FILE

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of a Commission Investigation)	P/ PHS. 3
Into the Reliability of the Electric)	Case No. 08-1299-EL-UNC
Distribution Service Provided by Ohio's)	
Investor-Owned Flectric Companies	

MEMORANDUM CONTRA THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING
COMPANY AND THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY
MOTION TO DISMISS THE REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION
BY
CONSUMERS FOR RELIABLE ELECTRICITY IN OHIO

I. INTRODUCTION

On December 15, 2008, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC"), AARP
Ohio, Pro Seniors, Inc., the Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition, Appalachian People's Action
Coalition, the May Dugan Center, the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation, the Ohio Farmers Union,
the Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition, and the Citizens Coalition -- comprised of Citizens
for Fair Utility Rates, the Neighborhood Environmental Coalition, the Cleveland Housing
Network, and the Empowerment Center for Greater Cleveland (collectively "Consumers for
Reliable Electricity in Ohio" or "CREO"), on behalf of the 4.5 million residential households of
Ohio's Electric Distribution Utilities ("EDUs"), filed a Request for Investigation with the Public
Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission" or "PUCO"). CREO did ask the Commission to
order an investigation and conduct hearings regarding the reliability of the distribution service

This is to certify that the images appearing are an acquirate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed \2015

provided to customers by all of Ohio's electric utilities.¹ CREO did not ask the Commission to rewrite any pending rules on reliability.

On January 8, 2009, the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively "FE" or "the Companies") filed a Motion to Dismiss CREO's Request for Investigation. CREO hereby submits this Memorandum Contra the Motion to Dismiss.²

II. FE'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA DOES NOT ADDRESS THE MERITS OF CREO'S REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION.

A. The Magnitude Of The Outages Requires More Than A Business-As-Usual Response.

The scope and duration of the outages experienced by customers (as CREO noted in its Request for Investigation) was excessive by any measure.³ Approximately 500,000 of FE's customers lost service as a result of the storm, and service was not restored for all customers for up to a week. Despite the magnitude of the outages and problems arising from the storms, FE has responded with a business-as-usual approach that would sweep the problem under the rug, rather than embracing an overall review of the service quality and reliability offered to its customers.

FE's Motion to Dismiss is an attempt to obfuscate the service reliability issue rather than deal with it. This pattern of obfuscation is exemplified by its argument

Request for an Investigation at 1.

² For purposes of this Memorandum Contra, CREO consists of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC"), AARP Ohio, Pro Seniors, Inc., the Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition, Appalachian People's Action Coalition, the May Dugan Center, the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation, the Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition, and the Citizens Coalition -- comprised of Citizens for Fair Utility Rates, the Neighborhood Environmental Coalition, the Cleveland Housing Network, and the Empowerment Center for Greater Cleveland.

³ Request for Investigation at 1.

entitled "CREO is Attempting to Usurp the Authority of the Commission," at pages 3-5 of the FE Motion to Dismiss. CREO does not deny that the Commission has an important active oversight role in ensuring the service quality and reliability of electric utilities. However, whereas FE hides behind that role in an attempt to keep the issue in the background and out of the transparency of a Commission Investigation, CREO is requesting an overall and public review of FE's service reliability in order to ensure that reliability for consumers does not take a back seat to profits for utilities.

This magnitude of this problem is significant and justifies the call for some formal review of FE's reliability and the reasons underlying the problems experienced by customers. It because of this very result that an investigation of FE's overall service reliability is not only appropriate, but necessary. FE's customers have the right to know whether they are receiving the reliable service they pay for and are entitled to.

FE's Motion to Dismiss does not address the need CREO documented for an investigation of its distribution system reliability in Ohio. While CREO's Request for Investigation cited the wind storm of September 14, 2008 as a triggering event for the need for an investigation of electric reliability in Ohio, CREO emphasized that an investigation was overdue. As clearly pointed out in CREO's Request for Investigation, Ohio law requires that the Commission "shall review" electric distribution utilities annual Electric Service and Safety Standards ("ESSS") compliance reports in a "proceeding initiated under division (B) of section 4928.16 of the Revised Code." The annual reports referenced above are currently filed pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-

⁴ Request for an Investigation at 2.

⁵ *Id*. at 7.

⁶ R.C. 4928.11(B).

10-26(A). FE and Ohio's other electric distribution utilities have not been subject to such a proceeding — and such a proceeding is long overdue to ensure the protection of consumers.

Finally, the Ohio General Assembly charged the PUCO with the responsibility to ensure that electric utilities provide "necessary and adequate" service to Ohio consumers and businesses.⁷ An investigation into the reliability of the electric distribution service of AEP Ohio will assist in determining for the public whether the Company is providing such adequate service.

