## FARUKI IRELAND & COX P.L.L.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

500 Courthouse Plaza, S.W. 10 North Ludlow Street Dayton, Ohio 45402 937-227-3700 Fax 937-227-3717

R. Holtzman Hedrick (937) 227-3727 rhedrick@ficlaw.com

February 5, 2009

PUCO

2009 FEB - 6 AM 10: 26

## VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Attention: Renee Jenkins Docketing Division 180 E. Broad Street, 10th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

RE: DP&L ESP Filing, Case No. 08-1094-EL-SSO

Dear Ms. Jenkins:

Enclosed are: (1) fourteen (14) copies of The Dayton Power and Light's Notice of Filing Depositions; and (2) deposition transcripts of:

- a. Gonzalez, Wilson
- b. Ibrahim, Amr A.
- c. Duann, Daniel J.
- d. Yankel, Anthony J.
- e. McClelland, Barry E.
- f. Pullins, Steven W.
- g. Fein, David I.
- h. Woolridge, J. Randall
- i. Bowser, Joseph G.
- j. Sawmiller, Daniel J.
- k. Murray, Kevin M.
- 1. Dickstein, Shelley J. (awaiting transcript)
- m. Frye, Mark R. (awaiting transcript)
- n. Higgins, Kevin C. (awaiting transcript)

Very truly yours,

R Holtzman Hadrich

R. Holtzman Hedrick

RHH/tes Enclosures



TRUSTED WISDOM. EXTRAORDINARY RESULTS.

ficlaw.com

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case fille document delivered in the regular course of business.

```
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
 1
 2
 3
     In the Matter of the
     Application of The Dayton:
     Power and Light Company : Case No. 08-1094-EL-SSO
 4
     for Approval of Its
     Electric Security Plan.
 5
     In the Matter of the
 6
     Application of The Dayton :
 7
     Power and Light Company : Case No. 08-1095-EL-ATA
     for Approval of Revised
     Tariffs.
 8
     In the Matter of the
 9
     Application of The Dayton:
10
     Power and Light Company
     for Approval of Certain : Case No. 08-1096-EL-AAM
     Accounting Authority
11
     Pursuant to Ohio Rev.
     Code §4905.13.
12
1.3
     In the Matter of the
     Application of The Dayton:
     Power and Light Company : Case No. 08-1097-EL-UNC
14
     for Approval of Its
15
     Amended Corporate
     Separation Plan.
16
17
                            DEPOSITION
18
     of Daniel Duann, taken before me, Karen Sue Gibson, a
19
     Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, at the
20
     offices of Janine L. Migden-Ostrander, Ohio
     Consumers' Counsel, 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800,
21
22
     Columbus, Ohio, on Monday, February 2, 2009, at 9:30
23
     a.m.
24
```

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	APPEARANCES: Faruki, Ireland & Cox, P.L.L. By Mr. Jeffrey S. Sharkey 500 Courthouse Plaza, 5W 10 North Ludbw Street Dayton, Ohio 45402 On behalf of the Applicant. Janine L. Migden-Ostrander, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Rick Reese, Ms. Jacqueline L. Roberts, and Mr. Chris Allwein 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Chio 43215 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of The Dayton Power and Light.	Page 2	3 certi 4	DANIEL DUANN  Ig by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter  iffed, deposes and says as follows:     EXAMINATION  Ar. Sharkey: Q. Good morning, Doctor. As you know, my  It is Jeff Sharkey, and I represent the Dayton  It is read Light Company in this matter. Have you  I had your deposition taken before? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. Been long enough it's not fresh in  memory, I take it? A. Yes, I believe it was probably 1984. Q. Okay. Let me give you just a couple of  k ground rules because taking a deposition is a  different than an ordinary conversation  suse we have a court reporter sitting next to us  will take down what it is we say.  The first rule is all of your answers  t be oral, so if I ask a yes-no question, you  t nod your head or shake your head because the  t reporter can't take that down. You need to say  or no. Similarly uh-huh or huh-uh to be  mative or negative won't be clear on the	Page 5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Monday Morning Session, February 2, 2009.  STIPULATIONS It is stipulated by and among counsel for the respective parties that the deposition of Daniel Duann, a witness called by the Applicant under the applicable Rules of Civil Procedure, may be reduced to writing in stenotypy by the Notary, whose notes thereafter may be transcribed out of the presence of the witness; and that proof of the official character and qualification of the Notary is waived.	Page 3	2 respuis 3 arm g   3 arm g   4 arm g   5 ask r   6 articl   7 can g   8 same   9 just l   10 just l   11 breal   12    13 histo   16 Ener   17 1983   18 disse   19 my d   1985   21 1986   22 1986   23 Comm	script, so we need to again say yes or no in onses to the questions.  There will be times when you know what I going to be asking before I finish my question. I nonetheless you wait until I have finished ulating the question just so the court reporter get it down, and we are not both talking at the e time because, again, it makes it hard for her.  And then, finally, if you need a break, let me know. My only request is not take a k while there is a question pending, okay?  A. Sure.  Q. Can you describe for me your employment or y since the last degree that you received.  A. I started working at the Ohio Division of gy, the Ohio Department of Development in August, B, and that was before I finished my doctorate entation, so I started working before I finished dissertation, and I worked at ODOE until May,  5. Then I started working at the American Medical ciation in Chicago from May, 1985, to September,  6. After that, I started working at Illinois merce Commission from September of 1986 through just, 1987. After that, I went to the Ohio State	Page 6
1 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INDEX  Deposition Exhibit Identified  1 July/August 2008 Consumers' Corner 29  2 Case No. 05-276-EL-AIR stipulation 36	Page 4	2 Natic 3 instit 4 Dece 5 an instit 7 own 8 for a 9 Ohio 1 posit 1 posit 2 your 3 revie 4 majo 5 what 6,7 of Of 8 the C 9 20-ye 10 forec 11 report 12 report 13 divisi	ersity at Columbus, Ohio, and I worked for the conal Regulatory Research Institute as a senior cute economist. I worked at NRRI until comber, 1995.  Then I started my own business working as dependent business consultant. And I closed my business in December, 2006, and started looking job, and I started working for the Office of Consumers' Counsel on January 7, 2008.  Q. Okay. Now, let's go back to your closed to the Office of Consumers' Counsel on January 7, 2008.  Q. Okay. Now, let's go back to your closed the Office of Consumers' Counsel on January 7, 2008.  Q. Okay. Now, let's go back to your closed the Office of Consumers' Counsel on January 7, 2008.  Q. Okay. Now, let's go back to your closed the Office of Consumers' Counsel of Energy. It says in prefiled testimony that you were responsible for wing long-term supply and resource forecasts of or electric utilities in Ohio. Can you tell me  I that means?  A. My recollection is at that time the State of just passed a new legislation that required Ohio Division of Energy to review the long — the ear long-term forecast report of electric utilities, and my job at time was to review those long-term forecast rts. And we — at that time there is a forecast on that was doing that. I was part of that, my focus at that time was looking at on the	Page 7

					<del> </del>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	supply aspects of the forecast report.  Q. When you say supply aspects of the forecast report, what does that mean?  A. That means that means I reviewed and analyzed the company's projection of their generation capacity, of their other resources such as industrial cogeneration within their service territory, also tooking at the capacity planning process and the criteria, and maybe other things. That's what I remember.  Q. Were you were your responsibilities including coal or coal forecasting, coal cost allocations?  A. What do you mean by responsibility?  Q. Well, did you have a responsibility for doing any review or analysis of coal costs in any respect?  A. To the best of my knowledge, I believe my testimony does not I did not touch upon those issues, and I simply do not remember exactly. I reviewed those issues at that time.  Q. You may have had some responsibility over coal in your position at the ODOE, but you don't remember it as you sit here now; is that right?	Page 8	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for vehicles, so I don't know if that can be characterized as related to fuel or not.  Q. Okay. Other than those two studies anything else? Again, while you were at NRRI.  A. Yes. I also did a study regarding the uncertainty and the risk in electric utility resource planning, and I believe that we also looked at fuel costs in that study.  Q. You say you believe. Do you remember that you did, or are you just speculating or attempting to reconstruct what it is that you did?  A. Well, this report was conducted in 1989 and so and my I cannot recall exactly what's in the report.  Q. That's fair enough. I am just asking for your best recollection. I understand I am inquiring about some things that happened a significant amount of time ago. Anything else at the NRRI? Again related to fuel.  A. Well, I did several studies, I mean, regarding the the unbundling of natural gas service so, you know, natural gas, it is a fuel.  Q. When you say the unbundling of natural gas service, that is by gas utilities?	Page 11
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I still I'm troubled by the word you used "responsibilities." I think at that time we don't you know, my recollection is we don't realiy say you are responsible for one particular fuel, or you are responsible for another fuel. We are just saying, okay, these are the resource forecast reports of the electric utilities, and we review it, we identify assigned issues, and to the best of my recollection, my testimony does not did not relate to purchase of coal or those issues, yes.  Q. So then you spent May of '85 through 1986 working at the American Medical Association. I assume you didn't have any responsibilities there relating to utility fuel or coal costs?  A. No.  Q. And then you spent it looks like approximately a year working at the Illinois Commerce Commission. Did your responsibilities there relate to fuel or coal costs?  A. I don't know because those are about 20 years ago, so I don't know when I worked at ICC whether I looked at any papers, or I was asked by my supervisor to look at any coal related I simply do not recall.	Page 9	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes, by gas utilities. Q. Was that the unbundling of the cost of gas as opposed to the delivery cost? A. The unbundling of the commodity gas service versus the distribution service. Q. Okay. Anything else at the NRRI? Again, I am just asking for your best recollection. A. Uh-huh. I think that's probably probably what I did at NRRI related to fuel. Q. Okay. Then from '96 through '07, you worked as an independent consultant? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe the nature of the studies and responsibility you had as an independent consultant? A. I consulted for the national clients doing ecommerce, doing distribution, doing digital download for music and video product, and also about setting up other types of business ventures. Q. So that was not related to utility work? A. No, they were not related. Q. Okay. And what caused you to quit being an independent consultant and come to OCC? A. My business was not successful.	Page 12
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay. Then from 1987 through 1995, a little over 12 years, it looks like, you were at the NRRI. Can you describe for me what it is you did at the NRRI?  A. National Regulatory Research Institute at Ohio State University was a research organization that supported by state public utility commissions. It was supported by all 50 states, and my responsibilities there is to work on different research projects that were chosen by the management of NRRI and so so basically I was a researcher at NRRI.  Q. Did you have any responsibilities or did you conduct any research at NRRI relating to fuel?  A. Yes. Q. Can you describe that for me? A. I believe that in 1993, me and several other colleagues, we did a study to look at the effect of fuel-related incentive on the fuel costs of electric utilities. Q. Okay. Anything else besides that study? A. You mean related to fuel. A. Well, I did a study on using natural gas	Page 10	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay. And step back. So you started at OCC approximately a year ago? A. Yes. Q. And what duties have you had since you have been at OCC? A. My duty as a senior regulatory analyst at the Ohio at the Office of Ohio Consumers' Counsel, there are many duties and responsibilities, and but I think primarify I analyze, review, and prepare testimony on electric and gas rate cases and other regulatory proceedings. Q. Okay. Have you done any work since you have been at OCC relating to the allocation of fuel costs other than the DP&L work here? A. Can you explain what do you mean by allocated fuel costs? Q. Maybe allocation was the wrong choice of words. Have you done any work since you have been at OCC relating to the recovery of fuel costs by an electric utility other than the work for DP&L we're here to talk about today? A. Okay. And you are referring specific to electric utility? Q. Yes.	Page 13

