

rstEnerg

Kathy J. Kollch Senior Attorney

330-384-4580 Fax: 330-384-3875

76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308

Via Federal Express and Facsimile (614-466-0313)

January 8, 2009

Ms. Renee J. Jenkins ministration Department
the Commission
vision
vision
dilities Commission of Ohio
de Street
H 43215-3793

Company and The Toledo Edison Company to Dismiss the Paguest for Director, Administration Department Secretary to the Commission **Docketing Division** The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Dear Ms. Jenkins:

Re: Company and The Toledo Edison Company to Dismiss the Request for Investigation Case No. 08-1299-EL-UNC

Enclosed for filing, please find the original and fifteen (15) copies of the Motion regarding the above-referenced case. Please file the enclosed Motion, timestamping the two extras and returning them to the undersigned in the enclosed envelope.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please contact me if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Very truly yours,

Kocky & Kolhel

kag

Enclosures

Parties of Record cc:

> complete that the images appearing are an spection of a case file Man course of business. accur Luce Processed JAN 09 2009 documeia Technician

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

| In the Matter of a Commission |) | |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| Investigation Into the Reliability of the |) | |
| Electric Distribution Service Provided |) | Case No. 08-1299-EL-UNC |
| by Ohio's Investor-Owned |) | |
| Electric Companies |) | |
| |) | |

MOTION OF OHIO EDISON COMPANY, THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY AND THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY TO DISMISS THE REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION

Ohio Edison Company ("OE"), The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company ("CEI") and The Toledo Edison Company ("TE") (collectively referred to herein as the "FE Companies") respectfully ask this Commission to dismiss the pleading filed by Consumers for Reliable Electricity in Ohio ("CREO") on the basis that it is deficient and unlawful. As more fully discussed in the attached Memorandum in Support, CREO, (i) fails to meet the requirements set forth in RC 4905.26; (ii) attempts to usurp the Commission's authority; and (iii) attempts to collaterally attack prior Commission proceedings. Accordingly, CREO's pleading should be summarily rejected and this matter dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathy J. Kolich (0038855)

Senior Attorney

FirstEnergy Service Company

76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308 Phone: 330-384-4580

Fax: 330-384-3875

FE Companies' Memorandum in Support of its Motion to Dismiss

CREO asks the Commission to open an investigation into "the overall distribution service reliability provided by Ohio's electric utilities over the last five years, [including] a thorough review of the utilities' response to the windstorm of September 14, 2008...."

(CREO Pleading, p. 2.) In support of its request, CREO guesses that "[t]he scope and duration of the outages, [caused by a September 14, 2008 windstorm, which was the result of the remnants of Hurricane Ike that roared through Ohio as the equivalent of a Category I hurricane], "appears to exceed what can be explained by severe weather alone." (CREO Memorandum in Support (hereinafter referred to as "CMIS"), p. 1 (emphasis added.)). CREO then goes on to speculate that "[t]he cause of the outage ... may have its origins in inadequate utility maintenance programs." Id. Clearly such guessing and speculation are not sufficient to warrant the initiation of an investigation, especially when, as is discussed in Sections B and C below, there are other proceedings and activities already in place to address reliability issues, many of which either have recently been completed or are currently in progress.

A. CREO's Pleading Fails to Meet the Requirements of RC 4905.26.

CREO refers to the 2004-2005 winter ice storm, the September 2008 "hurricane" and the 2003 blackout as examples of outages that "heighten [its] concern." (CMIS at 11.) Clearly the first two dealt with unusual and severe weather, while the third was the subject of its own review and litigation and focused mainly on transmission reliability. See e.g., Case No. 03-2325-EL-COI, and Case Nos. 04-28- EL-CSS, 05-803-EL-CSS, 05-1011-EL-CSS, and 05-1012-EL-CSS. But nowhere in its pleading did CREO, when attempting to support its "concern", allege any specific instances in which any of the

targeted utilities provided inadequate service. Nor has it alleged that any of the targeted utilities otherwise violated any statute, regulation or order.

If CREO has *facts* to substantiate a claim of unreliable service or related violations, the state legislature provided a process through which such complaints can be heard. Revised Code Section 4905.26 provides in pertinent part:

Upon complaint in writing against any public utility by any person, firm or corporation ... that any service is, or will be inadequate ..., if it appears that reasonable grounds for complaint are stated, the commission shall fix a time for hearing and shall notify complainants and the public utility thereof. [Italics added.]