B. The Request for An Investigation Does Not Usurp the PUCO's Authority.

FE's Motion to Dismiss claims that CREO's Request for an Investigation is an attempt to usurp the PUCO's authority. FE could not be more wrong. The CREO Request for an Investigation does not attempt to usurp any PUCO authority. Rather, it asks the PUCO to use its authority to conduct a lawful and necessary investigation. A request for the PUCO to use its authority cannot by definition be any type of attempt to usurp the very authority that CREO wants the PUCO to use. However, an argument can be made that the FE Motion to Dismiss is the attempt to usurp the PUCO's authority because it asks the Commission to ignore the plight of residential customers and instead, to not use the authority that the legislature bestowed upon the PUCO.

⁷R.C. 4905.22.

⁸ FE Motion to Dismiss at 3.

III. THE REQUEST FOR AN INVESTIGATION IS NOT A COLLATERAL ATTACK.

FE's protestation aside, the CREO Request for an Investigation is not a collateral attack on Administrative Rules, for a number of reasons. First of all, the rules are a more generic approach to the issue of service reliability for all of the electric utilities in Ohio, and address all aspects of service reliability. CREO's Request for an Investigation is more focused on the specific reliability issues and problems identified by the wind storms and what steps — if any — could have and should have been taken to mitigate the impact of the storms on service reliability in the service territories of FE and other EDU's.

Secondly, CREO's Request for an Investigation does not specifically challenge the rules that resulted from the pending rulemaking in PUCO Case No. 06-653-EL-ORD. Rather, the Request for Investigation questions how the utilities are performing under the ESSS and whether some rules should be amended pending the outcome of a Commission investigation. FE alleges that the Request for an Investigation is a collateral attack on those rules. But that is not the case inasmuch as the rulemaking is still pending and no final rules have been issued or implemented.⁹

In addressing collateral attacks, the Ohio Supreme Court has stated that "In our jurisprudence, there is a firm and longstanding principle that final judgments are meant to be just that—final." In this instance the Commission granted Rehearing for the purpose of giving itself more time for further consideration. Thus, the rules have not been

⁹ In the Matter of the Commission's Review of Chapters 4901:1-9, 4901:1-10, 4901:1-21, 4901:1-22, 4901:1-23, 4901:1-24, 4901:1-25 of the Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 06-653-EL-ORD, Entry on Rehearing (December 17, 2008).

¹⁰ Ohio Pyro v. Ohio Dept. of Commerce (2007), 115 Ohio St. 3d 375, 380-381.

¹¹ Case No. 06-653-EL-ORD, Entry on Rehearing at 1.

finalized by the PUCO. Accordingly, the rules have not yet undergone review by the Joint Committee on Agency Rule Review ("JCARR"), which is necessary before the rules can become final. ¹² Even if the rules were final, it is not unusual for the PUCO to entertain what might be considered collateral issues regarding its orders and rules. For example, in a recent case the PUCO allowed AT&T (and others) to contest the limitations on disconnection of customers' bundled telephone services for nonpayment of those services, which resulted in the PUCO changing its rule in favor of the utilities. ¹³

Third, the Request for an Investigation is not a collateral attack because it addresses the specific question of whether the damages caused by the wind storm were sufficiently mitigated by proper maintenance or whether FE improperly cut back on necessary maintenance including vegetation management in order to maximize shareholders profits. The Request for an Investigation seeks only that the PUCO consider amending certain of the rules pending the outcome of its investigation. The Request for an Investigation presents the Commission with an opportunity to address certain issues based on real world examples and implications rather than simple hypotheticals.

Moreover, to the extent that FE alleges that CREO is collaterally attacking the existing PUCO rules, ¹⁴ FE is mischaracterizing the Request for an Investigation. For example on page 6 of the Request for an Investigation, CREO asked the PUCO to ensure that FE has complied with the rules and not to review or rewrite them. On page 26 of the Request for an Investigation, CREO asked the PUCO to ensure that FE was in

¹² Joint Committee on Agency Rules Review Procedures Manual (Revised January 8, 2008) at 17.

¹³ In re the Commission's Review of the Minimum Telephone Service Standards as Set Forth in Chapter 4901:1-5 of the Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 00-1265-TP-ORD et al., Finding and Order (November 5, 2008) at 2.

¹⁴ FE Motion to Dismiss at 4.

compliance with the rules and that the rules were effective in being able to address the type of damages and fallout actually experienced from the wind storm.

