BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission's Investigation into the Value of Continued Participation in Regional Transmission Organizations.)) Case No. 09-90-EL-COI)
•	713 HPP33 /

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) By entry issued March 4, 2009, the Commission invited interested persons to file comments in this docket addressing the inquiries set forth in Appendix A to the entry. The Commission directed that comments and reply comments be filed in this proceeding by May 4, 2009, and June 2, 2009, respectively.
- (2) By entry issued April 23, 2009, the attorney examiner granted the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel's motion requesting an extension of time to file comments and reply comments in response to the Commission's March 4, 2009, entry. Therefore, the examiner required that comments and reply comments be filed by May 25, 2009, and June 24, 2009, respectively. Numerous parties filed comments in this docket.
- (3) On June 9, 2009, FirstEnergy Service Company, AEP Ohio, The Dayton Power and Light Company, and Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (collectively referred to as the movants), filed a motion for extension of time, until July 24, 2009, for the filing of reply comments. In support of their request, the movants state that an extension of time will enable them to review all of the facts and arguments provided in the initial comments and allow them to prepare reply comments that will assist the Commission and its staff in this proceeding.

FirstEnergy Service Company represents the following subsidiaries of FirstEnergy Corporation: Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, The Toledo Edison Company, Pennsylvania Power Company, American Transmission Systems, Inc., FirstEnergy Solutions Corporation, Pennsylvania Electric Company, Metropolitan Edison Company, and Jersey Central Power & Light Company.

Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company are collectively referred to as AEP Ohio.

- (4) Rule 4901-1-12(F), Ohio Administrative Code, provides that the attorney examiner may issue an expedited ruling on any motion prior to the filing of memoranda in response to the motion, where the issuance of the ruling will not adversely affect a substantial right of any party.
- (5) Upon consideration of the movants' request for an extension of time, the examiner finds that it is reasonable and should be granted. The examiner finds that no party will be adversely affected by a ruling on the movants' request prior to the filing of responsive memoranda because all parties will be provided the additional time to prepare their reply comments. Accordingly, reply comments should be filed on or before July 24, 2009.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the movants' motion for an extension of time be granted and reply comments will be due by July 24, 2009. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record and all persons identified in the service list for this investigation.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

By: Christine M.T. Pirik

Attorney Examiner

JrJ Vvrm

Entered in the Journal

JUN 1 5 2009

Reneé J. Jenkins

Secretary