FILE FARUKI IRELAND & COX P.L.L.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

500 Courthouse Plaza, S.W. 10 North Ludlow Street Dayton, Ohio 45402 937-227-3700 Fax 937-227-3717

R. Holtzman Hedrick (937) 227-3727 rhedrick@ficlaw.com

February 5, 2009

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Attention: Renee Jenkins Docketing Division 180 E. Broad Street, 10th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 2009 FEB ~6 AM 10: 28

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

RE: DP&L ESP Filing, Case No. 08-1094-EL-SSO et al.

Dear Ms. Jenkins:

Enclosed are: (1) fourteen (14) copies of The Dayton Power and Light's Notice of Filing Depositions; and (2) deposition transcripts of:

- a. Gonzalez, Wilson
- b. Ibrahim, Amr A.
- c. Duann, Daniel J.
- d. Yankel, Anthony J.
- e. McClelland, Barry E.
- f. Pullins, Steven W.
- g. Fein, David I.
- h. Woolridge, J. Randall
- i. Bowser, Joseph G.
- j. Sawmiller, Daniel J.
- k. Murray, Kevin M.
- 1. Dickstein, Shelley J. (awaiting transcript)
- m. Frye, Mark R. (awaiting transcript)
- n. Higgins, Kevin C. (awaiting transcript)

Very truly yours,

R. Holtzman Hedrich

R. Holtzman Hedrick

RHH/tes Enclosures



TRUSTED WISDOM. EXTRAORDINARY RESULTS,

ficlaw.com

E C Dese Ø are É L' Case This is to certify that the images appearing ¢ Processes 000 and complete reproduction regular Jate e E E E 1 II delivered accurate document

Page 1

| | | BEFOR | 2E | | |
|-----|--------|-----------|------------|----|------|
| THE | PUBLIC | UTILITIES | COMMISSION | OF | OHIO |

| In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan |) Case No.) 08-1094-EL-SSO))) |
|--|--|
| In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Revised Tariffs |) Case No.) 08-1095-EL-ATA))) |
| In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code Section 4905.13 |) Case No.) 08-1096-EL-AAM)))) |
| In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Amended Corporate Separation Plan |) Case No.) 08-1097-EL-UNC)) |

The deposition of DAVID FEIN, taken in the above-entitled case, before Suzanne Thalji, CSR and Notary Public in and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, at 550 West Washington Boulevard, Suite 300, Chicago, Illinois, on February 3, 2009, at 12:34 p.m.

| | | Page 2 | | Page 4 |
|----------|--|--------|----------|---|
| 1 | PRESENT: | | 1 | DAVID FEIN, |
| 2 | FARUKI IRELAND & COX PLL BY MR. JEFFREY S. SHARKEY | | 2 | having been first duly sworn, was examined and |
| 3 | 500 Courthouse Plaza, S.W. 10 North Ludlow Street | | 3 | testified as follows: |
| 4 | Dayton, Ohio 45402 | | 4 | EXAMINATION |
| 5 | (937) 227-3705 | | 5 | BY MR. SHARKEY: |
| 6 | appeared via telephone on behalf of The Dayton Power and Light Company; | | 6 | Q Mr. Fein, as you know, my name is Jeff |
| 7 | VORYS SATER SEYMOUR AND PEASE LLP | | 7 | Sharkey, and I'm representing The Dayton Power and |
| 8 | BY MR. M. HOWARD PETRICOFF 52 East Gay Street | | 8 | Light Company in this matter. |
| 9 | PO Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 | | 9 | Do you have before you a copy of your |
| | (614) 464-6400 | | 10 11 | direct testimony that's been filed in this matter? A Yes, I do. |
| 10 | appeared via telephone on behalf of | | 11 | Q Do you have any corrections or changes to |
| 11 | Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Constellation Energy Commodities | | 13 | it? |
| 12 | Group, Inc.; OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL | | 14 | A Yeah, a couple minor typographical errors. |
| 13 | BY MR. MICHAELE. IDZKOWSKI | | 15 | Q Why don't you let me know what those are, |
| 14 | 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215 | | 16 | |
| 15 | (614) 466-8574 | | 17 | A I do. On page 7, line 21, the reference to |
| 16 | appeared via telephone on behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' | | 18 | the tariff G8 I believe should be D30. |
| 17 18 | Counsel. | | 19 | Q Okay. |
| | ALSO PRESENT (via telephone): | | 20 | A And on the following page 8, line 3, I |
| 19 | MR. DANIEL DUANN | | 21 | believe the proper tariff designation would be G23, |
| 20 | | | 22 | not G10 as it's listed there, I think. |
| 22 | | | 23 | Q Okay. Any other changes? |
| 24 | | | 24 | A I believe I have one other, and obviously we'll be making these corrections when the testimony |
| 25 | | | 20 | |
| | | Page 3 | | Page 5 |
| 1 | INDEX | | | is submitted into the record. On page 10, line 11, |
| 2 | WITNESS | | | I believe the reference to G9 there should also be |
| 3 | DAVID FEIN | LOD | | D30 or I guess it's a little bit it should be |
| 4 5 | | AGE | 4 5 | either D30 and/or G9. It's a little unclear in the filing. |
| 6 | MR. SHARKEY 4 | | 6 | MR. PETRICOFF: I'm sorry, David. What was |
| 7 | | | 7 | the page number again? |
| 8 | | | 8 | THE WITNESS: The last one was page 10, |
| 9 | NO EXHIBITS WERE MARK | KED | 9 | line 11. |
| 10 | | | 10 | MR. PETRICOFF: I think that one is okay. |
| 11 | | | 11 | A Okay. Then strike that answer. No further |
| 12 | | | 12 | changes at this time. |
| 13 | | | 13 | BY MR. SHARKEY: |
| 14 | | | 14 | Q Can you tell me what a vice president of |
| 15 16 | | | 15 16 | energy policy does for Constellation? A Sure. A vice president of energy policy |
| 16 17 | | | 16 17 | implements and carries out the regulatory and |
| 18 | | | 18 | legislative objectives of the company and is the |
| 19 | | | 19 | person responsible for overseeing our interactions |
| 20 | | | 20 | with state public service commissions, state |
| 21 | | | 21 | legislatures, other branches of government and |
| 22 | | | 22 | energy policymakers in general. |
| 23 | | | 23 | Q Now, is that position considered to be |
| 24 | | | | counsel, meaning do you consider yourself as an |
| 25 | | 1 | 25 | attorney to be representing Constellation as an |

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

| | Page 6 | | Fage 8 |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1 | attorney in that position? | 1 | in and there is some type of requirement that you |
| 2 | A No. I have a law degree, but that | 2 | complete it, and I am trying to see if there's |
| 3 | capacity, I'm not representing the company in a | 3 | anything similar to a CLE course that you attended |
| 4 | legal capacity. | 4 | that would have been technical in nature. |
| 5 | Q So you don't consider your communications | 5 | A I have certainly attended courses in I |
| 6 | with Constellation employees who aren't attorneys to | 6 | think they were called courses but in the energy |
| 7 | be privileged? | 7 | regulatory rate-making arena where it was not a CLI |
| 8 | A I'm sorry? | 8 | credit, but it was I guess what you would call akin |
| 9 | Q Those Constellation employees who are not | 9 | to continuing education that attendees might |
| 10 | attorneys, you don't consider your communications | 10 | receive, whether they're in some specific vocation, |
| 11 | 1 0 | 11 | but that touched on aspects of the energy industry. |
| 12 | A That's correct. | 12 | I have taken, you know, courses like that in the |
| 13 | Q How long have you been in the position of | 13 | past. |
| 14 | VP of energy policy? | 14 | Q What materials did you review to prepare |
| 15 | A Since roughly July of 2007. | 15 | your testimony? |
| 16 | Q I didn't hear the end of your answer. Is | 16 | A I reviewed the company's application, the |
| 17 | that July 2007? | 17 | |
| 18 | A Yes, sir. | 18 | certain at least one or two of the company |
| 19 | Q And before that time you were representing | 19 | |
| 20 | Constellation as counsel in a position where you | 20 | Q Did you review any historic stipulations or |
| 21 | acted as an attorney? | 21 | |
| 22 | A Yes, a couple different capacities, yes. | 22 | A Well, obviously you provided us with a |
| 23 | Q Okay. And all of your background prior to | 23 | couple of those, I believe, yesterday and indicated |
| 24 | that, you were acting as an attorney in various | 24 | that you plan to I guess your question was in |
| 25 | capacities as described in your pretrial testimony? | 25 | preparing my direct testimony. No, I did not. |
| | Page 7 | | Page 9 |
| 1 | A Yes, on page 2. | 1 | Q I assume that the materials that your |
| 2 | Q Okay. Do you have any technical or | 2 | counsel has provided to me were reviewed in the |
| 3 | business degrees? | 3 | preparation of your testimony; is that accurate or |
| 4 | A No. | 4 | inaccurate? |
| 5 | Q Okay. Any technical or business training? | 5 | A The materials that were provided? |
| 6 | A What do you mean by training? | 6 | Q Yes. I received three documents from your |
| 7 | Q Have you gone to any formal courses that | 7 | counsel. One was an Ohio Supreme Court decision |
| 8 | were conducted anywhere? | 8 | A Yes, I have reviewed that. |
| 9 | A I have gone to many conferences that based | 9 | Q The other being a Commission opinion order |
| 10 | upon that how you are explaining training, I | 10 | in the Duke application in its 08-920 matter. |
| 11 | guess could be considered training, whether it be | 11 | A Yes, very familiar with that order. |
| 12 | CLE courses or conferences in the energy industry of | 12 | Q And the Commonwealth Edison order I'm |
| 1.3 | various nature that talk about regulatory policy. | 13 | sorry, tariff sheet. |
| 14 | Q Okay. | 14 | A Yes, I'm familiar with those. |
| 15 | A Issues like that, I guess. | 15 | Q Anything else you can remember that you |
| 16 | Q Now, I know what CLE courses are. Have you | 16 | reviewed to prepare your testimony? |
| 17 | had any similar courses where you were actually | 17 | A Nothing else jumps out, no, other than, you |
| 18 | there was an instructor and the goal was to convey | 18 | know, what is cited in the testimony. |
| 19 | information to you as opposed to a conference which | 19 | Q Can you help me understand the corporate |
| 20 | sometimes seems to be mostly meet and greet and such and less formal? | 20 | structure of Constellation? I guess I will start |
| 21 | - | 21 | with is there an ultimate parent that is publicly |
| 1 | A If you mean like there was a degree or some | 22 | traded? |
| 23 24 | certificate I guess I am not following your | 23 24 | A Yes. Q What is the name of that entity? |
| | | | |
| 24 | question. Q For CLE there is a course that you sign up | 24 25 | A Constellation Energy or Constellation |

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

| 1 | Page 10 | | Page 12 |
|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Energy Group, publicly traded under the symbol CEG. | 1 | D.C., Delaware. I believe those are the states that |
| 2 | Q Okay. And you are here testifying on | 2 | |
| 3 | behalf of Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and | 3 | would be all the states that allow retail |
| 4 | Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc.? | 4 | competition. |
| 5 | A That's correct. | 5 | Q Okay. And then can you describe the |
| 6 | Q Okay. Are those both subsidiaries of | 6 | business of Constellation Energy Commodities Group |
| 7 | Constellation Energy? | 7 | |
| 8 | A Yes. | 8 | A Yes. Constellation Energy Commodities |
| 9 | Q And you're employed by Constellation Energy | 9 | Group, Inc. is a competitive wholesale supplier of |
| 10 | Group, Inc., which was the parent you described to | 1.0 | electricity. They serve electric distribution |
| 111 | us; is that correct? | 11 | utilities, cooperatives, municipal electric systems. |
| 12 | A Yes, | 12 | They serve wholesale customers. |
| 13 | Q Can you describe for me the nature of the | 13 | Q So does it make sales in spot markets? |
| 14 | business of Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.? | 14 | A It makes sales in spot markets, RTO |
| 15 | A Yes. Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. is a | 15 | markets, bilateral agreements, just like any other |
| 16 | competitive retail electric supplier. | 16 | wholesale competitive supplier. |
| 17 | Q Does CNE do business in Ohio? | 17 | Q Okay. Does CCG own generating assets? |
| 18 | A Does CNE do business in Ohio? Was that the | 18 | A I do not believe that CCG has ownership in |
| 19 | question? | 19 | any generating assets. |
| 20 | Q Correct. | 20 | Q Okay. And how about CNE? |
| 21 | A Yes. | 21 | A They do not. |
| 22 | Q What does it serve retail customers? | 22 | Q Does Constellation Energy Group or any of |
| 23 | A You started the question with "what." Is | 23 | its subsidiaries own generating assets? |
| 24 | the question does | 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | Q Strike the "what." Just does it serve | 25 | Q Could you tell me the approximate megawatts |
| | n 1 | | |
| | Dage 11 | | Page 13 |
| 1 | Page 11 | 1 | Page 13 owned by Constellation Energy Group and its |
| 1 | retail customers? | | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its |
| 2 | retail customers? A Yes. | 2 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? |
| 2 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? | 2 3 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here |
| 2 3 4 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service | 2 3 4 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is |
| 2 3 4 5 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. | 2 3 4 5 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I |
| 2 3 4 | retail customers?A Yes.Q Okay. In which utility service territory?A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory.Q Does it serve any other retail customers | 2 3 4 5 6 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? Q It could be a municipality or other | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? Q It could be a municipality or other location. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries a number that's publicly known? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? Q It could be a municipality or other location. A Constellation NewEnergy does not. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries a number that's publicly known? A I believe that it is the company |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? Q It could be a municipality or other location. A Constellation NewEnergy does not. Q Okay. And does Constellation NewEnergy | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries a number that's publicly known? A I believe that it is the company publicly acknowledged how many approximately how |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? Q It could be a municipality or other location. A Constellation NewEnergy does not. Q Okay. And does Constellation NewEnergy serve customers outside of Ohio? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries a number that's publicly known? A I believe that it is the company publicly acknowledged how many approximately how many megawatts it owns and the number of generating |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? Q It could be a municipality or other location. A Constellation NewEnergy does not. Q Okay. And does Constellation NewEnergy serve customers outside of Ohio? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries a number that's publicly known? A I believe that it is the company publicly acknowledged how many approximately how many megawatts it owns and the number of generating facilities or plants that it owns. I don't have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? Q It could be a municipality or other location. A Constellation NewEnergy does not. Q Okay. And does Constellation NewEnergy serve customers outside of Ohio? A Yes. Q Okay. What other states? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries a number that's publicly known? A I believe that it is the company publicly acknowledged how many approximately how many megawatts it owns and the number of generating facilities or plants that it owns. I don't have that either of those two numbers before me today, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? Q It could be a municipality or other location. A Constellation NewEnergy does not. Q Okay. And does Constellation NewEnergy serve customers outside of Ohio? A Yes. Q Okay. What other states? A Let's start from left to right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries a number that's publicly known? A I believe that it is the company publicly acknowledged how many approximately how many megawatts it owns and the number of generating facilities or plants that it owns. I don't have that either of those two numbers before me today, but I believe that information is generally publicly |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? Q It could be a municipality or other location. A Constellation NewEnergy does not. Q Okay. And does Constellation NewEnergy serve customers outside of Ohio? A Yes. Q Okay. What other states? A Let's start from left to right. California, Oregon, Texas, Illinois, Michigan, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries a number that's publicly known? A I believe that it is the company publicly acknowledged how many approximately how many megawatts it owns and the number of generating facilities or plants that it owns. I don't have that either of those two numbers before me today, but I believe that information is generally publicly available, probably even on our website. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | retail customers? A Yes. Q Okay. In which utility service territory? A Currently in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. Q Does it serve any other retail customers that are not located within a public utility service territory? A Do they serve customers not did you say not in a Duke Energy service territory or not in a utility service territory? Q Not in any public utility service territory. A So a customer of a co-op or something? Q It could be a municipality or other location. A Constellation NewEnergy does not. Q Okay. And does Constellation NewEnergy serve customers outside of Ohio? A Yes. Q Okay. What other states? A Let's start from left to right. California, Oregon, Texas, Illinois, Michigan, Pennsylvania, New York, New Jersey, Maine, Vermont, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries? MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object here on relevancy, and I guess at this point this is the difficulty of a telephone deposition because I have no communication with my client because I don't know whether this is a trade secret or proprietary information. I guess I will object on that basis as well. A I don't believe well, let me I think I could respond BY MR. PETRICOFF: Q The question to you, Mr. Fein, is first of all is the amount of megawatts owned by Constellation Energy Group and its subsidiaries a number that's publicly known? A I believe that it is the company publicly acknowledged how many approximately how many megawatts it owns and the number of generating facilities or plants that it owns. I don't have that either of those two numbers before me today, but I believe that information is generally publicly available, probably even on our website. MR. PETRICOFF: I withdraw the objection on |

