2

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications Associated with Reconciliation Mechanism, and Tariffs for Generation Service.

Case No. 08-0936-EL-SSO

THE OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA **APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF OHIO EDISON COMPANY, THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING** COMPANY AND THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code ("R.C.") Section 4903.10 and Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C.") Rule 4901-1-35(B), the Ohio Hospital Association ("OHA") submits its Memorandum Contra to the Application for Rehearing of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively "FirstEnergy") filed December 22, 2008.

The OHA urges the Commission to reject the entirety of FirstEnergy's application for rehearing. FirstEnergy's argument that the Commission's November 25, 2008 Opinion and Order is "unreasonable or unlawful," "misguided," and "evidencing a blatant disregard for both the General Assembly's will and the bounds of the Commission's own authority" is wholly

> This is to centify then the intype Appering are an accurate and complete repreduction of a case file icoment delivered in the regular course of business Pate Frocensed _____

unsupported in either law or logic¹ Rather than setting forth unreasonable and/or unlawful conclusions of law contrary to the General Assembly's intent, the November 25th Opinion and Order is an entirely reasonable foray by the Commission into the new ratemaking scheme established by Amended Senate Bill 221 ("SB 221").² Importantly, the November 25 Opinion and Order clearly establishes policy boundaries for market rate offers ("MRO") under SB 221 in order to "protect and balance the interests of public utilities and their ratepayers alike"³ as provided by the General Assembly in this instance.

FirstEnergy ignores the fact that SB 221 provides the Commission with very broad discretion to formulate a competitive bidding process ("CPB") under the new statutory scheme. The processes set forth in SB 221 are entirely different from the more constrained traditional ratemaking formula set forth in R.C. 4909.15. FirstEnergy's application in this case is one of first impression under SB 221, and judicial guidance is correspondingly thin. However, nearly 15 years ago, the Ohio Supreme Court opined on the scope of the Commission's discretion in ratemaking matters when it labeled the ratemaking formula in R.C. 4909.15 "mandatory," and prohibited the Commission from using anything but the most constrained discretion to adjust the rates under this formula.⁴ Using the court's logic in that proceeding, unlike R.C. 4909.15, SB 221 <u>does not</u> contain similar constraining ratemaking formulae to be followed by the Commission. Instead, it requires an electric distribution utility to engage in a competitive bidding process that meets certain amorphous standards (*e.g.*, open and fair, third-party

1

¹ Memorandum in Support of Application for Rehearing of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company ("FirstEnergy Application"), p. 2.

² Arguably the most widely cited administrative law decision is *Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.*, 467 U.S. 837 (1984), in which the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that it would defer to an agency's interpretation of an ambiguous statute if the interpretation is reasonable.

³ Cf., Columbus Southern Power Co. v. PUCO (1993), 67 Ohio St.3d 535, 541.

⁴ *Id.*, at 537.

oversight, etc.), satisfies the three requirements in R.C. 4928.143(B), and complies with the Commission's yet-to-be finalized rules. Because there is no specific ratemaking formula prescribed by the General Assembly, SB 221 simply provides the Commission with guidelines to follow, thereby preserving its discretion in establishing reasonable electric rates.

In carrying out its responsibilities under Chapter 49 of the Ohio Revised Code, the

Commission also cannot lose sight of its primary function of serving the public interest,

including the interests of the approximately 60 hospitals served by FirstEnergy.⁵ Section

4928.02 sets forth the important state policies underlying the regulation of electric utilities in the

state of Ohio, including the following (emphasis added):

٩.