		<del> </del>			
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I participated in the in the review of the Columbus Southern and Ohio Power ESP case, and I also participated in the FirstEnergy ESP case.  Q. When you say you let's focus on the AEP matter first. When you sald you participated in that case, what does that mean? What did you do?  A. That means I was assigned to the case team and as a member of the case team, I reviewed the filing of the AEP ESP. I identified some issues related to the ESP and I also worked with outside consultants on certain issues of the ESP and I also participated in the presentation that was made to us by the AEP.  Q. Did you have any specific fuel-related responsibilities relating to the AEP ESP filing?  A. Yes.  Q. What were those?  A. I reviewed the American Electric Power Ohio's their fuel adjustment proposal, their fuel costs deferral proposal.  Q. The step back. Your testimony here addresses, my terms not yours, but two fundamental questions and maybe more but first question being should DP&L recover be permitted to defer fuel	Page 14	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Consumers' Counsel. Q. Okay. A. And direct testimony of J. Randall Woolridge, Ph.D., on behalf of the Office of Ohio Consumers' Counsel. I bring with me SB 221 and two pages of the Ohio Revised Code contained in Section 4909.17.1 and the 4909.18. I also bring with me the Dayton Power and Light Company's Objection and Response to the Office of the Consumers' Counsel Interrogatories and the Request for Production of Documents Propounded upon Dayton Power and Light Company, Seventh Set, dated December 19, 2008. And binder 2 I bring with me the application of the Dayton Power and Light Company for approval of its electric security plan. And I believe this is the quote-unquote overview part or the summary part. I also bring with me the Dayton Power and Light Company Case No. 08-1094-EL-SSO Book I Standard Offer. I also bring with me the opinion order of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio in the Case No. 05-276-EL-AIR. I bring with me the Stipulation and the Recommendation of the Case No. 05-276-EL-AIR. I bring with me the Opinion and Order of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio in the	Page 17
1 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	costs, and if so, how should it be calculated. Focus on the first plece, the should there be a recovery permitted. Have you done any work for either FirstEnergy or AEP relating to whether they should be permitted to recover or defer fuel costs?  A. Yes.  Q. What work did you do?  A. I looked at the AEP's fuel proposal, you know, they say how they calculate their base year fuel costs, how they calculate their projected incremental fuel costs in 2009 and 2010, and how they propose to cap their increase and to defer the remaining part of the projected increase and to be recovered in later years.  Q. Let me ask you did you receive a copy of the notice of deposition that my office Issued for this deposition?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. That notice requested that you bring certain documents to the deposition. Do you remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. Did you bring those documents?  A. Yes.	Page 15	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Case No. 02-2779-EL-ATA and three other related case numbers. Actually I can just read those case numbers.  Q. You don't need to read those case numbers. I know what you are referring to.  A. Okay. I also bring with me the Stipulation and Recommendation for the Case No. 02-2779-EL-ATA. I also bring with me the Commission Finding and Order for the Case No. 99-105-EL-EFC.  Q. Can you read me the case number again?  I'm sorry.  A. It's 99-105-EL-EFC. I bring with me the Dayton Power and Light Company Second Amended Corporate Separation Plan well, It's Exhibit 4.  Q. Okay,  A. I bring with me the Dayton Power & Light Company's Notice of Filing of Supplement to its initial case filing in the Case No. 08-1094-EL-SSO and the three other related cases. I bring with me the direct testimony of Gregory S. Campbell, CPA, Book I Standard Service Offer of the Dayton Power and Light, Case No. 08-1094-EL-SSO. I bring the testimony the direct testimony of Teresa F. Marrinan of the same case In also Book I Standard	Page 18
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay. Are they here? Can you show them to me?  A. Yeah, they are here. Q. Okay. Well, you have it looks like two binders with you? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me what it is you have brought with you? A. Okay. I would describe what are in my binder related to the to the items that the notice in the notice of deposition. Q. That's fine. A. I bring In the first binder I bring a copy of my work history and list of publications. Q. Thank you. And your counsel has been kind enough to hand me a copy of that document. A. I believe that document was transmitted to DP&L. Q. I haven't seen it before but that's okay. Go ahead. A. I also bring with me a public version of my direct testimony, confidential version of my direct testimony, and direct testimony of Anthony 3. Yankel for the on behalf of the Office of the Ohio	Page 16	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Service Offer. I bring the direct testimony of Dona R. Seger-Lawson of the same case on Book I Standard Offer. I think that's that's all.  Q. And my my notice of deposition requested that you bring everything that you relied on or cited in your testimony. Do you believe that's a complete list of documents that are responsive to that request?  A. I believe I have brought all the documents that I cited in my testimony.  Q. Were there documents that you relied upon in your testimony that you haven't brought?  A. No.  Q. Would you agree with me, Dr. Duann, that the fuel costs DP&L incurs are significant amounts?  A. I cannot answer this question.  Q. Would you agree with me that the projected fuel costs that DP&L will incur in 2009 and 2010 are large items of cost for the Dayton Power and Light Company?  A. I don't know what you mean by large items.  Q. Do you consider them to be a large amount, its fuel its projected fuel costs for	Page 19

1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2009, 2010?  A. No. Q. You don't consider those to be a significant the DP&L's fuel costs for 2009, 2010, you do not consider to be significant, large? A. I simply don't know what you mean by significant because it's significant for a person; it may not be significant for a company. It may not be significant for a large company. Significant for a small company, it may not be significant for a large company so that's the you know, I am not trying to not answer the question. I simply do not know Q. I understand the terms like large or significant mean different things to different people, and I am just asking for your understanding in how you consider what those terms to mean. Do you consider DP&L's projected fuel costs in 2009 and 2010 to be large?  A. I think I already answered the question. Q. I think your answer was, no, you do not? That was a yes for the record?  A. I believe my answer is I do not know the meaning of significant in your question, so I cannot answer whether they are significant or large.	Page 20	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you don't have an opinion as to whether there will be significant changes up or down into the future?  A. I don't know. Q. You have stated in your testimony that you reviewed Ohio Revised Code §4928.143(D)? A. Yes. Q. Let me give you a copy of that statute. I have handed you a copy of 4928.143(D). If you would, please, flip to that section beginning on line 1 of Section D, at least on my copy there is a clause that begins "if an." A. I didn't see that. I'm at the D, okay. "If," okay. Q. Starting with the "if an," it says "If an electric distribution utility if it has a rate plan that extends beyond December 31, 2008." A. Yes, I see that. Q. Would you agree with me that at the time the statute was enacted, DP&L was the only electric distribution utility in Ohio that had a rate plan in place that extended beyond December 31, 2008? A. Yes. Q. So is it your understanding that subsection D was written with DP&L in mind?	Page 23
1 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Do you consider the fuel markets to be volatile?  A. I cannot answer that. I don't know which year you are referring to. I don't know what fuel you are referring to. I don't know which particular market you are referring to, the spot market, you are referring to the forward market, or you are referring to as a very general question. I really cannot answer that.  Q. Okay. How about coal markets for 2007 through 2008, do you consider those markets to have been volatile?  A. I consider the coal market or should I more accurately the spot market for coal seems to be quite stable in 2007. And in 2008, for the first half of 2008, there was a very high percentage of increase in coal spot price, but after maybe July of 2008, the spot coal price market experienced a substantial a very large percentage of decrease. So you can say the market the spot market for coal was indeed volatile in 2008.  Q. Do you have an expectation as to whether it will be volatile in 2009 and 2010?  A. I do not know whether the market for coal	Page 21	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I don't know. I don't know what the — what the legislature had in mind when they enacted these.  Q. If you refer down to the "however" clause which is halfway down the section.  A. Yes, I see the word "however."  Q. Okay. It begins with, "however, that utility may include in its electric security plan under this section and the Commission may approve, modify and approve, or disapprove subject to Division D of this section provisions for the incremental recovery or deferral of any costs that are not being recovered under the rate plan and that the utility incurs during the continuation period to comply with Section 4928.141," and then it goes on. Do you see that?  A. I believe you quoted a wrong division. The copy I have it read like this, "However, that utility may include in its electric security plan under this section and the Commission may approve, modify and approve, or disprove subject to Division C of this section," and I believe you read as "Division D," so I don't know which one is — you have in mind.  Q. It certainly says Division C, so if I	Page 24
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	will be volatile in 2009 and 2010. Q. I understand that nobody knows what's going to happen in the market because it's in the future. I am just asking you what your expectations are as to the future in 2009 and 2010 and whether you believe the market will be volatile.  MR. REESE: I would advise my client not to guess.  A. I simply don't know whether it will be volatile or not. I simply don't know. Q. And you don't know enough here as you sit here to even have an opinion as to whether it will be volatile or not in 2009 or 2010?  A. As I sit here and try to see what the future price of coal would be, the best answer I can give is there will probably be change here constantly. So today's price the price on January I will be different from the price on February 1 of 2009. That's the best answer I can give. Q. So just so I have a clean understanding you don't have an expectation as to whether it will be step back.  Everybody would agree presumably that there will be some changes in the market price, but	Page 22	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	said D, I misspoke, no dispute about that. The focus of my question is on something different.  A. Okay. Q. Would you agree that fuel costs are a cost that would fall within the scope of the clause that I just read?  A. So you are asking whether the reference in the sentence provision for the incremental recovery or the deferral of any costs that are not being recovered under the rate plan so you are asking whether any costs that accrued, fuel costs? Q. Not precisely. I am asking whether DP&L would incur fuel costs as part of its provision of standard service offer pursuant to 4928.141.  A. Yes. Q. Excluding fuel costs for the moment, do you know if at the time this statute was enacted, DP&L had incurred significant increases in any other item of cost since its 2005 RSP stipulation was approved?  A. I don't understand your question. It's rather long so I Q. Let's start over. We are setting aside fuel costs. And the question is since the 2005 RSP	Page 25