| | Page 14 | | Page 1 |
|---------------|---|-----------|--|
| 1 | on the basis of relevancy. But I think the question | 1 | since I left my former position as regulatory |
| 2 | has been answered. | 2 | counsel. |
| 3 | BY MR. SHARKEY: | 3 | Q Did to your knowledge any of the |
| 4 | Q Do you know whether the generating assets | 4 | Constellation-related entities ever submit a bid in |
| 5 | owned by Constellation Energy Group and its | 5 | the DP&L voluntary enrollment program? |
| 6 | subsidiaries are principally coal-fired, gas-fired, | 6 | A I have no knowledge regarding that. That |
| 7 | nuclear, or some other fuel source? | 7 | predates my employ with the company even, I believ |
| 8 | MR. PETRICOFF: Once again, I object on | 8 | Q Do you know what the DP&L voluntary |
| 9 | relevancy, and you can answer if this is publicly | 9 | enrollment program is? |
| 10 | known. | 10 | A I didn't know anything about it until I saw |
| 11 | A I believe the mix is diverse. Again, also | 11 | some reference to it in one of the documents you |
| 12 | I think this is publicly known information. The | 12 | asked or you provided to counsel that you wanted |
| 13 | company owns nuclear generating assets, natural gas- | 1 | to review today. |
| 14 | fired assets, coal-fired assets, geothermal and | 14 | Q Okay. Has Constellation ever made efforts |
| 15 | probably other. | 15 | to your knowledge to solicit customers within the |
| 16 | BY MR. SHARKEY: | 16 | Dayton Power and Light service territory? |
| 17 | Q Does it have any generating assets within the state of Ohio | 17 | A I know that over time we certainly have |
| 18 | A No. | 18 19 | received inquiries from customers about whether we could serve them or there was any opportunity for |
| $ _{20}^{19}$ | Q that is, Constellation Energy Group or | 20 | customers to provide them any savings opportunities |
| 21 | its subsidiaries? | 20 | We are not a registered supplier with |
| 22 | A Constellation does not. | 21 | Dayton Power and Light, so we have never solicited |
| 23 | Q Does it have any generating assets in any | 23 | customers, but due to our footprint and our |
| 24 | of the states neighboring Ohio? | 24 | relationships with customers across the country, |
| 25 | A Yes. I believe they have generating assets | 25 | they're always asking whether we can serve them in |
| | N | | ###################################### |
| | Page 15 | - | Page 1' |
| | in Pennsylvania, if you consider that near Ohio. | 1 | other markets, and that's generally how those |
| 2 | Q That borders it. | 2 | opportunities have come across Constellation. |
| 3 | A That's what I thought. | 3 | I personally, you know, aren't involved in |
| 4 | Q Now, you have been a witness in other Ohio | 4 5 | the sales efforts of our company. So, you know, that's the extent of my knowledge. |
| 5 | electric utility ESP proceedings; is that right? A I have. | 5 6 | Q Do you know whether the determination was |
| 6 | | | made as to whether Constellation could profitably |
| | Q Did you testify in all three? | , 8 | strike that question. |
| 8 | A No. I did not testify in the Duke Energy ESP case because the parties entered into a | 。 9 | Do you know whether it was determined that |
| 10 | stipulation, but I did testify in the AEP and | 10 | Constellation or its affiliates could offer those |
| 11 | FirstEnergy ESP cases, as well as the FirstEnergy | 11 | companies a price that was lower than DP&L's price |
| 12^{11} | MRO case. | 12^{11} | to beat? |
| 13 | Q Okay. Other than those two, have you | 13^{12} | MR. PETRICOFF: I am going to object both |
| 14 | testified previously in regulatory proceedings? | 14 | on relevance and on trade secrets. You may answer |
| 15 | A I have. Not in Ohio but in other | 15 | the question if you can in a way which does not |
| 16 | jurisdictions. | 16 | reveal trade secrets. |
| 17 | Q In other jurisdictions? Do you know how | 17 | A I don't have any personal knowledge of any |
| 18 | often? | 18 | analysis or any review of that nature. I guess what |
| 19 | A I have testified in a few proceedings in | 19 | I could say is this. You know, we go into retail |
| 20 | Illinois and I believe one or two in Pennsylvania. | 20 | electric markets where we have an opportunity to |
| 21 | Q And has that testimony all been since you | 21 | provide value to customers, presumably to offer the |
| 22 | | 22 | savings potentially, and for us to serve customers, |
| | A That is correct. I think we might have had | 23 | you know, so that we make money. We are a |
| 23 | | | • • |
| 23 24 | a different title for the position instead of energy | 24 | for-profit business. So where those opportunities |
| | took your position as VP of energy policy? | 22 | savings potentially, and for us to serve customer you know, so that we make money. We are a |

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

| | | | 1 | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | | Page 18 | | Page 20 |
| | 1 | viable opportunity. | 1 | Dayton Power and Light Company have a POLR charge |
| | 2 | Q Your testimony, generally speaking, deals | 2 | A I believe whether it's called a POLR charge |
| | 3 | with two subjects, I think, The Dayton Power and | 3 | or not, all of the Ohio EDCs have something akin to |
| | 4 | Light Company rate stabilization charges and | 4 | one that they well, let me clarify that. |
| | 5 | proposal to defer certain fuel costs. I want to | 5 | They either have a charge that is the POLR |
| | 6 | start with the former piece, the piece dealing with | 6 | rate that a customer pays when they return. Ohio |
| | 7 | the rate stabilization charge. | 7 | has structured the POLR very different than |
| | 8 | My first question as to that charge is do | 8 | basically every other competitive retail state that |
| | 9 | you understand that that charge was designed to | 9 | I'm familiar with. There is a rate that a returning |
| 1 | 10 | compensate DP&L's POLR risk at least to the extent | 10 | customer pays, which may or may not be the same as |
| | | that charge is paid by switching customers? | 11 | the SSO rate. |
| : | 12 | A I don't know how it was designed by Dayton. | 12 | Q Do you know whether any other Ohio utility |
| : | | All I know is what I read in the order in the court | 13 | charged to shopping customers a fixed rate that is |
| - | | case, that it talked about two things, rate | 14 | intended to cover POLR costs and risks? |
| | | stability as well as compensating purportedly | 15 | A Are you talking current? Are you talking |
| 1 | | compensating the company for providing the POLR | 16 | going forward? |
| | | service. As I read the order in the Supreme Court | 17 | Q Currently. |
| | | case, there are two purposes for that RSS charge. | 18 | A Yeah, AEP utilities charge a POLR charge. |
| | 19 | Q Okay. You understand, don't you, that The | 19 | Q How about FirstEnergy or Duke? |
| | | Dayton Power and Light Company does have a statutory | 20 | A I don't know if FirstEnergy calls it |
| | | POLR obligation? | 21 | well, maybe they do call it a POLR charge currently |
| | 22 | A Yes, I am aware of that. | 22 | as we know that. And Duke, I don't believe they |
| | 23 | Q And the presence of that obligation creates | 23 | call it a POLR charge. I believe they have a rate |
| 1 | | costs and/or risks for The Dayton Power and Light | 24 | that you pay. You have an option to pay it as a |
| 4 | 25 | Company? | 25 | shopping customer, which entitles you to return at |
| | | Page 19 | | Page 21 |
| | 1 | A I think I would agree that it certainly | 1 | that rate. If you choose not to pay it, then you |
| | 2 | imposes potential costs. Whether or not a POLR by | 2 | return at some market-based return. |
| | | definition subjects the company to risks is all a | 3 | Q Okay. Let's focus on Duke for a moment. |
| | 4 | function of how that POLR is structured. | 4 | Do you know whether if the customer elects to pay |
| E | 5 | | | bo you mon memor a me eustemer ereets to pay |
| | | Q Do you understand that currently the rate | 5 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed |
| | | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company | 1 | • |
| | 7 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's | 1 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization |
| | 7 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? | 1 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? |
| | 7 8 9 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice | 6 7 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. |
| | 7 8 9 10 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that | 6 7 8 9 10 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? |
| 1 | 7 8 9 10 11 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of |
| 1 | 7 8 9 10 11 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly |
| 1 | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. |
| 1 1 1 1 1 | 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer when market prices are higher than DP&L's standard | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy also had a POLR charge? |
| | 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer when market prices are higher than DP&L's standard service offer, correct? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy also had a POLR charge? A I believe they have some form of one, yes. |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer when market prices are higher than DP&L's standard service offer, correct? A That is a possibility, yes, by the way it's | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy also had a POLR charge? A I believe they have some form of one, yes. Q Okay. Have you compared that to DP&L's? |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer when market prices are higher than DP&L's standard service offer, correct? A That is a possibility, yes, by the way it's structured, yes. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy also had a POLR charge? A I believe they have some form of one, yes. Q Okay. Have you compared the two. |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer when market prices are higher than DP&L's standard service offer, correct? A That is a possibility, yes, by the way it's structured, yes. Q And it's also true, isn't it, that neither | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy also had a POLR charge? A I believe they have some form of one, yes. Q Okay. Have you compared the two. Q Is it your understanding that Dayton Power |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer when market prices are higher than DP&L's standard service offer, correct? A That is a possibility, yes, by the way it's structured, yes. Q And it's also true, isn't it, that neither CNE nor CCG has a POLR risk the way Dayton Power and | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy also had a POLR charge? A I believe they have some form of one, yes. Q Okay. Have you compared the two. Q Is it your understanding that Dayton Power and Light Company's currently existing rate plan |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer when market prices are higher than DP&L's standard service offer, correct? A That is a possibility, yes, by the way it's structured, yes. Q And it's also true, isn't it, that neither CNE nor CCG has a POLR risk the way Dayton Power and Light Company does? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy also had a POLR charge? A I believe they have some form of one, yes. Q Okay. Have you compared the two. Q Is it your understanding that Dayton Power and Light Company's currently existing rate plan provides the rate stabilization charges to be |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer when market prices are higher than DP&L's standard service offer, correct? A That is a possibility, yes, by the way it's structured, yes. Q And it's also true, isn't it, that neither CNE nor CCG has a POLR risk the way Dayton Power and Light Company does? A Neither CNE nor CCG have a franchise | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy also had a POLR charge? A I believe they have some form of one, yes. Q Okay. Have you compared the two. Q Is it your understanding that Dayton Power and Light Company's currently existing rate plan provides the rate stabilization charges to be non-bypassable? |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 22 22 22 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer when market prices are higher than DP&L's standard service offer, correct? A That is a possibility, yes, by the way it's structured, yes. Q And it's also true, isn't it, that neither CNE nor CCG has a POLR risk the way Dayton Power and Light Company does? A Neither CNE nor CCG have a franchise monopoly in Ohio, that's right. So we don't have a | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy also had a POLR charge? A I believe they have some form of one, yes. Q Okay. Have you compared the two. Q Is it your understanding that Dayton Power and Light Company's currently existing rate plan provides the rate stabilization charges to be non-bypassable? A That's my understanding of it, yes. |
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 22 22 22 | structure for The Dayton Power and Light Company permits customers to return to service at DP&L's fixed standard service offer generation rates? A Subject to a number of switching and notice and enrollment rules, yes, I understand how that currently applies. Q And that structure creates risks for The Dayton Power and Light Company that the customer might elect to return to DP&L standard service offer when market prices are higher than DP&L's standard service offer, correct? A That is a possibility, yes, by the way it's structured, yes. Q And it's also true, isn't it, that neither CNE nor CCG has a POLR risk the way Dayton Power and Light Company does? A Neither CNE nor CCG have a franchise | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | the rate and have the right to return at a fixed standard offer, is the rate that they pay bigger than or smaller than the DP&L rate stabilization charge? A I have not compared the two. Q Same question as to AEP? A I have not compared the two for purposes of this testimony. I believe currently AEP is fairly low to my recollection. Q And I believe you said that FirstEnergy also had a POLR charge? A I believe they have some form of one, yes. Q Okay. Have you compared the two. Q Is it your understanding that Dayton Power and Light Company's currently existing rate plan provides the rate stabilization charges to be non-bypassable? |

| <u> </u> | Page 22 | | Page 24 | Ĩ |
|----------|---|----------|--|---|
| 1 | Commission is obligated to continue DP&L'S rate plan | 1 | That sentence provides DP&L may seek | |
| 2 | in effect until the date it's scheduled to | 2 | incremental recovery of certain costs, correct? | |
| 3 | terminate? | 3 | A Yes. | |
| 4 | A I believe I don't see that word | 4 | Q And those costs are incremental costs | |
| 5 | obligated in that section that you're quoting. I | 5 | associated with providing standard service offer | |
| 6 | see words there that say it shall continue in | 6 | under 4928.141? | |
| 7 | effect, and there's also the final sentence of that | 7 | A Yes. | |
| 8 | section that talks about when the utility makes a | 8 | Q And of providing alternative advanced | |
| 9 | filing under this section, that the Commission may | 9 | energy, alternative energy, whichever it was, under | : |
| 10 | approve, modify and approve or disapprove certain | 10 | 4928.64? | |
| 11 | other things here. | 11 | A Yes. | |
| 12 | Q Well, let's break that down. Let's start | 12 | Q And providing energy efficiency and deman | d |
| 13 | with the first clause you referred to, and I am | 13 | response programs under 4928.66? | |
| 14 | going to start in one of the e-mails to you is | 14 | A Correct. | |
| 15 | the fourth line of the document. If you are looking | 15 | Q Do you believe that the Commission has | |
| 16 | at that copy, if you can look for the words revised | 16 | jurisdiction to alter the rate stabilization charge | |
| 17 | code, it begins, "That rate plan and its terms and | 17 | contained in DP&L's currently existing rate plan? A I do. | |
| 18 | conditions are hereby incorporated into its proposed Electric Security Plan and shall continue in effect | 18 19 | Q What's the basis? | |
| 20 | until the date scheduled under the rate plan or its | 19 20 | A The basis is that the company in this | |
| 21 | expiration." | 20 | filing is proposing to alter the terms of that | |
| 22 | A I'm sorry, where are you reading? | 22 | charge as outlined. This is as I understand the | |
| 23 | Q I am reading from Ohio Revised Code section | 23 | filing. The company is proposing to alter the rate | |
| 24 | symbol 4928.143(D). | 24 | that the POLR rate for that returning customer. | |
| 25 | A Did you say what letter, I'm sorry? | 25 | So as I understand the company's filing in | |
| | | | | - |
| | Page 23 | | Page 25 | ſ |
| | Q D as in dog. | 1 | this case, the company on its own is proposing to | |
| 2 | A Okay. | 2 | alter the manner in which the RSS charge is applied to customers and the terms and conditions of that | |
| 3 | Q And I believe you were referring to that same section when you were | 3 4 | tariff as outlined in the red line version of that | |
| 45 | A I was. I just I didn't hear what you | - 5 | tariff that's part of the company's filing. | |
| | said. | 6 | Q And how does that lead you to the | |
| 7 | Q It's a clause that's in the middle of the | 7 | conclusion that the Commission has jurisdiction to | |
| 8 | first sentence. | 8 | alter terms of the Dayton Power and Light Company's | |
| 9 | A Yep. | 9 | existing rate plan? | |
| 10 | Q It begins "That rate plan"? | 10 | A Because The Dayton Power and Light Company | / |
| 11 | A Yep. | 11 | is essentially asking the Commission to alter that | |
| 12 | Q Do you consider the word shall to be a | 12 | term of its existing rate plan by virtue of | |
| 13 | mandatory term? | 13 | submitting the red line tariff. | |
| 1.4 | A Yes. Those terms of the rate plan shall | 14 | Q Any other basis for that conclusion? | |
| 15 | continue in effect. | 15 | A I think it speaks for itself otherwise. | |
| 16 | Q Okay. In your prior answer you referred to | 16 | I'm not following why the company would have filed | |
| 17 | the Commission's rights to approve, modify, or | 17 | such significant, substantive changes to a tariff if | |
| 18 | disapprove certain items? | 18 | they weren't seeking Commission approval of that | ľ |
| 19 | A Yes. | 19 | tariff, and they have submitted those tariffs for | |
| 20 | Q The rate stabilization charge let me | 20 | approval in this case. | |
| 21 | strike that. | 21 | Q My question to you then is is there any | |
| 22 | Do you know whether the rate stabilization | 22 | other reason that you believe that the Commission | |
| 23 | charge falls within the scope of any of the three | 23 | has authority to alter the existing rate plan Dayton | |
| 24 | listed items that the Commission strike that | | Power and Light Company has in effect other than | |
| 100 | again. | 25 | what you have already identified? | 1 |