Fourth, FE seems to ignore the distinction between a Commission-Ordered Investigation and a Complaint pursuant to R.C. 4905.26. The CREO Request for an Investigation is not a Complaint under R.C. 4905.26, although CREO does retain the right to file such a Complaint in the future if one is deemed to be appropriate. Moreover the Request for an Investigation is not asking the Commission to rewrite its rules. CREO is requesting the Commission to investigate the actions taken — or perhaps more appropriately — the actions not taken by FE to ensure service quality and reliability for customers as required by R.C. 4928.02(A). 16

Finally, FE incorporates by reference the arguments that the AEP Companies made in their Memorandum Contra the CREO Request for an Investigation on January 6, 2009.¹⁷ Accordingly and rather than repeat CREO's response to those arguments, CREO correspondingly incorporates in this pleading the CREO Reply to the AEP Companies' Memorandum Contra filed on January 20, 2009.

IV. CONCLUSION

On behalf of residential customers in Ohio, CREO respectfully requests that the Commission reject the arguments put forth in the Company's Motion to Dismiss. The Commission should ensure that the residential customers in 4.5 million Ohio households are receiving the reliable electric service they pay for and are entitled to, by granting CREO's Request for an Investigation.

¹⁵ FE Motion to Dismiss at 2-3.

¹⁶ Request for an Investigation at 5.

¹⁷ FE Motion to Dismiss at 4.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Counsel of Record

Joseph P. Serio Kichard C. Reese

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 (614) 466-8574 (Telephone)

(614) 466-9475 (Facsimile) small@occ.state.oh.us

serio@occ.state.oh.us

reese@occ.state.oh.us

RP Ohio

Ron Bridges, Associate State Director

Government Affairs & Advocacy

17 S. High Street, #800 Columbus, OH 43215 Office: 614-222-1503

Fax: 614-224-9801

E-mail: rbridges@aarp.org

Edgemont Neighborhood/Coalition

Ellis Jacobs

Legal Aid Society of Dayton 333 West First Street, Suite 500

Dayton, OH 45402

E-mail: ejacobs@ablelaw.org

Pro Seniors, Inc.

Michael A. Walters

Attorney-at-Law

7162 Reading Road, Suite 1150

Cincinnati, OH 45237

(513) 458-5532

E-mail: mwalters@proseniors.org

Appalachian People

Michael Smalz

Senior Statewide Attorney

Ohio State Legal Services Association

555 Buttles Ave.

Columbus, OH 43215-1137

E-mail: msmalz@oslsa.org

May Dugan Center

Clevelanders United Against Poverty

Tim Walters

Community Organizer 4115 Bridge Street

Cleveland, OH 44113

E-mail: trane22222@aol.com

Leslie A. Kovacik

Dept. of Law

420 Madison Ave., 4th Fl. Toledo, OH 43604-1219

E-mail: leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov

On Behalf of the Northwest Ohio

Aggregation Coalition

Joseph Meissner,

The Legal Aid Society of Cleveland

1223 West 6th St.

Cleveland, OH 44113

E-mail: jpmeissn@lasclev.org

Attorney for Citizens Coalition, Citizens for Fair Utility Rates, Neighborhood Environmental Coalition, Cleveland Housing Network, and Empowerment Center for Greater Cleveland Ohio Farm Bureau Federation

Dale Arnold

Director, Energy Services

P.O. Box 182383

Columbus, OH 43218

E-mail: darnold@ofbf.org

Lance M. Keiffer, Asst Prosecut

711 Adams Street, 2nd Floor Toledo, OH 43624-1680

E-mail: lkeiffer@co.lucas.oh.us

On Behalf of the Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this *Memorandum Contra* was served on the persons stated below via regular U.S. Mail Service, postage prepaid, this 26th day of January, 2009.

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

David Boehm Michael Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 E. Seventh St., Ste. 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202-4454

John Bentine Mark Yurick Chester, Willcox & Saxbe LLP 65 E. State St., Ste. 1000 Columbus. OH 43215-4213

James Burk Arthur Korkosz Harvey L. Wagner Ebony Miller Mark Hayden FirstEnergy Crop. 76 S. Main St. Akron, OH 44308

Dave Rinebolt Colleen Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 W. Lima St., P.O. 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793 Glenn Krassen
E. Brett Breitschwerdt
Thomas O'Brien
Sally W. Bloomfield
Bricker & Eckler, LLP
100 South Third St.
Columbus, OH 43215

Garrett Stone Michael Lavanga Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., N.W. 8th West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007

Sam Randazzo Lisa McAlister Joseph Clark Thomas Froehle McNees, Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 E. State St., 17th Fl. Columbus, OH 43215

Trent Dougherty
The Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Ave., Ste. 201
Columbus, OH 43212

Ron Bridges AARP 17 S. High St., Ste. 800 Columbus, OH 43215

Michael Smalz Ohio State Legal Service 555 Buttles Ave. Columbus, OH 43215

Tim Walters
The May Dugan Center
Clevelanders United Against Poverty
4115 Bridge Ave.
Cleveland, OH 44113

Selwyn J.R. Dias American Electric Power Service Corp. 88 E. Broad St., Ste. 800 Columbus, OH 43215

