BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a)	Case No. 09-494-TP-BLS
AT&T Ohio for Approval of an)	
Alternative Form of Regulation of Basic)	
Local Exchange Service and Other Tier 1)	
Services Pursuant to Chapter 4901:1-4,)	
Ohio Administrative Code.)	

MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission") will consider allowing for increases in residential consumers' basic telephone service rates. OCC files on behalf of the residential customers of The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Ohio ("AT&T Ohio" or "Company"). The reasons the Commission should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Terry L. Etter
Terry L. Etter, Counsel of Record
David C. Bergmann

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: (614) 466-8574 etter@occ.state.oh.us

bergmann@occ.state.oh.us

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911; R.C. 4903.221; Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11; Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-4-09(D).

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a)	Case No. 09-494-TP-BLS
AT&T Ohio for Approval of an)	
Alternative Form of Regulation of Basic)	
Local Exchange Service and Other Tier 1)	
Services Pursuant to Chapter 4901:1-4,)	
Ohio Administrative Code.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

This case involves an application for the PUCO to consider the reasonableness and lawfulness of giving AT&T Ohio the ability to increase the rates charged residential customers for basic local exchange service ("basic service") and basic Caller ID.² OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of the more than 1,431,000 residential telephone customers of AT&T Ohio, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

Under an application for alternative regulation ("alt. reg.") for the Company's

Tier 1 Core services filed on June 12, 2009, AT&T Ohio's residential customers in 16

exchanges could be subjected to annual rate increases of up to \$1.75 (basic service and basic Caller ID) per month. Rather than using one of the four basic service alt. reg. tests contained in the Commission's rules, AT&T Ohio has proposed an alternative,

² See Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-6-04(A)(1)(a). Basic service is defined in R.C. 4927.01(A) and Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-6-01(B).

³ See AT&T Ohio's 2008 Annual Report submitted to the PUCO at Schedule 28.

⁴ The Application was filed pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-4-09.

⁵ See Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-4-11(A). The 16 exchanges are Aberdeen, Arabia, Bowersville, Clarington, Corning, Duffy, Gnadenhutten, Murray City, New Holland, New Matamoras, Newport, Sedalia, Somerton, Sugar Grove, Sugar Tree Ridge and Woodsfield. See Application, Exhibit 2.

⁶ Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-4-10(C)(1)-(4).

company-specific test.⁷ Thus, the Application will not be subject to the automatic time frames set forth in Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-4-09(G), and "[t]he commission will establish the appropriate process and time frames for consideration of such application after reviewing each relevant application."

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential consumers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the consumers were unrepresented in a proceeding that could give AT&T Ohio the authority to raise the rates it charges residential customers for basic service. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing AT&T Ohio's residential consumers in order to ensure that alt. reg. for AT&T Ohio's Tier 1 Core offerings does not result in unreasonable or unlawful rate increases that would harm AT&T Ohio's residential customers. This interest is different from that of any other

-

⁷ See Application, Memorandum in Support at 2.

⁸ Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-4-09(H).

party and especially different from that of AT&T Ohio, whose advocacy includes the financial interest of its stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for consumers will include advancing the position that the transaction will ensure that residential consumers' rates should be "just and reasonable," pursuant to R.C. 4905.22 and R.C. 4927.02(A)(2), among other statutes.

OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.

OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the residential utility consumer advocate, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where AT&T Ohio is seeking the ability to raise the rates the Company charges customers of residential basic service.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies. Further, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the "extent to which the person's interest is represented by

existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that OCC uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility consumers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio. In addition, OCC has been granted intervention in all the other basic service alt. reg. cases that have been filed at the PUCO, as well as every elective alt. reg. case filed to date at the PUCO in which OCC has sought intervention.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in ruling on an appeal in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its intervention. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's intervention and that OCC should have been granted intervention.⁹

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential consumers, the Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Terry L. Etter
Terry L. Etter, Counsel of Record
David C. Bergmann
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Telephone: (614) 466-8574 etter@occ.state.oh.us bergmann@occ.state.oh.us

4

-

 $^{^9}$ See Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm., 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, $\P\P$ 13-20 (2006).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel was electronically served on the persons listed below, this 15th day of June 2009.

/s/ Terry L. Etter
Terry L. Etter
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

DUANE W. LUCKEY

Assistant Attorney General Chief, Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793 duane.luckey@puc.state.oh.us JON F. KELLY MARY RYAN FENLON AT&T Ohio 150 East Gay Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 jk2961@att.com mf1842@att.com This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/15/2009 4:12:02 PM

in

Case No(s). 09-0494-TP-BLS

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Patti Mallarnee on behalf of Etter, Terry L Mr.