchased?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any documents that tell me
anything about those assets that were disclosed in that May 22nd latter?
A. No. DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC
(203) $245-9583$ DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC
(203) $245-9583$
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Q. Do such documents exist?
A. Not to ay knowledge, but, again, I can inquire. This list has some Sycemore stuff on it, some Cisco stuft on it. I know that we bought ... well, I can't speak to whan this was purchased, I guess, no.
Q. Do you have kind of a general Ides of what the fair market value would be of the items in that document?
A. Half a million bucks maybe.
a. What I'd like to do is go through each of these items and find out as much detayl as I can about them from you.

Looking at the first page, the list loaks like cities, and underneath you have a series of equipment which are referred to as assets of Global NAPs, Inc. in the state of Connecticut. First of ali, do you know whether or not that is a true statement, that these are assets of defendant Global NAPs. Inc. in the state of Connecticut?
A. To the best of my knowledge, yeah.
Q. What's your knowiedge based on?
A. These are all the cities that we're in and my understanding is that this is typical of what we would have in these cities.
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Q. Okay, looking at the first entry, it's Hartford, you have 11 sted, when I say you. I mean Global has listed, one Chatsworth rack. Can you tell me what that is?
A. That would be an aluminum rack that the equipant was mounted in.
Q. Okay. And do you have a serial number for that item?
A. A Global MAPs, Inc. serial number applted to it, I don't know.
Q. No, well, it would be a serial number that would be on it when you purchased it.
A. No, racks wouldn't have serial numbers on it.
Q. Would not have serial number?
A. Unless we applied one and I don't know if we applied one to it.
a. What about a model number?
A. It would have one. I dan't know what it 15 . It's a atandard, either a 49 or 23 -Inch rack.
Q. Okay. And do you know where this chat gworth rack is located?
A. Within Hartford? Mo, I have not bean physically to any of these aites so I den't know where they are.
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Q. So you don't know what the address is?
A. Na, I don't.
Q. Does Global have a map of locations where its equipment might be located?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Could we get a copy of that?
A. Yes.

MR. MAWISHIN: In techntcal terms, like a network diagram?

THE WITNESS; I don't know if we have a map per se or just a list of addresses, but I can definitely get you the information where asch of those locations is.

MR. JENSEN: Well. lat me just giva you sowe background. The court ordered you guys to bring today objective credible evidence to allow me to find out where these assets are located so $I$ can attach them. For me to do that $I$ need to know the exact location which is the address. If they're not specifically at that address how far away from that address they are. That's Information thet I need today and information $I$ need to perform my attachment, so that's the nature of information I'm looking for.

THE WITMESS: Again, I had 24 hours to prepare for this today so it was a little short but I DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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can definitely get the answers for that, that's an
easy one.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Okay. Is thare somebody else at Global NAPs that knows the answar to that question?
A. I don't know who I'd ask, but I'11 dig down to find out, it shouldn't be that difficult.
Q. Do you know when that Chatsworth rack was purchased?
A. No. I know we buy them in bulk 100 or 200 at time.
Q. And who do you buy them from?
A. Chatsworth.
Q. And where is Chatsworth located?
A. I belfeve they'ro in California, and if I'm not mistaken it's Chatsworth, California.
Q. And do you know what the purchase price for a Chatsworth rack was?
A. It varies, ballpark a coupla hundred dollars.
Q. Do you typicaliy buy these as new or used?
A. New.
Q. Do you have any recelpts for the purchase of this Chatsworth rack?
A. I do not, no.
DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
```
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A. Any 1 nvoices?
A. No.

MR. MAHISHIN: Excuse ma, but are you referring to you or to the company?

THE WITNESS: The company. Today, $I$ don't, if they exist I don't know, I can find out.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) What about meintenance records, does Global have any maintenance records with respect to the Chatsworth rack?
A. There is no maintenance on the rack.
Q. Is the Chatifworth rack under any type of a warranty?
A. I don't believe so, no. We haven't purchased racks in probably two years so any warranty that may have been may have been for general.
Q. Is this Chatsworth rack being used today?
A. Yes.
Q. I take it the Chatsworth rack does not use software, it's simply a holding mechanisw?
A. It's an aluminum rack, that's it.

MR. MANISHIN: It's like a narrow set of shelves?

THE WITNESS: It's just a frame.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Is it fair to say that for each of the pieces of equipment that are listed on
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both of these pages you don' $t$ know what the model numbers are?
A. Unless it's listed here I wouldn't know what it was, no.
Q. Do you see any of them that do have the model number listed?
A. I beliave this Cisco Cerant 15454, I believe that is model number of the piece of equipmant.
Q. Okay. Any other items that mould have modal numbers on them?
A. I don't see any 1 isted.
Q. Looking again at the equipment listed under the Hartford address. or 1 assume it's a Hartford address, you have a Cisco Cerent that we just talked about, the 15454, and that's the model number?
A. I belleve that would be the moviel number.
Q. Would that have a serial number?
A. It should.
Q. And you said that that would be applied by Global NAPs?
A. No, on the rack there would be no serial number. On tha Cerent it should have a serial number from the factory.
Q. Okay. And you don't know what that serial number is?
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A. Some of this stuff was purchased from Cisco many years ago. And some of the stuff we buy used and unused on the open markat.
Q. But you can't, from looking at this, you can't tell whether you bought it as a used or a new item?
A. No, I can't.
Q. Does Global have any receipts for this product?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Any invoices?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Would you keep maintenance records for this Cisco product?
A. They don't require much maintenance other than changing filtars.
Q. Okay. So filters would be the only spare you'd keep around?
A. We11, there would be spare cards, but I'm mot sure where the cards are located. From the list they don't have any spares in the sites so I'm assuming that any spares for these would be in Quincy.
Q. Okay. So they're not listed?
A. They're not listed, right.
Q. And would that apply to each of the towns DEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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that has equiprent listed, that they do not have spares 1 isted with them?
A. I don't see any spares 1 tsted so I would have to say yes.
Q. Okay. So any spares would be lacated you think in Quincy?
A. In Quincy.
Q. Do you know whether or not the Cisco Cerent 4-0C48 was under any type of a warranty?

MR. MANISHIN: Objection, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.
Q. (8y Mr. Jensen) Do you know whether the

Cisco Cerent 4-0c48 is 1 n operat ton today?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if there were any modifications made to the Cisco Cerent 4-0C48's?
A. Hone that 1 to aware of
Q. Does this product require softwere?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what software version is being used with this?
A. No idea.
Q. Do you own this ar do you lease it?
A. This would be owned by Global NAPs.
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ket value of this product?
A. I do not, no. I can tell you that would be the most expenstive item in these sites.
Q. Again. you have no documents with you today that would show -- Well, strike that. Do you know who, if anyone. would maintatn purchase records for these products?
A. If we did maintain them Selact and Pay would most likely have them or the accountant, I'm not sure which. Most likely netther because we don't keep records very long, I know that.
Q. But if they had a warranty wouldn't you probably keep the invoice around?
A. Yes.
Q. Who owns Select and Pay?
A. Who ownt it? I don't know who owne it.
Q. Do you know where it's incorporated?
A. Mo ides.
Q. Does Select and Pay have any enployees that are also employees of any of the Global entities?
A. No.
Q. Continuing on the list. I'm goting to go through this first ons tn complate detall. One fiber pane1?

> DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) $245-9583$
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A. Yeah, I believe that would be a fiber patch panel.
Q. Okay. And what is a fiber patch panel?
A. If you were bringing fiber to this
particular rack, the patch panel would be at the top. You would plug in your fiber and then we would take the fiber out of the panel down to whatever particular pfece of equipment in the rack needed the fiber conneotion.
Q. Okay. And do you know the fatr market value of that panel?
A. Na, I don't.
Q. Do you know when 1t was purchased?
A. No. I don't.
Q. Do you know who it was purchased from?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Does it have a serial number?
A. I wauld suspect not. It's a small piece of equipeent.
Q. Okay. Does it have a model number?
A. There would definitely be a model number on it, sure.
Q. Do you know what that model number is?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you have an approxtmate purchase price OEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-8583
for that product?
A. No, I don't.
Q. And I assume that -- Well, I wouldn't assuma anything. Is that product subject to maintenance?
A. No, it's just pass-through, fiber in and fiber out, no moving parts.
Q. Generally, and I know you don't have the specifics ot when these were purchased, but do you have a general idea of how old, and why don't we start with the equipment in Hartford, how long it's been in operation?
A. No, I don't. I don't know when we brought up the Hartford route.
Q. Okay. Would you know that .. Hould you know the answer to that question for any of the towns in Connecticut that are listed here?
A. Mo, I don't know when we specificaliy brought up any of these routes.

MR. MANISHIN: Cousd you find that out? THE WITNESS: I could find that out. I know we've been servicing Connecticut for several years, three that I'm fairly certain of, maybe possibly as long as four or five. if that gets you in the ballpark you want to be or I can get you more specific details on it.
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serial number for the item you don't know what it is,
is that right?
A. Right.
Q. If there's a nodel number and it's not listed here, you don't know what that model number is?
A. Carrect.
Q. And you don't know the exact location of this aquipment, is that right?
A. Correot, but I can find that out for you.
Q. And for all of these again, you don't know the date that they were purchased, is that right?
A. Corroct.
Q. Some of them you know who it was purchased fron but others you do not, is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And you don't know what the purchase price of these products is or was?
A. No.
Q. Dkay. And you don't know necessarily whether or not they were purchased used or new?
A. No, I don't.
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Q. And, again, I'm talking about all the products that are isted on these two pages. And you don't have any, and when I say you, you're not aware that Global NAPs has any receipts for any of these products, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And the same question for invaices. You're not aware that Global NAPs has any copies of invorces for any of these products, is that right?
A. That' correct.
Q. And you're not aware of any maintenance records for any of these products?
A. No. The Hystic and New Haven sites appear to be larger sites.
Q. Okay.
A. They appear to be in our own hut, a ten by ten Rhon hut, so these would not be in a building but placed somowhere.
a. Okay.
A. They seam to have wore equipment in thea as well.
Q. Okay. Can you tell me what a Rhon hut is?
A. That would be a comont hut, a builiding but it's a stand alone. It comes on a tratler, you orop it on the ground and put your equipment inside of th.
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C. And wen you say equipmant, what equypment
A. The equipment listed here.
Q. Okay. So all of this equipment should be found in the Rhon hut?
A. Inside the nut, right. It tells you there's thres Chatsworth racks, so there's thres racks of equipment in the one in Hystic, for example.
a. Okay.
A. The Argus pathfinder 34 power plant, thet's a DC power plant.
Q. Should that be pathfinder or --
A. Pathfinder, yeah.
Q. So there's a missing $D$ ?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay.

MR. JENSEN: Just note for the record that the document has pathfinder without the $D$.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) It also lists two 48 volt battery strings?
A. Sure, those would go with the power plant. The power plant wakes the DC power and it stores it in the batteriet.
Q. And do you know who manufactures those battertes?
A. No, could possibly be Daka but I don't knom. DEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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Q. And do you know the type of batteries those are?
A. I'm not sure if these are jell batteries or not but they most likely are a jell style battery.
Q. Do you know the year that these battery strings were manufactured?
A. No, I don't know when Mystic was brought up so I don't know when that date would be.
Q. Okay. Is that the same for New Haven?
A. Same for Mew Haven, yes.
Q. 1 think those are the only two sites that have the batteries, right?
A. Right. They both have generators for back-up power.
Q. You're referring to the Kohler 65 kilowatt generator?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. And the transfer switch to transfer to back-up power.
Q. Okay. And just moving back to the Kohler gerserator. Can you tell me today how many hours of use that generator has had?
A. No, I can't.
Q. And do you know the type of fuel that it DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
90
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uses?
A. No, I believe one of these sites, Mystic is propane and I'm not sure what New Haven is.
Q. What are the other types of fuel that it might use?
A. Diesel fuel or natural gas.
Q. Looking at the Rhon hut for Mystic, doas it have any climate control?
A. I believe these huts are designed as relco huts so they would have air conditionting as part of the base package.
Q. Okay. And I assume that this is a baso package Rhon hut?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not there are any other amenitios besides air conditioning in this hut?
A. Lights, and usually they're fitted with some type of a finish on the inside, 1 ights and electrical outlets.
Q. And you said it was cement?
A. Cement on the outside.
Q. What type of a roof does it have?
A. I believe it would be cement as well, just a coment cube.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583 hut?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you ever seen either of those two Ron huts?
A. No.
Q. So what are you besing your knowledge on?
A. Experience with other sites that l've seen in other locations, I don't know where they are, but they have these muts. I've seen the literature come across my desk for this too.
Q. For these two specific ones you don't spacifically know that they're the ones that .-
A. Right, specifically for these two, no, it would be in general that's what we have. I can't imagine these falling outside.
Q. For the Kohler gemerator in New Haven, do you know the haurs of use?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you know whether or not there are any liens on any of the equipment that you've listed here? And when I say you, I maan Global.
A. None that I'm aware of.
Q. Who would know that?
A. There are none.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC
(203) 245-9583
Q. And is this the same for the New Haven Rhon
$\qquad$




Q. There are no liens?
A. Right.
Q. So these are owned outright by Global?
A. Yes.
Q. Ar there any related bank loans to this equipment?
A. Mo.
Q. Okay. Any security provided to obtain th1s equipment?
A. No.
Q. And to the extent that the equipment needs software, I take it that you can't tell me what version of software it uses?
A. No, I can't. I don't know if it's ever been changed from what case with it out of the factory. If it works fine we don't touch it.
Q. Okay. And if there's no Rhon hut -- I take it everythting that's in Hystic and New Haven there's a Ron hut so all the equipment is inside the Rhon hut, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So if there is no Rhon hut where is the equipment located?
A. These are probably Telco hotels that we just hava racks at, just a co-location.

OEL VECCHIO REPORTING SEFVICES, LLC (203) 246-9683
Q. Okay.
A. I dan't know if these would be in an SBC co-10 somewhere or if it's some other provider, I'e not sure.
Q. So would these be found .- Can you just tell what that means, if it's a Telco hotel. what does thet mean?
A. It's just a privately owned butiding that caters to telephone companies so they can bring their equipment there or anybody else that wants to bring their equipment there.

If it's an SBC co-10. SBC would have space
that they'd provide for vendors and other carriers to connect to, so I'm not sure which category these fall into.
Q. Do you know whether or not there's a market for these types of products?
A. There's a markst for everything.
Q. Do you know what that market is?
A. No.
Q. Turn your attention back to Plaintiff's

Exhibit 2 which is the Court Order. Looking at page 2 beginning with No. 1 sentence, the existence of assets, do you see that?
A. Yes.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
Q. It says, "The existence of assets, whather real or personal property, chattols, money, securities, accounts payable or debts in which Global has an interest in in the state of Connecticut including but not limited to." Do you see that list of various categories of property?
A. A to $G$ ?
Q. A to $G$.
A. Yes.
Q. What I'm going to do now is. I'd like you to tell me about them to try to break it down and make it a little bit easier to digest. But all of Global's assets anywhere, Connecticut, United States, the world, okay, and ['ll break it down by category so that it may make a iftile bit more sense, but 1 want to give you the scope.

Can you tell me what cash on hand Global currently has?
A. No, I can't.
Q. Who could tell me that?
A. I'd have to talk to our accountants.
Q. Is there a document that would show you what

Global's cash on hand is?
A. I don't know.
Q. I think you testified earlier today that you DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
did not produce today any financial statements, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you didn't produce any tax records?
A. Yes.

NR. HANISHIN: You did not ask him, and you might consider asking, your choice, Tim, whether they're in the possession, to his knowledge of Global MAPs. You asked him what cash was on hand, you didn't ask him that with regard to the financial statements.

MR. JENSEN: Why don't I do that.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangi, do you have or does Global have in its possession any financial statements?
A. No.
Q. Do you know whether or not Global's accountant has any financial statements for Globel?
A. Specifically for Global, no.
Q. What does. if any, what does Global's accountants have financial statements of?
A. They would have the financtal statementa of Ferrous Miner Holdings.
Q. Okay. What about any of the other Global entities?
A. I don't belleve they do. no. To the extent DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
that they extst they would have then.
Q. You've never seen a copy?
A. I've never seen then, no.
Q. From 2002 to 2006 you've never seen e
financial statement for any of the Global entities?
A. No.
Q. And to your knowledge there's no or there are no copies of financial statements, copies of financial statements. DD you know whether or not Global maintains separate books and records for its Connecticut business?
A. To the best of my knowledge they don't.
Q. So to your knowledge is the only financial statement that has been created which includes Global or any of the Global ontitios is one that's created on behalf of Ferrous Miner Holdings?
A. Yes.

MR. JENSEN: If we could mark this as Exhibit 4, Plaintiff's Exhibit 4.
(Deposition Exhibit 4, offered and marked.)
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangi, showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, that's. and I'll represent on the record, one of the doculf that you brought with you today, do yau recognize tinat DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
A. Yes, I sew it when 1 brought it down today.
Q. Do you know what that document is?
A. It looks like a one on Global NAPa' payroll account.
Q. Payroll account, is that what it says on top?
A. Payroll account, yeah.
Q. Do you know what bank that's for?
A. It doesn't say on here, but I belleve the payroll account is at South Coastal Bonk.

MR. MAMISHIN: We have produced a cover
letter to that effect.
MR. JENSEN: R1 ght, okay.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) And where is South Coastal Bank located
A. Quincy.
Q. And what type of a bank account is that?
A. I beifeve it's just a checking account.
Q. Is that the only account that Global has?
A. Global, Inc.?
Q. Correct.
A. I belleve there may be another account that pays some incidental expenses, some smell phone bills, that kind of thing. I'm not sure if it's still in DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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existence but this to the Global NAPs' account, the largest account.
Q. Mr. Gangi, you have a copy of Exhibit 4 in front of you?
A. Yes.
Q. From that dacument could you tell what the ending balance on that account 1s?
A. I'm not an accountant, no.
Q. If I pointed you to the heading that says ending balance, would that help?
A. I can resd it off the paper, sure.
Q. If yau want to look on the ftrst page.
direct your attention to the top midde last line.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you see that says ending balance equals

373,646.56, do yau see that number?
A. I see that, yes.
Q. And the date on this statement is January 31st, 'OA?
A. Yes.
Q. Right above that?
A. I se that, yes.
Q. So that your understanding that as of the end of January 2006 Giobal NaPs had cash on hand of \$373,646.567

> DEL VECCHHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) $245-9583$
A. It's my understanding that in the payroll account on that particular day there's $\$ 363,000$ but I don't know if that's chocks written against it or people haven't cashed it or what it is, whether it be cash on hand. 1 don't know.
Q. Okay. And is this, as it says, strictly 11mited to payroll?
A. This is a Global NAPs payroll account so this would be payroll.
Q. And a fair .- Well, ay reading of this it looks like almost $\$ 400,000$ was patd out over the prior month for payroll. How many employees does Global nave?
A. Global MAPs, Inc. does the payroll for all of the Global NAPs companies.
Q. Okay. So the approximately $\$ 400,000$ would be to pay salaries for not only yourself but all the employees for all the vartous entittes?
A. Networks, Realty and Inc.
Q. What is the payroll each month?
A. Twice a month.
Q. Okay. Is there any reason why it looks like .- why it looks like .- strike that. If you look on the first page there's a deposit of $\$ 300,000$ ?
A. Okay. DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LC (203) 245-9583
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Q. You see that? MR. MAMISHIW: Referring to the January 6th entry, Counsel?
```


## MR. JENSEN: Correct.

```
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Do you know what the source of that noney is or was?
```

A. No, I don't.
Q. Typically what would be the source of a large deposit like that into the account?
A. It would have had to come out of Global NAPs Hew Hampshire.
Q. And that would have been as a payment for expenses from Global NaPs New Hampshire to Global NaPs, Inc. to pay for payroll?
A. Or for any other companies because Global Naps, inc. doet the payroll.
Q. So Global MAPS New Hampshtre would put 300 grand in the bank account of Global NAPs, Inc. to pay payroll for all the companies?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Does your bank keep copies of the checks that ara cashed?
A. 1 have no idea.
Q. Okay. Does Global NAPs keep copies of the DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
checks that are cashed?
A. They would be kept either by Select and Pay or the accountant if they're kept; 1 know Glabal doesn't keep then.
Q. Okay. Are these checks issued from this account by Select and Pay?
A. No, there's another payroll service that's used and I don't have the name off the top of my head.
Q. Is there a way we can get copies of checks that have been cashed from this account?

HR. MANISHIN: Well, if they're in the possession of Globsl NAPs then they can be produced. If they're in the passession of the bank you can get then or we can request them from the bank. We did request from the bank the bank statements that were not in the possession of G1obal Maps that we produced today. If the checks are in the possession of the bank we can request them as we did the bank statements that were produced today.

MR. JENSEN: We'11 take the position that the Court ordered disclosure of all banking material to show banking activity, and I would take the position that that included cancelled checks, cashed chacks.

MR. MANISHIN: And you can take whatever DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
position you want, Attorney Jensen, but if they're not avaliable or in possession or custody. control of the company they can't be produced by the company.

HR. JENSEN: Well, you were able to
produce these though.
MR. MANISHIN: And we were able to over
the weekend get them from the bank.
MR. JENSEA: Is there a nora .-
MR. MANISHIN: Let me correct the record.
They die not come from the bank, they came from counsel for Global NAPs in a related proceeding. Unrelated proceeding, excuse me.

MR. JENSEN: Take a quick break.
MR, MANISAIN: Suro.
(Recess taken at 2:35 P. K.)
(Depositton resumed at 2:40 P.M.)
MR. JEMSEN: Back on the record.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangi, do you know whether or not this Platntiff's Exhibit 4 is a complete record of the banking activity on this particular account?

MR. HANISHIN: Like to qualify that by time.

MR. JENSER: Well, it's hard to say what time frame it covers but it looks like it's a

DEL VECCHIO REPORTIKG SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
compilation of a number of different months and years
MR. MANISHIN: May be hard for you to say but it shows a date, I will object on the grounds that the question is ambiguous.

THE WITHESS: Can you ask the question again?
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Let me ask you this. Do you know how this particular document, Exhibit 4, is organized?
A. No, I don't. Appears to be by date but I didn't put it together.
Q. Do you know what months this document covers?
A. I cen just look at the left colum and sea the months listed,
Q. Okay, we're looking at the first three pages, then it looks like this covers the pertod January of '06, do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you know whether or not that is a complets record of the banking activity on that account for January of '08?
A. The left-hand colum thows from

Docember 31st to January 31st and a list of checks in between, so I'd have to say that this a complete Hist DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
of checks written out of that account.
Q. Okay. And looking at that month period of time, are all the chacks that are written for payroll?
A. Well, this is the payroll account so these would all be payroll checks.
Q. Would there be any occasion when payroll, the payroll account would be used to pay somathing other than payroll checks?
A. No, no.
Q. Never?
A. Mever to my knowledge, no.
a. Who has signing authority on that account?
A. Ido.
a. Does anybody else?
A. I believe Frank does and I think Michaal may.
Q. Can I grab that back from you?
A. Sure. I know Frank does. As I sald before, I do the peyroll, the times I'm unavatlable he will sign for it.

MR. JENSEN: We would also request in compliance with the Court Order that we get an up to date version of the bank statements. This only goes up, as far as I can tell, as of January of '00, sr etther you can do it in compliance with the Court". DEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
order along with getting coptes of the checks or we
can subpoena the bank.

MR. MANISHIN: The request is noted, I refer you to Federal Rula Procedure $34 A$ and the decistons among others in the United States against Beckhan 210 F788 9/8/94 which made clear thet discovery is limited to documents that are in the possession, custody and control of a party. Control means readily ability to obtain possession. If we have the ability to obtain possession from banks or other agents we will produce them.

MR. JENSEN: I would assume that as the owner of this account Global NAPs would have the abtlity to obtain that information.

MR. MANISHIN: Given sufficient time, yes, sir. THE WITNESS: Just one other thing to
ciarify. You had asked me about invoices earlier, if THE WITNESS: Just one other thing to
clarify. You had asked me about invoices earlier, if we had them. And I told you no, or if we produced them, sorry, I told you no because we don't have them in our possession. If I had them I surely would produce them for you, it's just we don't have then. produce them for you, it's just we don't have then.
a. (By Mr. Jensen) okay. And invotces related to what?
A. Equipment.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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Q. For equipment, okay. And do you know who has the invoices for the equipment?
A. I don't belleve thoy exist because we don't keep them. We would have had them originally but we don't store stuff for very long.
Q. Okay, Oirect your attention again to

Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 . Looking at the top line the date January 17 th says CBS payroll, server payroll CCD 6021.
A. Okay.
Q. In the amount $\$ 71,715.77$, do you see that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you know what that payment was for?
A. CBS could be the company that does the payroll services for us, I'm not certain, and this way be a withdrawal from then to pay taxes.
Q. To pay taxes?
A. Um-hm.
Q. Do you also pay taxes through the payroll account?
A. Payroll taxes.
Q. Employment taxes?
A. Employment taxes, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm not cestain but that would be my DEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
interpretation of what this is.
Q. Okay. And looking down little bit further it says on January 20th, Verizon network VNFC. is a deposit for \$1,291?
A. I haven't a clue.

Q Okay. Turning your attention ta a page that has 12 on it, I believe it's four pages in.
A. Okay.
Q. Looking there's a $\$ 300,000$ deposit, you so. that on January 4th?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay, A little bit further down an January 4th is a withdrawal/check, whatever it is for $\$ 15.044 .97$, do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Was that to one particular individual?
A. This is January of '05, I don't know. This is the payroll account so that has to be somebody' a paycheck but I don't specifically know who that would go to.
Q. Okay. Do you know anybody that's making 120,000 at Global HAPs or any of the Global entities? MR. MANISHIN: ODiection, anbiguous and unclear, salary, bonus, whatever.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583

THE WITNESS: $\$ 120.000$ specifically, no. I don't know specifically anyone making $\$ \mathbf{1 2 0 , 0 0 0}$.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) How about $\$ 120,000$ including a bonus. balary plus bonus?
A. No, I dan't.
Q. Is that an umusual amount for a payroll chack?

MR. MANISHIN: Objection as to form.
MR. JEMSEN: You can onswer.
THE WITNES5: Does the $\$ 15,000$ amount here equate to $\$ 120,000$ year?
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) No, my question was, is that an unususily high amount for a payroll check?
A. I'm uncertain because I don't know what that numbar represents.
a. What could it represent?
A. As far as the yeariy salary 30 ..

MR. MANISHIN: If you don't know.
THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know.
Q. (By Mr. Jansen) Do you know how much your check is each month?
A. $\$ 2,900$ twice a month, I believe.
Q. 0kay. So this fifteen, would this be -Well, you've already answered, you don't know the OEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVKES, LLC (203) 245-9583
answer.
It's going to be difficult for me to get yau on the right page but it would be summary of activity of June 30 th, ' 05 which is at the top right corner, it says fune 30th, '05.

HR. MANISHIN: There's three pages to that effect at least for that month, that's a three-page document.

MR. JENSEN: The first page
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Looking down at June 2nd there is a withdrawal for a check for $\$ 42,852$, do you see that?
A. Yes. I do.
Q. Do you know what that's for?
A. No, I don't.
Q. You don't know who that is pald to?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Would that be for taxes?
A. Could very well be. I don't know, I'd be guessing. Unfortunately I know it's not my check.
Q. So payroll is paid through Global NAPs,

Inc., is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there another account that pays for expenses?

DEL. VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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A. I don't know. I believe that would come out of New Hamphire.
Q. Out of the New Hampshire account?
A. Yeah.
Q. They would pay for all the expenses?
A. Yes.
Q. And they have their own bank accounts?
A. Their own bank account. it's that same general account.
Q. What other expenses does Global NAPs have other than payroli?
A. Rents, uttlitias, same as any other bustiness.
Q. How many office buildings does it own?
A. Global NAPs, Inc.?
Q. Global NaPs, Inc.
A. Earlier you asked me about offices, 1 told you 10 Merrymount and ny office in New Hampshire but we have another butiding in Quincy, 1120 Hancock Street, a couple buildings down from Merrymount. And also Jeff works in an offtce in Morwood, that's where our attorneys are at. So 1 guess those are other Global MAps' offices. And what was your quastion again?
Q. That's a good question. We were talking DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVKES, LLC (203) 245-9593
about expenses and you were talking about rents. I assume you rent or lease these properties?
A. They would be I believe laaged through Global NAPs Realty and then a portion to whatover company is in then.
Q. Can you tell me a little bit about that, what do you mean leased to?
A. Global NAPs Realty would sign the lease for 10 Herrymount, let's say, and whatever compantes occupy 10 Merrymount would pay their portion of the rent through the funds from Global NAPs New Hampshire.
Q. Okay. But you're not saying that Global NAPs Real ty or any of the Global entities own any of these office properttes?
A. Own then, no.
Q. They just lease?
A. Lease, yeah.
a. Okay.
A. Global, Inc. does not own any property, I can tell you that,
Q. Does not own any properties?
A. Aight.
Q. And Global New Hampshire pays the expenses of Global NAPs, Inc.?
A. Yes.

DEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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Q. Does Global NaPs have any savings accounts
A. No.
Q. Goes Global NAPs have any certificates of depostt?
A. No.
Q. Does Global NAPs have any other bank
accounts other than the bank account we've been looking at which is the payroll account?
A. As I mentioned there was a salifer acecunt that paye tncidentals, you know, 50. \$100 bills, but thet'sit.
Q. Where is that account locatad?
A. 1 don't know, I'm not sure tt's stili in existence.
Q. Would it have been in Massachusetts?
A. Most likely at South Coastal with thits one in Massachusetts.
Q. Do any utilities hold any security deposits for Global NAPs?
A. Nothing that $I$ can think of, no.
Q. Do any landlords hold any security deposits for Global MAPs?
A. I belleve when we stgned, we haven't done new leasas in several yaars, but $I$ believe when w signed the leases some of them did require a month -e DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLLC (203) 245-9583
whatever it is, traditional security dapasits, so, yes, those would be out there.
Q. Does Global MAPs have any safe deposit boxes?
A. Mo.
Q. And at this point you can't tell me what cash on hand Global currently has?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is the balance approximately the same today as It was in January of ' 06 based on what you know?
A. Balance on?
Q. The balance in this checking account?
A. The payroll account?
a. The payroll account, correct.
A. The balanes, I'e pretty certain goes to zero every two weeks or 90 and then gets replenished. He get paid every two weoks so out it goos and in it comes.
Q. Does Global have any accounts at Citizen's Bank in Massachusetts?
A. Citizens lank .- I don't beltave so. They mary have in the past. I'm not surm if it's active anymore. Agatn, to by knowledge there's just two accounts, thare'g the payroll account and that small incidental account, and I'm not sure that's active, DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
but that's the most recent ones that I know of .
Q. Does Global own any stacks?
A. No.
Q. Does Global own any bonds?
A. No.
Q. What about securities?
A. No.
Q. When I say stocks, I'm inciuding any ownershio in subsidfaries?
A. Correct, they don't have anything.
Q. Okay. Does Global hava any other investments other than what you already spoke about which sounds like none, but does it have any other investments?
A. No.
Q. This is again Connecticut, United States, anywhere in the world?
A. No.
Q. Does Global have any customers in Connecticut?
A. Do you mean customers that reside in

Connmeticut or --
Q. Customers that rewit payment to Global from Connecticut.
A. Not that I'm aware of, no. Wo sell services DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES. LLC
(203) 245-9583
that include Connecticut but it's not .. they don't just buy something that's Connecticut. It's part of our package and Connecticut is included 30 --
Q. Can you tell me who the customers of Global NAPs are?
A. No, I don't have a customar ifst.
Q. Okay. Can you get one?
A. Yes.

MR. JENSEN: Can you get one and we can mark it as confidential?

MR. MAMISHIN: I will need to consult my client but I believe the answer to that question is yes.
Q. (By Mr, Jensen) As you sit here today do you know of any customers. do you know the names of any of your customars?
A. I think I provided you with several before. that's all il can remamer right now.
Q. Can you tell me the location of any of your customers?
A. No. $\mathbf{A}$ can't. Again, I'm sure I can provide a 1 Ist to yon. off the top of my head right here, no.
Q. Can you tell how much revenue comes in each month from Global MAPs' customers?

MR. MANISHIH: Are you referring here to DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
revenue to Global NAPs or reyenue to Global New Hampshire or both?

MR. JENSEN: Well. I guass it can be clartfied.

MR. MANISHIN: It will be fine to any destination whatsoever.

MR. JENSEN: Right, whet I want to say is revenue paid from Global WAPs' customers.

THE WITNESS: Paid to G7obal MAPs?
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Well, as I understand it everything is paid to Global New Hampshire?
A. Okay. Paid on aghalf of Global NAPs?
Q. That would be another way to say it, yes.
A. No, I don't know what that number is.
Q. Is there a way you can find that out?
A. I can inquire.
Q. Okay, Is there a document that has that information?
A. I can inquire. I don't know of one, I have not seen one but i can inquire if thers is one that extsts.
Q. Okay. Financial information is provided to your parent company. Ferrous Miner, I assume you do provide financial information to Ferrous Miner, is that right?

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-8583
A. Yes, Ferrous Miner filed the tax returns far all the companies so they would get the financial information, yes.
Q. Okay. Where does that financtal information Come fron within Global MAPs?
A. That, 1 don't know.
Q. Who wouid know that?
A. I bellave it would probably be our accountants that would have it. They also prepare the return for Ferrous Hiner so they've got both ends of the spectrum.
Q. How does it get to the accountants?
A. What in particular?
Q. Financial information from Global MAPs?
A. Such as?
Q. Revenue that comes in every month, revenue that comes in for the ..
A. Oh, that would come from Select and Pay to them.
Q. So you as the treasurer of Global NAPs would never see that informption?
A. I have not sean that information, no.
Q. You have nover se*n that information?
A. I have never seen that information, no.
Q. Do you have an interest in seaing that DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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A. Not particulariy.
Q. You don't care how much is coming in each month?
A. As long as the bills are being patd and we're still functioning, I've got my hands full.
Q. What's the typical billing set-up for a Global HAPs customer?
A. The bill is tesued from Global NaPs New Hampshire on behalf of whatever entity is billing the particular customer and that's it, sent out by Select and Pay.
Q. How would the information from Global NAPs get to G1obal New Hampshire for them to send out a bill?
A. Global, there's not a lot of change in Global Naps. We don't have dally transfers of customers. We're pretty consistent month to month, week to weok. Sa if there are any changes they would be reported froe our sales guy to Salect and Pay, that there's either a new customer or some other added tapacity and an adjustment would be made in the b1ll.
Q. And the sales rep is from which entity?
A. Global Naps.
Q. So he would transmit whatever information DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
needed to be transmitted to Global New Hampshire to send aut a bill?
A. He would send it to Select and Pay because Select and Pay would actualiy be sending out the bill. And say, for instance, a customar buys PRIs from us if they're buying two PRIs today and they add a PRI this month, I'm sure he would just pick up the phone and say, Janet, I've add a PRI to Joe's Internet Service, put it on the bill. And the next month that bill went out, the bill would go out the next month with the additional PRI.

MR. MANISHIN: By PRI you're referring to PRI?

THE WITMESS: PRI.
MR. MANISHIN: Primary rate interface,
acronym. Just want the record to be clear.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) So who has coples of the bills that are sent out to the various customers of Global?
A. Select and Pay issues them so they would have the copies at them.
Q. Okay. And you don't maintain any of the coples yourself?
A. No.
Q. And when I say yourself, I maan Global Nafs? DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
Q. And are those the only remaining contracts that Global currently has?
A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Other than maybe one other contract. I can't think of anything but there may be one of their contracts that hasn't exptred yet. I don't know of any but I'm saying there may be one.
Q. And I think we talked earlier about this, I just want to confirm. But there's no documentation of the transfer of the contract of the customer from Global to Networks, is that right?
A. We're a small company. We don't have big company rules. I mean, we know internally that once they expire they're getting transferred fron Global NAPs to Networks and everyone know that's what the process is. There's not a whale lot of people Involved in it, we're protty small.
Q. And when you say we are a smell company, you mean the group, Global NAPs entittes?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's Realty, New Hanpshire, NAPs and Networks, is that right?
A. Yes.

MR. MANISHIN: The answer was no. DEL VECCHK REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Again, under the umbrella of your small compantes, was any compensation patd by Networks for the customers that Global NAPs essentially turned over to Networks?
A. No.
Q. Do you have a ballpark figure of how much money comes in each month on behalf of Global NaPs? MR. MANISHIA: I think you've asked that but if you recall.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
MR. JENSEN: I think I asked Min if he
knew. I'm giving him more of a general question. THE WITNESS: No, I don't. You're
talking about Global NAPs. Inc. itself, right? MR. JENSEN: Right. THE WITNESS: Yean.
Q. (By Hr. Jonsen) For global NAPs Networks, we're calling it Networks, do you have a general ballpark figure of how much maney comes in each month for that company?
A. No, $I$ don't.
Q. How about for Realty?
A. Again, I don't know if Realty has any real revenue stream. Sorry, Realty -- I'm gatting confused myself. Reaity sells the racks and co-los, so, yes, DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
they would have revenue.
Q. And you don't have a number?
A. I don't know what that number is, no.
Q. And, again, we able to find this information out from your accountant or bookkeeper?
A. Yes, 1 can find it for you, sure.
Q. Do you know what bank account of G1obal New hampshire, do you know what bank account that is?
A. Bank of New Hampshire.
Q. And where is that located?
A. In Auherst.
Q. And do you nave an account number for that?
A. No, I don't.

MR. JENSEN: That would be another thing I would ask for, account numbers for the New Hampshire bank.

MR. MANISHIN: Take that under advisement.

MR. JENSEN: It's clearly relevant just for the record because apparently all revenue from Global MAPs goes into that account.

MR. MANISHIN: Maybe, but tt's a
different company, Counsel.
Q. (By Mr, Jensen) I want to talk a little bit about the type of equlpment that Global MAPs owns-or DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
doesn't own other than what we've already talked about in Connecttcut.
A. Dkay.
Q. Does Global Maps own any, I'11 call it telecommunication equipment, similar to what wo've seen in the $5 / 22$ letter anywhere other than in Connectlcut?
A. I don't belfeve so. The last place we had Global NAPs, Inc. equipment in was in Florida but we've taken that route down and that equipment has been transferred to Networks.

MR. MANISHIN: When we have a conventent time for a bio break would be okay.

MR. JENSEN: We can do that right now.
(Recess taken at 3:45 P.M.)
(Deposition resumed at 3:25 P;M.)
MR. JENSEN: Back on the record.
(The question and answer was read as requested)
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) And, Mr. Gangi, when that equipment was transferred to Networks was any consideration paid for it?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Do you know if there was any documentation of that transfer?
A. No. As I explained earliter, the progresstion DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) $245-9583$
of stuff as we're taking single purpose corporations
and Global's getting out of that and things are being transferred into Networks, so when the route came down Networks took the equipment.
Q. And do you have an idea of when that happened?
A. Two years ago, three years ago.
Q. And was that the only Glabal NAPs equipment that was transferred to Networks? Strike that. Was that the only Glabal NAPs factlity type telecom equtpment that was transferred to Networks?
A. No, all of the equipment that Global .- If Global had owned eny equipment in the past it has since been transferred to Networks.
Q. Is that true for all the equipment?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Does that include the equipment that's in Connecticut?
A. That hasn't been done yet. Florida was the Iast one before Comectiout. As I sald, wa're a small company, we kind of have a path, elght take us a little while to get there.