1 stipulation for the Dayon Power and Light Company do 2 you know whether the dayon Power and Light Company 3 had experienced any other significant increases in 4 costs up to the time the statute was enacted? 5 MR. REESE: Objection. Can you tell us 6 what you mean by any other stander service offer. 7 Q. Any costs the Dayton Power and Light 7 Q. Any costs the Dayton Power and Light 8 Company incurs to provide standard service offer. 9 Desir the question make serve to you? 10 Desir the question make serve to you are 11 assign is since the approved of the second RSP in 12 2005 which established the RSP rate and you are 13 assign is since the approved Power and Light last incured and the second of the second RSP in 14 quote-unquote a substantial amount of cost other than 15 full in providing the standard service offer? 16 Q. I am asking whether you know whether she 16 Q. I am asking whether you know whether she 17 Dayton Power and Light Company has incurred such 18 increases, that is correct. 19 A. Okay. Let me answer this way, I have not 20 reviewed all Dayton and Power's — those accounting 21 is specifies environmental in each year in 2000 – 2000 — at 21 around 5-4 percent every year. And I believe this 22 causes the Dayton Power & Light to increase its rate 23 around 5-5 percent of the 2001 24 great about a fair in each year in 2000 – 2000 — at 25 great about starls in each year in 2000 – 2000 — at 26 great about starls in each year in 2000 – 2000 — at 27 amount of increase — 5-4 percent of the 2001 28 percent compliance with — well, anyway it's 29 releted to the Dayton Power's environmental 20 investment, but it also want the record to show that 21 this investment dev, they are not subject to any 29 prudency review or trueup under the KSP. They are 20 prudency review or trueup under the KSP. They are 21 in the 2005 RSP signalation. 22 Q. And the levery are and other such or and the such as a province of the Chilo Consumers' 29 prudency review or trueup under the KSP. They are 30 increases that the DRA is an in						Daniel Daan
amount of increase 5.4 percent of the 2004 generation tariff in each year in 2000 2000 at least 2007, 2009, 2009, and 2010 and the original 4 at least when I read the stipulation, these costs are supposed to offer the Dayton Power and Light's investment on compliance with well, anyway it's related to the Dayton Power's environmental investment, so I suppose that probably indicated that Dayton Power has incurred some environmental investment, but I also want the record to show that this investment, but I also want the record to show that this investment, but I also want the record to show that the stipulation specifically says the PUCO can only review whether it is the same as those contained in the RSP stipulation.  Q. Other than the environmental costs that you've identified are you aware of any other such jincreases that the DP8L has incurred since 2005? Q. And I believe your answer touched on this jincreases that the DP8L has incurred since 2005? Q. And I believe your answer touched on this jincreases that the DP8L has incurred since 2005? Q. And I believe your answer touched on this just so we have a clear record it's your  Page 28  1 document there is an article regarding energy law and justicular Bill 221? A. Without reacing the whole issue I see on justicular Bill 221? A. Without reacing the whole issue I see on justicular Bill 221? A. Without reacing the whole issue I see on justicular Bill 221? A. Without reacing the whole issue I see on justicular Bill 221? A. Without reacing the whole issue I see on justicular Bill 221? A. Without reacing the whole issue I see on justicular Senate Bill 221? A. A I do you will shape the future of electricity in Dayton Power has incurred since Interest a headline to see that. Q. And you understand this article step back. You understand the headline to be referring to Dayton Power has incurred since that be accurated. A. I believe so. Q. And who prepares articles such as the one we are looking at on behalf of the Office of the Communication and I belie	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you know whether the Dayton Power and Light Company had experienced any other significant increases in costs up to the time the statute was enacted?  MR. REESE: Objection. Can you tell us what you mean by any other?  Q. Any costs the Dayton Power and Light Company incurs to provide standard service offer.  Does the question make sense to you?  A. Okay. I try my best. So what you are asking is since the approval of the second RSP in 2005 which established the RSP rate and you are asking me whether Dayton Power and Light has incurred quote-unquote a substantial amount of cost other than fuel in providing the standard service offer?  Q. I am asking whether you know whether the Dayton Power and Light Company has incurred such increases, that is correct.  A. Okay. Let me answer this way, I have not reviewed all Dayton and Power's those accounting information. But I do notice that in the second RSP it specifies environmental investment rider which causes the Dayton Power & Light to increase its rate	Page 26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	test is just the one following that whether the utility incurred during the continuation period to comply with the standard service offer Section 4928.141.  Q. You make some you offer some reasons in your testimony that you believe DP&L shouldn't be entitled to defer fuel costs. Set those reasons aside for the moment. The question that I have for you is did you consider any other reasons or factors in the course of your analysis that you did not include in your testimony?  A. No.  Q. I am going to hand you a document that I am going to mark as Exhibit 1. Exhibit 1 is a document that was issued by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel in July, August of 2008. Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the fact that the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel issues such documents?  A. Yes.	Page 29
4 at least when I read the stipulation, these costs are 5 supposed to offer the Dayton Power and Light's 6 investment on compliance with — well, anyway it's 7 related to the Dayton Power's environmental 8 investment, so I suppose that probably indicated that 9 Dayton Power has incurred some environmental 10 investment, but I also want the record to show that 11 this investment rider, they are not subject to any 12 prudency review or trueup under the RSP. They are 13 simply just characterized as environmental rider, and 14 the stipulation specifically says the PUCO can only 15 review whether it is the same as those contained in 16 the RSP stipulation. 17 Q. Other than the environmental costs that 18 you've identified are you aware of any other such 19 increases that the DP&L has incurred since 2005? 20 A. No. 21 Q. And believe your answer touched on this 22 but just so we have a clear record it's your 23 understanding that the environmental rider, and 24 in the 2005 RSP stipulation was intended to  4 page 1 this — there's a headline that states, 26 "Energy law will slapse the future of electricity in 27 Chaptwest lid see that. 28 "Energy law will slapse the future of electricity in 29 Oh, or play will slapse that the fleat that sates, 20 Chapt yes, I did see that. 21 Q. And you understand this article — step 22 back. You understand this article — step 23 back. You understand the headline to be referring to 24 A. I believe so. 26 A. A lon the Office of the 27 Could you understand the headline to be referring to 28 back. You understand the headline to be referring to 29 Senate Bill 221, correct? 3 back. You understand the headline to be referring to 3 back. You understand the headline to be referring to 3 back. You understand the headline to be referring to 3 back. You understand the headline to be referring to 3 back. You understand the headline to be referring to 4 D. And who prepares articles such as the one 20 A. We have a department called Department of 21 Communication and I believe the staff there prepared	2	generation tariff in each year in 2000 2000 at	Page 27	2	in particular Senate Bill 221?	Page 30
8 investment, so I suppose that probably Indicated that 9 Dayton Power has incurred some environmental 10 investment, but I also want the record to show that 11 this investment rider, they are not subject to any 12 prudency review or trueup under the RSP. They are 13 simply just characterized as environmental rider, and 14 the stipulation specifically says the PUCO can only 15 review whether it is the same as those contained in 16 the RSP stipulation. 17 Q. Other than the environmental costs that 18 you've identified are you aware of any other such 19 increases that the DP&L has incurred since 2005? 20 A. No. 21 Q. And I believe your answer touched on this 22 but just so we have a clear record it's your 23 understanding that the environmental investment rider 24 in the 2005 RSP stipulation was intended to  8 back. You understand the headline to be referring to 9 Senate Bill 221, correct?  A. I believe so.  A. We have a department called Department of 15 Comsumers' Counsel?  4 A. We have a department called Department of 16 that and I don't know who prepared this particular 27 one. 28 Q. How many people are in that Department of 29 Could you tell me approximately how many 20 people work at the Office of the Ohio Consumers' 21 Q. Could you tell me approximately how many 22 people work at the Office of the Ohio Consumers' 23 understanding that the environmental investment rider 24 in the 2005 RSP stipulation was intended to  8 back. You understand the headline to send that in believe such as the one 29 Could you tell me approximately how many 20 people work at the Office of the Ohio Consumers' 21 Q. Could you tell me approximately how many 22 people work at the Office of the Ohio Consumers' 23 understanding that the environmental investment rider 24 A. I would say about 70.	4 5	at least when I read the stipulation, these costs are supposed to offer the Dayton Power and Light's		4 5	page 1 this there's a headline that states, "Energy law will shape the future of electricity in	
this investment rider, they are not subject to any prudency review or trueup under the RSP. They are simply just characterized as environmental rider, and simply just characterized as environmental rider, and the stipulation specifically says the PUCO can only the RSP stipulation.  Q. Other than the environmental costs that you've identified are you aware of any other such increases that the DP&L has incurred since 2005?  A. No. Q. And I believe your answer touched on this but just so we have a clear record it's your understanding that the environmental investment rider in the 2005 RSP stipulation was intended to  11 Q. And who prepares articles such as the one we are looking at on behalf of the Office of the Consumers' Counsel?  A. We have a department called Department of Communication and I believe the staff there prepared that and I don't know who prepared this particular one.  15 Communication and I believe the staff there prepared that and I don't know who prepared this particular one.  16 the RSP stipulation.  17 one.  Q. How many people are in that Department of Communication that you referred to?  A. I don't know how many people are there.  Q. And I believe your answer touched on this our referred to?  Q. And I believe your answer touched on this our people work at the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel?  23 Counsel?  24 A. I would say about 70.	8 9	investment, so I suppose that probably Indicated that Dayton Power has incurred some environmental		8 9	back. You understand the headline to be referring to Senate Bill 221, correct?	
the RSP stipulation.  Q. Other than the environmental costs that you've identified are you aware of any other such increases that the DP&L has incurred since 2005?  A. No. Q. And I believe your answer touched on this but just so we have a clear record it's your understanding that the environmental investment rider in the 2005 RSP stipulation was intended to  16 that and I don't know who prepared this particular one. Q. How many people are in that Department of Communication that you referred to? A. I don't know how many people are there. Q. Could you tell me approximately how many people work at the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel? A. I would say about 70.	12 13	prudency review or trueup under the RSP. They are simply just characterized as environmental rider, and	!	12 13	we are looking at on behalf of the Office of the Consumers' Counsel?	
20 A. No. 21 Q. And I believe your answer touched on this 22 but just so we have a clear record it's your 23 understanding that the environmental investment rider 24 in the 2005 RSP stipulation was intended to  Page 28  A. I don't know how many people are there. 21 Q. Could you tell me approximately how many 22 people work at the Office of the Ohio Consumers' 23 Counsel? 24 A. I would say about 70.	16 17	the RSP stipulation. Q. Other than the environmental costs that		16 17	that and I don't know who prepared this particular one.	
23 understanding that the environmental investment rider 24 in the 2005 RSP stipulation was intended to  Page 28  Page 28  Counsel? 24 A. I would say about 70.	20 21	No.     Q. And I believe your answer touched on this		20 21	A. I don't know how many people are there.     Could you tell me approximately how many	
	23	understanding that the environmental investment rider		23	Counsel?	
2 you've described? 2 support personnel?			Page 28			Page 31
3 A. That's what I that's my understanding 3 A. I believe so. 4 based on the reading of the stipulation. 4 Q. Do you know if articles such as the one 5 Q. Do you have a test that you believe the 5 at that we are looking at go through a review	3 4	A. That's what I that's my understanding based on the reading of the stipulation.     Q. Do you have a test that you believe the		3 4	A. I believe so. Q. Do you know if articles such as the one	:
6 PUCO should use to determine whether costs are 7 recoverable either directly or through a deferral 8 under Ohio Revised Code 4928.143(D)? 8 A. I really don't know.	7 8	PUCO should use to determine whether costs are recoverable either directly or through a deferral under Ohio Revised Code 4928.143(D)?		7 8	process within the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel? A. I really don't know.	
9 A. I do not have the Revised Code at 10 least the section can you say that? 11 Q. Same Subsection D that we were just 12 least the section can you say that? 13 Q. There is a continuation of the article 14 and Lync the peglinging along	10 11	least the section can you say that? Q. Same Subsection D that we were just		10 11	A. Yes. Q. There is a continuation of the article	
12 looking at. 13 A. 4928.143(D)? 14 Q. If I said something different, I 15 misspoke. That's I mean to ask you about the same 12 and I want to read to you the beginning piece. Are 13 you with me? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. It says, "While the OCC worked to secure	13 14	A. 4928.143(D)? Q. If I said something different, I		13 14	you with me? A. Yes.	
16 section we have been discussing. The question is do 17 you have a test or method that you believe that the 18 PUCO should use to determine whether costs are 19 as many protections as possible for residential 19 customers, there were issues that did not come out in 19 the favor of consumers. Some negative aspects of the	16 17	section we have been discussing. The question is do you have a test or method that you believe that the PUCO should use to determine whether costs are		16 17	as many protections as possible for residential customers, there were issues that did not come out in the favor of consumers. Some negative aspects of the	i
recoverable or deferable under that section?  19 law that the OCC unfortunately could not get changed 20 A. I think the test I would propose is just 20 follow what the statutes say here, whether that 21 follow what the statutes say here, whether that 21 full purchased power, and emission allowances." Do	20 21	A. I think the test I would propose is just follow what the statutes say here, whether that		20 21	include the possibility of automatic increases for fuel, purchased power, and emission allowances." Do	
22 any costs when they are not being recovered under the 23 rate plan, I think that would definitely be a test 24 that data should be used whether those costs are not 25 any costs when they are not being recovered under the 26 you agree with that statement? 27 A. Yes. 28 Q. Could you take a look at your testimony	23	rate plan, I think that would definitely be a test		23	A. Yes.	

2 A 3 Q 4 deferra 5 of all, 6 A 7 Q 8 the rec 9 import 10 consid 11 A 12 testim 13 evalua 14 Q 15 particu 16 is a ral 17 A 18 Q 19 reques 20 the Co 21 A 22 you ex 23 Q	7, line 7.  Okay. You refer to DP&L's request for a al as a rate increase. Can you tell me, first why that point is included in your testimony? That's what I believe. Do you believe that your statement that quest for deferral is a rate increase is ant to the Commission's evaluation and eration of DP&L's request? I believe every issue I raised in my ony is important for the Commission's tion and the decision in this case, yes. Okay. And why do you believe that this liar issue meaning the request of the deferral te increase is important? I already answered that. Can you explain how the fact that the tror deferral is a rate increase should affect mmission's decision making? Can you rephrase the question or what do actly mean? Sure. You say here that the request for all sa rate increase, and you've told me that	Page 32	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	indicated that in order to comply with the existing RSP, the company will not increase its rate as a result for those related to incremental fuel-related costs.  Q. Okay. You referred to the Dayton Power and Light Company's RSP. I assume you are referring to the 2005 RSP stipulation Dayton Power and Light entered into; is that correct?  A. You mean in my testimony?  Q. No, in your answer just then.  THE WITNESS: Can you read back the answer?  (Answer read.)  A. Yes, I think that RSP referred to the '05 RSP.  Q. Okay. And on pages 8 and 9 of your testimony, you offer your opinion that the 2005 RSP stipulation prohibits DP&L from seeking a rate increase associated with fuel costs for 2009 and 2010, correct?  A. I believe my testimony used the words does not provide for the adjustment for increased fuel-related costs in 2009 and 2010.  Q. Which line were you looking at, I'm	Page 35
2 the Co 3 believe 4 Comm 5 A 6 increas 7 deferra 8 from th 9 should 10 Q 11 deferra 12 A 13 Q 14 2010 to 15 and 20 16 A 17 Q 18 A 19 is equit 20 know, 21 recovet 22 quote-1 23 cost oc	dieve that to be an important consideration for mmission. And my real question is why do you that to be important? What do you think the ission should do with that piece of information?  Well, why I think this deferral is a rate are is important is because this request for all will increase the amount of money collected are ratepayer and that the Commission shouldconsider it.  Do you agree that the request for all is not a rate increase in 2009 and 2010?  No.  Do you consider a deferral in 2009 and be the equivalent of a rate increase in 2009 and 2010?  Yes.  Why?  I think you used the word, very good, it valent. It is a rate increase. As I say, you the company filed an application, expects to the incremental cost incurred, the unquote company's definition of incremental corred in 2009 and 2010. The company expects wer those costs, and the ratepayer will pay	Page 33	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sorry, Dr. Duann?  A. I am referring to lines 14, 15, 16 of page 8. It reads, "Yes, there is. In other words, the current rate plan under the company's PUCO-approved RSP does not provide for the adjustment for increased fuel-related costs in 2009 and 2010."  Q. Okay.  A. I don't know whether is this what you are referring to when you asked the question?  Q. You are in the right area, yes. Let me ask you this, it's also true, isn't it step back.  I am going to hand you a document 1 am going to mark as Exhibit 2 and that's the 2005 RSP stipulation that we have been discussing. It's true, isn't it, that there is nothing in that document that expressly precludes DP&L from seeking to defer fuel costs that it incurs in 2009 and 2010?  A. I did not see any language or provision that specifically mentioned any adjustment for fuel-related costs in 2009 and 2010. Either way it does not allow and it does not exclude that.  MR. SHARKEY: Go off the record for a minute.  (Recess taken.)	Page 36
2 that's e 3 Q 4 up in 2 5 correct 6 A. 7 questio 8 increas 9 only co 10 also otl 11 other it 12 hesitan 13 not bec 14 Q. 15 be limit 16 that lim 17 rates p. 18 2009 o 19 deferra 20 A. 21 compar 22 limited 23 increas	I probably need you to clarify this n because when you say the rate will not e, I believe the company's application not vers those that related it to fuel, there are ners related to investment in Smart Grid and ems. So when you say the rate, I am kind of t to really say whether it would increase or cause that also includes riders so — Fair enough. My question was intended to ed to the request for fuel deferral. With sitation you would agree, wouldn't you, that aid by DP&L's customers would not increase in r in 2010 as a result of DP&L's request for a	Page 34	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Doctor, I meant to ask you this question before we started but referring specifically to the confidential version of your direct testimony, do you have any corrections or changes to that testimony that you intend to make?  A. No. Q. I believe you state in your testimony that you reviewed Senate Bill 221; is that correct?  A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. I did not say that In my testimony, but I did review it. Q. Okay. Not that it matters but you did state in your testimony that you reviewed the related statutes, that's page 4, line 3.  A. Yes, yes, I did say that. Q. Not that it matters. Are you aware of the fact that Ohio Revised Code Section 4928.66 requires DP&L to make substantial expenditures to attempt to achieve energy efficiency and demand reduction targets in that section? I see you are flipping through that section so I will just give you a copy of that section for your review.  A. Actually I did not review this section.	Page 37