CREO's speculation and conjecture is nothing more than a fishing expedition that clearly does not constitute reasonable grounds for complaint. Accordingly, its pleading should be dismissed.¹

B. CREO is Attempting to Usurp the Authority of the Commission.

CREO argues that Ohio law provides the basis for opening an investigation into the reliability of a utility's service. (CMS at 5.) In support of its assertion, CREO, on pages 5-7 of its memorandum in support of its pleading, provides a litany of statutes that, when all is said and done, add up to a conclusion that *the Commission* is empowered to open an investigation. (This however, is not in dispute.)² For example, CREO acknowledges that "the Ohio General Assembly *charged the PUCO* with the responsibility to ensure that electric utilities provide 'necessary and adequate' service to Ohio consumers and businesses. R.C. 4905.22." (Id.) It also recognized that

¹ It is telling that CREO did not file its pleading as a complaint case. Perhaps CREO also recognized the deficiencies surrounding its actions.

² While RC 4905.26 allows the Commission to initiate a complaint, and clearly the Commission is empowered to initiate investigations on its own motion, it is for the Commission to decide to do so and not parties like CREO. Nowhere in Ohio law is a party authorized to request such investigations. Moreover, to grant CREO's request would set a dangerous precedent in which any party could make a similar filing, regardless of its merit, thus requiring the Commission to unnecessarily dedicate resources to an issue that might never should have been raised.

"R.C. 4928.11 mandates that, '[f]or the protection of consumers in this state, the public utilities commission shall adopt rules *** that specify minimum service quality, safety, and reliability requirements" ... (id at 6) (italics added), and that "R.C. 4928.11(B) mandates that the Commission shall 'require each electric utility to report annually to the commission *** regarding its compliance with [rules related to reliability and safety.]"

Id. (Italics added.) And finally it notes that "perhaps most importantly,"

R.C. 4928.11(B) requires the Commission to perform a periodic review of utility reliability reports and enforce compliance as necessary. Id.

Again, however, nowhere in its pleading does CREO cite a statute that empowers a group of trade associations and the residential consumer advocate to initiate an investigation into issues of service reliability -- and for good reason. As Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company ("the AEP Companies") explained in great detail at pages 4-10 of their Memorandum Contra CREO's Request, the Commission staff already takes an active role in service reliability matters.³

In light of all of the activities currently performed by Commission Staff in this area, surely the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") has better things to do with its state budget than to initiate redundant activities to be performed by two state agencies. Similarly, with the current economic situation, members of the coalitions represented by other CREO parties may find more appropriate uses for their respective budgets if they fully understood the extent to which reliability issues are already being investigated by the Commission's staff.

³ Inasmuch as the FE Companies wholeheartedly agree with the arguments made by the AEP Companies regarding this issue, rather than reiterate herein the Staff's actions and related rules and regulations empowering them to do the same, the FE Companies incorporate by reference the comments made on pages 4-10 of the AEP Companies' Memorandum Contra.

In sum, it is the Commission that possesses the authority to investigate service reliability matters, if it deems such an investigation is necessary. And, it is the Commission Staff that has the authority to review reliability data and perform actions necessary to provide the Commission with the facts upon which to make such a decision. It is not CREO's responsibility to dictate to the Commission when any issue is ripe for review. The Commission has in the past dismissed actions seeking to initiate an "investigation." See e.g., Case No. 98-1616-EL-CSS (dismissing complaint requesting an investigation into the labor practices of FirstEnergy companies and the effect of such practices on reliability). It should do so again in this instance.