| | | 1 | |
|----------------------|---|----------------|---|
| | Page 26 | | Page 28 |
| | A I think the Commission has their general | 1 | A It affects Constellation to the extent that |
| | 2 and broad authority to ensure just and reasonable | 2 | that is a competitive impediment to a customer |
| | 3 rates for consumers, and since the company has | 3 | exercising choice, yes. |
| | a proposed to alter the terms and conditions of a | 4 | Q If The Dayton Power and Light Company was |
| | 5 particular rate and charge that is seeking | 5 | to recover the fuel cost as incurred, would |
| (| 5 Commission approval in this case, that the | 6 | Constellation oppose The Dayton Power and Light |
| • | 7 Commission retains that jurisdiction. | 7 | Company's request? |
| | B Q Okay. Anything else? | 8 | THE WITNESS: Could you read that back one |
| | A No. | 9 | more I am not sure I got it all. |
| 11 | Q Let me ask you about your testimony about | 10 | (Question read.) |
| 11 | | 11 | A When you say request, are you referring to |
| 12 | | 12 | this aspect of the request? |
| 11: | Q As an initial matter, am I correct that you | 13 | BY MR. SHARKEY: |
| 14 | | 14 | Q Request is probably a poor choice of words, |
| 11 | 11 / | 15 | so let me phrase it differently. |
| 110 | | 16 | Would Constellation oppose the recovery of |
| 1' | | 17 | fuel costs above and beyond what's in current rates |
| 118 | • • • | 18 | by The Dayton Power and Light Company as DP&L incu |
| 11 | | 19 | those costs, meaning no deferral? |
| 20 | | 20 | A Constellation would certainly prefer that |
| 22 | | 21 | there wasn't a mandatory deferral of fuel costs. I |
| 22 | | 22 | am not certain I'm answering your question. |
| 23 | — | 23 | Q No, I don't think that does answer the |
| 24 | So, yes, we do not support the use of | 24 | question. |
| 25 | | 25 | A Because I'm struggling with what you're |
| [| Page 27 | | Page 29 |
| . | which if the Commission believes it's appropriate to | 1 | trying to gather. Was your question going to the |
| | | 2 | amount of it or just as a matter of rate design? |
| | | 3 | Q The question is more of a matter of rate |
| | | | design, and if it matters to your answer, please let |
| 1 | 5 pay the interest on that deferral or whether the | 5 | me know, but the question was if there was a |
| | 5 customer wishes to pay the actual costs associated | 6 | provision that entitled DP&L to recover some amou |
| 1 | with that fuel-related expense. | 7 | of fuel cost above what Dayton Power and Light |
| 8 | | 8 | Company is currently receiving and that was a |
| | · · · · · · · · | 9 | current recovery, meaning no deferral, would |
| 10 | | 10 | Constellation oppose it? |
| 11 | · · · | | A We would look more favorably on that type |
| 12 | | 12 | of mechanism than the one that's been proposed. |
| 1. | • • • • | 13 | Q I still don't think that answers my |
| 14 | | 14 | question. Would Constellation oppose it? |
| 19 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 15 | A You know, with the one-sentence manner in |
| 16 | | 16 | which you have outlined it, I can't answer that |
| 17 | - | 17 | question. |
| | 170 | 18 | Q What else would you need to know? |
| 18 | commonly of electricity. They are paying for in a | | A Are you saying that it's a non-bypassable |
| 18 | | 19 | A Are you saying that it's a non-oypassable |
| 1 | the current state, they would not be paying the true | 19 20 | |
| 19 | the current state, they would not be paying the true cost. Those costs would be deferred out into the | | fuel charge paid now by everyone? |
| 19 20 | the current state, they would not be paying the true cost. Those costs would be deferred out into the future, and it's just not a it's not the use | 20 | fuel charge paid now by everyone? Q I am saying it's a bypassable fuel charge. |
| 19 20 21 | the current state, they would not be paying the true cost. Those costs would be deferred out into the future, and it's just not a it's not the use of deferrals is antithetical to a functioning retail | 20 21 | fuel charge paid now by everyone?Q I am saying it's a bypassable fuel charge.A It's a bypassable fuel charge that applies |
| 19 20 21 22 | the current state, they would not be paying the true cost. Those costs would be deferred out into the future, and it's just not a it's not the use of deferrals is antithetical to a functioning retail market because it obscures the actual cost that a | 20 21 22 | fuel charge paid now by everyone? Q I am saying it's a bypassable fuel charge. |

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

·

| | Page 30 | | Page 32 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | 4928.143(D). | 1 | A I am not intimately familiar with the |
| 2 | A I'm there. | 2 | company's billing system. |
| 3 | Q Okay. It refers at the end of the first | 3 | Q Let's assume that it is not capable of |
| 4 | line, wrapping on down to the second line, to | 4 | doing so. Who do you believe should pay the expense |
| 5 | electric distribution utility that has a rate plan | 5 | associated with modifying DP&L's billing system to |
| 6 | that extends beyond December 31, 2008? | 6 | create that functionality? |
| 7 | A Yes, | 7 | A To the extent that there are any costs |
| 8 | Q Do you know whether any utility in Ohio had | 8 | associated with adding this functionality to the |
| 9 | such a rate plan besides Dayton Power and Light | 9 | billing system, I assume that a cost like that would |
| 10 | Company? | 10 | be something that is recovered in rates, and it |
| 11 | A No. It's my understanding this is The | 11 | being the billing system, I assume that's handled as |
| 12 | Dayton Power and Light Company only provision. | 12 | a distribution expense. I don't know. |
| 13 | Q And there's the clause at the end, the last | 13 | Q Okay. You described a similar plan was |
| 14 | sentence in that section that begins with the word | 14 | implemented in the state of Maryland? |
| 15 | "However"? | 15 | A Not for fuel but for you know, a |
| 16 | A Yes. | 16 | phase-in, a deferral of rates, yes. |
| 17 | Q And paraphrasing pieces of that, that | 17 | Q Can you describe for me how that works in |
| 18 | section permits The Dayton Power and Light Company | 18 | terms of how the option was provided to Maryland |
| 19 | to seek the incremental recovery or the deferral of | 19 | retail customers? |
| 20 | costs that The Dayton Power and Light Company incurs | 20 | A Yes. A customer was notified that they had |
| 21 | to provide standard service offer under 4928.141 to | 21 | the option to phase in known rate increases, and |
| 22 | the extent those costs aren't being recovered under | 22 | they had an opportunity to opt into that, which |
| 23 | DP&L's current rate plan? | 23 | would then require them to pay interest on that |
| 24 | A Yes. | 24 | deferred balance for a certain period of time. |
| 25 | Q Do you agree that fuel is a type of cost | 25 | There were some terms and conditions around |
| | Page 31 | | Page 33 |
| 1 | that would fall within that section? | 1 | what would happen if a customer you know, I can' |
| 2 | A That certainly is conceivable, yes. The | 2 | recall the term of the phase-in, but I seem to |
| 3 | term cost is not defined, but it certainly seems | 3 | recall it was a two- or three-year phase-in, so that |
| 4 | like a typical type of cost that you could that | 4 | |
| | utilities traditionally who have fuel riders seek | 5 | customer wanted to terminate their participation at |
| 6 | recovery of, yes. | | some period of time. |
| 7 | Q And other than fuel, do you know whether | 7 | I'm probably more familiar with a similar |
| 8 | The Dayton Power and Light Company at the time that | 8 | mechanism that was put in place in Illinois. |
| 9 | this section was enacted had received any | 9 | Q Let's stay with Maryland for just a second, |
| 10 | significant increases in other costs associated with | 10 | and then we will move to Illinois. |
| 11 | providing standard service offer? | 11 | How was notice provided, if you know, to |
| 12 | A Am I aware of whether there are any other | 12 | individual customers? |
| | | 13 | A I don't recall the actual form of notice, |
| 13 | types of costs that the company has incurred, | | |
| 13 14 | significant costs over and above what's in the rate | 14 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a |
| 13 14 15 | significant costs over and above what's in the rate plan? Was that essentially your question? | 14 15 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a note on a bill or whether there was any separate |
| 13 14 15 16 | significant costs over and above what's in the rate plan? Was that essentially your question? Q Correct. It's a do you know question. | 14 15 16 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a note on a bill or whether there was any separate mailing. I don't recall how that was communicated. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | significant costs over and above what's in the rateplan? Was that essentially your question?Q Correct. It's a do you know question.A I am not aware of any if there are, not to | 14 15 16 17 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a note on a bill or whether there was any separate mailing. I don't recall how that was communicated. I don't know |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | significant costs over and above what's in the rate plan? Was that essentially your question?Q Correct. It's a do you know question.A I am not aware of any if there are, not to say that there aren't any. I am just not aware. | 14 15 16 17 18 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a note on a bill or whether there was any separate mailing. I don't recall how that was communicated. I don't know Q Do you know |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | significant costs over and above what's in the rate plan? Was that essentially your question? Q Correct. It's a do you know question. A I am not aware of any if there are, not to say that there aren't any. I am just not aware. Q Let me go back to your proposal that | 14 15 16 17 18 19 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a note on a bill or whether there was any separate mailing. I don't recall how that was communicated. I don't know Q Do you know A I was going to say |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | significant costs over and above what's in the rate plan? Was that essentially your question? Q Correct. It's a do you know question. A I am not aware of any if there are, not to say that there aren't any. I am just not aware. Q Let me go back to your proposal that customers have an option to pay fuel costs as they | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a note on a bill or whether there was any separate mailing. I don't recall how that was communicated. I don't know Q Do you know A I was going to say Q what the presumption was if a customer |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | significant costs over and above what's in the rate plan? Was that essentially your question? Q Correct. It's a do you know question. A I am not aware of any if there are, not to say that there aren't any. I am just not aware. Q Let me go back to your proposal that customers have an option to pay fuel costs as they are incurred or to defer those fuel costs. | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a note on a bill or whether there was any separate mailing. I don't recall how that was communicated. I don't know Q Do you know A I was going to say Q what the presumption was if a customer didn't respond to the notice? |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | significant costs over and above what's in the rate plan? Was that essentially your question? Q Correct. It's a do you know question. A I am not aware of any if there are, not to say that there aren't any. I am just not aware. Q Let me go back to your proposal that customers have an option to pay fuel costs as they are incurred or to defer those fuel costs. A Yes. | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a note on a bill or whether there was any separate mailing. I don't recall how that was communicated. I don't know Q Do you know A I was going to say Q what the presumption was if a customer didn't respond to the notice? A I seem to recall that you had to opt into |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | significant costs over and above what's in the rate plan? Was that essentially your question? Q Correct. It's a do you know question. A I am not aware of any if there are, not to say that there aren't any. I am just not aware. Q Let me go back to your proposal that customers have an option to pay fuel costs as they are incurred or to defer those fuel costs. A Yes. Q My question is do you know whether DP&L's | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a note on a bill or whether there was any separate mailing. I don't recall how that was communicated. I don't know Q Do you know A I was going to say Q what the presumption was if a customer didn't respond to the notice? A I seem to recall that you had to opt into it, so that if you did not take advantage of the |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | significant costs over and above what's in the rate plan? Was that essentially your question? Q Correct. It's a do you know question. A I am not aware of any if there are, not to say that there aren't any. I am just not aware. Q Let me go back to your proposal that customers have an option to pay fuel costs as they are incurred or to defer those fuel costs. A Yes. | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | whether that came in the form of a bill insert or a note on a bill or whether there was any separate mailing. I don't recall how that was communicated. I don't know Q Do you know A I was going to say Q what the presumption was if a customer didn't respond to the notice? A I seem to recall that you had to opt into |

