

Question 1: In the Facility Information table, you report projected annual generation as 2,837,0210 kWh. Please correct this number.

*Answer 1: 2,837,021 kWh/year is based on a 400 KW generator operating 88% of the time at 35% efficiency, see Exhibit B for calculations.*

Question 2: When asked for the Regional Transmission Organization area in which your facility is located, you indicated "other: net metering." Because your facility is in Ohio, it is located in either the geographic footprint of PJM or MISO. Please provide us with the appropriate response.

*Answer 2: MISO*

Question 3: Net metering customers will also be required to register with an attribute tracking system (such as GATS or MRETS). However, your application did not specify an attribute tracking system. With which attribute tracking system do you intend to register when your facility becomes operational? In regard to your attribute tracking system, you will be required to provide the PUCO with the generation ID number that you receive from the tracking system once you have registered.

*Answer 3: No selection has been made at this time for attribute tracking, we will use the tracking system best satisfies the needs of the utility, PUCO, and Schmack.*

Question 4: In regard to the Type of Generating Facility, is your facility distributed generation with a net metering and interconnection agreement with a utility? With which utility are you currently negotiating an interconnection agreement?

*Answer 4: Our facility is distributed generation and will have a net metering and interconnection agreement with American Electric Power Company.*

Question 5: In regard to Meter Specifications, you indicate that the meter will be determined per negotiated terms of the interconnection agreement. The meter you refer to in your response is for the purpose of net metering. Your facility will be able to create RECs from the total generation output of the facility; not merely from the amount of generation that is credited to your account from a net metering agreement with a utility. Consequently, you will be able to install a meter to measure total generation of your facility. Please provide us with the complete meter specification information for that meter, if you have this information. If you do not have this information, you will be required to provide this information to the PUCO when it is available. Is the meter in the control panel mentioned in Exhibit A going to measure the total generation of the facility? Is this meter installed currently or will it be installed in the future? If it is currently installed and will measure total generation of the facility, please respond to the meter specification questions for this meter. If not, please describe the function of the meter in the control panel.

*Answer 5: Total generation from the facility will be recorded in the main control panel of the CHP unit. A bi-directional meter will be located between the step-up transformer and the utility to record the net power consumed by the facility.*

Question 6: Exhibit A indicates that the facility is not a customer-owned renewable distributed generation system. From the other sections of your application, it does appear that you are a

distributed generation system and are net-metering with a utility company. That would likely define your system as customer-owned and distributed generation. Is this correct?

*Answer 6: Yes*

Question 7: Exhibit A indicates that the facility will not be grid connected, but the application indicates that it will be a net metering project and that you are negotiating an interconnection agreement with a utility. This would appear to make the facility a grid connected project. Is that correct?


*Answer 7: SBE will be grid connected through the connection at OARDC.*

Question 8: Exhibit A indicates that the renewable energy system will create carbon offsets. Are you proposing to disaggregate carbon offsets from the REC's potentially generated by this facility?

*Answer 8: Yes*

## **Attestation**

1. I am the duly authorized representative of Schmack Biomass – OARDC, LLC.
2. I have personally examined and am familiar with all information contained in the foregoing responses, including any exhibits and attachments, and that based upon my inquiry of those persons immediately responsible for obtaining the information contained in the responses, I believe that the information is true, accurate and complete.
3. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

      Manager  
Signature and Title

07/17/08  
Date

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**7/17/2009 1:07:31 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 09-0526-EL-REN**

Summary: Correspondence Response to Staff Interrogatories - Initial Set - Schmack BioMass  
- OARDC, LLC electronically filed by Mrs. Deborah H Elias on behalf of Schmack Biomass -  
OARDC, LLC