MR. JENSEN: I'm just looking through the documents that you produced. I do recall seeing a 11st. I'm showing you seven pages of a docunent that DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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you've produced today with the documents that you produced. We whil mark that as Plaintiff's Exhtbit 5. (Deposition Exhibit 5, offered and marked.)
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangi, referring your attontion to Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, the first page says West Coast sites, equipment; it's a seven-page document, and it looks to be listing various facilities, telecon equipment in the state of Florida. Do you see that document?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Is what I sald an accurate recttation or an accurate description of what that document stands for?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you tall a little bit about the equipment that's listed here?
A. It's stintlar to the other 11st In

Connecticut. This is racks, a lot of it appears to be empty racks. Where you just see the Chatsworth aluminum rack and then the Nortel 48 -volt fuse panel. that's fust a rack and a fuse panel that's empty.
Q. Naw, is this equipment the equipment that you were testifying to earlier that was transferred recently from Global NAPs to Global Networks? DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
A. Yes, I'm not sure when it was. three years ago, two years ago, in that range.
Q. Okay. And I think again you mentioned there was no documentation of that transfer?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I note that next to ouch of the tiens there's on the right also an FMV, da you know what that stands for?
A. No.
Q. Could it be a fair guess to say that stands for falr market value?
A. It could, I didn't prepare the document so I don't what it says.
Q. Okay. Do you know who prepared this document?
A. No, I dor't.
Q. Do you know who would know who prepared this document?
A. I don't know. I'd have to ask the lavyars where they got it from.
Q. And when you say the 1 awyers, do you mean in-house counsel?
A. In-house counse1, yes.
Q. So as of today's date it's your understanding that none of this is owned by GlobatDEL VECCHIO REPORTING SEPVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583

NAPs. Inc.?
A. Yes.
Q. I may have already asked you this and I think I have, but there's no constderation for the transfer of these assets from 6lobal HAPs to Global Networks. is that right?
A. Not that I'maware of, no.

FRR. JENSEN: Mark this as 6, please.
(Deposition Exhibit 6, offered and marked.)
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangt, turning your attention to Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 , do you soe that document?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recognize that document?
A. Appears to be a print-out of GToba? NAPs web page.
Q. Okay. And that's mw gnaps.com?
A. That's correct.
Q. And turning your attention to page 2 of that document.

MR. MANISHIN: I thtnk for the record on the first page of Exhibit 6 there's a flash t11ustration of something that does not appear in print-out. I don't think that's anything that --

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LIC
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Q. (By Mr, Jensen) When was it first purchased?
A. Specifically, I don't know. I can venture a guess and 1 can certainly find out the correct answer.

I know Quincy was our first equiment. I'd say five years ago, four or five years ago.
Q. Do you know whether or not Networks has any recards of that purchase?
A. I don't know.
Q. If there was a record of that purchase do you know where it would be?
A. If there was any record it would be ... it would have been in quincy and it is not there because we would have produced it for you, so it doesn't exist. Whether or not the accountant has it, it's dountful, but in Global's possession it doesn't oxist. Again, we dan't store a lot of records, we're limited on space.

MR. MANLSHIN: There's no question
pending.
MR, JENSEN: Mark this please for the right number. ?.
(Deposition Exhibit 7, offered and marked.)
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangl, turning your DEL VECCHHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
attention to Exhibit 7, do you see that document?
A. Yes.
Q. And $I$ will represent this is another page from the Global NAPs web site. And at the battom it's www.gnaps com, is that accurate?
A. That's accurate.
Q. In looking at the text it begins with Global NAPs real estate, and it talks about availability of co-location space, do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Could you tell me what that means?
A. Co-1acation?
Q. Well, yes, co-location first and then you can explain pernaps what Global NAPs real estate is saying in this sentence.
A. Sure. We offer co-location to any of our customers. If you want to put your equipment in our facilities, we're happy to do tt. We will rant you a rack and away we go.
Q. Are these facilities owned by real estate?
A. If they're leased they would be leased by Global Naps Realty. This is Globel waps Real Estate, it should be Global NAPs Realty.
Q. Pardon me, pardon me, right, right, that should be Realty. Wha owns co-location space? DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
Q. And what do you mean by shut down?
DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVKCES, LLC
Q. And what do you mean by shut down?
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A. Whatever building we're in, the landlord.
Q. So does Global NAPs Realty, does it own any space that has a co-location in it?
A. Doesn't own any property, just the leases in other people's property.
Q. Okay. So how is it that you're able to charge for co-location space in somebody else's property, is it like a sublease?
A. We lease a large, like 5,000 equare foot area and in that area we'fl install our switching equipment. And there's also an area set up for co-location which would be those Chatsworth racks. And a customar could come in and purchase those on a monthly batis and install their equipmont and connact to our network.
Q. were any of these co-1ocation 1eased spaces ever leased directly by Global NAPs, Inc.?
A. Not to my knowledga.
Q. So these are all part of the Realty group?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Florida may have been in Global MAPs, Inc., the factlity in Miami, but that's since bean shut down.
A. We no longer use $\mathbf{1 t}$.
Q. What did you do with the facilities that were in Florida?
A. They've been transferred to other places, Reston, Atlanta, we've got some other facilities in florida from other vendor: that we moved some equipment into.
Q. Can you just explain that to me. So the facilities that were in Florida, and I thin we looked at a list of facilities that were in Florida?
A. Right, this is separate from that 11 ist. This is a switch site that was in Florida in Miami that's no longer operating, so there's no equipmant there anywors, it's an empty room right now. The equlpment that was in there is transferred to Networks in the other facilitios we have that .. 1 think you're confused when I'm saying they were in Florida, am I correct?
Q. Correct.
A. okay. We shut down one route in Florida, the route that was on that paper. There was another route we had in Florida that is a Networks route that we used, an alternate to the one that we had taken down, that's why we took the other one down.
Q. So the facilities we looked at in the othe. DEL VECCHHO REPORTING SERVICES. LLC (203) 245-9583
exhibit, Exhibit 5 , are those all stili in Florida?
A. Probably not.
Q. So they were taken out and brought to another facility?
A. I couldn't tell you. Probably to another network. To what particular facility. I couldn't tell you.
Q. Does Global NAPs own any computer equipment?
A. Laptops, denktops, myself, maybe hatf a dozen of them.
Q. Is there a document somewhere where that would reference the various computer equipment you have?
A. The accountant may have a depreciation schedule for it but I'm sure mine has been written off a long tima ago. I don't know, I could ask.
Q. And the computer equipment that you do have, do you own it or lease it?
A. No, it's owned.
Q. It's owned in full?
A. Yeah.
Q. And for the most part they're iaptops or are they desktops?
A. I have a desktop and a laptop.
Q. Okay. Is there anything other than desktops DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583

138
or laptop type of computer equipment?
A. Not that I'maware of .
Q. No main servers?
A. For Global NAPs, Inc., no.
Q. How about for any other Global entitles?
A. That would be Metworks.
Q. What does Networks have in terms of computer type equipment?
A. I know they have servers, multiple servers throushout the vartous sites, how many and whare, I can't tell you.
O. And the computer equipment that you have, the laptop and the desktop, is that purchased through Giobal NAPs. Inc. or one of the other entities, who was it purchased through?
A. Through Inc.
Q. Does Global MAPs own any electrontcs other than computer equipment?
A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
Q. What about equipment like office copiers, does it own any office copters?
A. I don't know. We have coplers but I don't know if they're owned by one of the other companies or actually owned by Global NAPs, Inc.
Q. But you believe they're owned by somebady DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
and they're not leased?
A. Yes, they are definitely owned.
Q. They're owned?
A. Yes.
Q. And would that information be somawhere perhaps on --
A. I can find that out for you, sure.
Q. Okay, Do you know how many copior there might be at Global NAFs?
A. Four or five.
Q. Do you know the types of copiers?
A. No ideat.
Q. Is there any machinery that Glabal NAPs owns?
A. No.
Q. Any fixtures in any of the other buifdings that Globdy NAPs owns?
A. Such as?
a. Anything.
A. Can I have an example of a fixture?

MR. MANISHIN: I'11 object on the graunds of ambigutty, but say to the witness that a fixture is a permanent liprovement that can't be taken away.

MR. BYRNE: On real estate.
MR. MANISHIN: On real estate, yes.
DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) $245-9583$
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| 141 | 143 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. Not that I'maware of, no. | 1 Q. Does Global have any interest in any boats? |
| 2 A. Does Networks have any inventory? | 2 A. Not that I'm aware of. |
| 3 A. Networks would have inventory, yes. | 3 Q. Do any of the other global entities have any |
| 4 Q. And is an inventory of the inventory | 4 ownersh1p interest in any boats? |
| 5 reguiarly taken by Networks? | 5 A. Not that I'm aware of. |
| 6 A. I wouldn't say regularly | 6 Q. Do any of the other Global entities have any |
| 7 Q. Is thers -- How often is the tnventory | 7 ownership interest in any aircraft? |
| 8 taken? | 8 A. Not that I'maware of. |
| 9 A. They probably do it once year for | 9 Q. I think you testified earlier you do lease a |
| 10 accounting purpases. | 10 company car? |
| 11 MR. MANISHIN: Let me clarify, Counset | 11 A. I have the use of a company car. |
| 12 You're referring to inventory | 12 Q. You have the use of a company car? |
| 13 accounting sense but as an inventory of equipment | 13 A. |
| 14 MR, JENSEN: Correct | 14 Q. Which company is that through? |
| 16 MR. MANISHIN: Okey. | 16 A. Geez, I dan't know who my car is registerad |
| 16 MR. JENSEN: Yeah, my understanding is | 16 to. I don't bel ieve it's Global Maps, Inc. I know |
| 17 nothing that is manufactured by any of these entities. | 17 it's not Global NAPs, Inc. because Global has no cars. |
| 18 MR. MANISHIN: Yes, and so in that terms | 18 I don't know who it's registerad to. |
| 19 it's not inventory but I think we both understand you | 19 Q. Let me back up a little bit. oo any of the |
| 20 meant equipment on hand that | 20 other entities own or lease any automobiles? |
| 21 controls? | 21 A. Yes, but 1 can't tell you which one it is. |
| 22 MR. JENSEN: Right, and Global owns no | 22 Q Do you have a ballpark figure as to how many |
| 23 equipment as wa've talked about before? | 23 automobiles are -- Well, strike that. Do they own or |
| 24 THE WITNESS: Right | 24 do they lease -- strike that. Does the entity that |
| ```25 Q. (By Mr, Jensen) Does Global own any DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583``` | 25 you dan't know which one it is own or lease that DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 |
| 142 | 144 |
| 1 automobiles? | 1 automobile? |
| 2 A. No. | 2 A. They would own it outright. |
| 3 Q. Does Global own any trucks? | 3 Q Okay. Do you have a ballpark figure about |
| 4 A. No. | 4 the number of automoblles that are owned by the |
| 5 Q. Does Global own any trailers? | 5 collective Global entities? |
| 6 A. N | 6 A. Fiftean, twonty. |
| 7 Q. Does Global lease any automobiles? | 7 Q. Fifteen or twanty? |
| 8 A. Nat that | 8 A. Right. |
| 9 Q. Does Global lease any trucks? | 9 C. And what are the types of automobiles? |
| 10 A. Not that | 10 A. Typically a Marcades or atw. |
| 11 Q. Does Global lease any trailers? | 11 Q. Do you have a general 1dee as to what years |
| 12 A. Not that I'm aware | 12 these cars are? |
| 13 A. Do you know whether or not Global has any | 13 A. Anything in the last four years. |
| 14 ownership interest in any automobites, trucks. | 14 Q. So every four years would they be turned in |
| 15 trailors? | 15 for a new version or .- |
| 16 A. No. | 16 A. Posetbly. |
| 17 Q. Does Global own, and I mean perhaps to | 17 Q. And what year is the car that you have? |
| 18 quicken this a little bit, does Global own or lease | 18 A. Hine is a 2004 or 2005 |
| 15 any boats? | 19 Q. And what model is it? |
| 20 A. No. | 20 A. Marcedes. |
| 21 Q. Does Global own or lease any aircraft? | 21 Q. What model Mercedes? |
| 22 A. No. | 22 A. 6 |
| 23 Q. Does Global have any interest in any | 23 Q. G? |
| 24 aircraft? | 24 A. 6 wagon. |
| 25 A. Not that I'm aware of. DEL. VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 | 25 Q. Do you know through which entity these are DEL. VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC <br> (203) 245-9583 |

A. No, but I can find out for you. I don't have it off the top of my haad.
Q. When you satd that it's a $G$ wagon, is that a G wagon?
A. G wagon.
Q. So it's a wagon as opposed to a --
A. It's an SUV.
Q. My next question is whether or not any of the collective entities own or lease any trucks. I'm assuming that's not a truck?
A. We do have a truck, it's a Peterbilt, just a box truck. Again, I can find out for you. I don't have it oft the top of wy head which company it's in, I don't know. It's not GIobsi MAPs. Inc. and we have a couple 1 ittle vans, regular E350 vans, and, again, I don't know which particular company hat those but $I$ know thay're there.
Q. Okay. And they're all owned by that. whatever entity it is?
A. They would be owned.
Q. And about how big is the Peterbilt truck?
A. I think te's 11ke a 24-foot box.
Q. What about ownership by the collective entities of any trailers?
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A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Okay. Does the Peterbilt trucks or vans have anything written on the side?
A. Poterbilt I believe says not for hire because we ite just for our internal usage. The driver gets a ticket for not having some kind of a stgn on it.
Q. Doesn't say any Global NAPs?
A. No, no company name.
Q. Is that the same for the vans?
A. Yeah, nothing on thom.
Q. Where are these vehicles? When I say vehteles, I mean the fifteen or so automobiles and trucks and the vans, where are they physically located?
A. The switch sites will have their own vehicles. so Atlanta, Reston, Now York, Quincy. Quincy has a fair share of them for some of the employees for the various compantes, the trucks are based out of Oulncy, that's pretty much it.
Q. And the title for these vehicles are in the name of whatever entity it is that owns then?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Which Global employees have thase cars?

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LUC (203) 245-9583
A. Sentor employees, the lead switch techs would have them.
Q. Do you have the names of those peopie?
A. Wot top off the top of my head. 1 can get it for you.
a. I would appreciate that. And that would be people that are in the various Global entities at the various switch locations?
A. Yes.
a. Do you know whether or not thers are any llens on any of the vahicles that we've just talked about?
A. Hot that I'童 aware of.
Q. Do you know whether there hava bean any attachment procesedings attempted on any of thase vehicles?
A. Not that I' aware of.
Q. Does Glohal or any of the Global entities own amy art?
A. No.
Q. Do they own any valuable rugs?
A. Ho.
Q. Do they own any type of collectibles that has a value of say over thausand dollars?
A. Mo.
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Q. Does Glotal or any of the entitios own any patents?
A. I don't know the answar to that. I'11 have to find out.
Q. Okay. Does Global or any of the Global entities own or have an interest in any copyrights?
A. Again, I have to find out.
Q. The same question, does Global or any of the Global entities have any ownership interest in any intellectual property?
A. I have to find out.
a. Do you know of any liquidated debts that are owed to Global or any of the entities?

MR. MANISHIN: Objaction as to form. If
you understand please answer.
THE WITNESS: What do you consider a
liquidated debt?
MR. JENSEN: An example would be a tax return. Are any Global entitios owed a tax return?
A. Not that I'm aware of.

MR. BYRNE: Tax refund.
Q. (By Mr, Jensen) Pardon mo, tax refund?
A. Not that I'I amare of.

MR. JENSEN: Can we take a quick break?
(A recess was taken at 4:07 P.M.)
DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583

| (Deposition resumed at 4:20 P.M.) <br> MR. JENSEN: Back on the record. We're <br> going to adjourn today at around five and go forward again next Wednesday which I belfeve is June 7 th at the offices of Tyler Cooper in New Haven. Counsel has indicated that he will produce documents as he gathers them, but, in any event, as many as he can before the deposition on Wednesday. We will start at 10 o'clock. is that .. <br> MR. MANISHIN: Very, very civilized. <br> thank you. <br> MR. JENSEN: Also, I will put on the record, by continuing the deposition until next Wednesday plaintiff is not waiving any of its rights to file a motion for contampt based on the disclosure of information as of today. <br> Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangi, we're going to move away from expensive rugs and expensive cars and we're going to try to move back to Global and its entities and its parent company. <br> I would ask if you could for me draw a diagram of the various companies and how they relate to Ferrous Miner which I believe is the parent company, is that right? <br> A. Yes. <br> DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9683 | A. I don't know. <br> Q. Do you know where Ferrous Miner is <br> incorporated? <br> A. Delaware. <br> Q. And do you know what type of business <br> Ferrous Miner is. is it a corporation, a limited liability? <br> A. I believe it's a corporation. <br> Q. And I may have covered this earlier, forgive me if I have. Who are the officers in Forrous Miner? <br> A. I don't know but I'll find out for you. It could be the same structure as the other companies, I'm just uncertain. <br> Q. Okay. Are you one of the officers of the company? <br> A. I's not certain but I could be, but I' 11 find out for you and let you know. <br> MR. MAnISHIN: Ferrous Miner is reprasented by separate counsel. I don't think you can make that representation without speaking to that lawyer which is not me. <br> THE WITHESS: Okay, I will do ay best to find out and let you know. <br> Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Okay. What do you know about Ferrous Hinar? <br> DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q. Okay. <br> A. This is protty simple but .. <br> Q. Understood. <br> MR. JENSEN: Why don't wa mark this as <br> Plaintiff's Exhibit 8. <br> MR. MANISHIN: The witness is talking to <br> himself. <br> THE WITNESS: I'm bad. I'm not really <br> sure what you're looking for, a diagram, it all adds up to Ferrous Miner, it's pretty simple. <br> MR. JENSEN: Mark this. <br> (Deposition Exhibit 8, offered and <br> marked.) <br> Q. (By Mr, Jensen) And, Mr. Gangt, Just again, showing you your drawing, could you describe for the record what this drawing depicts? <br> A. If's the parent company Ferrous Miner, and all the sub compantes, Global NAPs, Global NAPs Networks, Global MAPs Realty. Global MAPs New Hampshire and the other Global NAPs companies. <br> Q. Those are the file companies, there are many of them, quite a fow of them? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Now, doas -- Well, let me back up a little <br> bit. Whare is Ferrous Miner located? DEE VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583 | A. Other than it is the holding company for : the Globel Naps entities, not much. <br> Q. okay. What do you mean by the holding company for the Global MAPs entities? <br> A. Is the parant company. <br> Q. And does it generate its own revenue? <br> A. I belleva all tit revenue comes from the sub a's. <br> Q. Pardon wa? <br> A. The sub compentes. <br> MR. MANISHIN: Did you say sub 0 's? <br> THE WITNESS: SUb 0. <br> Q. (By Mr. Jensen) what do you mean by sub a ? <br> A. Qualifying sub. <br> Q. Okay. So as you understand it Ferrous Miner does not generate any of its own revenue? <br> A. Not that I'm aware of. <br> Q. Does Ferrous Miner produce any products? <br> A. Not that I'm aware of. <br> Q. Does Ferrous Miner perform any services? <br> A. Other than act as parent for Global <br> compantes? <br> Q. Correct. <br> A. Not that I'm aware of. <br> Q. Do you know how revenue goes from the DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583 |

vartous Global entities to Ferrous Miner?
A. Say that again please.
Q. Do you know how revenue .- You testified
earlier that the onily source of revenue of ferrous
Hiner is the revenue from the various Global entities, is that right?
A. Yos.
Q. How does that revenue get to Ferrous Hiner?
A. That, I don't know.
Q. Does it go through the bookkeeping company?
A. That would be through the accountants.
Q. That would be through the accountants?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Do you have any information about that process?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you have any idea .. strike that.

Do you know if Ferrous Hiner, what Ferrous Miner does with the revenue once it gets it, that is the revenue from the Glabsi entities?
A. When you say revenus, ar you talking about profits?
Q. Why don't you tell me. What is it that
flows from the Global entites to Ferrous Miner?
A. I'e not sure how the accounting procass DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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worke batween the compantes. As I said, I'm not an accountant, so I don't undarstand how the process worke.
Q. Okay. But do you know whether it's prafits that flow up?
A. Profite or loses would flow up.
Q. So you believe both profits and losses flow up through Ferrous Miner?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Gangl, do you have any formal education in financial ..
A. I have some accounting background.
Q. And is that a college?
A. At a college, yes.
Q. And what college?
A. Bentlay.
Q. Is that in Massachusetts?
A. Yes.

MR. MANISHIN: Dutside of Boston.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) What was your degree in at Bentley?
A. I did not get a degree.
Q. Was your intended degree in accounting?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you go to any accounting -- Did you take DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
any financial classes after college?
A. Mo.
Q. So the extent of your accounting experience is based on your .-
A. General knowledge.
Q. .. general knowledge?
A. As I said, I'e not an accountant.
Q. And before warking for the Global ontities what did you do for a living?
A. I was in the power industry.
Q. Where was that?
A. The company I worked for was based out of Hilwaukee.
Q. What was the name of that company?
A. Sleman's Power.
Q. And what did you do at Siemen's?
A. I was a project manager.
Q. And your next job after that was warking for the Global entities?
A. Global, yas.
Q. Does Ferrous Miner have any employees?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Do you know whether or not Ferrous Hiner has any contracts with any of the Global entitios?
A. Not that I'm aware of. DEL VECCHO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not any of the Global entities have any loans with Ferrous Miner or frog Ferrous Miner?
A. That, I don't know but I couid research it and find out for you.
Q. Who would know that answar?
A. Our accountant. I don't beliove there are but I'e not certain.
Q. You mentioned you work for a VOIP company, you currently work for a VOIP company?
A. I'm an officer.
Q. You're an officer of a VOIP company. Do you know whether or not that VOIP company uses $G$ NAPs, any of the Giobal entities' network at any point?
A. I'm sure that it rides som portion of the Global Notworks, where and how muth. I don't know.
Q. Do you know whether or not Ferrous Niner ows any alrplanes?
A. 1 don't know.
Q. Do you know if Ferrcus Miner owns any trucks?
A. 1 don't know.
Q. Do you know any assets that Ferrous Miner has?
A. Any?

OEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
A. No, I don't.
Q. Looking at your chart, Plaintiff's

Exhibit 8. are there any other entities that are related to Ferrous Miner?
A. Not that I'm aware of but I can ask and let you know.
Q. Okay. And you're not aware of, or this may be similar to the last question I asked you, but aro you aware of any other entities that Ferrous Miner owns?
A. No, I'm not.
Q. Do you have the use of an aircraft?
A. Do I personally?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. And is that aircraft either owned or does any of either Global NAPs or forrous Miner ontities have an ownership interest in that aircraft?
A. I don't know. I can ask and let you know but I don't know that.
Q. Do you have an understanding about who owns the aircraft?
A. No, I don't.
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Q. Do you have an understanding of whether that aircraft is owned or leased?
A. No. I don't.
Q. Are you aware of any meney that is owed any of the Global entities that we have not already covered?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what would those be?
A. We have montes that are billed to Vorizon, billing dollars.
Q. And what's the nature of the bills to Verizon?
A. Intercarrier compensation.
Q. Is that the reciprocal compensation?
A. That's part of it, sure.
Q. When you say that's part of it, what's the other part of it?
A. Termination of minutes.
Q. Any other?
A. We have an outstanding bill with SNET.
Q. And what is the basis for that bill?
A. Same thing, intercarrier coapensation.
Q. Have you read the interconnection agreement between SNET and Global NAPs?
A. Sections of it.
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Q. Okay. Are you aware of any provision that allows Global NAPs to bill SNET for reciprocal compensation?
A. I can't point to it specifically right now. I don't know if it's in the interconnection agreane of the SCC agreement. or I'm not sure what it's unds. . but I know there is sone documant that allows us to do that.
Q. Do you know whather there is a spacific provision in the interconnection agreement which says you cannot bill for the reciprocal compensation?
A. Again, I don't know what takes precedence, whether it's the .-

MR. MANISHIN: Answer the question.
THE WITNESS: Can I have the quastion
again?
MR. JENSEN: Can you read that back?
(The question was read as requested) THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) And do you have an idea of how much you believa it owes Global for reciprocal compensation?
A. For intercarriar compensation depends upon the rate that it's calculated at. It comas out to be roughly $\$ 1.6$ million or $\mathbf{5 6 . 3}$ million.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (208) 245-9583
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MR. JENSEN: Okay. And I will 1 guess mark thest as Exhtetts 10 and 11. Sorry, sorry, Exhibit 9?
(Deposition Exhibit Mo. 9, offered and marked.)
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Mr. Gangi, showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 9, you see that document?
A. Yes.
Q. That's a document that you produced today. could you describe that document for me?
A. Yas, this is the sheat that thows all the invoieas that have bean bilied to SBC since June of 2003 up until April of 2006 on a monthly bals.
Q. Okay. What's the date on that document?
A. The docuant date $1 * 5 / 30 / 2006$.
Q. Okay. Is that an actual invoice?
A. That is an invotes, yes.
Q. Okay. And this was created yesterday?
A. This was areated $5 / 30$, In that yesterday?
Q. Today is $5 / 31$, I believe so, yes.
A. Then this was created yesterday.
Q. Do you know who created that document?
A. This would have come from select and Pay they do our billing.
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```
    Q. And do you know whether or not that was sent
to SNET?
A. I know that each of these individual Invoices from June '03 to April of 'O8 nas bean sent.
Q. Okay.
A. Whather this condensed version has been sent, l'm not aware.
Q. How do you know the individual bills or the individual invoices ilsted on those two sheets were sent to SNET?
A. I was told by Select and Pay that they were and 1 was shown various fed Ex slips to prove that they were sent.
Q. Okay. Do you recall who from Select and Pay sent those?
A. Janat Liana.
Q. And Janet Lima works at Select and Pay?
A. Yes.
Q. Does she also work at Global NAPs?
A. No longer.
Q. At what point did she stop working at Global NAPs?
A. I ton't recall what date she lett. a year ago naybo.
Q. Okay. Up until a year ago what did Janet DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
```
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## Lima do at Globay NAPs?

A. Similar to what she's doing at select and Pay.
Q. Are there any other employees at Select and Pay that used to work at Global NAPs?
A. I don't believe so. I don't know how many employeab Saleot and Pay has. I'm not invoived with them.
Q. And Select and Pay is not related in any way to any of the Global entities?
A. No.
Q. Who from Glabal NAPs would tell somebody at Select and Pay to bill SNET that amount or any given amount for any involce?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. 0kay.
A. But I can find out.
Q. Do you know who at Global NAPs would make the determination that that was a proper charge to send SNET?
A. This would be based on minutes of use or afnutes terminated, and I don't know off the sop of ey head who would verify that number, but, again, I can find out for you.
Q. Okay. But in general, not in general, but DEL VECCHIO REPORTIMG SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
specifically who made the decision to bill SNET for reciprocal compensation?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know who would know?
A. I guess l'm rissing your question.
Q. There was at one point and let me just look at the document. This hat invotces that, look likes listing invoices going back to June of '03. At some point before June of '03, at som point before June 'O3 somebody made the decision that they could bill SNET for reciprocal compensation, is that right?
A. Okay, it would te intercarrier compensation, rectprocal compensation would fall undar that, ture. okay.
Q. So assuming that the deciston was made to bill SNET?
A. Okay.
Q. Who made that dectision?
A. Probably would have been the thres officers of the company.
Q. Okay.
A. Based on recomendetions from our attorneys whe would decipher the interconneotion agreasent that let us know we could.

MR. MANISHIN: Note that for completeness
DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9883
of the record, Mr. Jensen, we did produce todey coples of the invoices starting with the July 1. 2003 individual 1nvoice all the way through and including an invoice dated May 1, 2006 monthly throughout that entire period.

MR. JENSEN: OkBy, and I'm not going to go into each of these.

MR. HANISHIN: I wanted to make clear that was a summary.
Q. (By Mr, Jensen) is it your testimony today that each of the invoices that is listed on here was sont to SNETT?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. And were these invoices sent on or about the date on the invoice?
A. Yes.
Q. For example ..
A. The first of the month thay would have gone out via Fed Ex to the recip comp mitnager at SNET.
Q. So, for example, looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 , and I know you have the back-up in front of you, but I'm just looking at, withaut gaing through each document --
A. Sure.
Q. For example, the june ..
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Q. Okay.
A. Okay, so the bill would be sent out on July
Q. Okay.
A. For the prior month.
Q. That would be the same for each one of these, this would be the following month?
A. Yes.
Q. It's your testimony each of these were sent out on a monthly basis?
A. Yes, starting July ist, the first one shouid have been sent out for June and then each month afterwards, the first of the month.
Q. Should have been or was?
A. Wes sent out.

MR. MANISHIN: Hold on one moment.
THE WITMESS: The first sheet that we DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
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were just lookting at has the . 0027 rate. The invotces that were sent out were sent out as the second sheet shows at the .0007 rate. So that $\$ 11,000$ that you were talking about actually is $\$ 2.900$, it's a lesser amount. So the second sheet will match up.
Q. To the actual invaices?
A. To the actus 1 invoices, yes.
Q. And, again, to my same question before, the actual invoice then, on the second page which is the actual invoice, that was sent out at or around that time?
A. Yes.

MR. MANISHIN: But I think the witness is explaining that the tnvolces that were sent were the figures on page 2, not page 1.

MR. JENSEM: I understand.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Okay. You've told me about the recip comp from verizon?
A. Yes.
Q. And the rectp comp from SNET, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Those are two things that we had not covered previously, is that right?
A. Un-ha.
Q. How much are you clatming Verizon owes you DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
for the reciprocal compensation?
A. Total that Verizon is holding, 1 belleve it's an undisputed amount of $\$ 22$ willion roughly,
Q. And you say it's undtsputed?
A. Right, they acknowledge it's due.
Q. Do you know whether or not there are any attachments or liens on that amount?
A. Yes, they have a .. I'm not sure what it is. order from the Court that they can hold 16 or $\$ 16$ willion of that money. I'm not sure what the correct term is for that, but .. so that leaves 6 mitilion of that that should be free.
Q. Okay.
A. And unattached.
Q. And that twenty-two sixteen six is still with Verizon, is that right?
A. Yes, I'm not sure if it's being ... Yes.
Q. In other words. it was not put inte an escrow account with the Court?
A. I believe it's still being held by Verizon but I can verify that for you.
Q. Other than the Verizon and the SNET that we just talked about are there any other sources of money this is owed to any of the Global entities?
A. Not that I can recall.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583
Q. Is there any document that would let you know of any other source of money thet wes owed?
A. I'd have to talk to the accountant to see if they' t earrying any amounte due us on this but $I$ don't believe that there are.

MR. HAMISHIN: Excuse me for one second.
THE WITNESS: But I could be mistaken.
(Discussion off the record)
MR, MAHISHIN: Back on the record.
THE WITNESS: These invoice were to SBC
in Connecticut, and I don't know if any other SBC
company was billed for recip comp in other states. I don't have that answer, so that may be something else that's due us and I can research that for you as well.
Q. (By Mr. Jensen) Okay. What about reciprocal compensation for Bell Atlantic. do you know anything about that?
A. That should be Verizon. right?
Q. So that would be covered in the 22 millition?
A. 22 million, yes.

MR. MANISHIN: Verizon, GTE, there's another company all of which merged to become Verizon, Bell Atlantic, GTE all merged to become Verizon.

MR. JENSEM: 1 think this is probably good ending point for the day.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC (203) 245-9583

My comntsston expires: break. and next Wednesday. Thursday, Friday, rough by Friday? rough by Friday morning the latest.

Thanks testimony. 2006.

Hy commission explres:
July 31. 2007

MR. MANISHIN: Yes, since 1 can't even
remember the genesis of the most important local exchange company in my area, it's a good time to

MR. JENSEN: It's been a long day.
MR. MANISHIN: We thank you for your
courtesies as we do. We will produce documents as soan as they become available and take up whatever issues logistically or otherwise sometime between now

MR. JENSEN: Thank you.
(Time noted: 4:55 P.n.)
MR. JENSEN: Any way we can get it by
THE REPORTER: Sure. I can get you the

HR. JENSEN: That would be great.
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| 1, 76 23, 81 |  | 28:14 |  | Money [: - 22:15 $^{\text {2 }}$ |
| 103:16, 104:2, 107.2, | 119.15, 121.25 | match [1] - 166:5 | 59:4, 59 |  |
| 112:8, 125:23, 130:1, | 122:8, 123:17 | aterial [1] - 101:21 | 74:10, 130:19 | nles [1]-159:9 |
| 134:7, 150:9, 164:20, | 123:22, 124:12 | 21 | 0:21, 130:2 | +10.28.23 |
| 164:22, 166:1 | 128:22, 131.20 | 39:20, 60:6, $67: 9$ | 11, 131:1 | 28:24, 38:2, 38:3, |
| looks [8]-74:1 | 132:6, 132:24 | rs [1]-21:13 | 159:25, 167:3, | 38:22, 39:1, 39:15 |
| 97:4, 99:11, 99:2 | 133:19, 139:21 | man [24]-21:2 | 167:10, 167:11 | 39:16, 40:2, 61:23 |
| 99:23, 102:25, | 139:25, 1403 | 23 | 168:19. 168:2 | 2:9, 62:18, 99:12 |
| 103:17, 126:8 | 140:12, 141:1 | 48:17, 53:16, 55 | ukee [ | 20, 99:21, 104 |
| los [1]-122:25 | 141:15, 141:18 | 69:17, 75:2, 91:22 | 155:1 | 108:22, 108:23, |
| Loss 1 - 130:13 | 148:14, 149:10 | 93:7, 111:7, 114:2 | [1]-144: | 109:7, 112:25, |
| losses [2]-154:6 | 150 | 419:25, 12 | ne [1]-137: | 115:24, 117:16, |
| 154:7 | 152:11, 154:19 | 12 | ner [52]-15 | 4, 118:18, 119 |
| low [1]-41:14 | 159:14, 183:25 | 13 | 15:19, 15:21, 15:2 | 119:9, 119:10, 120 |
| Lemiti - $1: 22$ | 164:8, 165:1, 165:24 | 135:25, 142:17 | 15:25, 35:18, 35:1 | 120:9, 120:11, 122: |
| Luncheorfy - 68:14 | 166:13, 168:6, 168:9, | 146:13, 152:3, 152:13 | 35:20, 36:1, 36: | , 164:18 |
|  | 168:21, 169:1, 169:6 | means $\Pi$ T-4:8, 9:1, | 36:7, 36:19, $36:$ | 165:13, 165:1 |
|  | manufactu $49: 8$ | 93:6, 105:9, 131:2 | 5, 52:22, 95:2 | 165:20, 165:21 |
| ```Macgregor {1]-2:24 machinery [2]-48:1, 139:13 Madison [1]-1:24 mailed [1] - 23:20 main [1] - 138:3 maintain [3]-83:7. 83:9, 119:22 maintains [3] - 96:10, 120:25, 130:2 maintenance[]- 78:7, 78:8, 78:10, 81:13, 81:15, 85:4, 87:11 manager [2] - 155:17, 164:19 Manchester [2]- 12:23, 18:18 Manishin [103]- 2:11, 4:7, 4:11, 4:15, 5:21, 6:20, 7:19, 9:1, 9:23, 11:1, 12:4, 12:7. 12:11, 14:11, 15:9, 19:9, 22:18, 23:1, 25:17, 28:5, 30:11, 34:6, 35:3, 37:13, 40:17, 43:16, 44:21, 46:2, 46:8, 46:15, 52:1, 59:8,60:12, 61:15, 62:10, 63:8, 63:11, 63:25, 64:4, 66:8, 67:18, 67:25, 73:7. 76:8, 78:3, 78:21, 82:10, 85:19, 95:6, 97:12, 100:2, 101:11, 101:25, 102:6, 102:9, 102:14, 102:22, 103:2, 105:3, 105:15, 107:24,``` | manufactured [3] | 55:10 | 116:24, 117: | 135:14 |
|  | 47:24, 89:6, 141:17 | 141:20 | 10, 149:2 | 160: 14, 164:4, 165:18 |
|  | $131$ |  | 1:2, 151:6, | $103: 12,103: 15$ |
|  | manufacturers [2]- | Melick [4]-2:1 | 151:10, 151:18, | Moreira [1]-2:17 |
|  | 58:3, 58:4 | 9:22, 9:23, 9:2 | 151:25, 152:15, | morning [3] - 4:17, |
|  | ${ }_{\left.\text {manufacturee [ }{ }^{1}\right]}$ | namber [1] - 57:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 152:18, 152:20, } \\ & 153: 1,153: 5,153: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 4:18, 169:16 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { members }[2]-16: 22, \\ 16.24 \end{gathered}$ | 153:1, 153:5, 153: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Most [2]-83:1 } \\ & 112: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 46:11, 46:20, | 16:24 mem | 155:21, | [ $[8]$ - $28: 2$ |
|  | 47:3, 47:10, | 55 | 155:23, 156:2, 156:3, | 24, 83:4, 83:10 |
|  | :10, | morializin | 47, 156:2 | 4, 114:1, 137:2 |
|  | 49:5, 49:18, 49:25 | 61:6 | 7:1, 157 | 16 |
|  | 52:5, 64:19 $\operatorname{map}(21-76: 3$ | mory [i] - 5:19 | 157:11, 157:19 | otion t1]-149:15 |
|  |  | ntion [1]-55:12 |  | mounted [1]-75:6 <br> move [3] - 38:17 |
|  | 96:18, 115:10, 126.2, | 11 | $162: 21,162: 22,165: 7$ | 149:18, 149:19 |
|  | $150: 4,160: 2$ | $38: 16,45: 11,55:$ | missing 12$]$-88:13, | moved [1] - 136:6 |
|  | Mark [3]-128:8 | 68:19, 112:9, 127:3 | 16 | moving [2]-85:6 |
|  | 133:21, 150:11 | 156:9 | staken [2]-77: 16 | 89:21 |
|  | rked [16] - 4:8 | rcedes [3] | 168:7 | multiple [2]-129:21, |
|  | $4: 10,6: 9,6: 11,7: 5,$ 7:7, 68:12, 71:20, | 144:10, 144:20, | model [15] - 30:2 <br> 75:18, 79:1, 79:6, | 138:9 |
|  | 7:7, 68:12, 71:20, <br> 96:21, 96:23, 126:4 | 144:21 | 75:18, 79:1, 79:6, <br> 79:8, 79:9, 79:15, | Myste [6]-87:13, <br> 88:7, 89:7, 90:2, 90:7, |
|  | 128:10, 133:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { merged } 12]-168 \\ & 168: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $: 16,84: 20,84: 21$ | 92:18 |
|  | 150:13, 180:5, 160:7 |  | :23, 86:8, $86: 9$ |  |
|  |  | 12:19, 19:12, $20:$ |  | N |
|  | $73.4,73: 8,73.9$ | 110:18, 110:20 |  |  |
|  | 73:4, 73:8, 73:9, $73: 13,73: 15,74$ | $111: 9,111: 10$ | 82:16 momen |  |
|  | $\text { 81:3, 83:2, } 84: 10,$ | $13$ | money [30] - 21: | $\begin{gathered} \text { name }[7]-4: 19 \\ 46: 9,54: 20,1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 93:16, 93:18, $93: 19$ | 136.12, $140: 20$ | $1: 16,22: 21,22: 24$ | 146:22, |
|  | 127:11, 131:5, 131:6 | $104: 15$ | 6, 23:9, 30:2 | named [2]-132:4, |
|  | Kass [8]-12.3, | ddia | 30:24, 31:9, 37:20, | 17 |
|  | 12:19, 14:23, 14:24 |  | 0:6, 40:7 | names M]-4:13, |
|  | 27 | $61: 9,61: 10,76: 4,$ | 20, 65:16, 69:16, | 51:25, 115:15, 147:3 |
|  | Massachusetts [9]- | $80: 15,90: 5,95: 7$ | 70:18, 70:22 | $p^{\prime} s[t]-9: 24$ |
|  | 8:13, 30:7, 52:8, 54:5, | 125:21, 139:9 | 71:1, 71:5, 71:11, | 1 |
|  | 60:5, 112:15, 112:17, | Mike [7]-16.8, | 94:2, 100:7, 122:7, | 7:25, 10:9, 12:8. |








| $\begin{gathered} \text { try }[6]-5: 12,38: 23, \\ 67: 23,68: 5,94: 11, \end{gathered}$ | 104:19 <br> unaware [1]-120:12 <br> uncertain [2]- <br> 108:14, 151:13 <br> unclear [1] - 107:25 <br> under [15] - 5:23. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Virginia[2] - 44:10, } \\ & \text { 130:12 } \end{aligned}$ | 11:5, 15:12, 19:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149:19 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { valuable }[1]-147: 21 \\ & \text { value }[25]-63.3 \end{aligned}$ | Vnfe[1]-107:3 | 37 20, 40:20, 46:11, |
| ing |  |  | Voip [19] - 37 | 46:17, 59:10, 60:14, |
| :3, 53 |  | 63:19, 63:21, 72:14 | 46:18, 49:14, 50: | 17, 62:15, |
| [1] -93 |  | - | 50:15, 50:17 | 76:10, 76:24, |
| turn [2] - $41: 14$, | 6:4, 28:7, 70:8, 78:1 | , | 50:17, 50: | 2:12, |
| 12 | 123:17, 132:2 | 73:15, 73:19, 74:8 | $51: 14,52: 5,156$ | 108:1, 108:10, |
| 132:25, 144:14 | $317$ | 84:10 | $156: 10,156:$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 19,116: 9 \\ & 119: 14,122: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $128: 20,133: 25$ | 159:6, 163:13. 170:1 | 130:1 | 156:13 | 119:14, 122:10, |
| ng(3) - 49 | 74:15 |  | - 37 : | 129:13, 131:23. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50: 11,107: 6 \\ & \text { (wenty (3) } \cdot 144: 6, \end{aligned}$ | Understood[1] 150:3 | vans [5] - 145:16, 146:2, 146:10, 146:14 | (1) - 1:7 | 132:10, 140:6, <br> 140:16, 141:24, <br> 148:16, 150:8, |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 144:7, 167:15 twenty-two [f] - | undisputed [2] 187:3, 167:4 | varies [1] - 77:19 <br> various [28]-24:20, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 148: 16,150: 8, \\ & 151: 22,152: 12 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 167:15 <br> Twicen11-99:21 <br> twice (1]-108:23 <br> two [19]-7:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unfortunatoly[1] - } \\ & \text { 109:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 2,40: 23,41: 24 \\ & 44: 10,44: 11,55: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { wagon }[5]-144: 24, \\ 145: 4,145: 5,145: 6, \end{gathered}$ | 159:15, 159:19, |
|  |  |  |  | 165:25, 168:7, |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { United }[8]-1: 1 \\ \text { 57:15, } 94: 13,105: 5, \end{gathered}$ | $60: 19,61: 5,66: 4$ | $145: 7$ | 168:10, 170:19 woman [1]-132:3 |
| 12:25, 52:13, 78:14 |  | $69: 20,71: 6,71: 17$ | walke [1]-39:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { words [3] - 34:5, } \\ & 120: 17,167: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 87 | Unless [3] - 42:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 6,99: 18,119: 18, \\ & 126: 8,130: 10, \end{aligned}$ | wants [ $\mathrm{t}^{\text {d }}$-93:10 |  |
| 91:4, 91:12, 113:16, $113:$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 16,79: 3 \\ & \text { uniess (2] - 5:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:12, 138:10, } \\ & \text { 146:19, 147:7, 147:8, } \end{aligned}$ | warehouse [1]-659 | works [6] - 32:13, |
| 113:16, | $40: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:19, 147:7, 147:8, } \\ & \text { 149:22, 153:1, 153:5, } \end{aligned}$ | warehouse $[1]-65: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:16, 110:21, 154:1, } \\ & \text { 154:3, 161:17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | Unrelated [ 1 ] | 149:22. 153:1, 153:5, 161:12 | warramty [4]-78:12, | $\begin{gathered} \text { world }[4]-55: 23, \\ 57: 15,94: 14,114: 17 \end{gathered}$ |
| 166:22, 167:1 | 102:12 | Various | Washington[1] - |  |
| WOT1-125 |  |  | 2:13 | 57:15, 94:14, 114:17 write [2] - 40:3, 40:5 |
| Tylertil - 2:6, 149:5 | unrelated [1] - 45:25 unusad $[3]$ - 65:8, | vehicle [2]-66:22, | 129:3, 130:1, 131:25, | writing [4]-24:19 <br> written 101 - 24:17 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { type }[20]-10 \\ 14: 9,14: 12,2 \end{array}$ | $\text { 81:3, } 131: 6$ <br> unusual [1] - 108:6 |  |  | written [10]-24:17, 24:18, 25:1, 38:19, |
| 27 | unusually [1]- | 66:24 <br> vehicles (6]-146:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 132: 2,132: 5,1 \\ & 132: 12,134: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 70:10, 99:3, 104:1, <br> 104:3, 137:15, 148:3 <br> www.gnaps.com [2] $-128: 18,134: 5$ |
| 78 | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 13 \\ & \text { up }[37]-26: 3,26: 5, \end{aligned}$ | vehicies $[6]-146: 12$, | Wednesday $[4]$ - <br> $149: 4,149: 8,149: 14$ |  |
| 89:25, 90:19, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 21, \\ & 147: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 97:18, 123:25, | 26:13, 27:11, 29:5, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:4, 149:8, 149:14, } \\ & 169: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 125:10, 138:1, 138:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 19,33: 10,35: 20, \\ & 37: 23,51: 23,57: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vendors }[3]-13: 22 \text {, } \\ & \text { 93:13. 136:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { week [2] - } 118: 19$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 147:23, } 151: 5 \\ & \text { typ } \\ & 90: 4,93: 17,10: 21 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | 61:2, 66:1, 68:18, <br> 72:15, 85:13, 85:18, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { weekend [2] - 63:13, } \\ & 102: 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { verify [J] - 63:16, } \\ & 162: 23,167: 21 \end{aligned}$ | weaks [2]-113:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { year }[11]-16: 17, \\ & 35: 2,38: 25,54: 17, \\ & \text { 58:9, 89:5, 108:11, } \\ & 141: 9,144: 17 . \\ & 161: 23,161: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 89:7, 89:14, 89:20, |  | 113:17 <br> West[1] - 126:7 |  |
| 170 | $\begin{aligned} & 104: 22,104: 24, \\ & 118: 7,119: 7,131: 5, \end{aligned}$ | 59:23, 60:6, 61:20, <br> 107:3, 158:9, 158:12, |  |  |
| typical [3]-65 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:7, 119:7, 131:5, } \\ & \text { 132:25, 135:11. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { whatsoaver }[1] \text { • } \\ & 116: 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 74:24, 118:7 | $143: 19,150: 10$ | 166:18, 166:25, | 116:6 whole [4]-41:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161:23, 161:25 } \\ & \text { yearty }\{1 \text { - 108:17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| typicaly (0) 28 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 167: 2,167: 16, \\ & \text { 167:20, 167:22, } \end{aligned}$ | 121:17, 131:13, | years [31]-13:7, |
| 40:1, 65:22, $77: 21$ | $154: 8,160: 14$ |  |  | 31:22, 31:24, 32:18, |
| 80:24, 140:6 | 164:21, 166:5, 169:8 <br> Up[1]-161:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168:18, 168:21, } \\ & \text { 168:22. 168:23 } \\ & \text { Vermont }[1]-30: 17 \end{aligned}$ |  | 33:1, 33:8, 33:7,$36: 13,58: 8,60: 3,$ |
| Typicallyzı |  |  | wired [i] - 23:21 <br> wires [1]-23:21 <br> withdrawal [2]- <br> 106:16, 109:11 <br> withdrawalfchack |  |
| 144:10 | updatad [1]-132:3 <br> usage [1]-146:5 <br> use8 [3]-69:12, |  |  | $64: 23,66: 16,78: 14,$ 81:2, 85:22, 103:1. |
| U |  | $144: 15,161: 6$ |  | 127:2, 131:4, 132:4, |
|  | 156:13 | varsus [1] - 63:21 <br> Versus\{2]-65:23, | $[1]-107: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 6,144: 11 \\ & 144: 13,144: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 49: 16,68: 21,106: 18, \\ & 166: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { utilities [2]-110:12, } \\ & \text { 112:18 } \\ & \text { utility }[1]-55: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:24 } \\ & \text { via }[1]-164: 19 \\ & \text { Vice[2]-13:4, 16:8 } \\ & \text { vice }[5]-13: 5,13: 11 \text {, } \\ & \text { 13:14, 16:7, 46:23 } \end{aligned}$ | 46:4, 55: 16, 56:14, <br> 56:21, 139:22, 150:6, <br> 166:13 <br> Witness [53]-3:3, <br> 6:23. 7:21, 9:3, 9:8. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yesterday [5] - } 33: 2 \text {, } \\ & \text { 129:3, 160:19, } \\ & \text { 160:20, 160:22 } \\ & \text { York[3] }-30: 16 \text {. } \\ & \text { 130:13, 146:17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| umbrefla (t] - 122: |  |  |  |  |
| ttached [1]- |  |  |  |  |
| 167 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |




A. Any one of then.
Q. So it would be Global NAPS New Hampetire, Inc.?
A. Yes.
Q. Global NAPS Networks, Inc.?
A. Yes.
Q. Glomal NAPS Realty, Inc.?
A. Yes.
Q. Does he do coursel work for any of the $-I$ trink we referred to them or you referred to then last time as the GMES file ertities.
A. Those are set ip by our in-hoube legal departrent, so I would assuex that he does or tas had some whether or not it was him directly, I'm not sure.
Q. Did you review any inforation in prepartion for today's deposition?
A. I looked over sone of the documents that were provided to you. Not all of then.
Q. Do I have all the chamerts thet you've reviened -
A. Yes.
Q. - prior to the deposition?

A Yes.
Q. Did you speak with aymen prior to the deposition in preparation for the deposition?
A. The -

MR. MPMSHIN: Do at include discussions
$\qquad$
with coursel or where counsel was present.
A. In preparation? with regard to acguiring docments, I spoke with select \& Paj generally. I spoke with Jeff Melick, various eaployees of Giobal NAPS and Global NRPS Networks.
BY MR. JWNKN:
Q. Do you recall who the employees were from Global MPS?
A. Global NAPS, Inc. 7
Q. Global NAPS, Inc., yes.
A. Robert Getani.
Q. Wbo is Fobert Gatani?

1 A. He does ahuinistrative stuff for Global NMPS, Irc.
4 Q. Does he have a position?
5 A. I'm not sure what his title is.
Q. Does Mr. Getami work for any of the other Global entities?
A. He is emplowed hy Global NaPS, Inc., and I do net think he provides servioss for the other entities. He ray, but that's mt my recollection.
Q. Okay, Uto else at Global MABS, Inc. did you speak with?
A. Brad Masuret, Dave shaw.
Q. Okay. What ahout - who did you speak with at Glotal NRPS Networks, Inc?