(A) Ensure the availability to consumers of adequate, reliable, safe, efficient, nondiscriminatory, and reasonably priced retail electric service;

(B) Ensure the availability of unbundled and comparable retail electric service that provides consumers with the supplier, price, terms, conditions, and quality options they elect to meet their respective needs; ***

(G) Recognize the continuing emergence of competitive electricity markets through the development and implementation of flexible regulatory treatment;

(H) Ensure effective competition in the provision of retail electric service by avoiding anticompetitive subsidies flowing from a noncompetitive retail electric service to a competitive retail electric service or to a product or service other than retail electric service, and vice versa, including by prohibiting the recovery of any generation-related costs through distribution or transmission rates;

(K) Encourage implementation of distributed generation across customer classes through regular review and updating of administrative rules governing critical issues such as, but not limited to, interconnection standards, standby charges, and net metering;

(L) **Protect at-risk populations**, including, but not limited to, when considering the implementation of any new advanced energy or renewable energy resource;

^{***}

⁵ In fact, the Commission's own mission statement defines its primary goal as "to assure all residential and business consumers access to adequate, safe and reliable utility services <u>at fair prices</u>, while facilitating an environment that provides competitive choices." (Emphasis added.) http://www.puco.ohio.gov/PUCO/about/mission.cfm.

(N) Facilitate the state's effectiveness in the global economy.

As emphatically stated in the Commission's recent Opinion and Order in FirstEnergy's ESP case:

The Commission believes that the state policy codified by the General Assembly in Chapter 4928, Revised Code, sets forth important objectives which the Commission must keep in mind when considering all cases filed pursuant to that chapter of the code.⁶

It is in light of these policy considerations that the Commission must review FirstEnergy's application for rehearing through the lens of the overriding "public interest." The public interest cannot possibly be served by allowing FirstEnergy to dictate the outcome of this MRO proceeding, and thwart the Commission's reasonable and laudable attempt to protect FirstEnergy's customers—including hospitals vital to Ohio communities—from astronomical electric rates. As Justice Pfeiffer stated in a dissenting opinion more than 15 years ago, "[r]ate shock can be disastrous not only for the family budget, but also for Ohio's business climate." The Commission's November 25 Opinion and Order represents the Commission's noble attempt to protect Ohio from this rate shock in a manner that is consistent with the Commission's ratemaking authority under SB 221.

Beyond this, the Commission's Opinion and Order in this case is essential for the Commission to properly execute its duties to the public interest to ensure the prudence of the purchasing decisions of FirstEnergy's operating companies, which still lies squarely within the authority of the Commission, rather than the federal authorities.⁷

⁶ Ohio Edison Company, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and Toledo Edison Company, Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO (Opinion and Order dated December 19, 2008) (hereinafter referred to as "FirstEnergy Order"). (emphasis added.)

¹⁷ Pacific Gas & Electric Co. v. Lynch, 216, F. Supp. 2d 1016 (N. Dist. CA 2002).

In order to protect the health and welfare of Ohio, and the hospitals that serve the needs of all Ohioans, the OHA urges the Commission to reject FirstEnergy's application for rehearing

for the reasons stated herein.

.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION

lites/ 10 par our.

Richard L. Sites General Counsel and Senior Director of Health Policy 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620 Telephone: (614) 221-7614 e-mail: ricks@OHANET.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Memo Contra was served

via electronic mail upon the parties of record this 2^{nd} day of January 2009.

phinder J. Eitesproperon. Richard L. Sites

James Burk Mark Hayden FirstEnergy 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 43308-1890 <u>burkj@firstenergycorp.com</u> haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

Mark A. Whitt Andrew J. Campbell Jones Day 325 John H. McConnell Blvd., Suite 600 Columbus, OH 43215-2673 <u>mawhitt@jonesday.com</u> ajcampbell@jonesday.com

Samuel C. Randazzo Lisa G. McAlister Daniel J. Neilsen Joseph Clark McNees, Wallace & Nurick 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 <u>sam@mwncmh.com</u> <u>Imcalister@mwncmh.com</u> <u>dneilsen@mwncmh.com</u> jclark@mwncmh.com

Colleen L. Mooney David Rinebolt Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 W. Lima Street Findlay, OH 45389-1793 <u>Cmooney2@columbus.rr.com</u> <u>drinebolt@aol.com</u> Jeffrey L. Small Jacqueline Roberts Richard C. Reese Gregory J. Poulos Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3485 small@occ.state.oh.us reese@occ.state.oh.us poulos@occ.state.oh.us roberts@occ.state.oh.us