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay. Let me ask the question differently. Are you aware that Senate Bill 221 has certain sections that require the Dayton Power and Light Company to make expenditures to attempt to achieve certain energy efficiency or demand reduction targets?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And would you agree that the intended effect of DP&L's expenditures on any programs it may implement would be to reduce DP&L's own sales?  MR. REESE: Objection, vague. Q. Can you answer?  THE WITNESS: Can you read back the question?  (Question read.)  A. I believe that the energy efficiency program that you are referring to and those you mentioned contained in the SB 221 is to Increase energy efficiency, and the end result may or may not reduce the DP&L's sales, own sales, if there's a substantial growth or, you know, growth, economic activities or may offset those reductions that may result from any energy efficiency programs.	Page 38	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	strike that. Your testimony does not consider or address whether — step back. You in your testimony didn't consider or address costs and risks imposed upon the Dayton Power and Light Company in Senate Bill 221; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Can I have the question read back, please? (Question read.) A. That's not correct. Q. Why not? A. My testimony looks at the company's request of authority to defer incremental fuel costs as a result of providing standard service offering. And I believe the senator — I mean, Senate Bill 221 does include a provision related to standard service offering. Q. Other than fuel-related matters in Section 4928.143(D), it's true, isn't it, that your testimony does not consider or address any other costs or risks imposed on the Dayton Power and Light Company by Senate Bill 221? A. Yes. Q. On pages 9 to 11 of your direct	Page 41
1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Fair enough. Setting aside new growth or new businesses, I am just talking about DP&L's existing customer base, and would you agree with me that the intent of the energy efficiency programs would be to reduce energy usage by those existing DP&L customers?  MR. RESE: Objection. It's beyond the scope of his testimony.  A. Yeah. My testimony does not deal with energy efficiency.  Q. No, I understand your testimony doesn't address that, but my question just is simply would you agree based on your experience and knowledge in the electric industry, that the purpose of an energy efficiency program is to reduce energy usage by customers?  A. I do not agree with that.  Q. Why not?  A. It really depends on what kind of energy efficiency program it is possible that, you know, you increase energy efficiency, but you also increase the usage, so the net effect could be a reduction in usage or could be maintain the same level or it could be increased.	Page 39	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	testimony, the question begins on page 9, line 14, "Does continuation of the current RSP rates financially harm DP&L," and you then proceed to answer that question, correct?  A. Yes.  Q. And the substance of your answer to paraphrase your words seems to be the Dayton Power and Light Company has enough money that it doesn't need to defer fuel costs; is that fair?  A. My answer to question 12 "Does a continuation of the RSP rate financially harm DP&L," and my answer is that "The company has not claimed that the current RSP rates are causing financial harm to the company." And I also looked at a very small part of the company's financial data which is its dividend and I also rely on OCC Witness Woolridge and I came to the conclusion that the continuation of the current RSP rate does not financially harm DP&L.  Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact that Senate Bill 221 has what I will describe as a significantly excessive earnings test?  A. Yes.  Q. Are you aware that under Ohio Revised Code §4928.143(D) the Dayton Power and Light Company	Page 42
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Would you agree that Senate Bill 221 imposes upon utilities a new set of costs and risks including the energy efficiency demand response targets that we've been discussing? MR. REESE: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yeah, I have already answered that. It's beyond the scope of my testimony. Q. Whether it's within the scope or not do you know the answer to my question? A. My answer to your question would be Senate Bill 221 did propose energy efficiency requirement under electric utility in Ohio and that's my answer. Q. And you would agree that those new requirements propose new costs and risks upon the Dayton Power and Light Company that didn't exist before SB 221 was enacted, correct? MR. REESE: Objection, beyond the scope. A. I have not analyzed those provisions, those requirements in detail to give an answer on whether they impose costs and risk or benefit or opportunities. I simply don't know. Q. So your testimony doesn't include any	Page 40	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is not subject to that test in 2009 and 2010?  A. Yes. Q. Would you agree with me that DP&L's earnings including its strike that. Would you agree with me that DP&L's earnings were a matter of public record and were public knowledge when Senate Bill 221 was enacted?  A. Yes. Q. Page 6 of your testimony, line 10, you A. Yes. Q. You refer to conversations that you had with OCC counsel. Do you see that? A. My testimony does not indicate any confirmation any conversation with OCC counsel. Q. Okay. Well, it says that your understanding of Senate Bill 221 has been confirmed by OCC's counsel, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. How is your understanding confirmed? Meaning was it oral or was it via e-mail or some other method? A. My testimony has been reviewed by the OCC's DP&L team and it has also been reviewed by the management of OCC and both the DP&L case team and	Page 43

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	management, you know, both of have attorneys and they review it and they they review it, they provide comments, provide suggest changes to my testimony and this is the the end result is my testimony.  Q. So there wasn't any specific conversation or writing in which an OCC attorney confirmed your understanding of Senate Bill 221?  A. I believe it is when we when we the team prepared, we discussed this issue, and I expressed the assets maybe not exactly words, the essence of my understanding here and there's to my recollection I don't believe there is any anybody said, oh, your understanding is wrong. So probably, you know, we had a meeting, so I don't know whether you can say there was a conversation or not.  Q. When you refer to OCC's case team, who is on that case team?  A. I believe there may be like 10 to 12 people.  Q. Okay. The does the case team include	Page 44	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1	amount DP&L incurs in those same seven FERC accounts related to fuel in 2009 and 2010, correct?  A. Yes. As a general description, I think that is true, but I think the company's proposal also indicated that you have to allocate those costs to jurisdictional sales customer and nonjurisdictional and then you calculate the quote-unquote fuel costs per kilowatt hour and you compare those two. You calculate the difference and you times the the jurisdictional sales you came up and you record that in in other regulatory assets.  Q. Okay. Fair enough. Why don't you set aside the allocation questions because I understand those were covered by Mr. Yankel in his testimony.  A. Yes.  Q. You understand that the Dayton Power and Light Company's proposal is to compare the amount it is recovering in its current rate plan associated with fuel which the company calculates to be 1.8 cents to the fuel-related costs that it incurs in those seven FERC accounts in 2009 and 2010; is that	Page 47
22 23	all of the OCC representatives who have filed testimony?		22	fair? A. Yes.	
24	A. I believe so.		24	Q. You would agree with me that the let	
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay. And does it include the attorneys who have been representing OCC in public fillings?  A. Can you explain what you mean by public fillings? I really don't understand what you mean. Q. Does it include Jackie Roberts, Mike Idzkowski, Rick Reese, and A. Greg. MR. REESE: Greg Poulos. Q. Greg Poulos? A. Yeah. Q. Does it include anybody else? A. You mean the attorney or other? Q. Does the case team include any person besides the people who filed testimony and the four attorneys we've identified? A. Yes, it does, yes. Q. Who else is on the case team? A. // I think Beth Hixon, Karen Hardy, Dave Cleaver, and I think Chris also is on the case team and Stacia Harper. And I think there may be some communication people also members of the case team, have you ever discussed whether Section 4928.143(D) was intended to permit the Dayton Power and Light	Page 45	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	me step back.  Your recommendation is the 2008 costs is actual 2008 fuel costs incurred recorded in those seven accounts, correct?  THE WITNESS: Can I have the question read back?  (Question read.)  Q. Let me strike that. I will just ask you a question more directly. Your recommendation for calculating the base would be actual 2008 costs, correct?  A. The actual costs in those seven fuel-related accounts, yes.  Q. And you would agree with me, I assume, that the rates calculated and set in DP&L's 2005 RSP stipulation were not and could not have been based upon actual costs DP&L incurs in 2008, correct?  THE WITNESS: Can you read back the question?  (Question read.)  A. I'm hesitant to answer this question because the word "rate calculated" is not clear to me because my belief is there is no such thing as a fuel rate per se in the 2005 RSP case, so in the 2005 RSP	Page 48
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Company to recover or defer fuel costs?  A. I think we discussed that, yes. Q. Okay. Was your conclusion that it was intended to permit DP&L to recover for deferred fuel costs?  MR. REESE: Objection.  A. I think I already answered that. We discussed it, yes. Q. Yes. And the answer was that, yes, it was intended to do so?  A. I think in my testimony already say that. Q. Let's turn our focus and for the next series of questions I have for you I want you to assume that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has decided to permit the Dayton Power and Light Company to defer fuel costs, and the questions are designed to figure out how the deferral amount should be calculated. Does that make sense to you?  A. Yes. Q. Your recommendation as I understand it is that the amount of the deferral should be calculated by comparing the amount the Dayton Power and Light Company records in the seven FERC accounts related to fuel in 2008, that amount should be compared to the	Page 46	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there is a rate and that rate is a negotiated rate amount to various parties so that's the reason I kind of hesitate. If we are talking about those negotiated rates that enter into 2005, I believe they are certainly not related to the fuel costs in 2008.  Q. I want you to assume that the PUCO decides that DP&L should be permitted to defer costs not being recovered under DP&L's RSP rate plan. If the PUCO were to reach that conclusion, would you agree that DP&L's proposed methodology for calculating the amount of the deferral was correct?  A. No.  Q. Why not?  A. Because I think you say that the Commission will allow DP&L to recover costs that are above and beyond those recovered in its current RSP rate and and my projection is those rates recover under that my position is the actual fuel costs of DP&L in 2008 are already recovered under the existing RSP rate.  Q. Why do you believe that to be true?  A. Because there is no evidence to indicate that DP&L was underrecovering its fuel costs in 2008.  Q. Well, would you agree with me that let	Page 49