C. CREO's Pleading is an Attempt to Collaterally Attack Prior Commission Proceedings.

Reliability issues related both to the FE Companies individually and to the targeted utilities as a whole have already been reviewed in several different dockets. First, in the FE Companies' distribution rate case (Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR), OCC — one of the parties to CREO's pleading — spent almost 40 pages of its initial brief focusing on purported concerns regarding the Companies' reliability performance. Yet, in that proceeding, OCC, as CREO has done in this instance, failed to demonstrate a single issue that actually affected customer reliability as a whole, or a violation of the Electric Service and Safety Standards ("ESSS"). Similarly, in that same docket, OCC failed, like CREO has done herein, to identify a reliability related issue or practice that the FE Companies had not already remedied or were not actively addressing. Further, OCC, as well as the rest of the members of CREO, either intentionally or simply because they are unaware, ignore TE's exemplary record of meeting its service reliability targets, and OE's 2007 record in which it too met all of its reliability targets and performed better-than-target on

its CAIDI results in seven of the last eight years. CREO also fails to mention in its pleading that CEI hired UMS Consulting Group, Inc. ("UMS") and aggressively addressed reliability issues raised in UMS' report, having, at the time of the distribution rate case hearings, incorporated or actively pursued 22 of the 25 UMS recommendations – all of which were reviewed and endorsed by Commission Staff.⁴

Second, the Commission has pending a rulemaking docket in which the ESSS rules are being reviewed. See Case No. 06-653-EL-ORD. All of the members of CREO are also parties to the Ohio Consumer and Environmental Advocates ("OCEA") which actively participated in this rulemaking process. Indeed, CREO incorporates at page 4 of its Memorandum in Support of its Pleading in this matter an excerpt from the comments made by OCEA in the ESSS Rulemaking.

And finally, with regard to the 2003 blackout, that event was the subject of a separate Commission investigation and several complaint cases. *See e.g.*, Case No. 03-2325-EL-COI, and Case Nos. 04-28- EL-CSS, 05-803-EL-CSS, 05-1011-EL-CSS, and 05-1012-EL-CSS.

In sum, CREO's pleading is nothing more than an attempt at a second, third, and fourth bite at the apple. For obvious reasons such collateral attacks on prior Commission proceedings should not be permitted.

D. Conclusion

Through its pleading, CREO is asking this Commission to create a dangerous precedent by ignoring state law and recognizing a right for *any* party to dictate to the Commission the issues that the Commission should investigate, regardless of merit. Ohio law has no provision to allow such a request and the Commission should not create one

⁴ For evidence in support of each of these assertions related to the FE Companies' actions and prior performance, see the companies' distribution rate case, Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR.

for obvious reasons. Such a precedent would require the expenditure of Commission and other parties' valuable resources on matters that may not be of any interest to the Commission. Moreover, if CREO has a substantive complaint *based on facts*, rather than speculation and conjecture, it must follow the procedure outlined in R.C. 4905.26. CREO has failed to cite a specific incident related to the reliability of service provided by any of the targeted utilities. Therefore, even if the Commission converts CREO's pleading to a R.C. 4905.26 complaint, clearly there are no reasonable grounds to sustain it as required by R.C. 4905.26. And finally, CREO is asking this Commission to devote valuable resources to a redundant process that is already subject to constant monitoring by Commission Staff. At least with regard to OCC, the Commission should not condone the use of two state agencies' budgets to perform virtually identical oversight functions.

For all of these reasons, the FE Companies respectfully request that CREO's request be denied and this matter be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathy J. Kolich (0038855)

Senior Attorney

FirstEnergy Service Company

Karty & Kolul

76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308

Phone: 330-384-4580

Fax: 330-384-3875

On behalf of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

and The Toledo Edison Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that a copy of The FE Companies' Motion to Dismiss CREO's Request for an Investigation was served upon the parties set forth below by regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 8th day of January, 2009.

Kathy J. Kolich, Esquire

David Boehm Michael Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202-4454

John Bentine Mark Yurick Chester, Willcox & Saxbe LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215-4213

James Burk Arthur Korkosz Harvey L. Wagner Ebony Miller Mark Hayden FirstEnergy Corp. 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308

Dave Rinebolt Colleen Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793 Glenn Krassen
E. Brett Breitschwerdt
Thomas O'Brien
Sally W. Bloomfield
Bricker & Eckler, LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 432215

Garrett Stone Michael Lavanga Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. 8th West Tower Washington, DC 20007

Sam Randazzo
Lisa McAlilster
Joseph Clark
Thomas Froehle
McNees, Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

Trent Dougherty
The Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, OH 43212

Ron Bridges
AARP
17 South High Street, Suite 800
Columbus, OH 43215

Michael Smalz Ohio State Legal Service 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215

Tim Walters
The May Dugan Center
Clevelanders United Against Poverty
4115 Bridge Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44113