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 <u>dboehm@bklawfirm.com</u> mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com

Barth Royer Bell & Royer 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927 barthroyer@aol.com

Gary A. Jeffries Senior Counsel Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817 gary.a.jeffries@dom.com David L. Fein Cynthia A. Fonner Constellation Energy Group, Inc. 550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 David.fein@constellation.com cynthia.a.fonner@constellation.com

John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe 65 E. State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215 <u>myurick@cwslaw.com</u> jbentine@swslaw.com

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street PO Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 <u>mhpetricoff@vssp.com</u> <u>smhoward@vssp.com</u>

Henry Eckhart 50 West Broad Street, Suite 2117 Columbus, OH 43215-3301 henryeckhart@aol.com

Craig G. Goodman President National Energy Marketers Association 3333 K Street, N.W., Suite 110 Washington, D.C. 20007 cgoodman@energymarketers.com

Langdon D. Bell Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927 Ibell@aol.com Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620 <u>ricks@ohanet.org</u>

Nolan Moser Trent A. Dougherty Air & Energy Program Manager The Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212-3449 nolan@theoec.org trent@theoec.org

Garrett A. Stone Michael K. Lavanga Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. 8th Floor West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 gas@bbrslaw.com mkl@bbrslaw.com

Leslie A. Kovacik Senior Attorney, City of Toledo 420 Madison Ave., Suite 100 Toledo, Ohio 43604-1219 lesHe.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov

Sheilah M. McAdams Marsh & McAdams 204 West Wayne Street Maumee, Ohio 43537 sheilahmca@aol.com

Paul S. Goldberg, Law Director Phillip D. Wurster, Asst. Law Dir. 5330 Seaman Road Oregon, Ohio 43616 pgoldberg@ci.oregon.oh.us Paul Skaff, Assistant Village Solicitor Leatherman, Witzler 353 Elm Street Perrysburg, Ohio 43551 paulskaff@justice.com

Lance M. Keiffer Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 711 Adams Street, 2nd Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624-1680 <u>lkeiffer@co.lucas.oh.us</u>

Brian J. Ballenger Ballenger & Moore 3401 Woodville Rd., Suite C Toledo, Ohio 43619 ballengerlawbjb@sbcglobal.net

James E. Moan, Law Director 4930 Holland-Sylvania Road Sylvania, Ohio 43560 jimmoan@hotmail.com

Thomas R. Hays, 3315 Centennial Road, Suite A-2 Sylvania, Ohio 43560 hayslaw@buckeye-express.com

Joseph P. Meissner The Legal Aid Society of Cleveland 1223 West 6th Street Cleveland, OH 44113 jpmeissn@lasclev.org

Sean W. Vollman David A. Muntean Assistant Law Directors 161 S. High Street, Suite 202 Akron, OH 44308 vollmse@ci.akron.oh.us menteda@ci.akron.oh.us Larry Gearhardt Chief Legal Counsel Ohio Farm Bureau Federation 280 North High Street PO Box 182383 Columbus, OH 43218-2383 Igearhardt@ofbf.org

Damon E. Xenopoulos Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C. 1025 Tomas Jefferson Street, N.W., 8th Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 <u>dex@bbrslaw.com</u>

Steve Millard President and Executive Director The Council on Small Enterprises The Higbee Building 100 Public Square, Suite 201 Cleveland, OH 44113 smillar@cose.org

Craig I. Smith Attorney at Law 2824 Coventry Road Cleveland, OH 44120 wis29@yahoo.com

Glenn S. Krassen Bricker & Eckler LLP 1375 East Ninth Street, Suite 1500 Cleveland, OH 44114 gkrassen@bricker.com

Douglas M. Mancino Gregory K. Lawrence Grace C. Wung McDermott Will & Emery LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3218 <u>dmancino@mwe.com</u> <u>glawrence@mwe.com</u> <u>gwung@mwe.com</u> Eric D. Weldele Nicholas C. York Tucker Ellis & West LLP 1225 Huntington Center 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215 eric.weldele@tuckerellis.com Nicholas.york@tuckerellis.com

•

h