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	me step back.  Are you familiar with how the Dayton Power and Light Company calculated its proposed 1.8 cent base?  A. Yes. Q. And you understand that 1.8 cents is comprised of 1.3 cents in fuel costs from DP&L's last EFC case and an additional .5 cents associated with the rate stabilization charge, correct?  A. I think that's what the company claimed, yes. Q. Do you agree that those are the amounts that form the base of DP&L's current rates as set by the RSP stipulation?  A. No. Q. Okay. How do you believe that the rates set in the current RSP stipulation as they relate to fuel were set?  A. As I said earlier, I believe the existing RSP does not include a fuel component in its rates. Q. You understand, don't you, that the rate — the generation rate that DP&L has set includes recovery of costs for a number of items including among other things fuel and a reasonable	Page 50	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 122 133 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	seven FERC accounts identified in DP&L Witness Campbell's testimony?  A. Yes. Q. And you also understand, don't you, that DP&L doesn't seek to defer the total amounts incurred in those seven FERC accounts but only seeks to defer the fuel-related amounts in those seven FERC accounts, correct?  A. I believe those seven accounts identified by Mr. Campbell, they are all related to fuel broadly defined, so I really don't know what you know, I don't understand your question. Q. Well, let me ask it differently. Is it your belief that the amounts you show on Table 1 are all fuel related? A. Yes. Q. If you would turn to page 20 of your testimony, Dr. Duann. A. Yes. Q. On line 12 you discuss fuel costs overrecovery and opine that fuel cost overrecovery is a real possibility. Can you tell me what you mean by fuel cost overrecovery? A. My recollection for calculating the	Page 53
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	return on the Dayton Power & Light Company's generating assets, correct?  A. You are referring to the quote-unquote the generation rates in the current ESP the current RSP?  Q. Yes.  A. As I recall, I read in the in the RSP I believe there's a three-rate component. The first one is market-based rate. Then there's rate stable stabilization charge. Then there is an environmental investment rider layer, three-rate component in the existing RSP.  Q. Okay. And do you understand the market-based rate to have been a continuation of DP&L's historic generation rates?  A. I don't know whether that's true or not.  Q. So you don't know how the market-based rate DP&L has set its recovery was set, correct?  A. I know that rate along with the other two rates is a product of negotiation among the parties.  Q. Okay.  A. It's a negotiated rate.  Q. But you don't know how it was agreed strike that.	Page 51	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	incremental fuel costs is to compare the seven fuel-related accounts in 2008 and 2009 and adjust data for those jurisdictional and nonjurisdictional sales, so when I say fuel costs underrecovery, it means the calculated result of those seven fuel-related accounts in 2009 is higher than the result for 2008. When I say fuel costs overrecovery, I mean those the calculated fuel costs in 2009 is less than the fuel costs calculated in 2008 so that's what I mean.  Q. On page 17, line 16, you refer to operating and maintenance expenses. Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Would you agree strike that, Would you expect the Dayton Power and Light Company to incur operating and maintenance expenses associated with fuel?  A. Actually I don't understand what the company means by operating and maintenance expenses, you know, included in the fuel deferral account. I simply don't understand that because I did not see it in the application or did not see it in the testimony explaining that, and it was included in the company's confidential discovery response.	Page 54
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You don't know how the parties reached an agreement as to that rate, correct?  A. No, I don't know. Q. So you don't know whether it was intended to recover specific items of costs that the Dayton Power and Light Company recovers, do you?  A. As I say, you know, there is a three-rate component in the RSP.  MR. SHARKEY: Okay. Let's — let's go off the record for just a moment.  (Discussion off the record.) Q. Go back on the record. Dr. Duann, on page 15 of your testimony, you include a chart that shows DP8t.'s fuel costs in 2005, 2006, 2007, and the first 11 months of 2008, correct?  A. Yes. Q. And that chart was prepared based upon testimony provided by the Dayton Power and Light Company to OCC during discovery, correct?  A. It's contained in the DP8t.'s discovery response to OCC.  Q. Okay. And do you understand the amounts listed there to include the total amounts that the	Page 52	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Do you know whether applicable FERC accounting procedures require the company to include operating and maintenance expenses in any of those seven FERC accounts that we have been discussing?  A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. You discuss also in that same answer the company's proposed carrying costs of 13.32 percent associated with fuel deferral. Do you see that?  A. Yes. Q. And you understand, don't you, that the 13.32 percent is DP&L's proposed cost of capital that has been grossed up for tax recovery, correct?  A. I think that's what it said in Mr. Campbell's testimony. Q. And you would agree, wouldn't you, that it's appropriate for DP&L let me step back.  Assuming the PUCO approves a deferral of fuel costs for the Dayton Power and Light Company, you would agree, wouldn't you, that it's appropriate for DP&L to recover carrying costs on that deferral?  A. That's not in my testimony and I have no opinion on that particular issue. Q. Can you tell me then why it is that your testimony refers to carrying costs and carrying costs	Page 55

1 2			1 CERTIFICATE 2 State of Ohio : : SS:	Page 59
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question is "What are the company's estimated fuel deferral and the carrying costs for 2009 and 2010," and I provide that based on company's discovery response and I also indicated that the carrying costs as calculated based on the carrying cost effect of 13.32 percent. That's what the company proposed. I am just stating the facts.  Q. So you are not agreeing or disagreeing with the company's request to recover carrying costs and its calculation of those carrying costs? That's outside the scope of your testimony?  A. That's true.  MR. SHARKEY: Go off the record.  (Discussion off the record.)  Q. I have a few more questions. I don't think this will take long, but as any lawyer will tell you, those are famous last words. You would agree with me, wouldn't you, fuel is a cost item that the Dayton Power and Light Company would incur to provide a standard service offer to customers?		3 County of Frankin :  1, Karen Sue Gibson, Notary Public in and for the State of Ohlo, July commissioned and qualified, certify that the within named Ganiel Dunn was by me duly owner to testify to the whole truth in the cause aforesaid, that the testimony was taken down by me in stenotypy in the presence of said whoreas, afterwards transmitted upon a compute; that the foreigning is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by said witness taken at the time and place in the foreigning caption specified and completed without adjournment.  1 Foreitfy that I am not a relative, employee, or attorney of any of the parties hereoty or of any attorney or ourneal employed by the parties, or financially interested in the action.  1 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereonto set my hand and affect any seal of office at Columbus, Ohlo, on this 3rd day of February, 2009.  14 Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Metric Report and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohlo.  14 My commission expires August 14, 2010.  18 (KSG-5041)	
	Page 5.	,		
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And so my record is clear from earlier conversations you you and I had from our earlier conversation it's true, Isn't It, that the OCC case team has discussed the fact that Section 4928.143(D) was intended to permit DP&L to recover or defer fuel costs?  MR. REESE: Objection.  A. Your question asked whether we have a discussion of that?  Q. Whether you have had those discussions.  A. Yes, I believe that In the in our case team meeting we discussed a lot of things, and we probably discussed this, yes.  MR. SHARKEY: I don't have any more questions at this time, so we can go off the record.  (Discussion off the record.)  (Thereupon, the deposition was concluded at 12:13 p.m.)			
	Page 58	1		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	State of Ohio  : S5:  County of  I, Daniel Duann, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Monday, February 2, 2009; that together with the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct.  Daniel Duann  I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Daniel Duann was submitted to the witness for reading and signing; that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition, he signed the same in my presence on the day of 2008.  Notary Public  My commission expires			

A
account 54:20
accounting 1:11 26:20 55:2
accounts 46:23 47:1,2
48:4,13 53:1,6,8,9
54:2,6 55:4
accrued 25:11
accurately 21:14
achieve 37:20 38:5 action 59:11
activities 38:23
actual 48:3,10,12,17
49:18
additional 50:8
address 39:12 41:2,4
41:20
addresses 14:22
adjournment 59:9
adjust 54:2
adjustment 14:19
35:22 36:5,19
advise 22:7
AEP 14:5,9,13,15 15:4
AEP's 15:8
affect 32:19
affirmative 5:24
affixed 59:13
aforesaid 59:6
ago 9:21 11:18 13:2
agree 19:14,17 22:23
23:18 25;4 29:24
31:22 33:10 34:3,16
38:8 39:3,13,17 40:1
40:15 43:3,5 47:24
40:15 43:3,5 47:24 48:14 49:10,24 50:12
54:14 55:15,19 56:21
agreed 51:23
agreeing 56:11
agreement 52:2
ahead 16:20
allocate 47:5
allocated 13:16
allocation 13:13,17
47:13
allocations 8:13
allow 36:21 49:15
allowances 31:21
Allwein 2:8
Amended 1:15 18:13
American 6:20 9:12
14:18
amount 11:17 19:24
26:14 27:1 33:7
46:17,21,22,24 47:1
47:17 49:2,11
amounts 19:15 50:12
L

52:22,23 53:5,7,14 analysis 8:16 29:11 analyst 13:6 analyze 13:9 analyzed 8:5 40:20 answer 19:16 20:12,20 20:22,24 21:3,9 22:15,19 26:19 27:21 35:10,12,13 38:13 40:10,11,14,21 42:4 42:6,10,12 46:9 48:21 55:5.6 answered 20:19 32:17 40:6,7 46:7 answers 5:19 Anthony 16:23 anybody 44:13 45:11 anyway 27:6 APPEARANCES 2:1 applicable 3:8 55:1 Applicant 2:5 3:7 application 1:3,6,9,13 17:14 33:20 34:8 54:22 appropriate 55:16,19 approval 1:4,7,10,14 17:15 26:11 approve 24:9,10,20,21 approved 25:20 approves 55:17 approximately 9:17 13:2 30:21 area 36:10 article 30:1,7 31:11 articles 30:11 31:4 articulating 6:6 aside 25:23 29:9 39:1 47:13 asked 9:22 36:9 40:5 57:8 asking 6:4 11:15 12:7 20:15 22:4 25:7,10 25:12 26:11,13,16 aspects 8:1,2 31:18 assets 44:11 47:11 51:2 assigned 9:8 14:7 associated 35:19 47:18 50:8 54:17 55:8 Association 6:21 9:12 assume 9:13 35:6 46:14 48:14 49:6 Assuming 55:17 attached 58:4 attempt 37:20 38:4 attempting 11:11 attorney 44:7 45:12 59:10,11

attorneys

31:1 44:1 45:1,15 August 6:16,24 29:17 59:17 authority 1:11 41:13 automatic 31:20 34:22 aware 27:18 37:17 38:2 42:19,23 a.m 1:23 B back 7:10 13:1 14:21 22:22 30:8 35:11 36:11 38:14 41:2,8 48:1,6,18 50:1 52:12 55:16 base 15:9 39:3 48:10 50:4,13 based 28:4 30:13 48:16

back 7:10 13:1 14:21 base 15:9 39:3 48:10 based 28:4 39:13 48:16 52:17 56:6.8 basically 10:11 beginning 23:9 31:12 begins 23:11 24:7 42:1 behalf 2:5,10 16:24 17:4 30:12 belief 48:23 53:14 believe 5:10,13 8:18 10:17 11:7,9 16:17 17:16 19:6,9 20:22 22:6 24:17,22 26:24 27:21 28:5,17 29:7 30:10,15 31:3 32:6,7 32:11,14 33:1,3 34:8 35:21 37:7 38:17 41:15 44:9,13,19,24 49:4,21 50:16,19 51:8 53:9 57:11 benefit 40:22 best 8:18 9:8 11:16 12:7 22:15,19 26:10 Beth 45:18 beyond 23:16,21 34:1 39:7 40:8,19 49:16 Bill 30:2,9 37:8 38:2 40:1,12 41:5,15,22 42:20 43:7,16 44:8 binder 16:10,13 17:13 binders 16:5 Book 17:18 18:21,24 19:2 break 6:9,11 bring 15:20,23 16:13 16:13,21 17:5,7,13 17:17,19,21,23 18:6 18:8,12,16,19,22 19:1.5 Broad 1:21 2:8

brought 16:8 19:9,12 business 7:5,6,7 12:19 12:24 businesses 39:2

C C 24:21,24 calculate 15:9,10 47:7 47:9 calculated 15:1 46:18 46:21 48:15,22 50:3 54:5,8,9 56:8 calculates 47:19 calculating 48:10 49:11 53:24 calculation 56:13 called 3:7 30:14 Campbell 18:20 53:10 Campbell's 53:2 55:14 cap 15:12 capacity 8:6,8 capital 55:11 caption 59:8 carrying 55:7,20,24,24 56:5,7,8,12,13 case 1:4,7,10,14 4:5 14:2,3,6,7,8 17:18,21 17:22 18:1,1,2,4,7,9 18.10,18,18,22,24 19:2 32:13 43:24 44:17,18,21 45:13,17 45:19,21,22 48:24 50:8 57:3,11 cases 13:10 18:19 cause 59:5 caused 12:22 causes 26:23 causing 42:13 cent 50:4 cents 47:20 50:6,7,8 certain 1:10 14:11 15:20 38:3,5 certainly 24:24 49:5 **CERTIFICATE 59:1** certified 5:3 certify 58:3,9 59:5,10 change 22:16 changed 31:19 changes 22:24 23:2 37:4 44:3 58:4 character 3:11 characterized 11:2

27:13

charge 50:9 51:10

chart 52:13,17

Chicago 6:21

choice 13:17

chosen 10:10

Chris 2:8 45:19 cited 19:6,10 Civil 3:8 claimed 42:12 50:10 clarify 34:6 clause 23:10 24:4 25:5 clean 22:20 clear 5:24 27:22 48:22 57:1 Cleaver 45:19 client 22:7 clients 12:16 closed 7:6 coal 8:12,12,12,16,23 9:10,14,19,23 21:10 21:13,14,17,18,20,24 22:15 Code 1:12 17:6 23:5 28:8,9 37:18 42:24 cogeneration 8:7 colleagues 10:18 collected 33:7 Columbus 1:22 2:9 7:1 14:2 59:13 come 12:23 31:17 comments 44:3 Commerce 6:23 9:17 commission 1:1 6:23 9:18 17:20,24 18:8 24:9,20 33:2,4,8 46:14 49:15 58:16 59:17 commissioned 59:4 commissions 10:8 Commission's 32:9.12 32:20 commodity 12:4 communication 30:15 30:19 45:21 company 1:4,7,10,14 5:8 17:12,14,18 18:13 19:20 20:8,9 20:10,11 26:1,2,8,17 33:20,23 34:24 35:2 38:4 40:17 41:5,22 42:8,12,14,24 46:1 46:16,23 47:19 50:3 50:10 52:6,19,24 54:16,19 55:2,18 56:2,9,22 company's 8:5 17:8 18:17 33:22 34:8,21 35:6 36:4 41:12 42:15 47:4,17 51:1 54:23 55:7 56:4,6,12 compare 47:8,17 54:1 compared 46:24

