BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rates.)	Case No. 08-709-EL-AIR
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for a Tariff Approval.)	Case No. 08-710-EL-ATA 7
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change Accounting Methods.)	Case No. 08-711-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company for Approval of its Rider BDP, Backup Delivery Point.)))	Case No. 06-718-EL-ATA

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code, the Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association ("the OCTA" or "the Association") respectfully moves for a protective order to maintain the confidentiality of certain documents provided to it by Duke Energy Ohio ("Duke") through the discovery process that were designated as "confidential". These documents were provided to the OCTA only after the OCTA signed a Confidentiality Agreement with Duke. The OCTA does not necessarily agree with such a designation and reserves the right to subsequently challenge such a designation. But the Confidentiality Agreement obligates the OCTA to maintain the confidentiality of certain documents designated as confidential by Duke. Consistent with the requirements of the above cited Rule, three (3) unredacted copies of the confidential portions of the Deposition Exhibits and Deposition Transcript excerpts are submitted under seal.

 8

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen M. Howard (0022421)

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP

52 East Gay Street

P.O. Box 1008

Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

(614) 464-5401

(614) 719-4772

smhoward@vorys.com

Gardner F. Gillespie
Paul A. Werner
Hogan & Hartson LLP
Columbia Square
555 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
gfgillespie@hhlaw.com
pawerner@hhlaw.com

Attorneys for The Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

The Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association respectfully moves that the Commissioner or an Attorney Examiner issue an Entry granting its Motion for a Protective Order. The OCTA has received several documents from Duke in discovery. Some of these documents were designated by Duke as confidential and were provided to the OCTA only after the OCTA signed a Confidentiality Agreement with Duke. The OCTA does not necessarily agree with such a designation and reserves the right to subsequently challenge such a designation. But the Confidentiality Agreement obligates the Association to maintain the confidentiality of certain documents.

Some of the documents that were marked as confidential by Duke have been used in Depositions conducted by the OCTA of various Duke representatives. Consequently, some of the Deposition Exhibits marked as confidential were the subject of examination during the course of the Depositions. Redacted versions of the Deposition Exhibits and the Deposition Transcripts were filed in the public record.

The OCTA respectfully requests that the following Deposition Exhibits be deemed as confidential and not subject to public disclosure at this time.

OCTA Deposition Exhibits 11-14 (from the December 15, 2008 Deposition of James Dean)
OCTA Deposition Exhibit 20 (from the January 29, 2009 Deposition of Richard Harrell)
OCTA Deposition Exhibit 21 – the two pages marked confidential (from the January 29 Deposition of David Yelton); and
OCTA Deposition Exhibits 23-28 (from the January 30, 2009 Deposition of James Dean)

In addition, the OCTA proposes that the following Deposition Transcript excerpts be deemed as confidential and not subject to public disclosure at this time:

December 15, 2008 Deposition Transcript of James Dean, page 52, line 11 through page 98, line 9; January 29, 2009 Deposition Transcript of Richard Harrell, page 55, line 1 through page 74, line 16; and January 30, 2009 Deposition Transcript of James Dean, page 47, line 6 through page 115, line 15.

Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. State law recognizes the need to protect certain types of information which are the subject of this motion. Based on its designation of confidentiality, we believe that Duke's position would be that the non-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 49. The Commission and its Staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. Based on its designation of confidentiality, we believe that Duke's position would be that n purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information at this time.

WHEREFORE, for the above reasons, The Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association requests the Commission to grant its motion for a protective order and to maintain at this time the information marked as confidential by Duke which was submitted in this proceeding under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen M. Howard (0022421)

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP

52 East Gay Street

P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

(614) 464-5401

Gardner F. Gillespie Paul A. Werner Hogan & Hartson LLP Columbia Square 555 13th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

gfgillespie@hhlaw.com

pawerner@hhlaw.com

Attorneys for

The Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association

LIST OF EXHIBITS FOR WHICH PROTECTION IS SOUGHT

EXHIBITS

OCTA Deposition Exhibits 11-14 (from the December 15, 2008 Deposition of James Dean)

OCTA Deposition Exhibit 20 (from the January 29, 2009 Deposition of Richard Harrell)

Two pages from OCTA Deposition Exhibit 21 - (from the January 29, 2009 Deposition of David Yelton); and

OCTA Deposition Exhibits 23-28 (from the January 30, 2009 Deposition of James Dean)

DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT EXCERPTS

December 15, 2008 Deposition Transcript of James Dean, page 52, line 11 through page 98, line 9;

January 29, 2009 Deposition Transcript of Richard Harrell, page 55, line 1 through page 74, line 16; and

January 30, 2009 Deposition Transcript of James Dean, page 47, line 6 through page 115, line 15

REASONS JUSTIFYING PROTECTION

Duke has designated these Exhibits as "confidential". The OCTA does not necessarily agree with such a designation. The OCTA is a party to a "Confidentiality Agreement" which obligates it to maintain the confidentiality of information designated to be confidential by Duke. The Deposition Transcript excerpts submitted under seal include the examination of the deponent relating to those Exhibits designated by Duke to be confidential. The OCTA reserves the right to subsequently challenge the confidential designation on all or some of these Deposition Exhibits and Deposition Transcript excerpts.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was served upon the following persons via email or first class U.S. Mail, this 23rd day of February, 2009.

Stephen M. Howard

Rocco D'Ascenzo, Esq.
Amy B. Spiller
Elizabeth Watts
Duke Energy-Ohio
139 East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45201
rocco.dascenzo@duke-energy.com
amy.spiller@duke-energy.com
elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com

David C. Rinebolt, Esq.
Colleen L. Mooney, Esq.
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
337 South Main Street, 4th Floor, Suite 5
P. O. Box 1793
Findlay, OH 45839-1793
drinebolt@aol.com
cmooney@columbus.rr.com

John W. Bentine, Esq.
Mark S. Yurick, Esq.
Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215-4213
jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com

Stephen Reilly, Bsq.
Office of the Attorney General
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Stephen.Reilly@puc.state.oh.us

Thomas J. O'Brien, Esq. Sally W. Bloomfield, Esq. Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 tobrien@bricker.com sbloomfield@bricker.com

David F. Boehm, Esq.
Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East 7th Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202-4454
dboehm@bkllawfirm.com
mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com

Ann M. Hotz, Esq.
Jeffrey M. Small, Esq.
Jacqueline Lake Roberts, Esq.
Office of Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
hotz@occ.state.oh.us
small@occ.state.oh.us
roberts@occ.state.oh.us
idzkowski@occ.state.oh.us

Mary W. Christensen
Christensen Donchatz
Kettlewell & Owens, LLP
100 East Campus View Blvd., Suite 360
Columbus, OH 43235-4679
mchristensen@columbuslaw.org

Gardner F. Gillespie
Paul A. Werner
Hogan & Hartson LLP
Columbia Square
555 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
gfgillespie@hhlaw.com
pawerner@hhlaw.com

Pamela H. Sherwood 4625 W. 86th St., Ste. 500 Indianapolis, IN 46268 pamela.sherwood@twtelecom.com

Albert E. Lane 7200 Fair Oaks Drive Cincinnati, OH 45237 aelmicten@aol.com Douglas E. Hart 441 Vine St., Suite 4192 Cincinnati, OH 45202 dhart@douglashart.com