| | | 1 |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | In the Matter of the Notice : of Apparent Violation : | |
| 4 | and Intent to Assess : Forfeitures Against : Case No. 09-170-TR-CVF | |
| 5 | Marvin Speiser, : | |
| 6 | Respondent. : | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | PROCEEDINGS | |
| 9 | before Dick Bulgrin, Hearing Examiner, at the Public | |
| 10 | Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, | |
| 11 | Room 11-G, Columbus, Ohio, called at 11:00 a.m. on | |
| 12 | Friday, May 1, 2009. | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, Second Floor | |
| 23 | Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481 | |
| 24 | Fax - (614) 224-5724 | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| | | 2 |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: | |
| 2 | Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney General Duane W. Luckey, | |
| 3 | Senior Deputy Attorney General Public Utilities Section | |
| 4 | By Mr. Werner L. Margard III and Mr. William L. Wright | |
| 5 | Assistant Attorney General 180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor | |
| 6 | Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793 | |
| 7 | On behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. | |
| 9 | Mr. Marvin Speiser, | |
| 10 | On behalf of himself. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| | | | | | | 3 |
|----|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|---|
| 1 | | | INDEX | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | STA | FF EXHIBITS | | IDENTIFIED | ADMITTED | |
| 4 | 1 | Driver Examination | report | 6 | 23 | |
| 5 | 3 | Fine Schedule Notice of Apparent | Violation | 19 21 | 23 23 | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

1 Friday Morning Session, 2 May 1, 2009. 3 4 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Good morning. 5 This is the hearing in the matter of the notice of 6 apparent violation and intent to assess forfeitures 7 against Marvin Speiser, case number 09-170-TR-CVF. 8 My name is Dick Bulgrin. I'm the 9 Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to 10 conduct the hearing this morning, and we'll begin 11 with the appearances by the parties. Mr. Margard. 12 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. On 13 behalf of the transportation staff of the Public 14 Utilities Commission of Ohio, Richard Cordray, Ohio 15 Attorney General, by Duane W. Luckey, Section Chief 16 and Assistant Attorneys General, Warner L. Margard 17 and William L. Wright, 180 East Broad Street, 9th 18 Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215. 19 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Thank you. 20 And, Mr. Speiser, if you could just state your name 21 and spell your name.

MR. SPEISER: Marvin Speiser, 23445

Kammeyer Road, Defiance, Ohio 43512.

HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: And you are not represented by an attorney in this matter.

5 1 MR. SPEISER: No, sir. 2 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Okay. 3 questions you have? 4 MR. SPEISER: No. 5 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Mr. Margard. 6 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 For staff's first witness, I would like 8 to call Officer Rob Divjak to the stand. 10 ROBERT D. DIVJAK 11 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 12 examined and testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 By Mr. Margard: 15 Please state your full name. O. 16 Robert D. Divjak. Α. 17 Q. And by whom are you employed? 18 A. Ohio State Highway Patrol. 19 And what's your title please. Ο. 20 Motor carrier enforcement inspector. Α. 21 Ο. And what are your duties as a motor 22 carrier inspector? 23 To inspect commercial vehicles for safety Α. 24 and economic regulations, Federal and State. 25 Q. And were you on duty in that capacity on

¹ August 25 of 2008?

6

7

8

13

14

19

20

21

22

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And do you remember what your job assignment was that day?
- 5 A. Williams County.
 - Q. And, in the course of your duties on that date, did you have an opportunity to inspect the motor vehicle being operated by Mr. Speiser?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And, as a result of that inspection, did you note any violations?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And as a result of that inspection, did you generate a report of your findings?
- A. Yes, sir.
- MR. MARGARD: May I approach, Your Honor?

 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Sure.
- 18 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 - Q. Inspector Divjak, you have before you a document that's been marked for purposes of identification as Staff Exhibit Number 1.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Can you tell us what that is please.
- A. It's an inspection report.
- Q. And is this the inspection report that

7 1 you prepared after your inspection of the vehicle driven by Mr. Speiser? 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 Ο. Now, how do you prepare this report? 5 What do you actually do after your inspection? 6 Α. After the inspection? 7 Ο. Yes, sir. 8 Α. Enter the violations and information, 9 that I went up and gathered, into the computer in the 10 car. 11 So you have a computer with you that you Ο. 12 put information into, and it generates this report? 13 Α. Correct. 14 And a copy of this report would have been Ο. 15 given to Mr. Speiser? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. And would have been signed by him to 18 acknowledge his receipt? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Have you had an opportunity to review O. 21 this document prior to the hearing today? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And is this an accurate copy of the Ο. 24 report that you prepared at the time of the

25

inspection?