```
1 A. Thit would be Matt pallett.
2 Q. How do you spell the last name?
3 A. P-AL-L-E-T-T.
4 Q. Is Mr. Pallett an employee of Glotal NAPS Networks?
s A. Networks, yes.
Q. Does he do asy work or provice any services for
    Global MMPS, Inc.?
A. No. He works strictly for Netwodks, Matt
    maintairs the network. He's one of our engineers.
    So as far as getting infonwion for serial numers
    off of equipment, once I designate to him what
    equipment it is, he can go gather the serial
    numbers and locations.
Q. Did he provide you with any other infomation other
    than the serial nubers for the equipmert?
A. No, just serial nubers, addresses, that type of
    sturf, trying to identify where it is and wht it
    is.
```

        Mr. MALISHIN: Would nodel nubers -
                THE MITSSS: Model nubers as well.
            yes.
    BY MR. JINSDE:
Did you speak with anybody else at Global NWQS
Natwork?
A. I don't believe that I did.
11
Q. I'd like to follow up on same of the toplics that we
left over fire last meek. I dna't know if the
dooments you brought today incluce this
inforsation, When we have a break, I'll take a
look through it, bet the first question I have is:
I'd like to know what Glokal NRSS, Inc. - again,
we can refer to Global MES, Inc. as clobal. Is
that all rigtt?
A. Oky,
And then we'll do the other thing, which is
referring to clobal maps Realty as Realty, Global
NPDS Network as Network and Gobal NAPS Hew
Hamparite as Hew Henphire.
A. Okzy.
Q. And then I'm going to refer to Glanal NaES South as
Sorth and the rest of the Glatal MPS entities as
the file entities. Is that fine?
A. sure.
Q. I'm just trying to nake it so that we don't have to
refeat the name erecy time.
A. If I could add one thing, with regand to speaking
to other people within Gobal NOPS Hetworks, I did
make it very clear to the people that I did talk to
that they should let otrers know that I'r looking
for information. So I may nat have talked to

| 1 | people cirectly, lat it was well known throughat | 1 | correspondence, to the extent it existed at |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the compary that I reeded to gather the | 2 | the time of the first request in - whatever |  |
| 3 | infonation. | 3 | it was - late May, early Jure, had been | ! |
| 4 Q | That was for Glichal NAPS Networks? | 4 | prochiced. |  |
| 5 A. | Right; and for Global NARS, Irc. | 5 | MR. JESTSN: Ckas, I don't think we have |  |
| 68. | And for Global NAPS, Inc.? | 6 | a letter from Janet Lima, |  |
| 7 A . | Right. | 7 | MR. Mamsfins: I thought there was one. |  |
| 8 Q | What about the other related Gobal entities, | 8 | If there is one, I will make sure it is |  |
| 9 | Realty and New Hanpshire? | 9 | produced. I will call our office and confim |  |
| 10 A | They would have heard as well, but I did not speak | 10 | or corroborate whether ane exists. |  |
| 11 | to aybody directly in those comparies. | 11 | MR. JINSEN: Thank you. |  |
| 12.8 | Can you tell me what Global's reverlie was on an | 12 | MR. MMISHIN: I'n sure he's not gaing to |  |
| 13 | anual basis ficmem the year 2002 to the present? | 13 | urat youl to testify as to what's in the |  |
| 14 A | I believe we recuested that frow our bookkeepers, | 14 | letter, 50 either let him ask a question or |  |
| 15 | but they have not producad that for us yat. As | 15 | give him the letter now. |  |
| 16 | scon as ue get it, we'll pass it along. I knw | 16 |  |  |
| 17 | it's been requested. | 17 | (Platntiff's Exhibit No. 10, |  |
| 188. | Okay, And have the bookkeepers told you why it's | 18 | for-page letter fram Rehert E. |  |
| 19 | taken so long to get that infocnation? | 19 | Mclamglin, 3 r , to Jeffrey C. |  |
| 20 A. | I believe they provided a response to the letter I | 20 | Melidx dxted June 22, 2006, |  |
| 21 | had sent to them. | 21 | manked for idertification.) |  |
| 22 | MR. MANSHIN: There wes also a priar | 22 |  |  |
| 23 | resprise, I believe. (tanding.) Erause ne. | 23 B | MR. ENSAR: |  |
| 24 | let me clarify the record I believe you have |  | Mr. Grnd, I'm taking a look at Plaintiff's |  |
| 25 | a direct resporse frin select \& lay to the |  | Exhibit 10, which looks to be a June 22, 2006 |  |
|  | 13 | 15 |  |  |
| 1 | first request for information. It wes | letter from the law firm of cllman Mciaughin : ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| 2 | produced as part of the - eithar the initial | Hanrahan to Rtomey Melick. I'll just show it to |  |  |
| 3 | production or the Jire 7th production that's | you, and tell we if you recognize that docamet. |  |  |
| 4 | been lates staped. This is a letter frma | (thanting.) |  |  |
| 5 | Select \& Pay's counsel citing certain cortract | 5 |  |  |
| 6 | provisions and certain difficulties they've | Mitness reviers doumert.) |  |  |
| 7 | had assembling certain doomerts, which | 7 |  |  |
| 0 | Mr. Gangi is looking at rigtt now, | 日 A. Yes. |  |  |
| 9 | HR. JENSEN: Could you clacify what the | 9 Q. You produed that monerit totay? |  |  |
| 10 | original resporse from Seleat \& Pay was? | 10 A Yes. |  |  |
| 11 | MR. MANISHIN: I believe it wes a letter | 11. Q. Have you read that docunent? |  |  |
| 12 | from Janet Lima back to Mr. Gangi icentifying | 12 A. I've gare throxin it, yes. |  |  |
| 13 | reconds that ste wes able to locote and | 13 Q. Okgy. I take it that docrment wes sent yesterday; |  |  |
| 14 | procice and throse that she had not. | 14 is that rigto? |  |  |
| 15 | R, JENSET: Ckay, I don't think we do | 15 A . Yes. |  |  |
| 16 | have that. | 15 Q. Could I have that bact? |  |  |
| 17 | Mr. MAISSHN: Okay, Nayice I'm - | 17 A. Sure. (tanting.) |  |  |
| 18 | Correct me if T'm wong, Wiss there a | 18 Q. Aconoting to this letter, Richard Gangi, which is |  |  |
| 19 | witten response from Select \& Paj to your | 19 you, sert a letter to select \& Pay requesting |  |  |
| 20 | first request? in I morrect? | 20 artain infomation; is that right? |  |  |
| 21 | THE WINESS: There may have been. | 21 A Yes. |  |  |
| 22 | I've looked at so mich - | 23 Q. Do I have a cory of that letter? |  |  |
| 23 | Mr. MANSTIN: I thought that there wes, | 23 A . I believe you do. If int, I have it here. |  |  |
| 24 | I could tre confising the arswer with the | 24 Mr. MALSIIN: That wes the letter I was |  |  |
| 25 | request letter, bit I know that that | 25 | referring to, and there's anther copy - this |  |
|  | 14 |  | 16 |  |


| 1 docment wesemail correspondence related to | 1 been marked a Plairtiff's Entibit 12; is that |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 the follow-up. (Hanting.) I just wart to | 2 rigtt? |
| 3 wake clear for the recond that these docaments | 3 A. Yes |
| are being produced today becaise they've just | 4 Q. Okaj. And then you said the - you sent it again |
| 5 been obtsined. All of the prior doamerts | 5 on June 22nd? |
| 6 that were prodred in similar fashion were | 6 A. Yes. |
| also then Bates labeled and marked and | 7 Q. That's marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 13? |
| 8 prodsced formally for the recond here. Our | \& A. Yes, |
| office is in the process in Connectiat of | 9 Q. Those are both printouts of your e-mail? |
| 10 Bates stamping these doaments, and Bates | 10 A. Yes. |
| 11 copies wall be delivered in hard copi or by | 11 Q. Okay. And those emails included that -- |
| 12 electronic mail to Mr. Jersen at some poirt | 12 A. This is the attaccment that it referenoss, yes. |
| 13 today. | 13 Q. So Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 wes atached to each of |
| 14 | 14 these emails? |
| 15 (Plaintiff's Extibit No. 11. | 15 A. Yes, it was. |
| 16 one-page letter from Richand C. | 16 Q. What response, if any, did you get frem Select $\frac{1}{}$ |
| 17 Gangi to Janet Lima, undted, | 17 Lay after you initially sent that letter on |
| 18 marked for idarification) | 18 June and? |
| 19 | 19 A. I get a - I talked with Jaret on the phone to go |
| 20 BY MR. JwSEN: | 20 over what it wes that I wes looking for and just to |
| 21 Q. (landing.) Looking at Plaindiff's Extilitt 11, do | 21 let her know to call if she had any questions or, |
| 22 you see that docmert? | 22 if she was able to find arything, just to get it to |
| 23 | 23 meas som as she could. |
| 24 (Mitness revieus dranert.) | 24 Q. Okay, Did she ever get back to you? |
| 25 | 25 A. After that, yes, we talked several times just on |
| 17 | 19 |
| 1 A. Yes. | 1 items that she wes trying to find for is. |
| 2 Q. Could you tell we whet that docamert is? | 2 Q. Did she give you an idea of a time frome for |
| 3 A. This is a copy of the e-mail I sent to Select a Ray | 3 getting you the information that you requested? |
| 4 requesting the itens that I thought were - they | 4 A. I futi told her to get it to me as soon as she |
| 5 would have -- potertially have that. were in | 5 could. I know ste wes wocking on this as mell as |
| 6 resporse to the Judge's onder. | doing her daily deties, so I'm sure 1010 percest of |
| 7 Q. Okas, Ami, Mr. Garyi, is there a date on that | ) ber day wess mot devoted to trying to aculire these |
| - documeris | a doarents. She does have to na her business. I |
| 9 A No, there's mot, lut there's the body of the enail | 9 know she was giving it her best effort, and that's |
| 10 that references it, and the date on that is 6-22. | 10 all I could ak her to $\omega$. |
| 11 That wes the second time it wis salt. 6-2 wees the | 11 Q. Do you recall when you first got. infomation from |
| 12 first tine it wes seri. | 12 Ms. Lima? |
| 13 Mr. JENSIN: Why don't we mark these. | 13 A . No, I don't. It would have to have been before we |
| 14 | 14 produced the first batch to you, I'm not sume of |
| 15 (Plairtiff's Bxtabit No. 12, | 15 the dates thaugh. |
| 16 e-nail dated $62-06$, | 16 Q. Looking at Plaintiff's Exhimit 13, you say, |
| 17 marked for identification) | 17 "danet" - I'm going to quote this - "Janet, I |
| 18 | 18 knme you responded to this previously, but I weated |
| 19 (Rlairtiff's Extribit No. 13, | 19 to nite sure you have given te everything you have |
| 20 e-mail dated 6-22-06, | 20 with regard to Glotal NapS, Inc." when you are |
| 21 narked for idertifiction) | 21 saying "responded to this previously," I assme |
| 22 | 22 you're referring to Plainieff's Extibit 11 wtich |
| 23 EY MR. JEVSEN: | 23 Wes the attached documert mequest? |
| 24 Q. Mr. Gangi, you were referring to the first time 25 this e-mail wes sent on Jume 2, 2006, and thet's | 24 A. No. Her response was providing the documents for 25 us, Thet's what I meare by response. Ste had been |
| 18 | 20 |


| 1 giving is docments this unale time. I just | 1 Q. And coursel in that letter - well, let me back up |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 warted to make sure that she had no more in her | 2 for a second. Why is counsel for Select \& Ray |
| 3 hand before I cane down here. So ste had been | 3 responting to your e-mail and not Janet lima? |
| responding regularly giving us documents as she | 4 A. I believe there is an ageement between the parent |
| 5 foum thers. | ounpary, Fermus Miner, and Select \& Pay that: |
| 6 Q. What I'm trying to figure out is this: When you | outlines how they need to proctuce documents if |
| 7 say "responded to this previously," are you talking | requested. |
| 8 about the letter thit is attacted? | 9 0. Is Select \& Pay affiliated with Perrous Miner? |
| 9 A. Yes. Sorry, Yes. | 9 A. Select \& Pay is - |
| 10 Q. Okay. Did she inticote, prior to this letter, | 10 In. WAISSHN: I think he meas |
| 11 that she had given you everything that you had | 11 affiliated in terms of annership. |
| 12 asked for? | 12 A . No, not for ownerstip. Select \& Pay works for |
| 13 A. That she had at the tine but she use going to | 13 Eerrous, the parert coupany, |
| 14 contime to try to find aything else that she | 14 EY MR. JINSIEN: |
| 15 might have. | 15 Q. Doing the same thing that it does for clobal NAPS, |
| 36 Q. And the infomation that she did provide you, do | 16 Irc.? |
| 17 you know where that infomation case from? | 11 A Yes. |
| 18 A. It would have been out of her reconds. I don't - | 19 Q. So there's an ameenert smmenere that says, if |
| 19 I dn't know. | 19 docuerts are prodiced, they have to be produred |
| 20. So it would have been tit her office somewhere? | 20 through coursel? Is that the agremeit? |
| in A. I would assume so or in - I don't know where she | 21 A. I'm not sure if it says that exactly, but there is |
| 22 stores har records. I don't know if it's in her | 22 same provision and agrement betueen Eerrous and |
| 23 office or if ste has outside storage. I don't know | 23 Select \& Pay for dramert production that |
| 24 that. | 24 references then getting their omn counsel to nake |
| 25 Q. Okay, Do you know whether or not ste obtained axy | 25 Sure they're not giving out docreats that would te |
| 21 | 23 |
| 1 Of the infomstion from dher sources, from sources | 1 inappropriate, I gess. |
| 2 outside of Select \& Pay? | 2 Q. Oncy, Is this the first time that this law fim |
| 3 A I I don't know I don't beliele so, bit I dinit | 3 has corresponted with anpody frow GVES wath |
| 4 know I belleve this wes all done from ber - | 4 regard to the documit request that you gave on |
| 5 docments that she has. | 5 June 2 nt? |
| (1). So everything that she's prodicad to you so far, | $6 \lambda$. To the best of yy kowledge, yes. |
| 7 it's your understanding, cane frou her reconds? | 7 Q. So prior to that letter, wes Janet lina giving you |
| 8 A. That's my understanding, yes. | 8 infomation otsside of that law fim? |
| 9 Q. Okzy. | 9 M. MANISTIN: Calls for specuition. |
| 10 MR. MAKISHIN: Just for clarity, by | 10 But if you know please answer. |
| 11 "ber," it mould also be the reconcs of her | 11 A. I don't know the arswer to that. I don't know if |
| 12 concany, Select \& lay. You con't know mean | 22 she ran it through thes first or mot. |
| 13 Janet lima personally. |  |
| 14 MR. JINSEN: Understood. Understood. | 14 Q. Did she mention them ali during that period of |
| 15 When I say "her, "at least at this point I | 15 tive betwen when you requested the information and |
| 15 mean Select \& Bay, | 16 when that letter cane to Mr. - |
| 17 MR, MANLSEIN: Thank you. | 17 A. Not to me, mo. |
| 18 EY RR. JTNSEN: | 18. Q. So going back to Paint Number 3 on the seoond page |
| 19 Q. One of the topics that Jantt lima, an behalf of | 19 of that letter talking about the revenue per month, |
| 20 Select of Pay - well, actually, in this letter, | 20 cansel wites, "Clease see bank statements |
| 21 Plairtiff's Extribit 10 dated Jrne $\mathbb{Z}, 2006$, on the | 21 provided by select [ Pay." Do you see that? |
| 22 seound prye, Poirt 3 says, "Global MPS, Inc. 's | 22 A Okas, |
| 23 average reveme per month." Do you see that, | 23 Q. What does that mean? |
| 4 Mr. Gangi? | 24 A. I believe we requested bank statements prior to |
| 2 A. Yes. | 25 this that we obtained through Select \& Ray, 50 I |
| 22 | 24 |


| can only assure that that's what ste's talking | 1 A. Well, it appears from the letter that she's saying |
| :---: | :---: |
| about, the records she had given to us, bank | 2 there is. I have not seen thes, so I can't tell |
| statements that she had given to us before to | 3 you whether they io or con't exist. |
| provide to you. | 4 Q. Have you ever seen these docunents before, and I'n |
| s Q. Okay. And those are the bank staterents that you | 5 not saying - strike that. |
| provided to us? | Have you ever seen acount ledgers for cliobal |
| 1 A Yes. | 7 before? |
| 8 Q. Okay. So are they saying that we are supposed to | $g$ A No. |
| 9 figure out whit your monthly revenue - what | 9 Q. Have you ever seen any docaments conoenting the |
| 10 Glotal's monthly revemue is based on the bank | 10 transfer of asets or equipnent from Global faps, |
| 11 stztements? | 11 Inc. to Global NAPS Networks at ay time? |
| 12 A. It apears that's what it says, yes. | 12 A . Nb. I would have no reason to see that. |
| 13 Q. Okzy, Looking at Paragraph Nimber 5, wrich | 13 Q. Oky, Do you krww wether or not those documents |
| 14 appears to be - I'll qute it. "Accounting ledger | 14 exist? |
| 15 sheets and other dooments comeming the tramer | 15 A. Which documens in particular? |
| 16 of asets and equipmert from clobal Naps, Inc. to | 16 Q. It would be the dooments comerning the transfer |
| 17 Global NAPS Networks, Inc. and ayy other | 17 of assets and equiprent from Global NAS, Inc. to |
| 18 Corpanies." The response to that is: "llease see | 18 Global Naps Networks, Irc. |
| 19 the docurerts procured. " Do you have those | $19 \lambda$. If there uas a transfer of equipmert between the |
| 20 docments? | 20 two companies, there would definitely be an entry |
| 21 FR, MANISHIN: let me make a statemat | 21. in a lecher at the time. Whether we still have |
| 22 for the reoord. I disassed this with my | 22 those latyers or not, I con't tell you. It |
| 23 cliest. I don't wert to go over the stbetance | 23 depents on when the equipmert was transferred. If |
| 24 of the discussion for privilege reasons, but | 24 It wes mexy jears ago, chances are they don't exist |
| 25 it becane apparent at the end of that | 25 anmore; but at the time the transter wes cone, |
| 25 | 27 |
| 1 disassion that neither Mr. Grui nor my law | 1 they cetatinly did exist. |
| 2 fim have recrived ay of the accouting | 2 Q. Ind you're prsitive about that? |
| 3 ledgers from Select i Baj or trom the | ] A. Yes. |
| 4 acountim fim, which you'll talk about | 4. Q. So you're positive that any transfer of assets or |
| later. So I do not krow what that reference | 5 equipnett from Global NOSS, Inc. to Glimal NXPS |
| is, but all the documents that we received | Networks generated a doamert or an entry in a |
| from Select if Pay trough Mr. Gangi have been | doament? |
| 8 procused to you in adtition to the coes that | 8 A. An entry, a ledjer or joumal entry, yes. |
| have been brougt today. So I don't think | 9 Q. Thar, looking at Raragraph Mnber 6 on the sane |
| 10 there's asy leder entries in there. I asked | 10 page, it asks abit sacounting ledger sheets and |
| 11 Ns. Niller of 的 office to cormborate that. | 11 Other doveners concerning the trasfer of assets |
| 12 I've not had a chance to talk to her this | 12 and equrpert from Global NFPS Networks, Inc. and |
| 13 morring, but I suspect that there are none, | 13 avy other cruparies to Global NAPS, Inc., and the |
| 14 but I've still been pressing the curpany to | 14 response is: "Please see the documents produced." |
| 15 try to get then from the accountants and the | 15 Is it your sane position that those dooments were |
| 16 bookkeeper. | 16 not fourd in the doamaris that were produced by |
| 11 BY MR. Jinsens: | 17 Select \& Ray? |
| $18 . \mathrm{Q}$. Mr. Ganyi, do you have any idea wiy they would have | 18 Mr. Mrissinv: Yes. We have the sane |
| 19 resporded that they prodioed this information when, | 19 probien with that resporse because they've nt |
| 20 in fact, they dim't? | 20 been producad either to the company or to |
| 21 A No, and I haven't had a chance to talk to Ns. Lina | 21 counsel, and I will tell you, Mr, Jensen, |
| 22 after we received this letter either, 50 I don't | 22 given the sensitivity of this, I've been |
| 23 know what that resporse is. | 23 pressing the company very hard to get thase |
| 24 Q. Okay. Is it your understanciong that there are | 24 leher entries. |
| 25 such docunents that on exist? |  |
| 26 | 28 |



29
A. That is what hw been represerted to re, yes. There would be a joumal entry.
0. And who represented that to you?
A. I talked with our CRO, Am Hartman.
Q. What did you talk to Amn Hartsan about?
A. Specifically that, jounnal entries, how it works.
Q. And what itd Am Hartman tell you?
A. Exactly whe I've told you. When it happens, there would have been a joumal entry.
Q. How long has Am Hactnan been - strike that.

How long has Ann Harman been the CRO for Global?
A. Since its inception.
Q. Does An lartmen work for or provide serrices for anf of the other Global entities?
A. I dan't believe so.
Q. Is fin Hartman paid by Global?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Ann Hartman tell you anything else?
A. We just had a general converstion on - I's not an accuntart as I told you before - on how it works within Mlobal.
Q. And when you say "how it works within Gubal," mearing the --
A. Things such as this, ledger entries, how things
would be acounted for in a very troad sense.
Q. That's between the varios clobal entities?
A. Within Gobal and between the entities, yes.
Q. So if a transfer of assets did hapen at sone point, would Arn fartnan have been the one that docunerted that?
A. No. That would have been doccmerted by the bookkeepers.
Q. Select \& Zay?
A. Yes.
Q. And has that been the case since the incettion of Glohal?
A. It would be the - Janet, as I told you before, used to work for Global. This wer job sime the incegtion of clobal, yes; and now she's att on her oun, and she's still doing that service for is.
Q. So Janet lima, wile she was an erplojee for Global, would document the asset transfers?
A. Yes.

And wrile lanct Lima was tit Gobal, was she also working for ary of the other Global entities?

MR. MELICK: Is this bejond the scupe?
MR. MPNOSFINN: Not just yet.
A. I'e not sure an thit.

EY MR. JWNEM:
31

|  | What wes lanet lina's preition while she wes wording for Global? |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Bookkeeper, sane as it is today. |
|  | Do the ther entities have a different bookneeper? |
|  | Not that I'm avare of, 90 my assumption would be that she did do the bookkeeping for all the clobal ertities. |
|  | Prior to select \& Bay, your bookkeeper wis in-house, and that wes lanet lisa? |
| A. | Yes. |
|  | You never outsourced that service prior to Select \& Ba? |
| A. | No. |
|  | (Maintiff's Exhibit No. 14, two-page pritiout fros New Hapshire Corpration Division web site, macked for isertification.) |
| BY | R. TENSE: |
|  | Mr. Gangi, I'll going to show you what's been maxked as Plairtiff's Extribit 14. Do you see that document? [landing.) |

(Maintiff's Exhibit No. 14, tw-page pritiout fros New Flapshire Corpration Division web site, macked for idertification.)

BY MR. JENSB4
Q. Mr. Gangi, I'll going to show you what's been marked as Plairtiff's Extribit 14. Do you see that document? (llanting.)

| 1 (Mitness reviews docment.) | 1 A. No, that's our offica up in Manchester. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 Q. You say "our office." Which -- |
| 3 A. Okay. | J A. Global. |
| 4 Q. For the reomm, this docment is fran the New | 4 Q. Global MPS, Inc.? |
| s Hambhire Corporation Division. | 5 A. I'm not sure if Global Meps, Inc. - I know |
| 6 A. Okay. | 5 Netwotk has their eqripuent in there. I'm not |
| 7 Q. And do you see the rame on that? | 7 sure if imy office there is with Matworks or with |
| 8 A. Select \& Pay. | a Irc., bet it's one or the other. |
| 9 Q. Is there a date that Select \& Pay wes, I gress, | 9 Q. Would it be Global Maps New Hanpstire? |
| 10 incorporated? An eritity creation dre? | 10 A. It could be as well. |
| is A. G-16-2005. | 11 Q. Ckay. Regardless, it's one of the Global |
| 12 Q. So about a year a90? sure of 2005? | 12 ercities? |
| 13 A. That's wht this says, yeen. | i3 A. One of the Gobal entities, yes. I'm just not sure |
| 14 Q. Okay. And is that about the tine that Glubal | 14 wilch ore. |
| is started using Select \& Pay as its bookkeeper? | 15 Q. Ckay. Do you know whether or not Samartha |
| 16 A. Yeah. It's been about a year since she's been on | 16 Holbrook is an agent or registered agert for any of |
| 17 her own, yes. | 17 the other - ary of the clobal entities? |
| 1 Q Q. Is that the date, on or abour that date - maste | 18 A. I know Smartha wes doing a lot of filings for the |
| 19 not that exact dite, but is that the date or amound | 19 tifferert companies. I'm nt sure if she wes a |
| ${ }^{20}$ the date that Janet lima stopped working for | 20 registered agent on 3xy cthers or not. I'a not |
| $21 . G l o b a l ?$ | 21 Sure what a registered ayent does, bat |
| 22 A. Yeah. Like I sid, it's been about a year. So 23 this is right in that time frame, so that's | 22 2. All rigit. But Smantha bilbrook is an emplojee of ${ }_{23}$ Global; is that rigtt? |
| 24 probabiy pretty close. | 24 A Yes, one of the Global cmparies. Yes. |
| 25 Q. So after the midhle of June, 2005, lanet lima was | 25 Q. Ore of the Grobal comparies? |
| 33 | 35 |
| 1 no longer an employee of Global; is that right? | 1 A Yes. I don't know which one. I can find out for |
| 3 A. Fight. | 2 you. I can make a phone call dering ore of the |
| 3 Q. Looking down a little bit further at the registered | 3 breaks and find out. I just don't know which one |
| 4 agent, can you tell ne the name of the registersd | it is. |
| ¢ agert? | 5 Q. Do you know utether it's all of them? |
| ( A. It sajs Samantha Holbrook. | 6 A I mint know |
| 7 Q. Okas. And uhat is the office address? | 7 Q. OkJ. Can you tell me wity, if you krow Samertha |
| a A. 1 Suntial Averip, Maxchester, Hew Hempshire. | \% Holhrook is the registered agert for Select \& Pay? |
| 9 Q. Do you krow tho Samatha Holbrok is? | 9 A . I have to idea |
| 10 A . She is one of the adrimistrative girls for one of | 10 Q. Were your involved in the process of designating |
| 11 the Global couparies, but I'm not sure wrich one. | 11 Samatha Hollmook as the agert for Select i Pay? |
| 12 Q. Okay, Do you know what departsent she is the | $12 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{No}$. |
| 13 amimistrative persm for? | 13 Q. Do you krow who wes? |
| 14 A. No, I don't. I could find out, but I don't krow | 14 A . NO, I dan't. |
| 15 off the top of my head. | 15 Q. What is Jamet Lima's position at select \& Pay, if |
| 16 Q. Would it be the legal departnent? | 16 youl know? |
| 17 A. Again, I dan't know I'd be gressing, I cal | 17 A I think she's the president of the ommpary. |
| 19 Find out for you for sure. | 29 Q. Okay. Is she the one that started the compary? |
| 19 Q. Do you know unether Smartha Hilbrock has an offioe | 19 A Yes. |
| 20 at I Sundial Aveme, Manchester, Naw Haprhire? | 20 Q. Fid Global or any of the Global eitities have ary |
| 21 A I I don't believe 50. | 21 mole in the startip of select \& Par? |
| 22 Q. Who has an office at 1 Sundial Averue in | 22 A N. |
| 33 Manctester, New limpshice? | 23 Q. No finarial me? |
| 24 A . Me. | 24 A N C . |
| 25 Q. Is that your have office? | 25 Q. Und you persomilly have any mole in the startup of |
| 34 | 36 |


| 1 | Select \& Pay? |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. | No. |
| 0. | Do you know why Janet lima stated select \& Pay? |
| A. | wanted to get out on her own. |
| Q, | How do you know that? |
| A. | \%e talked about it before she wert out on her own. |
| Q. | What did she tell you? |
| A. | That she werted to try to expend what she was doing and get a bigger customer base. |
| 10 Q | Does she have ther astumers other than the Global entities? |
| A. | M dealings with Janet are strictly for Crobal |
| 3 | comparies. What she does outside of that I'm |
| 1 | unamare of. |
| 58. | How was the decision made to use Select \& Pay as the billing cumpary for clobal? |
| 7 A | As I said, she's been doing it since our inception. |
|  | So it's just natural that we would have her keep doing it when she wes out on her own. She tid a |
|  | fine job then, and she does a fine job now, No sense chaxing. |
| Q. | Do you know of anf cther select $\&$ Pay employees other than Janet Lina? |
| A | I don't know if there are any, She may be the only one, but I don't know I'd be guessing. |

She's the only one I've ever cealt with.
Q. Have you ever been to her office before?
A. In Salean? No, I have nat been there.
Q. Does she have an office somentere else?
A. Nat that I'm are of, no.
Q. Does she use Global's offices in Massachusetts?
A. She'Il cuar dow to collect paperwod or whatever down to Qrincy. Our banding is still done - scme of it is dore at Qaincy, parrall.
Q. Do you know when Janet Lima - strike that.
wes Janet lima on Global's paymoll before she started select \& Ray?
A. Yes.

MR. MAMSHIN: By Global, he's referring to Gobal Maps, Inc.
A. I don't kmow the answer to that. I can find out, but I'm not sure whose paymoll she was on.
BY MR. JENSEN:
Q. But you tald we she was the bookkeeper for Global; is that right?
A. Yes. Yes, as well $\boldsymbol{s}$ the other ompenies. I'n pretty certain, as we're talking alout this, she's been doing - she was doing the other coupanies before, and now she's cortiruing to do them as well, so . . .