| 4 customer had to opt into the deferred payment 4 residential customers in Ohio. 5 arrangement, and if a customer didn't, they would 5 Q Does Constellation or any of the affil 6 offer, you would then be responsible for the balance 5 Q Does Constellation or any of the affil 10 So, in other words, basically it would be 1 Inities serve residential customers anywher 11 like an accelerated payment if you didn't stay 1 I do not believe that Constellation 12 within the terms of the loan essentially that the 0 Constellation does supply the electricity that 13 deferral. 1 Q Can you tell me why Constellation the 14 deferral. 1 got me why Constellation the got me why Constellation the 14 in think it was something that appeard on the bill, 1 got may was offering, you know, in the form of 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 1 segments in focusing on the constellation if you can do so 16 in think it was something that appeared on the bill, 1 MR. PETRICOFF: I object on relevan 17 M I believe the nonresidential customers, bat at the searangem | | Page 34 | | Page : | 36 |
|--|---------|---|----|---|----------|
| 2you know about Illinois.2residential customers had to opic into the deferred payment3A Illinois, it was a similar mechanism. ACan Sorry. No, we do not currently s4customer had to opic into the deferred paymentA Oh, sorry. No, we do not currently s5arrangement, and if a customer didn't, they wouldDoes Constellation or any of the affil6offer, you would then be responsible for the balanceDoes Constellation or any of the affil9on your deferred amount.Constellation does supply the electricity tha10So, in other words, basically it would beIt like an accelerated payment if you didn't stay11like an accelerated payment if you didn't stayConstellation does supply the electricity tha12within the terms of the loen essentially that theConstellation does supply the electricity tha13T A I believe the notice came in the form ofCan you tell me why Constellation the14deferral.I believe the notice came in the form ofYou may answer the question if you can doe15Q And how was notice provided to individualI wou films markets, and, you know, flus farYou may answer the question if you can doe15Q And hor the nonresidential customers, becauseYou may answer the question if you can doeYou may answer the question if you can doe20Seem to sight and the deferred? What happened to them?A You know, flus farYou attomey flocked on21required to pay the increase up front or were theySegments that we focused on.You may answer floce the reidential customers? | 1 | O And then why don't you tell me about what | 1 | O I misspoke. I meant to say the word | ļ |
| 3 A Illinois, it was a similar mechanism. A 3 A Oh, sorry. No, we do not currently s 4 customer had to opt into the deferred payment 6 residential customers in Ohio. 5 arrangement, and if a customer didn't, they would be 6 7 Constellation or any of the affile 6 offer, you would then be responsible for the balance 9 on your deferred amount. 9 A I do not believe that Constellation 10 So, in other words, basically it would be 1 1 6 A I do not believe that Constellation tullites 12 within the terms of the loan essentially that the 1 1 10 Constellation does supply the electricity that 13 Gondernal. 9 directly serves residential customers. 14 0 Canstellation does supply the electricity that 14 deferral. 1 < | 1 | · · · · | 1 | | |
| 4 customer had to opt into the deferred payment 4 residential customers in Ohio. 5 arrangement, and if a customer didn't, they would 5 Q Does Constellation or any of the affil 6 offer, you would then be responsible for the balance 5 Q Does Constellation or any of the affil 10 So, in other words, basically it would be 11 Inities serve residential customers anywher 11 Bike an accelerated payment if you and it say 6 O Constellation does supply the electricity tha 12 within the terms of the loan essentially that the 0 Constellation does supply the electricity tha 13 company was offering, you know, in the form of 12 Can you tell me why Constellation the 14 deferral. 12 Q Can you tell me why Constellation the 14 deferral. 12 You may answer the question if you can do so 13 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 14 14 16 in think it was something that appeared on the bill, 19 you may answer the question if you can do so 16 in sort of the note section of the bill, and I also 12 Now, Constellation stared and i | | • | 3 | A Oh, sorry. No, we do not currently serve | |
| 5 arrangement, and if a customer didn't, they would 5 Q Does Constellation or any of the affil 6 apy the full retail rate and that if you left the 5 Q Does Constellation or any of the affil 6 offer, you would then be responsible for the balance 6 finite serve residential customers anywher 7 So, in other words, basically it would be 1 It do not believe that Constellation 11 Ke an accelerated payment if you didn't stay 1 1 I arge number of electricity that the 12 offer, you would was notice provided to individual 1 1 I arge number of electricity that the 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 1 1 I arge number of electricity that was commers? 16 ustomers? 1 Q Can you tell me why Constellation has service of directly to residential customers? 18 I think it was something that appeared on the bill, and I also 9 violating trade secrets. 2 both of these is that these arrangements were only 2 A You know, fill answer as best I can. 2 both of the nore sidential customers had at the trade something that uppened to ther? 1 Thaf's not to say we haven't looked at 2 | 4 | • | 4 | | |
| 6pay the full retail rate and that if you left the r company's - what is akin to their standard service 6 offer, you would then be responsible for the balance 9 on your deferred amount.6entities serve residential customers anywher 7 the Continental United States?10So, in other words, basically it would be 11 like an accelerated payment if you didn't stay 12 within the terms of the loan essentially that the 12 company was offering, you know, in the form of the 14 deferral.11 <t< td=""><td>5</td><td></td><td>5</td><td>Q Does Constellation or any of the affiliated</td><td></td></t<> | 5 | | 5 | Q Does Constellation or any of the affiliated | |
| 7company's what is akin to their standard service7the Continental United States?8offer, you would then be responsible for the balance7the Continental United States?9on your deferred amount.9a I do not believe that Constellation10So, in other words, basically it would be11like an accelerated payment if you didn't stay11like an accelerated payment if you didn't stay11like an accelerated payment if you didn't stay12within the terms of the loan essentially that the12like an accelerated payment if you didn't stay13company was offering, you know, in the form of the13like an accelerated payment if you and of the standard service14deferral.QCan you tell me why Constellation utilities15Q And how was notice provided to individual14Q16nextormers?17M. PETRICOFF: I object on relevan18in sort of the note section of the bill, and I also13like an accelerate, and, you know, thus far21the only difference, just to be procise.20A ' You know, That's not to say we haven't looked at25Q And for the nonresidential customers, because1That's not to say we haven't looked at26of deferral because those customers had at the time1That's not to say we haven't looked at26A Mell, the norresidential customers, because1That's not to say we haven't looked at271required to pay the increase up front or were they23at | 6 | | 6 | entities serve residential customers anywhere with | in |
| 6 offer, you would then be responsible for the balance 8 A I do not believe that Constellation 9 on your deferred amount. 9 A I do not believe that Constellation 10 So, in other words, basically it would be 10 Constellation does supply the electricity that 11 like an accelerated payment if you didn't stay 10 Constellation does supply the electricity the 12 within the terms of the loan essentially that the 10 Constellation does supply the electricity the 12 deferral. 11 Iarge number of electric distribution utilities 13 ornewers? 11 residential customers? 14 deferral. 2 utilize to meet their obligations to serve 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 13 for the note section of the bill, and I also 15 no sort of the note section of the bill, and I also 13 you may answer the question if you can do section 14 the only difference, just to be precise. 20 A You know, I'll answer as best I can. 15 required to pay the increase up front or were they 22 segments that we focused on. 16 nothat type of ferred? Whath appened to them? | 7 | • • | 7 | | |
| 9 on your deferred amount. So, in other words, basically it would be 10 So, in other words, basically it would be 11 likes an accelerated payment if you didn't stay 12 within the terms of the loan essentially that the 13 company was offering, you know, in the form of the 14 deferral. 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 16 customers? 17 A I believe the notice came in the form of the- 18 I think it was something that appeared on the bill, 19 in sort of the note section of the bill, and I also 20 seem to recall a separate mailing. And I guess the 21 two things that I did not mention that is common to 22 both of these is that these arrangements were only 23 for the residential customer class. That's probably 24 the only difference, just to be precise. 25 Q And for the nonresidential customers, because 23 industrial markets, and, you know, thus far 24 utimatically deferred? What happened to them? 3 A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 supplier. 9 uportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 on insuse for them. 17 Q Okay, Does 18 A And that 19 on classing? | 8 | | 8 | A I do not believe that Constellation | |
| 10So, in other words, basically it would be10Constellation does supply the electricity tha11like an accelerated payment if you didn't stay11large number of electric distribution utilities12within the terms of the loan essentially that the12utilize to meet their obligations to serve13Q And how was notice provided to individual14Q Can you tell me why Constellation the14deferral.Q Can you tell me why Constellation if you can do set of the note section of the bill, and I also15in sort of the note section of the bill, and I alsoyou may answer the question if you can do set of dicerts location started and its predeces15Q And for the norresidentials, were they20A You know, fill answer as best I can.21the only difference, just to be precise.20A You know, thus far23for the norresidential customers, because1That's not to say we haven't looked at the time24the only difference? What happened to them?3A Well, the norresidential customers, because3A Well, the norresidential customers, add at with to be1That's not to say we haven't looked at the time?3opportunities to take service from a competitive3A No that -9Q Correct.0A You10If they chose to stay with the utility, the1111largest customers, did not have a fixed price utility1012offering. It was only an hourly product so that13nonissue for them.14Q Can you describe for | 9 | | | directly serves residential customers, but | |
| 11 like an accelerated payment if you didn't stay 11 large number of electric distribution utilities 12 within the terms of the loan essentially that the 12 utilize to meet their obligations to serve 13 company was offering, you know, in the form of the 13 residential customers. 14 deferral. 14 Q Can you tell me why Constellation hat 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 16 directly to residential customers. 16 toting trade secrets. 17 A I believe the notice came in the form of 18 19 in sort of the note section of the bill, and latso 19 violating trade secrets. 20 secme to recail a separate mailing. And I guess the 20 A You know, Till answer as best I can. 21 two things that I did not mention that is common to 14 know, Constellation started and its predeces 25 Q And for the nonresidential customers, because 24 throughout our history those are the custome 23 industrial markets, there was no need to offer that type opportunities to take service from a competitive 1 That's not to say we haven't looked at 2 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 | 10 | • | 10 | Constellation does supply the electricity that a | |
| 12 within the terms of the loan essentially that the 12 utilize to meet their obligations to serve 13 company was offering, you know, in the form of the 14 Q Can you tell me why Constellation had 14 deferral. 14 Q Can you tell me why Constellation had 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 15 gotten into the builings service. 16 Linkink twas something that appeared on the bill, 19 insort of the note section of the bill, and I also 19 oscent to recall a separate mailing. And I guess the 10 New, Constellation started and its predeces 20 both of these is that these arrangements were only 21 know, Constellation started and its predeces 21 two things that I did not mention that is common to 21 know, Constellation started and its predeces 22 O And for the nonresidentials, were they 23 automatically deferred? What happened to them? 3 A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 1 That's not to say we haven't looked at 2 opportunities to take service from a competitive 3 A To serve residential customers? 9 Q Correct. 10 A If there are, I haven't seen them. | 11 | · · · · · | 11 | large number of electric distribution utilities | |
| 13 company was offering, you know, in the form of the 14 13 residential customers. 14 Q Can you tell me why Constellation the 15 Q Can you tell me why Constellation the 15 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 16 14 Q Can you tell me why Constellation the 15 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 16 13 residential customers. 16 directly to residential customers? 14 Q Can you tell me why Constellation the 15 16 directly to residential customers? 16 directly to residential customers? 17 A I believe the notice came in the form of 18 in the was something that appeared on the bill, 19 in substance of the note section of the bill, 10 in the using on the commercial at 14 in the using on the commercial at 15 21 two things that I did not mention that is common to 22 both of these is that these arrangements were only 23 for the nonresidential sustomer class. That's probably 24 the only difference, just to be precise. 25 Q And for the nonresidentials, were they 24 throughout our history those are the customer 25 required to pay the increase up front or were they 24 industrial markets, and, you know, thus far 24 throughout our history those are the customer 25 opportunities where they make sense, but to 26 26 of deferra | 12 | | 12 | - | |
| 14 deferral. 14 Q Can you tell me why Constellation in the summers? 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 16 customers? 16 customers? 16 directly to residential customers? 17 A I believe the notice came in the form of 16 directly to residential customers? 18 I think it was something that appeared on the bill, 19 iolating trade secrets. 20 seem to recail a separate mailing. And I guess the 19 violating trade secrets. 21 two things that I did not mention that is common to 18 you may answer the question if you can do si 22 both of these is that these arrangements were only 20 A You know, Constellation started and its predeces 22 companies in focusing on the commercial at 21 industrial markets, and, you know, thus far 24 the only difference, just to be precise. 22 companies that we focused on. 25 Q And for the norresidential customers, because 1 That's not to say we haven't looked at 25 at continue to have an array of competitive 5 Q Have there been any public announce 3 A Well, the nonresidential customers, becau | 13 | • | 13 | residential customers. | |
| 15 Q And how was notice provided to individual 15 gotten into the business of providing service 16 customers? 17 A I believe the notice came in the form of 18 18 I think it was something that appeared on the bill, 19 in certification of the note section of the bill, and I also 20 seem to recall a separate mailing. And I guess the 19 violating trade secrets. 21 two things that I did not mention that is common to 14 know, Constellation started and its predeces 22 both of these is that these arrangements were only 20 companies in focusing on the commercial at 23 for the residential customer class. That's probably 22 companies in focusing on the commercial at 24 the only difference, just to be precise. 25 Q And for the nonresidential customers, because 25 25 Q And for the nonresidential customers, because 1 That's not to say we haven't looked at 2 opportunities where they make sense, but to 3 have not expanded into residential markets i 3 A Well, the nonresidential customers had at the time 7 M Cofferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competi | 14 | | 14 | Q Can you tell me why Constellation hasn't | |
| 16 customers? 17 A I believe the notice came in the form of | 15 | Q And how was notice provided to individual | 15 | gotten into the business of providing service | |
| 18 I think it was something that appeared on the bill, 19 in sort of the note section of the bill, and I also 20 seem to recall a separate mailing. And I guess the 21 two things that I did not mention that is common to 22 both of these is that these arrangements were only 23 for the residential customer class. That's probably 24 the only difference, just to be precise. 25 Q And for the nonresidentials, were they 26 A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 up Carrect. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. | 16 | | 16 | directly to residential customers? | |
| 19 in sort of the note section of the bill, and I also 20 seem to recall a separate mailing. And I guess the 21 two things that I did not mention that is common to 22 both of these is that these arrangements were only 23 for the residential customer class. That's probably 24 the only difference, just to be precise. 25 Q And for the nonresidentials, were they 26 And for the nonresidential customers, because 27 utomatically deferred? What happened to them? 3 A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay, Does 18 A And that 19 violating trade secrets. 20 Can you describe for me the relevance 21 any, that you believe this document has to the 22 oreganalize in the secret and its predeces 23 oportunities of a competitive supplier. 24 they obse to stay with the utility, the 25 and continue to have a fixed price utility 26 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 27 and with type of service, they were taking service 28 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 29 correct. 20 Can you describe for me the relevance 21 A trest on the tereverting service 22 | 17 | A I believe the notice came in the form of | 17 | MR. PETRICOFF: I object on relevancy, an | d |
| 19 in sort of the note section of the bill, and I also 20 seem to recall a separate mailing. And I guess the 21 two things that I did not mention that is common to 22 both of these is that these arrangements were only 23 for the residential customer class. That's probably 24 the only difference, just to be precise. 25 Q And for the nonresidentials, were they 26 and for the nonresidential customers, because 27 utomatically deferred? What happened to them? 3 A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 suplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay, Does 18 A And that 19 violating trade secrets. 20 And for the nonresidential customers of a not is the supplier. 10 A If there are, I haven't seen them. 11 Cargest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 Offering. It was only an hourly product so that 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 A Yes. 16 Q Can you describe for me the relevance 17 A O Okay, Does 18 A And that | 18 | I think it was something that appeared on the bill, | 18 | you may answer the question if you can do so with | ou |
| 20seem to recall a separate mailing. And I guess the 2120A You know, I'll answer as best I can. 2221two things that I did not mention that is common to 22both of these is that these arrangements were only 2320A You know, I'll answer as best I can. 2123for the residential customer class. That's probably 24the only difference, just to be precise. 2522companies in focusing on the commercial at 2324the only difference, just to be precise. 25Q And for the nonresidentials, were they2325Q And for the nonresidentials, were they25segments that we focused on.26A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 4113A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 411That's not to say we haven't looked at 23A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 411That's not to say we haven't looked at 25retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 60 deferral because those customers had at the time 717and continue to have an array of competitive 99Q Correct.9up Correct.0A There are, I haven't seen them.11largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12offering. It was only an hourly product so that 131114on that type of service, they were taking service 1515A Yes.15form a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 1616Q Can you describe for me the relevanc 1716nonissue for them. | | | 19 | | |
| 21two things that I did not mention that is common to 2221know, Constellation started and its predeces 2223both of these is that these arrangements were only 23for the residential customer class. That's probably | 1 | | 20 | A You know, I'll answer as best I can. You | |
| 22both of these is that these arrangements were only 23 for the residential customer class. That's probably 24 the only difference, just to be precise. 2522companies in focusing on the commercial at 2324the only difference, just to be precise. 2523industrial markets, and, you know, thus far 2425Q And for the nonresidentials, were they 2 automatically deferred? What happened to them? 324throughout our history those are the customer 253A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 9 supplier.1That's not to say we haven't looked at 210If they chose to stay with the utility, the 1111largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12offering. It was only an hourly product so that 1310A Weil, the noarresidential customers?10If they chose to stay with the utility, the 1411Q Fair enough. Your attorney provided 1210If they of service, they were taking service 1516Q Can you describe for me the relevanc 1717Q Okay. Does 1818A And that1818A And that18proceeding? | 21 | | 21 | know, Constellation started and its predecessor | |
| 23 for the residential customer class. That's probably 24 the only difference, just to be precise. 25 Q And for the nonresidentials, were they 24 throughout our history those are the customer 25 Q And for the nonresidentials, were they 26 automatically deferred? What happened to them? 3 A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that | 22 | - | 22 | companies in focusing on the commercial and | Ī |
| 24the only difference, just to be precise.24throughout our history those are the custome25Q And for the nonresidentials, were they25segments that we focused on.261required to pay the increase up front or were they22automatically deferred? What happened to them?3A3A Well, the nonresidential customers, because1That's not to say we haven't looked at4Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning5ceptation offer that type5of deferral because those customers had at the time7and continue to have an array of competitive8opportunities to take service from a competitive8A9QCorrect.1010If they chose to stay with the utility, the1111largest customers did not have a fixed price utility1212offering. It was only an hourly product so that1313they more likely than not if they didn't want to be1414on that type of service, they were taking service1515from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a1616nonissue for them.1717QOkay. Does18AAnd that | 23 | | 23 | industrial markets, and, you know, thus far | - |
| 25Q And for the nonresidentials, were they25segments that we focused on.Page 351required to pay the increase up front or were they2automatically deferred? What happened to them?3A Well, the nonresidential customers, because4Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning5retail markets, there was no need to offer that type6of deferral because those customers had at the time7and continue to have an array of competitive8opportunities to take service from a competitive9supplier.10If they chose to stay with the utility, the11largest customers did not have a fixed price utility12offering. It was only an hourly product so that13they more likely than not if they didn't want to be14on that type of service, they were taking service15from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a16Q Can you describe for me the relevance17Q Okay. Does18A And that18A And that | 24 | | 24 | throughout our history those are the customer | |
| 1required to pay the increase up front or were they 2 automatically deferred? What happened to them? 31That's not to say we haven't looked at 2 opportunities where they make sense, but to 3 have not expanded into residential markets i 4 the jurisdictions that I'm aware of.3AWell, the nonresidential customers, because 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier.1That's not to say we haven't looked at 2 opportunities where they make sense, but to 3 have not expanded into residential markets i 4 the jurisdictions that I'm aware of.4Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier.2Have there been any public announce 6 that Constellation is planning to do so in the 7 future?10If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them.1That's not to say we haven't looked at 2 opportunities where they make sense, but to 3 have not expanded into residential markets i 4 the jurisdictions that I'm aware of.11Images customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an h | 25 | Q And for the nonresidentials, were they | 25 | segments that we focused on. | |
| 2 automatically deferred? What happened to them? 3 A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that 2 opportunities where they make sense, but to the functioning 2 opportunities where they make sense, but to a have not expanded into residential markets i 4 the jurisdictions that I'm aware of. 5 Q Have there been any public announce 6 that Constellation is planning to do so in the 7 future? 8 A And that 2 opportunities where they make sense, but to the purchase of them. 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that | · ····· | Page 35 | | Page 3 | 17 |
| 2 automatically deferred? What happened to them? 3 A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that 2 opportunities where they make sense, but to the functioning 2 opportunities where they make sense, but to as have not expanded into residential markets i 4 the jurisdictions that I'm aware of. 5 Q Have there been any public announce 6 that Constellation is planning to do so in the 7 future? 8 A And that 2 opportunities where they make sense, but to the purchase of them. 12 opportunities to take service from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 Q Can you describe for me the relevance 17 any, that you believe this document has to the proceeding? | 1 | required to pay the increase up front or were they | 1 | That's not to say we haven't looked at | |
| 3 A Well, the nonresidential customers, because 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that 3 have not expanded into residential markets i 4 the jurisdictions that I'm aware of. 9 Q Have there been any public announce 6 that Constellation is planning to do so in the 7 future? 8 A To serve residential customers? 9 Q Correct. 10 A If there are, I haven't seen them. 11 Q Fair enough. Your attorney provided 12 three documents, and I would like to ask you 13 brief questions about them. Let me start wit 14 Commonwealth Edison Company tariff shee 15 A Yes. 16 Q Can you describe for me the relevanc 17 any, that you believe this document has to the 18 proceeding? | | | | • | /e |
| 4 Illinois and Maryland both had well-functioning 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that 4 the jurisdictions that I'm aware of. 5 Q Have there been any public announce 6 that Constellation is planning to do so in the 7 future? 8 A To serve residential customers? 9 Q Correct. 10 A If there are, I haven't seen them. 11 Q Fair enough. Your attorney provided 12 three documents, and I would like to ask you 13 brief questions about them. Let me start wit 14 Commonwealth Edison Company tariff shee 15 A Yes. 16 Q Can you describe for me the relevanc 17 any, that you believe this document has to the 18 A And that | | | | | |
| 5 retail markets, there was no need to offer that type 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that 5 Q Have there been any public announce 6 that Constellation is planning to do so in the 6 that Constellation is planning to do so in the 7 future? 8 A To serve residential customers? 9 Q Correct. 10 A If there are, I haven't seen them. 11 Q Fair enough. Your attorney provided 12 three documents, and I would like to ask you 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 Commonwealth Edison Company tariff shee 15 A Yes. 16 Q Can you describe for me the relevance 17 any, that you believe this document has to the 18 A And that | | | | • • | ^ |
| 6 of deferral because those customers had at the time 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that 6 that Constellation is planning to do so in the 7 future? 8 A To serve residential customers? 9 Q Correct. 10 A If there are, I haven't seen them. 11 Q Fair enough. Your attorney provided 12 three documents, and I would like to ask you 13 brief questions about them. Let me start wit 14 Commonwealth Edison Company tariff shee 15 A Yes. 16 Q Can you describe for me the relevanc 17 any, that you believe this document has to th 18 proceeding? | | | | 5 | ŀ |
| 7 and continue to have an array of competitive 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that 7 future? 8 A To serve residential customers? 9 Q Correct. 10 A If there are, I haven't seen them. 11 Q Fair enough. Your attorney provided 12 three documents, and I would like to ask you 13 brief questions about them. Let me start wit 14 Commonwealth Edison Company tariff sheet 15 A Yes. 16 Q Can you describe for me the relevanc 17 any, that you believe this document has to the 18 A And that | | | | | |
| 8 opportunities to take service from a competitive 9 supplier. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that | | | | · • | |
| 9 supplier. 9 G Correct. 10 If they chose to stay with the utility, the 11 largest customers did not have a fixed price utility 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be 14 on that type of service, they were taking service 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a 16 nonissue for them. 17 Q Okay. Does 18 A And that 9 Q Correct. 10 A If there are, I haven't seen them. 11 Q Fair enough. Your attorney provided 12 three documents, and I would like to ask you 13 brief questions about them. Let me start wit 14 Commonwealth Edison Company tariff shee 15 A Yes. 16 Q Can you describe for me the relevanc 17 any, that you believe this document has to the 18 proceeding? | | | 8 | | |
| 10If they chose to stay with the utility, the11largest customers did not have a fixed price utility12offering. It was only an hourly product so that13they more likely than not if they didn't want to be14on that type of service, they were taking service15from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a16nonissue for them.17Q18A18A10A11If there are, I haven't seen them.12If there are, I haven't seen them.13If they didn't want to be14Commonwealth Edison Company tariff shee15A16Q17Q18A19Dees18A19Deceding? | • | | 9 | | |
| 11largest customers did not have a fixed price utility11QFair enough. Your attorney provided12offering. It was only an hourly product so that12three documents, and I would like to ask you13they more likely than not if they didn't want to be13brief questions about them. Let me start wit14on that type of service, they were taking service14Commonwealth Edison Company tariff shee15from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a15A16nonissue for them.16QCan you describe for me the relevanc17QOkay. Does17any, that you believe this document has to the18AAnd that18proceeding? | | 4 | 10 | • | |
| 12 offering. It was only an hourly product so that12 three documents, and I would like to ask you13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be13 brief questions about them. Let me start wit14 on that type of service, they were taking service13 brief questions about them. Let me start wit15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a16 nonissue for them.17 Q Okay. Does16 Q Can you describe for me the relevanc18 A And that18 proceeding? | | | | | |
| 13 they more likely than not if they didn't want to be13 brief questions about them. Let me start wit14 on that type of service, they were taking service13 brief questions about them. Let me start wit15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a14 Commonwealth Edison Company tariff shee16 nonissue for them.15 A Yes.17 Q Okay. Does16 Q Can you describe for me the relevanc18 A And that18 proceeding? | | | | three documents, and I would like to ask you just | |
| 14 on that type of service, they were taking service14 Commonwealth Edison Company tariff shee15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a14 Commonwealth Edison Company tariff shee16 nonissue for them.15 A Yes.17 Q Okay. Does16 Q Can you describe for me the relevanc18 A And that18 proceeding? | | | | brief questions about them. Let me start with the | |
| 15 from a competitive supplier. So it was more of a15AYes.16 nonissue for them.16QCan you describe for me the relevanc17QOkay. Does17any, that you believe this document has to the18AAnd that18proceeding? | | | | Commonwealth Edison Company tariff sheet. | |
| 16nonissue for them.16QCan you describe for me the relevanc17QOkay. Does17any, that you believe this document has to the relevanc18AAnd that18proceeding? | | | | | |
| 17QOkay. Does17any, that you believe this document has to the18AAnd that18proceeding? | | | | | |
| 18A And that18 proceeding? | | | | any, that you believe this document has to this | |
| | | · · · | | + - | |
| $\gamma = \gamma$ = γ = γ monomiation provide generation setvice $\gamma = \gamma$ = α = α , when γ and α about other ways | 19 | Q Constellation provide generation service | 19 | A Yes. When I talked about other ways for a | |
| | | | 20 | company to meet its POLR obligation, I talked and | Ι |
| | | | 21 | gave an example of the approach utilized this is | |
| | 22 | | 22 | on page 9 of my testimony, the approach utilized by | y |
| | 23 | | 23 | Commonwealth Edison Company in the state of | |
| 24 Constellation has served some customers behind the 24 Illinois. | | | 24 | Illinois. | |
| 25 Duke Energy utility.25And the way the market is structured in | 25 | Duke Energy utility. | 25 | And the way the market is structured in | |