Selwyn J.R. Dias American Electric Power Service Corp. 88 East Broad Street, Suite 800 Columbus, OH 43215

Noel Morgan Communities United for Action Legal Aid Society of Southwest Ohio 215 East Ninth Street, Suite 200 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Steven Millard COSE Group Services Inc. 200 Tower City Center 50 Public Square Cleveland, OH 44113

Gene Krebs Greater Ohio 846½ East Main Street Columbus, OH 43205

Rev. Mike Frank Neighborhood Environmental Coalition 5920 Engle Avenue Cleveland, OH 44127 Ellis Jacobs
Advocates for Basic Legal Equality, Inc.
333 West First Street, Suite 500B
Dayton, OH 45402

Dane Stinson
Buckeye Association of School
Administrators
10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100
Columbus, OH 432215

Leslie Kovacik City of Toledo 420 Madison Avenue, Suite 100 Toledo, OH 43604-1219

Marvin Resnik Steve Nourse American Electric Power Service Corp. 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Brandi Whetstone Sierra Club Ohio Chapter 131 North High Street, Suite 605 Columbus, OH 43215

Jenna Johnson-Holmes
Dona Seger Lawson
Judi Sobecki
Dayton Power & Light Co.
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, OH 45432

Lance M. Keiffer Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 711 Adams Street Toledo, OH 43624

Joseph Meissner Citizens Coalition 1223 West Sixth Street Cleveland, OH 44113 Denis George The Kroger Company 1014 Vine Street, G07 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Jack Shaner
The Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, OH 43212

Richard L. Sites
Ohio Hospital Association
155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3620

The Ohio Cast Metals Assoc. 2969 Scioto Place Columbus, OH 43221

Randell J. Corbin AMP-Ohio 2600 Airport Drive Columbus, OH 43219

Jerry Klenke Richard Lewis David Varda 8050 North High Street, Suite 150 Columbus, OH 43235-6486

Rebecca Stanfield Senior Energy Advocate Natural Resources Defense Council 101 North Wacker Drive, Suite 609 Chicago, IL 60606

Amy Gomberg
Environment Ohio – Environmental
Advocate
203 East Broad Street, Suite 3
Columbus, OH 43215

Barth Royer
Bell & Royer Co. LPA
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, OH 43215-3927

Dale Arnold Ohio Farm Bureau Federation Inc. P.O. Box 182383 Columbus, OH 433218

M. Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216

The Ohio Aggregates & Industrial Minerals Assoc. 162 North Hamilton Road Gahanna, OH 43230

Melissa Mullarkey Recycled Energy Development LLC 740 Quail Ridge Drive Westmont, IL 60559

Tommy Temple
Whitfield A. Russell
Ormet Primary Aluminum Corp.
4232 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22302

Joseph Logan
Ohio Farmers Union
20 South Third Street, Suite 130
Columbus, OH 43215

Gregory E. Hitzhusen, MDiv, Ph.D. Executive Director
Ohio Interfaith Power and Light
P.O. Box 26671
Columbus, OH 43226

Leigh Herington
Executive Director
NOPEC
31320 Solon Road, Suite 20
Solon, OH 44139

Robert J. Triozzi
Steven L. Beeler
City of Cleveland
Cleveland City Hall
601 Lakeside Avenue, Room 206
Cleveland, OH 44114-1077

Steve Lesser Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Amy Ewing Greater Cincinnati Health Council 2100 Sherman Avenue, Suite 100 Cincinnati, OH 45212-2775

Jason B. Keyes
Interstate Renewable Energy Council
Keyes & Fox, LLP
17121 – 21st Avenue East
Seattle, WA 98112

Duane Luckey
Attorney General's Office
Public Utilities Section
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Theodore Robinson Staff Attorney and Counsel Citizen Power 2424 Dock Road Madison, OH 44057

Paul A. Colbert
Amy Spiller
Tamara R. Reid-McIntosh
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
155 East Broad Street, 21st Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

Nolan Moser Air & Energy Program Manager The Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212-3449

Wendy B. Jaehn Executive Director Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance 645 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 990 Chicago, Il 60611

Ned Ford The Sierra Club, Ohio Chapter 3006 Auten Avenue Cincinnati, OH 43213

Theodore O. Finnarn
Attorney at Law
421 Public Square
Greenville, OH 45331
Attorney for Ohio Farmers Union