```
Q. Okay. So she was on the payroll of one of the Global entities?
A. I trilieve one of the clabal entities, yes.
Q. Do you know when ste stopped being on the pasroll of whichever (lobal ertity it was that was she on the paycoll of?
A. I would have to assme it was the sane tine that she wert out on her own, so roughly a year aps.
Q. In tems of what select \& Pay does for Gobal and I gress - well, let me ask this: Does Select \& Iay also do the bookkeping for the cther Global ertities?
A. To the best of ay krowledge, yes.
Q. Cxay, So she essertially just suitched her role fron euployee of the calobal entities to a separate corporate entity? Is that a safe statement?
MR. MPTHSIN: objection as to fom
BY MR. ATMSBN:
Q. I'll rephrase it. In cther words, Hs. Lima used to be an eurloyee with the Global ertities; is that ringt?
A. Yes.
Q. She opened 4 her own ocmpany; is that rigtt?
A Yes.
Oky. And cutimed in that new company doing
```
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What she did as an employee of the clabal entities; is that rigtt?
A Yes.
Q. All rigdt. Mith respect to what Select \& Pay does for Global and the various cilobal edities, to whon does Select: \& Ray issue bills?
A. That I don't know, bit, ayoin, I can firl out for you,
Q. Would it be the -
A. I don't know if it's Ferrous or if it's one of the other Global entities or not. I know het relatiorship is with Eerrous. I'u not sure -

MR. Mandinin: I think you gys ame confusing each other.
BY MR. J2NSER:
Q. well, tell me about that wrile you brought it up, the relatonstip with Ferrous and select i Pay.
A That's who she works for, the parent courany.
0. okay.

MR. MAISHIN: I believe Mr. Jensen was referrng to the bills issued by Select \& Bay not for payment of their services but for paybert of -
A. Oh, I thought you were taking about payment to Select I Pay.

BY AR, JONEN:
Q. I'll get to that, too.
A. Okay.
Q. While you're on that, why don't we just go down that mad How does Select \& Pay bill flobal for its services?
A. I'm not sure on that. like I said, the relationship with Select \& Paj is between Ferrous and Select \& Pay, and how they harde papments from the other oxupanies I don't know.
Q. Okay. So you don't know how - you thirk Ferrous Miner nay pay Select \& Pay for the services it provides to Glokal?
A. They nay. I krow that's what the relationship is, but I'm not certain on that.
Q. Okay. Do you krow whether or not Global pays

Select \& Pay ay money directly?
A. I dan't know
Q. Who would know that?
A. I'd have to ask Janet who's pajing her, where the funts arme from.
Q. Moving back to the question I originally asked, which is: Who does Select I lay issue bills to -and, by that, I mean castcmers, vendors - pardon me - not vendors bat custaners -
A. So the Global - when you say bills, you're not talking about Select \& Paj's bulls. You're talking about bills on behalf of clobal comparies?
Q. Correct. As I understand it, Select \& lay is the entity that receives astmer checks after select \& Pay issues bills or invoices to the customers; is that -
A. Yeah Select \& Bay issues an invice on behalf of either clobal NAPS, Inc. for intercarrier ompensation or Glabal NARS New lamphire for services to our customers. Is that what you'me asking?
Q. Rigit. So for every customer that Glokal has, Select \& Paj will issue that kill to that astomer?
A. On tehalf of either Global NRPS New Hempohire or Global NMPS, Inc. or whichever of the operating campanies it is.
Q. Rigt:; incluxing Networks?
A. Networks and Realty; right.
Q. So to the extert I have a list of costomers that you've provided me with, which you have - I $\operatorname{dn}$ 't know if it's complete or ant, bat you've provided we with a list of custoners and some contrats. Each one of those would get a bill from select \& Pay; is that rigit?
A. Well, the bill would be fruil Giobal. It would be sent out by Select \& Pas.
Q. Sert olt by Select \& Paf

4 A. Right.
5 . And then the check would be sent from the custamer back to Select \& Pay?
A. I believe all of the mail comes in to Quincy.

Okzy.
A. I dn't think the check is sert directly to select ${ }_{6} 8$ Pay.
Q. How do the checks go from Quincy to Select a Bay?
A. I don't know if they send thes or if she comen down to pidk then up. I'm nt sure of the logistics of bow the physical checks get frou the mailbox to the bakk.
Q. Dkay. So when she sents out the bills, she says send the payment to -
A. Rigtt; there's an address on the till, axd I believe it's a P.O. box in ourncy that everything gets sert to.
Q. And these customers would be Clobel's castoners; correct?
A. Ay one of the Glotal capmanies, yes.
Q. It could be any one of the Global cumparies. Okay, Does Select \& Pay issue bills to anyone other than 43
oustanes of the clabal entities? let ne rechrase that ard qualify it. [nes it issue bills to a ayone else other than the custaners bit not for services rendered on behalf of Glatal or Ferious Miner or any of the related entities?
A. I dn't - I as not sure what you are really asking me.
Q. I'm just asking if there are any bills that go oft from select \& Pay to andody else other than astcrers.
A. On behalf of Global?
Q. On behalf of Glabal or the Global entities.
A. Not that I'II avare of, 10 .

Do you -
A. I meal the recip, carg. bills and services is pretty nuch shat we provide, so those would be the two thims that So those would be the castowers?
Rigtt.
For Networks, it would be -- would it be scmething differert?
That's ærvicas.
Services?
Right.
Ard kealty would be -
A. Well, services, rack rental, that kind of stuff.
Q. -- lease - wolid they send out the lease requests?
A. lease to - for rack rental you mean?
Q. Well, I guess it could be radk cental or property rental.
A. Yeah
Q. Ckay. Do you know whether or not Select \& Pay issues any bills to any eitity in onnectiat?
A. I don't believe they do, no, ther than SBC for intercarrier compensation.
Q. Okay. But that may not be Conrecticut; rigit? Mayte that goes to a differem address?
A. Yeah, that could go to a different adress.
Q. Wher than, for example, SBC, ATEP, you're not aware of ary astomers that are located in conrectiat?
A. No, I's nt.
Q. And that would be astomers for ary of the Giobal entities?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Select 1 Ryy also pay expenses for the various entities?
A. To - internal expenses? External expenses? Expenses in ganeral? Yes, tify would.
Q. let's start with external expenses, Vendors, for
45

## excaple?

A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So-
A. Thraygh Global's - whichever one of the Global encities it would be - cheoking acooust.
Q. Whichever Global entity's - ould you say that again?
A. Are resporsible for the bill, they would pay it Select \& Pas would issue a check from that entity's cheding account to the vendor.
Q. Okay. And isn't that cheoking accourt clobal NAPS New hampstire, an acount in its nave?
A. I believe there are also checking acounts set up for the intividual ertities, such as Realty, Networks and Inc.
Q. Ckay. I thougit you testified last time that the Global NDPS Naw Hayshire, Inc. accourt in its name was the -
A Issued the creds.
Q. Issued the cheds, but it was also the repository for all the revenue or paments fra customers for all of the entities; is that true?
A. Yes, it is, bat there's one more step to it than I wes aware of. I found this out after trying to get all this together: That there are the individual
$30 c o u n t s$ now for the separate Glotal entities. So everything I told you wes correct, excegt there's one more step. Instead of a check being issued say if Realty had a bill. Instead of Global NAES - the money would come into Global NaPS New Hanpshire. It would be allocated and journalized If Glabal NRES Realty had a bill to pay, the noney woild go from New Hampstire into Realty's checking account, and then the crecic would be issued from Global MAPS Realty's checking to whover the bill was due to.
Q. Okay. And when you say, when the money comes in, it wes allocated and joumalized, whet does that nean?
A. The acoontiving wes done on it, the breakiown of the funds.
ir Manchin: Mr. Jensen, let be
internugy you at this moment. Pursuant to
the Judye's instruations at the last
confererce, I've penitted you to ask general
questione about the process; but you
uncrstand thet, if you wart infomation from
Mr. Gang or the camars about the actual
revenues of the other entities or the amourt
of these costs being paid, that you canrot
inquire th this depsition.
昨. INESEN: I an met - I do mot plan on asking atoot the actual amouts of revenue from the other coupenies. That's correct. What I'm trying to do is figure ott how everything works together, and part of that is the there's this cne Global acourt that I need to figure out how it worles and how it's allocated.
BY MR. JWNSI:
Q. That wes helpful. Thark you If goes into the New Hangerive accourt, and then - we'll use Global as an exauple - there's ansther aconust that Global has set 4 , and we'll probably talk about that in a few minites with the bank acount statenents that you've provided us. It goes into another acount within the specific entities, and then that acount is used to pay for the various experses of that particular entity?
A. Right. I believe those are all zero-balance accouts. Voney goes in and moncy goes out. Trere's no actual cash held in these.
Q. Wkay. Who makes the pajnents from those acounts?
A. The trarsfer of furcs between the aconnts? well, it would be the initial transfer from Gobal


|  | from our sales departent. Robert, our |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | adninistrative gry, would get the mail together |
| 3 | that would go up to then. There's rot a |
| 4 | trenmbas anount of interaction because w're |
| 5 | pretty consistent. Thinss don't change too much. |
| 6 | Our custaner bese is what it is, and there migt be |
| 1 | srall changes but nothing on a drily hasis aryuay. |
|  | In tems of where - how does Janet lina -- how does Select \& Pay get the information to know uto |
| 10 | to bill, when to bill and how much to bill? |
| 12 A . | As I said, we don't change much, so the billimg has |
| 12 | been going on, you know, for a long while. so it's |
| $13$ | just the sane consistent mills goim out every |
| 24 | month to the same farlrly consistert castoner hase. |
| 15 | If a castoner changes the bill, add some service |
| 16 | or sultrats sane service, then Brad would, you |
| 17 | know just pick up the phone and call lanet and |
| ${ }^{18}$ | say, heft, add a ERI to ubpever's account or take a |
| 19 | PRI awey or ath scme rade or take scme racks away, |
| 20 | wastever the situation was, bat there's mita |
| 1 | of dange. |
|  | Ckay. So if a new astomer cones in are you |
|  | saying that brad - |
|  | That's Brad Masuret? |
|  | Rigit. | A. Rigit.

Q. - would call up -
A. He'd call Janet.
Q. - lanet add saj, "T've got a new clstoner here. Here is the infomation"?
A Bigtt.
Q. Okay. Whas about when - this is scaecthing we talked about before - there was swe trasfer or there is, it sounds like, an ongoing trasfer of custrmers fron Clobal LAPS, Inc. to Global NRES Networks. Does that affect what Janet lima does at Select \& Pay in tenm of hilling castamers?
A. I think that would jste affect - you know, see, we don't have any - I'm not aware of ary contracts that are still in their original tern. As I said, once they go past the original tern, they're assigned to Networks. So I think, for quite a while now everything has pretty minch been in Networks' hand, so there's not a lot of charge in that. I nean, there may be onesies, twasies that I'm just not aware of that are still out there, hat I can't think of ary. This stuff has been in Networks' hands for a while and contimes to stay there, so there's not a lot of change.
Q. So you think there are only a few astomers left at Global Nass, Inc.?
A. I dn't really think there are any, but there nay be one or two that I' 1 just int aware of.
Q. In tems of the paymerts for experses that select \& Pay makes, how does that work? How does Janet Lima at Select \& Bay know when to pay and who to pay and how wich to pay?
A For?
Q. For any expense that is within, for example, Global.
A. If it wa a vendor's bill, like sonething say for Global, Ix. are we talking about?
Q. Rigtt.
A. I'a trying to think of an expense that Gobal, Inc. would have on a - if it's a reccourrim expense, it's easy. You pry it every month and you cortimue to pay it every month. Ary new experses, I can't really think of any new experses that she would have for Inc.
Q. Say a big experse cmes in. How would you get thet information to Janet Lima?
A. I'd call her.
Q. Way. And she would just at a chect?
A. If that's wat we detenined needed to be dore, sure.
Q. And who would detemine that?
A. Myself, wy brather and wy brather-in-las.
Q. Okay.
A. The three officers.
Q. Is that the same process for the cther clobal ertities?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to move back to Plairtiff"s Exhibit 10 that we started on before we started talling more about Select \& Pay.

MR. JENSEN: Can we take a quick break?
MR. MANSHID: sure. I will also check with ory office to see whether, in fact, there were any ledger doomerts received from select \& Bay. I don't believe there were, as I said before.
(Recess taken fron 11. 45 a a.m, to 12:01 p.m.)

MR. MAISHIN: Dring the break, I was able to confer with my cilleague, Barhara viller, who has confinasd, as I believed, thet all docaments that have been produred to Global NPS by Select \& Ray have, in tum, been produced to coursel for SNET. That

| includes a snall amount of docments that have | 1 I don't know if they're fulltime or at. She's |
| :---: | :---: |
| been prodiced todzy that have been produced in | doing this as well as doing ter daily dities and |
| norkates stary fora. To date, we heve nt | she's got to get the lamer involved, so it's a bit |
| received froa Select \& Pay the ledger ertries | of a process for her. It might be sumeenat |
| and other acounting docmerts referenced in | overwelming. |
| the attomey's lecter, wich I believe ws | 6 Q. Loking on the third page of this same docunert, |
| marked as Plairtiff's Extribit 13-no-10. | Parayrah 8 is reginting invoioes sent by Glical |
| So thet statenerit is errmeors. | NPPS, Irc. to castarers from 2002 to the present; |
| MR. JEVSEP: The statenert you're | and coursel for Select \& Fay wites, "Select \& Pay |
| 10 referring to is the statemertit in the actual | 10 has provided to Clobal MPSS, Inc. invoices that it |
| letter in Plairtiff's Extibit 10 sajing that | il has been able to cumpile this far" and that they're |
| 12 these docmerts have been prodiced? | contiming to search for the material. So do I |
| 13 Mr. MAMSHCN: Well, the inference, when | 13 have all the invices that select \& Pay has |
| 14 it sas, "lleae see previously prodioed | 14 protused to you giss so far? |
| 15 docments, " is that they believe that they've | 15 Mr. Matsini I did not specifically |
| 16 produced them. They are not in the documents | 16 Comoborate that, hat I did corroborate that |
| 17 that have been produred, so there's either a | 17 all the documents that have been prodioed fram |
| 18 misuderstanding or a problem wich we will | 18 Select \& Eay either to clobal NRPS or directly |
| 19 contime to pursie. | 19 to our office have, in turn, been prodiced to |
| 20 A. I called wif brother as well during the break to ask | 20 SNET. |
| 21 trim about that to see if he could get sone clarity | 21 IR. JENSI: For the recomd, I have not |
| 22 on it. He's been helping me get these docments | 22 had an opportanity to look through the |
| 23 together as wall. So I just asked hin to see if he | 23 doamerts that were prodred today. I will |
| 24 could fird out what thay were talking about there | 24 try to do that at the lunch treak, but it dose |
| 25 so we can figure it out. So mpefilly he can get | 25 appear that it least one of the documents sas |
| 57 | 59 |
| 1 all amwer for 15. | "Inoios" on it to verizon. |
| 2 BY MR. JISGR: | me. hanisiris: What you have here, just |
| 3 Q. So your brother Frank is looking into it? | for the recont, is a seriss of imoices that |
| 4 A. Yes. He's been helping ne thrount this wole | actually sprport the spreakreets with regard |
| process. | to Verizan intercarrier camensation tha we |
| 6 Q. So he's smebody else you consulted in terms of - | previously produced and then some imocices on |
| 1 A. Yes. | a related - differat ratter to grest. |
| Q Q. - preparing for the deposition and pulling the | Those are the two categories of invices, and |
| docaments? | they're explained in a ceclaration of Janet |
| 10 A. Yes. I thougt I said his name, bit if I dich't, | 10 Lina that she prepared it our request for the |
| 11 be wes. | $11 . V$ Verizon attacinert proceeting where they were |
| 12. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Mgain, going baxk to Pladrtiff's Evoibit 10 , | 12 unsucresfiul in securing a prejudgent |
| 13 looking a paragraph 7 on the second page | 13 attadmaxt in the Eastem District of New |
| 14 responding to the request for finarcial staterents, | 14 Yock. so I lrought all of those materials. |
| 15 Select \& Pay wites, "Select \& Pay is in the | 15 EY Mr. Jansat |
| 16 process of cumpiling docments responsive to this | 16 Q. Is it your understanding, Mr. Cangi, that the |
| 17 repest and will powide the responsive doments | 17 invices that have been prodread thus far are |
| 18 When couplete." Is it your understantim that | 18 imoios for reciprocal compenstion? |
| 19 they're in the process of puiling the firancial | 19 A. Yes, intercarrier coupensation. |
| 20 douments riptt now? | 30 Q. And thos would be to Verizon, for exampe? |
| 21 A \% Yes. | 21 A. For exaple, yes. |
| 22 Q. Okry. And have they indicated to you why it has 23 taken so long to get thoos doaments pulled? | 22 Q. Okay. Are you aame of any other invoices that 23 Select \& pas has provided you - let me strike |
| 24 A. As I said, you know I believe Janet is a sole | 24 that. let me make it mare clear. |
| 25 employee. She way have smecre that helps her. | 25 Are you aware of any cther - any invoics |
| 58 | 60 |


| 1 other than inwios for intercarrier coupensation? | 1 BY MR. IENSIN: |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 MR. MAMSHIN: I need to mk you to | 2 Q. So those would be the only invoics generated on |
| 3 clarify that because it's unclear to me | 3 behalf of Glual MAPS, Inc.? |
| 4 Whether you're asking that have been prodwoed | 1 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. |
| 5 for purposes of this proceeding or are | 5 Q. Okay. When did Gliohal naPs, Inc. stop providing |
| 6 generated by Select \& Pay during the ordinary | 6 telecomanications services? |
| 7 course of business. | 7 MR. MAISHIN: Excise me? |
| 8 BY MR. JWVSIN: | B BY MR. JENSEN: |
| 9 Q. We'll do the first one first then in teums of what | 9 0. You've said that Global NXSS no longer provides - |
| 10 Select \& Pay has protuced to you as part of their | 10 all the contracts with custcmers for ISP, for |
| 11 resporse to your request for information. | 11 exargle, have been assigned to Heturnks; is that |
| 12 A. Do you have thas -- | 12 right? |
| 13 Q. Do we have invoicas other than the invoices for | 13 A. To the best of Iy knowledge, yes. |
| 14 intercarier cupenssition? | 14 Q. So the colly business Global does is with, for |
| 15 A. Not that I'a amare of, no. | 15 example, Verizon for recip onop; is that rigtt? |
| 16 Q. Okay. Does select \& Pay generate invoices for | 16 A As far as outside billing, yes. |
| 17 arsmers other than astomers for intercarrier | 17 Q. Okaj. |
| 18 compensation? | 18 A Global Naps still molds the certificates, the |
| 19 A. I da't believe they do. Global's function is to | 19 irtercarrier agreamens. |
| 20 bill carriers for intercarrier compensation. | 20 Q. The ICA5? |
| 21 2. Dray. Majte you might att have understood ny | 21 A. Yes. |
| 22 question. Select. [ Pay generates the inmoices for | 22 Q. dasy. But it's no longer providing that service? |
| 23 the Global entities; is that rigtt? | 23 MR. MPNSHIN: Objection as to form I |
| 24 A Yes. | 24 don't know what "that service" means. |
| 25 Q. And Selectit Ray sends those invoices out to the | 25 EY MR, य3NSD: |
| 61 | 63 |
| 1 various astoners; is that right? | 10. It sound like all the services thas Glabal Naps |
| 2 A Yes. | 2 wculd have provided in the past have now been |
| 3 Q. Some of thase astomers are for intencarrier | 3 assigned to Mobal MVS Networts; is that rigtt? |
| 1 compensationi is that rigtt? | 4 A Other than holiting the cartificate, yes. |
| 5 A. Yes. | 5 Q. Ckay. So the only thing that Global does now for |
| 6 Q. For example, Verizon would be an example of a | 6 ISP providers is lold a certificate? |
| 3 custmer that would get an intercarrier | 7 A . It malds a cartificsie that allows metworks to |
| - compensation till? | - provide telecom servioes. |
| 9 A Yes. | 9 Q. But Clobal mo longer provides that telecim service; |
| 10 Q. Okay. Bit then thare are other types of | 10 correct? |
| 11 customers, for example - | il A. Comect; yes. |
| 12 MR. MORBIEA: ISPs. | 12 Q. Just going back to - well, gring back to Select E |
| 13 EY Mr. JWNSE: | 13 Say, prior to Select \& Eay's inoorporation in June |
| 14 Q. - an ISP like Earth link. Does Select a Pay | 14 of '05-about a year ap I think we |
| 15 generate the invoice that would go out to Barth | 15 establisted - where were all the bookseeping |
| 16 link? | 16 reconds kept prior to that time? I'll be |
| 17 Mr. MRRETRA: You're just using Earth | 17 specific For Global, for example, where were all |
| 10 Link as an examle. | 18 the bokkeeping records for ciobal kept prior to |
| 19 MR. Jussity Barth link is an example. | 19 June, '06? |
| 20 A. Yes, but that would at - if there wes al imoice | 20 A. I didn't desl with the recorts then and I don't |
| 21 going out to Earth link, it wauld ot be on behalf | 21 deal with thea now so I don't krow were they kept |
| 22 of Gobal NAPS, Inc. It would be on betralf of one | 22 them. I don't knw if it wes outside storage or |
| 23 of the other Gobal entities. So the inoioss | 23 if it wactually kept in one of our facilitles. |
| 24 that are generated on clabal MMPs, Inc. 's behalf | 24 I just don't know I dan't deal with that. |
| 25 are invioes for intercamier compensation. | 23 2. In tems of where they are now, where are the |
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| reconts for previne, '05 kept? | 1 An Plysically saw her? I saw her - what day is |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 A. If they exist - after a year, I believe it is, for | 2 today Friday? |
| most of the stuff, they're destroyed. | Mr. MATSHHN: Yes, todis is Friday. |
| 1 Q. Okay. So you think the bookkeeping neornts are | 4 A. wechestiay. |
| destroyed after a year? | 5 BY MR, JNSEE: |
| 6 A. Yeeh I trink it's routhly a year, sane of the | 6 Q. You typically see her haw often per north? |
| stuff thes"re obligted to keep lorger, hit | 7 A A couple times a math. |
| anything they're not obligated to keep they get rid | $8 Q$. Is that because she craes to your office, or you go |
| of. | 9 to her office? |
| 10 Q. Is that a written policy that the Global extities | 10 A. Yedh, ste conss down to Quincy to gather what she |
| 11 have? | 11 neat |
|  | 12 Q. But her office is $\varphi$ in New happosife? |
| 13 e jest con't have the man for it. That's the | 13 A. Her oftioe is in Salen, New Hapstirie; right. |
| 14 problen. Paperwork will just consume you. | 14 Mr. MAMISIN: Off the recorch. |
| 15 Q. But Janet lima would know where the recortis were | 15 |
| 16 kept prior to Select \& Pap | 16 (bet-thereoond disassion.) |
| $17 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{Yes}. \mathrm{Yes}$. | 17 |
| 18 Q. CkJ\% | ${ }^{20}$ EY MR. Sansat |
| 19 M. MNISHIN: Would you mind if I | 19.0. Going back to Plaitiff's Extribit 10, I'm Iocking |
| 20 interject with ore question for the witness on | 20. at Point Number 9, and the topic is pasments made |
| 21 the record? | 21. for Gobal NMPS, Inc. 's experses by any bark or |
| 22 Mr. Jowsiv: Pertaps. It depents on the | 22 other entity. The response is: "Select \& \%ay |
| 23 question. | ${ }^{23}$ does not have ary information or docments |
| 24 Mr. MAISHIN: You can object. | 24 responsive to this request." What does it mean by |
| 25 Did you ask aryone within Gobal to look | 25 "payments mat for Global MMES, Inc. 's expenses by |
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| in the various clobal offices to see if you | 1 any bark or cther extity"? |
| could locate amourting neconts that may not | : A. .rich one are you talking about? |
| have been trarsferred to Select 1 Pajor | 3 \%. Nuber 9. |
| billing reconts? | IR. MAMSHIN: Well, you're axding tim to |
| THE Mriess: I asked Jaret to $m$ that. | interpret the letter from counsel. |
| She would have known where they would have | IR. JTVsp: Wrich I believe, is qutimg |
| been in the past, so ste use ateed to nake | ris letter. |
| sure there ues nothing left behind. | M. Manstint Yes. I mes just going to |
| 9 BY Mr. JENSEN: | 9 say, if it's reciting tre lagnage fran your |
| 10 Q. So lanet lima cane back to your offices to look | 10 request, then obviously Mr. Gani can texify |
| 11 around for the recous? | 11 to what he meart. |
| 12 A. If she felt the need to, she would have. I know | 12 NR. JINSEN: that's what I'm asking. |
| 13 she was aked to do it. | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{BY}$ M. J Jansat |
| 19. When Janet Lima started Select \& Pay, tid she take | 14 Q. I believe it's just a recitation of what you asked |
| 15 all the pest bookkeesing recors with her to Select | 15 for. |
| 16 \& Pap? | 16 A. I'm mot sure why it sass "ry any hank or other |
| 17 A. I bellieve she did, yes. | 17 ertity," All I'm asking for is the expenses of |
| 19. O. Okay. Do you know wether or mot avy of the | 18 Global MPS, IIc., 50 I'm not sure wiy that's in |
| 19 bookreeping reconds are kept electrontally? | 19 there. |
| 20 A . Again, I dn't to the bookkeeping, so I'd be | 20 Q. Oky. Do you thirk mathe she or her coursel |
| 21 gressing. I don't know the asswer to that. | 21 misunderstood the question that you were asking? |
| 22.4. And Janet Lisa would know that? | 22 A. They could have, but we did talk about this. I |
| 23 A. Yes, she would. | 23 din't tenmber her specifically asking ne abrat |
| 24 Q. Ckay. When wes the last time that you saw Janet | 24 that, but I think, if she had a question, ste would |
| 35 Lina? | 25 have. She could have misumerstood it, sure. I |
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| 1 | 1 A. I know he told me that she sends him the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 BY MR. 3 UNSEN: | 2 Consolidated entries. I don't know if she - he |
| 3 Q. When you spoke to Mr. Taplor, did you go through | 3 tidn't mention her sending him the individual hark |
| 4 the various items thet are on your June 7h letter | 1 acounts. I can only wine, if be's telling me |
| 5 with him? | s he gets the consolidated information, that he |
| 6 A. We did. He wert through it item by iten. | 6 doesn't see the individual accouxts. |
| 7 Unfortunitely, I wes driving and on wy ceill phone | 7 Q. Okay. How is it that Janet lima knows to send a |
| 8 with him, so I tidn't wite anything down fromour | - ansolicted entry to the accountait? |
| 9 comersation. | 9 A I gress that's what she's almeys been doing. I |
| 10 Q. Okay. And he told you for -- well, let tre go | 10 den't know why. |
| 11 through each one of these. He said he hes no | 11 Q. Okay, Who would have origimaly made that |
| 12 information on Global Maps, Inc. 's equipment and | 12 decision? muald it have been you? Hould it have |
| 13 its fair market value? | 13 been your brother? Would it have been |
| 14 A . Yes. | 14 Mr. Oonture? |
| 15 Q. Did he say wiy? | 15 A It wuld have been the three of us. |
| 16 A. Yes. It's the same reasm for pretty much all of | 16 Q. So it would have been ty all three of you? |
| 17 then He gets his infomation from Select \& Pay, | 17 A. Rigtt; bet this has been going on since the |
| 18 and it's ntt troken out specifically by coapary. | 18 begirming of time, so it's not anything that's |
| 19 It's consolidated, the infomation, before it's | 19 happened recertly. |
| 20 given to him. So he doesn't have specifically |  |
| 21 items for strictiy Gobal MAPS, Inc. He deals with | 21 the beginning of time. You mean the beginnimg |
| 22 the stuff for tax prposes, and, as you know, it's | 22 of the cupren? |
| 23 all pushed up to Eenous Miner, the parent, and | 23 THE WTnESS: Begining of the compary; |
| 24 done on a consolidated return, and that's how he | 24 rigtt. |
| 25 receives his infomation. | 25 EY MR. JWNSIE |
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| 1 Q. For example, I understand that there's a Global | 10. But there are some recert changes that happered: |
| 2 bank acrount, and thet's the Gobal new lampsilire | 2 One being that select: 8 Pay is DWW the bookseeper; |
| 3 accourt; is that rigtu? | 3 arrect? |
| 1 A, Yes. | 4 A. correct. |
| s Q. Okay, But there are subacmurts for each entity; | 5 Q. And the fact that asch entity has its own separate |
| 6 is that rigtit? | 6 tak acmurt? |
| 7 A \% Yes. | 1 A Correct. That's new |
| 8 IR. MWISFHIN: Subacounts or - | 8 Q. Were there any disassions about how to address |
| 9 MR. JBNSIs z zardon me. | 9 those issues with a consolidited return? |
| 10 BY MR. JWNSD: | 10 A. Not that I've been invalved in, no. |
| 11 Q. Bank axcouts for each ertity. | 11 Q. Do you know who would have been inolved in those |
| 12 A. The chacking acounts for the intividual eritities? | 12 tiscassions? |
| 13 Q. Correct. | $13 \lambda$ I probably would have been inolved in those. \$o |
| 14 A . Yes. | 14 if I haren't been, I can assue that there haven't |
| 15 Q. And those are lsed, at least recently, to pay | 15 been axy. My brither my have - may have stepped |
| 16 experses - | 16 in and had scme discussion. I'm just net anare of |
| 17 A. Yes. | 17 it. |
| 19 Q. - for each entity? | 18 Q. And it's your understanding that your accountant's |
| 19 A. Yes. | 19 explanation applies to each one of these topics |
| 20 Q. Does the acourtant see the actual bank statements | 20 that you've requested infoustion on from him? |
| 21 or - well, let me - does the accurtant see the | $21 A_{0}$ Yes. |
| 22 actual bank statemerts? | 22 Q. So be doesn't know anything about the specific |
| ${ }^{23}$ A. I don't know. I don't deal with our tar | 23 assecs that Global has? |
| 24 preparation, so I'm not sure what he actully sees. | 24 A Spectically tobal MPPS, Inc, no, becase he |
| 25. Okay. Do you know what Janet lima sends to tim? | 25 deals with the parent. It's all one kig mess when |
| 74 | 76 |


| it gets ip there. | 1 to bandle the other entities. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Q. He deenn't have accourting ledger sheets showing | 2 Q. When you say "subs," you mean -- |  |
| asset trasfers betwen the various entities? | 3 A. The professionals, the acourtants and brokkeepers. |  |
| Isn't that something that would be included in a | 4 Q. -- Select \& Pay and - |  |
| consolidated financial statement? | s A. And Nancilla o Paylor, yes, |  |
| Mr. UANSEHN: Objection. lack of | 6 2. So as treasurer of Global, you have no say of how |  |
| foumation. | 7 your tax returss are put together; is that riglt? |  |
| 8 If you know, | - A. That's right. |  |
| 9 A. I don't know. Like I said, I'm not an acourtant. | Ms. MAISFIN: I need to interpose an |  |
| 10 I'm not a bookeeper. I con't know what should or | 10 objection. The testinary last time uas clear |  |
| 11 shouldn't be transferred from one to the other. | 11 thit Global MPSS, Inc. does not file its oun |  |
| 12 Mr. MaISHIN: I will say this to your | 12 separate tax returns; that the tax returns are |  |
| 13 incurry: I know that Mr, Maylor's firm is | 13 filed on a consolidtted basis. So I will |  |
| 14 engayed by Eerious Miner to prepare tax | 14 object to that question for lack of fourdation |  |
| 15 acounting records, not bsiness acounting | 15 and mischaracterizing the prior testimony. |  |
| 16 recorts; and there's a difference frequertly | 16 MR. JENSN: I don't think it does |  |
| 17 between acounting for tax purposes and | 17 because I think the prixt is that Glabal does |  |
| 1t acounting for GAP purposes. As a private | 16 not file a tax retum becerse it's told not to |  |
| 19 compary, GAAP dees int necessarily apply to | 19 file a tar cetum by Ferrous Miner. |  |
| 28 either ferrous or any of the stes. So if you | 24 鲑. MATSHEN: Whatever. |  |
| 21 understand the difference between the two, it | 21 BY MR. Jansat |  |
| 22 sort of rakes sense wiy he doesn't have the | 22 Q. We rivy have touched on this before, lat as your sit |  |
| 23 underlying reconds for the sutsidiaries. | 23 here todzy, can you tell me the expenses that |  |
| 24 That's my understanding, and I believe that's | 24 Giobal has on a rathly besis? |  |
| 25 referencat in the rexponse that pich has | 25 A . No. Again, I've requested that from the |  |
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| 1 talked about. I have not had a chance to | 1 bookseepers, and they haven't turted it over to us |  |
| 2 cead it, but when he read it to me over the | 2 ye. As socn as we get it, we'll share it with |  |
| telephone, that's whir it said. | 3 you. |  |
| 4 MR. JWNSBt: You're refercing to the | 4 Q. Since the last deposition, were you able to confin |  |
| e-mail that he recaived from the acouritat? | 5 the mmber of clahal employess? |  |
| 6 MR. Mrastins: Yeah. I beljeve it would | 6 A. Yes. Can I have a plece of paper so I can wite |  |
| 7 be in the documents Barbera is having Bates | 7 this down? |  |
| 9 staped. | 8 Mr. Mantsrow (Hatring.) He can have |  |
| 9 EY MR. JWNSE: | 9 that madked as an exribit, 50 don't write |  |
| 10 Q. Dees clobal have a separate accountart that. it | 10 angthing that's privileged. |  |
| 11 uses? | It Mi Mr. MNSEN: |  |
| 12 A. For what? | 12 Q. Don't draw ary pictures. |  |
| 13 Q. For anything. | 13 A You wert me to recite them to you and you can write |  |
| 14 A. Separate? | 14 thea dow? |  |
| 25 Q. Accoustant. | 15 Mr. JWNSN: If the notes will help you |  |
| 16 A . Note that I'm aware of, m . | 16 recolleat and get your testimory acurate, you |  |
| 17 0. So Ferrous Miner has hired Nardella E Taylor to | 17 should take the notes. |  |
| 10 perform the accounting functions for Global; is | 19 TPE MITHESS: OKAy. (Hanting.) |  |
| 19 that rigit? | 19 Mr. JeNsert Why don't we madk this as |  |
| 20 A. Yes, and, again Ferrous Miner also has hired | 20 Exhibit 16. |  |
| 21 Select \& Pay. The relationship is Ferrous to | 21 |  |
| 22 Select \& Pad and Ferrous to Nardella \& Tajlor. | 22 (Plaidiff's Exhibit No. 16, |  |
| 23 Q. So whatever agreenert on how thims are supposed to | 23 hamducitten list of eight | , |
| 24 be done are cirected by Ferrous Miner? | 24 names on yellow lined paper, |  |
| 25 A. Fermus; cight. Eerrous instunts the sute on how | 25 marked for identificatior.) |  |
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| 2 BY MR. JENSIN: | 1 Q. When they do work for other Gobal entities, what 2 would they be doing? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 Q. Okay. Just reading from Plaintiff's Extibit 16, | 3 A I can't really think of anytring they migit do for |
| 1 Mr. Gangi, the following eight people are employees | 4 sumebody else, but there's certainly a possibility |
| 5 of Global, and that would be: Jeff Noack, Bob Fox, | S that someboty may need their services for some |
| 6 Ann Hartman, Jeff relick, Sam Zarzour, | 6 issue. I don't know what that might be. |
| 7 2-A-R-Z-O-IJ-R, Pobert Gatani, G-A-E-T-A-N-I, Brad | 7 Q. Do you know if - |
| 8 Masuret and Dave Shaw is that right? | 8 A I can't sit here and tell you that 100 percert of |
| 9 A. Yes, and mself of course. | 9 their time is spert on Gobal Maps, Inc. |
| 10 Q. So it's a total of nine mployees? | 10 Q. Ann Hartman I think we spoke about before. She's |
| 11 A Rigit. | 11 the CrO? |
| 12 Q. What about Mike couture? | 12 A. CNO , yes. |
| 13 A. Mike does mot work for Global, Inc. | 13 Q. Okay, And instead of latchering what you said |
| 14 Q. Isn't he al officer of Global, Inc.? | 14 before, does An lartnan work for any of the other |
| 15 A . Yes. | 15 Global entities? |
| 16 Q. What about your brother Trank? | 16 A I dn't beliere she does. I think she's strictly |
| 17 A. He's an officer, but he's not an emplojee. | 17 Global MPS, Inc. |
| 10 Q. What's the distinction between the two as you | 18 Q What does Am Hartuen do? |
| 19 understand it? | 19 A. CPO |
| 20 A. An officer is an officer of the corpration, and an | 20 Q. Whit are her daily activities? |
| 21 employee is sameone who is paid by the compary. | 21 A I'rn not sure. I know she's not, in a tratitional |
| 22 Q. So your brother does not get paid by Glohal? | 22 serse, what you would - what a typical cso would |
| 23 A . Nb, he doesn't. | 23 ) ${ }^{0}$, but she's our COS. I'm not sume on her daily |
| 24. Mike Couture does net get paid by Global? | 24 daxies. |
| 25 A. No, he doesn't. | 25 Q. What do yoen mean ly ste's not a CYO in the |
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| 10. Do either of the two - well, does your brother do | 1 tratitional sense? |
| 2 ary work on behalf of Glabal? | 2 A. I think a - vell, in my viem I think a cro is |
| 3 A. I'M sure he doss suething sire. | 3 more imnived in the merbe dop-to-day finarces of |
| 4 ¢. All rigte. What abot Mike Couture? | 4 the corpray, and mn is not. |
| 5 A. Nike for Eabal, Inc. 7 Yes. | 5 Q. Whay is that? |
| 6 Q. What does Jeff Noack do? | 6 A Just the wey we do thinge. |
| 7 A. Jeff works with the carriers, | 10. Is there scaelody that is in chamg of the |
| Q Q. Does he have a position? | 1 dey-to-dy finances of the business? |
| 9 A. Yes, lat I'n usure of his titie. | 9 A As I explained to your there's not a whole lot of |
| 10 Q. Does Jeff work for aty of the other Global | 10 change in our compary, 30 things just kind of trot. |
| 11 ertities? | 11 along. |
| 12 A. No. He works for Global, Inc. | 12 Q. Olay. Money comes in and maney gees out. Is that. |
| 13 Q. Glohal, Inc. only? He provides no services for | 13 What you're syying? |
| 14 the other - | 14 A. Yes. |
| 15 A. He may from tine to time, but the majority of his | 15 Q. What lappers if net erough money coues in? |
| 16 work is for Global, Inc. | 16 A I'm not sure; never man across that ciranstances |
| 17 Q. What does - Bob Fox, what's fis position? | 17 yet. |
| 18 A . He dees - works with the carriers as well, the | 18 Q. That's never happened since the incertion of |
| 19 ILKCS. | 19 Global? |
| 20 Q. You don't know what his position is? | 20 A. Not that I's aware of. |
| 21 A. I don't have his title off the top of my head. I | 21 Q. What location or - strike that. |
| 22 can find it for you. That's not an issue. | 22 Where is Ans Hartman's office? |
| 33 Q. Does Bob Eox also work for other Clabal ertities? | 23 A. I believe she works from home. |
| 24 A. Eron time to time, he way do scmething, het prinarily thase guse work for Global. | 24 Q. Is she a fulltime CrO? |
| ¢ privary chase grac work tor gokal. | 25 A. Yes. me's salary. |
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Q. Where is her home?
A. I believe ste lives in Boston. I don't exactly know where.
4 Q. By full-time, you mean she has mother positions with ary other compary?
6. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Who is san zarzour?
A. In-touse counsel.
Q. Where is his office?
A. Norucod.
Q. Norwood?
A. Narwood, yes.
2. Does Mr. zarzour do anything special as in-honse counsel? Does he have an area that he specializes in?
R N.
Q. Does Mr. Zarzour work for any of the other Glabal entities?
A. Yes, I'm sure for - well, he's eaplojed by Global NAPS, Inc., but I'm sure be offers secvices to the other Global entities as needed.
Q. Is there one in-house counsel departnert. for all the Global encities?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So Mr. zarzour and Mr. Melick wodk in one
central Gobal in-house departwert?
A. Yes.
Q. And provide senvices for all the various clobal entities?
A. As needed, yes.
Q. AR迆, for excugle, Mr, Zarzour is paid by Global?
A. Yes.
Q. And is Mr. Melick paid by Global?
A. Yes.
Q. Is all the staff for in-house connsel paid for by Global?
A. I dan't believe so, no. I don't know the breakup on the other employees, wich entity is mying for then, but Sam and Jeff are the responsibility of Glabal, Inc.
Q. Do you know how many in-louse counsel attomeys you have? When I say "you "I mean Gokal and the Mokal entities.

MR. MAISHIN: NET Enough.
MR. JgNSE: That's why they tired you.
A. Actually, I believe san and Jeff are the only attomeys in-buse. There are other staff that are in the legal department. I don't bellieve they're atorneys.
EY RR. JENSEN:

1 Q. Okay. Robert Gzetani we spoke about a little bit earliec. Where is his offige?
A. Qurincy.

I think you sald before that he works for Global but he also wrks for some of the other Global ertities?
A. Yeah. He way do projects for other companies frow time to time, sure.
Q. Okay.
A. But he's, again, paid by Global, Inc.
Q. Does in-house counsel for clobal and the various
eitities, do they also provide services for Ferrous Hiner?
A. I'm not aware if they do or they don't.
Q. Brad Masuret, where is he located?
A. Quincy.
Q. David Shaw?
A. guincy,
Q. Mr. Gangi, do you know who Jim Shalton is?
A. oh, yeah, another atorrey, I knew I'd forget sametody.
0. Is he a Global employee?
A. I don't believe he woriss - oh, majbe he is. Yes, Jin is a Global enployee. Hy mistake.
Q. Okay.
A. There maj be a name or tun that are going to cmop up other then what's on that list, so . . .
Q. What office is M. Shelton out of?
A. I telieye he works out of his bove in Florida
Q. Oky. Do ary of the Global entities have an oftice cown where be wods?
A. Just his theme office.
Q. That's his own oftice?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is that considered a Global office?