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

| | Page 38 | | Page 40 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 1 | Illinois as it is in a number of states is that | 1 | this decision probably a better question. Are |
| 2 | there is no POLR charge for a shopping customer, so | 2 | you relying on this decision for anything else than |
| 3 | that the utility is indifferent to shopping, which | 3 | we have already discussed? |
| 4 | is a very important term, that the company incurs no | 4 | A I am not relying on it for anything else |
| 5 | risks whatsoever to serve a customer should it | 5 | other than what we discussed about the RSS charge, |
| 6 | return because the company would simply be charging | _ | no. |
| | that returning customer some market rate that is | 7 | Q You also your counsel, rather, provided |
| 8 | verifiable and known, so that the company makes no | 8 | to me the opinion and order in the Duke ESP case |
| 9 | money on that service and loses no money on that | 9 | from the PUCO, Case No. 08-920? |
| 10 | service, that they recover their actual costs of | 10 | A Yes. |
| 11 | selling electricity to this returning customer. | 11 | Q Can you describe to me the relevancy, if |
| 12 | And the document that we attached is an | 12 | any, that you believe this opinion and order has in |
| 13 | example of that type of rate. It's a little | | this case? |
| 14 | lengthy, yes, about 17 pages or so I don't | 14 | A Yes. As you know, my testimony at pages 9 |
| 15 | know 12 pages, that outlines the terms of the | 15 | and 10, we talk about the structure that Duke Energy |
| 16 | conditions. | 16 | Ohio uses, and we talked about that earlier. They |
| 17 | So this is the POLR rate that a returning | 17 | don't impose a POLR charge but have a structure of |
| 18 | customer would pay if they were returning to utility | 18 | POLR rate that applies to returning customers. And |
| 19 | service after being out in the competitive retail | 19 | that discussion is on pages 9 and 10 of my |
| 20 | marketplace and that customer | 20 | testimony. |
| 20 | Q Has Constellation had any communications | 20 21 | And the document that counsel provided to |
| 22 | with the Office of the Consumers' Counsel as to | 21 22 | you is a copy of the opinion and order that the |
| 23 | | | Commission entered in that matter, where they |
| | whether that office supports returning customers | 23 24 | approved a stipulation that addressed a number of |
| 24 | coming back at a market-based rate? | | •• |
| 25 | A I have not had any conversations with the | 25 | issues, including the POLR structure going forward |
| | Page 39 | | Page 41 |
| 1 | Consumers' Counsel on that. | 1 | or the default charge structure going forward for |
| 2 | Q Do you know of any | 2 | customers who wish to avail themselves of |
| 3 | A And I can say that I do not believe that | 3 | competitive retail electric service. |
| 4 | anyone else from Constellation has had any such | 4 | Q Constellation was a party to that |
| 5 | discussions. | 5 | stipulation, correct? |
| 6 | Q Let me then turn to the decision by the | 6 | A Yes, sir. |
| 7 | Supreme Court of Ohio | 7 | Q Were you personally involved in |
| 8 | A Yes. | 8 | negotiations leading to that stipulation? |
| 9 | Q in the Ohio Consumers' Counsel versus | 9 | A I was. |
| 10 | Public Utility Commission of Ohio, Case | 10 | Q Is it fair to say that the stipulation was |
| 11 | No. 2006-0788. | 11 | a negotiated document in which parties gave on |
| | | | |
| 12 | A Yes. | 12 | certain terms to receive benefits and other terms |
| 12 13 | A Yes.Q We discussed this decision somewhat during | 12 13 | that's typical of a stipulation? |
| | A Yes. | | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. |
| 13 | A Yes.Q We discussed this decision somewhat during | 13 | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. MR. SHARKEY: Let me pause. I am going to |
| 13 14 | A Yes. Q We discussed this decision somewhat during the course of your deposition. The question is | 13 14 | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. |
| 13 14 15 | A Yes. Q We discussed this decision somewhat during the course of your deposition. The question is simple. Other than what we have discussed already, | 13 14 15 | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. MR. SHARKEY: Let me pause. I am going to |
| 13 14 15 16 | A Yes. Q We discussed this decision somewhat during the course of your deposition. The question is simple. Other than what we have discussed already, are you aware of any other relevance that this case | 13 14 15 16 | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. MR. SHARKEY: Let me pause. I am going to put you on mute because I may be finished, but I want to review my notes. THE WITNESS: Sure. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | A Yes. Q We discussed this decision somewhat during the course of your deposition. The question is simple. Other than what we have discussed already, are you aware of any other relevance that this case has to this proceeding? | 13 14 15 16 17 | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. MR. SHARKEY: Let me pause. I am going to put you on mute because I may be finished, but I want to review my notes. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Yes. Q We discussed this decision somewhat during the course of your deposition. The question is simple. Other than what we have discussed already, are you aware of any other relevance that this case has to this proceeding? A Well, I mean the case addresses a number of | 13 14 15 16 17 18 | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. MR. SHARKEY: Let me pause. I am going to put you on mute because I may be finished, but I want to review my notes. THE WITNESS: Sure. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A Yes. Q We discussed this decision somewhat during the course of your deposition. The question is simple. Other than what we have discussed already, are you aware of any other relevance that this case has to this proceeding? A Well, I mean the case addresses a number of things including, you know, making sure that, you | 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. MR. SHARKEY: Let me pause. I am going to put you on mute because I may be finished, but I want to review my notes. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. SHARKEY: So if you want to just take a |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A Yes. Q We discussed this decision somewhat during the course of your deposition. The question is simple. Other than what we have discussed already, are you aware of any other relevance that this case has to this proceeding? A Well, I mean the case addresses a number of things including, you know, making sure that, you know, generation costs are properly categorized as | 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. MR. SHARKEY: Let me pause. I am going to put you on mute because I may be finished, but I want to review my notes. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. SHARKEY: So if you want to just take a restroom break, we can come back in, say, ten |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A Yes. Q We discussed this decision somewhat during the course of your deposition. The question is simple. Other than what we have discussed already, are you aware of any other relevance that this case has to this proceeding? A Well, I mean the case addresses a number of things including, you know, making sure that, you know, generation costs are properly categorized as generation costs. Maybe some day in this case we'll | 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. MR. SHARKEY: Let me pause. I am going to put you on mute because I may be finished, but I want to review my notes. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. SHARKEY: So if you want to just take a restroom break, we can come back in, say, ten minutes. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A Yes. Q We discussed this decision somewhat during the course of your deposition. The question is simple. Other than what we have discussed already, are you aware of any other relevance that this case has to this proceeding? A Well, I mean the case addresses a number of things including, you know, making sure that, you know, generation costs are properly categorized as generation costs. Maybe some day in this case we'll get to a stipulation, so maybe the discussion on | 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | that's typical of a stipulation? A Without a doubt. MR. SHARKEY: Let me pause. I am going to put you on mute because I may be finished, but I want to review my notes. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. SHARKEY: So if you want to just take a restroom break, we can come back in, say, ten minutes. THE WITNESS: Sure, that's fine. |