Noel Morgan Communities United for Action Legal Aid Society of Southwest Ohio 215 E. Ninth St., Ste. 200 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Steven Millard COSE Group Services Inc. 200 Tower City Center 50 Public Square Cleveland, OH 44113

Gene Krebs Greater Ohio 846 ½ E. Main St. Columbus, OH 43205 Ellis Jacobs Advocates for Basic Legal Equality, Inc. 333 W. First St., Ste. 500B Dayton, OH 45402

Dane Stinson
Bailey Cavalieri LLC
10 W. Broad St., Suite 2100
Columbus, OH 43215

Leslie Kovacik City of Toledo 420 Madison Ave., Ste. 100 Toledo, OH 43604-1219

Marvin Resnik
Steve Nourse
American Electric Power Service Corp.
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Fl.
Columbus, OH 43215

Brandi Whetstone Sierra Club Ohio Chapter 131 N. High St., Suite 605 Columbus, OH 43215

Jenna Johnson-Holmes Dona Seger Lawson Judi Sobecki Dayton Power & Light Co. 1065 Woodman Dr. Dayton, OH 45432

Lance M. Keiffer, Asst. Prosecuting Attorney 711 Adams St. Toledo, OH 43624 Rev. Mike Frank Neighborhood Environmental Coalition 5920 Engle Ave. Cleveland, OH 44127

Denis George The Kroger Company 1014 Vine St., G07 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Jack Shaner
The Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201
Columbus, OH 43212

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 E. Broad St., 15th Fl. Columbus, OH 43215-3620

The Ohio Cast Metals Assoc. 2969 Scioto Place Columbus, OH 43221

Randell J. Corbin AMP-Ohio 2600 Airport Dr. Columbus, OH 43219

Jerry Klenke Richard Lewis David Varda 8050 N. High St., Ste. 150 Columbus, OH 43235-6486 Joseph Meissner Citizens Coalition 1223 W. Sixth St. Cleveland, OH 44113

Barth Royer Bell & Royer Co. LPA 33 S. Grant Ave. Columbus, OH 43215-3927

Dale Arnold Ohio Farm Bureau Federation Inc. P.O. Box 182383 Columbus, OH 43218

M. Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease 52 E. Gay St., P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216

The Ohio Aggregates & Industrial Minerals Assoc. 162 North Hamilton Rd. Gahanna, OH 43230

Melissa Mullarkey Recycled Energy Development LLC. 740 Quail Ridge Dr. Westmont, IL 60559

Tommy Temple
Whitfield A. Russell
Ormet Primary Aluminum Corp.
4232 King St.
Alexandria, VA 22302

Rebecca Stanfield Senior Energy Advocate Natural Resources Defense Council 101 N. Wacker Dr., Ste. 609 Chicago, IL 60606 Joseph Logan Ohio Farmers Union 20 S. Third St., Ste. 130 Columbus, OH 43215

Amy Gomberg Environment Ohio - Environmental Advocate 203 E. Broad St., Suite 3 Columbus, OH 43215 Gregory E. Hitzhusen, MDiv, Ph.D. Executive Director, Ohio Interfaith Power and Light P.O. Box 26671 Columbus, OH 43226

Leigh Herington Executive Director NOPEC 31320 Solon Rd., Ste. 20 Solon, OH 44139 Theodore Robinson Staff Attorney and Counsel Citizen Power 2121 Murray Ave. Pittsburgh, PA 15217

Robert J. Triozzi Steven L. Beeler City of Cleveland Cleveland City Hall 601 Lakeside Avenue, Room 206 Cleveland, OH 44114-1077 Amy Spiller Elizabeth Watts Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 155 E. Broad St., 21st Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Steve Lesser Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad St., 12th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Nolan Moser Air & Energy Program Manager The Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Ave., Ste. 201 Columbus, OH 43212-3449

Amy Ewing Greater Cincinnati Health Council 2100 Sherman Ave., Ste. 100 Cincinnati, OH 45212-2775

Wendy B. Jaehn Executive Director Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance 645 N. Michigan Ave., Ste. 990 Chicago, IL 60611 Jason B. Keyes
Interstate Renewable Energy Council
Keyes & Fox, LLP
1721 21st Avenue East
Seattle, WA 98112

Duane Luckey Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad St., 9th Fl. Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Albert E. Lane 7200 Fair Oaks Dr. Cincinnati, OH 45237-2922 Ned Ford The Sierra Club, Ohio Chapter 3006 Auten Avenue Cincinnati, OH 53213

Theodore O. Finnarn Attorney at Law 421 Public Square Greenville, OH 45331

Attorney for Ohio Farmers Union

Michael A. Walters Pro Seniors, Inc. 7162 Reading Road, Suite 1150 Cincinnati, OH 45237