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Now, I note this particular document is
 not signed. What happens to the report after you put
 it into the computer?
- A. It goes to Columbus here, and then a copy is given to the driver, turned in to the carrier, and the carrier signs it, and sends it back.
 - Q. So this is something that you would have uploaded to the --
- 10 A. Correct.
- 0. -- Commission?
- 12 A. Yes.

8

9

- Q. So this is an electronic copy of the report that you prepared, not of the document that was signed by Mr. Speiser?
- A. This is -- it's prepared. It's not the same.
- Q. Not exactly the same piece of paper, but is the same report; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And to the best of your recollection,
- Mr. Speiser did receive a copy of this report?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And he did sign it?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Now, do you have an independent recollection, before reviewing this document, did you remember this inspection?
 - A. No, sir.

- Q. After reviewing this report, did that help to refresh your recollection? Do you have a better recollection now of this inspection?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. What can you tell me about your inspection based on your review of this report?
- A. With it being -- referring to the seatbelt violation?
- Q. Whatever you may have observed in the course of your inspection. Try to walk through the inspection as best you can recall from reviewing the report.
- A. After I stopped the truck, walked up to the cab, and observed he didn't have a seatbelt on, and I said, "Make sure you wear your seatbelt." And from that point, I don't recall what the conversation was after that, but I was --
 - Q. Do you know why you stopped the vehicle?
 - A. Yes. For an inspection, the ISS rating.
- Q. And so you would have approached the driver?

A. Correct.

1

8

10

13

- Q. Had you had an opportunity to see him as

 he was driving? Was he driving toward you or away

 from you?
- A. He was driving westbound, and I was sitting eastbound, and I turned around and stopped him.
 - Q. So was -- again, just so I'm clear, would he have been driving toward you?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You would have seen him as he was driving toward?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you turned around and followed him?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And then pulled him aside.
- Do you have a recollection of having seen

 him as he was driving toward you?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. You have a recollection of having seen
 whether he was wearing a seatbelt as he was driving
 toward you?
 - A. No, sir, I don't recall.
- Q. So your first observation of whether he was wearing a seatbelt was as you walked up to the

- 1 | cab; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And it's your testimony today that,
 please correct me if I'm wrong, when you walked up to
 the cab, he was not at that moment wearing his
 seatbelt; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Now, what is your usual practice when you walk up to a cab and notice a driver not wearing a seatbelt?
 - A. I always say to them make sure you wear your seatbelt, and from that point, it's different each time whether they say, well, I forgot to put it on, or, oh, okay. Yeah. I'll make sure I wear it from this point, or whatever the case may be. It's different for each stop.
 - Q. What determination do you use, then, in deciding to write a violation of the seatbelt regulation? How is it you decide to fine a violation?
- A. If the driver tells me one way or the another whether he was wearing it or not. Sometimes they say, well, I took it off, you know, okay. I was getting this or that.
- So I always have a conversation with them

- about it. It's just not a simple thing as to say
 whether or not they was wearing it.
- I always come up and say make sure you
 wear your seatbelt, and then they, in turn, have a
 chance to tell me their story whether they was
 wearing it or not, and it goes accordingly to what
 they tell me.
- Q. Let's try to follow that up a little bit.

 If a driver tells you, I was wearing my seatbelt, but

 took it off, what do you do?
- 11 A. Tell them make sure you leave it on until
 12 I see you wearing it next time you get pulled off.
 - Q. Do you write a violation in circumstance?
 - A. No, sir.

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

- Q. Have you ever written a violation for a seatbelt for somebody who tells you they had it on, but took it off?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. If a driver says I wasn't wearing my seatbelt, what do you do?
 - A. I write it down as a violation.
 - Q. How many seatbelt violations do you think you typically write?
- A. I would say not more than five in a year's time.

- Q. So just so that I'm clear, you don't recall seeing Mr. Speiser without his seatbelt until you walked up to the window?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. You don't specifically recall a conversation with him, but it is your practice to mention that, in fact, to the driver, and you, in all likelihood, would have done that with Mr. Speiser.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And if he had told you he was wearing his seatbelt, you would not have issued the violation, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So, consequently, we can surmise that he did not say anything to you about having been wearing his seatbelt when you mentioned the seatbelt to him?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Is that your understanding?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And you would have then written up the violation and given him a copy of this report; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So anything else about this inspection that you recall?