comparing

broadly 53:10

				<del></del>
46:22	58:4	17:17 18:13,16,21	18:20,23 19:1 37:3	5:2 59:4,5
compensate 28:1	corrections 37:4	19:19 26:1,2,7,13,17	41:24	duties 13:4,8
complete 19:7	cost 8:12 12:2,3 19:19	26:20,23 27:5,7,9	directly 28:7 48:9	duty 13:6
completed 59:8	25:5,19 26:14 33:21	35:5,7 38:3 40:17	disagreeing 56:11	440, 15.0
compliance 27:6	33:23 53:21,23 55:11	41:4,21 42:7,24	disapprove 24:10	E
comply 24:14 29:4 35:1	56:8,21	45:24 46:15,22 47:16	discovery 52:19,20	earlier 50:19 57:1,2
	costs 8:16 9:14,19		54:24 56:6	earnings 42:21 43:4,6
component 50:20 51:8	1	50:2 51:1 52:5,18,24		ecommerce 12:17
51:12 52:8 56:24	10:19 11:8 13:14,16	54:15 55:18 56:1,22 deal 39:9	discuss 53:20 55:6	economic 38:22
comprised 50:7	13:19 14:20 15:1,5		discussed 44:10 45:23	economist 7:3
computer 59:7	15:10,11 19:15,18,24	December 7:4,7 17:12	46:2,8 57:4,12,13	*
concluded 57:17	20:4,17 24:12 25:4,9	23:16,21	discussing 28:16 36:14	EFC 50:8
conclusion 42:17 46:3	25:11,11,13,16,24	decided 46:15	40:4 55:4	effect 10:19 38:9 39:22
49:9	26:4,7 27:4,17 28:6	decides 49:7	discussion 52:11 56:17	56:8
conduct 10:14	28:18,22,24 29:8	decision 32:13,20	57:9,16	efficiency 37:20 38:5
conducted 11:12	33:24 35:4,19,23	decrease 21:19	discussions 57:10	38:17,20,24 39:4,10
confidential 16:22 37:3	36:6,17,20 40:2,16	defer 14:24 15:5,12	disprove 24:21	39:15,20,21 40:3,12
54:24	40:22 41:4,13,21	29:8 36:16 41:13	dispute 25:1	either 15:3 28:7 36:20
confirmation 43:14	42:9 46:1,5,16 47:5,7	42:9 46:1,16 49:7	dissertation 6:18,19	electric 1:5 7:14,20 9:7
confirmed 43:16,20	47:20 48:2,3,10,12	53:5,6 57:5	distribution 12:5,17	10:20 11:6 13:10,20
44:7	48:17 49:5,7,15,18	deferable 28:19	23:15,20	13:23 14:18 17:15
consider 19:23 20:3,5	49:23 50:7,23 52:5	deferral 14:20 24:12	dividend 42:16	23:15,19 24:8,19
20:16,17 21:1,11,13	52:14 53:20 54:1,4,7	25:9 28:7 32:4,8,15	division 6:15 7:11,18	39:14 40:13
29:10 33:9,13 41:1,3	54:8,9 55:7,18,20,24	32:19,24 33:5,7,11	7:23 24:10,17,21,22	electricity 30:5
41:20	55:24 56:5,7,12,13	33:13 34:15,19,21	24:24	emission 31:21
consideration 32:10	57:6	46:17,21 49:11 54:20	Doctor 5:6 37:1	employed 59:11
33:1	counsel 1:21 2:6 3:5	55:8,17,20 56:5	doctorate 6:17	employee 59:10
considering 34:20	7:9 13:7 16:15 17:1,5	deferred 46:4	document 16:16,17	employment 6:13
constantly 22:17	17:9 29:17,21 30:13	defined 53:11	29:14,16 30:1 36:12	enacted 23:19 24:2
consultant 7:6 12:11	30:23 31:7 43:12,14	definitely 28:23	36:15	25:17 26:4 40:18
12:15,23	43:17 59:11	definition 33:22	documents 15:20,23	43:7
consultants 14:11	County 58:2 59:3	degree 6:14	17:11 19:7,10,11	energy 6:16 7:11,18
consulted 12:16	couple 5:14	delivery 12:3	29:22	30:1,5 37:20 38:5,17
consumers 1:21 2:6,10	course 29:11	demand 37:20 38:5	doing 7:23 8:16 12:17	38:20,24 39:4,5,10
4:4 7:9 13:7 17:1,5,9	court 5:17,22 6:6	40:3	12:17,17	39:14,15,19,21 40:3
29:17,21 30:13,22	Courthouse 2:3	department 6:16 30:14	Dona 19:1	40:12
31:6,18	covered 47:14	30:14,18	download 12:18	enter 49:4
contained 17:6 27:15	covers 34:9	depends 39:19	DP&L 13:14,20 14:24	entered 35:8
38:19 52:20	Cox 2:2	deposes 5:3	16:18 19:15,18 23:19	entitled 29:8
continuation 24:14	CPA 18:20	deposition 1:17 3:6 4:3	23:24 25:12,18 27:19	environmental 26:22
29:3 31:11 42:2,11	criteria 8:9	5:9,15 15:16,17,20	28:1 29:7 35:18	27:7,9,13,17,23 28:1
				34:23 51:11
42:17 51:14	current 36:4 42:2,13	16:11 19:4 57:17	36:16 37:19 39:6	equivalent 33:14,19
conversation 5:16	42:18 47:18 49:16	58:3,9,11	42:3,11,18 43:23,24 46:4 47:1 48:17 49:7	34:2
43:14 44:6,16 57:3	50:13,17 51:4,5	describe 6:13 10:3,16		
conversations 43:11	customer 39:3 47:6	12:13 16:9 42:20	49:15,19,23 50:22	ESP 14:2,3,9,10,11,15
45:22 57:2	customers 31:17 34:17	described 28:2	51:18 53:1,5 55:16	51:4 essence 44:12
copy 15:15 16:14,16	39:6,16 56:23	description 47:3	55:20 57:5	J i
23:7,8,10 24:18	D	designed 46:17	DP&L's 20:4,17 32:3	established 26:12
37:23	D 22.10.12.24.24.11.22	detail 40:21	32:10 34:4,17,18	estimated 56:4
Corner 4:4	D 23:10,12,24 24:11,23	determine 28:6,18	38:9,10,21 39:2 43:3	evaluation 32:9,13
Corporate 1:15 18:14	25:1 28:11	Development 6:16	43:5 48:15 49:8,10	Everybody 22:23
correct 26:18 30:9 34:5	Daniel 1:18 3:6 5:1	difference 47:9	50:7,13 51:15 52:14	evidence 49:22
35:8,20 37:8 40:18	58:3,7,9 59:5	different 5:16 10:9	52:20 55:11	exactly 8:20 11:13
41:6,10 42:4 43:17	data 28:24 42:15 54:3	20:14,14 22:18 25:2	Dr 19:14 36:1 52:12	32:22 44:11
47:2 48:4,11,17	dated 17:12	28:14	53:18	EXAMINATION 5:4
49:11 50:9 51:2,18	Dave 45:18	differently 38:2 53:13	Duann 1:18 3:7 5:1	examined 58:11
52:2,15,19 53:8	day 58:11 59:13	digital 12:17	19:14 36:1 52:12	excessive 42:21
55:12 58:5 59:7	Dayton 1:3,6,9,13 2:4	direct 16:22,23,23 17:3	53:18 58:3,7,9 59:5	exclude 36:21
correction	2:10 5:7 17:8,11,14		duly	Excluding 25:16
		L		<u> </u>

				, age
Exhibit 4:3 18:14 29:15	32:4 51:8 52:15	goes 24:15	includes 31:1 34:13	J 16:23 17:3
29:15 36:13	FirstEnergy 14:3 15:4	going 6:4 22:3 29:14,15	50:23	Jackie 45:5
exist 40:17	flip 23:9	36:12,13	including 8:12 40:3	Jacqueline 2:7
existing 35:1 39:3,5	flipping 37:22	good 5:6 33:18	43:4 50:24	Janine 1:20 2:6
49:19 50:19 51:12	focus 7:24 14:4 15:1	Greg 45:7,8,9	increase 15:12,13	January 7:9 22:17
expect 54:15	25:1 46:12	Gregory 18:20	21:17 26:23 27:1	Jeff 5:7
expectation 21:22	follow 28:21	Grid 34:10	32:4,8,16,19,24 33:6	Jeffrey 2:2
22:21	following 29:2	grossed 55:12	33:7,11,14,19 34:2,8	job 7:8,20
expectations 22:4	follows 5:3	ground 5:15	34:12,17,23 35:2,19	July 21:17 29:17
expects 33:20,23	forecast 7:19,20,21,22	growth 38:22,22 39:1	38:19 39:21,21	July/August 4:4
expenditures 37:19	8:1,3 9:6	guess 22:8	increased 35:22 36:6	jurisdictional 47:6,10
38:4,9	forecasting 8:12		39:24	54:3
expenses 54:12,17,19	forecasts 7:13	H	increases 25:18 26:3,18	
55:3	foregoing 58:3,9 59:7,8	half 21:16	27:19 31:20	K
experience 39:13	form 50:13 58:5	halfway 24:5	incremental 15:11	Karen 1:18 45:18 59:4
experienced 21:18 26:3	forward 21:7	hand 16:16 29:14	24:11 25:8 33:21,22	59:15
expires 58:16 59:17	four 45:14	36:12 59:13	35:3 41:13 54:1	kilowatt 47:8
explain 13:15 32:18	Franklin 59:3	handed 23:8	incur 19:18 25:13	kind 16:16 34:11 39:19
45:3	fresh 5:11	happen 22:3	54:16 56:22	49:2
explaining 54:23	fuel 9:4,5,14,19 10:14	happened 11:17	incurred 25:18 26:13	know 5:6 6:3,10 9:3,20
expressed 44:11	10:19,22,23 11:2,7	hard 6:8	26:17 27:9,19 29:3	9:21 11:1,22 15:9
expressly 36:16	11:19,22 12:9 13:13	Hardy 45:18	33:21 48:3 53:5	18:5 19:21 20:6,11
extended 23:21	13:16,19 14:19,19,24	harm 42:3,11,13,18	incurs 19:15 24:14	20:12,22 21:3,4,5,24
extends 23:16	15:5,8,10,11 19:15	Harper 45:20	26:8 36:17 47:1,20	22:9,10,11 23:3 24:1
e-mail 43:20	19:18,24,24 20:4,17	head 5:21,21	48:17	24:1,23 25:17 26:2
	21:1,4 25:4,11,13,16	headline 30:4,8	independent 7:6 12:11	26:16 30:16,20 31:4
F	25:24 26:15 29:8	hereinafter 5:2	12:14,23	31:8 33:20 34:21
F 18:23	31:21 34:9,15 35:19	hereto 58:4 59:10	INDEX 4:1	36:8 38:22 39:20
fact 29:20 32:18 37:18	36:16 41:13 42:9	hereunto 59:12	indicate 43:13 49:22	40:10,23 44:1,15,15
42:19 57:4	46:1,4,16,24 47:2,7	hesitant 34:12 48:21	indicated 27:8 35:1	51:16,17,19,23 52:1
factor 56:1	47:19 48:3,23 49:5	hesitate 49:3	47:5 56:7	52:3,4,7 53:11,11
factors 29:10	49:18,23 50:7,18,20	high 21:16	industrial 8:6	54:20 55:1,5
facts 56:10	50:24 52:14 53:10,15	higher 54:6	industry 39:14	knowledge 8:18 39:13
fair 11:15 34:14 39:1	53:20,21,23 54:1,4,7	historic 51:15	information 26:21 33:4	43:7
42:9 47:12,22	54:8,9,17,20 55:8,18	history 6:14 16:14	initial 18:18	knows 22:2
fall 25:5	56:4,21 57:5	Hixon 45:18	inquiring 11:16	KSG-5041 59:18
familiar 29:20 50:2	fuel-related 10:19	hour 47:8	institute 7:2,3 10:5	L
famous 56:20	14:14 35:3,23 36:6	huh-uh 5:23	intend 37:5	·
Faruki 2:2	36:20 41:18 47:20	T	intended 27:24 34:14	L 1:20 2:6,7
favor 31:18	48:13 53:7 54:2,6	ICC 0.01	38:9 45:24 46:4,10	language 36:18
February 1:22 3:2	fundamental 14:22	ICC 9:21	52:4 57:5	large 19:19,21,23 20:5
22:18 58:4 59:13	future 22:4,5,15 23:2	identified 4:3 14:9	intent 39:4	20:9,10,13,18,24
FERC 46:23 47:1,21	30:5	27:18 45:15 53:1,9	interested 59:11	21:19
53:1,6,7 55:1,4	G	identify 9:8	Interrogatories 17:10	law 30:1,5 31:19
figure 46:17		Idzkowski 45:6	investment 26:22 27:6	lawyer 56:19
filed 33:20 44:22 45:14	gas 10:24 11:21,22,24	Illinois 6:22 9:17	27:8,10,11,23 28:1	layer 51:11
filing 14:9,15 18:17,18	11:24 12:1,3,4 13:10	implement 38:10	34:10,23 51:11	legislation 7:17
filings 45:2,4	general 21:8 47:3	important 32:9,12,16	Ireland 2:2	legislature 24:2
finally 6:9	generating 51:2	33:1,3,6	issue 30:3 32:11,15	let's 7:10 14:4 25:23
financial 42:13,15	generation 8:5 27:2	impose 40:22	44:10 55:22	46:12 52:9,9
financially 42:3,11,18	50:22 51:4,15 Ciboon 1:18 50:4 15	imposed 41:4,21	issued 15:16 29:16	level 39:23
59:11 Finding 18:0	Gibson 1:18 59:4,15	imposes 40:2	issues 8:20,21 9:8,10	Light 1:4,7,10,14 2:10
Finding 18:9	give 5:14 22:16,19 23:7	incentive 10:19	14:9,11 29:21 31:17	5:8 17:8,11,14,18
fine 16:12	37:22 40:21	include 24:8,19 29:12	item 25:19 56:21	18:13,16,22 19:20
finish 6:4	given 58:3 59:7	31:20 40:24 41:16	items 16:10 19:19,22	26:1,2,7,13,17,23
finished 6:5,17,18	go 7:10 16:20 31:5 34:3	44:21 45:1,5,11,13	34:11 50:23 52:5	35:6,7 38:4 40:17
first 5:2,19 14:5,23	36:22 52:9,12 56:16	50:20 52:13,23 55:2	J	41:5,21 42:8,24
15:2 16:13 21:15	57:15	included 32:5 54:20,23	<u>J</u>	45:24 46:15,22 47:17
<del> </del>		<del></del>	AL NO CONTRACT OF STREET TO SERVICE	