A. I don't kacw the anower to thet. I don't trink it is. I think it's just his own - his own tring.
Q. Okay. What des lis, Shelton do for Global?

A He does a lot of our regulatory stuff.
Q. What wuld be an exmple of something that he woild do in the regulatory arena?
A. Negotiating an interconnection agreewent, angthing really dealing with the ILBCs. Boy, is he going to be and that I forgot him.
Q. I'll have to fly down to florida and tell hin Does Mr. Shelton aiso do mork for other Global ertitien?
A. He may, but I don't think he does. I think be's pretty concertrated with dealing with the regulatory stuff.


1 Q. Do you kuow who made that decision?
2 A. I'm sure it would have been the three of is ufficers when it was made.
Q. Has she been using that office space since the incorporation of Select \& Pay?
A. Yeah, I'm pretty sure. It's been available to her since she's left. I don't know if she's been using it or not, bat it's there.
Q. Going back and taking a look at the two extibits, Rlaintiff's Exhibit 3 and Plaintiff's Extabit 17 MR. MANISTIN: One second. YR. JENSEN: Did I take it? Sorry,
BY MR. JENSEX:
Q. Let me give it back to you so your counsel can have it. \{anating.) I think there was an open question during the last deposition as to who put together what was marked as Plairtiff's Exhibit 3 during that deposition. Do you have more inforation on that today?
A. This is . . .

MR. MANISHIN: The letter.
THE MITNESS: Right.
A. This wes pit together by - I believe Glemn actually put this together. He git his information from our in-house coursel, wo I'n sure
queried the employees in Globel NABS for it.
BY MR. JENSEN:
Q. So by "Glem," you're referring to Attomey Manishin?
A. Yes.
0. It's your belief that he got this infomation from in-house coursel?
A. Pigit; who would have gotten it from a Gobal enployee.
Q. Okay. And do you know who that clobal eriployee was?
A. I'm not cortain, bat looking a the list, I know who I wert to to updete the list. That wes Mat Pallett.

MR. MAMSHN: I'll say for the record that he is correct. I got it from in-house ormel; Mr. Shelton in particular.
BY MR. TFNSOS:
Q. Looking at Plaintiff's Extibit 17, you just testified that Mr. Ballett aszambled the infomation in Plaistiff's Extilbit 17 ?
A. Rigit, the serial numers and location and that stuff, yeah.
Q. Okay. Do you know where he got the infomation to put in this document?

1 A Mo, I didn't akk hin. I know he's got a datahase with all of the equipert for Networks on it. I'm unsure if it's the databese or if he can go to the specific piece of eqpipnert to draw up the information from it, but he has acoess to it.
Q. So he has a-
A. I believe he has a database of equipment in the network. This equipmert, I believe, was - this was the stuff from -- in Connectiat?

Mr. MANISHIN: Yes.
A. Pigtt. 50 I don't know if this is in his datahase or if he just wert and acoesed - becase you can access swe of this equifment, the Sycanore stuff, renotely from the teminal and pidx up the infomation off of it. I'm not certain how he did it.
EY MR. JWNSN:
Q. Oky. Bt you mertioned he has $a-$ is the database an electronic database that he has of the network eqsipaent?
A. Again, I was just - sorry. That was speculation on Ey part, and I probably shouldin't have dre thet. After looking at this, I realize the sycamore you can just $\log$ into and just get the information frum then. So that's more than likely 95

What he did.
Q. Okay, ind ury do you say thet veisus having a database with the save infomation?
A. Because I'n nat sure he does have a database, but I know he can $\log$ into then.
Q. Ocay. and can you tell me how you do $\log$ into for eramile, to find a spamore - information about a Sycamere chassis?
A. Again, I dan't do that; but, specifically, if you know where an iten is located, it has - it's courected to IP and has an address. You can $10 g$ into the piece of equipment for a network that has a monitor and a NKC and make sure the thing's runuing.

MR. MATSHIS: $W C$ is $N-O C$ for the Reproter.
A. So they can pull up the infomstion off of it once they lock into it.
GY MR, JUSEP:
Q. What does NOC stand for?
A. Network operation center.
Q. Ckay. How tid Matt fallett go about puting this together? Did you say, hey, Natt, we need more details on the equipment in Onnectiat? How did this happen?
A. Yeah. I had the list from the letter, and you guss needed one of the adtresses and the serial mubers. So I just went to Matt and asked him if te could get that, and he said yes.
Q. And be dicn't explain to you tow be -
A. No. I dim't akk him.
Q. Is there any way to get information that is more specific than the infomation that we currently have on Plaintiff's Bdhibit 177
A. What are you looking for?
Q. well, for example -
A. I think you asked tee for nodel and serial nuber last tinei tigt?

MR. NRNLSHN: And location.
A. And location.

By Me. JENSDN:
Q. Pight. I think those were sone of the thinge we asked for. For examile, on the second prge, you have Devon and - well, fust looking at the first entry for Devon, it says "Devcn" and then "Niow Site," and " 689 " Naygatuck Boad or "Neugutuck $R$ " and then the description of the piece of eqripment. Where at 689 Naugatuck is this piece of equipment, ond bow would ue find it?
A. Well, reating this, I suspect there is a Mious
site - NEON is a provider, Nse England otical Network, a fiber provider. So we're probably in their co-location site at that address, and I would have to assure - this is a cerent, so it's a rack item - there must be a rack in there that's got cirl nave on it. I don't think we share a rack with aythody, but we nay.
Q. As you sit here today, you don't know the answer to that?
A. I don't know the answer to that. I didn't ask Matt for all that detail. I asked him for a serial nunter and randel and an atdress.
Q. Okay. I guess the question wuld be: If I were to walk ip to the NXN site at 689 Nangatuxk and wanted to find that piece of equipmert, how would I do it?
A. Ask for the Global NaDs rack.
Q. Who would I ask?
A. Whever is ruming the facility. There would be sommone there,
Q. Okay. 50 there's samebody fron NEON nuring that tacility?
A. Well, scue of these sites are urmanned. I dan't know if these NNON sites are, but sone sites are umamed. So if we wanted to go there, we would
either have a key or we would have to call and have scmebody neet us there. I know that's typically what they do.
Q. Ok3y. If we were -
A. If this is an unmaned site, you'd have to make a call to have sonebody meet you there. If it was a maned site, you could aki then and they could point you in the right direction.
Q. And they'd be able to point you to each and every piece of equipment?
A. They colid point you to the rack, and in the rack would be the equipment. If there's multiple racks, they'll show you multiple racks. I dan't tituk ary of these would be multiple racks. They should all fit in one rack.
Q. Is there ary infomstion on here about age of the various equipant?
A. No.
Q. Is there any information here about the value of the equipment?
A. No. We just don't have that. Most of the stuff is, you know, four, five years old, mazbe older. It's been depreciated off of our books. We den't keep fair madket values for stuff becase we just don't have a need for it. Even deternining what 99
fait market balue is - you know, it's all a crap shoot, wat samebody will pary for what you got. Same of this stuff is provisioned for our own use. For sonebody else to use it, can they use it directly or $\dot{d}$ they have to molify it or whatever? So a value on sconthing is very difficuilt to establish.
Q. Is it all still in use as you understamd it?
A. In Comectiat, this should all still be in use, yes. This x I is un.
Q. So it does have value to it?
A. well, it keeps the ra up. ss, yeah, it has value. As far as from an accourting perspective, I mn't kucw if it has any value.
Q. In tems of the equipmert that is in Hartford Stamford and Devon - and three are the locations that don't have a hot - you indicated that you thougte the stuff would be - the equipment would be in a single radk? That's your understancing?
A. Yeah. Like in Hartfond for instance, this looks like it is - this is actually - like the Cenent is a - call it like a desktop computer kind of. It's a chassis that you put cands into. This is a breakdown of the carcs that are in that cerert. So this looks like to be - even though there's a

| 1 | burch of line itens here, I think it's that one | rads? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | chassis with the individual components broken out | 2 A No, I haven't. |
| 3 | of it. | MR. MRNISHIN: "You" as fich Gangi and |
| 4 Q. | Okay. Then you look at the - plaintiff's | not the compary? |
| 5 | Extibit 3 describes the equipment that is supposed | 5 EY MR. BNSEX: |
| 6 | to be at the fartford location? | 68. You as a representaive of global. |
| 7 A. | Rigitr: and that makes serse because this is a rat | MR. MEIICK: I'z not sure he inderstood |
| 8 | that the equipmert is housed in. Then there is | thex to be the case in your question. |
| 9 | one Cisco cerert, and this second sheet, 17, gives | 9 h. Did I physically go to one of these thims? Is |
| 10 | you the treaktun of what's in that cerert. The | 10 that what you're sking me? |
| 11 | tiber panel is just a simgle panel st the top of | 11 BY Mr. JENSOM |
| 12 | the rack where the fiber ocopes into, and we take it | 12 Q . As a representative of clobal; correct. |
| 13 | out of it, and the relec fuse penel is a fuse panel | 13 A. No, I have not gone to these sites. Sureore within |
| 14 | at the top of the rat where we derive our power | 14 Global way have gone to these sites, mayte nt this |
| 15 | frow - there's a large feed coming in, swaller | 15 week, bat I foow we do invertories, so. |
| 16 | feect couing out to the equipmort - and then the | 16 Q . Who would have done that? |
| 17 | filter shelves are just fiber stelves. | 17 A. I don't know wo would attually go out and do the |
| 180. | So you thing that all of that would be on one rack? | 18 imeatories. |
| 19 A | Yeah. That's one piece of equipmert. | 19. Q. How regilarly do people $d$ invertories? When I say |
| 20 |  | 20 "people," scmebody fran Global. |
| 21 | (Whereby the witness indicates.) | 21. We haven't done one in - maybe amually. |
| 22 |  | 22 Q. So in tems of puting together the infomation |
| 23. | Okay. Do you know whether or not ary of the | 23 that's in this letter and in the follow-up sheet, |
| 24 | equipnent that - strike that. | 24 you don't know whether or not scmesody actually |
| 25 | Do you knw whather or not there migtt be | 25 wert - when I say "sumbocol," it can te ayjudy |
|  | 102 | 103 |
| 1 | other pecple's or anther cuapmy's equipmert on that rack? | 1 froa Global - wert to the site to verify that this <br> 2 equlpmert is still there? |
| 3 A | I don't know if there is, bet there should't be. | 3 A . Pight my gress is not. They can verity remotely |
| 4 | It stould all be clobal's. | 4 that it's there because the network is still up; |
| 50. | so typically there would just be clical's equipment | and if the equipmert mesn't there, it wouldn't be, |
| ${ }_{5}$ | on one rack? | so |
| 7 A | Rigit. We don't typically share, imess there's a | 7. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Tunding your atention to the two dran filter |
| - | $\infty$-lo that's full and can't gat a rack. Then you | - shelves, do we have a part mumer for those and a |
| 9 | way try to fird scostody in the co-lo that has | 9 maufacturer? |
| 10 | erifty spase in their rack, bit that is undemen. | 19 A. I'n oot sure if one of these would be the - mo, it |
| 110. | And wiy don't we look at Devon and Stamford, the | 11 doesn't look like there is. He just did a |
| 12 | detail that's in Plaintiff's Dxtibit 3. So that | 12 breakdown on what wes in the cerert. Those other |
| 13 | would be the same? | 13 meas are jutt small precss of equipmert thit - |
| 14 A | Sane thing ore cererit. The Cerert is the major | $14 . \mathrm{like}$ the fuse panel is a simple flue panel. |
| 15 | piece of equiprert. The second stest, 17, gives | 15 Q. Cayy, So there's no other infomation for the |
|  | you the treadicion of what's in that cereert. | 16 filter shelves? |
| 17. | So Devon add Stzuford appear to be con one rack? | 13 A. No. |
| 188 | One rack; rigtt. | 19. 2. And that would be for Hartford, Devon and Stanford? |
| 19. | And I gress one of the questions is: You haven't | 19 A fight. |
|  | actually gone to these sites to confim that it's | 20 Q. And the rasks that are a these three sites, io |
|  | on me rack and the equipment that is listed here | 21 they have clubal MQPS on them somentere? |
| 22 | is on that rack; is that right? | 22 A. I don't know typlically we have invertory tags. |
| 23 A | I haven't physically gone there, mo. | 23 I can't tell you if these do or not. |
| $240 .$ | And you haven't been to those sites to verify that there inn't samebody else's equiment on those | 24 Q. Assuming that there's mbody warking at the site 25 and that you need to get a key to get in, how do |
|  | 102 | 104 |


| 1 you figure out which rack is Global NaPS's? | Grobal IRPS? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 A. I don't krow. I gress the gus would either - I | 2 A. Well, I would say yes because this is a list of all |
| 3 don't know if there is a map wen you go in. I | 3 the equiprent that we have in connectiat; and the |
| 4 really haven't been to mary of the smaller 0 -los, | 4 Connectiatt nn is owned by cliobal NQPS, so I would |
| s 30 I don't know if there's a gride wen you go in | say yes. |
| or how they do it or if they just walk around and | 6 Q. Okay. So are you saying - |
| 7 look for a rack labeled "Flobal NQPS." I don't | 7 A. Everything in that hut stould belong to ciobal |
| brow | 8 nus. |
| 9 Q. Okay. The rexon I'm asting is because, in order | 9 Q. Are you saying, therefore, that nothing - m space |
| 10 for us to - | 10 is being leased to any ocher entity? |
| 11 A. I could inquire for you critainly. | 11 A. I don't believe we provide 0 - 10 - these buts are |
| 12 Q. I would appreciate that. The detail I reed is | 12 on the hatrek rigit-of-wey, fatrak is the fiker |
| 13 that of a person walking in off the strect to walk | 13 provider for us. Autrak may actully have |
| 14 into one of these buildinse and know exatly wat | 14 smething in there to allow is - their own |
| 15 equipmert is owned by clabal MPPS - | 15 equipmert to allow us to comect to their fiber. |
| 16 A Sure. | 16 So I can't say for critain that there's not a rack |
| 17 Q. -Inc. | 17 of hatrak's equipeert in these hits. I'm not sure |
| 18 A. OKay. | ${ }_{18}$ if we provided the equiperti for that or if they're |
| 19 Mr. MAISHIN: You'ce asking were the | 19 just giving ie fiber. I don't know |
| 20 equipnert in the builcing is located, not | 20. Okay. And are you saying you don't know whether or |
| 21 whict equipment is aned by Global MPSS? | 21 not Global offers any rack rental or co-location |
| 22 Mr. JVNSPA mell, it's bath. | 22 servos within comectiat? |
| 23 MR. MAFISHIN: Well, he's told you wrich | 23 A I'm sure we offer it. I just don't know if |
| 24 equifmert is ouned by clabal. Now your | 24 anyoody has taken atractage of the offer. |
| 25 question wess: How sould you find at where it | 25. Who would know the arswer to that? |
| 105 | 107 |
| is in the burilding? | 1 A. I could ask my sales department if they have ary |
| IR. JENSIN: Well, I think once you get | 2 rat retals in Comeatiout. |
| there, you've grt to figure out wrid | 30. But it is your belief that you do offer to lese |
| equipmert on thit rat is grobal NAPS's. It | 4 that space? |
| may have somebody else's equipment an it. It | S A Yes the hats? Yeath. |
| may be located in differert spots in that | 6 Q. The equipmert that is at the hit in Mystic, we'll |
| area Il may not all be on cre rack. | 7 start with, is thit equriment all located inside |
| MR. MAISMIN: Maybe. Aconoting to the | the hat? |
| witress, that's not the wy it is. You can | 9 A. Yes. |
| 10 also - you're entitled to serve a Pule 34 | 10 Q. All of it? |
| 11 request to inspect, and you're able to go out | 31 A. Except for - did they list a generator on any ore |
| 12 there yourself. | 12 of these hats? I think they both have generators; |
|  | 13 rigtt? Yeah the generator would be external. |
| 14 BY MR. JINSE: | 14 Everything else is inside the hat. |
| 15. Q. In terms of the equipment that's located in Mrstic | 158. Whis abut the fiel systen for the generator? |
| 16 and kew Haven, that equipment I undrstand is - | 16 Where would that be? |
| 17 well, those two locations have huts; is that right? | 17 A I think these may be propane, 50 it's either |
| 18 A New Haven, Glokal Nars hat, yeah. | 18 sitting on a belly tank underneath the generitor - |
| 19 Q. Ckay. | 19 if it's diesel fuel, that would be in a tank |
| 20 A. And Mstic, GNPS int, yeah. | 20 underneth it. If it's propane, it would be some |
| 21 Q. So both those locations have hits? | 21 tank in close proxinity to the generator but |
| $22 \mathrm{~A} . ~ Y e s$. | 22 outside of the hut. |
| 23 Q. I think we talked a little bit about the hits last | ${ }^{23}$ Q. Other than the generator, it's your undenstanting |
| 24 time. Do you know wether or att the equipmert | 24 that all the equipmert would be inside the hit? |
| 25 that's located in the hew laven hat is all owred by | 25 A. Yes. |
| 106 | 108 |


| Q． | And is that same－is that also true for the New Haven but？ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A． | Yes |
| Q． | Do you know what a storase cell is？ |
| A． | Storage call？That could be a fuel tank． |
| Q． | Ckay．Do you know what the nodel number for the fuel tanks are at each site？ |
| A | I dan＇t know what specifically the fiel is，if it＇s propane or diesel．So I don＇t know if it＇s－if it＇s I I don＇t know |
| 0. | Oky．And another thing that we haven＇t been provided is a serial number for the generators at the Mystic and New Haven site． |
| A． | Okay． |
| 6 Q | Is there in a reason why we don＇t have that information？ |
| 1 A | I may not have recqusted that fron Math．It could be ny issue．I could get it for you for certain． |
| 0. | There＇s also listed at each of these sites，the Mystic and New Haven sites，an etherret switch． |
|  | Do you know what the model muber of each of these ethernet switches is？ |
| ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | No，bot thri＇re of minimal value．Even new，it＇s probebly a hurdred－dollar iten It＇s nt a |
|  | large－scale item． |

Q．On the last page of Extibit 17，the vecy last entry for the New Haven gaps hat is＂Bdglint 1.3 Mux．＂ Do you see that？
A．Yeah．
Q．What is that？
A．A mux is a piece of fiber equiment．
Q．Is there a part number for this？
细．MuISHN：Not listed．
A．Not listed，and if it＇s mot there，it meas he could not get it．
BY M．JNNSEN：
Q．Is this an soglink hib？
A．I really con＇t know．It＇s an M13 max．I don＇t believe that＇s considered a huh．
Q．Do you know if it＇s equipped with ary cands？
A．I don＇t know．He didn＇t do a breakriown on that． I can inguire．
Q．Do you know whether or not Global keeps aly test equipment at ary of the locations？
A．Typically，no．
21．I think we talked about spares last time，and I think your testimony was that spares，if you had then，would be located in Nassactusetts sonewhere？
A．Right．
2．But not at the sites in Cormectiat？

A．No．
2 Q．Oky．
3 A．Not that I＇m anare of anywy．
Q．In tenss of the power thet the eqnipaent in Hartford，samford and Devon lise－strike that．

How does Glohel attain prour in Hartford， Stanford and Devon？
A．Those are the hits that we＇re talking about？
Q．No，those are the racks．
A．That woild be part of the－provided by the 0 －locdion facility．
0．Do you know whether they＇re $A C$－or DC－based？
A．All of our equipmert or the majority of our equipment is DC－based， 50 this would Imat likely be D．
Q．One of the questions I had last time was whether or not Gobal had ary interest or rigits in any patents．Fere you able to check on that？
A．Yech，No，we don＇t．
Q．Does Gobal have ay interest or rights in ary cuprigts？
$A \quad \mathrm{Ho}$ ．
Q．Does clobal hawe any irterest or rights in ary irtellectual property？
A．No．

Q．We also talked about．Gdal＇s efforts to secure a band in this action last time？
A．Rigt．
Q．You，I think，teatified that you didn＇t know what efforts were taken，if an？
A．Rigt．
Q．Were you able to follow ip on that？
A．Yes singe were taken．
Q．No steps were taken at all？
A．No steps were taken，
Q．Is there ary reason wiy？
A．Din＇t feel that we could get a band，so they
dimin＇t bother to attengt to get one．
Q．fid you discuss that with anyone？
A．My in－bouse ounsel and also with 哊 brocher．
触 what point did you ghas make that decision？
A．Of not atterpting to get the bord？
correct．
I＇m not sure．
Mas it prior to the BRR hearing，or was it after the RIR bearing？
A．I $\cos$＇t－
MR．MATSHID：Object to the form．
24 A I can＇t nail that down．I＇m not sure when it wes，
25 EY MR，JENSM：
Q. Was it sumetime in May?
A. I'd be quessing.
Q. Is there ay docimertation of the decision not to try to get a bond?
A. N. I can inquire. Maybe sanebody else has a better recollection of wan we taiked about it.
Q. Other than your coussel, who I won't ask you about -

MR. MAISHIN: Phark you.
BY MR. JENSEN:
Q. - I assume before you were referring to in-hase coussel -
A. In-house counsel, yes.
Q. - and periaps outside coumsel as well. You spoke to your brother about this?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you two say? What did you talk about?
A. Whether we thought it would be worthinile to try to get a bond.
Q. And why did you decide that it wasn't woithmbile?
A. I didn't think we'd have ary sucoess in gettim a bond.
Q. What did you bese that anclusion on?
A. It wes a while ago. I don't recall our point and couterpoint on it.
Q. Okay. Was there a - did you look at the timones of the coupary and say, hey, we can't afford to post a band or, hey, we don't have enough assets?
A. I'm really not sure, I'd be gressing.
Q. Was there - at any point, did you anticipate trying to past a bond?
A. We discussed attempting to do it bat didn't feel we'd have ary success, so we didn't pursue it any further.
Q. Have you tried to secure a bond in the past and not been able to do it?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. When I say "you, " I mean Clabal. The last tise we also talled about office equipnest that wes owned by Gobal. I think you had renticned a couple things, copiers and compters, and you weren't quite sure how old they were or where they were located, things of that nature. Do you have ay more detail on arty of the office equipment?
A. It's the same list except expanded to include the adtitional amployees' -- their laptops. Everybody has a laptop.
Q. 50 no details on the brand? the make? serial number? Value?
A. I can tell you they're Comacs, but I can't tell

I you each intividual model.
2 Q. And you can't tell ne the value of each one?
3 A. I have no idea They've been around for a while. I can tell you nine is probably four years old.
Q. Whit about the copiers?

6 A. I was urable to have anybody tell me definitively that any of the copiers belong to Glotal NAPS, Inc. So I can't tell you whether there is a copier or nt.
Q. Were there any - who specifically did you speak to to find out information about -
A. I actually wert around and looked for imentory tass on then to see if aything wes macked Global NOWS, Irc. because I didn't even know who to ask to say does this belang to Global MRES, Inc.
Q. If it doesn't belong to Global NPES, Inc., to woild it belong to?
A. It could be ietworks, Reaity. It could be leased. I don't believe we lease ary of then though, bat, agoin, they've been amoud, you kow, four, five, six years.
Q. Other then copiers and compters, is there ary other office eqripment that -
24 A. Everybod has a desk and chair for the enployees.
Q. But other than that, really nothing?
A. Nothing.
Q. Has Glohel NAPS, Inc. sald ary office equipert in the last six montis?
A. 10.
Q. Bow do you know that?
A. Becase I would have koon if suething was sold, and mobody sold any equipsent.
Q. Why would you have knom if smething wes sold?
A. Somehody would ask if they could sell it.
0. Okzy.
A. There's $m$ reason to sell it.
Q. So if surebody had a transaction, if screbody wated to sell scmething or buy scoething, would they 90 to you?
A. Nit necessarily, hit I would hear about it.
Q. Do you know whether or not any office equpment hw been trassferred to another entity in the last year?
A. No, motiling has been trasferred.
Q. Do you know that for the sane reason that you know that mothing wes sold in the last six months?
A. Yes.
Q. Does clobal have an interest - when I say "an itterest," it could be an eassient, it could be fee or it could be leasehold interest - in axy real

| property? Actually, let me strike that. | 1 Q. Global Pealty? |
| :---: | :---: |
| Does Glokal have an exsment interest in ary | 2 \%. Again, I don't know bat I can inquire ad let you |
| real property in Cornecticut? | know for sure. |
| Mr. MPNTSNN: coject. Calls for a legal | M. MWISHW: hold on ane second. |
| conclusion. | 5 |
| If you understand the question, plesse | (0ff-the-record discussion.) |
| answer. | , |
| 8 A. Not that I know of, no. | MR. MANSHEN: He left aut a fact I had |
| MR. JENSEN: Calls for a legal | to remind him of. Let me state it for the |
| conctusion? | 10 record. You're obviously aware of the Verizon |
| 11 MR. MAMSSHN: Yeah. It requires | 11 action beccise you've referenced then in your |
| 12 knowledge of what an easemert is. I con't | 12 papers. Mr. Gangi submitted a declaration in |
| 13 know if te kows uhat an easement is. | 13 thmse actions talking about certain realty |
| 14 BY Mr. JENSE: | 14 trasfers frum clobal NPPS Realty to a |
| ${ }^{15}$ Q. Does clobal have ayy type of interest in any real | 15 specific orrpration set 4 for each property, |
| 16 property in omectict? | 16 in wrich he rerified and attested that 1120 |
| $17 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{No}$. | 17 Haroock street is mu ouned by a cuppary that |
| 18 Q. Does clobel have any type of an interest in any | 18 is kown essertially as 1120 Hanock Street |
| 19 real property anywhere? | 19 Livited. That's technically the leasor at |
| $20 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{No}$. | 20 this date. You can look at that and see whit |
| 21 Q. You don't own ary - they don't own ary brildings? | 21 the testimory is, add I'm haffy to provide you |
| 22 A . Global, Inc.? No. | 22 with a crpy. |
| 23 Q. How do you know that? | 23 BY MR . Javsial |
| 24 A. I just know. I would know if Mobal owned 25 swething. | 24. Mr. Gampi, is that an accurate representation? <br> is A. That's an accurate representaion. I thoustry you |
| 117 | 119 |
| 10. Does clobal have any plars to purchase any real | 1 were asking we for who was leasing the brildung. |
| property? | 2 They're the aner of the bodlding. I don't know |
| $3 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{Na}$. | 3 Wuich of the Globel compries wes actually - |
| 4 Q. Can you tell me where clickal's offioes carrertly | 4. Q. Actually, I wes asking you wo measing the |
| are? | 5 building. |
| - A I think we wert over this at wy other dep. We've | 6 A. Figtr; and I con't know which ore of the Global |
| got my office at 1120 Hanock Street. There's the | cuparies - |
| legal offices in Morwod. Trere's ry office in | - MR. MATSFIN: I misuderstood then. |
| New Happstire, 1 Sundial. I believe that's it. | 9 A. - is lesing the bulding, but I can find ort. |
| 10 There may be a portion of 10 Merimmunt that Giobal | 10 BY Mr. Jans |
| 11 has. I'm not sure on that trough. | 11 Q. Okay. So global, Inc. - Global NMPS, Inc. has its |
| 12 Q. 10 Merrymunt, the sme - | 12 office at 1120 Hancock Sreet, and you dn't know |
| 13 A. That's the other Global bulding in ouing. | 13 Who is leasing the office spece? |
| 14 Q. You call it a Gobal building. Is it - | 14 A Rigtr. I have my office there, Robert's there, and |
| 15 A. It's rented by one of the Global curanim. Giobal | 15 the salespeople are there. I don't know which ore |
| 16. | 16 of the - wen there's mitiple comparies in a |
| 1) Q. Wrich Global ertity rents it? | 17 building like 1120 , one coupay would sign the |
| is A. I believe that's rerted by Global NPPS Realty. | 19 lesse and then, through our amoutim, everybody |
| 19 Q. All rigt. For 1120 Hanock street, does Glohal | 19 would pay their fair share of the reat to whever |
| 20 have a lease? | 20 the company is that has the lease. |
| 21 A . I'm not sure sho the lease is with at 1120 . I 22 don't believe it's rented to - directly with | 21 Q. okay. What are the multipile companies that are at $22 \quad 120$ ? |
| 23 Global, Inc., m. | ${ }_{23} \mathrm{~A}$. Networks - all of then are represerted there - |
| 24 Q. Who is it directly with? | 24 realty and Inc. |
| ${ }_{25}$ A. I dan't krow. | 25 2. Ckay. So there's a Gicbal lease for all four or |
| 118 | 120 |


| 1 all three I mean? | 1 ertries or if there's actually a separate chacking |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 A. There's a lease from - I believe tren's a lease | 2 account to go with it as well. It's kind of a |
| from the owner to one of the Gobal entities, and | safety to add, you know, same simplicity to it. |
| 4 ther, intemally, the acountat would separate -- | As I said before, in this partioular instance, if I |
| s for instace, Inc., how much of that building they | s could just hand you a stack of clobal NWPs's |
| 6 use - a portion of the cost of that to Gobal | 5 checks, it would be a lat easier. |
| 7 NAPS, Inc. as well m Global MPS Realty as well as | 7 Q. Tell me about the offioe space in Norwood. It's |
| - Global Maps Metwork. There's only one lease, I | - Norucod, Mass. ? |
| 9 believe, between the cuner and one of the Global | 9 A Noruood, Mess., yes. |
| 10 NAPS companies. I don't know which one it is for | 10 Q. What's the adtess? |
| 11 1120. I can ak and find out. I just don't know | 11 A. 69 Recess loar |
| 12 off the top of my head, | 120 . Does global lease space at that offioe? |
| 13 Q. But the owner of 1170 Hancock Street is | 13 A. San and Jeff are located olt of that office, and |
| 14 1120 Hanock Street, Inco; is that right? | 14 that's - so their portion of that office space |
| 15 A. Yeah. It's a - it's a - | 15 wrild be paid for by Global. |
| 16 Q. That's what you just - | 16. Q. Who has the other portion of that office space? |
| 17 ITR. MALISHIN: It's essentially that. | 1] A . There are other staff menbers frum the legal |
| 18 It's listed - the correct name is listed in | 11 departsent. I don't krow wo they're eupiojed by, |
| 19 the declaration, which I said you can get or | 19 Wtich one of the ertities, bot whichever entity |
| 20 I'll provide you with a copy of it. | 20 they're emploped by would pay that share. |
| 21 BY MR. JTNSEN: | 21 Q. So is - 89 Acoess Road in Norwood, is that only |
| 22 Q. So that's the landlord? | 22 the in-huse legal departaert? |
| 23 A. That's the landiord, owner of the brilding; right. | 23 A Yes |
| 24 Q. Where is the landond located? | 24 Q. That in-house legal departmert provides servioss |
| 2s A. I don't know where their offices are. | 25 for the various Global etities? |
| 121 | 123 |
| 1 Q. Would they be locited in the same boilding? | 1 A. Yes. |
| 2 A. They could be lat not necessanily. | 2 Q. Do you know who the landord is at 89 Access Road? |
| 3 0. Do you know how payments are wixte on behalf of | 3 A The owner of the buildim? I don't know off the |
| 4 Global for paments for lease space at. 1120 Henock | 4 tap of $\mathrm{m}^{\text {y head. }}$ |
| 5 Stret? | 5 9. Do you know haw pamerts are nade for the - for |
| 6 A. No. That would be chne by the bookjeepers. | 6 Global's share of the lease at 89 Accems Road |
| 7 Q. Do you krow whit - do you krw the amont per | 7 A. Depeniling on who the lease is with the omar and |
| - morth that Glatal pas for 11202 | 8 Wrichever one of the glabal entities, there would |
| 9 A . No. | be an acounting entry to show their fair share of |
| 10 Q. Who would know that? | 10 the payment. |
| in A Bookkeepers. | i1 0. So this address would have the same issue that |
| 12 Q. And that bookneper would be Select \& Aap? | 12120 Hancock Streat has, which is one entity is |
| 13 A Select \& Pay, yes. | 13 leasing with the omer and then the other entities |
| 14 Q. Before that, it would just be Janet lima? | 14 are pesing their share? |
| 15 A Janet, yes Again, all the companies pay their | 15 A. Yes. |
| 16 fair share of the entire rent. So whatever the | 16 0. Okzy. The New Henpshise adtress, I believe that's |
| 17 breakdown is for their percentage of the brilding, | 17 the address where you work fima? |
| 18 that's what they pay. | is A. One Suntial. |
| 19 Q. Hes it alweys been that wey? | 19 Q. Do you lease that space? |
| 20 A . Yes. | 20 A. Yes, that's leased. |
| 21 Q. Even before there wis the separate bank acoouts | 21 Q. Okaj. Is that leased by global? |
| 22 Set up for each individual entity? | 22 A Again, I'm not sure who leases that. I believe |
| ${ }_{23} \mathrm{~A}$. Oh, yes. Yes. It a all cone - from what IIY | 23 that one is leased by Grobal NAES, Inc. I'n not |
| 24 Cotold me, it really doesn't matter, from an | 24 certain. I can creck ayain. That is the Hesser |
| 25 acounting perspective, if they just do the journal | 25 Certer. It's a lage college business center. |
| 122 | 124 |


| 1Q. Do you know who your landiond is it that - | 1 owned any property in the last four years, you |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 A STTC. | 2 don't know wether or not cirball sold or |
| 30. ST - | 3 transferred ay real property in the lat four |
| 4 A. STC I believe is the compary nane. I dn't know | years? |
| 5 wat it stands for. | 5 A Pight. |
| 6 Q. Do you know where it's located? | 6 Q. You say Prank would be the person that would know? |
| 7 A. It's in the building. | 7 A Or Select \& Pay. They would know if there was |
| Q Q. Okay. Do you know how much Global pays for its | B smething carried to the books. |
| share morthly for that lesse? | 9 Q. What about Mr. Couture? would he know? |
| ${ }_{10} \mathrm{~A}$. I dan't. I dan't know hww they break it out. | 10 A Douttul. |
| 11 Q. Do any other Global ertities share space in that | 11 Q. Wry is that? |
| 12 offios? | 12 A. It's just not smetting he deals with. |
| ${ }^{13}$ A. Yeah Networks has scme equipment in there, and | 13. 2 . Are there any ursatisfied judments in Clobal's |
| 14 don't know if there's - I think there's | 14 favor that you're aware of? |
| Is $\quad$ collocation in there as rell, so Realty wuld have | 15 A. Not that I'm axare of. |
| 16 some stuff in there, too. | 16 Q . That would be state court, federal court, |
| 17 Q. 10 cerrymant, that's the adiress in Quincy? | 17 arbitration or an ajency proceeting. |
| 18 A. Piglt. | 18 A Pigt. Money that's oned to Grobal you're akingt |
| 19 Q. Tell me about the leasing stnuture for that. | 19 rigt? |
| ${ }_{20}$ A. That one is leased by globel NRPS Realty, and the | 20. Money that's owed to Global ma result of a |
| 21 other cuppries that cocupy the brilding - same | 21 proceeting. |
| 22 structure: Realty tolds the lease, and they pay | 22 A. No, not that I'n amre of. |
| 23 their fair share. | 23 Q. Okay. Are there any unsatisfied judgents against |
| 240.1 And - | 24 Clobal? |
| 25 A. I believe the ouner of that brilding is mascaluaci | 25 A. Unseistied? Not that I'n ware of. |
| 125 | 127 |
| [phonetic] Realty Pust $\alpha$ s suething like that. | 1Q. Is there scometocy that mould be able to answer thit |
| 2 Q. You don't know what Global's share of the lease is? | pasitively, meaning sambody that would krow -- |
| 3 A I dan't krow wat the breakrown is. I knw | would be able to assur that yes or mo? |
| Networks has equipmart in there. Realty has a | 4 A. The answer is: No, there's in unseatistied |
| w-location in there. that building is mostly | judgments agzinst Global. |
| equipmert. I'm not sure what portion of that is | 6 Q. How do you kasw that? |
| Global's, if any. If it wes, it would be a couple | 7 A. It's one of the things we had reviewed prior to me |
| of offios on the top floor. | 8 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ arimy dan here. |
| 9 Q. Okay. Tris is also the address that the Giotal | 9. Win did you review that with? |
| 10 entities list as their headquarters; is that right? | 10 A. In-hose counsel. |
| 11 A. pigtt. That wes our original facility. | 11 0. Okay. What did you review? I'm not akking what |
| 22 Q. Did you ever own - did Glotal ever own that | 12 he told you. I'm asking what you reviewed. |
| 13 facility? | 13 MR. MAISHIN: is in were there coomerts |
| 14 A No, not yet. | 14 reviewed |
| 15 Q. Did any of the cther dlobal errities own that | 15 MR. JENEW: Correct. Fle said be |
| 16 facility? | 16 reviewed docneets. |
| $17 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{No}$. | 17 A. I didm't say I reviewed doaments. I said I |
| 18 Q. Has clobal owned any real property in the last four | 18 reviewed whether is had any judgnents ayainst us. 19 gY MR. PNOGN: |
| 20 A . Not that I'm axare of. | 20 . Ckay. Does global have axy insuramo plicy that |
| 21 Q. Who would know? | 22 might be able to - that migtt be liable to satisfy |
| 22 A. I'd have to ask either the bookkeeper or the | 22 juchgent in this action? |
| 23 accountarts. Actually, wy brother migit even | 23 A No. |
| 24 know I could ast him. | 24 Q. Have you made any simissions to an insurance |
| 250. So assuning you don't know whether or met Global | 25 coupary for the claims that are made in this |
| 126 | 128 |


| 1 | action? |  | 1 its services or reselling it, or however you ment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 A. | No. |  | 2 to call it, to Global NAES Network to allow it to |
| 30 | Has Global ever entered into a contract with a |  | 3 sell its servios. |
| 4 | Connectiot ertity or person? |  | 4 MR, MNISEIN: Mould you read back that |
| 5 A . | I believe SBC. I think we have a contrat on |  | 5 last arswr, please. |
| 6 | agreement with then. Other than that, I'r nt | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | amare of anyboky. | 7 | 7 (Rewrd was read badk by Court |
| 8 Q | You don't think so? | 8 | 8 Reporter.) |
| 9 A | I don't think so. | 9 | 9 |
| 10 Q . | Other than Araf? | 10 | MR. MAILSFITN: Did you mean "to" or |
| 11 A . | Or whover it be, AdxT, whichever you wart to call | 11 | "from" Gobal NaPS Network? |
| 12 | it, SNTT, ATET, SBC. I dritt know lick one. | 12 | 2 Tre umisss: What did I say? |
| 132. | Are there any paymerts due to clobal from a person | 13 | 3 IR, MNTSPIN: You said "to." |
| 14 | or estity located in comectiat? | 14 |  |
| 15 A. | SBC. | 15 | M. MCRMRA: Just sead that back again. |
| 16 Q. | Ocher than your clatas aginst SBC, are there ary | 16 |  |
| 17 | other? | 17 | (Reord wes read back by Oout |
| 18 A. | No. | 18 | Reporter.) |
| 19 Q | How do you know that? | 19 |  |
| 20 A | Just in reriewing for this. Nothing was brought to | 20 | MR. MATSHITN: The clarification wes |
| 21 | wy atention that was owed to us from anybudy in | 21 | changing "to" to "from." |
| 22 | Connectiat other tran the SBC bills, If there | 22 | MR. Mrisira: You're changing it? |
| 23 | was, I'm sure it mould have been brought to 吥 | 23 | MR. MAISIIN: No. I just asked her to |
| 24 | attertion so I could show it to your | 24 | re-read it to see if it was acarate, and he |
| 250. | we would trpe 90. | 25 | modified it. |
|  | 129 |  | 131 |
| 1 A | I would certsinly hye so. |  | BY MR. Diswn |
| 28. | Has Gobal made ary loans to any Omectiat person |  | Q. Who is Glabal Netwoks ging to sell its services |
| 3 | or etity? |  | to? |
| 4 A | No. |  | MR. MNISFIN: Objection as to form |
| 50. | Does Global have any future plans to do busiress in |  | Lad of foundion. |
| 6 | Comectiat? |  | MR. JWNart I thirk it's perfectly besed |
| 7 A. | It's going to cortine to do business in |  | on foundrion becase he just testified that |
| 9 | conecticit. That's the plan |  | they're going to sell their services. |
| 98. | Other than the business it currertly has, coes it |  | HR. MPILSHIN: No, he did not. |
| 10 | have plans to do other types of business in |  | HR, Mrerxpra: He can arswer aryway, so |
| 11 | Connectiat? |  | don't bathar with the calloqy. It's not |
| $12 \lambda$ | N. |  | worth it. |
| 130. | Does Global have perting deais in comectiat? |  | A To whichever one of the customers is interested in |
| 14 A. | No. |  | buying that service. I don't know specifically |
| 158. | You just testified earlier that gotal is |  | which outomer utilizes Oomectiot. |
| 16 | cortiming on planring - continuing to plan to do |  | MR. Jowist Can we just take a minute |
| 17 | business in connectiaut or cortiming to do |  | break? |
| 18 | business in connectialt; is that right? | 18 |  |
| 19 A | Yes. |  | (Recess taken from 2:38 pric to |
| 20 Q. | What is the business that Global plas to contimue |  | 2:50 p.m.) |
| 1 | ding in Connectiat? | 21 |  |
| 2 A | Whatever it is that we do today. | 22 BI | EY MR, JWSSA : |
| 38. | When I say "Glohal, " I mean Glabal MaPs, Inc. | 23 Q. | Q. Nr. Gangi, you testified a few mirutes ago about |
| 4 A. | Global MASS, Inc. is the cartificate bolder in | $24$ | services. I'n not talling about future servios. |
| 35 | connectiat, so we'll probably continue to offer | 25 | I'm talking about currert sevios that cliohal |
| 130 |  |  | 132 |



MR. MNTSHN: Comsel, you have a castomer list ad you have astorer contracts, so there are castcwers ott there.

MR. JENSEN: He keeps testifying that there may be a couple ouscorers left, if any; that they've all been transferred or assigned to Retwodrs.

Mr, MPISHIN: Contracts. Anymy, gD ahead.

MR. JWNSKF I inn't kTow if youre making a tistintion between astomers and constracts, but . . .
BY MR. JINSEN:
Q. So are you saying right now - after you said before that clobal sells services to Networks, are you saying they do met sell services to Networks?
A. Well, it's -

Mr. MANISHN: Asked and answered. It's cmulative.

If you have arything else to say, please add it.
A. I don't have ayything to add.

MR. JENSTN: I don't know that he has answered that.
25 EY MR. JTNSTN:

```
Q. Does Mobal sell services to Networis?
MR. MMISIITN: That's a yes or no question
MR. MORETRA: Glem, stop coacting the witness.
19. Mancsin: It is a yes or no question
MR. Mormidi: Glenn, stop cuaching the witness.
VR. MANLSHIN: I'm not coacting the witness.
Mr. MOREMRA: Glemin'In telling your. . .
Mr. MANISHIN: I'm entitled to tell uy client it's a yes oc no question. If he wants to -
MR. MOREIRA: I don't think you're entitled to that to be hanest with you.
A. What wes the question agin?
MR. JINSEN: Pead it beck, please.
(Record wes read back by Court Reporter.)
A. I'u sure there are scoe services that Glakal would
```

or could sell to Networks. I don't know specifically what they migita be.

## GY MR. ITNSEM

Q. Mity did you aramer earlier that Global does sell services to Retworks?

THE UITNESS: can you read back whit wy answer wes?
(Reond wes read back ly court Reppiter.)

EY MR. JENSEAW
Q. Is that a true statemert?
A. That's a true statement, yes.
Q. Are there any documents between Global ad Networks with respect to the services thet Global sells to Networis?
A. Not that I'鹪 aware of, m.
Q. Are there any paymerts that are mare by Netwonks to Global for those services?
A. Yes. Those pajments would be joumalized.
Q. Thase parnerts nould be journalized?

Yes.
where would they be joumalized?
25 A In our acourting recomb.


| 1 again, as you call it, madallion? | 1 is that the plaintiff? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 A Absolutely. For any trarsaction betwen the | 2 A I'il a little unoertain on the Broarrox case. I |
| 3 companies, there is a joumal entry that exists or | 3 probably have to Ft you mone detail on that, |
| 4 did exist. | 4 Q. Okay, But did Global MeS bring the action? |
| 5 Q. That always happens? | 5 A. I'm not sure if Blobal NaPS is the deferdant or the |
| 6 A. Absolutely, withoud doubt, there is a joumal entry | 6 plaintiff in that one. I'm not sure. |
| 7 to keep the separation of the compames. | 1 MR. MELLCR: 败 provided you with the |
| g Q. Other than the Verion action in Massachusetts, is | 8 pleating, |
| 9 there any pending litigation in which Glohal hes | 9 MR. JENGN: Pardon me? |
| 10 aserted a claim for damiges? Again, I'll gralify |  |
| 11 it by saying state, feceral, arbitration or agency | 11 complairt in that case the last tine we were |
| 12 action. | 12 here, that and the verivon case ard the other |
| 13 A Global, Inc. has, I belleve, two cases that are | 13 case. |
| 14 penting ther than the Verimon case. There is a | 14 MR, JOMSON: I still have the rigit to |
| 15 defanation case - this is anther Verivan case -- | 15 ask about it. |
| 16 and then there was a case with Broadrox. I believe | 16 MR, MELTCX: I just wanted you to hrown |
| 17 it mes, that's out there. | 17 That's all. |
| 18 Q. Okay, Going to the defamation case, that's agairst | 12 BY MR. Jevsert |
| 19 Verizon? | 19 Q. Does Global MPS have a claim for danages in that |
| 20 A. Yes. | 20 cose? |
| 21 Q. What state is that in? | 21 A. I think there's cladms and coutterclaims. |
| 22 A. Massachusetts. | 22 Q. So brwerer it is, either a countemalain or an |
| 23 Q. Do yout know if it's in the state conit? | 23 ordginal clain for danages, Gobal is aserting |
| 24 A I believe it is, but I'm not certain of that. | 24 clains against. Braekox? |
| 25 Q. It's Global NaPs, Inc. V. Verizon? That's the | 25 A Rigt. |
| 141 | 143 |
| 1 caption? | 1 Q. Okej. Ind you say that wes in state court or |
| 2 A. Hell - well, those - | 2 federal court? |
| 3 Q. Global NASS is the plaintiff? | 3 A I believe that one is in state court as mell. |
| 4 A. Yes. | 4 Q. Did you ever make a denard in that case? |
| 5 Q. And Verizon is the defendart? | 5 A. Again, I'muncertain on that case. |
| 6 A. Yes. | 5 2. Yod sadd it's - |
| 7 Q. Do you krow when that was flled? | 7 A. I can certainly find out for you, but I don't know |
| - A. Tho or three years ago. | 9 right now |
| 9 Q. Do you know wat the stats of that case is? | 9 Q. I wait to find ont what you do know and we'1l go |
| 10 A. It's still in - ongoing. They're doing | 10 from there. You said it's close to settling? |
| 11 depositions and whatrot. | II A. Yeah. I think that one is pretty close to being |
| 12 Q. Have you valued the clan that you have in that | 12 settied. |
| 13 Case? | 13 Q. Would you be meceiving finds as a result of that |
| 14 A . It's stridty a danye of repatation claim. SD, | 14 sectlemet? |
| is no, we dan't have a value on it. | 15 A I believe the settlement is overed by a |
| 16 Q. Have you made a denand in that case? | 16 confidertiality agremert, so I'm not sure that I |
| 17 A. Not that I'manare of, mo. | 17 should be discussing that. |
| 18 Q. Have you been deposed in that case? | 18 Q. You haven't signed a confidertiality agmement yet, |
| 19 A. Ho. | 19 have you? |
| 20 Q. Has your deposition been noticed in that, case? | $20 A_{0}$ I personally teve not. I don't know if one of the |
| 21 A No. | 21 other officers has. |
| 22 Q. The Broadvox clain, where is that penting? | 22 Q. The case is not settled? |
| 23 A. I believe that's in Massachusets. I think thet | 23 A NO, it's nt sectled. |
| 24 one is fairly close to being settled. | 240 . Hes there been an exchange of cheds that you'te |
| 25 Q. Okay. The parties in that - Global Mrg, Inc., | \% amare of? |
| 142 | 144 |



| THE WITHESS: DD I answer that, | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| gentlemen? | (Witness revieus docunent.) |
| MR, MANLSTIN: If you know If there is | 3 |
| an aticipation, amer the question. | 4 A. This is a letter to Quest Comunications describing |
| MR, MELICK: Ler's take a break That's | 5 the current rates they're being charged and |
| a cumplicated question, and I just wart to | 6 referencing invoices. |
| clarify smething, | 7 9. And what is the service that is being provided by |
| 8 | 8 Giobal, Inc.? |
| 9 (Recess taken from 3:14 p.m. to | 9 A This service is prowided by - it's PRI service |
| 10 3:18 p.m.) | 10 provided by Global MAES Networks. |
| 11 | 11 Q. What does PRI stand for? |
| 12 A. We have not made any detemination of suing anybody | 12 A Primary rate interface. |
| 13 at this time. | 13 Q. And are you classifying this as a demand letter to |
| 14 BY MR. JONSEN: | 14 Quest? |
| 150. Have you sent out ary demand letters in the lat | 15 MR. MPMISHIN: Objection to the fom. I |
| 16 year? | 16 think it does call for a legal corclusion. |
| 17 A. Demand? | 17 IR. JINSEN: Well, the backgrouni would |
| $18.2 . \mathrm{For} \mathrm{pajmert}$. | 18 be I asked wether or not he had sert any |
| 19 A Yes. | 19 demend letters in the lat year, and you |
| 20 Q. Okay. Who would those demand letters be going to? | 26 referred him to this. |
| 21 A. I'm sorry. Hang on one second. I'n not sure if | 21 MR. MNISfins: lle said le dich't kJow if |
| 22 it wes actually a demand letter. | 22 it vas a denand letter, jutit corresponderce. |
| 23 MR. MANTSTIN: He's referring to | 23 A. Rigtt. That's why I looked at it. I'n nt certain |
| 24 Corresponience that I've related to you before | 24 if you vould classify this as a darand letter. |
| 25 between Global MAPS, Inc. and Quest related to | 25 BY MR. JDNSEX |
| 149 | 151 |
| 1 Scme Glotal NRes New Hapstire invoices for | 1 Q. What would you call it? |
| PRIs, and we have prochrod thea today, both | 2 A. This is just a letter infoming them of their new |
| 3 the corresponderce and the invoices. | 3 pricing stnucture. |
| 4 | 4 Q. New pricing structure or anout thet they |
| 5 (Plaittiff's Extibit Ab . 18, | 5 purporteelly owe? |
| 6 Global NaPs, Inc. Legal | 6 A. It's both becase it wert besk to address invoices |
| 9 Departnert correspordence to | 7 that were issued at a different rate. This is at |
| 8 Quest Commications, Ine. | 8 the new onrrected rate. |
| 9 with attached inwoics, | 9 0. Is it thet quest has not paid ary of its mills? |
| 20 marked for idsrifification) | 10 A . No. They were invoiced at a differerit rate than |
| 11 | 11 this rate, and they paid that bill. |
| 12 BY MR. JANSIN: | 12 Q . They paid it at the old rate? |
| 13 Q. Wr. Gangi, showing you what's been marked as | ${ }^{13}$ A . $i t$ the old rate. |
| 14 Plaintiff's Extribit 18, th you see that droment? | 14 Q. What you're saying is you need to pay us at the naw |
| 15 (fanting.) | 15 rate? |
| 16 | 16 A. Rigt. |
| 17 (Witness reviews docusent.) | 17 Q. What is the amunt that they have not paid? |
| 18 | 18 A. I thlink the tetal is $570,794,000$. I'm socry. |
| 19 A Yes. | 19 less what they've paid, it ones out to |
| 20 Q. That was a docment you produred today; is that | 20 \$59,173,000. |
| 21 right? | 21 Q. Has Owest disputed this new rite - strike that. |
| 22 A. Yes. | 22 Has ouest disputed this new rate? |
| 23 Q. What is that documert? | 23 A No, they have not. |
| 24 A. This is a - hang on one second while I breeze | 24 Q. Have they disputed that they owe anther \$59 |
| 25 through it quickly. | 25 million? |
| 150 | 152 |

A. No, they have not.
Q. Have they responded to this letter?
A. This is part of the regotiation with quest. They have not given me or I have not seen a written response from them specifically aderessing this letter. It has been mertioned in the negatiations.
Q. Who have you been negtiating with?
A. Anne aullather.
Q. How do you spell that last nare?

MR. NATSHIN: C-U-IFL-A-T-HEER, She wes a fomer client for years at MCI before she weit to quest. I have not spoken to her on this uatter.
BY PR. JENSEN:
Q. Are you personally regotiating with Ane Olllather?
A. Yes, I all.
Q. Is ampody else at Clobal negotiatim?
A. No.

MR. MANISFDN: Mould you say hello to
Anne the next time you talk to her for me.
THE MINESS: She's a lovely lady.
MR. MAISHIN: I've known her since 1964.
THE UITMESS: I will.
MR. MANISHIN: Thark you.

BY MR. JENSAN:
Q. Has Anme Oullather of Quest inticated to you that they would be pasing the 559 million?
A. As I said, this is part of a broader negtiation.
Q. What are cther parts of the negotiation?
A. Continued service with us. We also have sone services that we punchase frow them that they have clains agingt us, so we'll end up-as I said, it's an ongoing negriation. This is just ore portion of it.
Q. And what is their clain against - let me back up for a second. Is the money that you clale is oned, the $\$ 59$ millian, is that owed to Global?
A. Glokal, Inc.?
Q. Correct.
A. A portion of it wolld $g$ to Gobal.
Q. What portion would that be?
A. Typically, it's - an PRI service, aywhere from I don't know -5 to mathe 20 percent.
Q. What detemines whether it will be 5 or 20 percent or smedrere in between?
A. How much of the -- trow much - where the PRTs are located and baw much of it is transport. Networks would ches up the ramainder of that.
Q. Is ouest a customer of Glokal, Inc.?
A. It originally had a contract with Mobal, Inc. That cortract has been wasumed by Networks.
Q. Do you know when that wes assumed by Networks?
A. It expired - the last contract expired - the original contract expired. There's an addendin signed, and I believe the last addenden expired oser a jear ago, 50 they've just been morth to manth.
Q. The 559 million that you claim is owed by Quest, how far badx does that 9 ?
A. That covers the period frow when the ortract expired to date.
Q. So a little over a year?
A. A little over a year; right.
Q. Do you know that Quest's claim agairst - strike thet.

Does Quest have - is quest's clain ayninst Global or Gichal Network?
A. I'm not sure. Actually, some of it is on - they have - like I said, it's negotiations. There are multiple parts to this. One of their claims would be against Networks becasse it has to do with us bofing traisport servics from then, fiber. So that be agrinst hetworks' portion of this.
Q. Ckay. All told, wat would be the claise that quest
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he agairst Gobal and Gobal Networks, Inc.? Do you hrve a nough idea of the anout?
A. I think the ontract yalue for the fiber side is in the range of $\$ 11$ million. They've - they haven't brougt formerd any other claims officially to me yet, 50 I don't kow what else they' re going to pat on the table; but that is the langest one that's out there.
Q. Have thay suggested other clains?
A. Yes for damages for - one of their claims haring to move on to a naw vendor. There wes scme cost that wes associated with that that they had mentioned. Nothing else that I can think of. There mad hare been sure other itens, bit they were just small itens.
Q. Do you have a rough idea of the value of the dinages in the vender claims that they mingt raise?
A. That's ip to interpretation. I dn't know. It would be - I believe there's scme linitation on our liability in our cortracts and under our tariffs, and I'm not sure whit that is, but I kow there is a limit on it.
Q. Is there a momer that you figured out at Global or Glotal Necwodks that you think would be the ret result of the negatiation?