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

| Γ | Page 42 | | Page 44 |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------|--|
| | - | - | - |
| | 1 MR. PETRICOFF: While we are still on, | 1 | Q As opposed to one that you're offering in |
| | 2 Michael, do you have questions? | 2 | this case, do you have one that you believe would be |
| | 3 MR. IDZKOWSKI: No. | 3 | appropriate? |
| | 4 MR. PETRICOFF: Okay. | 4 | A Not a methodology, but whatever that charge |
| | 5 (Recess taken.) 6 BY MR. SHARKEY: | 5 | is going to be, it should not enrich the company and |
| | 7 Q Regarding your proposal, Mr. Fein, that the | 6 | should merely reflect the cost considering all |
| ł | | 7 | factors, including the switching and notice |
| | 8 Commission implement a structure in which a customer9 who had switched could elect to either pay a fixed | [| requirements and restrictions that exist currently in the company's tariffs to properly quantify that |
| 1 | | 9 10 | number so it doesn't act as a hindrance to customers |
| | 1 1 2 | 11 | or unduly enrich the company. |
| | | 11 | Q And you don't have a proposal beyond those |
| | | 12 | |
| | | $13 \\ 14$ | general principles? A No, I do not. |
| | | $14 \\ 15$ | , |
| | | 15 | MR. SHARKEY: I have no further questions at this time. |
| | - | 16 17 | MR. PETRICOFF: Thank you. |
| 11 | ~ | 17 18 | MIN, FEITNICOFF, THAIK YOU. |
| 1 | | 19 | (WITNESS EXCUSED.) |
| 2 | | 19 20 | (WITHESS EACUSED.) |
| | 1 | $\frac{20}{21}$ | |
| 2 | | 22 | |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$ | - | 23 | |
| 2 | | 24 | • |
| | 5 had never left. I didn't have any opinion about | 25 | |
| | | 2.5 | |
| | Page 43 | | Page 45 |
| | 1 structuring it in any other fashion. | 1 | BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO |
| | 2 It sounds like what you are well, if I | 2 | In the Matter of the) Case No. |
| | 3 understood your question, were you asking if there | 3 | Application of The Dayton) 08-1094-EL-SSO Power and Light Company for) |
| | 4 was some third rate option, if you will, that a | 4 | Approval of Its Electric) |
| | 5 customer would pay? | 5 | Security Plan) |
| | 6 Q Well, I guess the question is do you have | 6 | In the Matter of the) Case No. Application of The Dayton) 08-1095-EL-ATA |
| | 7 an approach that you would recommend in general as | 7 | Power and Light Company for) Approval of Revised Tariffs) |
| | B to how that rate would be set; for example, would | 8 |) In the Matter of the) Case No. |
| | 9 you recommend the use of the Black-Scholes | • • | Application of The Dayton) 08-1096-EL-AAM |
| 1 | 2. | , , , | Power and Light Company for) Approval of Certain) |
| 1 | | 10 | Accounting Authority) Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code) |
| 1 | | 11 | Section 4905.13) |
| | | 12 | In the Matter of the) Case No. Application of The Dayton) 08-1097-EL-UNC |
| | | 13 | Power and Light Company for) Approval of Its Amended) |
| | 5 | 14 15 | Corporate Separation Plan) |
| 1 | ,, | 16 | I, DAVID FEIN, being first duly sworn, on |
| | | 17 | oath say that I am the deponent in the aforesaid deposition taken on February 3, 2009; that I have |
| | | 18 | read the foregoing transcript of my deposition, consisting of pages 1 through 46 inclusive, and |
| | · · · · | 19 | affix my signature to same. |
| 2 | | 20 | Corrections have been submitted No corrections have been |
| 2 | | 21 22 | submitted |
| 2: | | | DAVID FEIN, Deponent |
| | | 23 | |
| 2 | | | Subscribed and sworn to |
| | A I don't have a methodology that I'm | 24 | Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of , 20 |

| | Page 46 | |
|----------|---|-------------|
| 1 | STATE OF ILLINOIS) | |
| |) SS. | |
| 2 | COUNTY OF C O O K) | |
| 3 | I, SUZANNE THALJI, CSR and Notary Public in | l |
| 4 | and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, do | ŀ |
| | hereby certify that on February 3, 2009, at 12:34 p.m., at 550 West Washington Boulevard, | |
| 67 | Suite 300, Chicago, Illinois, the deponent DAVID | |
| 8 | FEIN personally appeared before me. | |
| 9 | I further certify that the said DAVID FEIN | |
| 10 | was by me first duly sworn to testify and that the | ľ |
| | foregoing is a true record of the testimony given by | ŀ |
| | the witness. | |
| 13 | I further certify that the deposition | |
| 14 | terminated at 1:53 p.m. I further certify that I am not counsel for | 1 |
| 15 | nor related to any of the parties herein, nor am I | ĺ |
| 17 | interested in the outcome hereof. | î. |
| 18 | In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my | ľ |
| 19 | hand and seal of office this 4th day of February, | |
| 20 | 2009. | Ì |
| 21 | | Ê |
| 22 | Noterie Dable | ` |
| 23 24 | Notary Public | |
| | CSR No. 084-002337 - Expiration Date: May 31, 2009 | - |
| | | i |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | ÷ |
| | | i. |
| | | 1 |
| | | • • • |
| | | i. |
| | | i |
| | | - |
| | | : |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · ^ |
| | | |
| | | 1 |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | ; |
| | | |
| | | |
| _ | | |

Page 1

| | | <u> </u> | 1 | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Α | 28:23 29:4,16 | attorney 5:25 6:1 | 34:19 | certify 46:5,9,13,15 |
| above-entitled 1:17 | 36:18,20 | 6:21,24 37:11 | billing 31:24 32:2,5 | changes 4:12,23 |
| accelerated 34:11 | answered 14:2 | attorneys 6:6,10 | 32:9,11 | 5:12 25:17 |
| Accounting 1:10 | answering 28:22 | authority 1:10 | bit 5:3 | charge 18:7,8,9,11 |
| 45:10 | answers 29:13 | 25:23 26:2 45:10 | Black-Scholes 43:9 | 18:18 20:1,2,5,18 |
| accurate 9:3 | antithetical 27:22 | automatically 35:2 | borders 15:2 | 20:18,21,23 21:8 |
| acknowledged | appeared 2:5,10,16 | avail 41:2 | Boulevard 1:19 | 21:15 23:20,23 |
| 13:18 | 34:18 46:8 | available 13:23 | 46:6 | 24:16,22 25:2 |
| act 44:10 | application 1:3,6,8 | aware 18:22 31:12 | Box 2:8 | 26:5 29:20,21,22 |
| acted 6:21 | 1:12 8:16 9:10 | 31:17,18 37:4 | branches 5:21 | 29:24 38:2 40:5 |
| acting 6:24 | 45:3,6,8,12 | 39:16 | break 22:12 41:20 | 40:17 41:1 44:4 |
| actual 27:6,17,23 | applied 25:2 | | brief 37:13 | charged 20:13 |
| 33:13 38:10 | applies 19:11 29:22 | <u> </u> | broad 2:14 26:2 | charges 18:4 21:21 |
| adding 32:8 | 40:18 | back 28:8 31:19 | business 7:3,5 | charging 38:6 |
| addressed 40:24 | approach 37:21,22 | 38:24 41:20 42:18 | 10:14,17,18 12:6 | Chicago 1:20 46:7 |
| addresses 39:18 | 43:7 | background 6:23 | 17:24 36:15 | choice 27:4 28:3,14 |
| advanced 24:8 | appropriate 27:1 | balance 32:24 34:8 | bypassable 26:16 | choose 21:1 |
| advantage 33:23 | 44:3 | based 7:9 | 27:12 29:21,22 | chose 35:10 |
| AEP 15:10 20:18 | approval 1:4,7,9,13 | basically 20:8 | | cited 9:18 |
| 21:10,12 | 25:18,20 26:6 | 34:10 | <u> </u> | clarify 20:4 |
| affect 27:25 | 45:4,7,9,13 | basis 13:8,25 14:1 | C 46:2 | class 34:23 |
| affiliated 36:5 | approve 22:10,10 | 24:19,20 25:14 | California 11:23 | clause 22:13 23:7 |
| affiliates 17:10 | 23:17 | beat 17:12 | call 8:8 20:21,23 | 30:13 |
| affix 45:18 | approved 40:24 | begins 22:17 23:10 | called 8:6 20:2 | CLE 7:12,16,25 8:3 |
| aforesaid 45:16 | approximate 12:25 | 30:14 | calls 20:20 | 8:7 |
| agree 19:1 30:25 | approximately | behalf 2:5,10,16 | capable 31:24 32:3 | clear 42:16 |
| agreeing 26:19 | 13:18 | 10:3 | capacities 6:22,25 | client 13:6 |
| agreements 12:15 | arena 8:7 | believe 4:18,21,24 | capacity 6:3,4 | CNE 10:17,18 |
| akin 8:8 20:3 34:7 | arrangement 34:5 | 5:2 8:23 12:1,18 | carries 5:17 | 12:20 19:20,22 |
| allow 12:3 | arrangements | 13:10,17,22 14:11 | case 1:2,5,8,12,17 | coal-fired 14:6,14 |
| allowed 42:23 | 34:22 | 14:25 15:20 16:7 | 15:9,12 18:14,18 | code 1:10 21:25 |
| alter 24:16,21,23 | array 35:7 | 20:2,22,23 21:12 | 25:1,20 26:6 | 22:17,23 45:10 |
| 25:2,8,11,23 26:4 | asked 16:12 | 21:14,16 22:4 | 39:10,16,18,21,24 | Columbus 2:9,14 |
| alternative 24:8,9 | asking 16:25 25:11 | 23:3 24:15 25:22 | 40:8,9,13 43:25 | come 17:2 39:23 |
| alternatives 26:18 | 43:3 | 32:4 34:17 36:8 | 44:2 45:2,5,8,12 | 41:20 42:17 |
| Amended 1:13 | aspect 28:12 | 37:17 39:3 40:12 | cases 15:11 | coming 38:24 |
| 45:13 | aspects 8:11 | 43:12 44:2 | categorized 39:20 | commercial 36:22 |
| amount 13:14 29:2 | assets 12:17,19,23 | believes 27:1 | CCG 12:17,18 | Commission 1:1 |
| 29:6 34:9 | 14:4,13,14,14,17 | benefits 41:12 | 19:20,22 | 8:21 9:9 22:1,9 |
| analysis 17:18 | 14:23,25 | best 36:20 | CEG 10:1 | 23:24 24:15 25:7 |
| analyze 17:25 | associated 24:5 | better 40:1 | certain 1:9 8:18 | 25:11,18,22 26:1 |
| and/or 5:4 18:24 | 27:6 31:10 32:5,8 | beyond 28:17 30:6 | 18:5 22:10 23:18 | 26:6,7 27:1 39:10 |
| announcements | assume 9:1 32:3,9 | 44:12 | 24:2 26:11 28:22 | 40:23 42:8 45:1 |
| 37:5 | 32:11 42:21 | bid 16:4 | 32:24 41:12 45:9 | commissions 5:20 |
| answer 5:11 6:16 | attached 38:12 | bigger 21:6 | certainly 8:5 16:17 | Commission's |
| 14:9 17:14 23:16 | attended 8:3,5 | bilateral 12:15 | 19:1 28:20 31:2,3 | 23:17 |
| | attendees 8:9 | bill 33:14,15 34:18 | certificate 7:23 | Commodities 2:11 |
| I | | | | |

| | | <u>.</u> | | Page 2 |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 10:4 12:6,8 | 38:16 | 26:13 31:16 37:9 | 21:4 24:24 27:3,6 | 27:2,5 28:19,21 |
| commodity 27:18 | conducted 7:8 | 41:5 43:16,17,23 | 27:24 28:2 32:20 | 29:9 30:19 32:16 |
| 27:24 | conference 7:19 | corrections 4:12,25 | 33:1,5,20 34:4,5 | 34:14 35:6 |
| common 34:21 | conferences 7:9,12 | 45:20,20 | 34:23 36:24 38:2 | deferrals 26:21,25 |
| Commonwealth | conflict 26:22 | cost 27:20,23 28:5 | 38:5,7,11,18,20 | 27:22 |
| 9:12 37:14,23 | Connecticut 11:25 | 29:7 30:25 31:3,4 | 42:8,12,17,19,22 | deferred 27:20 |
| communicated | consider 5:24 6:5 | 32:9 44:6 | 42:24 43:5,14 | 32:24 34:4,9 35:2 |
| 33:16 | 6:10 15:1 23:12 | costs 18:5,24 19:2 | customers 10:22 | defined 31:3 |
| communication | considered 5:23 | 20:14 24:2,4,4 | 11:1,6,9,19 12:12 | definition 19:3 |
| 13:6 | 7:11 | 26:14,15 27:4,6 | 16:15,18,20,23,24 | degree 6:2 7:22 |
| communications | considering 44:6 | 27.12,17,20 28:17 | 17.21,22 18:11 | degrees 7:3 |
| 6:5,10 38:21 | consisting 45:18 | 28:19,21 30:20,22 | 19:7 20:13 25:3 | Delaware 12:1 |
| companies 17:11 | Constellation 2:11 | 31:10,13,14,20,21 | 27:15,17 31:20 | demand 24:12 |
| 36:22 | 2:11 5:15,25 6:6,9 | 32:7 33:24 38:10 | 32:19 33:12 34:16 | deponent 45:16,22 |
| company 1:3,6,9,13 | 6:20 9:20,25,25 | 39:20,21 | 35:3,6,11,20,24 | 46:7 |
| 2:6 4:8 5:18 6:3 | 10:3,4,7,9,14,15 | counsel 2:13,17 | 36:4,6,9,13,16 | deposition 1:16 |
| 8:17,18 13:17 | 11:17,18 12:6,8 | 5:24 6:20 9:2,7 | 37:8 38:23 40:18 | 13:5 39:14 45:17 |
| 14:13 16:7 17:4 | 12:22 13:1,15 | 16:2,12 38:22 | 41:2 44:10 | 45:17 46:13 |
| 18:4,16,20,25 | 14:5,20,22 16:14 | 39.1,9 40:7,21 | | describe 10:13 12:5 |
| 19:3,6,13,21 20:1 | 17:2,7,10 27:10 | 46:15 | D | 32:17 37:16 40:11 |
| 24:20,23 25:1,10 | 27:14,25 28:1,6 | country 16:24 | D 3:1 23:1 | described 6:25 |
| 25:16,24 26:3 | 28:16,20 29:10,14 | County 1:18 46:2,4 | DANIEL 2:19 | 10:10 32:13 |
| 27:11 28:4,18 | 35:19,24 36:5,8 | couple 4:14 6:22 | date 22:2,20 37:2 | design 29:2,4 |
| 29:8 30:10,12,18 | 36:10,14,21 37:6 | 8:23 | 46:25 | designation 4:21 |
| 30:20 31:8,13 | 38:21 39:4 41:4 | course 7:25 8:3 | David 1:16 3:3 4:1 | designed 18:9,12 |
| 34:13 37:14,20,23 | Constellation-rel | 39:14 | 5:6 45:16,22 46:7 | determination 17:6 |
| 38:4,6,8 44:5,11 | 16:4 | courses 7:7,12,16 | 46:9 | determined 17:9 |
| 45:3,6,9,13 | consumers 2:13,16 | 7:17 8:5,6,12 | day 39:21 45:24 | difference 34:24 |
| company's 8:16 | 26:3 38:22 39:1,9 | court 9:7 18:13,17 | 46:19 | different 6:22 |
| 21:20 24:25 25:5 | contained 24:17 | 39:7 | Dayton 1:3,6,8,12 | 15:24 20:7 33:4 |
| 25:8 28:7 32:2 | Continental 12:2 | Courthouse 2:3 | 2:4,6 4:7 16:16,22 | differently 28:15 |
| 34:7 44:9 | 36:7 | cover 20:14 | 18:3,12,20,24 | difficulty 13:5 |
| compared 21:9,11 | continue 22:1,6,19 | COX 2:2 | 19:6,13,20 20:1 | direct 4:10 8:25 |
| 21:17,18 | 23:15 35:7 | со-ор 11:14 | 21:19 25:8,10,23 | directly 36:9,16 |
| compensate 18:10 | continuing 8:9 | create 32:6 | 26:15 27:10 28:4 | disapprove 22:10 |
| compensating | conversations | creates 18:23 19:12 | 28:6,18 29:7 30:9 | 23:18 |
| 18:15,16 | 38:25 | credit 8:8 | 30:12,18,20 31:8 | discussed 39:13,15 |
| competition 12:4 | convey 7:18 | CSR 1:17 46:3,25 | 45:3,6,8,12 | 40:3,5 |
| competitive 10:16 | Cook 1:18 46:4 | current 20:15 | dealing 18:6 deals 18:2 | discussion 39:22 |
| 12:9,16 20:8 28:2 | cooperatives 12:11 | 27:19 28:17 29:9 | December 30:6 | 40:19 |
| 35:7,8,15 38:19 | copy 4:9 22:16 | 30:23 | decision 9:7 39:6 | discussions 39:5 |
| 41:3 | 40:22 | currently 11:4 19:5 | 39:13 40:1,2 | distribution 12:10 |
| complete 8:2 | corporate 1:14 | 19:11 20:17,21 | default 41:1 | 30:5 32:12 36:11 |
| conceivable 31:2 | 9:19 45:14 | 21:12,20 24:17 | defer 18:5 26:11 | diverse 14:11 |
| conclusion 25:7,14 conditions 22:18 | correct 6:12 10:5 | 29:8,23 36:3 44:8 customer 11:14 | 27:4,11 31:21 | document 22:15 37:17 38:12 40:21 |
| 25:3 26:4 32:25 | 10:11,20 15:23 19:16 24:2,14 | 19:13 20:6,10,25 | deferral 26:15 27:2 | 41:11 |
| 4J.J 40.7 J4.4J | 17.10 29.2,14 | 19.19.20.0,10,29 | | TI,II . |