10:6 17:14,18 18:13,16,21 50:3 51:1 52:6,18,24 Medical 6:20 9:12 38:12 39:7 40:5,19 original 27:3 54:16 55:18 56:1,22 meeting 44:15 57:12 46:6 57:7 19:19 26:1,2,7,13,17 outside 14:10 56:14 Light's 27:5 member 14:8 OCC 12:23 13:2,5,13 26:23 27:5,9 31:21 overrecovery 53:21,21 35:5,7 38:3 40:17 limitation 34:16 members 45:21 13:19 31:15,19 42:16 53:23 54:7 limited 34:15,22 memory 5:12 43:12,14,24 44:7,22 41:4,21 42:7,24 line 23:9 32:1 35:24 mentioned 36:19 38:19 45:2 52:19,21 57:3 overview 17:16 45:24 46:15,22 47:16 37:15 42:1 43:9 Merit 59:16 occurred 33:23 50:3 51:1 52:6.18.24 P 53:20 54:11 method 28:17 43:21 OCC's 43:17,23 44:17 54:15 55:18 56:1,22 page 29:24 30:4 31:9 lines 36:2 **ODOE** 6:19 8:23 Power's 26:20 27:7 methodology 49:10 list 16:14 19:7 Migden-Ostrander offer 17:19 18:21 19:1 32:1 36:3 37:15 42:1 precisely 25:12 listed 52:23 1:20 2:6 19:3 25:14 26:8.15 43:9 52:13 53:17 precludes 36:16 little 5:16 10:2 54:11 58:4 Mike 45:5 27:5 29:4,6 35:17 prefiled 7:12 pages 17:6 35:16 41:24 long 5:11 7:18 25:22 mind 23:24 24:2,23 56:23 prepare 13:9 56:19 minute 36:23 offering 41:14,17 paid 34:17 prepared 30:15,16 44:10 52:17 long-term 7:13,19,19 misspoke 25:1 28:15 office 7:8 13:7 15:16 papers 9:22 16:24 17:4,9 29:16 paraphrase 42:7 prepares 30:11 7:21 modify 24:10,21 29:21 30:12,22 31:6 part 7:23 15:13 17:16 look 9:23 10:18 31:24 moment 25:16 29:9 presence 3:10 58:11 17:17 25:13 42:15 34:22,24 52:10 59:13 59:6 looked 9:22 11:7 15:8 participated 14:1,3,5 presentation 14:12 Monday 1:22 3:1 58:4 offices 1:20 official 3:11 14:12 42:14 money 33:7 42:8 presumably 22:23 particular 9:4 21:5 looking 7:7,24 8:8 months 52:15 offset 38:23 price 21:17,18 22:15,17 28:12 30:12 31:5 morning 3:1 5:6 oh 44:14 30:2,16 32:15 55:22 22:17,18,24 music 12:18 56:3 35:24 Ohio 1:1,11,19,20,22 primarily 13:9 looks 9:16 10:2 16:4 parties 3:6 49:2 51:20 probably 5:13 12:8,9 2:4,6,9 6:15,16,24 N \_\_\_\_ 41:12 7:1,9,11,14,17,18 52:1 59:10,11 22:16 27:8 34:6 lot 57:12 name 5:7 10:6 13:7,7 14:2 passed 7:17 44:14 57:13 Ludlow 2:3 named 59:5 16:24 17:4,6,20,24 pay 33:24 Procedure 3:8 national 7:2 10:5 12:16 23:5,20 28:8 29:16 pending 6:11 procedures 55:2 M natural 10:24 11:21,22 29:21 30:6,22 31:6 people 20:15 30:18,20 proceed 42:3 maintain 39:23 11:23 37:18 40:13 42:23 30:22 44:20 45:14,21 proceedings 13:11 process 8:8 31:6 maintenance 54:12,16 nature 12:13 46:14 58:1 59:2,4,13 percent 26:24 27:1 54:19 55:3 need 5:22 6:1,9 18:4 59:16 55:7,11 56:9 product 12:18 51:20 major 7:14 34:6 42:9 Ohio's 14:19 percentage 21:16,19 Production 17:10 period 24:14 29:3 program 38:18 39:15 making 32:20 negative 5:24 31:18 okay 5:11,14 6:11 7:10 management 10:10 negotiated 49:1,4 9:6 10:1,21 11:3 12:6 permit 45:24 46:4,15 39:20 43:24 44:1 51:22 12:10,22 13:1,12,22 57:5 programs 38:10,24 mark 29:15 36:13 negotiation 51:20 15:19 16:1,4,9,19 permitted 14:24 15:3,5 39:4 prohibits 35:18 market 21:6,6,7,13,14 net 39:22 17:2 18:6,15 21:10 49:7 21:18,20,20,24 22:3 new 7:17 39:1,2 40:2 23:12,13 24:7 25:3 person 20:7 45:13 projected 15:10,13 22:6,24 40:15,16 26:10,19 29:20 31:9 personnel 31:2 19:18,24 20:17 projection 8:5 49:17 markets 21;1,10,11 nod 5:21 32:2,14 35:5,16 36:7 Ph,D 17:4 piece 15:2 31:12 33:4 market-based 51:9,14 nonjurisdictional 47:6 37:10,13 38:1,8 projects 10:10 51:17 54:3 42:19 43:15,19 44:21 place 23:21 59:8 proof 3:11 Marrinan 18:24 North 2:3 45:1 46:3 47:12 plan 1:5,15 17:15 18:14 proposal 14:19,20 15:8 matter 1:3,6,9,13 5:8 Notary 1:19 3:9,12 50:16 51:13,21 52:9 23:15,20 24:8,13,19 34:24 47:4,17 14:5 43:6 58:10,14 59:4,16 52:22 54:14 25:10 28:23 29:1 propose 15:12 28:20 matters 37:13,17 41:18 operating 54:12,16,19 36:4 47:18 49:8 40:12,16 notes 3:9 mean 8:3,14 10:22 notice 15:16.19 16:11 55:3 planning 8:8 11:7 proposed 49:10 50:3 11:20 13:15 14:6 16:11 18:17 19:4 opine 53:21 Plaza 2:3 55:7,11 56:1,9 19:21 20:6,14,16 opinion 17:19,23 22:12 please 23:9 41:8 26:21 Propounded 17:11 26:6 28:15 32:22 point 32:5 noting 58:4 23:1 35:17 55:22 protections 31:16 35:9 41:15 45:3,4,12 NRRI 7:3 10:3,4,11,12 position 7:11 8:23 provide 26:8 35:22 opportunities 40:23 53:22 54:8,10 10:14 11:4,18 12:6,9 49:18 36:5 44:3,3 56:6,23 opposed 12:3 meaning 20:23 32:15 number 18:10 50:23 oral 5:20 43:20 possibility 31:20 53:22 provided 52:18 43:20 numbers 18:2,3,5 order 17:20,23 18:9 possible 31:16 39:20 providing 26:15 41:14 means 7:15 8:4,4 14:7 35:1 Poulos 45:8,9 provision 25:8,13 0 54:5,19 ordinary 5:16 power 1:4,7,10,14 2:10 36:18 41:16 meant 37:1 **Objection 17:8 26:5** organization 5:8 14:2,18 17:8,11 provisions

24:11 40:20 48:15 49:4,17 50:13 45:6,8 46:6 57:7 17:9 40:3 section 17:6 23:9,10 refer 24:4 32:3 43:11 52:21 54:24 56:7 prudency 27:12 50:16,20 51:4,15,20 24:5,9,11,15,20,22 public 1:1,19 10:7 reach 49:9 44:17 54:11 responses 6:2 28:10,16,19 29:4 16:21 17:20,24 43:6 reached 52:1 reference 25:7 responsibilities 8:11 37:18,21,22,23,24 read 18:2,4,10 24:18 41:19 45:23 57:4 referred 30:19 35:5,14 43:7 45:2,3 46:14 9:2,13,18 10:9,13 58:11,14 59:4,16 24:22 25:6 27:4 referring 13:22 18:5 13:8 14:15 sections 38:3 publications 16:14 31:12 35:11.13 38:14 21:4,5,6,7,7 30:8 responsibility 8:14,15 secure 31:15 PUCO 27:14 28:6,18 38:16 41:8,9 48:6,7 35:6 36:2,9 37:2 8:22 12:14 security 1:5 17:15 24:8 49:6,9 55:17 48:18,20 51:7 58:3 38:18 51:3 responsible 7:12 9:4,5 24:19 PUCO-approved 36:5 58:11 refers 55:24 responsive 19:7 see 22:14 23:12,17 24:6 purchase 9:10 reading 28:4 30:3 regarding 11:5,21 30:1 result 34;4,18 35:3 24:15 29:18 30:3,6 purchased 31:21 58:10 Registered 59:15 38:20,24 41:14 44:4 36:18 37:21 43:12 purpose 39:14 reads 36:3 regulatory 7:2 10:5 54:5,7 54:12,21,22 55:8 pursuant 1:11 25:14 real 33:2 53:22 13:6,11 47:11 return 51:1 seek 53:5 P.L.L 2:2 really 9:3 21:8 31:8 relate 9:9,18 50:17 Rev 1:11 seeking 35:18 36:16 related 9:23 10:22,23 p.m 57:18 34:12 39:19 45:4 review 7:18,21 8:16 9:7 seeks 53:6 53:11 11:2,19 12:9,20,21 13:9 14:1 27:12,15 seen 16:19 .... Q reason 49:2 14:10 16:10 18:1,19 31:5 37:12,23,24 Seger-Lawson 19:2 Senate 30:2,9 37:8 38:2 qualification 3:12 reasonable 50:24 27:7 34:9,10 35:3 44:2,2 qualified 59:4 reasons 29:6,8,10 37:14 41:16 46:23 reviewed 8:4,21 14:8 40:1,12 41:5,15,22 question 5:20 6:4,6,11 recall 9:24 11:13 51:7 47:2 49:5 53:10,15 14:18 23:5 26:20 42:20 43:7,16 44:8 14:23 19:16 20:12,19 receive 15:15 relating 9:14 10:14 37:8,14 43:22,23 senator 41:15 20:23 21:8 25:2,21 received 6:14 13:13,19 14:15 15:4 reviewing 7:13 senior 7:2 13:6 Revised 1:7 17:6 23:5 sense 26:9 46:18 25:24 26:9 28:16 Recess 36:24 relative 59:10 29:9 32:21 33:2 34:7 recollection 7:16 9:3,9 relied 19:5,11 28:8,9 37:18 42:23 sentence 25:8 34:14 36:9 37:1 38:1 11:16 12:7 44:13 rely 42:16 Rick 2:7 45:6 **Separation** 1:15 18:14 remaining 15:13 38:15,16 39:12 40:10 53:24 rider 26:22 27:11,13,23 **September 6:21,23** 40:11 41:7,9 42:1,4 recommendation 17:22 remember 8:10,20,24 34:23 51:11 series 46:13 42:10 48:5,7,9,19,20 18:7 46:20 48:2,9 11:9 15:21 riders 34:13 service 8:7 11:22,24 48:21 53:12 55:5 reconstruct 11:11 rephrase 32:21 right 8:24 36:10 12:5,5 18:21 19:1 56:3,4 57:8 record 20:21 27:10,22 report 7:19,20 8:1,3 risk 11:6 40:22 25:14 26:8,15 29:4 questions 6:2 14:23 36:22 43:6 47:10 11:12,14 risks 40:2,16 41:4,21 41:14,16 56:23 46:13,16 47:13 56:18 52:10,11,12 56:16,17 reporter 5:17,22 6:6 Roberts 2:7 45:5 Session 3:1 set 17:12 29:8 40:2 57:15 57:1,15,16 59:16 RSP 25:19,24 26:11,12 quick 5:15 recorded 48:3 52:24 reports 7:22 9:6 26:21 27:12,16,24 47:12 48:15 50:13,17 quit 12:22 records 46:23 represent 5:7 35:2,6,7,14,15,17 50:18,22 51:18,18 quite 21:15 recover 14:24 15:5 representatives 44:22 36:5,13 42:2,11,13 59:12 setting 12:19 25:23 quoted 24:17 33:21,24 46:1,4 representing 45:2 42:18 48:15,24,24 49:15,17 52:5 55:20 quote-unquote 17:16 request 6:10 17:10 49:8,16,20 50:14,17 39:1 26:14 33:22 47:7 56:12 57:5 19:8 32:3,8,10,15,19 50:20 51:5,7,12 52:8 seven 46:23 47:1,21 51:3 recoverable 28:7,19 32:23 33:6,10 34:4 rule 5:19 48:4,12 53:1,6,7,9 recovered 15:14 24:13 34:15,18,21 41:13 rules 3:8 5:15 54:1,5 55:4 R 25:10 28:22 29:1 Seventh 17:12 56:12 S R 19:2 49:8,16,19 requested 15:19 19:5 shake 5:21 raised 32:11 recovering 47:18 require 38:3 55:2 S 2:2 18:20 shape 30:5 Randall 17:3 recovers 52:6 required 7:17 sales 38:11,21,21 47:6 Sharkey 2:2 5:5,7 rate 13:10 23:15,20 recovery 13:19 15:2 requirement 40:13 47:10 54:4 36:22 52:9 56:16 24:13 25:10 26:12,23 24:12 25:9 34:1 requirements 40:16.21 saying 9:6 57:14 28:23 29:1 32:4,8,16 50:23 51:18 55:12 requires 37:19 says 5:3 7:11 23:14 show 16:1 27:10 53:14 reduce 38:10,21 39:5 32:19,24 33:5,11,14 research 7:2 10:5,6,10 24:24 27:14 31:15 shows 52:14 33:19 34:2,7,11 35:2 39:15 43:15 signed 58:11 10:14 35:18 36:4 42:11,18 reduced 3:8 researcher 10:11 **SB** 17:5 38:19 40:18 significant 11:17 19:15 47:18 48:22,24 49:1 reduction 37:21 38:5 residential 2:10 31:16 scope 25:5 39:8 40:8,9 20:4,5,7,7,8,9,9,10 resource 7:13 9:6 11:6 49:1,1,8,17,20 50:9 39:22 40:19 56:14 20:14,23,24 23:2 50:22,22 51:9,9,14 reductions 38:23 resources 8:6 se 48:24 25:18 26:3 51:18,19,22 52:2 Reese 2:7 22:7 26:5 respect 8:17 seal 59:13 significantly 42:21 ratepayer 33:8,24 38:12 39:7 40:5,19 respective 3:6 second 18:13 26:11,21 signing 58:10 rates 34:3,17 42:2,13 29:1 Similarly response