```
A. No. This is still in the very early, early stages
    of negatiation.
Q. Is it your expectation that, a sme poit,, Global or Global Netrorks will receive a positive suas as result of this negotiation?
A. One of the - ane of the solutions that we had tossed around for a wile was just having them contimue service for sume lenth of tine as they do today, So if - is that a positive?
Q. So it would be wore of an in-kind resolution as opposed to a money panmert?
A. Well, there's money paid every month, their bill, continued service with us.
Q. Correct.
A. You're talking about a lump sul of money?
Q. Well, as you've told me 90 far, you've got a ciair of \$59 million? When I say "you, "it's Gobal and Glokal Networks.
A. Rigit.
Q. And, at this point, ouest has an 11-million-dollar clain with maybe scone other ores that are - soums like they're snaller than that. That, to re, seens like a pretty big net maber on your side. Is there a reason to think that there will not be a large net nunber on your side?
```

A. We're still in negotiations. I can't tell you how it's going to end up. I can tell you that one of the things we were talking aboat wes just contireng service for a fixed period of tire.
Q. Quest is rot disputing this race change?
A. They have not sent me a formal dispute yet, no.
Q. Oher than owest and Exhibit is that we've looked at - which we don't know if it's a derrand letter. It's just a lexter to duest sajing you oue us X-amourt of naney - has Global sert out any cther demand letters in the last year?

MR. MANSHIN: Objection to the form You can arswer.
A. Not that I'm aware of. I don't believe - I mean, if there was a small customer that maybe tain't paid, I'm not sure if a letter would be appropriate or if they would just get a phone call. I dan't know of aty other letters or even if this is a denand letter, bat I don't know of any that hove gone out.
BY MR. JENSEN:
Q. Do you typically call sonebody if they owe you money?
A. Our sales department would. They maintain a relationship with the astaner.

## 1 Q. That's 陁, Masuret?

2 A. Masuret, yes.
3 Q. I think you testified earlier that Mr. Masuret works for Global, Inc.; right?
A. Yes.
Q. He's in the sales cepartmerit at Global, Inc.?
A. Yes.
Q. What does Mr. Masuret atually sell?
A. He wolld sell angtling we have to offer: Inbourd servix, outbound service, o-location.
Q. Okay. Bt in terus of Clobal, the services or the offerings that clobal has, what does he sell?
A. Well, he sells products for all the Glabal entities. Whatever poction of that would be Global, Inc. 's potion, that's whet he would sell.
Q. That would be an example of -
A. If he sold a RRI.
Q. Parcton me?
A. If he sold a ERI, one, a portion of that would 90 to Global NARS, Inc., a portion wuld $\rho$ to Networks and, if there wes a 0 -location involved, a portion would go to Realty. So on the sale of one PRI, be would enoxupass three comparies. What part of ERI is the service that Glabal offers? Global is the certificate holder, and Gobal was
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the originstor of the coutracts.
Q. Does clabal have an interest in any entities? When I ask this question, I'making frum 200 to the presert. Has it hal -w well, let me rephrase it. Thet mes bad

Dose Glohal ourrently have an interest in any business entities?
A. Other than itself?
Q. Cther than Itself. yes.

10 A. No.
Q. Okay. So I'm incluting corporations, partnerships, joirt vertures. It has no interests in any of those?
A. No.

Sports teaws?
No.
Restaurats?
Nb.
Q. You looked up in the sky for a second.
A. No. I'd love to have a spoits tean
Q. Going back to the year 2002 to the present, has Gokal had any interest in any business entities?
A. To the best of uy koolenge, $m$.
Q. Okay, No inderest in ay partnership6?
A. No.

| 18. Ary joint ventures? | 1 A. '99, 2000. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 A 2002? No. No. | 2 Q. Did you say the size of that truck? |
| 1 Q. Any corporatioss? | 3 A. Twaty-four-foot. Just a box truck. |
| 4 A . NO. | 4 Q. Okay. What happened to that truck? |
| 5 Q. Sports teans? | 5 A . It got sold. |
| 6 A. No. | 6 0. Who wes it sold to? |
| 70. Aly restauraits? | 7 A. I din't know |
| 8 A. No. | 8 Q. Was it sold to another Global entity? |
| 9 MR. MAMLSHN: Bars? | 9 A I'm not sure if it wes or not. |
| 10 IrR. JENSEN: I'm hoping that oues in | 10 Q. Who would how? |
| 11 under restaurait, | 11 A. I could ask - Jeez, I dnn't know. I could ask |
| 12 Mr. MAMISHIN: At same poirc, you get | 12 Rab to find out where it is, what happened to it. |
| 13 fairly far afield; bet, you knom, they could | 13. Do you know how nuch it wes sold for? |
| 14 own a bark. That might be where all the | 14 A. No. It's a 15,000-dollar truck. I dan't. I |
| 15 money is. You should ask hin banks. We'll | 15 don't. |
| 16 be bere for marths. | 16 Q. Do you remaber when it was sold? |
| 17 BY MR. JOUSE: | 17 A. like I said, in the last year or two I would gress. |
| 18. Do you own South Coastal Bank? | 18 Q. Why yes it sold? |
| 19 A . No, we don't. | 19 A N idea. |
| 20 Q. Has Global - I thirk you testifled before that | 20 Q. Who made the decision to sell it? |
| 21 Global does not currently own any vehicies; is that | 21 A. I'm met sure on that one. |
| 22 rigtt? | 22 Q. Other than the Eetervilt trak, wat other vehicles |
| 23 A That's correct. | 23 Hld Glokal own since 2002? |
| 24 Q. Global does not currently on ary aircratt; is that 25 rigit? | 24 A. They had a Chrysler PT Curiser. <br> 2s Q. Do you know whe year that wes? |
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| 1 A That's right. | 1 A 2000, 2001. |
| 2 Q. Axd no bots? | 2 Q. What wes that Pr Crulser used for? |
| 3 A. That's correct. | 3 A I doa't know Just to run erranis, I gress. |
| 4 Q. Has Global every ouned - when I say "ever," I'fil | 4 2. When wes it sold? |
| 5 going to go back to 2002. Erom 2002 fomands, has | s A. I'd saj in the last year or two. |
| 6 Global ouned vehicles? | 6 0. Was there a reason wiy these - the vehicles that |
| 7 A . Yes. | 7 we've talked about, at least these two, were sold |
| © 0. When did Global onn vehicles? | 8 in the last year octmo? |
| 9 A Over the last three to tive years mathe. Yeah, | I A. I don't low what the reason wes. These are small |
| 10 thrue to five years. | 10 - I mean, the Pf Cruiser is a 5,000-dhllar car. |
| 11 Q. When did they stop aming vehicles? | 11 2. Was there a reason that the offioers or the |
| 12 A. A year or two aps mabe. I con't really recall. | 12 directors of Clobel decided that they did not mant |
| 13 Q. So relatively recently? | 13 to own vehicles arymore under the Glochal name? |
| 14 A. Yeah. | 14 A I'm not sure if they were just sold amay or if they |
| 15 Q. Last year or two? | 15 were sold to another cllobal company. I don't |
| 16 A. Yeah | $15 \mathrm{krcm}$. |
| 17 Q. And what type of vehicles tid Global oun? | 17 Q. I'll ask you the same question, which is: Who |
| 18 A. They had a truck. | Is mould knowf |
| 19 Q. What kind of turk? | 19 A. I'm not sure who would krow, buti I can inquire. |
| 20 A. A etertrilt. | 20 Q. Okay. |
| 21 Q. What was it used for? | 21 A It's svall itens. |
| $22 A_{0}$ Deliveries, moving equipment around. | 22 0. They adi up, though over time. Any other |
| 23 Q. Global ouned it? | 23 Vericles that were owned from 2002 to the present? |
| 24 A. That was aned by glabal, I belleve, yeah. | 24 A I don't believe so. There wes a van, bat I don't |
| 25 Q. Okay. Do you recall whit year that truxk wes? | 25 think it ever omed by Glohal. |
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| 1. Q. What about aircraft? | 1A. Sounts god to me. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 A. No. | 2 Q. Okay. Do you have ary resson to think that that is |
| 3 \&. Global never owned any aircraft from 2002 to the | 3 not the correct list of file entities? |
| presert? | 4 A No. |
| S A. No. | Mr. Wantsint Do you know of any that he |
| 6 Q. Ary boats fram 2002 to the preserat? | left off the list? |
| 7 A. No. | THE WINESS: No. |
| - Q. He talked last time about the - very gererally | 8 EY Mr. JUNSEN: |
| about the relationship berween clokal, Global Mew | 9 0. Or do you know of ay that I've adted to the list |
| 10 Hamphire, Global Realty, Global Metworks and the | 10 that shouldn't the there? |
| 11 - well, Global South and the Global file entitues. | 11 A. No. |
| 12 Do you recall that? | 12 Q. The officers for Global are: Frank Gmyi, |
| 13 A. | 13 Presidert; Richard Gngi, Treasurer; and like |
| 14 Q. I trink we established or we will establish now | 14 couture, secretary; is that right? |
| 15 that --well, you know, let me just confire first | 15 Mr. MPATSHN: Asked and answered atout |
| 16 Who the file aitities are becase I think we didn't | 16 sixtimas. |
| 17 nail that down last time. So I'm gioing to read a | 17 A. Yes. |
| 10 List of clabal entities, and let me krow whether or | 18 EY MR. JINSEP: |
| 19 not these constitute the Clokal file extities. | 19 Q. These are the same people thit are the board |
| ${ }^{20}$ oxay? | 20 menters of Global; is that rigtt? |
| 21 A. Okay. | 21 A Y Yes. |
| 22 Q. Global NPPS South, Inc.; Global NaPS Califomin, | 22. Q. Are these also the officers for clobal New |
| 23 Inc.; Global NDPS Flarida, Inc; Global NPES | 23 Haprstire? |
| 24 Georyia, Inc.; Global NAR Hasiil, Inc.! Glabal | 24 A I believe so, yes. |
| 25 NMPS Kanss, Inc.; Clobal NaPs Kertucky, Inc.; | 25 Q. Are these also the officers for Global Realty? |
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| clobal MPSS Lavisiana, Inco Gobal IAPS Maine, | 1 A. I believe so, yes. |
| Inc.; Global NARS Marylani, Inc. so far an I | 2. 0. Are these also the officers for Glokal Networks? |
| rigit? | 3 A I beliere 50 , yes. |
| 4. . Sounds to be right. | 4 Q. Are these also the officers for Global South? |
| Q. Okay. | s A. I believe that they are the same structure for all |
| UR. MAMSHIN: You aked us for a list, | those file comparies, but I can't tell you for |
| but you had one the whale time. | certain. |
| Mr. JENSE: Nat the whole time. | 1 Q. As you sit here tocky, it's jour best understandim |
| - BY Mr. JISSSN: | 9 thet thse are the - the officers are also the |
| 10 Q. Glotal NARS Massachusetts, Inc; Glubal Maps | 10 officers for Global South as well as the rest of |
| 11 Mictigan, Inc.; Global NPPS Nimesta, Inc.; | 11 the file entities? |
| 12 clobal NPPS Nevad, Inc. ; Global NPPS New | 12 A. Yes. |
| 13 Hanyshire, Inc- - is that the sawe as Global MaPs | ${ }^{13}$ Q. Ckay. The baard members, wrich is the same as the |
| 14 New Hapetire that we've been talking abait? | 14 officers, is it also ture that these are the sare |
| 15 A Yes. | 15 board maners for Clobal Now Hanginire? |
| 16 Q. It's not a separate New liapstrine - | 16 A. Yes |
| 17 A. I'm unamare if there's a separate cumary. | 17. Eor Glokal Networks? |
| 18.8. So that's not recessarily a file entity? | 18 A. Yes. |
| 19 A. That one wouldn't be a file cunpany; rigtt. | 19. Q. Global Pealty? |
| 20 Q . Clobal NaES New York, Inc; Global lase Mrith | 20 A . Yes. |
| 21 Carolina, Inc:; Global NAPS Gregon, Inc.; Global | 21 Q. And Global South and the Global file entities? |
| 22 NPPS Penrsylvania, Inc.; Gobal NaPS South | 22 A. |
| 23 Carolina, Inc.; Global NaPS Tenresse, Inc.; Gobal | 23 Q. Ckay, And do all these entities $\rightarrow$ when say "all |
| 24 Naps mastrington, Inc. Does that saund like the | 24 these ertities, I mean all the Global entities, |
| 25 list of file ertities? | 25 the four that are separate and then the file |
| 166 | 168 |


| 1 entities - are they all headquattered in Quincy, | I A. Hike Coture. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 Mass. ? | 2 Q. Does Mr. Couture also maintain the mintes? |
| 3 A I I believe they are. I dn't know if they have | 3 A. I'm not sure if he does or if that's done by our |
| 9 Delaware addresses or if they use the legal offices | 4 inthouse legal - I'd have to cheok and let you |
| 5 for their headquarters. I don't know, bat I | 5 know. |
| 6 believe they're all 10 Merrymant. | 6 Q. Wh is typically present at a board meetim for |
| 7 Q. 10 Merrymarit, Quino\%, Msss, ? | 7 Global? |
| 8 A Rigtt. | - A. Board nembers. |
| 9 Q. All these entities have the sane parert compay; is | 9 0. Just the board members? |
| 18 that rigit? | $10 \lambda$ Alocs.) |
| 11 A Yes. | 11 Q. Is there also legal staff that's there? |
| 32 Q. That's Ferrous Miner? | 12 A. |
| 13 A. Yes. | 13 Q. Is there usually a specific ocasion that legal |
| 14 Q. And Ferrous Mirer files a consolidated incone tax | 14 staff is needed? |
| 15 for all of these entities is that right? | 15 A. No, not that I can recall. |
| 16 MR. MAIISEWN: I think we wert over this | 16 Q. In the absence of legal staff, who would mairiain |
| 17 several times. | 17 the mintes? |
| 18 MR. Jowsen: I don't think we have, not | 18 A Mike. |
| 19 grite as - | 19 Q. Do yout kow where the minutes are kept? |
| 26 A. To the best of 听 knowledge, yes. | $20 \mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{No}, \mathrm{I}$ dan't. |
| 21 EY MR, Javisu: | 21 Q. Mike would be the cre to ask? |
| 22 Q. For clobal, can you tell ne how often the company's | 22 A . Yeah. |
| 23 Boand of Directors mets? | 23 Q. Where ches Global keep its business reconds? |
| 24 A . Whenever it's recessary, it varies. | 24 A. What type of business reconds? |
| 25 Q. Is there a typical number of tiwes per year that | 25 Q. Any type of business reconde. It could be toard |
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| 1 you would neet? | 1 metings It coidd be activity. |
| 2 A. No. When we need to, | 2 A They could be aryutere, I gless. If it's a |
| 3 Q. When is it that you would notmally reed to? | 3 cortract, that would be with our sales glys oc our |
| 4 A . If something requires our attention or if there's | 1 in-bouse legal departrent; or if it's, you krow, |
| 3 scucthing we need to meet for for regulatory or any | 5 invoices and that type of stuff, it would be with |
| 6 other obligation we have, legal obligation. | 6 the bookkeeper. |
| 1 Q. So you have m regular meeting? | 7 0. Whit about board-related recueds? |
| : A No schectiled regular meeting, no. | 8 A I don't know where those would be kept. |
| 9 0. Would you say you mete regularly? | 9 Q. Do you kuow who maintains the coucany's business |
| 10 A. We meet wen we reed to. As I said, we're a small | 10 recorcs? |
| 11 compary. | 11 A. I con't know what you're asking for about business |
| 12 Q. And when you meet as Global, co you also meet at | 12 records, so I can't answer your question. |
| 13 the same time as the other Clabal entities? | 13 Q. Well, I guess you've broken it down to same extent |
| 14 A. If there's a need for it. | 14 for me. Some contracts, are they maivtained with |
| 15 Q. Well, give re an examle of the need to have | 35 the sales departnets? |
| 16 everybody at the same meating. | 16 A . They could be, or they could be with the legal |
| 17 A. I can't think of one, wly I would need to meet for | 17 departmeit. |
| 18 all the comparies at the same time. | 18 Q. Or bath? |
| 19 0. Has that happered in the past? | 19 A Or both, yeah. |
| 30 A. Not that I can recall. | 26. Does the company maintain any acousting reconts? |
| i Q. Are minutes kept of the comary's mecing? | 21 A. No. Thuse are maittained by outside. |
| 22 Yes. | 22 Q. "Outside" being tho? |
| 3 Q Wha keeps the minutes? | 23 A. Our amourtans and bookkeeper, Select $\delta$ Pay, |
| 4 A. Secretary. | 24 and - I'n wt even sure if Nardella \& Taylor has |
| 0. Who is that? | as any reords. |
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| Q. So Select \& Pay would be the one who mairtairs your accounting records? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MR. MANISHIN: You ssked hin that } \\ & 2 \end{aligned} \text { question - what did be do - and he said be }$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Figit. | 3 Inse the compary on a day-to-day besis. I'm |
| Q. And Select \& Pay maintains the accouting records | 4 just saying because I read it this moming. |
| for all the Global entities; is that rigtt? | 5 Aryusy, please proceed. |
| A. Yes. | 6 BY MR, JEVEEX: |
| Q. Incluting ferrous Miner? | 7 Q. Does Global phlish notices and agendas for the |
| MR. MANISHIN: Ferrous Miner is | - band meeting? |
| tectrically not a Glabal entity. | g A. I dn't know. I wouldn't do that, so if it wes |
| But I think you understand the question. | 10 requred, I'm sure we wuild. I don't know what |
| Plewe answer it. | 11 the regulations require, |
| A. Yes, I believe 50. | 12 Q. Have you ever seen a notioe for a board neeting? |
| EY Mr. JINSEN: | $13 \mathrm{~A} . \quad \mathrm{No}$. |
| Q. Who nus Global NaPs? | 14. H. Have you ever seen an ayenda for a board meeting? |
| A. What do you mean by "Glotal NES"? | 15 A. Not that I can recall, m. |
| Q. Who nurs the day-to-day lasiness of clobal? | 16 Q. Who would be in charge of putiog together notices |
| A. That's me. | 17 or agents for board meetings? |
| Q. That's youn | 18 A. If they're required and they're done, then they |
| A. Yeah | 19 probahly would be done ty our secretary or somebody |
| Q. And you make all the decisions? | 20 fime our legal department. That's not sonething I |
| A. Cay-to-day decisions, yes. I get the input from | 21 would ch. |
| Mike and Frank when need be. | 22 Q. Would that be scmething that Ficheel conture would |
| Q. What would be an occasion that you would need to | 23 do? |
| consult with like or Erank? <br> 限. MAISTiN: Counsel, I would just | 24 A. If it wes required and if it wes cone, eypbe or our 25 legal deparment. |
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|  | 10. What does fichael oturure do as secretaly other |
| from uhat the Junge outlined in our May 3lst | 2 than take mintes at board metinge? |
| order. | 3 A I'm not sure wat other duties, other |
| MR. JINSOX Haw So? | 4 responsiblilities of a secretay. |
| MR. MPNISHIN: There's notring in here | 5 Q. I'I not askim you what they generally are, ht |
| about how Global corcucts its currert business | 6 what dos he do as a secretary? |
| operatiors at all. | 7 A I don't know. |
| MR. JENSPA I believe there is a | 8 Mr. Montsinns what dees he it in |
| provision for - | 9 adition to taking mintes is the question. |
| MR. MAMISHIN: Show me. Oorporate | 10 THE WITNESS: secretaty I thougt he |
| structure, yes. | 11 said. |
| MR. J3WSEx: Bractly, That's what this | 12 NR. MPISSHN: Well, you already |
| is. Who nus the cumary, that's not part of | 13 testified be takes minutes. I just wart to |
| the corporate stucture? | 14 make sure it's clear. The question he aked |
| MR. NANSHON: Talking aboat the specific | 15 wes what does he do, and you said, "I dan't |
| duties of specific individuals I don't regard | 16 known bat that's not accurate. |
| as - | 1) EY 㫙, JUNSZ |
| IR. JINSEN: Well, that's what I'm trying | 18 Q. He takes mintes, ht other than taking nimites, |
| to find at. Wh, Gangi has testified that | 19 you don't know what he does? |
| he's the person umo nus the coupary. | 20 A . In his official capacity as secretary - is that |
| MR. MANISHM: I would just caution you | 21 what you're asking - or just, in general, what |
| that, at some poitt, I'm going to object. Go | 22 dees Yichael Couture do? |
| ahead. He testified to that in the first | 23 Q. If you went to answer that question, I'd be more |
| session $a$ well. | 24 than haypy to hear it. |
| MR. JPVSEN: I don't think he did. | 25 A. I'd prefer not to. I would prefer to answer mo |
| 174 | 176 |



| 18. 2 | 1 Q. Have you ever heard of that alstomer before? <br> 2 A. I've heard eertion of it, sure. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 (hereby the witness complies.) | 3 Q. Okay. And what's the atiness for that astomer? |
| 4 | 4 A. 6 LH Hil Ridge Road, Stanford, connectiat. |
| s A Okay. | s Q. Do you know wether thit castomer is still in |
| 6 Q. Why don't we take a look at the first page, which | 6 Consectiout today? |
| 1 is Bates Number Glotal NMPS CT 1839. Do you see | 7 A. I don't know if they're still a customer, first |
| 8 that page? | 9 off; and I don't know if they are still in |
| 9 A. Yes. | 9 Connecticat if ther are still a customer. |
| 10 Q. Okay, Could you tell me what this page is? What | 10 Q. Is there sometody that could find that information |
| 11 does it mepresent? | 11 out? |
| 12 A . It looks to be a list of castoners. | 12 A. Sure. I'n sure Mr. Masuret could find that at for |
| 13 Q. Ostcmers for who? | 13 me . |
| 14 A. I'm assuing global Nares. | 14 Q. Turring to the second paye - I apologize for |
| 15 Q. Glokal NAPS, Inc.? | 15 luping it all together. I'm doing that to |
| 16 A. I didn't prepare this, so I don't know what it is. | 16 hopefully male it - |
| 17 Q. Do you know who prepared it? | 17 A . in problen. |
| 19 A. There's mo intication on here. This mast likely | 18 Q. The second pare is entitled, "Confidential, |
| 19 would have to crme from our sales department. | 19 Htomeys' Ejes $0^{\text {aly }}$ "; and then urdemeath it says |
| 20 2. Would that be Mr. Hawuret? | 20 "Global NAPS, Inc. Oustamer List 2002." Do you see |
| 21 A. Yes, Mr. Masuret and Mr. Shaw | 21 that? |
| 22 Q. Okay. Do you know how this dxament got to be put | 22 A Yes. |
| 23 together? | 23 Q. Does that tell you arytring about the astoners |
| 24 A. No. I had no involvernert with this cocment. | 24 listed under the heading Customer? |
| 23 Q. You had no involvement in asking the a customer | 25 A. Well, it would appear to be a list of abtomers for |
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| 1 list be pt together? | 1 Global NMPS, Inc. back in 2000. |
| $2 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{No}$. | 2 Q. Olay. Is there any reason to believe that these |
| 3. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ And do you have an idea of wo would have asked | 3 were not Global NQPS's astomers back in 2002? |
| 4 that a customer list be pit together? | 1 A. I'm - mot by this - not by this document. |
| 5 A. I would assume it cane from in-house coumsel to | 5 Q. Ckyy. And, axoin, you don't krow who pt this |
| 6 satisfy the Court's order. | 6 particular drawert together? |
| 7 Q. Okay, Taking a closer look at the first parge, | 7 A Yeah, I wes not involved with this. |
| A looking at the - if you notice, on the left side | - Q. I'm talking specifically about the customer list |
| 9 at the top left, it says, "Customer"? | 9 for 2002. |
| 10 A. Yeah. | 10 A. Yeah, I ves not insolved with that. |
| 11 Q. And then it lises a muker of customers going down. | 11 Q. Do you have ary idea what the highligtting meens on |
| 12 Do you have a - as the person that rus the | 12 certain of the custumers? |
| 13 day-to-day business of Global, do you have an idea | 13 A . No idea. |
| 14 of whether or not these are customers of clobal? | 14 Q. If you turn two pages, it's the global NRPS |
| is A. H/ gess would be that these are, as I've said, | 15 astomer list for 2003, and that is Bates |
| 16 originally cortracted with Global, Inc.; but if | 16 Numer 1940. Do you see that page? |
| 17 their tem is expired on the original cortract, | 17 A. |
| 18 then they" ve been assigned to Networks. Just by | 18 Q. Okay, It looks like a two-page docmert listing |
| 19 looking at this docunent, I con't tell you which | 19 the customers, at least by the heating, for Global |
| 20 one of these prople nay have arrert contrats. | 20 NaPS, Inc. for 2003. |
| 21 My suspricion is none of them. | ${ }_{21}$ A. Okay. |
| 22 Q. Okay. looking in the Ds, it says a customer by | $22.3 . Y o u$ don't know anything about this list? |
| 23 the name of Dial Assurace. In you see that | 23 A. Again, I didn't prepare the list or ask for the |
| 24 custoner? | 24 list to be prepared. So, mo, I dmit know arythimg |
| 25 A. Yes. | 25 about it. |
| 182 | 184 |


| 12. I'm abing you that for each are because -- <br> 2 A. Sure. |  | looks live the last page is another version of the first paje of this documen? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 Q. -- they' ne cluped together bat they' re sparate | 3 A. | Ancther version of the - okay. They all look |
| 4 lists it looks like. | 4 | pretty similar, bat okay. |
| 5 A. I understax | 50. | And the date on this last one is 2006. Do you see |
| 5 Q. The nert one we have, which is Bates Number 1902, | 6 | that? |
| 7 is the list for 2004. so you see that? | 7 A | That's what it says. |
| 8 A. Yes. | 88. | It says, "Global NPPS, Inc. Ostaner list 2006"? |
| 9 Q. Okay, That's for Global NapS -- the heating is | 9 A | Right. |
| 10 "Global NAPS, Inc. Oustomer List 2004"? | 10. | Do you have an understanding of whet this docoment |
| 11 A. Kigt. |  | represerts? |
| 12 Q. Eut you don't know whether or not - strike that. | 12 A. | Orly by what it says on the paper, and it says it's |
| 13 What does this docunert tell you, if arything? |  | a Global NaPS, Inc. cisturer list. |
| 14 A. It appears to be a list of customers for Clabal | 14 |  |
| 15 NAPS for 2004. | 15 | (Recess taken froe 4:24 p.a. to |
| 16 Q. Okaj, | 16 | 4:30. p . ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ) |
| 17 MR, MPNISHN: I would state for the | 17 |  |
| 18 reoomd, Mr, Jersen, it wes one of your | 18 | (Plaintiff's Extubit No. 20, |
| 19 requests at the last deposition for a castomer | 19 | Global MAPS, Inc. Telephone |
| $20 . \quad$ list, and so endeavors were made to pit a | 20 | Sudtch Service Agreement with |
| 21 custrmer list together whether or nt it was | 21 | Actifuncs, marked for |
| 22 tecmically responsive to the Court's order. | 22 | idertification.) |
| 23 I don't know who in the coupary did it, bat | 23 |  |
| 24 obviously it wes not Mr. Gangi. | 24 |  |
| 25 IR. wasker Thank you for that. | 25 |  |
| 285 |  | 187 |
| 1 MR. MAILSTIN: You're welcone. | 1 | (Pladrtift's Extribit Nb. 21, |
| 2 BY MR. JENSIN: | 2 | Glabal NPE, Inc. Telephone |
| 3 Q. As you sit here tody, do you have ary reason to | 3 | Switch Servioe Agreemert with |
| 4 believe that this list of customers in 2004 were | 4 | AVB Intemet, marked for |
| 5 not custowers of Global KMPS, Inc. in 2001? | 5 | idertification.) |
| 6 A. Aggin, if they're listed as astomers in 2002 amd | 6 |  |
| 1 then again in 2004, the same custoner, their | 1 | (Plaintiff's Extibit No. Z2, |
| 8 initial cortrat is obviously expired, so thay | 8 | Global MES, Inc. Telephone |
| 9 would have been assigned to Global NaPS Networks | 9 | Suitch Service Agreemerte with |
| 18 rather than Global MPPS, Inc. I wesn't impolved | 10 | Bensstive Net, Inc, |
| 11 with this. I dor't know what they were told to | 11 | marked for idertification.) |
| 12 do. | 12 |  |
| 13 Q. Okaj. | 13 | (Plaintiff's Exilit No. 23, |
| 14 A. What I'm saying is purely speculation. | 14 | Bestieb Corporation correspondence, |
| 15 Q. Looking at the next list, which is 20015 shich | 15 | forr lecters, |
| 16 Starts on Bates Nunber 1944, it's titled, "clobal | 16 | mavked for idertification.) |
| 17 NARS, Inc. Customer list 2005." Do you see that? | 17 |  |
| 18 A. Yes. | 18 | (Plajtciff's Exhibit No. 24, |
| 19 Q. Ckay. What's your understanding as you sit lere | 19 | Glatal NXPS, Inc. Telephone |
| 20 today of what this documert is? | 20 | Switch service Agreemert with |
| 21 A. It just sajs it's a Global NARS, Inc. custower list | 21 | BEIS Comunications, |
| 22 for 2005. | 22 | madked for identification.) |
| 23 Q. Looking at the last page, which is Baxes | 23 |  |
| 24 Number 1996, which I thirk - and you can tell me | 24 |  |
| 25 if I'm wrong or if you den't even know, bit it | 25 |  |
| 186 |  | 198 |


| 1 (Plairciff's Exhibit No. 2 , | 1 A. If they're still a castoner of one of the menbers |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 through Maintiff's Exhibit | 2 of the clobal family, it would be Hetworks and not |
| 3 Na. 70, inclusive, to be | 3 Irc. That I can say. |
| 4 described by deponert, | 4 Q. Correct. So my question uns: Is Atifinds a |
| 5 narked for identification) | 5 Cutamer of Gobal currerthy? |
| 6 | 6 A. No. |
| 7 EY MR. JTNSEN: | 7 Q. Okay, Would there be ary docmertation of this |
| 8 Q. (Hanting.) Mr, Gangi, I'r showing you wat's been | - Actifunts cortract that expired and was assigned to |
| 9 narked as Elaintiff's Extibit 20 . Do you see that | 9 Netwods? |
| 10 dsoment? | 10 A. Probably not. It's just something that wes done |
| 11 | 11 in the course of business for us. It's our |
| 12 (witress reviese document.) | 12 ratine. It's hhte we do. |
| 13 | 13 Q. Do you know whether or not this contract deals with |
| 14 A. Yes. | 14 dellivery of traffic to SEPT? |
| 15 Q. Okiay. Can you tell me what that documert is? | 15 A. What are they blying? |
| 16 A. It looks like a contract between Global and | 16 |
| ${ }^{17}$. . If you look at the fromt page, on the first line | 17 Witness reviews docmert.) |
| is below the title, rigt after Global - | 18 |
| 19 A. Actifuns, A-C-T-T- | 19 A. It mentions that they are buring RII service. |
| 20 Q. Do you know whit the current status of this | 20 Thit should inclute SEST arymere on our footprint |
| 21 contract is? | 21 wrich SETI is pact of. |
| 22 A. Give me a minute to look through it. I'll see if I | 22 Q. So assuring they were still a customer of a Gobal |
| 23 can figure it out. | 23 ertity - and that Mchal entity would probably be |
| 24 | 24 Netwoks, Agin, assuming thy sere still a |
| 25 (itress reviere docmert.) | 25 astomer, they nigte be proviting delivery of |
| 189 | 191 |
| 1 | 1 traffic to SNT? |
| 2 A. I would have to say that this contrat is expired. | 2 A If they had a need for it, yes. |
| 3 Q. When you say "expriced, "hat do you mean by that? | 3 Q. Okay. Is there ans wey that you can tell from this |
| 4 A. It was sigred in 200, ard it's got an iritial | 4 contract whether or not they had a need for it? |
| 5 oreyear tem. Then it goes to - it dcesn't go | $5 \lambda$ No. |
| 6 anywere. That's it. One-year tern. | c Q. Who would krow that? |
| 7 Q. Is this a contract that wes assigned to Retworks? | 7 A. Probably no ore in our ocgenization. That's not |
| 8 A. This would be a contrect that was assigned to | a scmething that we would - wen you bry a PRI frow |
| 9 Networks. If this is still a customer. ht the | 9 us, it covers our ertire footprint. te deliver it |
| 10 time it expired, it would have been assigred to | 10 to you in one spot, and it avers everythim that |
| 11 Networks. That would have been 2006. | 11 we cover. |
| 12 Q. So around 2002 scmetime, it walld have been | 12 MR. Mentrine I'll just cation you. |
| 13 assigred to Networks? | 13 This sounds a lat like merits discovery to me |
| 14 A. Rigt. | 14 and at assets discovery, |
| 25. How can you tell whether or nt it's arrently a | 15 Mr. Jensen: Mry is that? |
| 16 Castaser of Networks? | 16 MR. Mantshln: Becase delivery of |
| 17 A. If it is a - I'd have to talk to our - either our | 17 traffic to SXTf has nothing to do with wether |
| 18 Sales department or Select \& By to see if they're | 18 there are detts due and owing from these |
| 19 issuing theer a bill. | 19 custorets to Global NAPS, Inc. that you could |
| 20.0 ckay. Bit can yous say $x$ a matter of fat that | 20 attach. |
| 21 Actifunds is molonger a clieti of Global Maps, | 21 Mr. Jows in: I think thas's a question. |
| 22 Irc.? | 22 EY MR. Jansex: |
| 23 A. I can't say as a matter of fact either wey, whether | 23 Q. Looking at Plainiff's Extibit 20, which is the |
| 24 they are or aren't a customer. | 24 Actifunds coitract, and refering you hack to |
| 25 Q. Of Global. Not Global Networks, of clobal. | 25 Plaintiff's Extibit 19-19 is the astomer |
| 190 | 192 |




| 1 or Global NAPS Networks? | 1 Q. What is it? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 A. Yes, and then they'll do a reconciliation on their | 2 A. It says it's a Teleptone Switch Servioe Agreewent. |
| 3 books with due tos and due frows as to haw the | 3 Q. Okay. Who is it with or who is the client? |
| 4 money's broken up. Some portion of it will go to | 4 A. It's handwitten and it's poorly handmcitten, but I |
| 5 Networks. Sme portion of it will go to Realty, | 5 believe it says Berkshire Net, Inc. |
| 6 Scone portion of it will $p$ to Inc. | 5 Q. Okas. Do you know whether or not that's a ourrert |
| 1 Q. You mentioned that all of this is registered on the | 7 client of Gldal MMPS7 |
| g books. Where are these books? | - A. I'll refer heok to the astcuer list for 2006. |
| 9 A. They mould be kept by Select 5 Bay. | 9 |
| 10 Q. Priar to June, '05 when Select \& Pay wes | 10 Witness reviese docment.) |
| 11 incorported, where were these books kept? | 11 |
| 12 A. Again, prior to June, '05, I dn't know were they | 12 A. I see Berkshire Net still listeed, 50 I would say |
| 13 were kept. I think you asked re this before. | 13 that they're still a custoner. |
| 14 They nay have kept then in Quincy, or thay may have | 14 Q. Okay. Looking at the contrat, that's between - |
| 15 rented storage. I mn't know. I wessit involved | 15 originally beween Global INPS, Inc. and Berkstire |
| 16 with where to keep reconts. | 16 N眦; is that rigtt? |
| 17 Q. You're talking aboat entries an acount ledgers; is | 17 A Ringt; it appears to be. |
| 18 that rigte? | 18 Q. Cka, Lookim at the first page of the costract, |
| 19 A. Yes. | 19 down at the betten, it sajs, "Global NPS Realty, |
| 20 Q. And you're calling those books? | 2 T Irc."; and then underneath that is "By Erank Ganyi, |
| 21 A. Yes. | 21 Presidert" mith a signaure sbove that. Do you see |
| 22 Q. Okay. Is there a distinction between books and | 22 that? |
| 23 business reconts that you've been talking about? | 23 A. Okay. |
| 24 MR. MFIISHIN: Objection. I think he | 240. Can you tell me why Global NRPS Realty is sigring |
| 25 said before he wasn't sure what you neart, by | 25 this doament? |
| 201 | 203 |
| 1 business recorcs. | 1 A Again, this is eight years ag. I dan't know wht |
| 2 A. Again, I'm not sure uhat you mean by business | 2 they're selling. Let me read through this, and |
| 3 records. | 3 I'll try and get you an answer. |
| 4 EY MR. JENSIW: | 4 |
| 5 Q. Gusiness reconts could include corporate reconds - | 5 Mitness reviens docmert.) |
| 6 A. When I say "bocks, " I'mit talking about acouriting | 6 |
| 7 entries. | 7 A. There's no list of description of servio. I |
| e Q. Accorting entries? | a dan't know what is being sold If Realty is |
| 9 A Rigit. | 9 involved, my assuption would be that tris is for a |
| 10 Q. Okay, Other than the monthly fee that is paid by | 10 rack rertal. |
| 11 Av9 Intemet, are there ay other recurring fees | 11 Q. Okay, Just looking at the first page, it's titled |
| 12 that wouid be paid? | 12 "Global NAPS, Inc. Teleptone Switch Service |
| 13 A. Not that I can gather frou this contract, mo. | 13 Agreenert"? |
| 14 Q. Wro at Global lis the athority to enter into | 14 A . Rigit. |
| 15 cortracts like this? | 15 Q. Is that the same as an ayreenent to lease rack |
| 16 A I do. | 16 rental? |
| 17 Q. Arybody else? | 17 A. It could be. |
| 18 A Erakk I'm sure, and I'n sure michael would. He's | 18 Q. Okay, Is there a separate service that is being |
| 19 an officer. He has signing atthority. | 19 Oc uns being provided by Glabal KPPS, Inc.? |
| 20 Q. (harcing.) Showing you wat's been marked as | 20 A Sure. ERT service. |
| 21 Plaintiff's Exdibit 22, do you see that doamert? | 21 Q. Char. Is that the service that you think was |
| 22 | 22 provided under this cortract? |
| 23 (Witness revieus docment.) | 23 A. well, the descripion of service - this is all |
| 24 | 24 speculation. The description of service is blank, |
| 25 A. Yes. | 25 but it's signed by Realty, 50 xy asumtion would |
| 202 | 204 |


| 1 | be that this is for a rad reital. I can't think | Crimilais of becrus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | of another reason that Realty would sign a corrract | 2 I, Frances R. Teti, a Licensed Shorthand |
| 3 | other than for rack rental, so | 3 Repreter and Notary Rublic duly conmissioned and |
|  | Okay, Just going back to Rlaintiff's Exhibit 19, | 4 qualified in and for the State of Comectiout, in hereby |
| 5 | the list of castoners, Mr. Gangi, looking at the | 5 certify that there cane before ne the following naned |
| 6 | firs page, to the extent these are still astcmers | 6 person, to wit: RIGARD GNKZ, as a designated |
| 7 | of a Global ertity, these astomers listed here | 3 representative of Global NRPS, Inc., who was by me duly |
| 8 | would be customers of clobal netwods; is that | I sworn to testify to the tnth and nothing but the truth; |
| 9 | rigtt? | 9 that he wes thereupon carefully examined upon his oath |
| 10 A | Yes. | 10 and his examination redured to writing under my |
| 118. | Ckay. Is it also true that these astamers vere | If supervision; that this ceposition is a true record of |
| 12 | originally customers of Clobal NaPS, Inc.? | 12 the testimory given by the witness. |
| 13 A. | The original antrzat wolld have been signed with | 13 I further certify that I airither attomey |
| 14 | Global NRPS, Inc., yes. | 14 nor coursel for, nor related to, mr enployed by ay of |
| 158. | For each one of these castomers? | is the parties to the action in shich this deposition is |
| 16 A | Yes. To the best of my knowledge, yes. | 16 taken, and funther that I am not a relative or eniloyee |
| 17 Q . | Okay. As you said before, you're a small crepary. | 17 of any atomey or counsel employed by the parties |
| 19 | So the fact that these were assigned to Global | 18 bereto, mor financially interested in the action. |
| 19 | Networks was not coanerted; is that night? | 19 IN WITMESS TERREF, I have hereurio set uy |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20 \mathrm{~A} \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | It's just something that we decided to do among the officers, and it's cone $\boldsymbol{x}$ a matter of course for | 20 hand and affixed Hy seal this 25th day of Jume, 2006. |
| 72 | all of our cortracts. |  |
| 330. | There's no payment made from Gobal Metworks to | 23 Erances R. Teti, LiR, protary public |
| 24 | Glokal, Inc. for this assigment? | 24 My comission expires: 3-31-09 |
| 25 A. | None that I'm axare of, m. | 25 License If: 00076 |
|  | 205 | 207 |
| 1 | MR. JFSNEA I think I'll stop there for | 1 mommariocm mider |
| 2 | rigft now We will have to continue, I's | Page |
| 3 | expocting sowe more tinamial documets |  |
| 1 | hopefully shortiy. I do have quite a bit |  |
| 5 | more to cover, so we'll reconvene at a later | 2 |
| 6 | date. | Page |
| 7 | Me. MArishan: I would like to receive a |  |
| 8 | transcript. I would like to get a rough |  to Jettry C. Maliot deted June 22, 2006 |
| 9 | draft, if you can; not rushed but whenever you |  |
| 10 | have the dirty ASCII, the text document ready. |  |
| 11 | I would prefer both a hard opy and an |  |
| 12 | electronic one. With whatever rapidity |  |
| 13 | plairtift's counsel orders it, I will match | 13 13 ..... |
| 14 | it. |  |
| 15 | MR. JTNSEAL We'd like to get it by |  Corporation Division mab site |
| 15 | Tuesday, if that's possible: in electronic, |  |
| $1)$ | as soon a you can get it obviously, and a |  to Narialle \& Tayior with etteothed aro-page fax |
| 14 | mini as well. | If cover shaet |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 | (Deposition wes suspenjed at 5:04 p.m.) | a) paper |
| 21 |  | $21{ }^{17}$ ¢ |
| 2 |  | 27.1920 throuxt GICoxi murs cr 1882 |
| 3 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 24 cerresporederse to onest commineatiana, Inc. with atteched invoice |
| 3 |  | is mith ateeked hwoicm |
|  | 206 | 208 |
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UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT
Thurgood Marshal U.S. Courthouse at Foley Square 40 Centre Street, New York, NY 10007 Telephone: 212-857-8500
MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT




FOR EMERGENCY MOTIONS, MOTIONS FOR STAYS AND INJUNCTIONS PENDING APPEAL:
Has request for relief been made below?1 Yes $\square$ No

Alas this relief been previously sought in this Court?$\square \mathrm{No}$

Requested remora date and explanation of emergency:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
 Date: , acesanes

Hes service been effected?
(1) YeasNo [Attach proof of service] ORDER

IT IS EEREBY ORDERED THAT the motion is GRANTED DENIED.
FOR THE COURT:
CATHERDNE O'HAGAN WOLFE, Clerk of Court
Date: $\qquad$ By: $\qquad$

## No. 08-4518-cv

## U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit

> GLOBAL NAPS, INC., GLOBAL NAPS NEW HAMPSHIRE, INC., GLOBAL NAPS NETWORKS, INC., GLOBAL NAPS REALTY, INC., AND FERROUS MINER HOLDINGS, LTD.,

Appellants
v.

THE SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE COMPANY,
Appellee

United States District Court for the District of Connecticut Civil Action No. 3:04-cv-2075 (JCH)

## MOTION FOR STAY UNDER RULE 8, F.R.A.P.

William J. Rooney, Jr. (2d Cir. No. 05-176461 General Counsel, Global NAPs, Inc. 89 Access Road, Suite B Norwood, MA 02062
Telephone: (617) 687-1405

Joel Davidow
(2d Cir. No. 05-176461)
Kile Goekjian Reed \& McManus, PLLC
1200 New Hampshire Ave, NW
Suite 570
Washington, DC 20036
Telephone: (202) 263-0806

## RULE 26.1 CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Appellants GLOBAL NAPS, INC., GLOBAL NAPS NETWORKS, INC., GLOBAL NAPS REALTY, INC. and GLOBAL NAPS NEW

HAMPSHIRE, INC. certify that each is wholly owned by FERROUS MINER HOLDINGS, LTD, a Delaware corporation, and all Appellants certify that no publicly held entity owns, directly or indirectly, a 10 percent or more interest in any Appellant or parent of any Appellant.

Pursuant to Rule 27 of the Rules of this Court and Rule 8, F.R.A.P., Appellants, Defendants in the court below, hereby move for a stay of execution of the judgment of the court below in the total amount of $\$ 5,893,541.86$, pending disposition of this appeal; and further, that Appellants not be required to post bond, for the reasons set forth below.

## A. Proceedings in District Court

At issue in the court below were claims for damages for failure to pay interconnection charges relating to an interconnection agreement ("ICA") approved by the Connecticut Commission under procedures created by $\S \$ 251$ and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("TCA"). Appellee The Southern New England Telephone Company ("SNET"), an incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC"), sued Appellant Global NAPs, Inc. ("Global"), a competitive local exchange carrier ("CLEC"). SNET ultimately was allowed to add Global's affiliates, ${ }^{1}$ some of which are not involved in the telecommunications business, as defendants on veil-piercing grounds.

Since the interpretation disputes were not brought by SNET to the relevant state commissions before they were brought to federal court, Global moved for dismissal under 12(b)(1) Fed. R. Civ. P., relying on eight cases

1 Global NAPs New Hampshire, Inc., Global NAPs Networks, Inc., Global NAPs Realty, Inc. and Ferrous Miner Holdings, Ltd.
from six different circuits. The court below denied the motion, concluding that all previous courts granting 12(b)(1) dismissal were wrong, and that the TCA creates only a waivable exhaustion requirement, which Global waived by being untimely with its motion. Doc. No. 601, reported at 520 F.Supp.2d 351(2007).

After SNET prevailed on summary judgment for $\$ 5,247,781.45$, and added more defendants because Global purportedly did not have enough assets, two disputes arose: First, SNET proved that Global had removed, after replacing, about $\$ 3,000.00$ worth of used telecommunications equipment from the state, and was awarded $\$ 645,760.41$ in attorneys' fees for proving that. Second, after a series of disputes concerning whether Global affiliates were providing full financial records, the court below, as a sanction under 37(b) Fed. R. Civ. P., entered a default judgment on the merits (Doc. No. 796) as amended (Doc. No. 803), in the amount of $\$ 5,247,781.45$, jointly and severally against all defendants.

Following the district court's denial, on September 3, 2008, of motions to reconsider and to alter or amend judgments (Doc. No. 840) Appellants filed a timely notice of appeal to this Court September 12, 2008 (Doc. No. 842). Their brief on the meritsis due on November 12, 2008.

Before filing the instant motion, Appellants moved in the district cour for a stay pending appeal. Global asked to be excused from posting securi
or bond of \$6 million, presenting affidavits attesting to its lack of significant assets or cash. (Doc. No. 845). The court denied the motion by order of October, 14, 2008 (Doc. No. 853) (Attached as Exhibit A).

## B. The Standards For Temporary Relief Are Fully Met Here.

In the absence of a bond posted under Rule 62(d), Fed. R. Civ. P., appellate courts evaluate motions for stays of enforcement of a judgment pending appeal based on the test applicable to preliminary injunctive relief. The moving party must demonstrate that: (a) it will likely succeed on the merits of its underlying appeal; (b) absent a stay, it will suffer irreparable harm; (c) a stay will impose no substantial injury on the non-moving party; and (d) the public interest will be served. See, e.g., Hilton v. Braunskill, 481 U.S. 770, 777 (1987); Mohammed v. Reno, 309 F.3d 95, 100-101 (2d Cir. 2002). Each of these standards is met here.

A purpose of the bonding provisions of Rule 62, Fed. R. Civ. P., is to secure a prevailing plaintiff against erosion of the collectability of its judgment during appeal. But attachment of the working assets of a thinly capitalized going business will not help the Plaintiff recover; it serves only predatory purposes. Rule 8 can and has been used to protect an asset-poor litigant from total loss of its business before its appeal is heard. See Scanner Technologies Corp. v. ICOS Vision Systems Corp., N.V., No. 2008-1081 (Fed. Cir. 2008)(Attached as Exhibit B). There, the district court had found
for plaintiff and refused to stay a judgment which defendant represented it could neither pay nor secure by bond. The Federal Circuit granted defendant's emergency stay motion without substantive discussion.

## 1. Appellants Are Likely to Prevail on the Merits.

This Court could conclude even without detailed analysis that Appellants will likely prevail on the merits of the present appeal. First, although Appellants' grounds for dismissal under 12 (b)(1) have been accepted in multiple circuit and district courts, the court below rejected the weight of authority and embraced an aberrant and flawed approach. Second, default on the merits as a discovery sanction is highly suspect when no discovery issues concerned the merits, no direct evidence of willful document destruction or alteration was produced, and various lesser and more appropriate sanctions were available. Third, awarding a plaintiff more than $\$ 645,000$ in attorney's fees for uncovering removal from the jurisdiction of assets with a combined value of $\$ 3,000.00$ is very likely to be found grossly disproportionate and an abuse of discretion.

## (a) Appellants Will Likely Prevail on Their Contention that the Court Below Lacked Subject Matter Jurisdiction.

It is well settled that any final judgment entered by a court, on default grounds or otherwise, is invalid if the court is found to have lacked subject matter jurisdiction over the plaintiff's claim. Hernandez v. Conriv Realty

Associates, 182 F.3d 121, 123 (2d. Cir. 1999); In re: Orthopedic "Bone
Screw" Products Liability Litigation (MDL NO. 14), 132 F.3d 152, 157 (3d
Cir. 1997) (setting aside "imposition of a sanction which will terminate the case on the merits").

The great weight of judicial authority is to the effect that the jurisdiction of federal district courts in disputes relating to ICAs is strictly limited by the TCA to hearing appeals brought by parties "aggrieved by" decisions of the state commissions regarding ICAs, and that they lack jurisdiction to interpret ICAs in the first instance. Core Communication, Inc. v. Verizon Pa., Inc., 493 F.3d 333, 344 (3d Cir. 2007), is the leading case on point.

There, the Third Circuit held:
Pursuant to the FCC's guidance, we hold that the interpretation and enforcement actions that arise after a state commission has approved an interconnection agreement must be litigated in the first instance before the relevant state commissions. A party may then proceed to federal court to seek review of the commission's decision or move on to the appropriate trial court to seek damages for a breach, if the commission finds one.

Id., 493 F.3d at 344 (3d Cir. 2007) (emphasis added).
Further, as explained by the Eleventh Circuit:
[T]he language of $\S 252$ persuades us that in granting to the public service commissions the power to approve or reject interconnection agreements, Congress intended to include the power to interpret and enforce in the first instance and to subject their determination to challenges in the federal courts.

A court might ascribe to the agreement a meaning that differs from what the state commission believed it was approving indeed, the agreement as interpreted by the court may be one the state commission would never have approved in the first place. To deprive the state commission of the authority to interpret the agreement that it has approved would thus subvert the role that Congress has prescribed for state commissions.

BellSouth Telecomm., Inc. v. MCImetro Access Transmission Servs., Inc.
317 F.3d 1270, 1278 n. 9 (11th Cir. 2003)(en banc); see also Southwestern Bell Tel. Co. v. PUC, 208 F.3d 475, 479-80 (5th Cir. 2000).

Since 1998, parties to ICAs have on many occasions attempted to litigate de novo in federal district court interconnection-related claims based on theories not yet presented to and accepted by the relevant state commission. In such cases, the courts have almost always granted motions under Rule 12(b)(1) to dismiss for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. District court dismissal rulings include the following:
"[P]laintiff's request would require [the] court to review issues that were not the subject of the ICC's determination. Accordingly, this court finds that subject matter jurisdiction is lacking." $A T \& T$ Communications. $v$. Illinois Bell Telephone Co., No. 97 C 0886, WL 525437, *5 (N.D.Ill., 1998); " $[T]$ his Court is given jurisdiction only in 'any case in which the state commission makes a determination.' 47 U.S.C. §252(e)(6).... The statutory
scheme does not permit this Court to review disputes arising out of interconnection agreements not previously subject to action by a state commission." AT\&T Communications of Ohio, Inc. v. Ohio Bell Telephone Co., 29 F.Supp.2d 855, 856 (S.D. Ohio 1998);
"The commission has not had the opportunity to address the issues raised in AT\&T's counterclaims and circumventing the commission would jeopardize the entire system of review established by the Act." Indiana Bell Tel. Co. v. McCarty, 30 F.Supp.2d 1100, 1104 (S.D. Ind. 1998); Pacific Bell

Telephone Co. v. Global NAPs California, Inc., 05-CV-7734, 7 (C.D.Ca.
2007) (attached as Exhibit C). ${ }^{2}$

Choosing to reject this wealth of precedent, the court below reinterpreted section 252 of the TCA as creating only an "exhaustion of remedies" requirement, rather than a jurisdictional bar. In thus redefining the results of these cases, the ruling of the court below was aberrant.

Moreover, not all exhaustion requirements are waivable. This and other circuits distinguish judicially-created exhaustion requirements from those, like this one, arising under statutes in which Congress has created a system

[^8]requiring technical expertise and policy input. See, e.g., Beharry v. Ashcroft, 329 F.3d 51 (2d. Cir. 2003). In such situations, federal trial courts have no discretion to try such cases in the first instance or resist motions to dismiss. The court below ignored all of this case law. Instead, it cited and relied upon three cases (not cited by the parties): Paese v. Hartford Life and Accident Ins. Co., 449 F.3d 435 (2d Cir. 2006); Lin Zhong v. United States DOJ, 480 F.3d 104 (2d Cir. 2006); and Richardson v. Goord, 347 F.3d 431 (2d Cir. 2003), none of which concerned the TCA or involved evasion of a congressional grant of power to a regulatory commission with quasi-judicial powers.

Confronted with the decision in Pacific Bell, supra, which ruled for Global on nearly identical facts (a two-year delay before moving for dismissal under Rule 12(b)(1)), Judge Hall chose simply to reject it. Nor does her opinion analyze whether the exhaustion requirement in ICA disputes derives from the logic of the statutory scheme, or is merely for judicial convenience. Actually, as the opinion in BellSouth Telecomm., supra, stresses, the statutory grant of plenary authority to state commissions to fashion, with FCC guidance, a national law of pro-competitive cost-based interconnection for new entrants logically requires that federal courts be limited to a review function, as spelled out in 47 U.S.C. $\S 252$, and refrain
from what happened here - interpretation and enforcement of ICAs in the first instance by a federal district court.

The fact that SNET's successful damage claim was framed in terms of tariff rates and tariff issues does not alter the jurisdictional defect. It is now well-settled that all charges arising out of an interconnection agreement created under 47 U.S.C. $\$ 251$ have to be charges that meet the standards of that section and that gain their legitimacy from and through the ICA.

The idea that there can be tariff charges for interconnection that are outside the ICA has been decisively rejected. In U.S. West Communications v. Hix, 183 F.Supp.2d 1249, 1266 (D.Colo. 2000), the court held that
[A]llowing a CLEC that has executed an interconnection agreement to use a tariff to supplement or supplant any term, condition, or price that is covered by the agreement VIOLATES the [TCA]. That is because such a provision would eviscerate the provisions of 251 and 252 of the [TCA] which require that the parties negotiate the terms of an interconnection agreement and arbitrate those terms that they are not able to agree to.

Accord: MCI Telecommunications Corp. v. GTE Northwest, Inc., 41
F.Supp.2d 1157, 1178 (D.Or. 1999). The above reasoning applies a fortiori to ILECs, since a principal purpose of the TCA was to open up their dominant market position to competition from CLECs. Since pure tariff claims for interconnection activities are not allowed between parties to an ICA, such claims are viable only under the ICA, and thus subject to dismissal under Core, supra.

