## **BEFORE**

## THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus	)	
Southern Power Company for Approval of	)	
an Electric Security Plan; an Amendment to	)	Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO
its Corporate Separation Plan; and the Sale or	)	
Transfer of Certain Generating Assets.	)	
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio	)	
Power Company for Approval of its Electric	)	Case No. 08-918-EL-SSO
Security Plan; and an Amendment to its	)	
Corporate Separation Plan.	•)	

## ENTRY NUNC PRO TUNC

## The Commission finds:

- (1) Section 4928.141, Revised Code, provides that electric utilities shall provide consumers a standard service offer (SSO) of all competitive retail electric services in accordance with Section 4928.142 or 4928.143, Revised Code.
- (2) On July 31, 2008, Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company (jointly, the Companies) filed an application for an SSO, in the form of an electric security plan (ESP) in accordance with Section 4928.143, Revised Code.
- (3) On March 18, 2009, the Commission issued an opinion and order that approved the Companies' proposed three-year ESP (January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009) with certain modifications, and directed each company to file revised tariffs consistent with the opinion and order and subject to final review and approval by the Commission.
- (4) Upon review of the opinion and order, the Commission finds that inadvertent inconsistencies exist and must be corrected. The second paragraph under section IX on page 72 incorrectly references January 1, 2009, as the effective date of the tariffs. As stated on page 62, the reference to the January 1, 2009, date should be to the ESP term, not to the tariffs. It was not the Commission's intent to allow the Companies to re-bill customers at a higher rate for their first quarter usage. The new

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Pate Processed 3/30/09

rates established pursuant to the ESP were not to go into effect until final review and approval by the Commission of the Companies' compliance tariffs. Given that our order was issued on March 18, 2009, and that the Companies' existing tariffs approved by the Commission were scheduled to expire no later than the last billing cycle of March 2009, it was anticipated that the new rates would not become effective until the first billing cycle of April. Accordingly, the second paragraph should state:

Furthermore, the Commission finds that the Companies' should file revised tariffs consistent with this order, to be effective on a date not earlier than both the commencement of the Companies' April 2009 billing cycle, and the date upon which final tariffs are filed with the Commission. In light of the timing of the effective date of the new tariffs, the Commission finds that the tariffs shall be effective for bills rendered on or after the effective date, and contingent upon final review by the Commission.

(5) Similarly, the second ordering paragraph on page 74 should state:

ORDERED, That the Companies file their revised tariffs consistent with this opinion and order and that the effective date of the new tariffs be a date not earlier than both the commencement of the Companies' April 2009 billing cycle, and the date upon which four complete copies of final tariffs are filed with the Commission. The new tariffs shall be effective for bills rendered on or after the effective date.

(6) Lastly, the second paragraph under section I on page 64 incorrectly references Section I.E of the proposed ESP and Section 4928.14(C)(1) of the Revised Code. Instead, the first two sentences should state: "We find Section V.E of the proposed ESP to be moot with this opinion and order. The Commission issued finding and orders on December 19, 2008, and February 25, 2009, interpreting the statutory provision in Section 4928.141(A), Revised Code, and approving rates for an interim period until such time as the Commission issues its order on AEP's proposed ESP."

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the opinion and order dated March 18, 2009, be amended, *nunc pro tunc*, as set forth above. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served on all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Alan R. Schriber, Chairman

Paul A. Centolella

Valerie A. Lemmie

MAL SUN //LAW Ronda Hartman Fergus

Cheryl L. Roberto

KWB:ct

Entered in the Journal

MAR 3 0 2009

Reneé J. Jenkins

Secretary