David Fein Page 3

| | ····· | · ··· · | 1 | 1 |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| documents 9:6 | elects 21:4 42:17,19 | 28:1 30:22 32:7 | 46:11 | going 13:3 17:13 |
| 16:11 37:12 | employ 16:7 | e-mails 22:14 | form 21:16 27:2 | 20:16 22:14 29:1 |
| dog 23:1 | employed 10:9 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 33:13,14 34:13,17 | 33:19 40:25 41:1 |
| doing 32:4 | employees 6:6,9 | F | formal 7:7,21 | 41:15 44:5 |
| doubt 41:14 | enacted 31:9 | facilities 13:20 | former 16:1 18:6 | gotten 36:15 |
| DP&L 16:5,8 19:14 | energy 2:11 5:15 | factors 44:7 | 42:13 | government 5:21 |
| 21:7 24:1 28:18 | 5:16,22 6:14 7:12 | fair 37:11 41:10 | formula 43:18 | greet 7:20 |
| 29:6 | 8:6,11 9:25 10:1,4 | fairly 21:12 | forward 20:16 | Group 2:12 10:1,4 |
| DP&L's 17:11 | 10:7,9 11:4,10 | fall 31:1 | 40:25 41:1 | 10:10 12:6,9,22 |
| 18:10 19:7,15 | 12:6,8,22 13:1,15 | falls 23:23 | for-profit 17:24 | 13:1,15 14:5,20 |
| 21:17 22:1 24:17 | 14:5,20 15:8,22 | familiar 9:11,14 | fourth 22:15 | guess 5:3 7:11,15 |
| 26:11 30:23 31:23 | 15:24 24:9,9,12 | 20:9 32:1 33:7 | franchise 19:22 | 7:23 8:8,24 9:20 |
| 32:5 | 35:25 40:15 | far 36:23 | front 35:1 | 13:4,8 17:18 |
| DUANN 2:19 | enrich 44:5,11 | FARUKI 2:2 | fuel 14:7 18:5 | 34:20 43:6 |
| due 16:23 | enrollment 16:5,9 | fashion 43:1 | 26:11,14 27:11 | G104:22 |
| Duke 9:10 11:4,10 | 19:10 | favorably 29:11 | 28:5,17,21 29:7 | G23 4:21 |
| 15:8 20:19,22 | ensure 26:2 | February 1:20 | 29:20,21,22 30:25 | G8 4:18 |
| 21:3 35:25 40:8 | entered 15:9 40:23 | 45:17 46:5,19 | 31:5,7,20,21 | G9 5:2,4 |
| 40:15 | entities 16:4 36:6 | fee 43:13 | 32:15 | |
| duly 4:2 45:16 | entitled 29:6 | Fein 1:16 3:3 4:1,6 | fuel-related 27:7 | <u> </u> |
| 46:10 | entitles 20:25 | 13:13 29:25 42:7 | full 33:25 34:6 | hand 46:19 |
| D.C 12:1 | entity 9:24 | 45:16,22 46:8,9 | function 19:4 | handle 26:18 27:9 |
| D30 4:18 5:3,4 | errors 4:14 | figure 43:2 1 | functionality 31:25 | handled 32:11 |
| | ESP 15:5,9,11 40:8 | filed 4:10 25:16 | 32:6,8 | handy 4:16 |
| E | essentially 25:11 | filing 5:5 22:9 | functioning 27:22 | happen 33:1 |
| E 2:13 3:1 | 31:15 34:12 | 24:21,23,25 25:5 | further 5:11 44:15 | happened 33:4 |
| earlier 35:23 40:16 | EXAMINATION | final 22:7 | 46:9,13,15 | 35:2 |
| East 2:8 | 4:4 | fine 41:22 | future 27:21 37:7 | hear 6:16 23:5 |
| EDCs 20:3 | examined 3:4 4:2 | finished 41:16 | | help 9:19 |
| Edison 9:12 37:14 | example 37:21 | fired 14:14 | <u> </u> | hereof 46:17 |
| 37:23 | 38:13 43:8 | first 4:2 13:13 18:8 | gas 14:13 | hereunto 46:18 |
| education 8:9 | EXCUSED 44:19 | 22:13 23:8 30:3 | gas-fired 14:6 | higher 19:15 |
| effect 22:2,7,19 | exercising 28:3 | 45:16 46:10 | gather 29:1 | hindrance 44:10 |
| 23:15 25:24 | EXHIBITS 3:9 | FirstEnergy 15:11 | Gay 2:8 | historic 8:20 |
| efficiency 24:12 | exist 17:25 44:8 | 15:11 20:19,20 | general 5:22 26:1 | history 36:24 |
| efforts 16:14 17:4 | existing 21:20 | 21:14 | 26:20 43:7 44:13 | hourly 35:12 |
| either 5:4 13:21 | 24:17 25:9,12,23 | fixed 19:8 20:13 | generally 13:22 | HOWARD 2:7 |
| 20:5 42:9 | 31:24 | 21:5 35:11 42:9 | 17:1 18:2 | hurt 27:14,15,16 |
| elect 19:14 42:9 | expanded 37:3 | 42:10,20 | generating 12:17 | T |
| elected 42:13 | expense 27:7 32:4 | focus 21:3 42:12 | 12:19,23 13:19 | |
| electric 1:4 10:16 | 32:12 | focused 36:25 | 14:4,13,17,23,25 | identified 25:25 |
| 12:10,11 15:5 | expenses 26:11 | focusing 36:22 | generation 19:8 | IDZKOWSKI 2:13 |
| 17:20 22:19 30:5 | expiration 22:21 | following 4:20 7:23 | 35:19,21 39:20,21 | 42:3 |
| 36:11 41:3 45:4 | 46:25 | 25:16 | geothermal 14:14 | Illinois 1:19,20 |
| electricity 12:10 | explaining 7:10 | follows 4:3 | given 46:11 | 11:23 15:20 33:8 |
| 27:18,24 36:10 | extends 30:6 | footprint 16:23 | go 17:19 31:19 | 33:10 34:2,3 35:4 |
| 38:11 | extent 17:5 18:10 | foregoing 45:17 | goal 7:18 | 37:24 38:1 46:1,4 |
| | | | I I | j |

Page 4

| r | | <u> </u> | | Paye |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 46:7 | JEFFREY 2:2 | 33:9 | 38:24 42:11,18 | N 3:1 |
| impediment 28:2 | Jersey 11:24 | Light 1:3,6,9,13 2:6 | Maryland 11:25 | name 4:6 9:24 |
| implement 42:8 | July 6:15,17 | 4:8 16:16,22 18:4 | 32:14,18 33:9 | natural 14:13 |
| implemented 32:14 | jumps 9:17 | 18:20,24 19:6,13 | 35:4 | nature 7:13 8:4 |
| implements 5:17 | jurisdiction 24:16 | 19:21 20:1 21:20 | Massachusetts | 10:13 17:18 26:21 |
| important 38:4 | 25:7 26:7 | 25:8,10,24 26:15 | 11:25 | near 15:1 37:6 |
| impose 40:17 | jurisdictions 15:16 | 27:11 28:4,6,18 | materials 8:14 9:1 | need 29:18 35:5 |
| imposes 19:2 | 15:17 37:4 | 29:7 30:9,12,18 | 9:5 | negotiated 41:11 |
| inaccurate 9:4 | 15/17 57/1 | 30:20 31:8 45:3,6 | matter 1:2,5,8,12 | negotiations 41:8 |
| including 39:19 | K | 45:9,13 | 4:8,10 9:10 26:13 | neighboring 14:24 |
| 40:25 44:7 | K 46:2 | line 4:17,20 5:1,9 | 26:21 29:2,3 | neither 19:19,22 |
| inclusive 45:18 | know 4:6,15 7:16 | 22:15 25:4,13 | 40:23 45:2,5,8,12 | never 16:22 42:25 |
| incorporated 22:18 | 8:12,17 9:18 13:7 | 30:4,4 | matters 29:4 | New 11:24,24 |
| · · | 14:4 15:17 16:8 | | | , |
| increase 35:1 | 16:10,17 17:3,4,6 | listed 4:22 23:24 | mean 7:6,22 26:20 | NewEnergy 2:11 |
| increases 31:10 | 17:9,19,23 18:12 | little 5:3,4 38:13 | 39:18 | 10:3,14,15 11:17 |
| 32:21 | 18:13 19:25 20:12 | LLP 2:7 | meaning 5:24 | 11:18 |
| incremental 24:2,4 | | loan 34:12 | 28:19 29:9 | nonissue 35:16 |
| 30:19 | 20:20,22 21:4 | located 11:7 | meant 36:1 | nonresidential 35:3 |
| incurred 28:5 | 23:22 27:14 29:5 | location 11:16 | mechanism 29:12 | nonresidentials |
| 31:13,21 | 29:15,18 30:8 | long 6:13 | 33:8 34:3 | 34:25 |
| incurs 28:18 30:20 | 31:7,16,23 32:12 | look 22:16 29:11,25 | meet 7:20 36:12 | non-bypassable |
| 38:4 | 32:15 33:1,11,17 | looked 37:1 | 37:20 | 21:22 29:19 |
| indicated 8:23 | 33:18 34:2,13 | looking 22:15 | megawatts 12:25 | North 2:3 |
| indifferent 38:3 | 36:20,21,23 38:15 | loses 38:9 | 13:14,19 | Notary 1:18 46:3 |
| individual 33:12 | 39:2,19,20,24 | low 21:13 | mention 34:21 | 46:23 |
| 34:15 | 40:14 43:20 | lower 17:11 | merely 44:6 | note 33:15 34:19 |
| industrial 36:23 | knowledge 16:3,6 | Ludlow 2:3 | methodology 43:10 | notes 41:17 |
| industry 7:12 8:11 | 16:15 17:5,17 | | 43:11,22,24 44:4 | notice 19:9 33:11 |
| information 7:19 | known 13:16 14:10 | <u> </u> | Michael 2:13 42:2 | 33:13,21 34:15,17 |
| 13:8,22 14:12 | 14:12 32:21 38:8 | M 2:7 | Michigan 11:23 | 44:7 |
| initial 26:13 | · ···-·· | mailing 33:16 | middle 23:7 | notified 32:20 |
| injured 27:10 | | 34:20 | minor 4:14 | nuclear 14:7,13 |
| inquiries 16:18 | large 36:11 | Maine 11:24 | minutes 41:21 | number 5:7 13:16 |
| insert 33:14 | largest 35:11 | maintain 13:25 | misspoke 36:1 | 13:19 19:9 36:11 |
| instructor 7:18 | law 6:2 | making 4:25 39:19 | mix 14:11 | 38:1 39:18 40:24 |
| intended 20:14 | lays 26:17 | mandatory 23:13 | modify 22:10 23:17 | 43:19 44:10 |
| interactions 5:19 | lead 25:6 | 27:3 28:21 | modifying 32:5 | numbers 13:21 |
| interest 27:5 32:23 | leading 41:8 | manner 25:2 29:15 | moment 21:3 | |
| interested 46:17 | left 11:22 16:1 34:6 | MARKED 3:9 | money 17:23 38:9,9 | 0 |
| intimately 32:1 | 42:25 | market 19:15 26:23 | monopoly 19:23 | O 46:2,2 |
| involved 17:3 41:7 | legal 6:4 | 27:16,23 37:25 | move 33:10 | oath 45:16 |
| IRELAND 2:2 | legislative 5:18 | 38:7 | MRO 15:12 | object 13:3,8 14:8 |
| issues 7:15 40:25 | legislatures 5:21 | marketplace 38:20 | municipal 12:11 | 17:13 36:17 |
| items 23:18,24 | lengthy 38:14 | markets 12:13,14 | municipality 11:15 | objection 13:24,25 |
| 1001110 4J 1 1 054T | letter 22:25 | 12:15 17:1,20 | mute 41:16 | objectives 5:18 |
| J | let's 11:22 21:3 | 35:5 36:23 37:3 | mute 71.10 | obligated 22:1,5 |
| Jeff 4: 6 | 22:12,12 32:3 | market-based 21:2 | N | obligation 18:21,23 |
| J JAA 1.0 | , | | | 0.5116H4011 10,41,42 |
| · | | | | |

| | | | | Paye |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 19:24 37:20 | option 20:24 31:20 | Pennsylvania | 6:13,20 15:22,24 | proper 4:21 39:23 |
| obligations 36:12 | 32:18,21 42:13 | 11:24 15:1,20 | 16:1 | properly 39:20 |
| obscures 27:23 | 43:4 | period 32:24 33:6 | possibility 19:17 | 44:9 |
| obviously 4:24 8:22 | order 9:9,11,12 | permits 19:7 30:18 | potential 19:2 | proposal 18:5 |
| offer 17:10,21 19:8 | 18:13,17 40:8,12 | permitted 27:11 | potentially 17:22 | 26:11 31:19 42:7 |
| 19:14,16 21:6 | 40:22 | person 5:19 | Power 1:3,6,9,13 | 43:18 44:12 |
| 24:5 30:21 31:11 | orders 8:21 | personal 17:17 | 2:6 4:7 16:16,22 | proposed 22:18 |
| 34:8 35:5 42:20 | Oregon 11:23 | personally 17:3 | 18:3,20,24 19:6 | 26:4 29:12 43:21 |
| 42:24 43:16 | outcome 46:17 | 41:7 46:8 | 19:13,20 20:1 | proposing 24:21,23 |
| offering 31:24 | outlined 24:22 25:4 | perspective 27:9 | 21:19 25:8,10,24 | 25:1 43:12 |
| 34:13 35:12 43:25 | 29:16 | PETRICOFF 2:7 | 26:15 27:11 28:4 | proposition 26:20 |
| 44:1 | outlines 26:25 | 5:6,10 13:3,12,24 | 28:6,18 29:7 30:9 | proprietary 13:7 |
| office 2:13,16 38:22 | 38:15 | 14:8 17:13 36:17 | 30:12,18,20 31:8 | provide 16:20 |
| 38:23 46:19 | | | | 1 - |
| Oh 36:3 | outside 11:19 | 42:1,4 44:17 | 45:3,6,9,13 | 17:21 30:21 35:19 |
| | overseeing 5:19 | phase 32:21 33:24 | precise 34:24 | provided 8:22 9:2,5 |
| Ohio 1:1,10 2:4,9 | owned 13:1,14 14:5 | phase-in 32:16 33:2 | predates 16:7 | 16:12 26:16 32:18 |
| 2:13,14,16 9:7 | ownership 12:18 | 33:3 | predecessor 36:21 | 33:11 34:15 37:11 |
| 10:17,18 11:4,19 | owns 13:19,20 | phrase 28:15 | prefer 28:20 | 40:7,21 |
| 14:18,24 15:1,4 | 14:13 | piece 18:6,6 | preferable 27:8 | provides 21:21 |
| 15:15 19:23 20:3 | P | pieces 30:17 | preparation 9:3 | 24:1 |
| 20:6,12 21:25 | · | place 33:8 | prepare 8:14 9:16 | providing 18:16 |
| 22:23 30:8 35:20 | page 3:4 4:17,20 | plan 1:4,14 8:24 | preparing 8:25 | 24:5,8,12 31:11 |
| 36:4 39:7,9,10 | 5:1,7,8 7:1 37:22 | 21:20 22:1,17,19 | presence 18:23 | 36:15 |
| 40:16 45:1,10 | pages 38:14,15 | 22:20 23:10,14 | PRESENT 2:1,18 | provision 29:6 |
| okay 4:19,23 5:10 | 40:14,19 45:18 | 24:17 25:9,12,23 | president 5:14,16 | 30:12 |
| 5:11 6:23 7:2,5,14 | paid 18:11 29:20 | 30:5,9,23 31:15 | presumably 17:21 | public 1:1,18 5:20 |
| 10:2,6 11:3,18,21 | 33:25 | 32:13 45:4,14 | presumption 33:20 | 11:7,12 37:5 |
| 12:5,17,20 15:13 | paraphrasing | planning 37:6 | pretrial 6:25 | 39:10 45:1 46:3 |
| 16:14 18:19 21:3 | 30:17 | plants 13:20 | previously 15:14 | 46:23 |
| 21:17 23:2,16 | parent 9:21 10:10 | play 39:24 | price 17:11,11 | publicly 9:21 10:1 |
| 26:8 30:3 32:13 | part 25:5 | Plaza 2:3 | 35:11 42:10 | 13:16,18,22 14:9 |
| 35:17 42:4 | participation 33:5 | please 29:4 | prices 19:15 | 14:12 |
| Once 14:8 | particular 26:5 | PLL 2:2 | principally 14:6 | PUCO 40:9 |
| one-sentence 29:15 | parties 15:9 41:11 | PO 2:8 | principles 44:13 | purportedly 18:15 |
| opinion 9:9 40:8,12 | 46:16 | point 13:4 | prior 6:23 23:16 | purposes 18:18 |
| 40:22 42:14,25 | party 41:4 | policy 5:15,16 6:14 | privileged 6:7,11 | 21:11 |
| opportunities | pause 41:15 | 7:13 15:22,25 | probably 13:23 | Pursuant 1:10 |
| 16:20 17:2,24 | pay 20:24,24 21:1,4 | 26:21 | 14:15 28:14 33:7 | 45:10 |
| 35:8 37:2 | 21:6 27:5,6 31:20 | policymakers 5:22 | 34:23 40:1 | put 33:8 41:16 |
| opportunity 16:19 | 32:4,23 34:6 35:1 | POLR 18:10,16,21 | proceeding 37:18 | p.m 1:21 46:6,14 |
| 17:20 18:1 32:22 | 38:18 42:9,10,12 | 19:2,4,20,24 20:1 | 39:17 | P.m. 1.21 TO.0,17 |
| 33:24 | 42:17,19,24 43:5 | 20:2,5,7,14,18,21 | proceedings 15:5 | Q |
| oppose 26:14 28:6 | paying 27:17,18,19 | 20:23 21:15 24:24 | 15:14,19 | quantify 44:9 |
| 28:16 29:10,14,23 | 27:24 | 37:20 38:2,17 | product 35:12 | question 7:24 8:24 |
| opposed 7:19 44:1 | payment 34:4,11 | - | profitably 17:7 | 10:19,23,24 13:13 |
| opt 32:22 33:22 | pays 20:6,10 43:14 | 40:17,18,25 43:13 | | 14:1 17:8,15 18:8 |
| 34:4 | PEASE 2:7 | poor 28:14 | program 16:5,9 | 21:10 25:21 28:10 |
| ד.דנ | - LICENTAI GIT | position 5:23 6:1 | programs 24:13 | 21.10 20.21 20.10 |
| | | , I | | |