5:23	51:24 54:14	37:3,4,7,11,14 39:8,9	20:13 22:2 25:21	12:11 14:10 31:15
simply 8:20 9:23 20:6	studies 11:3,20 12:14	39:11 40:8,24 41:1,3	30:7,8 39:11 45:4	working 6:15,18,20,22
20:12 22:9,10 27:13	study 10:18,21,24 11:5	41:12,20 42:1 43:9	46:20 47:13,16 50:6	7:5,8 9:12,17
39:12 40:23 54:21	11:8	43:13,22 44:4,5,23	50:21 51:13 52:22	wouldn't 34:16 55:15
sit 8:24 22:11,14	subject 24:10,21 27:11	45:14 46:11 47:14	53:4,12 54:18,21	55:19 56:21
sitting 5:17	43:1	52:13,18 53:2,18	55:10	writing 3:9 44:7
small 20:10 42:14	submitted 58:10	54:22 55:14,21,24	understanding 20:15	written 23:24
Smart 34:10	subsection 23;24 28:11	56:14 59:6,7	22:20 23:23 27:23	wrong 13:17 24:17
sorry 18:11 36:1	substance 42:6 58:5	Thank 16:15	28:3 43:16,19 44:8	44:14
Southern 14:2	substantial 21:19 26:14	thing 48:23	44:12,14	Y
specific 13:22 14:14	37:19 38:22	things 8:9 11:17 20:14	unfortunately 31:19	Yankel 16:24 47:14
44:6 52:5	successful 12:24	50:24 57:12	University 7:1 10:6 usage 39:5,15,22,23	Yeah 16:3 34:20 39:9
specifically 27:14 36:19 37:2	Sue 1:18 59:4,15 suggest 44:3	think 9:2 12:8 13:9 19:3 20:19,20 28:20	use 28:6,18	40:7 45:10
specified 59:8	Suite 1:21 2:8	28:23 33:3,5,18 34:1	utilities 1:1 7:14,20 9:7	year 9:17 13:2 15:9
specifies 26:22	summary 17:17	34:24 35:14 45:18,19	10:20 11:24 12:1	21:4 26:24 27:2
speculating 11:10	supervisor 9:23	45:20 46:2,7,11 47:3	17:20,24 40:2 46:14	years 9:21 10:2 15:14
spent 9:11,16	Supplement 18:17	47:4 49:14 50:10	utility 9:14 10:7 11:6	yes-no 5:20
spot 21:6,14,17,18,20	supply 7:13 8:1,2	55:13 56:19	12:20 13:20,23 23:15	, j = 0 11 0 1.2 v
SS 58:1 59:2	support 31:2	three 18:1,19	23:20 24:8,13,19	0
stabilization 50:9	supported 10:7,8	three-rate 51:8,11 52:7	29:3 40:13	02-2779-EL-ATA 18:1
51:10	suppose 27:8	time 6:8 7:16,21,22,24		18:8
stable 21:15 51:10	supposed 27:5	8:21 9:2 11:18 23:18	V	<b>05</b> 35:14
Stacia 45:20	Sure 6:12 32:23	25:17 26:4 57:15	vague 38:12	05-276-EL-AIR 4:5
staff 30:15 31:1	SW 2:3	59:8	various 49:2	17:21,23
standard 17:19 18:21	sworn 5:2 59:5	times 6:3 47:9	vehicles 11:1	07 12:10
18:24 19:2 25:14		today 13:21	ventures 12:19	08-1094-EL-SSO 1:4
26:8,15 29:4 41:14		today's 22:17	version 16:21,22 37:3	17:18 18:18,22
41:16 56:23	Table 53:14	told 32:24	versus 12:5	08-1095-EL-ATA 1:7
start 25:23	take 5:12,18,22 6:10	total 52:23 53:5	video 12:18	08-1096-EL-AAM
started 6:15,18,20,22	31:24 56:19	touch 8:19	volatile 21:2,12,21,23	1:10
7:5,7,8 13:1 37:2	taken 1:18 5:9 36:24	touched 27:21	22:1,6,10,13	08-1097-EL-UNC 1:14
Starting 23:14	59:6,8	transcribed 3:10 59:7	w	1
state 1:19 6:24 7:16	talk 13:21	transcript 6:1 58:3,9	wait 6:5	1 4:4 22:18,18 23:10
10:6,7 37:7,14 58:1 59:2,4,16	talking 6:7 39:2 49:3 targets 37:21 38:6 40:4	59:7 transmitted 16:17	wait 6.3 waived 3:12	29:15,15,24 30:4
stated 23:4 58:10	tariff 27:2	troubled 9:1	want 27:10 31:12 46:13	53:14
statement 31:22 32:7	Tariffs 1:8	true 36:11,14 41:19	49:6	1.3 50:7
states 10:8 30:4	tax 55:12	47:4 49:21 51:16	wasn't 44:6	1.8 47:19 50:3,6
stating 56:10	team 14:8,8 43:23,24	56:15 57:3 58:5 59:7	way 26:19 36:20	10 1:21 2:3,8 43:9
statute 23:7,19 25:17	44:10,17,18,21 45:13	trueup 27:12	went 6:24	44:19
26:4	45:17,19,21,22 57:4	truth 59:5	West 1:21 2:8	11 41:24 52:15
statutes 28:21 37:15	57:12	try 22:14 26:10	we're 13:20	12 10:2 42:10 44:19
stenotypy 3:9 59:6	tell 7:14 16:7 26:5	trying 20:11	we've 40:4 45:15	53:20
step 13:1 14:21 22:22	30:21 32:4 53:22	turn 31:9 46:12 53:17	WHEREOF 59:12	12:13 57:18
30:7 36:11 41:2 48:1	55:23 56:20	two 11:3 14:22 16:4	witness 3:7,11 35:11	<b>13.32</b> 55:7,11 56:9
50:1 55:16	Teresa 18:23	17:5 47:8 51:19	38:14 41:7 42:16	<b>14</b> 36:2 42:1 59:17
stipulated 3:5	terms 14:22 20:13,16	types 12:19	48:5,18 53:1 58:10	<b>15</b> 36:2 52:13
stipulation 4:5 17:22	territory 8:7		59:6,8,12	<b>1</b> 6 36:2 54:11
18:7 25:19 26:1 27:4	test 28:5,17,20,23 29:2	U	<b>Woolridge</b> 17:4 42:16	17 54:11
27:14,16,24 28:4	42:21 43:1	uh-huh 5:23 12:8	word 9:1 24:6 33:18	1800 1:21 2:8
35:7,18 36:14 48:16	testify 59:5	unbundling 11:21,23	48:22	19 17:12
50:14,17	testimony 7:12 8:19 9:9	12:2,4	words 13:18 35:21 36:3	1983 6:17
STIPULATIONS 3:4	13:10 14:21 16:22,23	uncertainty 11:6	42:7 44:11 56:20	1984 5:13
Street 1:21 2:3,8	16:23 17:3 18:20,23	underrecovering 49:23	work 10:9 12:20 13:12	1985 6:20,21
Strictly 34:20	18:23 19:1,6,10,12	underrecovery 54:4	13:14,18,20 15:3,7	<b>1986</b> 6:22,23 9:11
strike 41:1 43:4 48:8	23:4 29:7,12 31:24	undersigned 58:10	16:14 30:22	1987 6:24 10:1
<u> </u>	32:5,12 35:9,17,21	understand 11:16	worked 6:19 7:1,3 9:21	1989 11:12

				Page
1993 10:17	4928.141 24:15 25:14			
1995 7:4 10:1	29:5			
	4928.143(D) 23:5,8			
	28:8,13 41:19 42:24			
<b>2</b> 1:22 3:2 4:5 17:13	45:23 57:4			
36:13 58:4	4928.66 37:18			
<b>20</b> 9:20 53:17	•			
20-year 7:19	5			
2000 27:2,2	5 50:8			
2000 27.2,2 2004 27:1	<b>5.4</b> 26:24 27:1			
<b>2005</b> 25:19,24 26:12	50 10:8			
	· I			
27:19,24 35:7,17	500 2:3			
36:13 48:15,24,24	6			
49:4 52:14	6 43:9			
2006 7:7 52:14	0 43:9			
<b>2007</b> 21:10,15 27:3	7			
52:14	7 7:9 32:1,1			
<b>2008</b> 4:4 7:9 17:12	70 30:24			
21:11,15,16,18,21				
23:16,21 27:3 29:17	8			
46:24 48:2,3,10,17				
49:5,19,23 52:15	8 35:16 36:3			
54:2,7,9 58:12	85 9:11			
2009 1:22 3:2 15:11	0			
19:18 20:1,4,17	9			
21:23 22:1,5,13,19	9 35:16 41:24 42:1			
27:3 33:11,13,14,23	9:30 1:22			}
34:4,18 35:19,23	96 12:10			
36:6,17,20 43:1 47:2	99-105-EL-EFC 18:9	•		
47:21 54:2,6,8 56:5	18:12			
58:4 59:13			1	
<b>2010</b> 15:11 19:19 20:1		1		
20:4,17 21:23 22:1,5			1	
22:13 27:3 33:11,14			1	
33:15,23 34:4,18			1	
35:20,23 36:6,17,20				
43:1 47:2,21 56:5				
59:17				
2011 34:1		1		
<b>221</b> 17:5 30:2,9 37:8		j		]
38:2,19 40:1,12,18				
41:5,15,22 42:20				
43:7,16 44:8				
<b>2</b> 9 4:4				
3	1			
3 31:9 37:15				
3rd 59:13				
31 23:16,21	1			
36 4:5				
4				
18:14 37:15				
<b>13215</b> 2:9	1			1
<b>15402</b> 2:4				
1905.13 1:12				
<b>4909.17.1</b> 17:7	1	1	I ·	1
4909.18 17:7		<u> </u>	1	1