The summary judgment opinion of the district court, Doc. No. 406, reported at 482 F.Supp. 2 d 216 (2007), makes clear that the decisive issues here all involve first instance interpretations of the ICA, even though the court said at the outset that its ruling tumed on its interpretation of Section 5.4.6 of the Interconnection Trunking Requirements ("ITR") that are in the Appendix of the ICA. $I d$. at 219. SNET contended that "given the terms of the ICA," Global had to pay tariff rates. Id. at 221 . The opinion accepts SNET's interpretation that "[SNET] had no obligation under the ICA" to provide facilities for this traffic because the ICA only governs "local telecommunications" traffic and "exchange access traffic. ESP traffic is neither." Id. at 222.

Moreover, when Global moved for 12(b)(1) dismissal, the court did not adopt SNET's suggested tariff exemption, and did not deny that it had engaged in first instance interpretation of the ICA. Instead, the court ruled that Global had waived its right to dismissal due to its delay in advancing the motion.

The recognition by many circuits that the interpretive powers of state commissions are "plenary" must mean that those powers include defining the scope of the ICA. See Core, supra. Thus, the district court's conclusion that some tariff charges are outside the ICA is an interpretation of its scope,
and thus should have been made in the first instance by the Connecticut state commission.

The presence in the ICA of "Entire Agreement" clauses makes clear that non-ICA tariff claims were barred by agreement. See Exhibit D. Because the assertion of tariff claims falls outside the agreement, the district court wrongfully interpreted the scope of the ICA in the first instance.

Lastly, we note that acceptance of the above points would justify dismissal on these pleadings for lack of subject matter jurisdiction without requiring amplification of these points in a next round of briefing.

## (b) Entry Of A Merits Default Judgment As A Sanction For Non-Merits Related Discovery Failings Will Likely Be Set Aside As An Abuse Of Discretion.

The veil-piercing and financial discovery issues in this case stem from the particular nature of the modern telephone system in the United States and the difficulties of new entrant internet companies in dealing with it. A new VoIP provider armed with customers, three or four high-powered switches and converters, and a working staff of less than ten people can serve the entire nation - as long as it secures a license and registers to do business in each state where it delivers traffic. Thus, a new entrant, even one doing $\$ 40$ million or so in business, must create forty or more separate corporate entities. That done, it is obvious that any one operating company will likely not have assets of more than $\$ 1$ million, that the corporate
structure will not maintain forty bookkeepers, and that it will, in general, be stretched thin.

Against that background, the court below abused its discretion in entering a default judgment against all Appellants on all SNET's claims. Default under Rule 37(b), Fed. R. Civ. P., is and should be reserved only for flagrant cases where "clearly warranted." Cine Forty-Second St. Theatre Corp. v. Allied Artists Picture Corp., 602 F.2d 1602, 1608 (2d Cir. 1979). In considering whether default is appropriate, courts are to weigh five factors: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the opposing party; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions. Computer Task Group, Inc. v. Brotby, 364 F.3d 1112, 1115 (9th Cir. 2004). The imposition of sanctions under Rule 37(b) are reviewed for abuse of discretion. United States Freight Company v. Penn Central Transportation Co., 716 F.2d 954 (2d. Cir. 1983); Chimie v. PPG Industries, Inc., 402 F.3d 1371, 1381 (Fed.Cir.2005)(listing factors); International Broth. of Elec. Workers, Local Union No. 545 v. Hope Elec. Corp., 380 F.3d 1084, 1105 (8th Cir.2004)(due to its severity, sanction of default judgment scrutinized for abuse of discretion with great care).

For at least three reasons, the extreme measure imposed by the court below constituted such an abuse.

First, all SNET's complaints concerning Global's compliance with its discovery requests, and all the district court's findings underlying its default related not to the underlying merits of SNET's lawsuit against Global but rather to the assets available to SNET to satisfy a final judgment in its favor.

Even if the court below had been on solid ground in imposing sanctions under Rule 37, entry of a default judgment on the merits was disproportionate. Instead of entering a default on the merits as to all SNET's claims against all defendants, the court could have treated SNET's veilpiercing claims as established, giving Global the option of appealing from the district court's grant of summary judgment. Instead, the district court's entry of the default judgment, without discussion of the obvious alternative, had the immediate effect of sheltering its prior summary judgment ruling from appellate review. See Shcherbakovskiy v. Da Capo al Fine, Ltd., 490 F. 3d 130, 139 (2d Cir. 2007)(abuse of discretion to dismiss the complaint and also enter default on counterclaim).

Second, the default judgments were based on a series of unnecessary adverse inferences derived from affidavits attached to motions. For instance, the court below considered testimony later recanted when it inferred that Frank Gangi, the shareholder of Appellant of Ferrous Miner

Holdings, Ltd. caused the removal of items from the file cabinet of his deceased brother, based on the supposed fact that Frank Gangi had later caused the return of one such item to the witness. Second Amended Ruling, July 1, 2008 (Doc. No. 793) at 23. That witness later altered her previous testimony in crucial respects. See Affidavit of Sheila Gangi (attached as Exhibit E).

As another example, the court below relied on a pastiche of isolated facts to infer that "general ledgers" must have existed; and, therefore, that Appellants must have willfully violated the court's order of May 31, 2007, Second Amended Ruling (Doc. No. 793) at 15. In so ruling, the court ignored evidence that the software used by Appellants does not create or print a general ledger without specific command. See Affidavit of John Ashley (att. to 810-2)(attached as Exhibit F). It was error to impose sanctions based partly on failure to create and produce previously nonexistent documents.

Third, defaults were entered against all defendants added on veilpiercing grounds on the basis of actions not necessarily attributable to them and occurring before their involvement in this case. It is one thing to default a defendant against whom a complaint has been filed for failing to respond to orders of the court, but quite another to default a related or alleged alter ego defendant without trial on the merits of the allegations leading to the
conclusion that veils should be pierced. See Bambu Sales, Inc.v Ozak Trading, Inc., 58 F.3d 849, 853(2d Cir. 1995)(sanctions must be on account of "willfulness, bad faith or fault on the part of the sanctioned party")(emphasis added); Swackhammer v. Sprint Corp., 225 F.R.D. 658, 667 n. 36 (D. Kan 2004)("To the extent possible, sanctions should be imposed only on the party responsible for the conduct").
(c) Global is Likely to Prevail on Its Challenge to the Award of Attorneys' Fees Arising from the Contempt Ruling.

A smaller, but nonetheless substantial portion of the judgment below arose from the district court's award to SNET of the "reasonable costs" of prosecuting its motion for contempt and sanctions, including attorneys' and experts' fees. See Ruling of July 9, 2007, Re: Plaintiff's Motion for Contempt and Sanction (Doc. No. 496) at 13.

At the end of the day, SNET was unable to locate "three Sycamore cards, two Cisco/Cerent cards, and the faceplates for all of the Sycamore cards." Id. at 12. Under such circumstances, where complete and literal compliance with producing each of the items on the June 2006 inventory was not within Appellants' powers, contempt was inappropriate. See Badgely v. Santacroce, 800 F.2d 33, 36 (2d Cir. 1986); Rich v. United States, 736 F.2d 864, 866 ("Civil contempt is a coercive sanction, and thus a
person held in civil contempt must be able to comply with the court order at issue").

More significantly, the award of attorneys' fees was wildly disproportionate and excessive. See, e.g., Microsoft Corp. v. United Computer Resources of New Jersey, 216 F.Supp.2d 383 (D.N.J. 2002). As argued to the court below, and reflected in the exhibits to Global's Motion to Reconsider the court's Order imposing contempt sanctions, Doc. No. 497 and its Exhibits 1 and 2, what was ultimately in issue and on which SNET prevailed was missing telecommunications equipment estimated to be worth $\$ 3,000.00$. Tr. of Motion Hearing, Oct. 3, 2007 (Doc. No. 582) at 40-42 (pages attached as Exhibit G). Legal bills in excess of $\$ 645,000.00$ incurred in connection with relatively minor discrepancies in accounting for individually small pieces of equipment on a state-wide basis, all as detailed in the July 9, 2007 order of the court below (Doc. No. 496), are unreasonable on their face, and suggest an effort, through so-called "block billing" and otherwise, to exhaust the resources of a small competitor, rather than to take reasonable and cost-effective steps to secure a judgment. See Ruling of Apr. 25, 2008 Re: Plaintiff's Motion for Fees (Doc. No. 757) at 58.

## 2. Appellants Will Be Irreparably Injured.

Defendants now face judgment in a total amount of almost $\$ 6$ million.
As was attested in attachments to Appellants Motions to Stay Enforcement in the district court (Doc. No. 814), execution of the judgment would likely have a fatal effect on Global's ability to operate. Global has revenues, but its telecommunications business operates on a "cash in, cash out" basis. See Declarations of Frank Gangi and Janet Lima, Doc. No. 814-2, and attached hereto respectively as Exhibits H and I. Its customers pay for services in advance, and its bank accounts are periodically drawn down to pay operating expenses and payrolls. Should SNET succeed in attaching those funds, Appellants would unlikely become unable to pay their operating expenses, and would thus suffer fatal damage to their business while this appeal is pending and even if they ultimately prevail.

## 3. Entry of a Stay Will Not Prejudice SNET.

If ultimately successful, SNET will still be able to levy on the assets or funds on which it might levy today. Appellants' cash flow from their telecommunications businesses is not seasonal. Moreover, Appellants offered in the court below to escrow any profits it made, but its offer was rejected. Furthermore, the electronic circuitry and associated hardware Appellants use to transfer telecommunications traffic is unlikely to suffer wear and tear during the time frame in which this appeal is pending, and is "used" in any case. Accordingly, SNET will not be prejudiced perceptibly,
if at all, by diminution of the value of these assets should this Court enter a stay pending disposition of the appeal.

## 4. The Public Interest Will Be Advanced by a Stay.

Appellants are engaged in the business of providing telecommunications services. The purpose underlying the TCA was to encourage competition from new suppliers of telecommunications services. If, as has been shown, Appellants' businesses would no longer be viable if the judgment below were sustained, and if Appellants' working assets were attached, competition would be diminished. Thus, the public interest militates in favor of a stay to prevent Appellants' imminent destruction, which would decrease competition whether or not Appellants ultimately prevail. Destruction of a business serving a public function, such as a common carrier, is a factor reasonably considered in determining whether to permit it to remain in operation pending an appeal of its status. Washington Met. Area Transit Comm. v. Holiday Tours, Inc., 559 F. 2 d 841 (D.C. Cir. 1977)(denying motion to vacate order staying injunction pending appeal).

## D. Appellants Should Not Be Required to Post a Bond.

As suggested by the foregoing discussion, Appellants are not in a position to post bond in the full amount of the judgment against them. Rule 62, Fed. R. Civ. P. does not inflexibly require the posing of a bond as a precondition to a stay pending appeal. See Frankel v. ICD Holdings S.A.,

168 F.R.D. 19 (S.D.N.Y. 1996); see also Scanner Technologies Corp. $\boldsymbol{v}$. ICOS Vision Systems Corp., supra and Exhibit B.

The declarations of Frank Gangi and Janet Lima cited above (Exhibits H and I) make clear the minimal nature of the assets currently available to satisfy the default judgments, and therefore available to satisfy any bonding requirements imposed by the courts. Further, the attached declaration of Samuel Zarzour (Exhibit J), in-house attorney to Global, indicates Global is unable to satisfy the normal requirements imposed by bonding companies, and should have satisfied the court below as to the impracticability of imposing the requirement of a $\$ 6$ million bond. At best, the court below should have considered the suggestion of Global's counsel, Doc. No. 845-2, that all Appellants agree to retain any revenues in excess of specified costs as security for SNET's judgment pending appeal.

## CONCLUSION

There is a substantial likelihood that this Court will eventually follow the holdings and reasoning of the many federal courts cited in Part 1(a) above, and conclude that the court below lacked subject matter jurisdiction over SNET's contract claims. Until such time, however, Appellant's continued existence as a telecommunications provider should not be impeded by attachment of its operating assets.

We therefore respectfully request that this Court order a stay of . execution of the judgment of the court below, pending disposition of this appeal. Altematively, this Court should direct the district court to accept the suggestion advanced by Global's counsel in the court below, Doc. No. 8452, and order Appellants to retain revenues in excess of operating and legal expenses and taxes.

Respectfully submitted,
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## EXHIBIT A

# UNITED STATES OISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT 

| THE SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND | $\vdots$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TELEPHONE COMPANY, | $\vdots$ | CIVILACTION NO. |
| Plaintiff | $\vdots$ | $3: 04-C v-2075$ (JCH) |
| GLOBAL NAPS, INC., ET AL., | $\vdots$ |  |
| Defendants. | $:$ |  |

## RULING ON DEFENDANTS MOTION TO STAY EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT PENDING APPEAL

## I. INTRODUCTION

Defendants, Globai NAPs, Inc., Global NAPs New Hampshire, Inc., Global NAPs Networks, Inc., Global NAPs Realty, Inc., and Ferrous Miner Holdings, Ltd. (collectively, "defendants"): bring the present Mation to Stay Execution of Judgment Pending Appeal [Doc. No. 845], in which they move the court to stay enforcement of the July 7, 2008 Default Judgment and the July 9, 2008 Amended Default Judgment pending appeal. Defendants ask the court to grant this Motion without requiring a bond or any other security. Plaintiff, The Southern New England Telephone Company ("SNET"), objects, arguing that the defendants have not made the requisite showing for granting a stay without security.

For the reasons indicated below, the defendants' Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment Pending Appeal [Doc. No. 845] is DENIED.

## II. BACKGROUND

The court assumes familiarity with the basic undertying facts of the case and will recite only the relevant recent procedural history. On July 7, 2008, the court entered a Default Judgment against defendants in the arrount of $\$ 5,247,781,45$ and awarded
fees and costs of $\$ 645,760.41$ [Doc. No. 796]. On July 9, 2008, the court entered an Amended Default Judgment [Doc. No. 806] in order to correct the corporate name of one defendant. Defendants subsequently filed Motions for Reconsideration [Doc. Nos. 807, 808] of the rulings on which the Default Judgments were based, as well as Motions to Alter or Amend the Default Judgments [Doc. Nos. 809, 810]. The court denied these Motions on September 3, 2008 [Doc. No. 840]. Defendants timely filed a Notice of Appeal on September 12, 2008.

## III. STANDARD OF REVIEW

Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(d) states that, "if an appeal is taken [from a judgment], the appellant may obtain a stay by supersedeas bond . . . . The bond may be given upon or after filing the notice of appeal or after obtaining the order allowing the appeal. The stay takes effect when the court approves the bond."

As a general rule, posting of a sufficient bond is required in order to obtain a stay of a money judgment pending appeal. This "reflects the federal policy of providing a judgment creditor with security during the pendency of an appeal." FDIC. v. Ann-High Assocs., 129 F.3d 113 (2d Cir. 1997). In certain circumstances, however, a district court may, in its discretion, grant a stay without requiring a bond. As the Second Circuit has noted, a stay without a bond is appropriate "if the appellant provides an acceptable altemative means of securing the judgment." Id. This view is in keeping with the Supreme Court's instruction that, "lijn the event a stay is entered pending appeal, the [Federal Rules of Civil Procedure] require the district court to ensure that the judgment creditor's position is secured, ordinarily by a supersedeas bond." Peacock v. Thomas, 516 U.S. 349, 359 (U.S. 1996).

In assessing a motion for a stay without a bond, the court considers: 1) whether the petitioner is likely to prevail on the merits of his appeai; 2) whether, without a stay, the petitioner will be irreparably injured; 3) whether issuance of a stay will substantialily harm other parties interested in the proceedings; and 4) wherein lies the public interest. De la Fuente v. DCI Telecomms., Inc., 269 F. Supp. $2 \mathrm{~d} 237,240$ (S.D.N.Y. 2003) (citing Hilton v. Braunskill, 481 U.S. 770,777 (1987)). Further, the defendants, as the parties seeking a stay without a bond, have the burden of providing specific reasons why the court should depart from the bond requirement. See De la Fuente, 269 F. Supp. 2d at 240.

## IV. DISCUSSION

In their Memorandum in Support, defendants devote nearly six pages to the argument that the court should grant a stay because they are likely to succeed on the merits of their appeai. Memorandum in Support of Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment Pending Appeal ("Memo. in Support") at 4-9. Specifically, they contend that they will prevail on the issue of subject matter jurisdiction because this court lacks the power to "adjudicate interconnection Agreement disputes that have not been presented to the appropriate state regulatory commission". Id, at 5.

For the purposes of the instant Motion, the question of whether defendants are likely to prevail on appeal is merely one prong of the analysis. Because the defendants have failed to carry their burden on the other prongs, as will be discussed below, the court will not belabor the jurisdictional issues. It will suffice to say that the court stands
by its earlier rulings. ${ }^{1}$
As for the second prong of the analysis, the defendants have failed to show that they will be irreparably injured absent a stay. The defendants aver that their business is now a "cash in, cash out" business, and that if SNET is permitted to levy on the business's accounts, the business will be unable to continue. In support of these arguments, defendants point to the Deciarations offered by Frank Gangi and Janet Lima (Doc. No. 814, Exh. A \& B).

The court gives little weight to the conclusory Declarations of Gangi and Lima. Defendants have offered no objective information to support these declarations, such as thorough financial records, detailed asset statements, or complete tax returns. Given the history of the case and SNET's allegations of defendants' impropriety, without objective evidence the court cannat find that defendants have met the burden of demonstrating irreparable injury absent a stay.

Regarding the third and fourth prongs, the court rejects defendant's arguments that issuance of a stay will not harm SNET and that the public interest clearly favors a stay. To begin, SNET has, in its opposition to the present motion, raised genuine issues concerning the defendants' characterization of their financial situation. See, e.g., Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment Pending Appeal ("Plaintiff's Opp.") at 5-9. Morever, the very behavior on the part of the defendants that led to the entry of defauft judgment casts doubt on the defendants'

[^9]willingness to abide by the orders of this court and respect the tenets of our legal process. Consequently, in the absence of a sufficient showing by the defendants, the court will not accept their bald reassurances that the issuance of a stay will not harm SNET, especially in the light of SNET's vehement assertions to the contrary.

Similarly, defendants' assertion that the public interest favors a stay is premised upon defendants' unsupported characterization of their business as near insoivent. That is, defendants argue that the public interest favors a stay because posting a bond will "destroy Defendants' business," leaving customers with one less choice in telecommunications providers and leading to a loss of jobs, increase of benefit claims, and loss of iax revenue. Memo. in Support at 14. As previously noted, however, defendants have offered no objective evidence upon which to find that requiring a bond will necessarity lead to business failure, and without such evidence their public interest argument is uncorvincing.

Finally, it bears noting that, beyond the four prong analysis, defendants have not satisfied their burden of demonstrating their proposed alternative to a bond is appropriate and sufficient to protect SNET's interests. Specifically, in place of a bond, the defendants propose to "retain any revenues in excess of amounts needed to pay operating expenses . . . , legal expenses, taxes, and other routine expenses." Memo. in Support at 1-2. This proposal is curious, however, given the defendants' eatier assertions that their business now operates on a "cash in, cash out" basis and sometimes "only break[s] even." id. at 13. If the court were to take such assertions at face value - which, as described above, it will not do - it appears that the defendants are pledging to retain nothing to secure SNET. Such a proposal guarantees SNET
nothing, and cannot be entertained as a serious alternative to a surety bond for the full judgment amount, as envisioned by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

## v. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the defendants' Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment Pending Appeal [Doc, No. 845] is DENIED. SO ORDERED.

Dated at Bridgeport, Connecticut this 14th day of October, 2008.

Is/ Janet C. Hall
Janet C. Hall
United States District Judge

EXHIBIT B
 execution of the supplemental judgment entered by the United States District Court for the Sarthem District of New York, on November 6, 2007. ICOS Visions Systems Corporation, N.V. opposes. set ier Tech replies.
Scanner Tech filed sulu against ICOS. asserting that ICOS's bail grid array inspection system infringed Sa, all claims of Scanner Tech's peferts were unenforceable due to inequitable conduct and invalid, and that ICOS did not fringe. Scanner Tech appealed the dietrich courts judgment and that appeal is poring in this court, 2007-1399. Meanwhile, in the district court, ICOS filed a motion setting attorney fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. $\$ 285$ based on "-e district court's uneriorceability determination. The district count granted the motion


$\because$ - Astiot cout denied Scankgherin's motion to stay expcution of the supplemental judgmert. Scamer Tech sipeals the supplememal judgmeni and seeks a stay, pending appeal, of execuion of the supplemental juigment.

To octain 3 stay, pending appeal, a movant muet establish a strong iokeinood of Success on the ments or, failing that, nonetheless demonstrate a substantial case on the Taits provided that the ham factors mithate in its fovor. Hinony. Braunskill. 481 U.S. 770. 7.7 (1787). In deciding whether to grant a stay, pending appeal, this cour "assesses the movant's thances for success on appaz and weighs the dquities as they affect the
 2c 27\%, 278 (Fed. Cir. 1987, See also Stancord Hayens Prods. v. Sencor indus., 897 F. 2d 5is (Fed. Cir. 1990) ,

E*sed upon our rerisw of the parties' subrissions, and without propidicing the Uitimate detemination of thescase by a merits panel, we determine that Scanner Tech ras rnom thet a stay, pending appeal, is warranted.

Accordingly.
TB ORDEREO THAT:
Scanner Tech's motion for a stey, perding appeal, of execution of the supplemestal fudgment is granted.

ce: Emest W. Grumbles, M. Esq. Reeert M. Kunstadi, Esq. 517


FOR THE COURT


EXHIBIT C


This matter comes before the Court on Defendants Global NAPs Califomia, Inc., Global NAPs, Inc., Global NAPs Networks, inc., and Global NAPs Realty, Inc.'s (collectively, "Defendants") Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction.' Having been fully briefed, and after hearing oral argument, the Court rules as follows.

## I. BACKGROUND

The Federal Telecommumications Act of 1996 (the "Act") requires competing carriers to interconnect their networks to enable customers of one network to call customers of another. 47 U.S.C. $\widehat{\$} 251$. Pursuant to the Act, competing local telephone companies must make arrangements to pay each other reciprocal compensation for telecommunications. Reciprocal compensation is the arrangement berween two carriers in which each receives compensation from the other carrier


[^10]for the transport and termination on each carrier's network of local telecommunications traffic that originates on the network of the other carrier. Reciprocal compensation arrangements aré given effect through interconnection agreements. These interconnection agreements can be arrived at through negotiation or arbitration. However, any agreement adopted by such means must then be submitted for approval to the relevant state commission. /d. at § $252(\mathrm{e})$.

Plaintiff AT\&T California ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Global California are telecommunications carriers that entered into an "Interconnection Agreement" (the "Agreement"), as required by the Act. (SAC 1.) The Agreement specifies the rates, terms, and conditions upon which AT\&T Califomia and Global California interconnect their networks and exchange certain communication traffic. (Id.) Issues not initially agreed upon by the parties were submitted to the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") for arbitration, pursuant to $\$ 252(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Act. (SAC I 18.) After arbitrating the open issues, CPUC approved the parties' Agreement. (Id.) Neither party sought review of the CPUC's determination and, as a result, the Agreement became effective on August 11, 2003. (Id.)

In accondance with the Agreement, from March 2004 to the present, AT\&T California has provided a number of services to Global California, including: (1) usigg AT\&T California's Iocal network to complete local and long distance calls that Global Caiifomia delivers to AT\&T Califormia, and (2) acting as a middleman to transport and route traffic destined to a third party carrier where Global Califormia delivers the traffic to AT\&T California rather than a third party carrier. (SAC $\mathbb{4}$ 2.) Global California allegedly failed to pay for these services . (Id.)

OII September 23, 2006, Plaintiff filed suit against Defendants in state court seeking payment of amounts due thern under the Agreement. On October 28, 2006, Defendants removed the case to federal court. Plaintiff then amended its complaint to add a quasi-contract/unjust enrichment claim. On February 20, 2007, Plaintiff filed a Second Amended Complaint which added five additional defendants: Giobal NAPS, Inc. ("Global inc."), Giobal NAPS Networks, Inc. ("Global Networks"), Global NAPS Realty, Inc. ("Global Realty"), Global NH, and Ferrous Miner.

Presently pending before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction. Defendants assert that this Court lacks jurisdiction over Plaintiff's firsticause of action for breach of contract (reciprocal compensation and intralata toll charges), secondicause of action for breach of contract (transiting charges), and fourth cause of action for unjust enrichment.

## II. LEGAL STANDARD

It is well established that Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction without general subject matter jurisdiction. Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am., 511 U.S. 375, 377 (1994). They can adjudicate oniy those cases which the Constitution and Congress authorize them to adjudicate. See id. As such, Federal courts are presumptively without jurisdiction over civil actions and the burden of establishing the contrary rests upon the paity asserting jurisdiction. KVOS v. Associated Press, 299 U.S. 269, 278 (1936). Because of this, "[w]henever it appears by suggestion of the parties or otherwise that the court lacks jurisdiction of the subject matter, the court shall dismiss the action." Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3). And in order to sustain federal jurisdiction, the complaint must allege a claim under the Constitution or relevant federal statute and must not be made solely for the purpose of obtaining federal jurisdiction. Bell v. Hood, 327 U.S. 678, 682-83 (1946).

A party challenging the court's jurisdiction under Rule 12(b)(1) may do so by raising either a facial attack or a factual attack. See White v. Lee, 227F.3d 1214, 1242 (9th Cir. 2000). A facial attack is one where "the challenger asserts that the allegations contained in a complaint are insufficient on their face to invoke jurisdiction." Safe Air for Everyone v. Meyer, 373 F. 3 d 1035 , 1039 (9th Cir. 2004). In evaluating a facial attack to jurisdiction, the Court must accept the factual allegations in plaintiff's complaint as true. See Miranda v. Reno, 238 F.3d 1156, 1157 n. I (9th Cir. 2001). For a factual attack, in contrast, the Court may consider extrinsic evidence. See Roberts i. Corrothers, 812 F. $2 \mathrm{~d} 1173,1177$ (9th Cir. 1987). Further, the court does not have to assume the truthfulness of the allegations, and may resolve any factual disputes. See White, 227
F.3d at 1242. Thus, "[OInce the moving party has converted the motion to dismiss into a factual motion by presenting affidavits or evidence properly before the court, the party opposing the motion must furnish affidavits or other evidence necessary to satisfy its burden of establishing subject matter jurisdiction." Savage v. Glendale Union High Sch., 343 F. 3 d 1036, 1039 ni 2 ( 9 th Cir. 2003).

## III. DISCUSSION

In support of their Motion, Defendants' primary contention is that this Court has no subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's first, second and fourth causes of action because "federal courts do not have jurisdiction to hear disputes arising out of the interpretation and enforcement of interconnection agreements umless they have been addressed in the first instance by the State commission that approved the [Agreement]." (Mot. at 5.) Rather than address this argument head on, Plaintiff's opposition is rife with creativity. Specifically, Plaintiff's arguments, among others, are: (i) that this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the claims Defendants seek to dismiss; (2) that Defendants have waived any lack of subject matter jurisdiction argument; and (3) that Defendants have contracted for § 1331 jurisdiction.

## A. The Court Lacks Subject Matter Jurisdiction Over Plaintiffs Breach Of Contract Claims.

As a threshold matter, Plaintiff's assertion that Defendants have waived their "theory" for lack of subject matter jurisdiction "by waiting so inordinately long to raise it," is without merit. (Opp'n at 12 ). The Federal Rules clearly permit any party to address a court's subject matter jurisdiction "[w]henever it appears . . . that the court lacks jurisdiction of the subject matter." Fed. R.Civ.P. 12(h)(3). Accordingly, "challenges to subject matter jurisdiction cannot be waived and may be raised at any point in the proceeding." Miguel v. Country Funding Corp., 309 F.3d 1161, 1163-64 (94 Cir. 2002).

Proceeding now to the merits of the underlying Motion, Defendants argue that § $252(\mathrm{e})(6)$ deprives this Court of jurisdiction over Plaintiffs breach of contract claims. ${ }^{2}$ That section reads:

[^11]In a case in which a State fails to act as described in paragraph (5), the proceeding by the Commission under such paragraph and any judicial review of the $c$; Commission's actions shail be the exclusive remedies for a State commission's failure to act. In any case in which a State commission makes a determination under this section, any party aggrieved by such determination may bring an action in an in: appropriate Federal district court to determine whether the agreement or statement $y^{\prime}$ : meets the requirements of section 251 of this title and this section.

47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(6).
In finding that this section illustrates Congressional intent to deprive this Court of jurisdiction over disputes arising from the Agreement, at least in the first instance, the Court follows the reasoning behind the Third Circuit's recent decision, Core Communications, Inc. v. Verizon Pa., Inc., 493 F. 3 d 333 (3d Cir. 2007).

There, proceeding under the deferential standard espoused in Chevron v. Natural Res. Def. Council, 467 U.S. $837(1984)^{3}$, the Third Circuit found that Congress has not yet spoken on the proper interpretation and enforcement procedure of disputes arising from already approved interconnection agreements. Core, 493 F. 3 d at $340-41$. Because the Act did not set out an enforcement scheme for a pure claim for breach of an interconnection agreement, the court turned to the Acts implementing agency, the Federal Communications Commission's ( FCC "), and its opinion and order in In the Matter of Starpawer Communications, LLC, 1S F.C.C.R. 11277 (F.C.C. 2000) to fill the "gap" left by the Act's silence. Core, 493 F.3d at 341 . In Starpower, the FCC stated, " i$] \mathrm{n}$ applying Section $252(\mathrm{e})(5)$, we must first determine whether a dispute arising from interconnection agreements and seeking interpretation and enforcement of those agreements is within the states' 'responsibility' under section 252 . We conctude that it is." 15 F.C.C.R. at 11279. This "responsibility" of a state commission, Core noted, was subject to two different interpretations. Core, 493 F.3d at $341-43$. On the one hand, a narrow interpretation of
appeal in this case. We've never asserted that $\S 252(e)(6)$ is the bases for this Cours's jurisdiction." (Tr. Sep. 24, 2007.) For lack of a better word, the Court's response is: Exactly! Our opinon follows that reached in Core, infra. Accordingly, our position is that federal courts may only review matters which have first been presented to the appropnate state commussion. Because it is undusputed that Plantiff does not bring an "appeal" from a detemmation made by the CPUC, we do not have junsdiction over thear breach of contract clams.
${ }^{3}$ Under Chevron, federal courts must defer to an umplementing agency's (here, the FCC) interpretation of a statute within its jursdiction if (1) "the statute is silent or ambiguous with respect to the specific issue" at hand, and (2) "the agency's answer is based on a permissible constructon of the statute." Chevron, 467 U.S. at 843.
"responsibility" would suggest that state commissions have, at a minimum, the non-exclusive authority to hear post-formation disputes involving approved interconnection agreements. "Ifd. at 342. On the other, however, a state's "responsibility" under § 252 suggests that there is inet a shared role for the federal counts. Id. Looking at the structure of the statutory scheme as a whole, and finding no indication in other FCC decisions that the state commissions' jurisdiction over post-formation disputes is shared with the federal courts, Core followed the latter, more broad interpretation. Jd. Specifically, Core stated, "a 'symmetrical and coherent regulatory scheme' is one where the bodies that considered formation problems also resolve interpretation difficulties. As with formation problems, federal court jurisdiction over state commission interpretation and enforcement decisions should be limited to appellate review." Id. at 342-43.

Though this precise question has not been heavily litigated, the Court finds no reason to stray from Core's conclusions. In so holding, we recognize that at the heart of the Act is a scheme of "cooperative federalism" wherebystates were given prinary responsibilityover interconnection agreements. As noted, "[r]ather than placing the entire scope of regulatory authority in the federal government, 'Congress enlisted the aid of state public utility commissions to ensure that local competition was implemented fairly and with due regard to the local conditions and the particular historical circumstances of local regulation under the prior regime." Global Naps, Inc. v. Mass. Dep't of Telecomm. and Energy, 427 F.3d 34, 46 (1st Cir. 2005) (citation omitted). Permitting parties to proceed straight to federal court would therefore circumvent the role of the relevant state commission and would jeopardize the entire system of review established by the Act. Ind. Bell Tel. Co., Inc. v. McCarty, 30 F.Suppid I100, 1104 (S.D. Ind. 1998); accord BellSouth Telecomms., Inc. v. MCImetro Access Transmission Servs., Inc. 317 F.3d 1270, 1278 n. 9 (11th Cir. 2003) (noting, "[a] court could ascribe to the agreement a meaning that differs from what the state commission believed it was approving . . . . To deprive the state commission of authority to interpret the agreement that it has approved would thus subvert the role that Congress prescribed for state commissions."); accord Sw. Bell Tel. Co. v. PUC, 208 F. $3 \mathrm{~d} 475,479-80$ (5th Cir. 2000)

[^12]("the Act's grant to the state commissions of plenary authority to approve or disapprove these intercoanection agreements necessarily carries with it the authority to interpret and enforce the provisions of agreements that state commissions have approved.").

Here, Plaintiff's first and second causes of action allege pure claims for breach of their Agreement. In particular, Plaintiff seeks recovery of certain (large) sums of money for Defendants' failure to pay reciprocal compensation and ransiting charges. Though cognizant of Plaintiff's plight, the Court, at this time, cannot provide any redress. Without delving into the merits of Plaintiff's claims, the Court notes that enforcement of the parties' Agreement necessarily entails interpretation of the terms and conditions contained therein - determinations which, the Court is persuaded, ought to be addressed, in the first instance, by the CPUC. To the extent a select minority of cases hold otherwise, the Court deelines to follow them. Interconnection agreements are the tools through which the Act is enforced and we find it entirely consistent with the Act to have the CPUC isterpret the parties' Agreement in the first instance, and then subject their interpretations to federal review.5 See BellSouth Telecomms., 317 F.3d. at 1278.

## B. The Parties Cannot Invoke This Court's Jurisdiction By Agreement

In further support of their position that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over their breach of contract clairs, Plaintiff's point to language in the parties' Agreement which provides that any party may pursue "any remedy available to it pursuant to law, equity or agency mechanism." (Opp'n at 10.) Plaintiff's argument fails for two reasons. First, because the Court is of the opinion that Plaintiff's breach of contract claims must first be presented to the CPUC,

[^13]bringing those claims to this Court is not an "available" remedy. Second, and more pointedly, in foreclosing this exact argument the Eastern District of Virginia, when faced with muchimore unambiguous contractual language, stated, "parties cannot contract for judicial review in'direct contravention to the Telecommunications Act." Bell Atl. Va, Inc. v. Worldcom Tecis. of $\overline{\mathrm{Va}}, 70$ F.Supp.2d 620, 626 (E.D. Va. 1999); accord AT\&T Commc'ns of Ohio v. Ohio Bell Tel. Co., 29 F.Supp.2d 855, 856-57 (S.D. Ohio 1998). ${ }^{6}$ Accordingly, Plaintiff cannot seek refuge in the parties' dispute resolution clause.

## C. The Court Will Not Exercise Supplemental Jurisdiction

Finally, as alluded to above, the thrust of Plaintiff's argument is that this Court's jurisdiction over Plaintiff's third cause of action for failure to pay federal tariff rates permits the exercise of supplemental jurisdiction over its breach of contract claims. Plaintiff is incorrect. As already discussed, bringing to the federal courts, in the first instance, claims for breach of an interconnection agreement would undemmine the role Congress has prescribed for state commissions. For those same reasons, Plaintiff's attempt to "back-door" it's claims as supplemental must fail as well. ${ }^{?}$

To be clear, the Court recognizes that judicial efficiency could best be served by hearing all of Plaintiff's claims at the same time. Therefore, it is at this point where we pick up where Core left off and find that a stay of Plaintiffs federal tariff claim would be appropriate pending refiling, if any, of Plaintiff's first and second causes of action once CPUC has made its determination. And because we find, and both parties agree, that Plaintiffs fourth cause of action

[^14]for damages in quasi-contract cannot be presented before the CPUC, and to the extent that it is directly intertwined with Plaintiff's other breach of contract elaims, that claim is dismissed without prejudice.

## IV. CONCLUSION

For the forgoing reasons the Court finds that the Act makes only a limited grant of jurisdiction to the federal district courts to review only those disputes thar have been first presented to the appropriate state utilities commission. We find especially persuasive the reasoning adopted by the Third and Eleventh Circuits and conclude that the statutory scheme set forth in the Act makes the state regulatory commissions the initial decision-makers in disputes involving interconnection agreements. Accordingly, Plaintiff's first and second causes of action are bereby DISMTSSED without prejudice, pending any refiling once CPUC has made its determinations. Plaintiff's third cause of action is hereby STAYED and Plaintiff's fourth cause of action is DISMISSED without prejudice. ${ }^{8}$

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: October 1, 2007


Though the Court appreciates Plaintiffs concems, dismissal at this stage in the proceedings will not be exceptuonally prejudicial. Undoubtedly, all discovery aiready complefod will be invaluable in proceedings before the CPUC and to any future litugation in this Court.

## EXHIBIT D

## 48. COUNTERPARTS

48.1 This Agrecment may be executed in counterparts. Each counterpant shall be considered an original and such counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument.
49. ENTIRE AGREEMENT
49.1 SBC-12STATE
49.1.1 The terms contained in this Agreement and any Appendices, Attachments, Exhibits, Schedules, and Addenda constitute the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, superseding all prior understandings, proposals and other communications, oral or writtea.

### 49.2 SNET

49.2.1 The terms contained in this Agreement and any Appendices, Attachments, Exhibits, Schedules, Addenda, Commission approved tariffs and other documents or instruments referred to herein and incorporated into this Agreement by reference constitute the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, superseding all prior understandings, proposals and other communications, oral or written.

An *indicates terms changed pursuant to she Arbirator's Order ("DPUC Ordered Terms") that shall be considered to have been arbirntred and will not be considered portable to another state pursuant to the SBC/Ameritech inerger Conditions


## EXHIBIT E

## COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSEITS

| FRANK GANGI, |
| :--- |
| PRinifif, |
| SHELLA GANGI, |
| Defendant |

SUPERIOR COURT
CIVIL ACTION NO. 07-1723

## AFHIDAVIT OF SIETLA GANGI

1. My name is Sbeils Gargi and I reside at 3 Patricia Lane, Amberst, NH.
2. At the time of bis death, Richard Gangi had two computers, one was a laptop and the other was a desk top.
3. I never saw Prank Gangi take either of those ompunters after Richend died I did see two lap top computers on Frank Gangi's dining room table one might when I was therce, and I assumed one of then was Richard's due to the fact that he sent me my last email back that I sent to Richard. I do not know whether they wicee Richard's.
4. I have no personsil knowidge that Evank ever had physical possession of either of Richard's computers after Richard died.
5. I oncs owined a 2002 Castilac Eacalade, which I refer to as a truck. I roceived the titue to that vehicle from GMAC in September of 2007 aftior the last loan payment to GMAC had been made in August of 2007. The title was mailed to me by GMAC to ny 3 Pedricia Lame, Amherst, NH address

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury this $8^{4}$ day of July, 2008.


## CERTWICATE OF SERYICE

I certify that on July 8, 2008 a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically and served by mail on anyone unable to accept electronic filing. Notice of this filing will be sent by e-mail to all parties by aperation of the Court's electronic filing system or by mail to anyone unable to accept electronic filing as indicated on the Notice of Electronic Filing. Parties may access this filing through the Court's CMECF System.

IsiEric C. Osterberg<br>Eric C. Osterberg

## EXHIBIT F


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This Second Amended Ruling is filed to correct the misidentification of a defendant, Ferrous Miner Holdings, Ltd.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Only six months before, on November 17, 2005, Richard Gangi had identified "statements of income and expense of Global NAPs, Inc. for the years ended December 31, $2004,2003,2002,2001$ and $2000^{\prime \prime}$ at a deposition in different litigation. See Notice, Doc. No. 226 at 5. These documents had been prepared by defendants' accountants. See Gangi Depo. at 94 line 25 and 95 lines 1-5, Ex. GG to PI.'s Mot. for Default Judgment.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The fact that Select \& Pay did not maintain control over defendants' records was affirmed in a separate litigation, in which Select \& Pay responded to a request for documents by stating that "none were presently in the control, custody or possession" of Select \& Pay. See Response from Select \& Pay at 1-2, Ex. Y to PI.'s Mot.

[^3]:    "The court notes that Lima testified that she "dropped" her computer, whereas Scheltema testified that she told him it had a "meltdown" with respect to the "storage mechanism, the drive." See Lima Depo. at 118, Ex. G to PI.'s Suppl. Mem. and Scheltema Depo. at 66, Ex. L to PI.'s Suppl. Mem. Defendants' counsel had represented to the court that it "crashed," suggesting to the court a computer malfunction, not physical contact with the ground. See Def.s' Mem. in Opp. at 8-9 (citing Sheltema depo. at 66-69, Ex. 2 to Def.'s Mem. in Opp.)

[^4]:    'Despite defendants' failure to produce their general ledger or ledgers in violation of the court's discovery orders, there is evidence in the record that defendants were a multimillion dollar enterprise. See e.g. Summary Financials, Ex. P to Pl.'s Mot. (showing $\$ 55$ million in sales for Ferrous Minor in 2006); Global NAPs New Hampshire check register, Ex. S to PI.'s Mot. (showing Global NAPs New Hampshire transferred millions of dollars to other Gangi-run enterprises). The suggestion that they have no complete financial records as a matter of practice, rather than because they willfully destroyed them to avoid discovery, is incredible to this court.

[^5]:    'Further, in a case that seeks recovery under a pierce-the-corporate-veil theory, the corporate accounting and financial records are necessarily material.

[^6]:    'The court credits Sheila Gangi's testimony concerning events following Richard Gangi's death despite not having the benefit of observing the relevant witnesses on the stand. Sheila Gangi's testimony is corroborated by the defendants' prior and subsequent persistence in refusing to produce documents. While Sheila Gangi may have had reason to mislead the court, although the court does not find that she did, the defendants have demonstrated that they will mislead, and have misled, the court. Further, while defendants have attacked the credibility of Sheila Gangi's testimony, they have offered no credible evidence to contradict her version of events, instead quibbling over words she used ( Frank Gangi removing items, versus Frank Gangi's agents removing items).

[^7]:    "There was also a second laptop computer used by Richard Gangi, which he had at the hospital before his death, and which computer Frank Gangi removed from the hospital, that has not been produced. See Sheila Gangi Affidavit at il 11, Ex. DD to PI.'s Mot.

[^8]:    2 Accord: Parties "must first bring their claims of violations of $\$ 251$ to the state [commission] before a federal court has jurisdiction over the matter." Intermedia Communications Inc. v. Bellsouth Telecomm., Inc., 173 F.Supp.2d 1282, 1287 (M.D. Fla. 2000); "[W]here Congress has provided statutory review procedures designed to permit agency expertise to be brought to bear on particular problems, those procedures are to be exclusive." "It is clear to this Court that absent a prior determination of the issue by the state [commission], no federal jurisdiction exists." Contact Communications v. Qwest Corp., 246 F.Supp.2d 1184, 1189 (D. Wyo. 2003); "[1]f plaintiff chooses to replead, it must show that a detemmination has been made by the state regulatory agency." Z-Tel Communications, Inc. v. SBC Communications, Inc., 331 F.Supp.2d 513, 549 (E.D. Tex. 2004).

[^9]:    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ The court has previously addressed, at length, the subject matter jurisdiction issues in this case, and it is not necessary to repeat those findings and rulings here. See, e.g., Ruling Denying Motion to Dismiss [Dac. No. 38].

[^10]:    1 Ioining in this Motion are Specially Appeanng Defendants Ferrous Miner Holdings, Ltd. and Global NAPs New Hampshire, Inc.

[^11]:    ${ }^{2}$ In arguing to the concrary Planouff states the following: "Defendants have refieti on cases that discuss jurisdiction under § $252(\mathrm{e})(6)$ of the Act, but those cases have no beaning here. Plainiff didn't file any § $252(\mathrm{e})(6)$

[^12]:    4 Indeed, it is this view Plaintiff relies upon in its Opposition (Opp'n at II) ("Starpower . . . merely "praftled] onto the [1996] Act an exhaustion requaremen.").

[^13]:    s At thus point the Coun finds it appropriate to address the Supreme Court's decision in Fenzan Md Inc. v. Pub Sen. Comm'n of MAd, 535 U S. 635 (2002). Plantiff argues, both m their papers and at the September 24, 2007 hearing, that the Court's posnion is inconsstent with Verizon Md. The Court, bowever, finds that no inconsistency exists. The Supreme Court held only that \& $252(\mathrm{e})(6)$ does not divest the district courts of their authority under 28 U.S.C. $\S 1331$ to review a state commission's order for compliance with federal law. Yerizon Md., 535 U.S. at 642 . In other words, the Supreme Court merely setrled the question of whether federal courts could revew a state commission's "imerpretation or enforcemed of an [existing] interconnection agreement" because only arbitration and approval are expressly mentioned in § 252 . Id. The court concluded that nothing in ether § 252 (e) (6) or in the rest of the Act limited federal jurisuction that would otherwise exist under § 1331 over rolings that were allegedly violative of federal law. Id. It did not, however, hold that federal district courts have jurisdiction to decide such questions in the first instance, pnor to conssderationand deciston by a state comprission. Indeed, the Supreme Count's holding actually presupposes a determunation made by the appropriate stase commission in the first instance; a determation which we do not have here.

[^14]:    6 The relevant contractual language in Bell Atl Va., Inc stated, "[a]ny dispute between the Parties regarding the interpretation or enforcement of this Agreement or any of its terms shall be addressed by good faith negotintion between the Parties, in the first nstance Should suah negotiations fail to resolve the dispute in a reasonable time, ether Party may initiate an appropnate action in any regulatory or juctcial forum of competent Jurisdiction." Bell All. Va, inc., 70 F.Supp.2d at 626 (emphasis in original).
    ${ }^{7}$ In arguing to the contrary, Plauntiff relies on Mich Bell Tel. Co v MCIMetro Access Transmussion Servs., Inc., 323 F.3d 348, $355-56$ (6th Cir. 2003), Connect Comms Corp. v. Sw Bell Tel, 467 F 3d 703, 707-09 (8th Cir. 2006), and Sw. Bell Tel. Ca. v. Brooks Fiber Comms. of OKla., Inc., 235 F.3d 493, 498 (10th Cir. 2000). Plaintiff drastically misstates the conclusions reached in these cases regarding supplemental jurisdiction. These cases do not, as Plaintiff contends, stand for the proposmon that federal courts may bear, pursuant to \$ 1367, claims for breaches of intercomection agreennents thal were not first presented to the appropriate state cormmission. Rather, these cases merely asserted that federal courts may mvoke supplemental jursidiction over a state commission's state law determinations.