Page 6

| 28:22,24 29:1,3,5 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 26:14,16 28:16 | 20:8 26:22 27:16 | secret 13:7,25 | 41:25 42:6 44:15 |
| 29:14,17 31:15,16 | 29:9 30:19 31:6 | 27:22 32:19 33:25 | secrets 17:14,16 | sheet 9:13 37:14 |
| 31:23 36:18 39:14 | red 25:4,13 | 34:6 35:5,20 36:2 | 36:19 | shop 43:14 |
| 40:1 43:3,6 | reference 4:17 5:2 | 38:19 41:3 | section 1:11 22:5,8 | shopping 20:13,25 |
| questions 37:13 | 8:19 16:11 | retains 26:7 | 22:9,23 23:4 | 38:2,3 43:14 |
| 42:2 44:15 | referred 22:13 | return 19:7,14 20:6 | 30:14,18 31:1,9 | sign 7:25 |
| quoting 22:5 | 23:16 | 20:25 21:2,2,5 | 34:19 45:11 | signature 45:18 |
| quoting 22.5 | | 38:6 42:10,11,20 | Security 1:4 22:19 | significant 25:17 |
| R | referring 23:3 28:11 | 42:23 43:15 | 45:4 | 31:10,14 |
| rate 18:4,7,14 19:5 | refers 30:3 | returning 20:9 | see 8:2 17:25 22:4,6 | similar 7:17 8:3 |
| 20:6,9,11,13,23 | reflect 44:6 | 24:24 38:7,11,17 | seek 24:1 30:19 | 32:13 33:7 34:3 |
| 21:1,5,6,7,20,21 | | 1 | 31:5 | |
| 22:1,17,20 23:10 | regarding 16:6 | 38:18,23 40:18 | | simple 39:15 |
| 23:14,20,22 24:16 | 42:7,14 | 42:17,22 Derr 1:10,45:10 | seeking 25:18 26:5 | simply 38:6 |
| 24:17,23,24 25:9 | registered 16:21 | Rev 1:10 45:10 | seen 37:10 | sir 6:18 41:6 |
| 25:12,23 26:5 | regulatory 5:17 | reveal 17:16 | segments 36:25 | smaller 21:7 |
| 29:2,3 30:5,9,23 | 7:13 8:7 15:14 | review 8:14,20 | selling 38:11 | solicit 16:15 |
| 31:14 32:21 33:25 | 16:1 | 16:13 17:18 41:17 | sense 37:2 | solicited 16:22 |
| 34:6 38:7,13,17 | related 46:16 | reviewed 8:16 9:2,8 | sentence 22:7 23:8 | somewhat 39:13 |
| 38:24 40:18 42:10 | relationships 16:24 | 9:16 | 24:1 30:14 | sorry 5:6 6:8 9:13 |
| | relevance 17:14 | revised 1:7 21:25 | separate 33:15 | 22:22,25 36:3 |
| 42:11,11,12,15,18 | 39:16 | 22:16,23 45:7 | 34:20 | sort 34:19 |
| 42:20,24 43:4,8 | relevancy 13:4 14:1 | rider 27:13 | Separation 1:14 | sounds 43:2 |
| 43:16 | 14:9 36:17 37:16 | riders 31:5 | 45:14 | source 14:7 |
| rates 19:8 26:3 | 40:11 | right 11:22 15:5 | serve 10:22,25 11:6 | speaking 18:2 |
| 28:17 32:10,16 | relying 39:25 40:2 | 19:23 21:5 27:3 | 11:9,19 12:10,12 | speaks 25:15 |
| rate-making 8:7 | 40:4 | 35:22 41:23 43:15 | 16:19,25 17:22 | specific 8:10 |
| read 18:13,17 28:8 | remember 9:15 | rights 23:17 | 36:3,6,12 37:8 | spot 12:13,14 |
| 28:10 45:17 | representing 4:7 | risk 18:10 19:20,24 | 38:5 | SS 46:1 |
| reading 22:22,23 | 5:25 6:3,19 | risks 18:24 19:3,12 | served 35:24 | SSO 20:11 |
| really 42:22 | request 28:7,11,12 | 20:14 38:5 | serves 36:9 | stability 18:15 |
| reason 25:22 | 28:14 | roughly 6:15 | service 5:20 11:3,4 | stabilization 18:4,7 |
| reasonable 26:2 | require 32:23 | RSS 18:18 25:2 | 11:7,10,11,12 | 21:7,21 23:20,22 |
| recall 33:2,3,13,16 | required 35:1 | 40:5 | 16:16 18:17 19:7 | 24:16 |
| 33:22 34:20 | requirement 8:1 | RTO 12:14 | 19:8,14,16 24:5 | standard 19:8,14 |
| receive 8:10 41:12 | requirements 44:8 | rules 19:10 | 30:21 31:11 34:7 | 19:15 21:6 24:5 |
| received 9:6 16:18 | residential 34:23 | | 35:8,14,14,19,21 | 30:21 31:11 34:7 |
| 31:9 | 36:2,4,6,9,13,16 | <u> </u> | 36:15 38:9,10,19 | 42:20,23 43:15 |
| receiving 29:8 | 37:3,8 | S 2:2 | 41:3 42:20,23 | standards 39:23 |
| Recess 42:5 | respond 13:11 | sales 12:13,14 17:4 | 43:15 | start 9:20 11:22 |
| recollection 21:13 | 33:21 | SATER 2:7 | serving 12:2 | 18:6 22:12,14 |
| recommend 43:7,9 | response 24:13 | savings 16:20 17:22 | set 42:15 43:8,19 | 37:13 |
| record 5:1 46:11 | responsible 5:19 | saw 16:10 | 46:18 | started 10:23 36:21 |
| recover 27:12 28:5 | 34:8 | saying 29:19,21 | setting 43:13 | state 1:19 5:20,20 |
| 29:6 38:10 | restrictions 44:8 | scheduled 22:2,20 | SEYMOUR 2:7 | 14:18 20:8 27:19 |
| recovered 30:22 | restroom 41:20 | scope 23:23 | Sharkey 2:2 3:5 4:5 | 32:14 37:23 46:1 |
| 32:10 | retail 10:16,22 11:1 | seal 46:19 | 4:7 5:13 14:3,16 | 46:4 |
| recovery 24:2 | 11:6 12:3 17:19 | second 30:4 33:9 | 28:13 41:15,19,23 | statement 26:20 |
| | | | | Signement 26'7' |

David Pein Page 7

| | | | | 10.9C |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| states 11:21 12:1,3 | 46:10 | testimony 4:10,25 | 21:18 27:8 33:3 | voluntary 16:5,8 |
| 14:24 36:7 38:1 | symbol 10:1 22:24 | 6:25 8:15,17,19 | 34:21 | VORYS 2:7 |
| statutory 18:20 | system 31:24 32:2,5 | 8:25 9:3,16,18 | type 8:1 29:11 | VP 6:14 15:22 |
| stay 33:9 34:11 | 32:9,11 | 15:21 18:2 21:12 | 30:25 31:4 35:5 | |
| 35:10 | systems 12:11 | 26:10,17,25 37:22 | 35:14 38:13 | W |
| stipulation 15:10 | S.W 2:3 | 40:14,20 46:11 | types 31:13 | want 18:5 27:4 |
| 39:22,23 40:24 | | Texas 11:23 | typical 31:4 41:13 | 35:13 41:17,19 |
| 41:5,8,10,13 | <u> </u> | Thalji 1:17 46:3 | typographical 4:14 | 42:11 |
| stipulations 8:20 | take 29:25 33:23 | Thank 44:17 | | wanted 16:12 33:5 |
| Street 2:3,8,14 | 35:8 41:19 | Thanks 41:24 | U | Washington 1:19 |
| strike 5:11 10:25 | taken 1:16 8:12 | things 18:14 22:11 | ultimate 9:21 26:16 | 11:25 46:6 |
| 17:8 23:21,24 | 42:5 45:17 | 33:4 34:21 39:19 | unclear 5:4 | wasn't 28:21 |
| structure 9:20 19:6 | talk 7:13 40:15 | think 4:22 5:10 8:6 | understand 9:19 | way 17:15 19:17,2 |
| 19:12 40:15,17,25 | talked 18:14 35:23 | 13:10 14:1,12 | 18:9,19 19:5,10 | 26:19 37:25 |
| 41:1 42:8 | 37:19,20 40:16 | 15:23 18:3 19:1 | 24:22,25 | ways 26:25 27:9 |
| structured 19:4,18 | talking 20:15,15 | 25:15 26:1,17 | understanding | 37:19 |
| 20:7 37:25 | 42:22 43:13 | 28:23 29:13,23 | 21:19,23,24 30:11 | website 13:23 |
| structuring 43:1 | talks 22:8 | 34:18 | understood 43:3 | welcome 41:25 |
| struggling 28:25 | tariff 4:18,21 9:13 | third 43:4 | unduly 44:11 | well-functioning |
| 00 0 | 25:4,5,13,17,19 | | United 36:7 | 26:22 27:16 35:4 |
| Subject 19:9 | 37:14 | thought 15:3 | use 26:24 27:21 | weren't 25:18 |
| subjects 18:3 19:3 | tariffs 1:7 8:19 | three 9:6 15:7 | 43:9 | West 1:19 2:14 |
| submit 16:4 | 25:19 44:9 45:7 | 23:23 37:12 | uses 40:16 | 46:6 |
| submitted 5:1 | technical 7:2,5 8:4 | three-year 33:3 | utilities 1:1 12:11 | we'll 4:25 39:21 |
| 25:19 45:20,21 | - | time 5:12 6:19 | 19:25 20:18 31:5 | we're 12:2 42:21 |
| submitting 25:13 | telephone 2:5,10,16 2:18 13:5 | 16:17 31:8 32:24 | | whatsoever 38:5 |
| Subscribed 45:23 | | 33:6 35:6 44:16 | 36:11 45:1 | |
| subsidiaries 10:6 | tell 5:14 12:25 34:1 | title 15:24 | utility 11:3,7,11,12 | whereof 46:18 |
| 12:23 13:2,15 | 36:14 | today 13:21 16:13 | 15:5 20:12 22:8 | whichever 24:9 |
| 14:6,21 | ten 41:20 | touched 8:11 | 30:5,8 35:10,11 | wholesale 12:9,12 |
| substantive 25:17 | term 19:24 23:13 | trade 13:7,25 17:14 | 35:25 38:3,18 | 12:16 |
| Suite 1:20 2:14 | 25:12 31:3 33:2 | 17:16 36:19 | 39:10 | wish 41:2 |
| 46:7 | 38:4 | traded 9:22 10:1 | utilize 36:12 | wishes 27:6 |
| supplier 10:16 12:9 | terminate 22:3 | traditionally 31:5 | utilized 37:21,22 | withdraw 13:24 |
| 12:16 16:21 35:9 | 33:5 | training 7:5,6,10 | U.S 12:2 | witness 3:2 5:8 |
| 35:15 | terminated 46:14 | 7:11 | | 15:4 28:8 41:18 |
| supply 36:10 | terms 22:17 23:14 | transcript 45:17 | <u>V</u> | 41:22,24 44:19 |
| support 26:24 | 24:21 25:3,8 26:4 | transparency | value 17:21 | 46:12,18 |
| supports 38:23 | 32:18,25 34:12 | 27:15 | various 6:24 7:13 | witnesses 8:17 |
| Supreme 9:7 18:17 | 38:15 41:12,12 | trouble 26:19 | verifiable 38:8 | word 22:4 23:12 |
| 39:7 | territory 11:3,5,8 | true 19:19 27:19 | Vermont 11:24 | 30:14 36:1,2 |
| sure 5:16 28:9 | 11:10,11,13 16:16 | 46:11 | version 25:4 | words 22:6,16 |
| 39:19 41:18,22 | testified 4:3 15:14 | trying 8:2 29:1 | versus 39:9 | 28:14 34:10 |
| Suzanne 1:17 46:3 | 15:19 | 43:21 | viable 18:1 | works 32:17 |
| switched 42:9 | testify 15:7,8,10 | turn 39:6 | vice 5:14,16 | wrapping 30:4 |
| switching 18:11 | 43:19,20 46:10 | two 8:18 13:21 | violating 36:19 | |
| 19:9 44:7 | testifying 10:2 | 15:13,20,25 18:3 | virtue 25:12 | X |
| sworn 4:2 45:16,23 | testimonies 15:25 | 18:14,18 21:9,11 | vocation 8:10 | X 3:1 |
| 5. 5 K H 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 2 3 | | 10.1.1,10 21.7,11 | | |

| | _ | | | Faye |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|---|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | |
| Y | 4 | | | |
| Yeah 4:14 20:18 | 43:5 | | | |
| 43:18 | 4th 46:19 | 1 | | 1 |
| | | | | |
| Yep 23:9,11 | 43215 2:14 | Į | | |
| yesterday 8:23 | 43216-1008 2:9 | | | |
| York 11:24 | 45402 2:4 | | | |
| | 46 45:18 | | 1 | |
| 0 | 464-6400 2:9 | | 1 | |
| 08-1094-EL-SSO | 466-8574 2:15 | | | |
| 1:3 45:3 | 4905.13 1:11 45:11 | | | |
| 08-1095-EL-ATA | | | | |
| | 4928.141 24:6 | | | |
| 1:6 45:6 | 30:21 | } | } |) |
| 08-1096-EL-AAM | 4928.143(D) 21:25 | | } | |
| 1:8 45:8 | 22:24 30:1 | | | |
| 08-1097-EL-UNC | 4928.64 24:10 | | | } |
| 1:12 45:12 | 4928.66 24:13 | | | |
| 08-920 9:10 40:9 | | | | |
| 084-002337 46:25 | 5 | | | } |
| 007-002037 70.23 | 500 2:3 | | | |
| 1 · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 1 45-19 | 52 2:8 | | | |
| 1 45:18 | 550 1:19 46:6 | | | |
| 1:53 46:14 | | | | |
| 10 2:3,14 5:1,8 | 6 | | | |
| 40:15,19 | 6142:9,15 | | | |
| 1008 2:8 | ······ | | | |
| 11 5:1,9 | 7 | | | |
| 12 38:15 | 74:17 | | | |
| | | | | |
| 12:34 1:21 46:6 | 8 | | | |
| 1738:14 | 84:20 | | | |
| 1800 2:14 | U T.4V | · · | | |
| | 9 | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 2 7:1 | 9 37:22 40:14,19 | | | |
| 20 45:24 | 937 2:4 | | | |
| 2006-0788 39:11 | | | | |
| 2007 6:15,17 | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 2008 30:6 | | | | |
| 2009 1:20 45:17 | | | | |
| 46:5,20,25 | | | | |
| 21 4:17 | | | | |
| 227-3705 2:4 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3 | ļ | | | |
| 3 1:20 4:20 45:17 | | | | |
| 46:5 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 300 1:20 46:7 | | | | |
| 31 30:6 46:25 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

.