14 1 No, sir. Α. 2 Ο. And you did not fine any other 3 violations; is that correct? 4 Α. No, sir. 5 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. б That's all the questions I have for Inspector Divjak. 7 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Mr. Speiser? 8 MR. SPEISER: I have no questions. 9 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Just one 10 clarifying thing. 11 12 EXAMINATION 13 By Hearing Examiner Bulgrin: 14 What reason did you have for pulling him O. 15 This was a roadside stop? over? 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 Q. And what reason did you have for pulling 18 him over? 19 ISS, or score was high. Α. 20 Can you explain that a little bit. Ο. 21 What's that stand for exactly? Α. 22 MR. SPEISER: Safety rating. 23 The safety rating was high. I can't Α. 24 remember what the exact wording is for the safety 25 rating, but the safety rating was --

- Q. That would have been going to the safety rating of the carrier or driver?
- A. Correct. The carrier, and the carrier owned the vehicle.
- 5 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Anything
- 6 further?
- 7 MR. MARGARD: Not for this witness.
- 8 Thank you, Your Honor.
- 9 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: You're
- 10 excused. Thank you.
- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, the staff would
- 12 like to call Mr. Tom Forbes.
- 13
- 14 TOM FORBES
- 15 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
- 16 examined and testified as follows:
- 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 By Mr. Margard:
- 0. State your full name for us please.
- A. Tom Forbes, F-o-r-b-e-s.
- Q. And by whom are you employed, Mr. Forbes?
- A. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio,
- 23 Transportation Department Compliance Division.
- 0. And what is your title?
- A. Compliance officer.

- Q. And what are your job duties as compliance officer?
- A. I review inspection reports and complete
 assessments, forfeitures, mail those forfeitures to
 the carriers, drivers, and shippers as appropriate,
 hold settlement conferences, to negotiate
 settlements. I answer technical questions from the
 regulating community, and I conduct enough
- 9 inspections to keep my certification current.
- Q. Let me just follow up with that last note about conducting inspections.
- You yourself conduct inspections; is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

18

- Q. And, in the course of the inspections
 that you've conducted, have you had opportunity to
 observe seatbelt violations?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Both observing drivers as they're
 operating, and after they have been stopped; is that
 correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you were present during the testimony of Inspector Divjak; is that correct?
- 25 A. I was.

- Q. And is that pattern and practice typical in your experience and understanding for how these violations are found and noted?
- A. I can only speak for myself, and when I write a seatbelt violation, I observe the driver going by without their seatbelt on, and make the stop for whatever the reason is to stop the vehicle, and then list the violation at that time.
- Q. In your experience in reviewing inspection reports, you do have occasion to note seatbelt violations where actual observations are not made though; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. That's not uncommon here?
- A. Right.

- Q. Did you have an opportunity to review the file at the Commission with respect to this inspection prior to your testimony today?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did you have an opportunity to review the forfeiture that is being assessed in this case?
 - A. I did.
- Q. And can you briefly tell us how those forfeitures are determined, how the amounts for the forfeiture is determined?

```
1
                For the non-hazardous materials and
           Α.
2
    inspections, the violations that receive forfeitures
3
    are broken up into four groups.
4
                Group 1 includes things like brake
    violations, log book hours of service violations.
5
6
                Group 2 would be things like brake
7
    adjustments, lower air warnings.
8
                Group 3 would be windshields, fuel
9
    systems.
10
                And Group 4 involves things like medical
11
    cards, insurance, drugs and alcohol, CDL violations,
12
    seatbelts, radar detectors. Group 4 does not have to
13
    be out of service.
14
                For groups 1, 2 and 3, they must be
15
    out-of-service violations to receive a forfeiture.
16
                There is a matrix of violations and tells
17
    which group they are in, and if they are in group 4,
18
    tells the specific forfeiture for that violation.
19
                392.16 is the violation for seatbelt, and
20
    it is a group 4 violation, and is listed on the
21
    matrix as a $100 fine.
22
                MR. MARGARD: May I approach, Your Honor?
23
                HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN:
24
                (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
```

Mr. Forbes, I've handed you a multi-page

25

Q.

19

- document that has been marked for purposes of
- identification as Staff Exhibit Number 2. Do you
- 3 have that document before you?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And is that the matrix to which you were referring?
- A. Yes, sir.

8

9

10

14

15

16

21

- Q. And can you please point to us where in this matrix the violation found in this case is located?
- 11 A. On page 2 under the group 4 violation, 12 it's six up from the bottom. Says not using 13 seatbelt, \$100.
 - Q. And that's the amount of the forfeiture that was assessed in this case; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the schedule that you have as Staff
 Exhibit Number 2, this is the schedule that is used
 by the Commission staff to determine the assessments
 in motor vehicle carrier violation cases?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And are the dollar amounts indicated in that schedule consistent with those recommended by the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And the procedure that you've described for us, is that procedure also one that's been adopted or recommended by the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance?
 - A. Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. And this procedure is the same for all carriers and all drivers for whom violations are written in the State of Ohio?
 - A. For non-hazmat cases, yes.
- Q. Thank you. Now, did you reach any conclusions as a result of reviewing the inspection report in the fine schedule that's been marked as Staff Exhibit Number 2?
- A. The forfeiture that was assessed was the correct forfeiture for the violation that was listed.
 - O. Correct and reasonable; is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Is that your opinion?
- Did you, in your review of the Commission

 file, did you observe whether notices of this

 forfeiture were sent to Mr. Speiser?
- A. They were. Mr. Speiser received a notice of apparent violation and intent to assess

 forfeiture.
- MR. MARGARD: May I approach, Your Honor?

HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Yes.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

Q. Mr. Forbes, I've handed you a multi-page document that has been marked for purposes of identification as Staff Exhibit Number 3.

Have you had an opportunity to review this document prior to this hearing today?

A. Yes, sir.

- O. And what is this document please?
- A. The top page is the notice of apparent violation and intent to assess forfeiture that was mailed to Mr. Speiser on September 3rd of 2008, reference the August 25, 2008 inspection.

It notifies Mr. Speiser that the Commission intends to assess a \$100 forfeiture. With this document would have been an instruction sheet on how to either pay the forfeiture or request a conference.

Shortly thereafter, Mr. Speiser did request a conference, and a conference was later held on this case.

At the conclusion of conference, a resolution was not reached at conference, and Mr. Speiser was sent a notice of preliminary determination, which is pages 2 and 3 of this

22

- document. Along with that, would have been an
- 2 | instruction sheet to explain how to either pay the
- ³ | forfeiture or, within 30 days, to request an
- 4 administrative hearing.
- Based on the fact that we're here today,
- 6 Mr. Speiser requested an administrative hearing.
 - Q. Based on your review of the Commission's file in this case, did Mr. Speiser receive all of the notices required by the Ohio Administrative Code?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.

7

8

16

17

18

- Q. And based on your understanding, has he made all of the appropriate requests for the protection of his rights that result in this proceeding today?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And just to be clear, it is your opinion that the \$100 forfeiture that has been requested to be assessed in this case is both the correct amount and is reasonable?
- A. That's correct.
- MR. MARGARD: I have no further questions
 of Mr. Forbes.
- MR. SPEISER: I have no questions.
- HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: You may be
- excused.

23 1 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I respectfully 2 request the admission of Staff Exhibits Number 1, 2 3 and 3. 4 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Any objection? 5 MR. SPEISER: No. 6 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: They will be 7 so admitted. 8 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO THE RECORD.) 9 MR. MARGARD: And staff rests, Your 10 Honor. 11 HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Mr. Speiser, 12 do you want to come up here. 13 If you just go ahead and tell us your 14 side of the story. 15 16 MARVIN SPEISER 17 presented himself as a public witness, and being 18 first duly sworn, testified as follows: 19 DIRECT TESTIMONY 20 MR. SPEISER: Okay. I remember the date 21 clearly because all the papers I've had to register 22 and phone conversations I've had with this, and I 23 departed DNP in Defiance approximately 6:00 a.m. 24 was headed toward Elkhart, Indiana. 25 On the CB I heard about the officer

setting there, which is neither here nor there,
sorry. I made sure I had all my ducks in a row just
in case because of our safety rating.

I always wear my seatbelt. I seen him setting there. I was at a red light. I went past him. The officer pulls me over approximately two miles down the road. It's on Highway 6. It's kind of a busy area that time of morning.

Make things easy, I shut the truck off because fuel was \$4 a gallon. Set the parking brakes, jump over to the passenger seat, grab my stuff out of the glove box I need, get my medical card out, try to make things quick and easy as possible.

The officer eventually approached the cab of the truck. He told me make sure you wear your seatbelt. I said yes, sir. Never said I didn't have it on. Never said I had it on. I'll admit I said yes, sir. I wasn't operating the motor vehicle at that time.

Got the registration out for the trailer and everything, did a level 3 inspection like he testified to. Came back up to the truck. After all the information, told me he was giving me a violation for seatbelt. I tried to explain to him I had it on

when I was operating the motor vehicle. Explained to
him that it was kind of dark outside. Most generally
I wear a black T-shirt. I had one on that day. My
truck is black. The seatbelt's black.

Officer stated you didn't have it on when I got to the cab.

No, I did not have it on when you got to the cab. At that point, the truck was shut off. The parking brake was set. I was not operating the motor vehicle and trying to make the stop as efficient as possible because of the traffic. That's my story.

HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: Okay.

MR. MARGARD: I don't think I have any questions.

HEARING EXAMINER BULGRIN: I don't think
I have anything for you either. You're excused.
Thank you.

If there is nothing further, then, this hearing will be concluded, and this matter will be submitted to the Commission.

(Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at 11:30 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Friday, May 1, 2009, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

__s/Deborah J. Guzzo_____ Deborah J. Guzzo, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires June 25, 2012.

11 (1864-DJG)

12 _ _ _

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. Columbus, Ohio 614-224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/18/2009 8:09:12 AM

in

Case No(s). 09-0170-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript Marvin Speiser 5/1/09 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Guzzo, Deborah Mrs.